

Two component interacting bosons: 1-d exact results

You-Quan Li^{1,2}, Shi-Jian Gu¹, Zu-Jian Ying¹, and Ulrich Eckern²

¹*Zhejiang Institute of Modern Physics, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, P.R. China*

²*Institute for Physics, Augsburg University, D-86135 Augsburg, Germany*

(Received: June 29, 2000)

Motivated by the experiment of two-component Bose-Einstein condensates produced in magnetically trapped ^{87}Rb , we study one dimensional Boson systems with repulsive δ -function interaction in the presence of $\text{SU}(2)$ intrinsic degree of freedom by means of coordinate Bethe ansatz. The ground state and low-lying excitations are solved by both numerical and analytical methods. It is shown that the ground state is an isospin-ferromagnetic state and the excitations are composed of three elementary particles: holons, antiholons and isospinons. The isospinon is a triplet coupled to the “ferromagnetic” background antiparallely.

PACS number(s): 05.30.Jp, 03.65.Ge, 72.15.Nj, 05.90.+m

Exactly solvable models [1–16] are known to play an important role in the investigation of one-dimensional interacting many-particle systems. These approaches have served as a great source of inspiration for the new understandings of non-perturbative phenomena of correlated electronic systems, for example, the spinon was explicitly demonstrated on the basis of one-dimensional exact solution of Hubbard model [6]. Among those models, an earlier typical one is the one-dimensional bosons with repulsive δ -function interaction which was solved [7] by means of Bethe-ansatz method. The method was developed to solve the problem of spin-1/2 fermions [8,9] with δ -function interaction. Since then had been there various extensions, such as the consideration of electrons on crystalline lattice [6], the generalization to the systems with higher symmetries [10–12] and applications to different boundary conditions [13–16]. Nevertheless, the features of SU(2) bosons with δ -function interaction, as far as we are aware, has not been studied hitherto. Because particles with “spin-1/2” are regarded as fermions, the two-component model with anti-symmetric permutation was studied thoroughly but the symmetric case did not absorb much attention. Recently, however, a two component Bose gas was produced in magnetically trapped ^{87}Rb by rotating the two hyperfine states into each other with the help of slightly detuned Rabi oscillation field [17,18]. It was noticed [19] that the ground state for a bosonic system can be surprisingly different from the traditional scalar Bose system once acquiring intrinsic degree of freedom where variational method was used. It is therefore very important to study the features of the ground state and low-lying excitations of the multi-component interacting Bose systems.

In order to attain non-perturbative insight on the features of such system, in present letter we study the model of one-dimensional SU(2) bosons with repulsive δ -function interaction which is an integrable model. Showing the connection with the coupled Gross-Pitaevski equation [20,21] related to Boson Einstein condensation [17], we first solve the Bethe-ansatz equation for bosons with SU(2) intrinsic degree of freedom. The distribution feature of the quasi-momentum determined by the Bethe-ansatz equation is investigated in weak coupling limit. The ground state property and low-lying excitations are studied by both numerical calculation and thermodynamic limit approach. We fascinatedly found that the ground state is not an “anti-ferromagnetic” but a ‘ferromagnetic’ state, the charge-isospin phase separation exists and the isospinon is a triplet in stead of doublet which is well known for spinons in spin-1/2 Fermi system.

The two-component Bose gas produced in a recent experiment is known to satisfy the coupled Gross-Pitaevski equations:

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{G} & \hat{P}^* \\ \hat{P} & \hat{G} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

where $\hat{G} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2 + V(\mathbf{r}) + c\sum_a |\psi_a|^2$, $\hat{P} = \hbar\Omega/2$. Ω is Rabi oscillation field and $V(\mathbf{r})$ the trapping potential.

