

# The Origin and Motion of PSR J0538+2817 in S147

C.-Y. Ng<sup>1</sup>, Roger W. Romani<sup>1</sup>, Walter F. Brisken<sup>2</sup>, Shami Chatterjee<sup>2,3</sup>, & Michael Kramer<sup>4</sup>

ncy@astro.stanford.edu, rwr@astro.stanford.edu, wbrisken@aoc.nrao.edu,  
schatterjee@cfa.harvard.edu, mkramer@jb.man.ac.uk

## ABSTRACT

We report on VLBA astrometry and *CXO* imaging of PSR J0538+2817 in the supernova remnant S147. We measure a parallax distance of  $1.47_{-0.27}^{+0.42}$  kpc along with a high-precision proper motion, giving a transverse velocity  $V_{\perp} = 400_{-73}^{+114}$  km s<sup>-1</sup>. A small extended wind nebula is detected around the pulsar; the symmetry axis of this structure suggests that the spin axis lies  $12^{\circ} \pm 4^{\circ}$  from the velocity vector (2-D), but the emission is too faint for robust model independent statements. The neutron star is hot, consistent with the young  $\sim 40$  kyr kinematic age. The pulsar progenitor is likely a runaway from a nearby cluster, with NGC 1960 (M36) a leading candidate.

*Subject headings:* astrometry — pulsars: individual (PSR J0538+2817) — supernovae: individual (S147) — stars: kinematics

## 1. INTRODUCTION

S147 (G180.0–1.7) is an optically faint shell-type supernova remnant (SNR) located in the direction of the Galactic anti-center. It is highly filamentary and has a radius of 83' (Sofue et al. 1980). Although age estimates vary widely, from 20 kyr (Sofue et al. 1980) to 100 kyr (Kundu et al. 1980), S147 is believed to be one of the oldest SNRs with well-defined shell structure. It has been extensively studied at several wavelengths including radio (Kundu et al. 1980; Sofue et al. 1980), optical (Lozinskaya 1976; Kirshner & Arnold

---

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305.

<sup>2</sup>National Radio Astronomy Observatory, P.O. Box O, Socorro, NM 87801.

<sup>3</sup>Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, 60 Garden Street, MS 67, Cambridge, MA 02138.

<sup>4</sup>Jodrell Bank Observatory, University of Manchester, Macclesfield, Cheshire SK11 9DL, UK.

1979), UV (Phillips et al. 1981) and X-ray (Souvageot et al. 1990). A recent continuum-subtracted  $H\alpha$  image (Drew et al. 2005) reveals much detailed structure in S147. As shown in Fig. 1, the shell is mostly circular with a few obvious blowouts to the East and the North. Gvaramadze (2006) suggested that a faint blowout to the West and the brighter lobe to the East define a bilateral axis passing through the center. Most of the bright filaments concentrate on the southern half, where the SNR boundary is also sharper. In contrast, the northern half is more diffuse and less well-defined.

Within the boundaries of S147, a 143 ms pulsar PSR J0538+2817 was discovered by Anderson et al. (1996) in an undirected pulsar survey using the 305 m Arecibo radio telescope. The pulsar has a large characteristic age  $\tau_c = P/2\dot{P} = 620$  kyr and a dispersion measure (DM)-estimated distance of 1.2 kpc. Based on the positions and distances of PSR J0538+2817 and S147, Anderson et al. (1996) suggested an association between the two. From the maximum SNR age of  $10^5$  yr, Romani & Ng (2003) argued that PSR J0538+2817 had a slow initial spin period. This was supported by Kramer et al. (2003) who obtained a rough timing proper motion which indicated that the pulsar is moving from near the SNR geometrical center with a kinematic age of only 30 kyr, much shorter than the characteristic age. To reconcile the discrepancy, they also suggested a large initial spin period of 139 ms for the pulsar. Alternatively, Gvaramadze (2006) proposed that PSR J0538+2817 arose in the first supernova from a massive binary, while a second supernova produced S147. In X-rays, PSR J0538+2817 has been detected by *ROSAT* All Sky Survey (Sun et al. 1996) and HRI imaging. A 20 ks Chandra ACIS-S observation discovered extended emission around the pulsar (Romani & Ng 2003), while an XMM-Newton observation (McGowan et al. 2003) reported the detection of pulsed X-ray emission.

