Can mesoscopic fluctuations reverse the supercurrent through a disordered Josephson junction?

M. Titov, Ph. Jacquod, and C. W. J. Beenakker

Instituut-Lorentz, Universiteit Leiden, P. O. Box 9506, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands

(May 2000)

We calculate the Josephson coupling energy $U_J(\phi)$ (related to the supercurrent $I = (2e/\hbar)dU_J/d\phi$) for a disordered normal metal between two superconductors with a phase difference ϕ . We demonstrate that the symmetry of the scattering matrix of non-interacting quasiparticles in zero magnetic field implies that $U_J(\phi)$ has a minimum at $\phi = 0$. A maximum (that would lead to a π -junction or negative superfluid density) is excluded for any realization of the disorder.

PACS numbers: 74.80.Fp, 72.15.Rn, 73.63.Rt, 74.40.+k

The question in the title was posed ten years ago by Spivak and Kivelson,¹ in their search for mechanisms that would lead to a negative local superfluid density in a dirty superconductor. A negative instead of a positive superfluid density means that the Josephson coupling energy $U_I(\phi)$ has a maximum instead of a minimum for zero phase difference ϕ of the superconducting order parameter. The supercurrent $I(\phi) = (2e/\hbar) dU_J/d\phi$ then has the opposite sign as usual for small ϕ . This has a variety of observable consequences, including a ground state with a non-zero supercurrent,² Aharonov-Bohm oscillations with period h/4e, and negative magnetoresistance.³ A Josephson junction with a negative superfluid density is known as a π -junction,² because the ground state for large magnetic inductance is close to $\phi = \pi$ instead of as usual at $\phi = 0$.

The known mechanism for the creation of a π $iunction^{1-4}$ in equilibrium⁵ in a non-magnetic material⁶ requires strong Coulomb repulsion to create a localized spin on a resonant impurity level. Spivak and Kivelson asked the question whether purely one-electron conductance fluctuations might be sufficient to produce a locally negative superfluid density near the insulating state. A suggestive argument that this might be possible comes from the relative magnitude of the mesoscopic sample-to-sample fluctuations of the supercurrent in a disordered superconductornormal-metal-superconductor (SNS) junction.⁷ The ratio $\langle \delta I^2 \rangle^{1/2} / \langle I \rangle \simeq e^2 / hG$ of the root-mean-squared fluctuations over the mean supercurrent is $\ll 1$ if the conductance G of the normal metal is large compared to the conductance quantum e^2/h . The ratio becomes of order unity on approaching the insulating state, suggesting that the supercurrent might have a negative value in some samples.

Of course, the root-mean-square amplitude of the supercurrent fluctuations does not distinguish between a positive and negative sign, so that this argument is only suggestive. We were motivated to settle this issue because of recent experiments on localization in quasi-one-dimensional superconductors.⁸ This has renewed the interest in the fundamental question whether mesoscopic fluctuations are sufficient or not to create a negative lo-

cal superfluid density. The answer, as we will show, is that they are not.

The two superconductors that form the SNS junction have order parameters $\Delta e^{i\phi/2}$ and $\Delta e^{-i\phi/2}$. The contacts to the normal metal have N propagating modes at the Fermi energy E_F , so that the elastic scattering by the normal metal at energy $E = E_F + \varepsilon$ is characterized by a $2N \times 2N$ scattering matrix $S(\varepsilon)$. The two properties of S that we use are that it is analytic in the upper half of the complex ε plane and that it is a symmetric matrix, $S(\varepsilon) = S(\varepsilon)^T$, when time-reversal symmetry is preserved.

The starting point of our calculation is the relationship derived in Ref. 9 between the Josephson coupling energy $U_J(\phi)$ in equilibrium at temperature T and the scattering matrix,

$$U_J = -2k_BT \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \ln \text{ Det } \left[1 - S_A(i\omega_n)S_N(i\omega_n)\right].$$
(1)

The summation runs over the Matsubara frequencies $\omega_n = (2n+1)\pi k_B T$. The $4N \times 4N$ scattering matrix $S_N(\varepsilon)$ describes the elastic scattering by disorder in the normal metal of non-interacting electron and hole quasiparticles with excitation energy ε ,

$$S_N(\varepsilon) = \begin{pmatrix} S(\varepsilon) & 0\\ 0 & S(-\varepsilon)^* \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (2)

The analytical continuation of S_N from real to imaginary energy $(\varepsilon \to i\omega)$ follows from $S(\varepsilon) \to S(i\omega)$ and $S(-\varepsilon)^* \to S(i\omega)^*$. Similarly, the matrix $S_A(\varepsilon)$ describes the Andreev reflection from the superconductors,

$$S_A(\varepsilon) = \alpha(\varepsilon) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{i\Lambda\phi/2} \\ e^{-i\Lambda\phi/2} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
(3a)

$$\alpha(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon / \Delta - i \sqrt{1 - \varepsilon^2 / \Delta^2}.$$
 (3b)

Here Λ is a $2N \times 2N$ diagonal matrix with elements $\Lambda_{jj} = 1$ for $1 \leq j \leq N$ and $\Lambda_{jj} = -1$ for $N + 1 \leq j \leq 2N$.

