

Variational Method for Calculation of Plasma Phase Diagrams in Path Integral Representation

Ilmars Madzhulis and Vilnis Frishfelds*

Faculty of Physics and Mathematics, University of Latvia, Zellu 8, Riga LV-1002, Latvia

The use of variational method in functional integral approach is discussed for fermion and boson systems with Coulomb interaction. The formal general expression of thermodynamic potential is obtained by Feynman path integral technique and representation of Coulomb interaction with functional integrals. Introduced additional complex field show to transform the problem to calculation of functional integrals containing third order vertices. The thermodynamic potential can be found from variational principle with respect to field cumulants. The calculation of the equation of state and critical properties is demonstrated for symmetrical plasma by variation of finite number of parameters in the propagator.

I. INTRODUCTION

The approach of functional integrals is used more and more frequently studying the properties of non-relativistic Coulomb plasmas. The Feynman path integral technique allows obtaining the properties of plasmas in systematic manner without utilising such approximation as chemical picture or Padé formulae. The path integral method takes the advantages of Green function formalism. Such *ab initio* method as restricted path integral Monte Carlo simulations [1] provide plausible results at high temperature, where the experiments allows only some implicit measurements. The further development of these simulations is prospective for higher number of particles. The direct path integral method by Filinov [2] rigorously includes anti-symmetrisation but uses the effective pair potential. Both methods are well suited for high temperature calculations. However, these simulations are still time consuming for complex fermionic systems. Therefore, the deeper understanding of path integral approach should be reached. The Hubbard-Schofield transformation has been a great leap forward for functional methods [3]. Recently, Brown and Yaffe [4] have reported that additional integral over complex field is commendable calculating the action of a charged particle. However, the approach in principle cannot be used for highly degenerate plasmas. The paper will show how the anti-symmetrisation effects could be included accurately

by introduction of additional integration over complex field for large canonical ensemble. Though, the mathematical methods to calculate the obtained formal general expression of partition function containing functional integrals are insufficiently effective. There exists a variational approach using the cumulative averages of fields for system in thermodynamic equilibrium. A universal function of field cumulants that does not depend on physical model should be known for this purpose. The comparatively inaccurate expression of the required function is obtained using the simplest diagram in expansion. The variational method is well suited for qualitative study of complex plasmas in the same manner as "Gaussian" packet in quantum mechanics choosing the appropriate class of variable functions.

II. ANTI-SYMMETRISATION

The Coulomb interaction belongs to a class with pair and positively defined potentials

$$V(x) = \frac{1}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} V_k e^{i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{x}}, \quad V_k > 0. \quad (1)$$

For such kind of potentials, the Hubbard-Schofield transformation allows to write the density matrix of the system of n non-identical particles as [5]

$$\rho_n(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}') = \int \mathcal{D}\varphi(\mathbf{x}, u) \exp \left[-\frac{1}{\hbar} \int_0^U du \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{|\varphi_{\mathbf{k}}(u)|^2}{2V_k} \right] \exp \left[\frac{UV(0)}{2\hbar} \sum_{j=1}^n q_j^2 \right] \prod_{j=1}^n \rho_j(\mathbf{x}_j, \mathbf{x}'_j, U), \quad (2)$$

$$\langle \mathbf{x} | \hat{\rho}_j(U) | \mathbf{x}' \rangle = \rho_j(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}', U) = \int_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathbf{x}'} \mathcal{D}\mathbf{x}(u) \exp \left[-\frac{1}{\hbar} \int_0^U du \left(\frac{m_j \dot{\mathbf{x}}^2(u)}{2} + iq_j \varphi(\mathbf{x}(u)) \right) \right]. \quad (3)$$

where integration is carried out over all configurations of the real field $\varphi(\mathbf{x}, u)$ in 4-dimensional space $\mathbf{x} \in V$,

*Electronic address: mf60006@lanet.lv

$u \in [0, U \equiv \hbar\beta]$; $\mathbf{X}(u) = \{\mathbf{x}_1(u), \mathbf{x}_2(u), \dots, \mathbf{x}_n(u)\}$; q_j - a charge of particle; $\varphi_{\mathbf{k}}$ is the Fourier component of electric field

$$\varphi(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{V}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \varphi_{\mathbf{k}} e^{i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{x}}. \quad (4)$$

The integration over real field $\varphi(\mathbf{x}, u)$ does not depend on the number of particles, their charge and type of symmetry. Therefore, matrix $\rho_j(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}', U)$ can be considered formally as density matrix of noninteracting particles placed in an external field $i\varphi(\mathbf{x}, u)$. The Coulomb interaction has been taken into account by integration over all configurations of this field. The result is similar to the Feynman interpretation of quantum electrodynamics by path integrals [6], except the exclusion of the self-interaction part $V(0)$ in (2). If some of the particles are identical, then either symmetry or anti-symmetry must be taken into account by summation over all permutations. The symmetrisation procedure should be performed for the system of noninteracting particles, according to the statement above. We are interested in the equilibrium properties of the system of charged particles, such as equation of state or phase diagram. After symmetrisation [7], the partition function of large canonical ensemble in path integral representation becomes

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int \mathcal{D}\varphi(\mathbf{x}, u) \exp \left[-\frac{1}{\hbar} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \int_0^U du \frac{|\varphi_{\mathbf{k}}(u)|^2}{2V_{\mathbf{k}}} - \sum_j \zeta_j \text{Tr} \ln(\hat{1} - \zeta_j e^{\beta\mu_j^{tot}} \hat{\rho}_j(U)) \right], \quad (5)$$

where $\langle \mathbf{x} | \hat{1} | \mathbf{x}' \rangle = \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}')$; j now is an index of particle species (including different spin orientations); $\zeta_j = \pm 1$ for bosons or fermions, respectively; μ_j^{tot} - the chemical potential of j -type charged particle. The Fourier component $V_{\mathbf{k}}$ of modified Coulomb potential $V(x)$ is

$$V(x) = \frac{1}{x} (1 - e^{-\Upsilon x}), \quad V_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{4\pi}{k^2 + \frac{k^4}{\Upsilon^2}}, \quad (6)$$

where a large parameter Υ is introduced to avoid from the singularity of Coulomb potential at small distances. The constant self-interaction part (2) can be added to chemical potential

$$\mu_j^{tot} = \mu_j + \frac{q_j^2 V(0)}{2}, \quad (7)$$

where μ_j is the conventional chemical potential of the system. At the limit $\Upsilon \rightarrow \infty$, the terms proportional to Υ in the partition function must contract. The short distance divergences can be excluded also by introduction of fractional dimension [4].