In summary, S147 with an old nearly circular shell and a central pulsar showing extended X-ray emission, provides some unique opportunities to test the details of the pulsar/SNR connection. Kinematic measurements, along with X-ray morphology and cooling measurements can probe the history of the pulsar.

## 2. PARALLAX AND PROPER MOTION MEASUREMENT

PSR J0538+2817 was observed with the NRAO VLBA over 9 epochs between 2002 and 2006. The observations were conducted between 1.4 and 1.7 GHz, as a trade-off between increasing pulsar flux at lower frequencies and improved resolution as well as reduced ionospheric effects at higher frequencies. At each epoch, 4 spectral windows (IFs) each with 8 MHz bandwidth are observed simultaneously. To retain visibility phase coherence, repeated visits were made to the primary phase calibrator source 133' distant from the pulsar. A VLA




Fig. 1.— Continuum-subtracted  $H\alpha$  image of S147 (Drew et al. 2005). The arrow shows the pulsar’s proper motion direction and points to its current position (see § 2), with tick marks indicating the birth-sites of the pulsar if born 20, 40 & 60 kyr ago. The cross marks the SNR geometrical center suggested by Kramer et al. (2003). Solid lines mark a possible bilateral axis (Gvaramadze 2006) and dashed lines show the direction to several candidate birth-sites for the progenitor star.

survey of the region around J0538+2817 revealed a compact 8 mJy source only 8' distance from the pulsar; the two sources are within the same VLBA primary beam and were observed simultaneously. The data were correlated in two passes, first centered on the pulsar field, and then a second pass centered on the in-beam calibrator. The signal-to-noise ratio for the pulsar was boosted by ‘gating’ (accepting signal from) the correlator on at the expected times of arrival of pulses, using current pulse timing solutions obtained for each epoch from ongoing observations at the Jodrell Bank Observatory. Incremental phase calibration derived from observations of the in-beam source were applied to the pulsar for considerably improved calibration.

Data analysis was performed using the AIPS package with a customized pipeline (Briskin 2007, in preparation), which included amplitude calibration based on the system temperatures and antenna gains, followed by visibility phase calibration based on the primary calibrator and the in-beam source. Large scale ionospheric phase effects were estimated and corrected with the AIPS task TECOR, using global models of the ionospheric electron content based on distributed GPS measurements. Once the calibration was completed, the pulsar position was measured by fitting a Gaussian ellipse to calibrated images made separately for each IF. The pulsar parallax and proper motion were then obtained from a linear least-squares fit to the epoch positions.

Table 1 shows the best-fit astrometric results from 8 good epochs, for which the pulsar is far away from the sun, with IFs equally weighted. The derived pulsar distance and transverse velocity are  $d = 1.47_{-0.27}^{+0.42}$  kpc and  $V_{\perp} = 400_{-73}^{+114}$  km s<sup>-1</sup> respectively. The distance is in good agreement with the DM-estimate value  $d_{DM} = 1.2 \pm 0.2$  kpc, using the NE2001 model (Cordes & Lazio 2002). The proper motion is also consistent with the timing observation results reported by Kramer et al. (2003), but our errors are much smaller, especially in the ecliptic latitude ( $\mu_{\beta}$ ).

To convert the proper motion to its local standard of rest (LSR), we correct for differential Galactic rotation using  $\Omega_0 = 220$  km s<sup>-1</sup>,  $R_0 = 8.5$  kpc (Fich et al. 1998) and the solar constants  $10 \pm 0.36$ ,  $5.25 \pm 0.62$ ,  $7.17 \pm 0.38$  km s<sup>-1</sup> (Dehnen & Binney 1998). The corrected proper motion is  $\mu_* = 58.51 \pm 0.18$  mas yr<sup>-1</sup> at position angle (PA)  $336^{\circ}8 \pm 0^{\circ}13$ , which converts to a transverse velocity of  $V_{\perp} = 407_{-74}^{+116}$  km s<sup>-1</sup>. Backward extrapolation of the pulsar’s motion indicates that it passed  $\sim 8'$  from the geometrical center of S147 defined by Kramer et al. (2003) at  $\alpha = 05^{\text{h}}40^{\text{m}}01^{\text{s}} \pm 2^{\text{s}}$ ,  $\delta = 27^{\circ}48'09'' \pm 20''$  (J2000). Since the pulsar is young and located near the Galactic plane, this trajectory is not significantly altered by acceleration in the Galactic potential. For any reasonable Galactic model (e.g. in Sun & Han 2004, and references therein), the displacement from a linear trajectory over the pulsar characteristic age is much smaller than 1'. However, the SNR and pulsar are almost

certainly associated (see below) and thus we conclude that the nominal center of the shell does not represent the explosion site and that S147 underwent asymmetric expansion, likely due to inhomogeneities in the surrounding ISM.

