## Two-Magnon States in $Cu(NO_3)_2 \cdot 2.5D_2O$ using Inelastic Neutron Scattering.

D. A. Tennant<sup>1,2,3,4</sup>, C. Broholm<sup>5,6</sup>, Daniel H. Reich<sup>5</sup>, S. E. Nagler<sup>4</sup>, G. E. Granroth<sup>4</sup>,
T. Barnes<sup>7,8</sup>, G. Xu<sup>5</sup>, B. C. Sales<sup>4</sup> and Y. Chen<sup>5</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, ISIS Facility, Didcot OX11 0QX, Oxon.,

United Kingdom

 $^2 \, Oxford \ Physics, \ Clarendon \ Laboratory$  , Parks Road, Oxford OX1 3PU,

United Kingdom

<sup>3</sup>Condensed Matter Physics and Chemistry Dept., Risø National Laboratory, DK-4000, Roskilde, Denmark

> <sup>4</sup>Solid State Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN 37831-6393

<sup>5</sup>Department of Physics and Astronomy, The Johns Hopkins University,

Baltimore, MD 21218

<sup>6</sup>National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD 20899

<sup>7</sup>Department of Physics and Astronomy,

University of Tennessee,

Knoxville, TN 37996-1501

<sup>8</sup>Physics Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory,

Oak Ridge, TN 37831-6373

(October 24, 2018)

We report measurements of the two-magnon states in a dimerized antiferromagnetic chain material, copper nitrate  $(Cu(NO_3)_2 \cdot 2.5D_2O)$ . Using inelastic neutron scattering, we have studied the one- and two-magnon excitation spectra in a large single crystal of this material. We compare this new data with perturbative expansions of the alternating Heisenberg chain and find good agreement with these calculations. The data may also show evidence for the recently proposed S = 1 two-magnon bound state (Phys.

Rev. B54, R9624 (1996)).

PACS numbers: 75.10. Jm, 75.40. Gb, 78.70. Nx

Competition between hopping and binding effects in elementary excitations is a general feature of low dimensional hard core systems. Such effects are predicted to be manifest in the structure factor of multiparticle continua, accessible using neutron and Raman scattering, as bound modes and enhancement of continuum scattering [1] [2]. Experimental systems of particular interest in this respect are the S = 1/2 alternating Heisenberg chains (AHC) [1] and the new spin ladder systems [2] which are predicted to have bound modes below the two-magnon excitation continua. To investigate this phenomenon we present an experimental study of the two-magnon states in a near ideal example of an AHC compound, using inelastic neutron scattering.

The S = 1/2 AHC spin Hamiltonian is

$$H = \sum_{i} J \vec{S}_{2i-1} \cdot \vec{S}_{2i} + \alpha J \vec{S}_{2i} \cdot \vec{S}_{2i+1}$$
(1)

where J > 0 is the intradimer coupling, and  $\alpha J$  ( $0 \le \alpha \le 1$ ) the interdimer one, which alternate between chain sites *i* [3]. Computational methods are very effective at calculating perturbative properties of (1), and ground and low-lying excited state wavefunctions are given to  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^5)$  in [3], together with many experimentally important quantities to  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^9)$ . Higher order expansions for selected quantities have recently been reported [4]. Here we compare these results to Cu(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> · 2.5D<sub>2</sub>O, or CN for short.

The magnetic properties of CN are well characterized. It is monoclinic  $(I12/c1 \ [5])$ , with low temperature lattice parameters a = 16.1, b = 4.9, c = 15.8 Å and  $\beta = 92.9^{\circ} \ [6]$ , with spin S = 1/2 magnetic Cu<sup>2+</sup> ions. The dominant magnetic exchange integral J is between pairs of spins forming dimers. Dimers separated by **u** are coupled together by exchanges  $J'_{\mathbf{u}}$ . Only the  $J'_{[\frac{1}{2},\pm\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}]}$  exchange paths are of appreciable strength, giving two sets of alternating Heisenberg chains (AHCs) running in the [111] and [111] directions which repeat every  $\mathbf{u}_0 = [111]/2$  and  $\mathbf{u}'_0 = [111]/2$  respectively.

Bulk magnetic measurements give information on the gap, exchange and ground state energies: Applied magnetic fields induce spin flop ordering in CN above a critical field  $H_{c1} \approx 27$  kOe, with a transition to full alignment at  $H_{c2} \approx 43$  kOe. Because the orbital moment is quenched, and demagnetization effects are negligible,  $H_{c1}$  directly gives the excitation gap,  $\Delta = 0.378 \pm .007$  meV [7] and  $H_{c2}$  gives the sum of exchange couplings  $J + \sum_{\mathbf{u}} J'_{\mathbf{u}} = 0.580 \pm .007$  meV. Normally it is not possible to measure the ground state energy of quantum antiferromagnets but high field magnetization techniques in our case make this achievable.