III. VARIATIONAL PRINCIPLE FOR CUMULANTS

In the following section, the basic tool will be constructed that helps to find the thermodynamic potential from variational principle for cumulative averages (cumulants) of some field. It is simply the method how to calculate the non-Gaussian type integrals. The idea was applied for the study of the phase transitions in Landau-Ginzburg theory [8]. Consider the partition function as a one-dimensional integral

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\varphi e^{Q(\varphi)}. \quad (8)$$

The statistical average of δ -function is

$$\langle \delta(f - \varphi) \rangle_f = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\varphi \delta(f - \varphi) e^{Q(\varphi)} = \frac{e^{Q(\varphi)}}{\mathcal{Z}}. \quad (9)$$

Therefore, the term $Q(\varphi)$ which depends on the physical model can be isolated in partition function

$$F \equiv \ln \mathcal{Z} = Q(\varphi) - \ln \langle \delta(f - \varphi) \rangle_f. \quad (10)$$

The partition function cannot depend on variable φ . Hence, it equals to its average:

$$F = \langle Q(\varphi) \rangle_{\varphi} - \langle \ln \langle \delta(f - \varphi) \rangle_f \rangle_{\varphi}. \quad (11)$$

We can expand the average of δ -function in terms of cumulants using the integral representation of δ -function

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \delta(f) \rangle_f &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dh \langle \exp[ihf] \rangle_f \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dh \exp \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(ih)^n}{n!} K_n^f \right], \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where $K_n^f = \langle f^n \rangle_c$ is the n -th order cumulant of the variable f . The relation to the usual average $\langle f^n \rangle$,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(ih)^n}{n!} \langle f^n \rangle = \exp \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(ih)^n}{n!} K_n^f \right] \equiv P, \quad (13)$$

is utilised in (12). Let us denote the n -th order cumulant of variable φ as $K_n^{\varphi} = \langle \varphi^n \rangle_c$. The variation of function F with respect to the total cumulant K_n consists of two parts

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial K_n} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial K_n^f} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial K_n^{\varphi}}. \quad (14)$$

It follows from the F independence of φ that $\frac{\partial F}{\partial K_n^{\varphi}} = 0$. The derivative in respect to the cumulant K_n^f , in compliance with (9, 12, 13) is zero, too:

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial K_n^f} = \left\langle \frac{\partial F}{\partial K_n^f} \right\rangle_{\varphi}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left\langle \frac{1}{2\pi \langle \delta(f - \varphi) \rangle_f} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dh \frac{\partial P}{\partial K_n^f} e^{-ih\varphi} \right\rangle_{\varphi} \\
&= \frac{\mathcal{Z}}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dh \frac{\partial P}{\partial K_n^f} \left\langle e^{-Q(\varphi) - ih\varphi} \right\rangle_{\varphi} \quad (15) \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dh \frac{\partial P}{\partial K_n^f} \delta(h) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Subsequently, the variational principle is valid for thermodynamic potential with respect to cumulants K_n . The average $\langle Q(\varphi) \rangle_{\varphi}$ depends only on physical model and usually includes a finite number of K_n . On the other hand, the average of the second term in (11) expressed by cumulants does not depend on interaction parameters, that makes the analogy of this term with an entropy. However, such universal expression is hardly obtainable. The expansion in terms of cumulants will be shown in next section using a diagram technique.

If the partition function is the functional integral of some field $\varphi(\mathbf{x})$ in a box $\mathbf{x} \in V$ then it contains a product of δ -functions

$$F = \langle Q[\varphi] \rangle_{\varphi} - \left\langle \ln \left\langle \prod_{\mathbf{k}} \delta(f_{\mathbf{k}} - \varphi_{\mathbf{k}}) \right\rangle_f \right\rangle_{\varphi} \quad (16)$$

in Fourier representation. Henceforth, the partition function involves mixed cumulative averages $\langle \varphi_{\mathbf{k}_1} \varphi_{\mathbf{k}_2} \dots \rangle_{\varphi}$ of physically different modes. But for a homogeneous system the part of interaction $Q[\varphi]$ does not include mixed cumulants of $\varphi_{\mathbf{k}}$. Thus, one root after variation is always zero.

IV. DIAGRAM EXPANSION ON FIELD CUMULANTS

The diagram technique is discussed in a number of papers both for classical plasma and quantum plasmas, e.g., [9], [10]. The expansion is usually made in terms of Debye screening radius. Such an approach is commendable for strict expansion at low densities. However, we need a specific diagram expansion on the cumulants in order to apply the variational principle. In first approximation, we will use the expansion in diagrams up to the square of charge. The final thermodynamic potential will likewise contain the charge of particle up to its square. Of course, we will obtain similar results as in mentioned papers though with different mathematical approach. Variational principle provides essential advantage in comparison with virial or activity expansion studying the phase transitions in plasma. To apply the variational principle it is necessary to express the last term of (11) in cumulants of some field φ . A general expansion exists probably for this quite universal mathematical task, but here the diagram technique is used for this purpose. The integral representation of the average of δ -function is (see (12))

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle \delta(f - \varphi) \rangle_f \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dh \exp \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(ih)^n}{n!} K_n - ih\varphi \right], \quad (17)
\end{aligned}$$

where $K_n = \langle f^n \rangle_c = \langle \varphi^n \rangle_c$ is the n -th order cumulant. Let us denote the cumulant K_2 of the Gaussian part as G . The logarithm of (17) can be formally expressed by means of diagrams as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\ln \langle \delta(f - \varphi) \rangle_f &= \ln \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dh \exp \left[-\frac{h^2 G}{2} + \sum_{n \neq 2} \frac{(ih)^n}{n!} K_n - ih\varphi \right] \right\} \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \ln(2\pi G) - \frac{(K_1 - \varphi)^2}{2G} + \text{diagram} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-i\varphi)^n}{n!} \text{diagram}_n, \quad (18)
\end{aligned}$$

where the first two terms follow from the Gaussian approximation; the first graph represents the sum of all joint diagrams that does not contain φ , but the second graph all joint diagrams containing φ . We need to find an average of (18) with respect to φ . The average $\langle \varphi^n \rangle$ can be written by cumulants in accordance with Wick's theorem

$$\langle \varphi^n \rangle = \langle \varphi^n \rangle_c + n \langle \varphi \rangle_c \langle \varphi^{n-1} \rangle_c + \dots \quad (19)$$

