A Bragg glass phase in the vortex lattice of a type II superconductor

T. Klein*, I. Joumard*, S. Blanchard*, J. Marcus*, R. Cubitt†, T. Giamarchi‡ & P. Le Doussal§

**Laboratoire d'Etudes des Propriétés Electroniques des Solide - CNRS, BP166, 38042 Grenoble Cedex 9, France*

†Institut Laue Langevin, BP 156, 38042 Grenoble Cedex 9, France

‡Laboratoire de Physique des Solides, CNRS-UMR8502, UPS Bât 510, 91405 Orsay, France

§CNRS-Laboratoire de Physique Théorique de l'Ecole Normale Supérieure, 24 rue Lhomond, 75231 Paris, France

Although crystals are usually quite stable, they are sensitive to a disordered environment: even an infinitesimal amount of impurities can lead to the destruction of the crystalline order¹. The resulting state of matter has been a **longstanding puzzle. Until recently it was believed to be an amorphous state in** which the crystal would break into "crystallites"². But a different theory³ predicts **the existence of a novel phase of matter: the so-called Bragg glass, which is a glass and yet nearly as ordered as a perfect crystal. The 'lattice' of vortices that can contain magnetic flux in type II superconductors provide a good system to** investigate these ideas⁴. Here we show that neutron diffraction data of the vortex **lattice in type II superconductors provides unambiguous evidence for a weak, power-law decay of the crystalline order characteristic of a Bragg glass. The theory also predicts accurately the electrical transport properties of** superconductors; it naturally explains the observed phase transitions^{4,5,6} and the dramatic jumps in the critical current^{7,8} associated with the melting of the Bragg

glass. Moreover the model explains experiments as diverse as X-ray scattering in disordered liquid crystals^{9,10} and conductivity of electronic crystals^{11,12}.

After the Bragg glass was first proposed³ its existence was supported by further analytical^{13,14,15} and numerical^{16,17} calculations. However, up to now experimental evidence for this phase has been indirect. For instance, the presence of a Bragg glass existing as a stable thermodynamic phase is expected to impose a universal phase diagram for type II superconductors^{3,18,19} - and similar experimental phase diagrams have indeed been observed in a large variety of compounds^{$4,5,6$}. Moreover, recent transport measurements in BiSrCaCuO²⁰ are consistent with the Bragg glass predictions^{21,3}. But given the complexity of these materials it is quite difficult to rule out other interpretations of the data. To decide unambiguously on the nature of the vortex solid, it is necessary to use an imaging technique able to probe *directly* the topology of the system. Even if decoration and imaging experiments show large regions free of dislocations²² or well ordered structures when the lattice is set into motion^{23,24}, these regions are still too small to provide an unambiguous signature of the Bragg glass: the expected power law divergence of the Bragg peaks. Diffraction experiments such as Small Angle Neutron Scattering (SANS)^{25,26,27,28} can be performed at larger magnetic fields, and can thus bring the scale at which disorder becomes relevant down to the experimental resolution. When properly analyzed SANS experiments are thus an ideal method for deciding on this issue.

The experiments we report here were performed on a large single phased $(K,Ba)BiO₃$ crystal (mass \approx 300mg, T_c \approx 23K). This compound has the advantage of being totally isotropic enabling us to avoid complications due to anisotropy such as a possible 3D-2D crossover. Our experiments were performed on the D11 line at the Institut Laue Langevin - Grenoble. Neutrons are coherently diffracted by the flux lines when the Bragg condition is fulfilled. In order to study the positional order of the vortex lattice, it is necessary to measure the correlation functions perpendicular to the vortices (that is in the detector plane). However, the poor experimental in-plane resolution (a few lattice constants) does not allow to probe these correlations directly. The solution is to measure the correlations *along* the vortex lines which can, on the contrary, be probed with good accuracy and are directly related to the in-plane correlations through the elastic constants. The sample is thus rocked through the Bragg angle (by an angle ω) and the diffracted intensity is integrated in the detector plane, giving the so-called rocking curve. The scattering geometry is shown in the top panel of Fig.1. In the vicinity of a wavevector K_0 the integrated intensity is then related to the structure factor $S(q)$ through : I(ω) = F²J dq_x J dq_y S(q_x,q_y,K₀ ω) where F is the standard form factor of a single vortex²⁹. The rocking curves obtained at 2K for $K_0 \approx 3^{1/2}/2.2\pi/a_0$, where a_0 is the vortex lattice spacing are shown in the lower panel of Fig. 1. The most direct way to check for the existence of the Bragg glass would be to deconvolute the experimental resolution and directly check the predicted³ power law decrease of $S(q)$. In superconductors, the intensity in the rocking curve tails is too weak to do this reliably. Fortunately as we will show, this power law decay can also be obtained from the *magnetic field dependence* of the rocking curves which turns out to provides an unambiguous evidence for the existence of the Bragg glass.