The ground state energy-per-spin  $e_0$  can be found from the low temperature isothermal magnetization M(H) using  $e_0 \approx e_f - SH_{c2} + \int_0^{H_{c2}} M(H)dH$  where the fully aligned energy-per-spin is  $e_f = S^2/2 \cdot (J + \sum_{\mathbf{u}} J'_{\mathbf{u}}) = H_{c2}/8 = 0.0725 \pm .001$  meV. Using the 270 mK data of Diederix *et al.* in Figure (3) of [7] (measured using proton resonance) to determine the integral over magnetization gives an experimental ground state energy-perspin  $e_0 = -0.174 \pm .004$  meV. This is essentially the T = 0 result, as the gap activation energy corresponds to 4.4 K. To estimate thermodynamic properties we approximate the sum of the exchanges by the single coupling  $\alpha J = \sum_{\mathbf{u}} J'_{\mathbf{u}}$  of equation (1). Using the  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^9)$  expansions [3] for  $\Delta(\alpha)$  and  $e_0$  gives  $J = 0.455 \pm .002$  meV and  $\alpha = 0.277 \pm .006$ ; in agreement with the results of [7] and [8], J = 0.45 meV and  $\alpha = 0.27$ . Our calculated values of the thermodynamic parameters  $J + \sum_{\mathbf{u}} J'_{\mathbf{u}} = 0.581$  meV,  $\Delta = 0.379$  meV and  $e_0 = -0.172$  meV agree within error with the experimental values.

The neutron scattering structure factor  $S(\mathbf{Q}, \omega)$  probes the ground  $|G\rangle$  and excited states  $|E\rangle$  of a magnetic system through the matrix element  $|\langle E|S^{\alpha}(\mathbf{Q})|G\rangle|^2$  of the Fourier transformed spin operator  $S^{\alpha}(\mathbf{Q})$  ( $\alpha = x, y, z$ ). We measured  $S(\mathbf{Q}, \omega)$  using inelastic neutron scattering from two deuterated single crystals of CN with a total mass of 14.1 g, using the SPINS cold neutron triple-axis spectrometer at the NIST Center for Neutron Research. The substitution of D for H reduces incoherent scattering of neutrons and does not significantly change the magnetic properties of the material. The sample was mounted with (h, 0, l) as the scattering plane in a pumped <sup>3</sup>He cryostat at a base temperature of 300 mK.

The spectrometer was set up with 80' before the sample as the only collimation. A ver-

tically focused pyrolytic graphite PG(002) monochromator and a horizontally focused analyzer array composed of eleven independently rotatable PG(002) blades were employed. A cooled Be filter before the sample removed higher-order contamination from the beam. Measurements were made with fixed final energy  $E_f = 2.5$  meV by scanning incident energy at various reduced wavevector transfers along the chain,  $\tilde{q} = \mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{u}_0$ . The wide angular acceptance (14°) of the analyzer dominated the instrumental resolution making it highly elongated perpendicular to the scattered wavevector in the scattering plane. Scan trajectories were chosen to maintain the final wavevector  $\mathbf{k}_f$  along the (101) direction so as to integrate over nondispersive directions while maintaining good resolution in  $\tilde{q}$ .

Because the ground state is a singlet it cannot be probed directly by neutrons, but its composition is reflected through spin matrix elements to triplet excited states. Neutron scattering matrix elements to the S = 1 one magnon states have been calculated to  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^5)$ [3]: the leading order scattering process is from the bare dimer component of the ground state, and an  $\alpha/2 \cdot \cos(\tilde{q})$  component in the one magnon structure factor arises from an  $O(\alpha)$  two-dimer excitation in the ground state. Similarly, transitions between various components of the full ground and excited states can be identified through distinctive modulations of the  $\mathbf{Q}$  dependence of  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{Q}, \omega)$ .

