

Justifying the Tsallis Formalism

L. Velázquez^{a,*} and F. Guzmán^{b,†}

^a*Departamento de Física, Universidad de Pinar del Río
Martí 270, esq. 27 de Noviembre, Pinar del Río, Cuba.*

^b*Departamento de Física Nuclear, Instituto Superior de Ciencias y Tecnologías Nucleares
Quinta de los Molinos. Ave Carlos III y Luaces, Plaza
Ciudad de La Habana, Cuba.*

(February 7, 2020)

In the present paper are analyzed the conditions for the validity of the Tsallis Statistic. The same have been done following the analogy with the traditional case: starting from the microcanonical description of the systems and taking into account their scaling properties in the thermodynamic limit, we analyzed the equivalence of microcanonical ensemble with the Tsallis generalization of the canonical ensemble. It is shown that the Tsallis Statistic is appropriate for the macroscopic description of systems with potential scaling of the asymptotic accessible states density of the microcanonical ensemble.

PACS: 05.20.Gg; 05.20.-y

I. INTRODUCTION

In the last years many researchers have been working in the justification of the Tsallis Formalism, but many of them have pretend to do it in the context of the Information Theory [1–3] without appeal to the microscopic properties of the systems. Our opinion is that all of these attempts lead to the conclusion of the *non uniqueness* of the entropy concept by mean of *probabilistic interpretation*. That is why we consider that the statistical description of nonextensive systems has to start from the microscopic characteristics of them.

Following the traditional analysis, the derivation of the Thermostatistic from the microscopic properties of the systems could be performed through the consideration of the microcanonical ensemble. For the case of the Tsallis Statistic this idea is not new.

In 1999 A. Plastino and A. R. Plastino [4] had proposed one way to justify the q -generalized canonical ensemble with similar arguments employed by Gibbs himself in deriving his canonical ensemble. It is based in the consideration of a closed system composed by a subsystem with a weakly interaction with a *finite thermal bath*. They showed that the macroscopic characteristics of the subsystem are described by a Tsallis potential distribution, relating the q entropy index with the finiteness of the last one.

Another attempt was made by S. Abe and A. K. Rajagopal [5,6], with the same idea: a closed system composed by a subsystem with a weakly interaction with a very large thermal bath, but this time analyzing the behavior of the systems around the equilibrium, considering the last one as the most probable configurations. He shown that the Tsallis generalization of the Boltzmann-Gibbs Distribution can be obtained if the counting rule is modified, introducing the Tsallis generalization of the logarithmic function for arbitrary entropic index.

However we disagree with these interpretations. So far it has been said that the statistic of Tsallis allows to extend the study for systems that are anomalous for the traditional thermodynamic, systems with of long-range correlations, due to the presence of long-range interactions with a dynamics of non markovianity stochastic processes, where the *entropic index gives a measure of the non extensivity of a system, an intrinsic characteristic of the same* [7]. That is the reason why we find the identification of this parameter with the finiteness of a thermal bath an artificial, outside of the application context in which we expect that the Statistic of Tsallis works.

For example, for the Plastino and Plastino analysis, What is what we consider a thermal bath in astrophysical systems or a atomic nucleus? What is what we consider a finite thermal bath in the turbulent fluids or a non screened plasma?

By other hand, for the Abe and Rajogopal analysis, they insisted that there is an arbitrariness in selection of the counting rule that which determinate the form of the distribution. Although the selection of the counting rule do not have so much influence for configurations of the system near from the equilibrium, for configurations far from the

*luisberis@geo.upr.edu.cu

†guzman@info.isctn.edu.cu

equilibrium this selection is very important since this fact mark the difference among all the generalized canonical ensembles. In their works they do not establish a criterium that allows to define in univocal manner the selection of the counting rule.

However, this is not the case of the Boltzmann-Gibbs Statistics, because its counting rule is supported by the scaling behavior of the states density in the Thermodynamic Limit (ThL), by mean of the scaling dependence of the fundamental macroscopic observables with the increasing of the degree of freedom of the system, and its Thermodynamic Formalism based on the Legendre Transformation between the Thermodynamic potentials, by equivalence between the microcanonical and the canonical ensembles in the ThL too.

In our previous work [8] we address the problem of the relaxing the extensive postulates in order to extend the Thermostatistic for non-extensive systems. Our proposition was that this derivation could be carry out taking in consideration the scaling properties of the systems with the increasing of their degree of freedom and analyzing the conditions for the equivalence of the microcanonical ensemble with the generalized canonical ensemble in the thermodynamic limit. The last argument has a most general character than the Gibbs one, because it is not sustained by the axiom of the separability of one subsystem from a close system, being this supposition in complete contradiction with the long-range correlations that exist in the nonextensive systems.