As shown in Fig.1, I/F^2a_0 is field independent below a magnetic field $H^* \sim 0.7T$ but rapidly collapses at higher fields. However, despite this strong reduction of the intensity at the Bragg angle (i.e. of $S(q_z=0)$) the half width at half maximum of the rocking curves σ remains *magnetic field independent* $\sim 0.18^{\circ}$; this value is close to the experimental resolution and remains constant up the highest magnetic field. This is a very striking result because the London theory predicts that the area under the rocking curve (Fig. 1) should remain roughly constant. A similar decrease of the diffracted intensity without any broadening of the rocking curve was also observed²⁵ in BiSrCaCuO and attributed to a 3D-2D crossover. This explanation however cannot hold in the perfectly isotropic $(K,Ba)BiO₃$ system.

How can this unusual behavior be understood? Let us first recall that for a perfect crystal, the finite experimental resolution (in momentum $\Delta q \sim 1/\xi$) would transform the ideal delta-function peak of the structure factor at K_0 into a broadened peak of height ξ^d and half width 1/ ξ , (where d is the spatial dimension). If the positional order in the system is not perfect but decays over the characteristic length scale R_a (for which displacements are of the order of the lattice spacing (that is $u(R_a) \sim a_0$)) the observed Bragg peak remains determined by the experimental resolution as long as ξ < R_a . However, the structure factor will become dependent on the decay of the translational order in the system for $\xi > R_a$. This will then allow us to distinguish between an amorphous system full of dislocations and the Bragg glass. In the first case, the translational order decays very rapidly beyond R_a (for example exponentially with the distance) leading to a peak of height R_a^d and half width in momentum $1/R_a$ (the influence of the experimental resolution is negligible in this case); a decrease in R_a will thus lead to a decrease of the height of the peak *and* a broadening of the peak. The situation is very different in the Bragg glass. Indeed, in this case the positional order decays only weakly beyond R_a (as a power-law of the distance with an exponent $\eta \sim 1$). The same power law decay is predicted³ perpendicularly and parallel to the vortices and the corresponding characteristic lengths R_{az} and R_{axy} are connected through $R_{az} = R_{axy} \sqrt{F_{44}/C_{66}}$ (where the c_{ii} are elastics constants). In the absence of any experimental limitation this would lead³ to power-law divergent Bragg peaks for $q\rightarrow 0$: $S(q) \sim 1/q^{d-\eta}$. In a real experiment however, ξ suppresses this divergence and the shape of the peak is then controlled *both* by R_a (in the appropriate direction) and ξ .

Our calculation shows that, for $\xi > R_a$, the structure factor (and hence the rocking curve) has the form shown in Fig. 2. The most important point is that, for $d \ge \eta$, the width at half maximum of the peak remains entirely given by the experimental resolution $1/\xi$ for both $R_a > \xi$ and $R_a < \xi$ whereas the height of the peak $S(q_z=0)$ is proportional to R_a^{η} for $R_a < \xi$. In the Bragg glass, a decrease in R_a thus leads to a decrease of the diffraction peak *without any broadening* . As shown in Fig.1, this behavior is in excellent agreement with the experimental data for $H > H^* \sim 0.7T$ (for H \leq $H^* R_a > \xi$ and the intensity remains constant). We note that such an unusual collapse of the rocking curve is intrinsically related to the power law behavior of S(q) and could not be observed for any faster decay of the positional order. Moreover, because the rocking curves measure the diffraction along the z direction, one has to set $d=1$ in the above expressions and this behavior thus *imposes* that $\eta \approx 1 = d$ (the half width at half maximum σ is again expected to increase as a_0/R_a for ($n-d$) log(ζ/R_a) > 1) in excellent agreement with the Bragg glass model³ ($\eta \sim 1$ -1.2) as well as numerical simulations¹⁷. The collapse of the rocking curve without any broadening is thus direct proof of the existence of the Bragg glass.

