MODERATE ASPHERICITY OF THE SN 2002ic CIRCUMSTELLAR ENVELOPE

Nikolai N. Chugai

Institute of Astronomy, RAS, Pyatnitskaya 48, 109017 Moscow, Russia

nchugai@inasan.ru

and

Roger A. Chevalier

Department of Astronomy, University of Virginia, P.O. Box 400325, Charlottesville, VA 22904-4325, USA

rac5x@virginia.edu

ABSTRACT

The polarization of SN 2002ic interacting with a dense circumstellar envelope is calculated in the context of the asymmetric version of a previously proposed spherical interaction model. The circumstellar envelope is taken to be oblate. The observed polarization (Wang et al. 2004) can be reproduced for an aspect ratio of 0.65-0.7 assuming inclination angles $> 60^{\circ}$. This model predicts a weak sensitivity of the line profiles to the orientation, in agreement with the absence of significant variations of the line profiles among SN 2002ic-like supernovae. We propose a test for distinguishing between the binary and single star progenitor scenarios based upon the polarization distribution function for the growing sample of these events.

Subject headings: stars: mass-loss — supernovae: general — supernovae: individual (SN 2002ic)

1. INTRODUCTION

A new variety of Type Ia supernova (SN) embedded in a dense circumstellar (CS) envelope has been recognized (Hamuy et al. 2003). The generic example is SN 2002ic. Another example is the recently discovered SN 2005gj (Prieto et al. 2005; Aldering et al. 2006). Two other suspected members of this new family are SN 1997cy (Turatto et al. 2000) and SN 1999E (Rigon et al. 2003). Although both were discovered long after the explosion (t > 100 d), their close relation to SN 2002ic according to spectra, line profiles, and light curves (cf. Deng et al. 2004) is clear. The mass of the CS envelope derived from the luminosity powered by the interaction during ~ 500 days is several M_{\odot} (Deng et al. 2004; Chugai, Chevalier & Lundqvist 2004, hereafter CCL04).

The origin of these events is a challenging problem because existing evolutionary scenarios for SNe Ia do not predict such dense CS envelopes. Although single degenerate binary with supergiant secondary or single star (SN 1.5) progenitor scenarios are conceivable (Hamuy et al. 2003), both face the serious problem of synchronization between the phase of the rapid loss of a massive hydrogen envelope and the explosion (Livio & Riess 2004; Chugai & Yungelson 2004). A binary scenario proposed by Han & Podsiadlowski (2006) suggests that a main sequence secondary experiences a Roche lobe overflow at the time when the white dwarf companion has attained the Chandrasekhar limit.

An understanding of the properties of the CS envelope might elucidate the issue. Specifically, a strong deviation from spherical symmetry would support the binary over the single star scenario. The detection of the intrinsic polarization of SN 2002ic at the level of $p \approx 0.8\%$ (Wang et al. 2004) indicates asymmetry. Wang et al. conjectured that the CS medium around this supernova is strongly aspherical and makes up a dense equatorial disk with an opening angle of the order of a few degrees. Such structure, if confirmed, would have important implications for the evolutionary scenario. However, the spherical model is consistent with the observed line profiles and quasi-continuum of SN 2002ic (CCL04). Moreover, all three supernovae with available spectra (SN 2002ic, SN 1997cy, SN 1999E) show similar shapes and widths of spectral lines at similar epochs, which would not be expected in the case of a strongly aspherical interaction (CCL04).

These issues show the need to develop a model for the polarization of SN 2002ic. This paper aims to answer the questions: (1) what is the minimal degree of asphericity implied by the polarization data, and (2) is the minimal asphericity consistent with the spherical appearance suggested by the spectroscopic arguments? Our study is based on the spherical model of SN 2002ic proposed earlier for the light curve and spectrum of SN 2002ic (CCL04), modified here by introducing asphericity of the CS envelope. The polarization is calculated for a number of aspherical cases using the Monte Carlo technique. The results of polarization modelling are discussed along with the modelling of H α profiles in the context of comparison with available observational constraints.

