Peaks above the Harrison-Zel'dovich spectrum due to the Quark-Gluon to Hadron Transition¹

By Christoph Schmid, Dominik J. Schwarz, and Peter Widerin 2

Institut für Theoretische Physik, ETH-Hönggerberg, CH-8093 Zürich

Abstract. We investigate the effect of the quark-gluon to hadron transition at $T_{\star} \sim 150$ MeV on the evolution of primordial cosmological perturbations, in particular distortions of the Harrison-Zel'dovich spectrum. If the phase transition is first order, the sound velocity vanishes during the transition. Thus, density perturbations fall freely for about a Hubble time. The primordial Harrison-Zel'dovich rms density fluctuations for scales below the Hubble radius at the transition develop peaks and dips, which grow linearly with the wavenumber, both for the hadron-photonlepton fluid and for cold dark matter. The large peaks in the spectrum may affect primordial black hole formation, big bang nucleosynthesis, and they produce cold dark matter clumps of 10^{-8} to $10^{-11} M_{\odot}$.

QCD makes a confinement transition from a quark-gluon plasma (QGP) at high temperatures to a hadron gas (HG) at low temperatures. Lattice QCD simulations show that this transition takes place at a temperature $T_{\star} \sim 150$ MeV and give indications that this isa first-order phase transition for the physical values of the u,d,s -quark masses [[1\]](#page-5-0). The relevance of the QCD transition for cosmology, especially for big-bang nucleosynthesis[[2\]](#page-6-0), has been discussed before, but the focus was on the effects of bubble formation[[3, 4](#page-6-0)]. In this paper we look at the properties of matter averaged over scales λ much larger than the bubble size and the bubble separation. We show that for a first order phase transition the sound speed $c_s = (\partial p/\partial \rho)_s^{1/2}$ drops to zero suddenly at the moment the transition temperature T_{\star} is reached, stays zero for the entire time until the phase transition is completed, and

¹Seminar presented by C. S. at the Center for Particle Astrophysics, Berkeley (February '96), Fermi National Lab. (March '96) and at the 'Journées Relativistes' in Ascona, Switzerland (May '96).

e-mail: chschmid, dschwarz, widerin, all @itp.phys.ethz.ch

afterwards suddenly rises back to $c_s = c/\sqrt{3}$. In contrast the pressure stays positive and varies continuously, although it is below the radiation fluid value $p/\rho = 1/3$ during the QCD transition. Since c_s is zero during the transition, there are no pressure perturbations, no pressure gradients, no restoring forces during the time the QCD transition takes. Pre-existing primordial cosmological perturbations, generated by inflation[[5\]](#page-6-0) with a Harrison-Zel'dovich spectrum[[6\]](#page-6-0), go into free fall for about a Hubble time. The superhorizon modes (at the time of the transition) remain unaffected, the subhorizon modes develop peaks which grow linearly in $\delta\rho/\rho$ with wavenumber, $\sim k/k_{\star}$, where $k_{\star}^{\text{phys}} \sim$ Hubble rate H at the end of the QCD transition.

The speed of sound, $c_s = (\partial p/\partial \rho)_s^{1/2}$, must be zero during a first-order phase transition of a fluid with negligible chemical potential, since the fluid must obey

$$
\rho + p = T \frac{dp}{dT} \,,\tag{1}
$$

according to the second law of thermodynamics. Because the energy density ρ is discontinuous in temperature at T_{\star} for a first-order phase transition, the pressure p must be continuous with a discontinuous slope. As the universe expands at fixed temperature T_{\star} during the phase transition, ρ as a function of time slowly decreases from $\rho_{+}(T_{\star})$ to $\rho_{-}(T_{\star})$, p stays constant at $p(T_{\star})$, and therefore c_s is zero during the whole time of the phase transition.

The interaction rates in the QCD-photon-lepton fluid are much larger than the Hubble rate, $\Gamma/H \gg 1$, therefore we are very close to thermal and chemical equilibrium, the process is very close to a reversible thermodynamic transition and $dS \approx 0$. Estimates show that supercooling, hence entropy production, is negligible, $(T_{\star} - T_{\text{supercooling}})/T_{\star} \sim 10^{-3}$ [\[8](#page-6-0)]. Bubble formation during the QCD phase transition is unimportant for our analysis, because estimatesgive a bubble separation $\ell_b \sim 1$ cm [[4\]](#page-6-0), while the Hubble radius at the QCD transition is $R_H \sim 10$ km, therefore $\ell_b/R_H \sim 10^{-6}$. We shall analyze perturbations with $\lambda \gg \ell_b$.