In order to further test the consistency of this explanation, we have extracted the positional correlation length R_{az} from the data, using the Bragg glass formula for the intensity $(I(\omega=0)/F^2 \sim R_{az})$. The unknown overall normalization of the intensity is fixed by the condition that $R_{az} \sim \xi = 1/(K_0 \sigma) \sim 50$ a₀ when the intensity starts to depend on the magnetic field. The field dependence of R_{az} is plotted in Fig. 3. Because, for H \gg H_{c1} (where H_{c1} is the lower critical field) increasing the field is equivalent to increase the effective disorder in the system, the observed decrease of $I(\omega=0)/F^2$ is in good agreement with the expected decrease of R_{az} . The solid line in Fig. 3 corresponds to a $1/B^{3/2}$ variation for R_{az} , which is consistent with the calculated dependence of R_{az} on the magnetic field B using a simple elastic description for the vortex lattice¹⁹. The intensity finally drops rapidly towards zero at a field B_m lying close to the onset of the second peak in magnetization measurements, thus confirming the theoretical interpretation of the second peak in terms of Bragg glass 'melting'. Moreover, neutron data give a very reasonable value for the positional correlation length at the transition : R_{az} (here of the order of R_{av}) ~ 20 a₀. As shown on Fig.3, this interpretation can also be applied to BiSrCaCuO. Indeed, similar behavior is observed in the two systems provided that the

field axis is renormalized by the field for which the diffracted intensity vanishes (this moreover shows that there is only one relevant parameter: B_m , which is directly related to Ra(0) that is the residual amount of disorder in the system).

The Bragg glass theory also explains the puzzling behavior of the measured temperature dependence of the intensity I (Fig. 4). As shown, the $1/\lambda^4$ dependence of the intensity expected in the standard London model $(I \sim F^2)$ only provides a very poor agreement with the experimental data using a classical two-fluid model for temperature dependence of the magnetic penetration length $\lambda(T)$ (dotted line). This discrepancy was also pointed out in YbaCuO (ref 26) and remained unexplained. The neutron data can be fitted by introducing a phenomenological modification of the temperature dependence of the penetration length. However, such a strong deviation from the classical model has not been observed in the $\lambda(T)$ deduced from muon spin resonance relaxation data²⁶. This shows that besides being an *ad hoc* fit to the data, such a modification of $\lambda(T)$ does not in fact contain any physical meaning. As muons are mostly sensitive to the behavior of individual vortices, the deviation observed in neutron data can be directly related to the long range correlation in the vortex lattice.

Indeed, in the Bragg glass model, an additional temperature dependence arises from R_a(T) which is expected to vary as $(L_c/\xi_0^2)^3$ in the elastic theory (where ξ_0 is the superconducting coherence length and L_c the collective pinning length which describes the strength of pinning). Assuming that pinning is related to fluctuations in the critical temperature (the so-called δ -T_c pinning model) $L_c \propto \xi_0^{2/3}$ and the resulting temperature dependence is plotted on Fig. 4 (dashed line). As shown, this yields very reasonable agreement with the experimental data without any adjustable parameter.

¹ Larkin, A. I. Effect of inhomogeneities on the structure of the mixed state of superconductors. *Sov. Phys. JETP* **31**, 784-786 (1970).

-

² Fisher, D. S., Fisher, M. P. A. & Huse D. A. Thermal fluctuations, quenched disorder, phase transitions, and transport in type-II superconductors. Phys. Rev. B **43**, 130-159 (1990).