Eq. (1) differs from the usual representation of the Josephson energy as a sum over the discrete spectrum $(\varepsilon < \Delta)$ plus an integration over the continuous spectrum $(\varepsilon > \Delta)$. Each term in the sum (1) is combined from contributions out of the discrete and the continuous

spectrum. We will now show that each of these combinations is minimal for $\phi = 0$, although the contributions from the discrete and continuous spectrum separately are not.

Let us abbreviate

$$Z(\omega) = (\sqrt{1 + \omega^2 / \Delta^2} - \omega / \Delta) e^{-i\Lambda\phi/2} S(i\omega).$$
(4)

Using the identity $\ln \text{Det} = \text{Tr} \ln \text{in Eq.}(1)$ one can calculate the first and second derivative with respect to ϕ of the energy $U_J(\phi)$. The first derivative is given by

$$\frac{dU_J}{d\phi} = 2k_B T \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{Im} \operatorname{Tr} \left[h_{11}(\omega_n) - h_{22}(\omega_n) \right], \qquad (5)$$

where the $N \times N$ matrices h_{11} and h_{22} are blocks of the matrix

$$H = Z^* Z (1 + Z^* Z)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} h_{11} & h_{12} \\ h_{21} & h_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (6)

The first derivative is equal to the supercurrent and vanishes at $\phi = 0$ as dictated by time-reversal symmetry.

For the second derivative we obtain

$$\frac{d^2 U_J}{d\phi^2} = 4k_B T \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \text{Re Tr} \left[f_{12}(\omega_n) f_{21}^*(\omega_n) + h_{12}(\omega_n) h_{21}(\omega_n) \right],$$
(7)

$$F = Z(1 + Z^*Z)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} f_{11} & f_{12} \\ f_{21} & f_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (8)

At $\phi = 0$ the symmetry of S implies that $F = F^T$ and $H = H^{\dagger}$, hence $f_{21}^* = f_{12}^{\dagger}$, $h_{21} = h_{12}^{\dagger}$. Therefore, every term in the sum (7) is positive. We conclude that the Josephson energy $U_J(\phi)$ has a minimum at $\phi = 0$:

$$\left. \frac{dU_J}{d\phi} \right|_{\phi=0} = 0, \qquad \left. \frac{d^2 U_J}{d\phi^2} \right|_{\phi=0} > 0. \tag{9}$$

This concludes the proof that mesoscopic fluctuations can not invert the stability of the SNS junction at zero phase, excluding a mechanism for the creation of a π junction proposed ten years ago.¹ The proof holds for non-interacting quasiparticles in zero magnetic field at arbitrary temperature, for any disorder potential and any dimensionality of the junction. As a final remark, we conjecture (and have a proof for N = 1) that Eq. (1) implies $dU_J/d\phi > 0$ in the entire interval $0 < \phi < \pi$ in the presence of time-reversal symmetry.

We thank Boris Spivak for urging us to solve this problem and for valuable discussions. This work was supported by the Dutch Science Foundation NWO/FOM.

- ² L. N. Bulaevskii, V. V. Kuzii, and A. A. Sobyanin, JETP Lett. **25**, 290 (1977).
- ³ S. A. Kivelson and B. Z. Spivak, Phys. Rev. B **45**, 10490 (1992).
- ⁴ L. I. Glazman and K. A. Matveev, JETP Lett. **49**, 659 (1989).
- ⁵ The sign of the supercurrent can be switched quite easily by bringing the Josephson junction out of equilibrium, see J. J. A. Baselmans, A. F. Morpurgo, B. J. van Wees, T. M. Klapwijk, Nature **397**, 43 (1999). This is not a π -junction in the original sense, which refers to an equilibrium property of the junction.
- ⁶ The sign of the supercurrent becomes entirely sample specific if time-reversal symmetry is broken by a magnetic field or magnetic order, see F. Zhou, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B **13**, 2229 (1999).
- ⁷ B. L. Altshuler and B. Z. Spivak, Sov. Phys. JETP **65**, 343 (1987).
- ⁸ A. Bezryadin, C. N. Lan, and M. Tinkham, Nature **404**, 971 (2000).
- ⁹ P. W. Brouwer and C. W. J. Beenakker, Chaos, Solitons & Fractals 8, 1249 (1997). A factor of 2 is missing in Eq. (8) of this publication. The preprint cond-mat/9611162 does not contain this misprint.

¹ B. Z. Spivak and S. A. Kivelson, Phys. Rev. B **43**, 3740 (1991).