The bag model gives an explicit parameterization and a reasonable fit to the lattice QCD data[[7\]](#page-6-0). In the bag model it is assumed that for $T > T_{\star}$ the quark-gluon plasma (QGP) obeys

$$
p_{\rm QGP}(T) = p_{\rm QGP}^{\rm ideal}(T) - B \;, \tag{2}
$$

where $p_{\text{QGP}}^{\text{ideal}}(T) = (\pi^2/90) g_{\text{QGP}}^* T^4$, g^* is the effective number of relativistic helicity states, and B is the bag constant. We include u,d-quarks and gluons in the QGP, γ , e, μ , and 3 neutrinos in the photon-lepton fluid, and for $T < T_{\star}$ we have a hadron gas (HG) of pions. We treat the pions as massless and ideal, because their contribution is small anyway, $g_{\text{HG}}^*/g_{\text{QGP}}^* = 3/37$ and g_{HG}^*/g_{γ}^* lepton = 3/14.25. ρ follows from Eq. (2) via the second law of thermodynamics, Eq. (1), and s from $s = dp/dT$. For the QGP this gives

$$
\rho_{\rm QGP}(T) = \rho_{\rm QGP}^{\rm ideal}(T) + B \tag{3}
$$

$$
s_{\text{QGP}}(T) = s_{\text{QGP}}^{\text{ideal}}(T) . \qquad (4)
$$

The bag constant is determined by the critical temperature T_{\star} via $p_{\text{QGP}}(T_{\star}) = p_{\text{HG}}(T_{\star}).$

Figure 1: The behavior of $c_s^2 = (\partial p/\partial \rho)_s$ (full line) and $w = p/\rho$ before $(a < a_-)$, during $(a_{-} < a < a_{+})$, and after $(a_{+} < a)$ the QCD transition.

The growth of the scale factor during the QCD transition, a_{+}/a_{-} , follows from the conservation of entropy in a comoving volume,

$$
\frac{a_{+}}{a_{-}} = \left(\frac{g_{\text{before}}^{*}}{g_{\text{after}}^{*}}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} = \left(\frac{51.25}{17.25}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \approx 1.4\ .
$$
\n(5)

Fig. 1 shows the evolution of the sound speed with the scale factor a. Above and below T_{\star} , the speed of sound has the value for an ultrarelativistic ideal gas, $c_s = 1/\sqrt{3}$, because thebag constant drops out when forming dp/dT and $d\rho/dT$ in Eqs. ([2](#page-1-0)) and ([3](#page-1-0)). Also shown is $p/\rho \equiv w$; note that the pressure does not drop all the way to zero, it drops to $p_{\gamma \text{lepton}}(T_{\star}) + p_{\text{HG}}(T_{\star}).$

The evolution of linear cosmological perturbations through the QCD transition is analyzed for the longitudinal sector (density perturbations) for ideal fluids. We choose a slicing Σ of space-time with unperturbed mean extrinsic curvature, $\delta[\text{tr}K_{ii}(\Sigma)]=0$. This implies that our fundamental observers, which are defined to be at rest on the slice Σ , $\underline{u}(\text{obs}) = \underline{n}(\Sigma)$, have relative velocities, which in the mean over all directions follow an unperturbed Hubble flow. If the coordinate choice (gauge choice) is such that the time coordinate is constant onthe slices Σ , the gauge is fixed to be the uniform expansion (Hubble) gauge [[9\]](#page-6-0). As fundamental evolution equations for each fluid we choose the continuity equation and the 3-divergence of the Euler equation of general relativity,

$$
\partial_t \epsilon = -3H(\epsilon + \pi) - \Delta \psi - 3H(\rho + p)\alpha \tag{6}
$$

$$
\partial_t \psi = -3H\psi - \pi - (\rho + p)\alpha , \qquad (7)
$$

where $\epsilon \equiv \delta \rho$, $\pi \equiv \delta p$, $\rho \equiv \rho_0$, $p \equiv p_0$, $\vec{\nabla}\psi = \vec{S}$ = momentum density (Poynting vector), α lapse function. The system of dynamical equations is closed by Einstein's $R_{\hat{0}\hat{0}}$ -equation, the general relativistic version of Poisson's equation,

$$
(\Delta + 3\dot{H})\alpha = 4\pi G(\epsilon + 3\pi) \tag{8}
$$

Figure 2: The modifications of the CDM density contrast $A_{CDM} \equiv |\delta_{CDM}|(T_{\star}/10)$ and of the radiation fluid amplitude $A_{\text{RAD}} \equiv (\delta_{\text{RAD}}^2 + 3\hat{\psi}_{\text{RAD}}^2)^{1/2}$ due to the QCD transition. Both quantities are normalized to the pure Harrison-Zel'dovich radiation amplitude. On the horizontal axis the wavenumber k is represented by the CDM mass contained in a sphere of radius π/k .