### 3. CXO OBSERVATIONS

Chandra observations of PSR J0538+2817 were carried out on 2006 Jan 18 & 20 (Observation IDs 6242 & 5538) with the ACIS-I array operating in very faint timed exposure (VF TE) imaging mode. The pulsar was positioned near the aim point on the I3 chip, which was the only chip active during the observation. Subarray mode with 160 rows was used to further reduce the CCD pile-up. The resulting frame time of 0.5 s reduced the pile-up of the pulsar to 2%, ensuring the distortion of the PSF and the high energy spectrum is minimal. The total live time was 93.2 ks and examination of the background light curve showed no strong flares during the observation. Hence, all data is included in the analysis.

For comparison, we have also reprocessed the archival 20 ks ACIS-S exposure (ObsID 2796) observed on 2002 Feb 7. After filtering out the periods suffering from background flares, 18.5 ks of clean exposure remains. All data analysis was performed using CIAO 3.3 and CALDB 3.2.1 to ensure the latest time-dependent gain calibration and charge transfer inefficiency (CTI) correction are applied. To further improve the spatial resolution, we removed the ACIS pixel randomization and applied the algorithm by Mori et al. (2001) to correct the position of split pixel events.

### 4. SPATIAL ANALYSIS

The ACIS-I 0.5-8 keV image is shown in Fig 2. The point source produces  $0.12 \text{ cts s}^{-1}$ . With the short frame time, a trail of counts can be seen along the readout direction (at PA  $5^\circ$ ), with  $0.5 \text{ cts pixel}^{-1}$ . The extended emission  $\sim 5''$  NE of the pulsar reported by Romani & Ng (2003) is clearly detected in the new observation. However, the deeper exposure shows that the structure is not an obvious torus. It also appears somewhat fainter in the new data, with  $(4.3 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-4} \text{ cts s}^{-1}$  in 0.5-8 keV after background subtraction, as compared to  $(9.7 \pm 2.2) \times 10^{-4} \text{ cts s}^{-1}$  in the archival ACIS-S data. Diffuse emission is also seen in the SE direction, as noted by Romani & Ng (2003), at  $\sim 3''$  from the point source. Given that the direction is behind the pulsar's proper motion, this could be trailed emission from relativistic electrons in the motion-confined pulsar wind, as observed in other PWN systems.




Fig. 2.— ACIS-I 0.5-8 keV image. The arrow indicates the pulsar’s proper motion direction in its LSR. The dotted lines separate the four quadrants used to measure the azimuthal distribution of the extended emission.

Fig. 3 compares the observed surface brightness of the source for the ACIS-I data and the model PSF+background in 3 energy bands. The PSF is simulated using the Chandra Ray-Tracer (ChaRT) and MARX software using the best-fit pulsar spectrum from a 1'' radius aperture, in order to minimize any nebular contamination. Although the readout




Fig. 3.— Observed source (points) and model point source (line) radial count distributions in three energy bands, with the corresponding uncertainties (shaded bands).

trail is simulated in the model PSF, we excluded two rectangular regions of  $1''.5$  wide along the readout trail and beyond  $2''.5$  from the point source in our analysis, in order to improve the statistics. The graph suggests that the data and PSF model are well matched for the low energy band, while excess counts appear at several radii for the higher energies.

To investigate the azimuthal distribution of these counts, we show in Fig. 4 the PSFs and observed counts per unit area for the four quadrants (in three energy bands) with Quad 1 along the proper motion direction (see Fig. 2). The shaded region shows the Poisson uncertainty in the surface brightness measurements. Here we see significant departures from the PSF in all three energy bands. The excesses from  $4'' - 6''$  and  $9'' - 11''$  in Quad 2 in the low energy band represents the candidate ‘torus’ suggested in Romani & Ng (2003); no corresponding excess is seen in Quad 4. A persistent excess is also seen from  $4'' - 8''$  in Quad 3 of the medium energy band, representing the diffuse emission trailing behind the pulsar.