³ Giamarchi, T. & Le Doussal, P. Elastic theory of flux lattices in the presence of weak disorder. *Phys. Rev. B* **52**, 1242-1270 (1995).

⁴ Zeldov, E. et al. Thermodynamic observation of first order vortex lattice melting transition. *Nature* **375**, 373-376 (1995).

⁵ Deligiannis, K. *et al.* New Features in the Vortex Phase Diagram of $YBa₂Cu₃O₇₋₈$. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79**, 2121-2124 (1997).

⁶ Joumard, I. et al. Small angle neutron scattering and magnetization measurements in the cubic (K,Ba)BiO3 superconductor. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82**, 4930-4933 (1999).

7 Paltiel, Y. *et al.* Instabilities and Disorder-Driven First-Order Transition of the Vortex Lattice. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**, 3712-3715 (2000).

⁸ Marchevsky, M., Higgins, M. J. & Bhattacharya, S. Two coexisting vortex phases in the peak effect regime in a superconductor. *Nature* **409**, 591-594 (2001).

9 Liquid Crystals in Complex Geometries, edited by Crawford, G. P. & Zumer, S. Taylor & Francis, London, (1996).

¹⁰ Saunders, K., Radzihovsky, L. & Toner, J. A discotic disguised as a smectic : a hybrid columnar Bragg glass. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**, 4309-4312 (2000).

¹¹ Perruchot. F. *et al.* Hall effect of pinned and depinned 2-D electron and hole solids. *Physica. B* **256**, 587-590 (1998).

¹² Li, C.C. *et al.* Microwave resonance and weak pinning in two-dimensional hole systems at high magnetic fields. *Phys. Rev. B* **61**, 10905-10909 (2000).

¹³ Carpentier, D., Le Doussal, P. & Giamarchi, T. Stability of the Bragg glass phase in a layered geometry *Europhys. Lett.* **35**, 379-384 (1996).

¹⁴ Kierfeld, J., Nattermann T. & Hwa T. Topological order in the vortex glass phase of high temperature superconductor *Phys. Rev. B* **55**, 626-629 (1997).

 15 Fisher, D. S. Stability of elastic glass phases in random field XY magnets and vortex lattices in type II superconductors . *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **78**, 1964-1967 (1997).

¹⁶ Gingras, M. J. P. & Huse, D. A. Topological defects in the random field XY model and the pinned vortex lattice to vortex glass transition in type II superconductors *Phys. Rev. B* **53**, 15193-15200 (1996).

¹⁷ van Otterlo, A., Scalettar, R. T. & Zimanyi, G. T. Phase diagram of disordered vortices from London Langevin simulations. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81**, 1497-1500 (1998).

 18 Ertas, D. & Nelson, D. R. Irreversibility, mechanical entanglement and thermal melting in superconducting vortex crystals with point impurities. *Physica C* **272**, 79-86 (1996).

19 Giamarchi, T. & Le Doussal, P. Phase diagrams of flux lattices with disorder. *Phys. Rev. B* **55**, 6577-6583 (1997).

²⁰ Fuchs, D. T. *et al.* Transport Properties of $Bi_2Sr_2CaCu_2O_8$ Crystals with and without Surface Barriers. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81**, 3944-3947 (1998).

²¹ Nattermann, T. Scaling approach to pinning: Charge density waves and giant flux creep in superconductors. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **64**, 2454-2457 (1990).

²² Kim, P. *et al.* Structure of flux line lattices with weak disorder at large length scales. *Phys. Rev. B* **60**, R12589-R12592 (1999).

²³ Pardo, F. *et al.* Observation of smectic and moving-Bragg-glass phases in flowing vortex lattices. *Nature* **396**, 348-350 (1998).

²⁴ Troyanovski, A. M., Aarts, J. & Kes, P. H. Collective and plastic vortex motion in superconductors at high flux densities. *Nature* **399**, 665-668 (1999).

²⁵ Cubitt, R. *et al.* Direct observation of magnetic flux lattice melting and decomposition in the high Tc superconductor BiSrCaCuO. *Nature* **365**, 407-411 (1993).

