

# Quantum Heisenberg Antiferromagnets versus Nonlinear $\sigma$ Model Without the Large $S$ Limit

Wei-Min Zhang

Department of Physics, National Cheng Kung University, Tainan, 701, Taiwan  
(November 3, 2018)

In this letter, I develop a new topologically invariant coherent state path integral for spin systems, and apply it to the quantum Heisenberg model on a square lattice. As a result, the quantum nonlinear  $\sigma$  model for arbitrary values of spin can be directly obtained. The effective coupling constant and spin wave velocity are modified by  $g_s = \frac{2}{S} \sqrt{d + \frac{T_\Lambda}{2SJ}}$  and  $c_s = 2JSa \sqrt{d + \frac{T_\Lambda}{2SJ}}$ , where  $T_\Lambda$  is a natural temperature scale for the reliability of the theory. The formulation can also be extended to other generalized coherent state path integrals.

PACS numbers: 75.10.Jm, 75.40.Gb

Physics of quantum Heisenberg antiferromagnets (QHA) in low dimensional strongly correlated systems continuously attract attentions. This is largely due to the intense interests in understanding high  $T_c$  copper-oxide superconductivity which arises as a consequence of hole (or electron) dopings from the parent antiferromagnetic (AF) Mott insulators [1]. The AF Mott insulators concerned in high  $T_c$  basically correspond to a two-dimensional spin- $\frac{1}{2}$  lattice QHA with nearest-neighbor exchange interaction, which is, quantal mechanically, still a difficult problem to solve. Meanwhile, there are also increasing interests in quasi-one-dimensional Heisenberg spin chains because of the stripe phase evidenced recently from the observed incommensurate magnetic excitations in cuprate superconductors [2]. In the *large spin  $S$  limit*, Haldane has shown that the lattice QHA can be described by an quantum nonlinear  $\sigma$  model (QNL $\sigma$ M) when the correlation length is vary large, i.e. *at low temperatures* [3]. Since then, the QNL $\sigma$ M has become a good candidate to describe *phenomenologically* various experimental data of the two-dimensional QHA [4-6].

However, two crucial questions arise for some time: why the predictions of the long-wavelength, low energy physics of the QNL $\sigma$ M obtained in large  $S$  limit can truly be identical to experimental data of the lattice QHA in vary small values of  $S$ ? and which is the actual temperature upper limit where the QNL $\sigma$ M is reliable? In fact, it has been found that the correlation length  $\xi(T)$  derived from the QNL $\sigma$ M [5] is not always agreement with the experimental data of the QHA [7]. Also, the temperature dependence of  $\xi(T)$  does not occur the so-called quantum critical regime predicted by QNL $\sigma$ M [8]. In this letter, by improving the formalism of spin coherent state path integral, I derive the QNL $\sigma$ M from the lattice QHA for arbitrary values of spin. Meanwhile, a temperature scale  $T_\Lambda$  for the reliability of the theory is naturally realized.

The general spin coherent state path integral is known for a long time [9]. Explicitly, the partition function can be expressed (in units  $\hbar = k_B = 1$ ) by

$$Z = \text{Tr} e^{-\beta H} = \int \mathcal{D}[\mathbf{\Omega}] e^{\int_0^\beta d\tau \left\{ iS \mathbf{A} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{\Omega}} - \langle \mathbf{\Omega} | H | \mathbf{\Omega} \rangle \right\}} \quad (1)$$

where the term  $iS \int_0^\beta d\tau \mathbf{A} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{\Omega}} = \int_0^\beta d\tau \langle \mathbf{\Omega} | \frac{d}{d\tau} | \mathbf{\Omega} \rangle \equiv iS \omega(\mathbf{\Omega})$  is a topological Berry phase at the site  $i$  [10], and  $\mathbf{A}$  is a U(1) monopole potential, the state  $|\mathbf{\Omega}\rangle$  is a spin coherent state which can be constructed by rotating the “north pole” state  $|S, S\rangle$  to along the  $\mathbf{\Omega}$  direction,  $|\mathbf{\Omega}\rangle = R(\mathbf{\Omega})|S, S\rangle$ . In other words,  $\mathbf{\Omega}$  is a unit vector along which the spin operator with quantum number  $S$  is maximally aligned in  $|\mathbf{\Omega}\rangle$ . For the lattice Heisenberg model,  $H = J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j$  ( $J > 0$ ) which has the global SO(3) spin rotational symmetry, one can obtain

$$Z_H = \int \mathcal{D}[\mathbf{\Omega}_i] e^{\int_0^\beta d\tau \left\{ iS \sum_i \mathbf{A}_i \cdot \dot{\mathbf{\Omega}}_i - JS^2 \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \mathbf{\Omega}_i \cdot \mathbf{\Omega}_j \right\}}. \quad (2)$$