Therefore, the averaging of (18) couples the first order vertices to produce higher order vertices. The resulting diagrams may cancel with the similar diagrams in the

first graph of (18). Only certain kind of diagrams survives. Thus, all diagrams that contain first order vertices or vertices joining vertex to itself (loop) cancels. As a result, the average of (18) does not contain the cumulant $K_1 = \langle \varphi \rangle$. The simplest remaining diagram consists of two K_3 vertices:

$$-\frac{1}{2} \text{diagram} = \frac{K_3^2}{2G^3}. \quad (20)$$

All three edges cannot be crossed simultaneously, since the diagram would split apart before averaging with respect to φ . Therefore, the first few terms in expansion

are

$$\left\langle \ln \langle \delta(f - \varphi) \rangle_f \right\rangle_\varphi = -\frac{1}{2} \ln(2\pi G) - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{K_3^2}{2G^3}. \quad (21)$$

The intrinsic energy part in Fourier representation usually does not contain cumulative averages of order higher than second. Then, the extremum condition $\frac{\partial \ln \mathcal{Z}}{\partial K_3} = 0$ yields $K_3 = 0$. However, that could probably violate for additional extremums if the next diagrams are considered.

V. COMPLEX FIELD FOR FERMIONS

The symmetry properties of particles are accounted in the partition function via the logarithmic term in (5). It seems that such a construction spoils its solvability due to the slow convergence of Taylor series for strongly coupled plasma. Nevertheless, let us remember that any nonsingular quadratic matrix satisfies equation $\text{Tr} \ln K = \ln \det K$. At the same time, the determinant of matrix K can be represented as the path integral over all configurations of complex field $\Psi(\mathbf{x})$ [11]:

$$\frac{1}{\det K} \quad (22)$$

$$\sim \int \mathcal{D}\Psi(\mathbf{x}) \exp \left[- \int d\mathbf{x} \int d\mathbf{x}' \Psi(\mathbf{x}) K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') \Psi^*(\mathbf{x}') \right].$$

This leads to the idea that the logarithmic part of the partition function (5) for fermions can be represented as a functional integral

$$\begin{aligned} & \exp[-\text{Tr} \ln(\hat{1} + e^{\beta\mu_j^{tot}} \hat{\rho}_j(U))] \\ &= \int \mathcal{D}\Psi_j(\mathbf{x}) \exp \left[- \int d\mathbf{x} |\Psi_j(\mathbf{x})|^2 \right. \\ & \quad \left. + e^{\beta\mu_j^{tot} + i\pi} \int d\mathbf{x} \int d\mathbf{x}' \Psi_j(\mathbf{x}) \rho_j(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}', U) \Psi_j^*(\mathbf{x}') \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

The multiplier $e^{i\pi}$ is added in (23) to change the sign for fermions. The change of the sign is unnecessary for bosons: the difference will be shown latter. Note an analogy of function $\Psi_j(\mathbf{x})$ with the stationary wave function in quantum mechanics. As mentioned above, the integration over complex variables Ψ is introduced also in [4] for canonical ensemble. After splitting the imaginary-time interval in $N \gg 1$ small parts $\varepsilon = \frac{U}{N}$, the kernel $\rho_j(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}', U)$ (3) of linear integral operator $\hat{\rho}_j$ becomes a convolution [6]

$$\rho_j(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}', U) = \int d\mathbf{x}_{N-1} \dots \int d\mathbf{x}_2 \int d\mathbf{x}_1 \rho_j(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_{N-1}, \varepsilon) \dots \rho_j(\mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_1, \varepsilon) \rho_j(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}', \varepsilon).$$

Henceforth, the logarithmic part (23) of the partition function can be transformed to a Gaussian type functional integral over all configurations of complex field $\Psi_j(\mathbf{x}, u)$ in 4-dimensional space [11]

$$\begin{aligned} & \exp[-\text{Tr} \ln(\hat{1} + e^{\beta\mu_j^{tot}} \hat{\rho}_j(U))] = \int \mathcal{D}\Psi_j(\mathbf{x}, u) \exp \left[- \sum_u \int d\mathbf{x} |\Psi_j(\mathbf{x}, u)|^2 \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_u \int d\mathbf{x} \int d\mathbf{x}' \Psi_j(\mathbf{x}, u + \varepsilon) \exp \left[\frac{\varepsilon(\beta\mu_j^{tot} + i\pi)}{U} \right] \rho_j(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}', \varepsilon) \Psi_j^*(\mathbf{x}', u) \right], \\ & \quad u = 0, \varepsilon, \dots, (N-1)\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

For Coulomb system with density matrix $\rho_j(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}', \varepsilon)$ (3), the charged particle can be considered as placed in imaginary field $i\varphi$, their Hamiltonian being $\hat{H}_j = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_j} \Delta_{\mathbf{x}} + iq_j\varphi$ (a non-Hermitian operator). The statistical density matrix $\rho_j(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}', u)$ satisfies the equation $\hbar \frac{\partial \rho_j}{\partial u} = -\hat{H}_j \rho_j$ analogous to Schrödinger equation. The expansion of this density matrix and small ε is $\rho_j(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}', \varepsilon)$ for small ε is

$$\rho_j(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}', \varepsilon) \approx \left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon \hbar}{2m_j} \Delta_{\mathbf{x}} - \frac{\varepsilon}{\hbar} iq_j \varphi(\mathbf{x}', u) \right)$$

$$- \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2\hbar^2} q_j^2 \varphi^2(\mathbf{x}', u) \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'). \quad (24)$$

Hence, the partition function (5) becomes a functional integral over all configurations of the real field $\varphi(\mathbf{x}, u)$ and the complex field $\Psi_j(\mathbf{x}, u)$