Equations $(6) - (8)$ $(6) - (8)$ $(6) - (8)$ define our general relativistic Cauchy problem in linear perturbation theory in the longitudinal sector with initial data (ϵ, ψ) freely chosen on Σ_i . These three equations are the Jeans equations for general relativity in the longitudinal sector. In all three of them the mean over all directions is taken. This fact matches our slicing condition that in the mean over all directions the relative velocity of our fundamental observers is unperturbed. Therefore we call the corresponding gauge the 'longitudinal Jeans gauge'.

It is convenient to work with the dimensionless variables $\delta \equiv \epsilon / \rho$ (density contrast), $\hat{\psi} \equiv k^{\text{phys}} \psi / \rho$ (~ peculiar velocity) and with conformal time, $(y' \equiv \partial_{\eta} \equiv a\partial_t)$. In our numerical analysis we have used the exact general relativistic equations, but it is instructive to look at the subhorizon approximation, $\lambda^{\text{phys}} \ll H^{-1}$, where one can drop H in the general relativistic Poisson equation([8\)](#page-2-0) and the last term in the continuity equation [\(6](#page-2-0)). Furthermore, if we take the limit in which the QCD transition time is much shorter than theHubble time, $(t_{+} - t_{-}) \ll H^{-1}$, Eqs. ([6\)](#page-2-0) – ([8](#page-2-0)) simplify to

$$
\delta' = k\hat{\psi}
$$

\n
$$
\hat{\psi}' = -c_s^2 k\delta - (1+w)k\alpha
$$

\n
$$
\left(\frac{k}{aH}\right)^2 \alpha = -\frac{3}{2}(1+3c_s^2)\delta.
$$
\n(9)

Figure 3: The time evolution of the density contrast (δ_{RAD}) and the peculiar velocity $(\sim \hat{\psi}_{\rm RAD})$ for the mode $k/k_{\star} = 1.4$ of the radiation fluid in uniform Hubble gauge. During the QCD transition - marked by the 2 vertical lines - the velocity stays approximately constant and the density contrast grows linearly. Conformal time η is represented by k^{phys}/H , which is equal to $k\eta$ in a radiation dominated universe.

We have solved our problem analytically for either $k \gg k_{\star}$ or $(t_{+} - t_{-}) \ll H^{-1}$. The solution agrees fairly well with the numerical solution without approximations. Details will be given in a larger paper. The numerical results of evolving a mode k of a cosmological perturbation through the QCD transition are given in Fig. 2. We show the enhancement of the amplitude $A_{\text{RAD}} \equiv (\delta_{\text{RAD}}^2 + 3\hat{\psi}_{\text{RAD}}^2)^{1/2}$ of the acoustic oscillations of the radiation fluid (QCD, photons, leptons) after the transition compared to the amplitude without transition. For cold dark matter (CDM) we show the amplitude $A_{CDM} \equiv |\delta_{CDM}|$ at $T_{\star}/10$ compared to $A_{\rm RAD}$ without transition. In both cases we obtain peaks over the Harrison-Zel'dovich spectrum of primordial adiabatic density fluctuations. Only those modes are affected which are subhorizon during the transition, $k \geq k_{\star}$, where $k_{\star}^{\text{phys}}(t_{+}) \sim H(t_{+})$. Our peaks grow linearly in k for $k \gg k_{\star}$. The modes k (horizontal axis) are labeled by the CDM mass contained in a sphere of radius $\lambda/2 = \pi/k$. The value k_{\star} corresponds to $M_{CDM} \sim 10^{-8} M_{\odot}$. The radiation energy inside $\lambda_{\star}/2$ is ~ 1 M_{\odot} , but it gets redshifted as $M_{\rm RAD}(a) \sim (a_{\rm equality}/a)M_{\rm CDM}$. Our perturbations in the radiation fluid will get reduced by collisional damping from neutrinos and much later wiped out by Silk damping from photons; this is under investigation.

For CDM we consider supersymmetric dark matter with the minimal mass of the lightest supersymmetric particle updated according to LEP 1.5, $M_{\rm LSP} > 30$ GeV [\[10\]](#page-6-0). With a freezeout temperature of $T_f \sim M_{\rm LSP}/20$ free-streaming wipes out CDM structure for $k/k_* > 10$.

