

Multiple phase transitions in Ce(Rh,Ir,Co)In₅ heavy-fermion superconductors

P.G. Pagliuso, R. Movshovich, A.D. Bianchi, M. Nicklas, N.O. Moreno, J.D. Thompson,
M.F. Hundley, J.L. Sarrao and Z. Fisk

Condensed Matter and Thermal Physics Group, MS K764, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545, U.S.A.

Abstract

Magnetic susceptibility, electrical resistivity and heat capacity data for single crystals of Ce(Rh,Ir)_{1-x}(Co,Ir)_xIn₅ ($0 \leq x \leq 1$) have allowed us to construct a detailed phase diagram for this new family of heavy-fermion superconductors(HFS). CeRh_{1-x}Ir_xIn₅ displays superconductivity(SC) ($T_c \lesssim 1$ K) over a wide range of composition, which develops out of and coexists ($0.30 \lesssim x \lesssim 0.5$) with a magnetically ordered state, with $T_N \sim 4$ K. For CeCo_{1-x}Rh_xIn₅, the superconducting state ($T_c \sim 2.3$ K for $x = 0$) becomes a magnetic state ($T_N \sim 4$ K, for $x = 1$) with two phase transitions observed for $0.40 \lesssim x \lesssim 0.25$. CeCo_{1-x}Ir_xIn₅ also shows two transitions for $0.30 \lesssim x \lesssim 0.75$. For those alloys in which SC is found, a roughly linear relationship between T_c and the lattice parameter ratio c/a , was found, with composition as the implicit parameter. The interplay between magnetism and SC for CeRh_{1-x}(Ir,Co)_xIn₅ and the possibility of two distinct superconducting states in CeCo_{1-x}Ir_xIn₅ are discussed.

74.70.Tx, 71.27.+a, 75.40.Cx

Typeset using REVTeX

Recently, a new series of CeMIn_5 tetragonal compounds for $M=\text{Co, Rh or Ir}$ have become the focus of much research due to the discovery of heavy-fermion superconductivity in these materials. [1–3] In particular, CeCoIn_5 ($\gamma \approx 300 \text{ mJ/mole-K}^2$) has the highest $T_C = 2.3 \text{ K}$ yet observed for HFS at ambient pressure, and the SC has been claimed as unconventional and presumably magnetically mediated. [4] CeIrIn_5 ($\gamma \approx 720 \text{ mJ/mole-K}^2$) also shows ambient-pressure heavy-fermion SC at $T_c \approx 0.4 \text{ K}$. In contrast, CeRhIn_5 is an antiferromagnet at ambient pressure ($T_N \approx 3.8 \text{ K}$ and $\gamma \approx 400 \text{ mJ/mol-K}^2$) [1] which evolves to a superconducting state for $P > P_c \approx 16 \text{ kbar}$ and $T_c \approx 2 \text{ K}$. As such, the CeMIn_5 family is a great opportunity to investigate the tunability of HF ground states using external control parameters such as pressure or doping. In this regard, recent studies of $\text{CeRh}_{1-x}\text{Ir}_x\text{In}_5$ have revealed a remarkably rich phase diagram which is reminiscent of the high- T_c cuprates. [5] The main findings of these studies were: i) SC was observed in a very wide doping range ($0.30 < x \leq 1.0$), ii) Magnetism ($T_N \approx 3.8 \text{ K}$) and SC ($T_c \lesssim 1 \text{ K}$) *coexist* for a significant range of concentration ($0.3 < x < 0.65$), and iii) The maximum T_c was found at the boundary between magnetism and SC. Here we report the generalization of Ref. 5 to include pseudo binary phase diagrams for the Rh-Co and Ir-Co alloys. Our two main results are: 1) the presence of multiple coexisting phases is a general feature of the ground states of CeMIn_5 and 2) for those materials that superconduct, a universal relationship between T_c and c/a , independent of alloy composition, is found.

Figure 1 shows the generalized temperature-composition phase diagram for $\text{Ce}(\text{Rh, Ir, Co})\text{In}_5$, derived mainly from heat capacity measurements on single crystals. SC is found in wide ranges of x for Rh-Ir, Rh-Co and Co-Ir alloys. The robustness of the superconducting state as a function of doping for these compounds is unusual for HFS. As discussed in Ref.5, details of the Rh-Ir section of this phase diagram resemble that of the high- T_c cuprates. [5] Because CeRhIn_5 is an antiferromagnet, and CeIrIn_5 and CeCoIn_5 are superconductors at ambient pressure, studies of $\text{CeRh}_{1-x}(\text{Ir, Co})_x\text{In}_5$ allow the interplay between magnetism and SC to be studied in detail. As Co, Rh, and Ir are all isovalent, the parameter being tuned is not effective doping, but rather effective magnetic coupling or hybridization.