II. THE LEGENDRE FORMALISM

In this section we will perform the analysis of the equivalence of the microcanonical ensemble with the Tsallis generalization of the canonical ensemble in analogy with our previous work [10], which one was motivated by the methodology used by D.H.E.Gross in deriving his microcanonical Thermostatistic [9] through the technic of the steepest descend method.

Following the general lines of the methodology presented in the ref. [10] we have to postulate some scaling behavior for the asymptotical states density of the system. We showed that an exponential scaling behavior for the last function leads to the conclusion that the systems with this characteristic could be described by the Boltzmann-Gibbs Statistic with an addequate selection of the representation of the space of the integrals of movement. The previous analysis suggests that the possible application of the formalism of Tsallis can be found for system with an scaling behavior weaker than the exponential scaling.

In this analysis we will consider the following *potential scaling* lows:

$$N_o \rightarrow N = \alpha N_o, I_o^* \rightarrow I^* = t(\alpha) I_o^*, a_o \rightarrow a = p_a(\alpha) a_o \quad (1)$$

$$\Rightarrow W_{asym}(I^*, N, a) = W_{asym}(I_o^*, N_o, a_o) q(\alpha) \quad (2)$$

where the functions $t(\alpha)$, $p_a(\alpha)$ and $q(\alpha)$ are chose in the way that the systems must be self-similar under the scaling transformations:

$$t(\alpha) = \alpha^\tau, p_a(\alpha) = \alpha^{\pi_a}, q(\alpha) = \alpha^\varkappa \quad \tau, \pi_a, \varkappa \in \mathbf{R} \quad (3)$$

This kind of scaling lows demand a counting rule different than the logarithmic in order deal with a nonexponential scaling behavior of the accessible states density. We suppose that the Tsallis q-generalization of exponential and logarithmic functions:

$$e_q(x) = [1 + (1 - q)x]^{\frac{1}{1-q}} \quad \ln_q(x) = \frac{x^{1-q} - 1}{1 - q} \equiv e_q^{-1}(x) \quad (4)$$

are more convenient to deal with the potential scaling. In this case, the Generalized Boltzmann's Principle adopts the following form:

$$(S_B)_q = \ln_q[W] \quad (5)$$

where the states density, W , is given by:

$$W(I^*, N, a) = \Omega(I^*, N, a) \delta I_o = \delta I_o \int \delta [I^* - I_N^*(X; a)] dX \quad (6)$$

where δI_o is a suitable constant volume element to make W dimensionless. For the counting rule given by the Eq.(5) the corresponding information entropy is the Tsallis nonextensive entropy (TNE) [11]:

$$S_q = - \sum_k p_k^q \ln_q p_k \quad (7)$$

In the thermodynamic equilibrium the TNE leads to the q-exponential generalization of the Boltzmann-Gibbs Distributions:

$$\omega_q (X; \beta, N, a) = \frac{1}{Z_q (\beta, N, a)} e_q [-\beta \cdot I_N^* (X; a)] \quad (8)$$

where $Z_q (\beta, a, N)$ is the q-generalized partition function:

$$Z_q (\beta, N, a) = \int e_q [-\beta \cdot I_N^* (X; a)] dX \quad (9)$$

(A complete list of references for this matter is found in ref. [12]). For this ensemble the *q-Generalized Laplace Transformation* is given by:

$$Z_q (\beta, N, a) = \int e_q [-\beta \cdot I^*] W (I^*, N, a) \frac{dI^*}{\delta I_o} \quad (10)$$

The Laplace Transformation establishes the connection between the fundamental potentials of both ensembles, the q-generalized *Planck potential*:

$$P_q (\beta, N, a) = - \ln_q [Z_q (\beta, N, a)] \quad (11)$$

and the generalized Boltzmann entropy defined by the Eq.(5):

$$e_q [-P_q (\beta, N, a)] = \int e_q [-\beta \cdot I^*] e_q [(S_B)_q (I^*, N, a)] \frac{dI^*}{\delta I_o} \quad (12)$$