The origin and magnitude of these impressive peaks for $k \gg k_{\star}$ is easily understood by looking at the time evolution in Fig. 3: The RAD fluid in each mode makes standing acoustic oscillations before and after the QCD transition with gravity negligible and with ψ/δ of order unity. During the QCD transition the sound velocity is zero, there are no restoring forces from pressure gradients, the RAD fluid goes into free fall. But during this free fall gravity is again negligible for the RAD fluid, its peculiar velocity ($\sim \psi$) is constant in time, and thedensity contrast grows linearly in time with a slope k , see Eqs. ([9\)](#page-3-0). This is inertial motion in the sense of Newton. Therefore the height of the peaks is $k/k_{\star} \sim (k^{\text{phys}}/H)(t_{+})$. This is totally different from the usual free fall growing mode, where $\hat{\psi}/\delta \sim H/k^{\text{phys}} \ll 1$ and $\delta_+/\delta_- = (\eta_+/\eta_-)^2 \approx 2$. In our case the initial peculiar velocity $\hat{\psi}$ of the RAD fluid is enormously larger than usual for $k \gg k_{\star}$. CDM falls into the gravity wells generated by the radiation fluid. COBE observations normalize the subhorizon spectrum as $k^{3/2}|\delta(k)| \sim 10^{-4}$, if there is no tilt in the spectrum. Hence modes with $k^{\text{phys}}/H > 10^4$ go nonlinear by the end of the QCD transition.

For a QCD transition of second order or for a crossover from QGP to HG without a phase transition, the sound speed still shows a dramatic drop during the time of the transition. In this case the peaks on small scales do not grow linearly with k. Results will be presented in a longer paper.

The paradigm that the CDM spectrum $k^{3/2}|\delta(k)|$, fully processed until any fixed time after matter-radiation equality, should be flat (apart from logarithmic terms and modest tilts, $|n-1| < 0.2$) for modes having crossed the horizon before equality, is now shown to be in error: The QCD transition can produce very large peaks in CDM.

The relevance of our peaks above the Harrison-Zel'dovich spectrum generated in the standard scenario with a first order QCD transition are:

1) CDM clumps are formed with $10^{-8} > M_{CDM}/M_{\odot} > 10^{-11}$, which go nonlinear sometime after equality and virialize by violent gravitational relaxation. The CDM clumps would need 'nanolensing' to be observable. Whether they could act as seeds for structure formation at very small scales for somehow strongly cooled baryons must be decided by experts in nonlinear processes.

2) Big-Bang Nucleosynthesis may be affected by nonlinear acoustic oscillations generated for $k/k_{\star} > 10^4$ in the radiation fluid. Details remain to be investigated.

3) Primordial black hole formation would need an additional ingredient, because nonlinear acoustic oscillations in the RAD fluid are generated far below the Hubble scale at the end of the QCD transition. Neutrino cooling may lead to black-hole formation. This remains to be investigated.

We like to thank J. A. Bardeen, F. Karsch, and V. Mukhanov for helpful discussions. D.S. and P. W. thank the Swiss National Science Foundation for financial support.

References

- [1] C. DeTar, Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) 42, 73 (1995); T. Blum et al., Phys. Rev. D 51, 5153 (1995); Y. Iwasaki et al., preprint [hep-lat/9505017](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-lat/9505017) (1995).
- [2] J. H. Applegate and C. J. Hogan, Phys. Rev. D 31, 3037 (1985); J. H. Applegate, C. J. Hogan, and R. J. Scherrer, Phys. Rev. D 35, 1151 (1987); G. M. Fuller, G. J. Mathews, and C. R. Alcock, Phys. Rev. D 37, 1380 (1988); R. A. Malaney and G. J. Mathews, Phys. Rep. 229, 145 (1993).
- [3] E. Witten, Phys. Rev. D 30, 272 (1984); K. Kajantie and H. Kurki-Suonio, Phys. Rev. D 34, 1719 (1986); L. P. Csernai and J. I. Kapusta, Phys. Rev. D 46, 1379 (1992); J. Ignatius et al., Phys. Rev. D 50, 3738 (1994).
- [4] A. A. Coley and T. Trappenberg, Phys. Rev. D 50, 4881 (1994); M. B. Christiansen and J. Madsen, Phys. Rev. D 53, 5446 (1996).
- [5] V. Mukhanov and G. Chibisov, Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 33, 549 (1981); A. Starobinsky, Phys. Lett. B 117, 175 (1982); A. Guth and S.-Y. Pi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 49, 1110 (1982); S. Hawking, Phys. Lett. B 115, 295 (1982); J. A. Bardeen, P. Steinhardt and M. Turner, Phys. Rev. D 28, 679 (1983).
- [6] E. R. Harrison, Phys. Rev. D 1, 2726 (1970); Ya. B. Zel'dovich, Mon. Not. R. Astr. Soc. 160 1P (1972).
- [7] T. DeGrand and K. Kajantie, Phys. Lett. 147B, 273 (1984).
- [8] Based on G. M. Fuller, G. J. Mathews, and C. R. Alcock, Phys. Rev. D 37, 1380 (1988).
- [9] J. A. Bardeen, Phys. Rev. D 22 , 1882 (1980); and in *Particle Physics and Cosmology*, ed. A. Zee (Gordon and Breach, New York, 1989).
- [10] G. Jungman, M. Kamionkowski, and K. Griest, Phys. Rep. 267, 195 (1996); F. Franke and S. Hesselbach, preprint [hep-ph/9606291](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9606291) (1996).