²⁶ Forgan, E. M. *et al.* Observation by neutron diffraction of the magnetic flux lattice in single-crystal YbaCuO. *Nature* **343**, 735-736 (1990).

²⁷ Yaron, U. *et al.* Structural evidence for a two step process in the depinning of the superconducting flux line lattice. *Nature* **376**, 753-755 (1995).

²⁸ Gammel, P. L. *et al.* Structure and correlations of the flux line lattice in crystalline Nb through the peak effect. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**, 833-836 (1998).

²⁹ Brandt, E. H. Precision Ginzburg-Landau solution of ideal vortex lattices for any induction and symmetry. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **78**, 2208-2211 (1997).

Acknowledgements:

-

We thank C. Simon for many invaluable discussions.

Figure 1: Angular dependence of neutron intensity diffracted by a $(K, Ba)BiO₃$ crystal and the sample geometry. Data were taken at 2K for different magnetic fields. The neutron beam (of wavelength 10A) was approximately parallel to the [111] direction of the crystal. The sample has been cooled in a slightly oscillating field (of the order of 5 % of the dc field) in order to obtain a good in plane orientational order. ω is the angle by which the sample is rocked away from the Bragg angle θ_B (θ being the angle between the neutron beam and the magnetic field –upper panel for the scattering geometry). The solid lines are Lorentzian distribution fits to the data with constant width at half maximum $\sigma \sim 0.18^{\circ}$ (similar fits can be obtained using Gaussian distributions). Those data show that the diffracted intensity (rocking curves) collapse without any broadening above ~ 0.7 T.

Figure 2: Bragg glass predictions for the angular dependence of the neutron diffracted intensity. In this phase the positional order is expected to decay with an exponent h beyond some characteristic length scale R_a . ξ is the experimental resolution. The arrows indicate the values of S(q) for q =0 and $1/R_a$ respectively. If the disorder increases (R_a) decreases), the height of the peak decreases as R_a^{η} but the peak does not broaden since the half width at half maximum is always given by the experimental resolution $1/\xi$ and not $1/R_a$. Such behavior can only be observed if $\eta \sim d$ (the dimensionality of the system) and is thus intrinsically related to the weak decay of the correlation functions. The height of the peaks gives a direct measure of the characteristic length R_a . To make the connection with Fig 1, one should set $d=1$ due to the integration of the diffracted intensity in the detector plane and q should be replaced by q_z . As done in Fig 1, because $q_z=K_0\omega$ an extra normalization factor a_0 is necessary to ensure that $K_0\left(I(\omega)d\omega\right)=S(q)dq$ (note that the experimental resolution is constant in angle).

Figure 3: Magnetic field dependence of the positional length R_{az} for $(K, Ba)BiO₃$ and BiSrCaCuO (ref 24) crystals. In the Bragg glass model R_{az} is directly related the maximum of the diffracted intensity (intensity at the Bragg angle): $R_a \sim I(\omega = 0)/F^2$. The x axis has been renormalized to the field H_m above which the diffracted intensity disappears. The solid line corresponds to a $1/B^2$ dependence for R_{az} as expected from a classical elastic theory. The horizontal dotted line is the limit above which R_{az} becomes larger than the experimental resolution $\xi \sim 50a_0$ in (K,Ba)BiO₃. The intensity drops rapidly towards zero at H_m probably reflecting the proliferation of dislocations at the order-disorder transition

Figure 4: Temperature dependence of the maximum of the neutron diffracted intensity in a $(K,Ba)BiO₃$ crystal. The intensity (I) at the Bragg angle has been normalized to its value at T=0K. The dotted line is the $1/\lambda^4$ dependence expected in the London theory. As shown the data rapidly deviate from this simple dependence. The dashed line is the Bragg glass prediction (for $R_a < \xi$) for which I is expected to be proportional to $R_a(T)/\lambda^4(T)$. The order-disorder transition temperature can be obtained at 0.4T as I tends towards zero at $T_m \sim 20K$ (arrow).