The q-logarithmic function satisfy the *subadditivity relation*:

$$\ln_q [xy] = \ln_q [x] + \ln_q [y] + (1 - q) \ln_q [x] \ln_q [y] \quad (13)$$

From it is derived the identity:

$$e_q [x] e_q [y] = e_q [x + y + (1 - q) xy] \quad (14)$$

The last identity allows to rewrite Eq.(12) as:

$$e_q [-P_q (\beta, N, a)] = \int e_q [-c_q \beta \cdot I^* + (S_B)_q (I^*, N, a)] \frac{dI^*}{\delta I_o} \quad (15)$$

where:

$$c_q = 1 + (1 - q) (S_B)_q \quad (16)$$

In the Tsallis case, the lineal form of the Legendre Transformation *is violated* and therefore, the *ordinary Legendre Formalism do not establish the correspondence between the two ensembles*. In order to preserve the homogeneous scaling of the argument of the q-exponential function we have to demand the scaling invariance of the set of admissible representations of the space of the integrals of movement, that is, we have to impose the restriction:

$$t (\alpha) \equiv 1 \text{ or } \tau = 0 \quad (17)$$

in the scaling transformation given in Eq. (1). The argument of the q-exponential function leads to assume the nonlinear Tsallis generalization of the Legendre Formalism [17,18] given by:

$$\tilde{P}_q (\beta, N, a) = Max [c_q \beta \cdot I - (S_B)_q (I, N, a)] \quad (18)$$

We recognized immediately the Tsallis generalization of the Legendre Transformation for the *normalized q-expectations values* [22]. The maximization leads to the relation:

$$\beta = \frac{\nabla (S_B)_q}{1 + (1-q)(S_B)_q} (1 - (1-q)\beta \cdot I) \quad (19)$$

using the identity:

$$\nabla S_B = \frac{\nabla (S_B)_q}{1 + (1-q)(S_B)_q} \quad (20)$$

where S_B is the usual Boltzmann entropy, we can rewrite Eq.(19) as:

$$\beta = \nabla S_B (1 - (1-q)\beta \cdot I) \quad (21)$$

solving this equation we find:

$$\beta = \frac{\nabla S_B}{(1 + (1-q)I \cdot \nabla S_B)} \quad (22)$$

The last relation is a very interesting result because it allows to limit the values of the entropy index. If we apply arbitrarily this formalism to a pseudoextensive system (see in ref. [10]) then $I \cdot \nabla S_B$ will not bound in the ThL and there this fact leads to the trivial vanishing of β . The only possibility in this case is to impose the restriction $q \equiv 1$, found that the Tsallis Statistics with arbitrary entropy index can not be applied to the pseudoextensive systems. There are many examples in the literature in which the same have been applied indiscriminately without matter if the systems are extensive or not: i.e. *ideal gas* [18,19], *black body radiation* [20], *and others*.

In some cases, the authors of such as works have introduced some artificial modifications to the original Tsallis formalism in order to obtain the same results of the classical thermodynamics, i.e. the *q-dependent Boltzmann's constant* (see for example in ref. [23]). The above results indicate the non applicability of the Tsallis Statistic for these kind of systems. We have to point out that this conclusion is supported with a great accuracy by direct experimental mensurations trying to find nonextensive effects in some ordinary extensive systems (cosmic black-body radiation [24], fermion systems [25,26], gases [27]).

As we see, the Tsallis formalism introduces a correlation to the canonical intensive parameters of the Boltzmann-Gibbs PDF. This result differs from the obtained by Toral [21], who applied to the microcanonical ensemble the physical definitions of temperature and pressure introduced by S. Abe in the ref. [19] which modified the thermodynamic relations proposed by Tsallis, Mendes and Plastino in the ref. [13]. In the ref. [21] Toral found that the definition of the physical temperature introduced by Abe coincide with the usual Boltzmann's relation when it is applied to the microcanonical ensemble.

We have to point out that this result do not depend on the counting rule in the definition of the generalized Boltzmann's entropy, it only depend on the validity of supposition of separability of a closed systems in subsystems with weak correlation among them and the additivity of the integrals of movement and the macroscopic parameters. We need remember that these exigencies only are valid for the extensive system but it is not the case that we are studying here.