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int \mathcal{D}\varphi(\mathbf{x}, u) \frac{\exp\left[-\frac{\varepsilon}{\hbar} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, u} \frac{|\varphi_{\mathbf{k}}(u)|^2}{2V_{\mathbf{k}}}\right]}{\prod_j \int \mathcal{D}\Psi_j(\mathbf{x}, u) \exp\left[\sum_u \int d\mathbf{x} [\Psi_j(\mathbf{x}, u + \varepsilon) - \Psi_j(\mathbf{x}, u) - \frac{\varepsilon}{\hbar} \Psi_j(\mathbf{x}, u + \varepsilon) \hat{\mathcal{H}}_j] \Psi_j^*(\mathbf{x}, u)\right]}, \quad (25)$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_j = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_j} \Delta_{\mathbf{x}} + iq_j \varphi(\mathbf{x}, u) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2\hbar} q_j^2 \varphi^2(\mathbf{x}, u) - \mu_j^{tot} - \frac{i\pi\hbar}{U}. \quad (26)$$

The number of complex fields coincides with the number of particle species. The Fourier transformation of these fields is useful:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\mathbf{x}, u) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{V\beta}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \omega} \varphi_{\mathbf{k}, \omega} e^{i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{x} + i\omega u}, \\ \Psi_j(\mathbf{x}, u) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{V}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \omega} \Psi_{j, \mathbf{k}, \omega} e^{i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{x} + i\omega u}, \\ \omega &= \frac{2\pi n}{U}, \quad n = -M, -M+1, \dots, M \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

assuming for convenience that $N = 2M+1$ is an odd integer. The ε term in operator $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_j$ (26) should be neglected,

but for systems with Coulomb interaction it survives and accounts for self-interaction. As we shall see in (51), the average $G_{\mathbf{k}, \omega} \equiv \langle |\varphi_{\mathbf{k}, \omega}|^2 \rangle$ at $|\omega| \rightarrow \infty$ equal to the interaction potential $V_{\mathbf{k}}$. Thereafter, the self-interaction part arises, according to the Fourier expansion of Coulomb interaction (6):

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon \langle \varphi^2(\mathbf{x}, u) \rangle = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varepsilon N}{V\beta} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} V_{\mathbf{k}} = \hbar V(0). \quad (28)$$

The self-interaction part cancels if the chemical potential of Coulomb system μ_j^{tot} , (7), is subtracted in (26). The partition function (25) for small ε becomes

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int \mathcal{D}\varphi(\mathbf{x}, u) \frac{\exp\left[-\sum_{\mathbf{k}, \omega} \frac{|\varphi_{\mathbf{k}, \omega}|^2}{2V_{\mathbf{k}}}\right]}{\prod_j \int \mathcal{D}\Psi_j(\mathbf{x}, u) \exp\left[-\sum_{\mathbf{k}, \omega} \left(\alpha_{j, \mathbf{k}, \omega} |\Psi_{j, \mathbf{k}, \omega}|^2 + \frac{iq_j \sqrt{\beta} \varphi_{\mathbf{k}, \omega}}{\sqrt{V}} \sum_{\mathbf{q}, \chi} \Psi_{j, \mathbf{q}, \chi} \Psi_{j, \mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}, \omega+\chi}^*\right)\right]}, \quad (29)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{j, \mathbf{k}, \omega} &= N(e^{i\omega\varepsilon} - 1) - i\pi + c_{j, \mathbf{k}}^{id}, \\ c_{j, \mathbf{k}}^{id} &= \beta \left(\frac{\hbar^2 \mathbf{k}^2}{2m_j} - \mu_j \right). \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

The term $-i\pi$ is not present for the system of bosons. Moreover, the integration over complex field $\Psi_j(\mathbf{x}, u)$ in partition function \mathcal{Z} for bosons is present in numerator.

VI. VARIATIONAL PRINCIPLE FOR FERMIONS

According to the previous section, the following integral is to be found in quantum statistics for Fermi systems:

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int d\varphi \frac{\exp[Q(\varphi)]}{\int d\Psi \exp[\Phi(\varphi, |\Psi|^2)]}, \quad (31)$$

where $d\Psi = d\text{Re}\Psi d\text{Im}\Psi$; φ is real variable, but Ψ - complex. The average values of δ -function are

$$\langle \delta(f - \varphi) \delta(\Psi - \Theta) \rangle_{f, \Psi}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \frac{\exp[Q(\varphi)] \exp[\Phi(\varphi, |\Theta|^2)]}{\int d\Psi_1 \exp[\Phi(\varphi, |\Psi_1|^2)]}, \quad (32)$$

$$\langle \delta(f - \varphi) \rangle_f = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \frac{\exp[Q(\varphi)]}{\int d\Psi_1 \exp[\Phi(\varphi, |\Psi_1|^2)]}. \quad (33)$$

Therefore, the partition function can be split using δ -functions (32, 33) in analogy with simple field of variable φ in (11)

$$\begin{aligned} \ln \mathcal{Z} &= \langle Q(\varphi) \rangle_{\varphi} - \langle \Phi(\varphi, |\Theta|^2) \rangle_{\varphi, \Theta} \\ &\quad - 2 \langle \ln \langle \delta(f - \varphi) \rangle_f \rangle_{\varphi} \\ &\quad + \langle \ln \langle \delta(f - \varphi) \delta(\Psi - \Theta) \rangle_{f, \Psi} \rangle_{\varphi, \Theta}. \end{aligned}$$

The conclusions are similar to those made in sect. III, i.e., the variation of partition function with respect to cumulants $\langle f^l \Psi^m \Psi^{*n} \rangle_c$ must be zero. In our case, we should consider the fields in coordinate-temperature space, $\varphi(\mathbf{x}, u)$, $\Psi_j(\mathbf{x}, u)$ as in (16). The first two terms follow from functional integral representation (29):

$$\langle Q(\varphi) \rangle_{\varphi} - \langle \Phi(\varphi, |\Theta|^2) \rangle_{\varphi, \Theta} = - \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \omega} \frac{\langle |\varphi_{\mathbf{k}, \omega}|^2 \rangle_{\varphi}}{2V_{\mathbf{k}}}$$

$$+ \sum_{j, \mathbf{k}, \omega} \alpha_{j, \mathbf{k}, \omega} \langle |\Theta_{j, \mathbf{k}, \omega}|^2 \rangle_{\Theta} \quad (34)$$

$$+ \frac{i\sqrt{\beta}}{\sqrt{V}} \sum_{j, \mathbf{k}, \omega, \mathbf{q}, \chi} q_j \langle \varphi_{\mathbf{k}, \omega} \Theta_{j, \mathbf{q}, \chi} \Theta_{j, \mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}, \omega+\chi}^* \rangle_{\varphi, \Theta}.$$

One recognises here the terms representing the energy of electric field, the energy of noninteracting fermions, and the particle-field interaction characterised by the third order vertex $\langle \varphi(\mathbf{x}, u) \Psi_j(\mathbf{x}, u) \Psi_j^*(\mathbf{x}, u) \rangle$ in the coordinate-temperature representation.