2. MODELLING OF POLARIZATION

2.1. Model

We share the view of Wang et al. (2004) that the polarization of SN 2002ic is caused by Thomson scattering of photons in an aspherical CS envelope. A possible contribution of the asphericity of the initial SN ejecta is neglected, given the fact that the polarization of most SNe Ia is weak (< 0.2 - 0.3%), except for possibly the outermost layers (Wang et al. 2003) which contain a dynamically unimportant mass of the ejecta. Given the difficulty of self-consistent modelling of the hydrodynamics and the optical light for the case of the interaction of a SN Ia with an aspherical CS envelope, we follow a simplified approach. We rely on the model of SN 2002ic interaction with a spherical CS envelope computed in the thin shell approximation (CCL04). The model has a flat CS density distribution at $r < 3 \times 10^{15}$ cm, a power law drop $\rho \propto r^{-1.4}$ for $3 \times 10^{15} < r < 2.6 \times 10^{16}$ cm, and a steep decay $\rho \propto r^{-10}$ at $r > 2.6 \times 10^{16}$ cm. The total mass of the CS envelope in the spherical model is $1.6 M_{\odot}$. The radius of the thin shell at the epoch of the polarization observations (~ 244 d) is 1.9×10^{16} cm. We modify the spherical model by assuming that the CS envelope is aspherical and the isodensity surfaces are oblate spheroids with an aspect ratio b/a < 1 (where a and b are the major and minor semi-axes). The interaction zone, including the forward shock, reverse shock and cool dense shell (CDS) acquires, on the contrary, the shape of a prolate spheroid with the long axis along the polar axis (Fig. 1). Below we omit a thin layer of hot gas in the reverse shock that contributes neither to the optical radiation nor to the Thomson scattering.

The CDS formed by the shocked ejecta is responsible for the optical radiation except for the H α line (CCL04). The layer between the CDS of radius R_c and the forward shock of radius R_s ($R_c < r < R_s$) contains the shocked CS gas, which is assumed to be homogeneously distributed with radius, but with the dependence on the polar angle θ obtained from the column density of the swept-up CS gas. The CS density distribution along the minor axis is assumed to be the same as in the spherical model. Given the spheroidal shape of isodensity surfaces, the total mass of the CS envelope exceeds that of the spherical model by a factor $(a/b)^2$. CCL04 argued that the CDS may be fragmented and mixed with the forward shock gas. We considered two extreme cases: an unmixed case in which the CDS is unmixed and all the photons are emitted at the radius R_c , and a mixed case in which the emitting CDS material is homogeneously mixed in the forward shock region, $R_c < r < R_s$, and did not find a noticeable difference in polarization between these cases.

The shape of the CDS is approximated by a prolate spheroid with the angular dependence of the radius, $r = R_{\rm c,p}(1 - \zeta \sin^2 \theta)$, where the asphericity parameter is related to the polar and equatorial radii of the CDS, $\zeta = 1 - R_{\rm c,e}/R_{\rm c,p}$. To estimate the polar radius $R_{\rm c,p}$ and velocity $v_{\rm p}$ of the CDS along with the corresponding equatorial values, $R_{\rm c,e}$, $v_{\rm e}$, we used the spherical dynamical model (CCL04) computed for the CS medium along the polar and equatorial density distributions, respectively, assuming radial flow. The radial assumption is reasonable for moderate values of the asymmetry (Blondin, Lundqvist & Chevalier 1996). We found the shape and velocity asphericity parameters to be $\zeta = 0.09$ and $\xi = 1 - v_{\rm e}/v_{\rm p} = 0.14$ for b/a = 0.7 on day 244. The case b/a = 0.65gives $\zeta = 0.11$ and $\xi = 0.16$.

The emissivity depends more strongly on the polar angle than the shape and velocity. The ratio of the equatorial to polar bolometric fluxes for b/a = 0.7 found from the thin shell approximation model is $1 + \eta = 1.66$, i.e. $\eta = 0.66$. For b/a = 0.65, the model gives $\eta = 0.78$. The expansion velocity of the CDS is larger at the pole, while the X-ray emissivity is larger at the equator where the column emission measure is larger. The variation of the X-ray flux with polar angle can be

approximated by the expression $F \propto (1 + \eta \sin^2 \theta)$. The same expression is used for the emissivity.

The photons emitted by the CDS experience Thomson scattering with electrons in the (i) ionized unshocked CS gas with a H abundance of X = 0.7; (ii) shocked CS gas in the forward shock; and (iii) unshocked SN ejecta. The luminosity of the narrow H α emission line on day 272 (assuming an explosion on JD 2452585) according to Kotak et al. (2004) is ~ 5 × 10³⁹ erg s⁻¹. A simple estimate based on the recombination mechanism shows that the CS gas must be fully ionized to reproduce the required luminosity. The ionization is presumably maintained by the absorption of the hard X-ray emission from the forward shock with a luminosity of ~ 5 × 10⁴² erg s⁻¹ and temperature of 40 keV (CCL04). Given the uncertainty of the emission measure related to the possible clumpiness we consider two cases: complete ionization (ionization fraction x = 1) and partial ionization (x = 0.5).