Considering the system trapped in a one dimensional ring of length L and introducing $\phi_1 = (\psi_1 + \psi_2)/\sqrt{2}$, $\phi_2 = (\psi_1 - \psi_2)/\sqrt{2}$, we obtain from the above Gross-Pitaevski equation for real Ω the following Hamiltonian:

$$H = \int dx \left[\partial_x \phi_a^* \cdot \partial_x \phi_a + c\phi_a^* \phi_b^* \phi_b \phi_a - (-1)^a \Omega \phi_a^* \phi_a \right]$$

where $a, b = 1, 2$, the repeated indices denote summation and natural unit are adopted for simplicity. The fields obey bosonic commutation relations $[\phi_a^*(x), \phi_b(y)] = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta_{ab} \delta(x - y - nL)$. The Rabi oscillation field contributes a Zeemann-type term. To avoid unnecessary confusions away from the conventional spins which distinguish between fermions and bosons in the known spin-statistical connection theorem, we denote the generators of isospin SU(2) by \mathcal{I} , correspondingly $[\mathcal{I}^+, \mathcal{I}^-] = 2\mathcal{I}^z$. The intrinsic degree of freedom can be specified by the eigenvalues of \mathcal{I}^z or labeled by isospin up and down.

The Bethe-ansatz equations for two-component bosons are obtained as follows.

$$e^{ik_j L} = - \prod_{l=1}^N \Xi_1(k_j - k_l) \prod_{\nu=1}^M \Xi_{-1/2}(k_j - \lambda_\nu)$$

$$1 = - \prod_{l=1}^N \Xi_{-1/2}(\lambda_\gamma - k_l) \prod_{\nu=1}^M \Xi_1(\lambda_\gamma - \lambda_\nu) \quad (1)$$

where $\Xi_\beta(x) = (x + i\beta c)/(x - i\beta c)$. Eq.(1) determines the values of the quasi-momenta $\{k_j\}$ and the isospin rapidities $\{\lambda_\nu\}$ for a $N - 2M + 1$ fold multiplet characterized by the total isospin $\mathcal{I}_{tot} = (N - 2M)/2$. Eq. (1) is mimic to the Bethe ansatz equation of BBB case of Ref. [22] except a variant in the exponential.

Equation (1) is obtained by the following procedure. Applying the Hamiltonian to the Hilbert space of N particles and considering its first quantized version on the domain $\mathbf{R} \setminus \{\mathbf{P}_{ij}\}$ where $\mathbf{P}_{ij} := \{x | x_i - x_j = 0\}$ is the hyperplane defined by the δ -function singularity, we get that only the N -dimensional Laplace operator remains in the Schrödinger operator. Thus N -dimensional plane waves solve the Schrödinger equation. We sum up all the plane waves of which the wave vectors are just permutations of a definite $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_N)$ according to the Bethe ansatz strategy. Integrating the Schrödinger equation across the hyperplanes, we obtain $S(k_i - k_j) = [k_i - k_j - ic\mathcal{P}]/[k_i - k_j + ic]$, which connects the Bethe-ansatz wave functions between the regions separated by the hyperplanes and $\check{S} := \mathcal{P}S$ (\mathcal{P} stands for the spinor representation of the permutation group S_N) relates the coefficients of different plane waves in the same region. It is worth to point out that the bosonic permutation symmetry (instead of the antisymmetry) was imposed when solving the S -matrix. Analogous to the case of spin-1/2 fermions [9], the periodic boundary condition leads to an

eigen-equation for the product of the S -matrix. As the obtained S -matrix satisfies the Yang-Baxter equation, the quantum inverse scattering method [23] is applicable for diagonalization. After writing out the fundamental commutation relations and evaluating the eigenvalues of the reference state $|\omega\rangle = |\uparrow\uparrow\dots\uparrow\rangle$, one may immediately realize the difference from that of spin-1/2 fermions. For example, $A(\xi)|\omega\rangle = \prod_l(\xi - \xi_l - ic)/(\xi - \xi_l + ic)|\omega\rangle$, $D(\xi)|\omega\rangle = \prod_l(\xi - \xi_l)/(\xi - \xi_l + ic)|\omega\rangle$ in the notion of ref. [23,24]. Consequently, we got the result of eq. (1). It is an facilitating picture that the Bethe ansatz strategy implies the existence of infinitely many constants of motion, $\sum_j k_j^n = \text{constant}$, in addition to the usual energy $E = \sum_{l=1}^N k_l^2 + \Omega(N - 2M)$ and momentum $P = \sum_{l=1}^N k_l$.