VII. DIAGRAM EXPANSION ON CUMULANTS FOR FERMIONS

The expansion of

$$\langle \ln \langle \delta(f - \varphi) \delta(\Psi - \Theta) \delta(\Psi^* - \Theta^*) \rangle_{f, \Psi, \Psi^*} \rangle_{\varphi, \Theta, \Theta^*}, \quad (35)$$

$$f, \varphi \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \Psi, \Theta \in \mathbb{C}$$

in terms of cumulants is necessary for fermion plasma. By using the same scheme as in the previous section, the integral representation of δ -function gives an expansion in cumulative averages

$$\langle \delta(f - \varphi) \delta(\Psi - \Theta) \delta(\Psi^* - \Theta^*) \rangle_{f, \Psi, \Psi^*}$$


$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dh da db \exp \left[\sum_{n_1+n_2+n_3 \geq 1} \frac{(ih)^{n_1} (iA)^{n_2} (iA^*)^{n_3}}{n_1! n_2! n_3!} \langle f^{n_1} \Psi^{n_2} \Psi^{*n_3} \rangle_c - ih\varphi - iA\Theta - iA^*\Theta^* \right],$$

where $a = \text{Re}A$ and $b = \text{Im}A$ are real integration variables. Since Ψ and Ψ^* are complex-valued, the cumulants $\langle f^{n_1} \Psi^{n_2} \Psi^{*n_3} \rangle_c$ are zero if n_2 differs from n_3 . Moreover, the average $\langle \varphi \rangle$ is zero for neutral plasma. Therefore, the first nonzero summands of the sum in previous equation are

$$\sum_{n_1+n_2+n_3 \geq 1} \frac{(ih)^{n_1} (iA)^{n_2} (iA^*)^{n_3}}{n_1! n_2! n_3!} \langle f^{n_1} \Psi^{n_2} \Psi^{*n_3} \rangle_c$$

$$= -\frac{h^2 G}{2} - AA^* \Pi - ihAA^* K + \dots, \quad (36)$$

where $\Pi = \langle \Psi \Psi^* \rangle_c$ is the propagator. $K = \langle f \Psi \Psi^* \rangle_c$ is the vertex representing the particle-field interaction,



where the wiggled edge corresponds to electric field f , and edges with arrows to complex fields Ψ and Ψ^* . Higher order cumulants does not survive after variation as the interaction part in the partition function (34) does not include them. The presence of K vertex can be included by means of diagrams. All diagrams that contain either first order vertices or loops cancels. The simplest remaining diagram contains two K vertices:

$$-\frac{1}{2} \text{Diagram} = \frac{K^2}{2G\Pi\Pi\Pi}. \quad (37)$$

Ignoring higher order diagrams, the average becomes

$$\langle \ln \langle \delta(f - \varphi) \delta(\Psi - \Theta) \delta(\Psi^* - \Theta^*) \rangle_{f, \Psi, \Psi^*} \rangle_{\varphi, \Theta, \Theta^*}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} (\ln(2\pi G) + 1) - (\ln(-i2\pi\Pi) + 1) + \frac{K^2}{2G\Pi\Pi\Pi}. \quad (38)$$

The next diagrams containing four vertices K are not included. The accurate integration of the single integral (31), containing only mentioned cumulants G , Π , and K in the interaction part, shows that the sum of all diagrams gives $\frac{1}{2} \ln \left(1 + \frac{K^2}{G\Pi\Pi} \right)$, which agrees with (38) at small K . However, the interpretation of the result is non-trivial for many-dimensional integral without the use of diagram technique. K is approximately proportional to the charge of a particle q_j . Therefore, the higher order diagrams as (37) can be neglected considering the Coulomb interaction as a small perturbation. Therefore, the partition function of fermion plasma is


$$\ln \mathcal{Z} = \text{const} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \omega} \left(\ln \frac{G_{\mathbf{k}, \omega}}{V_{\mathbf{k}}} + 1 - \frac{G_{\mathbf{k}, \omega}}{V_{\mathbf{k}}} \right)$$

$$- \sum_{j, \mathbf{k}, \omega} (\ln \Pi_{j, \mathbf{k}, \omega} + 1 - \alpha_{j, \mathbf{k}, \omega} \Pi_{j, \mathbf{k}, \omega})$$

$$+ \frac{i\sqrt{\beta}}{\sqrt{V}} \sum_{j, \mathbf{k}, \omega, \mathbf{q}, \chi} q_j K_{j, \mathbf{k}, \omega, \mathbf{q}, \chi} \quad (39)$$

$$+ \sum_{j, \mathbf{k}, \omega, \mathbf{q}, \chi} \frac{K_{j, \mathbf{k}, \omega, \mathbf{q}, \chi}^2}{2G_{\mathbf{k}, \omega} \Pi_{j, \mathbf{q}, \chi} \Pi_{j, \mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}, \omega+\chi}},$$

where $G_{\mathbf{k}, \omega} = \langle |\varphi_{\mathbf{k}, \omega}|^2 \rangle$ is cumulant of electric field, $\Pi_{j, \mathbf{k}, \omega} = \langle |\Psi_{j, \mathbf{k}, \omega}|^2 \rangle$ - propagator, and $K_{j, \mathbf{k}, \omega, \mathbf{q}, \chi} = \langle \varphi_{\mathbf{k}, \omega} \Psi_{j, \mathbf{q}, \chi} \Psi_{j, \mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}, \omega+\chi}^* \rangle_{\varphi, \Psi, \Psi^*}$ - cumulant of field-particle interaction. The constant can be readily determined by comparison with an ideal system, where $\ln \mathcal{Z} = \sum_{j, \mathbf{k}} \ln[1 + e^{-c_{j, \mathbf{k}}^{id}}]$. The first sum in the partition function corresponds to contribution of field, the second one to noninteracting fermions, while the last ones are due