The whole exponent in Eq. (2) represents an effective action of the Heisenberg model in terms of the spin coherent state path integral. By minimizing  $H(\mathbf{\Omega}) = JS^2 \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \mathbf{\Omega}_i \cdot \mathbf{\Omega}_j$ , one can find the classical ground state (Néel state) which spontaneously breaks the SO(3) symmetry. Then expanding the action around the ground state, one can easily derive the spin-wave theory for the QHA that describes the long wavelength spin modes [11].

However, the spin wave theory is only applicable for long-range ordered phase where the SO(3) symmetry is spontaneously broken. Based on Mermin-Wagner’s theorem [12], no symmetry can be spontaneously broken for  $T > 0$  in one and two dimensional Heisenberg model. In other words, the spin wave theory is invalid in low dimensions. To derive an effective long wavelength action that keeps the full spin rotational symmetry, Haldane considered the large  $S$  limit. In the large  $S$  limit, the path integrals of Eq. (2) are dominated by the semiclassical equation:  $iS \mathbf{\Omega} \times \dot{\mathbf{\Omega}} = \frac{\partial H[\mathbf{\Omega}]}{\partial \mathbf{\Omega}}$ . By separating the semiclassical solution  $\mathbf{\Omega}_i$  into a slowly varying Néel order unit vector  $(-1)^i \mathbf{n}(x_i)$  plus a slowly varying magnetization density field perpendicular to  $\mathbf{n}(x_i)$  (Haldane mapping), then taking the continuous limit and integrating out the

magnetic density field, Haldane shows that Eq. (2) can be reduced to the QNL $\sigma$ M,

$$Z_H \propto \int \mathcal{D}[\mathbf{n}] e^{i2\pi S\Theta[\mathbf{n}]} e^{-\frac{\Lambda^{d-1}}{2g_0} \int d^{d+1}x \partial_\mu \mathbf{n} \partial^\mu \mathbf{n}}, \quad (3)$$

which is defined in the  $d+1$ -dimensional space  $(x^1, \dots, x^{d+1}) = (x^1, \dots, x^d, c_o\tau)$ , where  $d$  represents the  $d$ -dimensional lattice space of the Heisenberg model,  $\Lambda = a^{-1}$  is an intermediate momentum cutoff as the inverse of the lattice spacing  $a$ ,  $g_o = c_o a^{1-d} / \rho_s^o = 2\sqrt{d}/S$  the dimensionless coupling constant,  $c_o = 2\sqrt{d}Jsa$  the spin wave velocity, and  $\rho_s^o = JS^2 a^{2-d}$  the spin stiffness. The imaginary time (temperature) variable  $\tau$  ranges from 0 to  $\beta = 1/T$ . The exponent  $\Theta[\mathbf{n}]$  in Eq. (3) is a topological factor associated with the Berry phase, which distinguishes between integer and half-integer spins.

In this letter, by improving the formulation (1) of the spin coherent state path integral, I can indeed directly obtain the QNL $\sigma$ M by integrating out the quantum fluctuation above the energy scale  $k_B T_\Lambda$ . In this derivation, I neither assume the large  $S$  limit nor use Haldane's mapping so that the result is applicable to arbitrary values of spin. Meanwhile, it also solves a long-standing problem in the construction of generalized coherent state path integrals [14].

Simply speaking, the long-standing problem in the construction of coherent state path integrals arises from the fact that Eq. (1) is not well defined, so does Eq. (2). The main suspect comes from the assumption that  $|\mathbf{\Omega}(\tau + \delta\tau)\rangle - |\mathbf{\Omega}(\tau)\rangle$  is order of  $O(\delta\tau)$  used in the derivation of Eq. (1). Although this assumption has been widely accepted in all the applications of generalized coherent state path integrals, it has never been justified [14]. As Klauder first pointed out [15], the semiclassical (or stationary phase) approximation of Eq. (1) [or (2) that Haldane used to derive Eq. (3)] is indeed incompatible with the required initial and final boundary conditions embedded in the coherent state path integral.