An important second condition have to be satisfy for the validity of the Legendre transformation, *the stability of the maximum*. This condition leads to the q-generalization of the Microcanonical Thermostatistic of D.H.E.Gross [9]. In this approach, the stability of the Legendre formalism rest in the concavity of the entropy, the negative definition of the quadratic forms of the curvature tensor. In the Tsallis case, the curvature tensor have to be modified by:

$$(K_q)_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{1 - (1-q)\tilde{P}_q} \left((2-q) \frac{\partial}{\partial I^{*\mu}} \frac{\partial}{\partial I^{*\nu}} (S_B)_q + (1-q) \left(\beta_\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial I^{*\nu}} (S_B)_q + \beta_\nu \frac{\partial}{\partial I^{*\mu}} (S_B)_q \right) \right) \quad (23)$$

Using the definition, we can rewrite Eq.(15) as:

$$e_q [-P_q(\beta, N, a)] \simeq \int e_q [-\tilde{P}_q(\beta, N, a)] e_q \left[-\frac{1}{2} (I - I_M)^\mu \cdot (-K_q)_{\mu\nu} \cdot (I - I_M)^\nu \right] \frac{dI}{\delta I_o} \quad (24)$$

The maximum will be stable if the eingenvales of the q-curvature tensor are *negative* and *very large*. In this case, in the q-generalized canonical ensemble there will be *small fluctuations of the integrals of movement around the its q-expectation values*. The integration of Eq.(24) yields:

$$e_q [-P_q(\beta, N, a)] \simeq e_q [-\tilde{P}_q(\beta, N, a)] \frac{1}{\delta I_o \det^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(-\frac{1-q}{2\pi} (K_q)_{\mu\nu} \right)} \frac{\Gamma \left(\frac{2-q}{1-q} \right)}{\Gamma \left(\frac{2-q}{1-q} + \frac{1}{2}n \right)} \quad (25)$$

Let K_q be the quantity:

$$K_q^{-1} = \frac{1}{\delta I_o \det^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(-\frac{1-q}{2\pi} (K_q)_{\mu\nu} \right)} \frac{\Gamma \left(\frac{2-q}{1-q} \right)}{\Gamma \left(\frac{2-q}{1-q} + \frac{1}{2}n \right)} \quad (26)$$

rewriting Eq.(25) again:

$$e_q [-P_q(\beta, N, a)] \simeq e_q \left[-\tilde{P}_q(\beta, N, a) + \ln_q [K_q^{-1}] - (1-q) \ln_q [K_q^{-1}] \tilde{P}_q(\beta, N, a) \right] \quad (27)$$

arriving finally to the condition:

$$R(q; \beta, N, a) = \left| \frac{\ln_q [K_q^{-1}]}{\tilde{P}_q(\beta, N, a)} \right| \ll 1 \quad (28)$$

The last condition could be considered as a *optimization problem* since the entropic index is the only one independent variable in the functional dependency of the physical quantities. The specific value of q could be chosen in order to minimize the function $R(q; \beta, N, a)$ for all the possible values of the integrals of movement. This way, the problem of the determination of the entropic index could be solved in the frame of the microcanonical theory without appeal to the computational simulation or the experiment.

Thus, the q -generalized Planck potential could be obtained by mean of the generalized Legendre transformation:

$$P_q(\beta, N, a) \simeq c_q \beta \cdot I - (S_B)_q(I, N, a) \quad (29)$$

where the canonical parameters β hold the Eq.(22). Thus, the q -generalization of the Boltzmann entropy will be equivalent with the Tsallis entropy in the ThL:

$$(S_B)_q \simeq S_q \quad (30)$$

If any of the eigenvalues of the q -curvature tensor are positive, it there will be a catastrophe of the generalized Laplace Transformation. This peculiarity can be related with the occurrence of a phenomenon similar to the phase transition in the ordinary extensive systems.

III. CONCLUSIONS

We have analyzed the conditions for the validity of the Tsallis generalization of the Boltzmann-Gibbs Statistic. Starting from the microcanonical ensemble, we have shown that the same only can be valid for those systems with potential scaling lows for the asymptotical states density. Systems with this kind of scaling lows must be composed by particles strongly correlated among them, and therefore, these systems must exhibit an anomalous dynamical behavior. There are some computational evidences that suggest that the Tsallis Statistic could be applied for systems located in the edge of chaos (see in the ref. [14–16])

In this context we have shown an entire series of results of the Tsallis formalism that in this approach they appear in natural way: the q -expectation values, the generalized Legendre transformations between the thermodynamic potentials, as well as the conditions for the validity of the same one, having a priori the possibility to estimate the value of the entropic index without the necessity of appeal to the computational simulations or the experiment.

For the case of finite systems with potential scaling lows for the accesible states density in the thermodynamic limit, we have generalized the microcanonical thermostatic of D.H.E. Gross assuming the Tsallis generalization of the Boltzmann entropy. This assumption leads to the generalization of the curvature tensor which is the central object in the thermodynamic formalism of this theory, because it allows to access to the ordering information for a finite system.