FIG. 1: Summation over ω

to fermion-field interaction. The variation of $K_{j,\mathbf{k},\omega,\mathbf{q},\chi}$ gives

$$K_{j,\mathbf{k},\omega,\mathbf{q},\chi} = -\frac{iq_j\sqrt{\beta}}{\sqrt{V}}G_{\mathbf{k},\omega}\Pi_{j,\mathbf{q},\chi}\Pi_{j,\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q},\omega+\chi} \quad (40)$$

Thus, the diagram in (37) is the only one which is proportional to the square of the charge assuring that self-interaction part contracts exactly in sect. V. The functions $G_{\mathbf{k},\omega}$ and $\Pi_{j,\mathbf{k},\omega}$ should be obtained from the minimum of the thermodynamic potential, too.

VIII. APPROXIMATION OF FERMION PROPAGATOR

The correlation function $G_{\mathbf{k},\omega}$ is real but propagator $\Pi_{j,\mathbf{k},\omega}$ - complex-valued. It seems that the main difficulties are connected with $\Pi_{j,\mathbf{k},\omega}$. Therefore, we will approximate the propagator so that it depends on ω in the same way as reciprocal of $\alpha_{j,\mathbf{k},\omega}$ does:

$$\Pi_{j,\mathbf{k},\omega} = \frac{1}{N(e^{i\omega\varepsilon} - 1) - i\pi + c_{j,\mathbf{k}}}, \quad (41)$$

where the real function $c_{j,\mathbf{k}}$ does not depend on ω . If we try to give some physical meaning for this function, it can be considered as self-energy. The variational principle is valid also for the new function $c_{j,\mathbf{k}}$, which may be further simplified. The density of j -type particles is

$$n_j = \frac{1}{V\beta} \frac{\partial \ln \mathcal{Z}}{\partial \mu_j} = -\frac{1}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{k},\omega} \Pi_{j,\mathbf{k},\omega} = \frac{1}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} n_{j,\mathbf{k}}, \quad (42)$$

$$n_{j,\mathbf{k}} = -\sum_{\omega} \Pi_{j,\mathbf{k},\omega} = -\sum_{\omega} \frac{1}{N(e^{i\omega\varepsilon} - 1) - i\pi + c_{j,\mathbf{k}}}. \quad (43)$$

The summation can be represented by a set of N (see (27)) points distributed along a circle as shown in Fig. 1. Let us divide the circle in two arcs: A , where $|\omega\varepsilon| \ll 1$; and B . Discrete summation is necessary in the arc A . The arc includes infinite number of points at $N \rightarrow \infty$. If the angle of this arc is sufficiently small, the propagator is approximately $\Pi_{j,\mathbf{k},\omega} \approx (i\pi(2n-1) + c_{j,\mathbf{k}})^{-1}$. In the arc B , the summation can be safely replaced by integration over $\phi = \omega\varepsilon$. The integration limits are $-\pi$ and π , when the angle of the arc A is small. The propagator in the arc B is $\Pi_{j,\mathbf{k},\omega} \approx (N(e^{i\phi} - 1))^{-1}$. Hence, one obtains the well known form of Fermi-Dirac distribution:

$$\begin{aligned} n_{j,\mathbf{k}} &= -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{d\phi}{e^{i\phi} - 1} - \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i\pi(2n-1) + c_{j,\mathbf{k}}} \\ &= \frac{1}{1 + e^{c_{j,\mathbf{k}}}}, \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

It is a consequence of approximation (41). The integration of (44) yields the sum of logarithm:

$$\sum_{\omega} \ln \Pi_{j,\mathbf{k},\omega} = -\ln[1 + e^{-c_{j,\mathbf{k}}}] + const. \quad (45)$$

The last sum of the partition function (39) contains the product of the propagators. The single sum of the product at small $|\omega|$ is

$$\sum_{\chi} \Pi_{j,\mathbf{q},\chi} \Pi_{j,\mathbf{q}+\mathbf{k},\chi+\omega} = \frac{n_{j,\mathbf{q}+\mathbf{k}} - n_{j,\mathbf{q}}}{i2\pi n + c_{j,\mathbf{q}+\mathbf{k}} - c_{j,\mathbf{q}}}, \quad (46)$$

$|n| \ll N,$

because the integral along the arc B (see Fig. 1) cancels. The double sum is a consequence of (43):

$$\sum_{\omega,\chi} \Pi_{j,\mathbf{q},\omega} \Pi_{j,\mathbf{q}+\mathbf{k},\chi+\omega} = n_{j,\mathbf{q}} n_{j,\mathbf{q}+\mathbf{k}}. \quad (47)$$

Thereafter, the partition function essentially simplifies

$$\begin{aligned} \ln \mathcal{Z} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k},n} \left(\ln \frac{G_{\mathbf{k},n}}{V_k} + 1 - \frac{G_{\mathbf{k},n}}{V_k} \right) + \sum_{j,\mathbf{k}} \left(\ln[1 + e^{-c_{j,\mathbf{k}}}] + (c_{j,\mathbf{k}} - c_{j,\mathbf{k}}^{id}) n_{j,\mathbf{k}} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{\beta}{2V} \sum_{j,\mathbf{q},\mathbf{k}} q_j^2 V_k \left(n_{j,\mathbf{q}} n_{j,\mathbf{q}+\mathbf{k}} - \sum_n g_{\mathbf{k},n} \frac{n_{j,\mathbf{q}+\mathbf{k}} - n_{j,\mathbf{q}}}{i2\pi n + c_{j,\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}} - c_{j,\mathbf{q}}} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

where the summation index n now runs from $-\infty$ to ∞ . The last term does not contain singularities at $n = 0$, because $n_{j,\mathbf{k}}$, (44), monotonously depends on $c_{j,\mathbf{k}}$. The partition function does not contain divergent terms and so it is not necessary to introduce screened potentials. In the second sum, one recognises the logarithm of thermodynamic probability

$$\begin{aligned} & \ln[1 + e^{-c_{j,\mathbf{k}}}] + c_{j,\mathbf{k}}n_{j,\mathbf{k}} \\ &= -n_{j,\mathbf{k}} \ln n_{j,\mathbf{k}} - (1 - n_{j,\mathbf{k}}) \ln(1 - n_{j,\mathbf{k}}). \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