Fig. 4.— Surface brightness of the observed source (points) and model point source (lines) for the four quadrants in three energy bands. The y-scale on the left applies to the 0.5-1.5 keV panel only, while the right scale applies to the other two panels.

Most intriguing, however, is the very significant excess seen in the 4-8 keV band from  $2'' - 4''$  (Fig. 3). In Fig. 4 we see that this lies in quadrants 2 & 4, indicating significant structure with a hard spectrum very close to the pulsar. The 4-8 keV ACIS-I image (Fig. 5) in fact shows a clear symmetric, almost linear structure extending  $\sim 2''.5$  from the pulsar. Realizations of the 4-8 keV model PSF are nearly circular and show no obvious spikes. With only 32 counts, we cannot resolve any details or assign a clear origin for this emission; however, it should be noted that the X-ray images of many other young neutron stars show polar jets or equatorial tori. *If* this is the case for PSR J0538+2817, the symmetry axis could indicate the pulsar spin axis. More importantly, its alignment with the proper motion could constrain the pulsar kick physics (Romani 2005; Ng & Romani 2007, in preparation).



In order to measure the PA of the symmetry axis quantitatively, the photon positions were fitted to a straight line passing through the point source. Linear least squares fitting was employed and we obtained the best-fit PA at  $79^\circ \pm 4^\circ$ .




Fig. 5.— *Left*: ACIS-I 4-8 keV image with the dashed line showing the best-fit PA of the counts. The arrow indicated the pulsar’s proper motion in its LSR. The circle is 3'' in radius. *Right*: Model PSF in the same energy band.

Although the 4-8 keV excess is statistically significant, interpretation of such faint compact structure is of course very uncertain. Some information on the pulsar orientation may be gleaned from the radio polarization measurements of Kramer et al. (2003), which suggest a magnetic inclination  $\alpha = 95^\circ$  and impact angle  $\sigma = 2^\circ$ , implying a spin axis inclination of  $\zeta = \alpha + \sigma \approx 97^\circ$ , i.e. very nearly in the plane of the sky. This means that both the polar jet interpretation (with the near-orthogonal view implying similar jet/counter-jet fluxes) and the equatorial torus interpretation (with a thin nearly edge-on torus) remain viable. If the extended emission is interpreted as polar jets, then the pulsar spin axis is  $78^\circ$  off the proper motion direction. This is much larger than any of the other PSR/PWN systems. If the axis is the equatorial (torus) plane, then the inferred spin vector is at PA  $169^\circ(-11^\circ)$ , i.e.  $12^\circ$  off the velocity vector.

A statistical argument in fact supports the latter interpretation. If the spin axis and proper motion axis are orthogonal in true space, then most observed orientations make the projected angle on the plane of the sky smaller. If they are aligned, the projected angle tends to remain nearly aligned. For completely random orientations, 90% of vectors separated by

$0^\circ - 10^\circ$  retain a 2-D angle  $\leq 10^\circ$ ; for vectors separated by  $80^\circ - 90^\circ$  only 29% retain  $\theta_{2D} \geq 80^\circ$ . Thus the observed angle is, *a priori*,  $\sim 3\times$  more likely to arise from an aligned system. One additional piece of evidence could be extracted from absolute position angle measurements of the radio polarization (c.f. Johnston et al. 2005). Although mode ambiguity allows a  $90^\circ$  jump in the inferred projected field, these authors note that most pulsars appear to emit in the orthogonal mode, which may allow one to discriminate between the jet and torus interpretation.

## 5. SPECTRAL ANALYSIS

We now turn to the spectral analysis of the point source. For the best possible constraints on the spectrum, we have reprocessed both the 18.5 ks cleaned ACIS-S data set and our new 93 ks ACIS-I data with the latest time-dependent gain calibration and charge transfer inefficiency (CTI) correction. The source spectrum was extracted from a  $2''$  radius aperture with the script `psextract`, and the response matrix files (RMFs) were replaced by the ones built using the tool `mkacisrmf`, which accounts for the CTI. To model the aperture corrections, 10 PSFs with monochromatic energies from 0.5 to 9.5 keV were simulated using ChaRT. The fractional energy encircled by the aperture as a function of energy is obtained, and then used to correct the ancillary response files (ARFs). As the ACIS-S data suffer 20% pile-up, the CCD pile-up model by Davis (2001) is used in all the spectral fits.