The logarithm of eq. (1) gives rise to

$$k_j = \frac{2\pi}{L}I_j + \frac{1}{L}\sum_{l=1}^N \Theta_1(k_j - k_l) + \frac{1}{L}\sum_{\nu=1}^M \Theta_{-1/2}(k_j - \lambda_\nu),$$

$$2\pi J_\gamma = \sum_{l=1}^N \Theta_{-1/2}(\lambda_\gamma - k_l) + \sum_{\nu=1}^M \Theta_1(\lambda_\gamma - \lambda_\nu), \quad (2)$$

where $\Theta_\beta(x) := -2 \tan^{-1}(x/\beta c)$, and both the quantum numbers I_j and J_γ take *integer* or *half integer* values depending on whether $N - M$ is *odd* or *even*. As a comparison, the Bethe-ansatz equation for spin-1/2 fermions is not only lack of the first summation but also has an opposite sign in the second summation in the first line of eq. (2). The momentum is easily obtained from eq. (2), $P = \sum_l k_l = (\sum_l I_l - \sum_\nu J_\nu)2\pi/L$.

It is inspirable to analysis eq. (2) in the strong and weak coupling regimes. For strong interaction $c \rightarrow \infty$ the wave function vanishes for any $x_i = x_j$ and hence the bosons avoid each other like fermions which is in agreement with the discussion of quantum degeneracy in trapped 1D gases [25].

Due to $\Theta_1(x) \rightarrow -\pi \text{sgn}(x)$ and $\Theta_{-1/2}(x) \rightarrow \pi \text{sgn}(x)$ for $x \gg 1$, eq. (2) for weak coupling limit $c \rightarrow 0$ becomes,

$$k_j + \frac{\pi}{L}\sum_{l=1}^N \text{sgn}(k_j - k_l) - \frac{\pi}{L}\sum_{\nu=1}^M \text{sgn}(k_j - \lambda_\nu) = \frac{2\pi}{L}I_j,$$

$$\sum_{l=1}^N \text{sgn}(\lambda_\gamma - k_l) - \sum_{\nu=1}^M \text{sgn}(\lambda_\gamma - \lambda_\nu) = 2J_\gamma. \quad (3)$$

The subscript of the isospin rapidity λ_γ can be chosen in such a way that J_γ is ranged in an increasing order, then the second equation of eq. (3) turns to

$$\sum_{l=1}^N \text{sgn}(\lambda_\gamma - k_l) = 2J_\gamma + 2\gamma - M - 1. \quad (4)$$

Because $|J_\gamma| < (N - M + 1)/2$ for a given M and $M \leq N/2$ due to the restriction by Young tableau, the minimum value of the right hand side of eq. (4) is $-N + 2$.

This requires that the smallest k_l must be smaller than the smallest λ_ν , otherwise the left hand side would be $-N$. Eq. (4) also implies

$$\sum_{l=1}^N [\text{sgn}(\lambda_{\gamma+1} - k_l) - \text{sgn}(\lambda_\gamma - k_l)]$$

$$= 2(J_{\gamma+1} - J_\gamma + 1). \quad (5)$$

Thus, for $J_{\gamma+1} - J_\gamma = m$, there must exist exactly $m + 1$ solutions of k_l satisfying $\lambda_\gamma < k_l < \lambda_{\gamma+1}$. Furthermore, from the first equation of eq. (3) we obtain

$$k_{j+1} - k_j - \frac{\pi}{L}\sum_{\nu=1}^M [\text{sgn}(k_{j+1} - \lambda_\nu) - \text{sgn}(k_j - \lambda_\nu)]$$

$$= \frac{2\pi}{L}(I_{j+1} - I_j - 1). \quad (6)$$

Obviously, for $I_{j+1} - I_j = n$, there will be $k_{j+1} - k_j = 2n\pi/L$ if there is a λ_γ such that $k_j < \lambda_\gamma < k_{j+1}$, otherwise $k_{j+1} - k_j = (n - 1)2\pi/L$. So an isospin rapidity of value λ_μ always repels the quasi-momenta away from that value. As a result, an existing λ_μ will suppresses the density of state in k -space at the point $k = \lambda_\mu$. The more isospin rapidities there are, the higher the energy will be. Thus the ground state of SU(2) interacting bosons is no more a SU(2) singlet but an isospin ‘‘ferromagnetic’’ state which differs from Fermi case greatly.