The variation of the correlation function of electric field yields

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_{\mathbf{k},n} &\equiv \frac{G_{\mathbf{k},n} - V_k}{G_{\mathbf{k},n}} \quad (50) \\ &= -\frac{\beta V_k}{V} \sum_{j,\mathbf{q}} q_j^2 \frac{(n_{j,\mathbf{q}+\mathbf{k}} - n_{j,\mathbf{q}})(c_{j,\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}} - c_{j,\mathbf{q}})}{(2\pi n)^2 + (c_{j,\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}} - c_{j,\mathbf{q}})^2}. \end{aligned}$$

This relation proves the limit $\lim_{|\omega| \rightarrow \infty} G_{\mathbf{k},\omega} = V_k$ necessary for exclusion of self-interaction in (28). Subsequently, the partition function depends only on one function $c_{j,\mathbf{k}}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \ln \mathcal{Z} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k},n} (\Xi_{\mathbf{k},n} - \ln[1 + \Xi_{\mathbf{k},n}]) \\ &+ \sum_{j,\mathbf{k}} (\ln[1 + e^{-c_{j,\mathbf{k}}}] + (c_{j,\mathbf{k}} - c_{j,\mathbf{k}}^{id})n_{j,\mathbf{k}}) \quad (51) \\ &+ \frac{\beta}{2V} \sum_{j,\mathbf{q},\mathbf{k}} q_j^2 V_k n_{j,\mathbf{q}} n_{j,\mathbf{q}+\mathbf{k}}. \end{aligned}$$

The variation of $c_{j,\mathbf{k}}$ seems more complicated. Hence, the restriction of $c_{j,\mathbf{k}}$ in a certain class of real functions is necessary. This function may not be smooth at zero temperature, similarly, as the spectrum of some substance becomes sharper decreasing the temperature. Note, that one can in principle obtain the ground state energies for a given molecule performing the limit $T \rightarrow 0$ in the thermodynamic potential.

At low density limit, one obtains a classical Debye-Hückel screening in position space: $G_0(\mathbf{x}) = e^{-\alpha x}/x$. The last term of (54) contains the product of distribution functions $n_{j,\mathbf{k}}$ and it is negligible at low densities. Hence, the minimum of thermodynamic potential at low density limit gives the difference

$$c_{j,\mathbf{k}} - c_{j,\mathbf{k}}^{id} \approx -\frac{\beta q_j^2}{2} \kappa_D, \quad (52)$$

where κ_D is Debye-Hückel screening radius. This difference does not depend on the wave vector \mathbf{k} . It suggests that the presence of Coulomb interaction in the system is accountable by scaling of the chemical potential.




FIG. 2: Correlation function of electric field at $T = 0.01$ and various densities for symmetrical plasma. Atomic units.

A. Boson propagator

The function $\alpha_{j,\mathbf{k},n}$, (30), for bosons differs by $-i\pi$:

$$\alpha_{j,\mathbf{k},n} = N(e^{i\frac{2\pi n}{N}} - 1) + c_{j,\mathbf{k}}^{id}. \quad (53)$$

The approximation of type (41) leads to the well known form of Bose-Einstein distribution:

$$n_{j,\mathbf{k}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{dz}{e^{iz} - 1} + \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i2\pi n + c_{j,\mathbf{k}}} = \frac{1}{e^{c_{j,\mathbf{k}}} - 1}.$$

The partition for bosons can be obtained similarly as for fermions keeping in mind the comments for boson particles in section V. Finally, one gets the partition function

$$\begin{aligned} \ln \mathcal{Z} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k},n} (\Xi_{\mathbf{k},n} - \ln[1 + \Xi_{\mathbf{k},n}]) \\ &+ \sum_{j,\mathbf{k}} (-\zeta_j \ln[1 - \zeta_j e^{-c_{j,\mathbf{k}}}] + (c_{j,\mathbf{k}} - c_{j,\mathbf{k}}^{id})n_{j,\mathbf{k}}) \\ &- \frac{\beta}{2V} \sum_{j,\mathbf{q},\mathbf{k}} \zeta_j q_j^2 V_k n_{j,\mathbf{q}} n_{j,\mathbf{q}+\mathbf{k}}, \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

$$n_{j,\mathbf{k}} = \frac{1}{e^{c_{j,\mathbf{k}}} - \zeta_j}, \quad (55)$$

where $\zeta_j = \pm 1$ is for bosons and fermions, respectively. This partition function can be applied for plasmas consisting of both fermions and bosons, e.g., deuterium plasma.

IX. SYMMETRICAL PLASMA

Usually, only the simplest Coulomb systems are of theoretical interest, e.g., one-component, electron-hole




FIG. 3: Pressure isotherms vs. chemical potential for symmetrical plasma. The intersection point of curve corresponds to phase transition point.

(symmetrical plasma), hydrogen, deuterium and helium plasmas. Here only the quantum symmetrical plasma is considered as an example, i.e., all species of particles are fermions. The symmetrical plasma is of interest because the controversial discussion exists about the location of the critical point for its first order phase transition both in classical model of hard cores [12] and quantum case [13]. The unpolarised symmetrical plasma has four species j of particles because of two possible spin orientations. Despite the propagator has already been approximated in (41), it is still difficult to obtain the function $c_{j,\mathbf{k}}$ from the variation of thermodynamic potential (51). Therefore, only two parameters are varied for each specie of particle:

$$c_{j,\mathbf{k}} = \tilde{\eta}_j k^2 - \beta \tilde{\mu}_j. \quad (56)$$

Thus, a scaled chemical potential $\tilde{\mu}_j$ and an effective mass $\tilde{m}_j \equiv m_j \eta_j / \tilde{\eta}_j$ are chosen as variational parameters. The correlation function of electric field is calculated on the basis of (51) for every n . For convenience, the atomic units are used, and temperature is measured in the units of energy (hartrees). The symmetrical plasma can be either electron-positron plasma or more familiar electron-hole plasma. However, the number of particles in both systems does not conserve due to annihilation of antiparticles and recombination of electrons and holes in semiconductor. The phase transition of electron-hole plasma is established both theoretically, e.g., in [14], [13], [15] and experimentally [16].