Results from the combined fits of the ACIS-S and ACIS-I datasets are listed in Table 2. All fits are to the 0.3-8 keV range and the spectral parameter errors reported are projected multidimensional  $1 - \sigma$  values. For the uncertainties in flux (and hence stellar radius), as is often the case with low-statistic CCD-quality data, the projected errors are very large due to uncertainties in spectral parameters. Therefore we followed other authors in reporting the single parameter (i.e. 1-D)  $1 - \sigma$  error for the flux.

The source spectrum is adequately fitted by an absorbed blackbody. The best-fit  $N_{\text{H}} = 2.47 \times 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  is lower than the previous *CXO* results, but consistent with the *XMM-Newton* measurements. Comparison with the DM value of  $39.7 \text{ pc cm}^{-3}$  gives  $n_{\text{H}}/n_e = 24$ , which is relatively large. At the pulsar distance of 1.47 kpc, the best-fit spectral parameters give an effective blackbody radius of  $R_{\infty}^{\text{eff}} = 2.19 \text{ km}$ . This is too small to be reconciled with the whole stellar surface, but the flux could be hot  $T \sim 2 \times 10^6 \text{ K}$  emission from a small fraction of the stellar surface ( $\sim 2.7\%$  for an  $R_{\infty} = 13.1 \text{ km}$  star), possibly due to some heating mechanism such as bombardment of the polar cap regions by relativistic particles from the magnetosphere. The thermal radiation from the neutron star surface could also be described by atmospheric models. Light-element neutron star atmosphere models, such

Table 1. PARAMETERS FOR PSR J0538+2817

Parameter	Value
Epoch (MJD)	53258
R.A. (J2000)	05 <sup>h</sup> 38 <sup>m</sup> 25 <sup>s</sup> .05237 ± 0 <sup>s</sup> .00001
Decl. (J2000)	+28°17′09″.3030 ± 0″.0001
$\mu_{\alpha \cos \delta}$ (mas yr <sup>-1</sup> )	-23.53 ± 0.16
$\mu_{\delta}$ (mas yr <sup>-1</sup> )	52.59 ± 0.13
$\pi$ (mas)	0.68 ± 0.15
$d$ (kpc)	1.47 <sup>+0.42</sup> <sub>-0.27</sub>
$V_{\perp}$ (km s <sup>-1</sup> )	400 <sup>+114</sup> <sub>-73</sub>
$l, b$	179°7186, -1°6859
$\mu_l, \mu_b$ (mas yr <sup>-1</sup> )	-57.03, 8.18
$n_e$ (cm <sup>-3</sup> )	0.027 ± 0.006

Table 2. SPECTRAL FITS TO PSR J0538+2817

MODEL	BLACKBODY/ATMOSPHERE				POWER LAW ( $\Gamma = 1.5$ )				$\chi^2/\text{dof}$
	$N_{\text{H}}$ (10 <sup>21</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup> )	$T_{\infty}$ (10 <sup>6</sup> K)	$R_{\infty}$ (km)	Abs. Flux $f_{0.5-8}$ (10 <sup>-13</sup> ergs cm <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )	Unabs. Flux $f_{0.5-8}$ (10 <sup>-13</sup> ergs cm <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )	Abs. Flux $f_{0.5-8}$ (10 <sup>-13</sup> ergs cm <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )	Unabs. Flux $f_{0.5-8}$ (10 <sup>-13</sup> ergs cm <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )		
BB	2.47 <sup>+0.15</sup> <sub>-0.14</sub>	2.11 <sup>+0.03</sup> <sub>-0.04</sub>	2.19 ± 0.01	7.24 ± 0.07	17.4 ± 0.2	...	...	109.7/139	
Atm	2.94 <sup>+0.07</sup> <sub>-0.06</sub>	1.05 ± 0.05	11.16 ± 0.02	7.21 ± 0.08	21.4 ± 0.2	...	...	120.7/139	
BB+PL	2.50 ± 0.15	2.10 <sup>+0.04</sup> <sub>-0.03</sub>	2.23 ± 0.01	7.15 ± 0.07	17.5 ± 0.2	0.20 ± 0.06	0.24 ± 0.07	99.5/138	
Atm+PL	2.95 ± 0.07	1.06 <sup>+0.06</sup> <sub>-0.05</sub>	10.99 ± 0.02	7.14 ± 0.08	21.4 ± 0.2	0.20 ± 0.05	0.24 ± 0.07	110.2/138	