Figure (1) shows scans in energy in CN: Panel (a) shows a scan at the antiferromagnetic zone-center,  $\tilde{q} = 2\pi$ , taken at T = 300 mK. Strong elastic scattering from incoherent nuclear processes is clearly seen as well as a one magnon [6] peak at 0.4 meV, close to the dimer energy J = 0.45 meV. The non-magnetic background (dashed line) was modelled by a Gaussian (incoherent) component and a power-times-Lorentzian (broad, quasielastic) component. A second magnetic peak appears at about 0.9 meV, double the dimer energy. Panels (b) and (c) show this peak with the nonmagnetic background subtracted at  $\tilde{q} = 2\pi$  and  $\tilde{q} = 3\pi$ , respectively. This feature is considerably weaker than the one-magnon scattering and narrows at the zone-boundary, panel (c); this behavior is consistent with two-magnon scattering. Figure (2) shows excitations calculated to  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$  using degenerate perturbation theory, including states up to two-magnon, for J = 0.45 meV and  $\alpha = 0.27$ . The calculation is similar to that for the two-soliton continuum in the XXZ Ising chain in [9], except that a dimer excited state basis is used and the one magnon band is included [10]. An interesting feature of the spectrum is the existence of an S = 1 two-magnon bound state for a range of  $\tilde{q}$  around  $\tilde{q} \approx (2n+1)\pi$  where n is an integer. This bound state is due to the attraction between adjacent excited dimers with total spin 1 (and 0). It exists only over a limited range of  $\tilde{q}$  around the bandwidth minimum in the two-magnon bandwidth minimum the hopping element is small, and binding occurs. Far from this minimum the gain in hopping energy of excited dimers is dominant and no S = 1 bound mode (not visible to neutron scattering, but can be observed using Raman scattering) should exist below the continuum for all  $\tilde{q}$ , because it has a larger attractive interaction between adjacent dimers.

The strength of production of the S = 1 bound mode in neutron scattering depends on the spin operator matrix element to this state. Perturbation analysis in  $\alpha$  [3] shows that there is no zeroth order coupling of the bare dimer ground state to the two-magnon bound state and continuum through the neutron scattering matrix element. The leading perturbative contribution to  $S(\mathbf{Q}, \omega)$  appears at  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^2)$ , and is due to a transition from the  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$  two-excited-dimer component of the ground state and to the  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$  one-exciteddimer component of the bound state. The matrix element of these two basis components has a complicated and characteristic wavevector dependence.

Figure (2) shows the energy corresponding to a weighted average of scattering as a thick grey line. It is notable that at  $\tilde{q} = 3\pi$  to a good approximation the neutrons couple only to the bound mode, so that nearly all the scattering weight is in it, not the continuum. The calculated neutron scattering intensity from the bound state is 2% of the

one-magnon intensity which agrees with the data in Figure (1).

The one- and two-magnon scattering at 300 mK was scanned from  $\tilde{q} = \pi$  to  $5\pi$  in steps of  $\pi/4$ . The background subtracted data are plotted in the upper panel of Figure (3). A calculation of the magnetic scattering based on the perturbation calculation described above, including the dimer envelope function [3], is shown in the lower panel of Figure (3). The calculation is directly comparable with the data in the upper panel of Figure (3), and at a qualitative level there is good agreement with experiment.

A quantitative comparison between theory and data is shown in Figure (4). The measured positions of one- and two-magnon peaks are plotted in the left panel. Energies, widths and intensities for each peak were extracted by least-squares fitting of Gaussians. Considerable dispersion of the one-magnon modes is evident. Measurements of the one magnon dispersion were previously fitted using an  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$  model of chains with an additional weak interdimer coupling  $J'_{[1/200]}$  and  $J'_{[001/2]}$  [6] and [11].

The dispersion relation predicted by this model gives a good account of our data, Figure (4). The two-magnon peak is broader than experimental resolution, and the extracted positions (grey filled circles) are nearly dispersionless and are located at the calculated weighted average energies (grey band) replotted from Figure (2). Interchain effects are effectively integrated over in the two-magnon scattering, and this results in line broadening rather than shifts in energy; we thus have implicitly included interchain coupling effects in our definition of  $\alpha = 0.27$  for (1).

The right-hand panels of Figure (4) show one and two magnon integrated intensities extracted from the fit. The one-magnon intensity (lower panel) is well described by the theory (solid line), and shows a simple oscillation. Because the one-magnon intensity is modulated by the dimer envelope function in  $S(\mathbf{Q}, \omega)$  [3] the intensity should go to zero near  $\tilde{q} = 4\pi$ . The residual intensity comes from secondary elastic scattering from incoherent processes, and the theory (solid line) is corrected for this. The two-magnon intensity (upper panel) shows a more complicated  $\tilde{q}$  dependence, which is due to the various basis transitions that contribute to the coupling of the ground to excited states. Although the  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$  result looks qualitatively similar to the data, it underestimates the scattering at  $\tilde{q} \approx \frac{9}{2}\pi$  and overestimates it at  $2\pi$ , which may indicate that higher order terms in the scattering amplitude are important. It is notable that the two-magnon intensity is very strongly dependent on the spatial arrangement of magnetic ions.