It would be helpful to know what kind of the correlation function $G_{\mathbf{k},\omega}$, (51), one obtains for fermions, when $c_{j,\mathbf{k}}$ is chosen according to (56). The correlation function $G_{\mathbf{k},0}$ is of interest corresponding to an average with respect to imaginary-time since only terms with $\omega = 0$ are present in classical plasma. Fig. 2 shows the correlation function in position space $G_0(x)$ at temperature $T = 0.01$




FIG. 4: Phase diagram of symmetrical plasma. Variational parameters: (1) - $\tilde{\mu}_j$; (2) - $\tilde{\mu}_j, \tilde{m}_j$. Atomic units.

and different densities. The correlation function transfers from screened behaviour, $G_0(x) \approx V(x) = e^{-\alpha x}/x$, to oscillating one, when the Wigner-Seitz radius is comparable with the Bohr radius. The method does not yield particle-particle distribution functions.

Since the partition function is linear with respect to the chemical potential μ_j , it is better to fix the variational parameter $\tilde{\mu}$ while μ_j follows from the system of variational equations. The effective mass monotonously increases increasing the density. Thus, the presence of weak Coulomb interaction smoothes the step-like Fermi-Dirac distribution function. The phase transition point can be found plotting the pressure vs. chemical potential (see Fig. 3) at temperatures below critical one. The intersection-point of isotherm corresponds to the coexistence of two phases in accordance with phase equilibrium condition. Loop with negative pressure corresponds to unstable densities. The obtained phase diagram of symmetrical plasma is shown in Fig. 4. The critical temperature is considerably lower, when purely quantum terms $G_{\mathbf{k},n}$ with $n \neq 0$ are neglected. Obtained critical parameters of non-annihilating electron-positron plasma are $T_c = 11500K$, $P_c = 1.6 \cdot 10^8 Pa$, $n_c = 5.7 \cdot 10^{27} m^{-3}$ (for curve (2)). The critical temperature appears to be slightly higher than the corresponding quantum statistical result in [13]: $T_c = 7186K$, while the density is approximately the same. The hydrogen-like bound states are introduced directly in [13], while the ground state energy in the presented functional integral model appears implicitly with slightly different value at $T \rightarrow 0$, where the method does not work. One notices in Fig. 4 that the equilibrium concentration of the dense phase (curve (2)) is inversely proportional to temperature. That would lead to collapse of symmetrical fermion plasma at zero temperature. However, the partition function (51) is, of course, not valid for strongly coupled plasmas. Simulations made by restricted path integral method [15] show that such phenomena as Bose condensation of excitons

and biexcitons takes place in dense electron-hole plasma.

X. CONCLUSIONS

The paper is devoted mainly for elaboration of variational methodology that helps to investigate the quantum Coulomb systems with Feynman path integral technique. The principal points the proposed scheme are:

1. The representation of the partition function of fermion gas by functional integrals is obtained using Feynman path integral and formally introduced integration over complex field. This integral contains only third order vertices that simplifies further use of diagram technique.
2. The form of the functional integral differs essentially for Fermi and Bose systems. For example, the integration over complex field for fermions stands in denominator, while for bosons - in numerator. Such a mathematically formal representation solves the problem of symmetrisation.
3. The self-interaction part is excluded using modified Coulomb interaction potential at small distances and scaled chemical potential. The limit to accurate Coulomb interaction potential is performed in final expressions.
4. The algorithm for expression of thermodynamic potential by average values cumulants of real and complex fields is elaborated. Those values of cumulants can be further found from the minimum of thermodynamic potential.
5. The phase diagram and critical point are obtained using the simplest approximation of thermodynamic potential. For this reason, variation only of chemical potential and effective mass for correlation functions of particles is performed, while the minimisation in respect to cumulant of electric field is maintained exactly.

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Binder and G. Ciccotti, eds., *Monte Carlo and Molecular Dynamics of Condensed Matter Systems* (Editrice Compositori, Bologna, Italy, 1996), chap. "Path integral Monte Carlo methods for fermions" by David M. Ceperley.
- [2] V. S. Filinov, M. Bonitz, D. Kremp, W.-D. Kraeft, W. Ebeling, P. R. Levashov, and V. E. Fortov, *Contrib. Plasma Phys.* **41**(2-3), 135 (2001).
- [3] A. J. F. Siegert, *Physica* **26**, 30 (1960).
- [4] L. S. Brown and L. G. Yaffe, *Phys. Rept.* **340**(1-2), 1 (2001), physics/9911055.
- [5] W. I. Skrypnik, *Theoretical and Mathematical Physics* **88**(1), 115 (1991).
- [6] R. P. Feynman and A. R. Hibbs, *Quantum mechanics and path integrals* (McGraw-Hill, New-York, 1965).
- [7] R. P. Feynman, *Statistical Mechanics* (W.A. Benjamin, Inc., Massachusetts, 1972), a set of lectures.
- [8] I. Madzhuļis and J. Kaupužs, *Phys. Stat. Sol. (b)* **175**, 307 (1993).
- [9] J. Ortner, *Phys. Rev. E* **59**(6), 6312 (1999), prola.
- [10] A. Alastuey, F. Lornu, and A. Perez, *Phys. Rev. E* **49**(2), 1077 (1994), prola.
- [11] A. N. Vasiljev, *Functional Methods in Quantum Field Theory and Statistics* (St. Petersburg State University, St. Petersburg, 1976).
- [12] M. E. Fisher and Y. Levin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **71**(23), 3826 (1993), prola.
- [13] H. Lehmann and W. Ebeling, *Phys. Rev. E* **54**(3), 2451 (1996), prola.
- [14] W.-D. Kraeft, D. Kremp, W. Ebeling, and G. Röpke, *Quantum Statistics of Charged Particle Systems* (Akademie-Verlag, Berlin, 1986).
- [15] J. Shumway and D. M. Ceperley, in *Proceedings for the 1999 international conference on Strongly Coupled Coulomb Systems* (St. Malo, France, 1999), cond-mat/9909434.
- [16] T. M. Rice, J. C. Hensel, T. G. Phillips, and G. A. Thomas, *The Electron-Hole Liquid in Semiconductors*, vol. 32 of *Solid state physics* (Academic Press, 1977).