In addition to the hot gas, the postshock gas in the forward shock presumably also contains a cool ($T \sim 10^4$ K) component responsible for the broad H α emission line (CCL04). Pressure equilibrium with the ambient postshock gas for the typical average preshock density of the CS gas of $\sim 10^7$ cm⁻³ and a forward shock velocity of ~ 6000 km s⁻¹ (CCL04) implies a density for the cool gas of $\sim 10^{12}$ cm⁻³ at the relevant epoch. Assuming that half the swept-up CS gas ($\sim 0.5 M_{\odot}$) is in the form of the dense shocked cool gas we find that the recombination H α luminosity at the time in question, $\sim 5 \times 10^{40}$ erg s⁻¹ (cf. Kotak et al. 2004) requires an ionization fraction $x \sim 0.02$. We approximately take into account the presence of the H α -emitting gas in the forward shock by assuming that half the swept-up CS gas in the forward shock is neutral.

The determination of the ionization of the unshocked SN ejecta requires a more complicated model. We calculated the ionization balance in the SN ejecta in the X-ray radiation field from the reverse shock predicted by the spherical model (CCL04), assuming that all the absorbed energy is spent on ionization. The ejecta are assumed to consist of pure iron. Calculations with radiative and dielectronic recombination rates taken from Shull & Steenberg (1982) result in almost the same ejecta ionization on day 244 for the electron temperatures $T_e = 10^5$ K and 10^6 K. The ionization stage increases monotonically from Fe⁺ in the center to Fe⁺⁶ in the outer layer of ejecta. The uncertainty of the ionization model does not markedly affect the resulting polarization. In the extreme case of complete ionization of the ejecta, the polarization is larger by only a factor of 1.3 compared to the preferred case of the ejecta ionization computed for $T_e = 10^5$ K. A typical value of the Thomson optical depth for the SN ejecta at the relevant epoch is $\tau_{\rm T} \sim 0.01$, while for the CS envelope together with the forward shock $\tau_{\rm T} \sim 0.1$.

2.2. Results

The Monte Carlo technique is used to treat the transfer of polarized radiation emitted by the CDS. The algorithm is similar to that used by Angel (1969). Two vectors are tracked: the electric field and the photon wave vector. The direction of the scattered photon is chosen according to

the dipole law of scattering. Stokes components Q and U of the escaping photons are summed with the corresponding array elements for a certain inclination angle ψ . Light-travel time effects are neglected, which does not introduce a significant error. The code was tested using available numerical and semi-analytical results, including the classical Chandrasekhar-Sobolev solution for a Thomson scattering atmosphere.

The calculated polarization of SN 2002ic on day 244 as a function of $\cos \psi$ for the aspect ratios b/a = 0.7 and 0.65 assuming complete ionization (x = 1) of the CS envelope is shown in Fig. 2 (upper panel). The escaping radiation is polarized along the small axis. The lower panel shows the effect of lower ionization (x = 0.5 vs. x = 1) for b/a = 0.65. The plot also gives the reported average polarization of SN 2002ic with the r.m.s. deviation (Wang et al. 2004). For complete ionization both aspect ratios are consistent within errors with the detected polarization in a range of inclination angles $\psi > 60^{\circ}$; the case of b/a = 0.7 demonstrates the minimum asphericity compatible with the observations. In the case of partial ionization (x = 0.5) the required asphericity should be somewhat larger, $b/a \ge 0.65$. For b/a = 0.7 the mass of the CS envelope is 3.2 M_{\odot} , i.e., a factor of two greater than found in the spherical case (CCL04).

Using the spheroidal model of the CS envelope and CDS radius according to the spherical model (CCL04) we computed the polarization at the earlier epochs (100, 150, and 200 days) for the case b/a = 0.7 and x = 1 in the same way as for t = 244 d. The early polarization is larger (Fig. 3) owing to more efficient scattering in the envelope with larger optical depth. Such behavior is characteristic of the optically thin regime.