as those dominated by hydrogen, have large Wien excesses. This gives a lower effective temperature and hence larger stellar radius in the fit. We use here a pure H model with  $B = 10^{12}$  G (Pavlov et al. 1992; Zavlin et al. 1996), as the inferred surface magnetic field strength of PSR J0538+2817 is  $7 \times 10^{11}$  G (Anderson et al. 1996). During the fit, the mass of the neutron star is held fixed at  $M = 1.4M_{\odot}$  and the normalization constant is fixed using the pulsar distance. The best-fit surface temperature and radius are  $T_{\infty}^{\text{eff}} = 1.05 \times 10^6$  K and  $R_{\infty} = 11.2$  km. As expected, this model suggests a lower effective temperature covering a large fraction of the neutron star surface for a canonical radius. The fit is statistically slightly worse than that of the blackbody model, but both produce quite acceptable  $\chi^2$  values.

The extended emission observed at high energies suggests some flux in the  $2''$  aperture is contributed by non-thermal emission. Therefore we tried adding a power law component with fixed  $\Gamma = 1.5$  to the models. Although the results are not improved substantially, the non-thermal flux is detected at  $> 3 - \sigma$  level as shown in the table; the effect on the parameters fitted for the thermal component is very small. The best-fit atmosphere+power law model is shown in Fig. 6.

## 6. DISCUSSION

### 6.1. PSR J0538+2817 / S147 Association

The association between PSR J0538+2817 and S147 suggested by Anderson et al. (1996) was based on their positional coincidence and apparent consistency of the distances and ages. In particular, the authors argued that since the pulsar location is near the SNR center, it is unlikely to be a chance association. With our accurate proper motion measurement we can improve this argument. In order to have a quantitative estimate of the chance alignment probability, we did simple Monte Carlo simulations using the model by Faucher-Giguère & Kaspi (2006). Following these authors, we assume the pulsars are born in the Galactic plane with the galactocentric radial distribution from Yusifov & Küçük (2004) and exponential distribution in the scale height, and with birth velocities distributed as a two-component Gaussian model. Acceleration due to Galactic potential was ignored for simplicity. Our results show that in  $2 \times 10^5$  yr, the most extreme age estimate for S147, only 1 pulsar in  $2 \times 10^7$  would have a chance passage within  $8'$  of the S147 center. We also applied the Maxwellian pulsar velocity distribution suggested by Hobbs et al. (2005), obtaining nearly identical result. With a Galactic neutron star birthrate of 2.8 per century (Faucher-Giguère & Kaspi 2006) and a radio beaming factor of  $\sim 1/5$ , the probability of finding a random, unassociated radio pulsar younger than 1 Myr which has passed within  $8'$  of the SNR center is  $\sim 3 \times 10^{-4}$ . This estimate is a conservative upper limit to the probab-




Fig. 6.— Point-source spectrum with a pileup-corrected magnetic H model atmosphere + power law spectrum and residuals.

ity: we believe the true age of S147 is considerably younger, and the high X-ray temperature of PSR J0538+2817 also implies a younger  $\leq 10^5$  yr age. Thus a more realistic chance probability is  $\geq 10\times$  smaller. To conclude, PSR J0538+2817 is almost certainly associated with S147 and this implies a SNR distance of  $\sim 1.5$  kpc. This value is substantially larger than

some previous estimates (e.g. Kundu et al. 1980), thus it calls into question some papers that assume a much closer distance to S147 (e.g. Phillips et al. 1981; Sallmen & Welsh 2004).