Explicitly, coherent state path integrals are defined on a geometric space  $G/H$  (here is  $SU(2)/U(1) \sim S^2$ ) which has a phase space structure that the curvature  $d\omega(\mathbf{\Omega})$  obtained from the Berry phase serves as a symplectic structure for the corresponding semiclassical motion [9]. Then, for each pair  $(p, q)$  which obeys two first-order time differential equations, there exist two pair (i.e. four) boundary conditions  $(p_0, q_0)$  and  $(p_f, q_f)$  from the initial and final fixed points, which is incompatible. In the literature, there are two possible ways to avoid this inconsistency. One approach was pointed out by Faddeev [16] that one must specify the initial and final boundary conditions only by the independent complex variable  $z_i$  and  $z_f^*$  respectively due to the complex structure of quantum state [9]. Another approach is *proposed* by Klauder that one may add an additional square term of time-derivative,  $(\dot{\mathbf{\Omega}})^2$  into the action of Eq. (1) to match the

additional initial and final boundary conditions in phase space [15].

Physically, the above inconsistency arises essentially from the ignoring quantum fluctuations in the coherent state action. In the path integral formulation, there always exist simultaneously fast and slow varying paths that are associated with short and long range quantum fluctuations, respectively. The effective action for slow varying motions can be properly obtained by integrating over short range quantum fluctuations. However, in the derivation of Eq. (1), only these slowly varying motions are concerned and short range quantum fluctuations are simply dropped from the off-diagonal matrix elements. This causes the incompatibility between the semiclassical approximation and the boundary conditions.

To see more explicitly, we begin with the discrete form of the partition function derived exactly from the coherent state representation [9]

$$Z = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{k=1}^N d\mu(\mathbf{\Omega}^k) \exp \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^N \ln \langle \mathbf{\Omega}^k | \mathbf{\Omega}^{k-1} \rangle - \epsilon \frac{\langle \mathbf{\Omega}^k | H | \mathbf{\Omega}^{k-1} \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{\Omega}^k | \mathbf{\Omega}^{k-1} \rangle} \right\}, \quad (4)$$

where  $|\mathbf{\Omega}^N\rangle = |\mathbf{\Omega}^0\rangle$  because of the periodicity of the trace),  $\epsilon = \beta/N$  is infinitesimal as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . The assumption that  $|\mathbf{\Omega}^k\rangle - |\mathbf{\Omega}^{k-1}\rangle$  is order of  $O(\epsilon)$  only extracts slowly varying motions, where rapidly varying motions are neglected. As a result, the time continuous limit of (4) is just the conventional spin coherent state path integral given by Eq. (1).

To include the contribution of rapidly varying paths, one should expand the near-by coherent state overlap to the second order terms that either are exclusively slowly varying or include at least one rapidly vary term. The topologically invariant terms of such contributions can be uniquely expressed by

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^N \ln \langle \mathbf{\Omega}_k | \mathbf{\Omega}_{k-1} \rangle = S \int_0^\beta d\tau \left\{ i\mathbf{A} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{\Omega}} + i\mathbf{\Omega} \cdot (\dot{\mathbf{\Omega}} \times \delta\mathbf{\Omega}) - \frac{1}{\tau_\Lambda} \delta\mathbf{\Omega} \cdot \delta\mathbf{\Omega} + \dots \right\}, \quad (5)$$

where the time derivative  $\dot{\mathbf{\Omega}}$  and the variation  $\delta\mathbf{\Omega}$  represent slowly varying motions and short range fluctuations (i.e., rapidly varying motions), respectively. The parameter  $\tau_\Lambda$  is an intrinsic shortest timescale to distinguish between slowly varying and rapidly varying motions, which I will discuss in details later. The second and third terms in Eq. (5) are usually neglected in the conventional treatment of path integrals. For the Hamiltonian term, since it is already proportional to  $\epsilon$ , I only keep the off-diagonal expansion up to the quadratic order of  $\delta\mathbf{\Omega}$ :

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^N \epsilon \frac{\langle \mathbf{\Omega}^k | H | \mathbf{\Omega}^{k-1} \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{\Omega}^k | \mathbf{\Omega}^{k-1} \rangle} = \int d\tau \left\{ H[\mathbf{\Omega}] \right.$$

$$+ \frac{\partial H[\Omega]}{\partial \Omega} \cdot \delta \Omega + \frac{\partial^2 H[\Omega]}{\partial \Omega_\alpha \partial \Omega_{\alpha'}} \delta \Omega_\alpha \delta \Omega_{\alpha'} + \dots \}. \quad (6)$$

where  $\alpha, \alpha'$  are indices of spin components. Substituting Eqs. (5,6) into Eq. (4), one has