To summarize, the observed polarization of SN 2002ic can be reproduced in a model of a spheroidal CS envelope with the density predicted by the spherical interaction model (CCL04); the minimum required aspect ratio is moderate, $b/a \approx 0.65 - 0.7$.

3. ASPHERICITY AND THE H α PROFILE

We now address the issue of whether the minimum asphericity found from the polarization modelling is consistent with the assumption that it is common for SN 2002ic-like supernovae and with the fact that the known supernovae (2000ic, 1997cy and 1999E) do not reveal significant variations of the spectral line profile width and shape for both H α and CaII 8600 Å at an age of ~ 240 d (e.g., Wang et al. 2004).

We concentrate on the strong and well delineated H α line. With spectral resolution of ~ 220 km s⁻¹ the H α profile on day 240 is concave-shaped (cf. Wang et al. 2004), or, more specifically, the full width at half maximum FWHM ≈ 1600 km s⁻¹ is significantly smaller than the blue (or red) width at zero intensity (BWZI or RWZI), which is 5000 – 6000 km s⁻¹. This type of profile is in apparent contrast with that of other CS interaction supernovae, e.g., SN 1987F with a parabolic line H α (Filippenko 1989; Chugai 1991) or SN 1993J with a boxy H α line (Filippenko, Matheson & Barth 1994) – in both cases the FWHM exceeds the BWZI. The high resolution spectrum of SN 2002ic

reveals on top of H α a narrow P Cygni line originating from the CS envelope with a velocity of ~ 100 km s⁻¹ (Kotak et al. 2004). Here we do not consider the CS component; it is composed of at least two velocity components: narrow emission with FWHM=78 km s⁻¹ and absorption with the blue wing velocity of ~ 250 km s⁻¹. The interpretation of the CS H α profile is not obvious and requires a separate study.

We follow the conjecture that broad $H\alpha$ is emitted by shocked CS clouds in the forward shock (CCL04). The qualitative model suggests that CS clouds are crushed by slow radiative cloud shocks; the shocked clouds are then dispersed by the Kelvin-Helmholtz instability into a multitude of fragments that are accelerated by the fast forward shock flow. This sequence is similar to that found in two-dimensional modelling of blast wave interaction with an interstellar cloud (Klein et al. 1994). As a result of the interaction of the forward shock with a cloudy CS envelope, a broad velocity spectrum (dM/dv) of the line-emitting dense cool gas presumably forms in the forward shock (Chugai et al. 2004). The minimum velocity corresponds to undisturbed CS clouds, while the highest velocity v_0 corresponds to the velocity of fragments accelerated up to the velocity of the swept-up shell $v_0 \sim 6000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. We adopt here a simple parametrization of the velocity spectrum of the line-emitting gas $dM/dv \propto v$ for $0 < v < v_m$ and $dM/dv \propto (v_0 - v)^q$ for $v_m < v < v_0$, with the maximum at $v = v_m$ that presumably corresponds to the typical velocity of cloud shocks. The free parameters are v_m and q. In the case of a spheroidal CS envelope, v_0 depends on the polar angle $v_0 = v_p(1 - \xi \sin^2 \theta)$, where v_p is the CDS velocity at the pole. Assuming that the line emissivity per unit mass is constant, the resulting profile F(u) (where u is the radial velocity) is calculated by integration over the transverse velocity w using the relation $v^2 = u^2 + w^2$:

$$F(u) \propto \frac{dM}{du} = \int \frac{dM}{dv} 2\pi w dw \,. \tag{1}$$

We restrict ourselves to a qualitative description of the broad H α . For the moderately aspherical model consistent with the polarization data $(b/a = 0.65, \eta = 0.78, \xi = 0.16, v_p = 6000 \text{ km s}^{-1})$ we find that the H α FWHM and profile shape in SN 2002ic on day 244 (Wang et al. 2004) are basically reproduced if $v_m = 0.07v_0$ and q = 0.7. The model profiles in this case are shown in Fig. 4 (upper panel) for the inclinations ψ equal to 0°, 60° and 90°. The profiles for $\psi = 90°$ and 60° are almost identical, while in the pole-on case ($\psi = 0°$) the FWHM is a factor of 1.33 smaller than for $\psi = 90°$. Interestingly, although the velocity is largest at the poles, the profile is broader when the SN is viewed in the equatorial plane. This effect is due to the higher emissivity at the equator. The implication is that for a spheroidal CS envelope in a sample of SN 2002ic-like supernovae, the polarization should correlate with the H α width.