-
- [1] Evaldo M. F. Curado, General Aspects of the Thermodynamical Formalism, *Brazilian J. of Phys.* Vol. 29 , no. 1 (1999).
- [2] Sumiyoshi Abe, Axioms and uniqueness theorem for the Tsallis entropy, in press [cond-mat/0005538].
- [3] R.Salazar; A.R.Plastino; R.Toral, Weakly Nonextensive Thermostatistic and Ising Model with long-range interactions, in press [cond-mat/0005379].
- [4] A. Plastino; A. R. Plastino, Tsallis Entropy and Jaynes' Information Theory Formalism, *Brazilian J. of Phys.* Vol. 29 , no. 1 (1999).
- [5] S. Abe and A. K. Rajagopal, Nonuniqueness of Canonical Ensemble Theory arising from Microcanonical Basis, *Phys. Lett. A* 272, 341 (2000).
- [6] S. Abe and A. K. Rajagopal, Macroscopic thermodynamics of equilibrium characterized by power-law canonical distributions, in press [cond-mat/0009400].
- [7] C.Tsallis, Nonextensive Statistic: A possible measure of complexity, *Brazilian J. of Phys.*, Vol. 29, no. 1 (1999).
- [8] L.Velazquez and F.Guzman; Relaxing the Extensive Postulates, in press [cond-mat/0107214].
- [9] D.H.E Gross, Microcanonical thermodynamics: Phase transitions in Small systems, 66 Lectures Notes in Physics, World scientific, Singapore (2001) and refs. therein.
- [10] L.Velazquez and F.Guzman; Extending the Equilibrium Thermodynamic Formalism for some nonextensive systems; in press [cond-mat/0107439].
- [11] C. Tsallis; *J. Stat. Phys.* 52, 479 (1988).
- [12] A set of mini-reviews is contained in "Nonextensive Statistical Mechanics and Thermodynamics", eds. S.R.A. Salinas and C. Tsallis, *Braz. J. Phys.* **29** (1999).[http://sbf.if.usp.br/WWWpages/Journals/BJP /Vol29/Num1/index.htm]. See http://tsallis.cat.cbpf.br/biblio.htm for further bibliography on the subject.
- [13] C. Tsallis, R. S. Mendes and A. R. Plastino, *Physica A* 261 (1998) 534.
- [14] U.Tirnakli, G.F.J. Garin and C.Tsallis, Generalization of the Komolgorov-Sinai entropy: Logistic- and Periodic-like maps at a Chaos Thresholds, in press [cond-mat/000521].
- [15] V. Latora, M. Baranger, A. Rapisarda and C.Tsallis, The rate of entropy at the edge of chaos, *Phys.Lett. A* 273 (2000) 97, preprint [cond-mat/9907412].
- [16] V. Latora , A. Rapisarda and S. Ruffo, *Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl.* 139 (2000) 204.
- [17] S. Abe, S. Martinez, F. Penini and A. Plastino; *Phys. Lett. A* (2001), in press [cond-mat/0011012], (2001).
- [18] Franck Jedrzejewski, Probabilistic properties of nonextensive thermodynamic, in press [cond-mat/0103386].
- [19] S. Abe, S. Martinez, F. Pennini, and A. Plastino. Nonextensive thermodynamic relations, *Phys. Lett. A*, 281:126-130, (2001).
- [20] Ugur Tirnakli, Fevzi Buyukkilic and Dogan Demirhan, *Physica A* 240 (1997) 657.
- [21] R. Toral, On the definition of physical temperature and pressure for nonextensive thermostatics, in press [cond-mat/0106060], (2001).
- [22] S. Martínez, F. Nicolás, F. Pennini and A. Plastino, *Physica A* **286**, 489 (2000).
- [23] S.Abe, S.Martinez, F.Penini and A.Plastino; in press [cond-mat/0006109].
- [24] A. R. Plastino, A. Plastino and H. Vucetich, *Phys. Lett. A* **207**, 42 (1995).
- [25] C. Tsallis, F. C. Sa Barreto and E. D. Loh, *Phys. Rev. E* **52**, 1447 (1995).
- [26] D.F. Torres, H. Vucetich and A. Plastino, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79**, 1588 (1997) [Erratum: **80**, 3889 (1998)].
- [27] S. Martínez, F.Pennini, A. plastino and H. Vucetich, Thermodynamical Test of Non Extensive Thermostatistics, in press [cond-mat/0105355].