For N particles, the ground state is characterized by a one-row N -column Young tableau $[N]$, of which the quantum numbers are $\{I_j\} := \{-(N - 1)/2, \dots, (N - 1)/2\}$ and $\{J_\gamma\} = \text{empty}$. For this state eq. (2) reduces to the case of [7]. Here it is a $(N + 1)$ fold multiplet with $\mathcal{I}^2 = N(N + 2)/4$. The density of states per length of the ground state is plotted (fig.1 left) for various couplings. The ‘‘particle’’-hole (or called holon-antiholon) excitation is defined by the quantum numbers: $I_1 = -(N - 1)/2 + \delta_{1,j_1}$ (for $1 \leq j_1 \leq N$), $I_j = I_{j-1} + 1 + \delta_{j,j_1}$ (for $j = 2, \dots, N - 1$), and $|I_N| \geq (N + 1)/2$. Fig. (2) the left is the corresponding excitation spectrum. The isospinon-holon excitation is characterized by the Young tableau $[N - 1, 1]$, i.e., $M = 1$. Comparing to that of ground state, the quantum number $\{I_j\}$ changes from half-integer to integer or vice versa, accordingly, $I_1 = -N/2 + \delta_{1,j_1}$ (for $1 \leq j_1 \leq N + 1$), $I_j = I_{j-1} + 1 + \delta_{j,j_1}$ (for $j = 2, \dots, N$), while $J_1 = I_1 + n$ so that $I_1 < J_1 < I_N$. This is a $N - 1$ fold multiplet with $\mathcal{I}^2 = N(N - 2)/4$. The excitation spectrum is plotted in Fig. (2). Two branches of the quasi-particle excitation was recently shown for two-component condensate [26] by means of the techniques in nonlinear optics. The density of state for $J_1 = 0$, $j_1 = 1$ is plotted in Fig. (1). By comparison to the ground state where no isospin rapidity exists, a rift emerged at the position of the existing isospin rapidity for small c that is consistent to our previous analysis for weak coupling limit.

In the thermodynamics limit, the Bethe-ansatz equations give rise to the following integral equations for the density of roots and holes respectively in quasi-momentum and isospin rapidity spaces:

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(k) + \rho_h(k) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} + \int_Q^Q dk' \rho(k') K_1(k - k') \\ &\quad - \int_{-B}^B d\lambda' \sigma(\lambda') K_{1/2}(k - \lambda'), \\ \sigma(\lambda) + \sigma_h(\lambda) &= \int_{-Q}^Q dk' \rho(k') K_{1/2}(\lambda - k') \\ &\quad - \int_{-B}^B d\lambda' \sigma(\lambda') K_1(\lambda - \lambda'),\end{aligned}\quad (7)$$

where $K_\mu(x) = \pi^{-1} \mu c / (\mu^2 c^2 + x^2)$. The Q and B are determined consistently by $\int_{-Q}^Q \rho(k) dk = N/L$ and $\int_{-B}^B \sigma(\lambda) d\lambda = M/L$. It is easy to check by Fourier transform that the state of $B = \infty$ and $\sigma_h = 0$ is an isospin singlet, but further calculations show that it is not the ground state. The real ground state, however, corresponds to $\sigma = \rho_h = 0$ in eq. (7), which concludes that the ground state is an isospin “ferromagnetic” state which agrees with the result of mean field theory [19]. Two-particle case is a pedagogical example to understand it. For the two-body Schrödinger equation in the center-of-mass frame, the permutation of particle coordinates becomes the parity reflection of their relative coordinate. The oscillation theorem in quantum mechanics tells that the spatial wave function without zero nodes which is also an even parity solution yields the lowest energy. If the particles possess a $SU(2)$ intrinsic degree of freedom, their intrinsic wave function must be symmetric (anti-symmetric) to keep the total wave function with the lowest energy being symmetric (anti-symmetric). Then we may easily understand that the ground state of Bose system (Fermi system) is of “ferromagnetic” (anti-ferromagnetic).