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}[\Omega] \mathcal{D}[\delta \Omega] \exp \int_0^\beta d\tau \left\{ iS \mathbf{A} \cdot \dot{\Omega} - H[\Omega] + \left[ iS \Omega \times \dot{\Omega} - \frac{\partial H[\Omega]}{\partial \Omega} \right] \cdot \delta \Omega - \left[ \frac{S}{\tau_\Lambda} \delta_{\alpha\alpha'} + \frac{\partial^2 H[\Omega]}{\partial \Omega_\alpha \partial \Omega_{\alpha'}} \right] \delta \Omega_\alpha \delta \Omega_{\alpha'} + \dots \right\}, \quad (7)$$

which describes both the slowly varying motion  $\dot{\Omega}$  and the short range fluctuations  $\delta \Omega$ .

If one artificially drops the dynamical dependence of short range fluctuations [namely, the higher order terms originated from the off-diagonal matrix element of the Hamiltonian in Eq. (6)], and integrate out the remaining  $\delta \Omega$  terms in Eq. (7), it turns out that:

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}[\Omega] \exp \int_0^\beta d\tau \left\{ iS \mathbf{A} \cdot \dot{\Omega} - H[\Omega] - \frac{1}{4} S \tau_\Lambda \dot{\Omega}^2 \right\}. \quad (8)$$

This is just Klauder's nonconventional coherent state path integral [15]. As one can see this term is originated of integrating over short range quantum fluctuations. Note that the shortest timescale  $\tau_\Lambda = \frac{1}{T_\Lambda}$ . It shows that the effective contribution of short range fluctuations is obtained by integrating over quantum dynamics above the temperature (energy)  $T_\Lambda$ . When  $T_\Lambda \rightarrow \infty$ , this term vanishes. Namely, no short-range fluctuations are renormalized, as one expected [17]. Hence, the coefficient of this topologically invariant metric term, i.e.  $T_\Lambda$ , serves as a factorization temperature scale between long and short range quantum fluctuations. This temperature scale (or time scale in real-time dynamics) must be determined from the dynamics of the original Hamiltonian. In other words, naively ignoring the dynamical dependence of short range fluctuations in (7) is physically not meaningful. Therefore, only in the absence of a Hamiltonian, Klauder's formulation is correct.

On the other hand, it is interesting to see that if one requires vanish of the second term,  $iS \Omega \times \dot{\Omega} - \frac{\partial H[\Omega]}{\partial \Omega} = 0$ , Eq. (7) is just a variation expansion of (1) with respect to the semiclassical dynamics Haldane used [3]. However, Eq. (7) here is derived by carefully treating the off-diagonal matrix elements of near-by coherent states in Eq. (4) in terms of the short range fluctuation  $\delta \Omega$  and the slowly varying motions  $\dot{\Omega}$ . There is no semiclassical expansion beginning with. Hence, it has no necessary requirement to take the semiclassical limit by letting the second term vanish. Instead of, one shall integrate out the short range fluctuations  $\delta \Omega$  to obtain a low energy effective action that can describe the long wavelength spin

modes. Since no semiclassical approximation is made, the resulting low energy effective action is valid for arbitrary values of spin.

Now, we can apply the new formulation of spin coherent state path integral (7) to the square lattice QHA. To specify the AF ordering, let the slowly varying  $\Omega_i = (-1)^i \mathbf{n}(x_i)$ , here the Neél order  $\mathbf{n}(x_i)$  is a unit vector  $|\mathbf{n}(x_i)| = 1$ . Then taking the space continuous limit  $\sum_i \rightarrow \frac{1}{a^d} \int d^d x$  where  $a$  is the lattice spacing:

$$H[\Omega] = JS^2 \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \Omega_i \cdot \Omega_j \rightarrow -dJS^2 N + \frac{JS^2}{2a^{d-2}} \int d^d x \sum_{k=1}^d [\partial_k \mathbf{n}(x) \cdot \partial_k \mathbf{n}(x)], \quad (9)$$

$$\frac{\partial H[\Omega]}{\partial \Omega} \cdot \delta \Omega \rightarrow 0, \quad (10)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 H[\Omega]}{\partial \Omega_\alpha \partial \Omega_\beta} \delta \Omega_\alpha \delta \Omega_\beta \rightarrow \frac{2dJS^2}{a^d} \int d^d x \delta \Omega(x) \cdot \delta \Omega(x). \quad (11)$$