The binding energy of the S = 1 state is predicted to be [3]  $E_B = J\left(\frac{1}{4}\alpha - \frac{13}{32}\alpha^2\right) = 0.017 \text{ meV}$  for CN. Scattering around  $\tilde{q} = 3\pi$  is centered at  $0.852 \pm .007 \text{ meV}$ , which gives  $E_B = 0.03 \pm .02 \text{ meV}$ . Although the energy and intensity around  $\tilde{q} = 3\pi$  lend support to binding around this bandwidth minimum, the experimental error means this does not constitute definitive proof of the effect in CN. The much better energy discrimination of time-of-flight (TOF) neutron spectrometers could provide this by resolving the bound mode from the continuum. Previously, TOF techniques have proven successful in the study of similar binding effects at the bandwidth minimum of the two-soliton continuum scattering of the S = 1/2 XXZ Ising chain material CsCoCl<sub>3</sub> [12]. Unlike the AHC, binding only occurs when extra terms in the Hamiltonian, such as exchange mixing [12] or next-nearest neighbor coupling [13], are present. However limited neutron fluxes may make such measurements difficult for CN [6].

In conclusion, we have used inelastic neutron scattering to investigate the ground and excited states of the near-ideal alternating Heisenberg chain material  $Cu(NO_3)_2 \cdot 2.5D_2O$ . Our measurements are consistent with predictions of this model for several magnetic properties of this system, including the ground state energy, one- and two-magnon excitation spectra and intensities, and possibly the existence of a two-magnon bound state. Much experimental work remains to be done to establish the phenomenology of binding in isotropic 1D systems.

DAT wishes to thank Drs B. Lebech, R. Hazell, and D. McMorrow for their help and Risø for generous support. This work was partly supported by Oak Ridge National Laboratory, managed by UT-Battelle, LLC, for the US Dept. of Energy under contract DE-AC05-00OR22725. The NSF supported work at SPINS through DMR-9423101 and work at JHU through DMR-9453362 and DMR-9801742. DHR acknowledges the generous support of the David and Lucile Packard Foundation.

- [1] G.S. Uhrig and H.J. Schulz, Phys. Rev. B 54, R9624 (1996).
- [2] K. Damle and S. Sachdev, Phys. Rev. B 57, 8307 (1998).
- [3] T. Barnes, J. Riera, and D.A. Tennant. Phys. Rev. B 59, 11384 (1999).
- [4] R.R.P. Singh and Z. Weihong, Phys. Rev. B 59, 9911 (1999).
- [5] Note that the space group for  $Cu(NO_3)_2 \cdot 2.5H_2O$  has been incorrectly given in previous work as I 1 2/a 1.
- [6] G. Xu, C. Broholm, D.H. Reich, and M. A. Adams cond-mat/990821 to appear in Phys. Rev. Lett (2000).
- [7] K.M. Diederix *et al.* Phys. Rev. B **19**, 420 (1979).
- [8] J.C. Bonner *et al.* Phys. Rev. B **27**, 248 (1983).
- [9] N. Ishimura and H. Shiba, Prog. Theor. Phys. **63**, 743 (1980)
- [10] D.A. Tennant and T. Barnes, unpublished
- [11] M. Stone *et al.* unpublished.
- [12] J.P. Goff, D.A. Tennant, and S.E. Nagler, Phys. Rev. B 52, 15992 (1995).
- [13] F. Matsubara, and S. Inawashiro, Phys. Rev B 43, 796 (1991).

FIG. 1. (a) Low temperature scattering at  $\tilde{q} = 2\pi$ . The dashed line is a fitted background and the solid line is a fit to the scattering described in the text. (b) Two magnon scattering with background subtracted off. The solid line is a fit (see text). The solid bar indicates the instrumental resolution. (c) Two-magnon scattering for  $\tilde{q} = 3\pi$  with nonmagnetic background subtracted off.

FIG. 2. Schematic showing predictions of perturbation theory specialized to J = 0.45 meV and  $\alpha = 0.27$ .

FIG. 3. (Color) Upper panel shows a color filled contour plot of the measured data with nonmagnetic background subtracted. Intensity is on a linear scale indicated by color, going from dark red (minimum) to light yellow (maximum). The two magnon scattering has been enhanced by a factor of  $10^2$  to make it visible on the same scale. Lower panel shows the calculated scattering using perturbation theory with corrections for instrumental resolution, multiple scattering and magnetic form factor.

FIG. 4. Comparison of theory and data. Left panel shows fitted positions of observed scattering with predictions using perturbation theory (see text). Right lower panel shows fitted one magnon intensity compared with perturbation theory (see text). Right upper panel shows a comparison of two-magnon intensity with perturbation theory (see text).



Figure (1)



Figure (2)

![](_page_12_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_0.jpeg)