The highly degenerate ferromagnetic ground state which is merely true for the vanished Zeemann term will suddenly split up into Zeemann sublevels once the external field is applied. The ground state whence becomes a polarized state when the Rabi field which breaks the $SU(2)$ symmetry is turned on.

In order to evaluate excitation energy we let $\rho(k) = \rho_0(k) + \rho_1(k)/L$ (ρ_0 refers to ground state). In the presence of isospin degree of freedom, there will be holon-isospinon excitation in addition to the holon-antiholon excitation. The later is created by a hole inside the quasi Fermi sea $\bar{k} \in [-k_F, k_F]$ and an additional k_p outside it,

$$\rho_1(k) + \delta(k - \bar{k}) = \int_{-k_F}^{k_F} dk' \rho_1 K_1(k - k') + K_1(k - k_p).$$

The excitation energy consists of two terms: $\Delta E =$

$\int k^2 \rho dk + k_p^2 = \varepsilon_h(\bar{k}) + \varepsilon_a(k_p)$, the holon energy ε_h and antiholon energy $\varepsilon_a(k_p) = -\varepsilon_h(k_p)$ are given by,

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon_h(y) &= -y^2 + \int_{-k_F}^{k_F} k^2 \rho_1^h(k, y) dk, \\ \rho_1^h(k, y) + K_1(k - y) &= \int_{-k_F}^{k_F} dk' K_1(k - k') \rho_1^h(k', y).\end{aligned}\quad (8)$$

Flipping one isospin corresponds to adding one isospin rapidity to the background of “ferromagnetic” ground state which brings about one hole in the k -sector inevitably. The excitation energy $\Delta E = \int k^2 \rho_1 dk$ is solved from

$$\rho_1(k) + \delta(k - \bar{k}) = \int_{-k_F}^{k_F} dk' K_1(k - k') \rho_1(k') - K_{1/2}(k - \lambda),$$

consequently, $\Delta E = \varepsilon_h(\bar{k}) + \varepsilon_i(\lambda)$. The ε_h is given by eq. (8) and ε_i by $\varepsilon_i(\lambda) = \int k^2 \rho_1^i(k, \lambda) dk$ with

$$\rho_1^i(k, \lambda) + K_{1/2}(k - \lambda) = \int_{-k_F}^{k_F} dk' K_1(k - k') \rho_1^i(k', \lambda).$$

In conclusion we found the existence of three elementary quasi-particles: holon, antiholon and isospinon. From the asymptotic behaviors of those basic modes as \bar{k} , k_p , and λ tend to k_F , we find that both the holon-antiholon and holon-isospinon excitations are gapless. The related dispersions for finite N are plotted in Fig. (3). Differing from the spinons in a Fermi system, the isospinon here is a triplet which always couples to the “ferromagnetic” background antiparallely. Although it always accompanies charge excitation (holon) for single or odd number of isospinons, the isospinons can be excited in pairs without exciting the $U(1)$ charge mode. Because of the coupling between charge sector and isospin sector in eq.(7), both cases brings about changes in quasi-momentum distribution and hence turns out excitation energy. The charge-isospin phase separation predicted by mean field theory [27] clearly occurs in present case due to the structure of eq.(7). The holons and antiholons are quasi-particles created in the momentum space while the isospinon behaves like dark soliton [28] in the isospin sector that is tended to diminish an unit value from the total isospin \mathcal{I} eigenvalue.

Elaborating an experiment for two-component Bose gases whose transverse excitations are frozen out and the dynamics becomes essentially one-dimensional [29] is expected to check the afore-mentioned properties by the detection of the isospinon excitations and the measurement of excitation spectra.

The work is supported by NSFC No.1-9975040, EYF and Trans-century projects of China Ministry of Education. YQL is also supported by AvH-Stiftung. YQL thanks J.Cardry, J.Carmelo, H.Frahm, F.D.M. Haldane, T.C.Ho, M.Oshikawa and H.Saleur for interesting discussions; also thanks F.Guinea for helpful remarks on the manuscript.