Substituting (9-11) into (7) and integrating out the short range fluctuation  $\delta \Omega$ , I obtain,

$$Z_H \propto \int \mathcal{D}[\mathbf{n}] e^{i2\pi S \Theta[\mathbf{n}]} \exp \left\{ -\frac{a^{1-d}}{2g_s} \int_{\frac{c_s}{T_\Lambda}}^{\frac{c_s}{T_\Lambda}} d(c_s \tau) \times \int_a d^d x \left[ \frac{1}{c_s^2} \left| \frac{\partial \mathbf{n}}{\partial \tau} \right|^2 + |\nabla_x \mathbf{n}|^2 \right] \right\}. \quad (12)$$

This is the QNL $\sigma$ M for low energy QHA with arbitrary values of spin. Note that two basic parameters, the dimensionless coupling constant  $g_s$  and the spin wave velocity  $c_s$ , in (12) crucially depend on  $S$  and  $T_\Lambda$ :

$$g_s = \frac{2}{S} \sqrt{d + \frac{T_\Lambda}{2SJ}}, \quad c_s = 2JSa \sqrt{d + \frac{T_\Lambda}{2SJ}}. \quad (13)$$

While, the topological phase factor  $2\pi S \Theta[\mathbf{n}] = 2\pi S \sum_i (-1)^i \mathbf{A}(x_i) \cdot \dot{\mathbf{n}}(x_i)$  keeps the same as in Haldane's derivation.

The difference between (3) and (12) mainly comes from the contribution of the pure quantum fluctuation, i.e., the  $1/\tau_\Lambda$  term in (7) which is ignored in Haldane's mapping for the large  $S$  limit [3] but it plays an important as argued by Klauder [15]. Also, this difference is indeed associated with the temperature scale for the reliability of the QNL $\sigma$ M. Usually one thinks that there should be no intrinsic cutoff for the imaginary time variable  $\tau$  because quantum fluctuations exist on all time scale in path integrals [4]. But a low energy effective theory constructed from path integral is defined by integrating over high energy dynamics, i.e., high energy quantum fluctuations above some energy scale  $T_\Lambda$ . Without such an intrinsic cutoff, namely, let  $1/\tau_\Lambda \rightarrow 0$  or  $T_\Lambda \rightarrow \infty$ , Eq. (12) is reduced to

$$Z_H \propto \int \mathcal{D}[\mathbf{n}] e^{i2\pi S \Theta[\mathbf{n}]} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\rho_s}{2T} \int_a d^d x |\nabla_x \mathbf{n}|^2 \right\}. \quad (14)$$

where  $\rho_s = JS^2a^{2-d}$  is the spin stiffness. Except for the topological phase, this is just the classical  $d$ -dimensional NL $\sigma$ M rather than Haldane's  $d + 1$ -dimensional NL $\sigma$ M [3]. That is, without using this intrinsic short-time cutoff or naively ignore this cutoff, it should be hard to correctly extract the fundamental quantum effect of QHA.

On the other hand, the lattice spacing  $a$  indicates the existence of an intrinsic momentum cutoff  $\Lambda$  in the  $d$ -dimensional momentum space:  $\Lambda = 2\sqrt{\pi}[\Gamma(d/2 + 1)]^{1/d}/a \equiv L/a$ . Correspondingly, there must exist an intrinsic energy cutoff  $T_\Lambda = c_s\Lambda/2\pi$  [11]. Combining with (13), it turns out that

$$\frac{T_\Lambda}{J} = \frac{SL^2}{4\pi^2} \left( 1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{16\pi^2 d}{L^2}} \right). \quad (15)$$

For  $d = 2$  and  $S = 1/2$ , we have  $L = 2\sqrt{\pi}$  and thus  $T_\Lambda/J \simeq 0.97$ . This provides quantitatively a temperature upper limit for the reliability of the QNL $\sigma$ M:

$$0 \leq T/J < T_\Lambda/J \simeq 1.0. \quad (16)$$

Meanwhile, the spin wave velocity  $c_s$  can also be explicitly determined from (13) and (15). For  $\text{La}_2\text{CuO}_4$  which is a typical  $d = 2$  spin-1/2 QHA with  $a = 3.79\text{\AA}$  and  $J \simeq 1500K$ , I obtain (inset back the unit  $\hbar$ )

$$\hbar c_s = 2JSa\sqrt{d + \frac{T_\Lambda}{2SJ}} \simeq 0.85 \text{ eV \AA}. \quad (17)$$

This is in excellent agreement with the experimental data  $\hbar c_s = 0.85 \pm 0.03 \text{ eV \AA}$  [18].