To assess whether the variation of FWHM values with the inclination in the SN 2002ic model for the moderate asphericity is compatible with the range of H α width among three SN 2002ic-like supernovae, we note that the maximum FWHM is shown by SN 1999E with FWHM~ 1900 ± 100 km s⁻¹ (Rigon et al. 2003), while the lowest width H α is in SN 2002ic with FWHM~ 1550 km s⁻¹ (Kotak et al. 2004). The ratio of widths is ~ 1.23 ± 0.07, comparable to the maximum ratio 1.33 predicted by the model of spheroidal CS envelope with b/a = 0.65. Given only three known events, one may conclude in a preliminary way that the hypothesis of CS envelopes with moderate asphericity $(b/a \ge 0.65)$ is consistent with both the small variation of the H α line profiles and the polarization revealed by SN 2002ic.

Unfortunately, our interaction model is not applicable to strongly flattened CS envelopes, so we are not able to explore confidently the H α profile behavior in such cases. Just to get an idea about the trend, we consider a CS envelope with an aspect ratio b/a = 0.3. For this case the derived asphericity parameters for the velocity and emissivity are $\xi = 0.616$ and $\eta = 0.98$ respectively. Assuming that the line-emitting gas has the same velocity distribution as in the model with $b/a \ge 0.65$, we obtain the line profiles for the same inclinations shown in Fig. 4 (lower panel). The resulting profiles differ in two respects compared to those for b/a = 0.65: (1) they have lower FWHM by a factor of ~ 1.25; (2) their broad wings for large inclinations get weaker and completely disappear for $\psi = 90^{\circ}$. The discovery of SN 2002ic-like supernovae with a "typical" luminosity but lower than "normal" FWHM of H α and strongly suppressed broad wings at an age of ~ 200 - 250 days would thus indicate interaction with a strongly flattened CS envelope and a line of sight close to the equatorial plane. Such an event should be accompanied by an unusually large intrinsic polarization. Alternatively, the absence of such events in a sufficiently large sample of SN 2002ic-like supernovae would be evidence against the strong asymmetry of the CS envelopes.

4. CONCLUSION

The primary goal of this paper was to find the minimum asphericity of the CS envelope around SN 2002ic compatible with the polarization data. It is demonstrated that a spheroidal CS envelope with a moderate aspect ratio 0.65 - 0.7 and density and length scale parameters of a previous spherical model (CCL04) is consistent with the observed polarization for a wide range of inclination angles $\psi > 60^{\circ}$. We also found that if all SN 2002ic-like supernovae are similar and have CS envelopes with a similar asymmetry ($b/a \approx 0.65 - 0.7$), then the expected variation of the H α width due to random inclination is moderate and does not contradict the small variation of the observed H α widths. This resolves the apparent disparity between the asphericity of the CS envelope implied by the polarization (Wang et al. 2004) and the high degree of symmetry implied by the similarity of line profiles for SN 2002ic-like supernovae (CCL04). Yet we stress that our analysis and existing observational data do not completely rule out the possibility that the CS envelopes around SN 2002ic-like events are significantly flattened.

The conclusion that the asphericity of SN 2002ic-like supernovae may be modest does not resolve, however, another important issue: whether asphericity of the CS envelope is a generic property of the CS envelopes around these supernovae. There are at least two possibilities: (1) A single star ($\sim 6 - 8 M_{\odot}$) scenario holds and the asphericity of the CS envelope around SN 2002ic is a phenomenon perhaps related to the presence of a second star in a wide binary system (e.g., Mastrodemos & Morris 1999). The possibility that a single star might retain enough angular momentum in the envelope to produce significant asymmetry of the outflow is also conceivable but seems to be unlikely (cf. Soker 1996). (2) A close binary scenario holds and the asphericity is a generic property of SN 2002ic-like supernovae.

The issue could be resolved through polarization observations of SN 2002ic-like supernovae. The single star option predicts that the probability distribution function of polarization (dN/dp) of SN 2002ic-like supernovae peaks at p = 0 with a weak tail up to $p \sim 1\%$ populated by rare cases of wide binaries. For the second option, the distribution function is determined by random inclinations of aspherical CS envelopes with a uniform distribution of $\mu = \cos \psi$: $dN/dp = (dN/d\mu)|d\mu/dp|$. The $p(\mu)$ dependence in Fig. 2 is approximately described by $p = p_0(1 - \mu^s)$, where $s \approx 2$. Therefore, given $dN/d\mu = const$, the expected distribution in the binary scenario, $dN/dp \propto (1-p/p_0)^{-(s-1)/s}$, should be peaked at the maximum polarization with a value $\sim 1\%$. The weak dependence of the polarization observation by ± 50 days is not critical for determining the polarization distribution function dN/dp.