-
- [1] A.W. Tsvelick, and P.W. Wiegmann, *Adv. Phys.* **32**, 453 (1983).
- [2] N. Andrei, K. Furuya, and L.H. Lowenstein, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **55**, 331 (1983).
- [3] F.D.M. Haldane, *Phys. Lett. A* **93**, 464(1983), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **50**, 1153 (1983).
- [4] H.J. deVega and F. Woynarovich, *Nucl. Phys. B* **251**, 439 (1985).
- [5] V.E. Korepin, N.M. Bogoliubov, and A.G. Izergin, *Quantum Inverse Scattering Method and Correlation Functions* (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge 1993).
- [6] E.H. Lieb and F. Wu, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **20**, 1444 (1968).
- [7] E.H. Lieb and W. Liniger, *Phys. Rev.* **130**, 1605 (1963).
- [8] M. Gaudin, *Phys. Lett. A* **24**, 55 (1967).
- [9] C. N. Yang, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **19**, 1312 (1967).
- [10] B. Sutherland, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **20**, 98 (1968).
- [11] T.C. Choy, *Phys. Lett. A*, **80**, 49 (1980). F.D.M. Haldane, *Phys. Lett. A* **80**, 281 (1980); **81** 545 (1981). T.C. Choy and F.D.M. Haldane, *Phys. Lett. A* **90**, 83 (1982).
- [12] P. Schlottmann, *Phys. Rev. B* **43**, 3101 (1991).
- [13] M. Gaudin, *Phys. Rev. A* **4**, 386 (1971).
- [14] H. Schulz, *J. Phys. C* **18**, 581 (1985).
- [15] F. Woynarovich, *Phys. Lett. A* **108**, 401 (1985).
- [16] Y.Q. Li and C. Gruber, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**, 1034 (1998).
- [17] J.E. Williams and M.J. Holland, *Nature* **401**, 568 (1999).
- [18] J.E. Williams, M.J. Holland, C.E. Wieman, and E.A. Cornell, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83**, 3358 (1999).
- [19] T.L. Ho, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81**, 742 (1998).
- [20] E.P. Gross, *Nuovo Cimento* **20**, 454 (1961).
- [21] L.P. Pitaevskii, *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **40**, 646 (1961).
- [22] B. Sutherland, *Phys. Rev. B* **12**, 3795 (1975).
- [23] L.D. Faddeev, in *Recent Advances in Field Theory and Statistical Mechanics*, ed. J. Zuber and Stora (Elsevier, Amsterdam 1984) pp. 569.
- [24] P.P. Kulish, *Physica 3D*, 246 (1981).
- [25] D.S. Petrov, G.V. Shlyapnikov, and J.T.M. Walraven, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**, 3745 (2000).
- [26] E.V. Goldstein, M.G. Moore, H. Pu, and P. Meystre, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**, 5030 (2000).
- [27] T.-L. Ho and V.B. Shenoy, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77**, 3276 (1996); E. Timmermans, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81**, 5718 (1998)
- [28] L.D. Carr, J. Brand, S. Burger, and A. Sanpera, *Phys. Rev. A* **63**, 051601(R) (2001)
- [29] W. Ketterle and N.J. van Druten, *Phys. Rev. A* **54**, 656 (1996).




FIG. 1. The density of state per length in k -space for the ground state (left) and for the state in the presence of one isospin rapidity λ by choosing $J_1 = 0$ (right). The distribution changes from a histogram to a narrow peak gradually for the coupling from strong to weak $c = 10, 1, 0.1, 0.01$. The calculation is made for $L = 40$, $N = 40$. The left panel is mimic to the fig.2 of ref [7].




FIG. 2. The holon-antiholon excitation spectrum (left) and holon-isospinon excitation spectrum (right) calculated for $L=20$, $N=20$ and $c = 10$.




FIG. 3. The left figure is the dispersion relations of isospin for different coupling constants where the curves from top to bottom correspond to $c = 1, 10, 20, 40, 80$ respectively. The right figure is of antiholon, holon and isospinon for $c=1$. They are calculated with $L = 20$ and $N = 20$.