Now one can see that the basic parameters in QNL $\sigma$ M, i.e. the coupling constant  $g_s$  and the spin wave velocity  $c_s$ , are unambiguously defined in terms of  $J, S, a$  and  $d$  in the spin system. Let  $y_i = x_i/a (i = 1, \dots, d)$ ,  $y_{i+1} = c_s\tau/a$ , Eq. (12) becomes

$$Z_H \propto \int \mathcal{D}[\mathbf{n}] e^{i2\pi S\Theta[\mathbf{n}]} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2g_s} \int_{2\pi/L}^{\frac{T}{T_\Lambda} 2\pi/L} dy_{i+1} \times \int_1^{d+1} d^d y \sum_{\mu=1}^{d+1} \partial_\mu \mathbf{n} \partial^\mu \mathbf{n} \right\}. \quad (18)$$

which is a dimensionless QNL $\sigma$ M, where  $L$  is only a geometrical constant [see above Eq. (15)]. The temperature dependence of the coupling constant  $g_s$  is given by the running coupling constant  $g_s(T)$  which can be determined by the standard renormalization group approach [4,5]. Further applications of our QNL $\sigma$ M to thermodynamic properties of the QHA for  $T < T_\Lambda$ , i.e. the calculations of internal energy, specific heat, correlation functions, staggered susceptibility, and correlation length, are straightforward for different values of spin and lattice dimension. The effect of topological phase that distinguishes between integer and half-integer spins can also be studied. The results will be presented in separate publications. Moreover, The construction of a low energy

effective field theory from the extended coherent state path integrals developed in this letter is a general approach, in which the use of the shortest timescale plays an important role in order to obtain a self-consistent effective field theory. This approach can also be applied to other generalized coherent state path integrals [9] for the study of strongly correlated or strongly interacting systems.

This work is supported by NSC 89-2112-M-006-029.

- 
- [1] For a review, see M. A. Kastner et al., *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **70**, 897 (1998).
  - [2] H. A. Mook et al, *Nature*, **395**, 580 (1998);
  - [3] F. D. M. Haldane, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **50**, 1153 (1983); *Phys. Lett.* **93A**, 464 (1983).
  - [4] S. Chakravarty, B. I. Halperin and D. R. Nelson, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **60**, 1057 (1988); *Phys. Rev.* **B 39**, 2344 (1989).
  - [5] P. Hasenfratz and F. Niedermayer, *Phys. Lett.* **B 268**, 231 (1991).
  - [6] A. V. Chubukov, S. Sachdev and J. Ye, *Phys. Rev.* **B 49**, 11919 (1994).
  - [7] A. Cuccoli et al. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77**, 3439 (1996).
  - [8] P. Carretta et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84**, 366 (2000).
  - [9] W. M. Zhang, D. H. Feng and R. Gilmore, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **62**, 867 (1990).
  - [10] A. Shapere and F. Wilczek, *Geometric Phase in Physics*, (World Scientific, Singapore, 1989).
  - [11] A. Auerbach, "Interacting Electrons and Quantum Magnetism", (Springer-Verlag, 1994).
  - [12] N. D. Mermin and H. Wagner, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **17**, 1133 (1966).
  - [13] R. Shankar and N. Read, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 336**, 457 (1990).
  - [14] L. S. Schulman, *Techniques and Applications of Path Integration*, (Wiley, New York, 1981);
  - [15] J. R. Klauder, *Phys. Rev.* **D 19**, 2349 (1979).
  - [16] L. D. Faddeev, in *Methods in Field Theory*, Les Houches 1975, Ed. by R. Balian and J. Zinn-Justin (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1976) p.1
  - [17] K. G. Wilson and J. Kogut, *Phys. Rep.* **12C**, 75 (1974).
  - [18] G. Aeppli et al. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **62**, 2052 (1989)