For both Ir and Co substitutions, a comparable and large amount of doping is required to suppress long range magnetic order. Further, both Rh-Ir and Rh-Co alloys show ranges of concentration ($0.3 < x < 0.65$ and $0.30 \lesssim x \lesssim 0.75$, respectively) where two phase transition are observed by heat capacity measurements. Additional resistivity and χ_{ac} measurements allowed us to identify the higher temperature transition as a magnetic transition and the lower-T transition as SC, consistent with the systematic evolution of ground states of the end member compounds(see Fig.1).

With respect to their proximity to magnetism, Ce-based HFS belong to a class in which ordered magnetism seems to compete with SC and in which both states have been observed concomitantly only for small windows of parameter space. [6] The phase diagram reported here is a counterexample to the above categorization because significant ranges of coexistence of these states have been found, and in fact, is more reminiscent of phase diagrams associated with U-based HFS. [6] For $\text{CeRh}_{1-x}\text{Ir}_x\text{In}_5$ NQR, μSR and neutrons scattering experiments indicate *microscopic* coexistence of magnetism and SC and the absence of gross phase segregation. [7] Finally, the phase diagram of Fig.1 presents an interesting asymmetry with respect to the interaction between magnetism and SC: in the case of Rh-Ir, T_c increases as the magnetic boundary is approached, whereas in the Rh-Co case, T_c decreases. Thus, whether the SC in CeMIn_5 requires proximity to magnetism to exist or is destroyed only by a sufficiently strong magnetic state remains to be seen.

Turning now to $\text{CeCo}_{1-x}\text{Ir}_x\text{In}_5$, two heat capacity anomalies are observed for $0.30 \lesssim x \lesssim 0.60$. Zero resistivity is achieved at the high-T transition and maintained through the low-T transition. Preliminary χ_{ac} data reveal the onset of diamagnetism at the upper transition and no obvious signature at the lower one. Although powder diffraction patterns and low residual resistivity suggest otherwise, at this point we cannot rule out the possibility of sample inhomogeneity (such as a distribution of doping concentration) as being the origin of the two transition. The fact the both pure compounds CeCoIn_5 and CeIrIn_5 are superconductors might suggest the presence of two superconducting phase transitions.

Finally, aside from the absence of SC for compounds near CeRhIn_5 , one can find a

quasi-linear increase of T_c as a function of x for $\text{CeRh}_{1-x}(\text{Ir,Co})_x\text{In}_5$ (dashed line in Fig.1). Not coincidentally, the lattice parameter ratio c/a also increases in the sequence CeIrIn_5 - CeRhIn_5 - CeCoIn_5 . Figure 2 presents T_c versus c/a for $\text{Ce}(\text{Rh,Ir,Co})\text{In}_5$ for those compounds in which SC is observed. A linear dependence that spans more than a factor of five in T_c is apparent. Estimates of anisotropic compressibility derived from thermal expansion measurements on CeIrIn_5 [8] allow the inclusion of T_c for CeRhIn_5 and CeIrIn_5 under pressure in the Fig.2. This surprising positive linear relationship between T_c and c/a perhaps gives support for recent calculations for magnetically mediated superconductors. [9] In these calculations, quasi-2D crystal structures and nearly commensurate antiferromagnetic correlations are essential for producing higher T_c s. Thus, the increasing of c/a in this family of compounds may increase the 2D character of the relevant spins fluctuations. However, how relatively small changes in c/a can lead to a rather dramatic tuning of the ground-state properties of these materials remains to be clarified (e.g., tuning the f-ligand hybridization, changing the symmetry of Ce crystal-field ground state, etc.) A linear fit to data on Fig.2 yields a slope $\Delta T_c/\Delta c/a \approx 70$ K. Optimistically (and naively), a member of the CeMIn_5 family with a $c/a \approx 6$ would be a room temperature superconductor.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Hegger, et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 4986(2000).
- [2] C. Petrovic, et al. Europhys. Lett. 53 354-359 (2001).
- [3] C. Petrovic, et al. J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 13 L337 (2001).
- [4] R. Movshovich et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 5152 (2001).
- [5] P.G. Pagliuso, et al. to appear in Phys. Rev. B Rapid. Comm.(2001).
- [6] R.H. Heffner and M.R. Norman, Comm. Condens. Matter Phys. 17, 361 (1996).
- [7] N.J. Curro et al.; R.H. Heffner et al; W. Bao et al. unpublished.
- [8] P. Gegenwart et. al. unpublished.
- [9] P. Monthoux and G.G. Lonzarich, Phys. Rev. B, 59, 14598 (1999).

FIGURES




FIG. 1. Phase Diagram of $\text{Ce}(\text{Rh},\text{Ir},\text{Co})\text{In}_5$




FIG. 2. T_c as a function of c/a for the $\text{Ce}(\text{Rh},\text{Ir},\text{Co})\text{In}_5$ HFS.