The polarization data for a growing number of these supernovae thus may become a useful test for both scenarios. In this regard, polarization measurements of the recent SN 2005gj, as well as other newly discovered SN 2002ic-like supernovae, at an age 100 - 250 days are highly desirable.

We are grateful to the referee for constructive comments on the manuscript. The research was partially supported by RFBR grant 02-17255 (NNC) and NSF grant AST-0307366 (RAC).

REFERENCES

- Aldering, G. et al. 2006, ApJ, 650, 510
- Angel, J. R. P. 1969, ApJ, 158, 219
- Blondin, J. M., Lundqvist, P., & Chevalier, R. A. 1996, ApJ, 472, 257
- Chugai, N. N., Chevalier, R. A., & Lundqvist, P. 2004, MNRAS, 355, 627 (CCL04)
- Chugai, N. N., Blinnikov, S. I., Cumming, R. J., Lundqvist, P., Bragaglia, A., Filippenko, A. V., Leonard, D. C., Matheson, T., & Sollerman, J. 2004, MNRAS, 352, 1213
- Chugai, N. N., & Yungelson, L. R. 2004, Astron. Lett., 30, 65
- Chugai, N. N. 1991, MNRAS, 250, 513
- Deng, J., Kawabata, K. S., Ohyama, Y., Nomoto, K., Mazzali, P. A., Wang, L., Jeffery, D. J., & Iye, M. 2004, ApJ, 605, L37
- Filippenko, A. V., Matheson, T., & Barth, A. J. 1994, AJ, 108, 2220
- Filippenko, A. V., 1989, AJ, 97, 726
- Hamuy, M. et al. 2003, Nature, 424, 651
- Han, Z., & Podsiadlowski, Ph. 2006, MNRAS, 368, 1095
- Kotak, R., Meikle, W. P. S., Adamson, A., & Leggett, S. K. 2004, MNRAS, 354, L13
- Livio, M., & Riess, A. G. 2003, ApJ, 594, L93
- Mastrodemos, N., & Morris, M. 1999, ApJ, 523, 357
- Prieto, J., Garnavich, P., Depoy, D., Marshall, J., Eastman, J., & Frank, S. 2005, IAUC 8633
- Rigon, L. et al. 2003, MNRAS, 340, 191
- Shull, J. M., & Steenberg, M. 1982, ApJS, 48, 95
- Soker, N. 1996, ApJ, 469, 734
- Turatto, M. et al. 2000, ApJL, 534, L57
- Wang, L., Baade, D., Höflich P., Khokhlov, A., Wheeler, J.C., Kasen, D., Nugent, P., Perlmutter, S., Fransson, C., & Lundqvist, P. 2003, ApJ, 591, 1110

Wang, L., Baade, D., Höflich, P., Wheeler, J.C., Kawabata, K., & Nomoto, K. 2004, ApJ, 604, L53

This preprint was prepared with the AAS LATEX macros v5.2.



Fig. 1.— Sketch of a supernova in a spheroidal circumstellar envelope. The major components are SN ejecta bounded by a cool dense shell (CDS) and the forward shock (FS) propagating in the spheroidal circumstellar (CS) envelope.



Fig. 2.— Model polarization as a function of cosine of inclination angle. The quantities in parentheses are the aspect ratio b/a, and the ionization fraction of the CS envelope x. The *upper* panel shows models for x = 1 and two values of the aspect ratio. The *dotted* line is the average value of observed polarization (Wang et al. 2004) with the bars showing the error. The *lower* panel shows the effect of ionization of the CS envelope for b/a = 0.65.



Fig. 3.— Model polarization between days 100 and 244 as a function of the inclination for b/a = 0.7.



Fig. 4.— The model of the H α line profile for the aspherical line-emitting shell with two extreme inclinations. Upper panel shows the profile for the case of a spheroidal CS envelope for b/a = 0.65 (note that the 60° and 90° cases are overlapping), while the *lower panel* is the same but for b/a = 0.3.