## 6.2. S147 as a Cavity Explosion

In standard SNR evolution, the shell radius in the Sedov-Taylor phase is given by  $R_{\text{SNR}} = 0.31(E_{51}/n_0)^{1/5}t^{2/5}$  pc (e.g. van der Swaluw 2001), where the explosion energy is  $E_0 = 10^{51}E_{51}$  ergs, age in  $t$  years and external medium of density  $n_0 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ . The observed angular size of S147  $\theta = 83'$  (Sofue et al. 1980) corresponds to a physical radius of 35 pc at 1.47 kpc. For an age of 30 kyr (Kramer et al. 2003), this requires a very energetic explosion of  $E_{51} = 20 n_0$ . This suggests S147 probably occurred in a low density stellar wind bubble, likely evacuated by the progenitor star in a WR phase (Kramer et al. 2003; Gvaramadze 2006). Hence the SNR had a long free-expansion phase, only passing to the Sedov-Taylor phase when it reached the cavity boundaries at relatively large radius. This scenario receives further support from the observed low expansion velocity of S147 at  $80 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  (Kirshner & Arnold 1979). Note that the progenitor’s proper motion can make the wind bubble asymmetric, with the cavity extending further behind the star (Gvaramadze 2006). Density gradients in the external medium can also enhance this asymmetry. Indeed gas and dust surveys suggest that the medium to the South of S147 is denser and the shell is flattened with the brightest filaments on this side. Supporting this, the optical observations by Lozinskaya (1976) provides some hint that the expansion rate is faster in the northern half of S147. Thus we generally expect the ‘geometrical center’ to lie somewhat North of the true explosion site.

## 6.3. Birth-site of the Progenitor

With the inferred distance to S147, it is possible to search for the birth-site of its parent star. O and B stars are the direct progenitors of neutron stars and the minimum mass for supernova explosion is  $\sim 8M_{\odot}$ . These massive stars are generally formed in OB associations and young open clusters. With their short  $< 50$  Myr lifetimes they do not travel far from the birth-sites. Typical peculiar velocities are a few  $\text{km s}^{-1}$ ; for  $10 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , we expect the progenitor to travel  $\leq 500$  pc. We compiled a list of open clusters and OB associations from the catalogs including Ruprecht et al. (1983), Mel’nik & Efremov (1995), Dias et al. (2002) & Kharchenko et al. (2005) and found only four candidates younger than 50 Myr with a nominal distance to S147 of  $< 500$  pc (Table 3). Directions to potential birth-sites are shown in Fig. 1.

With a 3-D spatial separation of only 220 pc from S147, NGC 1960 (M36) is the closest candidate. This is a relatively massive  $\sim 40$  Myr-old cluster (Kharchenko et al. 2005) and we consider it the prime candidate birth-site. If correct, the progenitor traveled from this cluster at PA  $\sim 175^\circ$  with a 3-D space velocity of  $\sim 5(M/10M_\odot)^{2.5}$  km s $^{-1}$ . We see no evidence in gas maps or the SNR shell that the progenitor wind has disturbed the denser cloud to the South. This and the blowouts of the SNR to the North argue against Gem 1 or NGC 2175 as the parent cluster. Stock 8 to the North remains viable, but is distant at  $\geq 400$  pc and less massive. Finally, it is intriguing to note that the 5<sup>th</sup> nearest young cluster, the very poorly studied NGC 2331, lies precisely in the direction of the largest (Eastern) extension of the SNR shell; the H $\alpha$  shell here extends 40% ( $\sim 35'$ ) further than the main shock front, with wispy emission present up to  $10'$  further in this direction. It is tempting to associate this blowout with a stellar wind trail extending along the path to NGC 2331, but this cluster appears to be relatively low mass and may be too old, with no remaining B stars.

#### 6.4. Explosion Site

From the precise pulsar proper motion, we know that the supernova explosion must have occurred along the line in Fig. 1 with the arrowhead at the present pulsar position. The explosion site is determined by the true age of S147/PSR J0538+2817; tick marks on the line indicate 20, 40 and 60 kyr ages. The simplest interpretation is to infer birth at the closest approach to the geometrical center 36 kyr ago and assign an uncertainty of  $\sim 8'/57.6 \text{ mas yr}^{-1} = 8 \text{ kyr}$ . However, if we can define a second axis for the progenitor motion, we can obtain a more precise age. If we adopt the symmetry axis suggested by Gvaramadze (2006, solid lines in Fig. 1) then the birth-site is to the NW of the geometrical center and the intersection with the proper motion suggests a SNR age near 20 kyr. Given

Table 3. Open Clusters younger than 50 Myr within 500 pc of S147.

Name	d (kpc)	$\theta$ ( $^\circ$ )	r (pc)	N*	Age(Myr)
NGC 1960 (M36)	1.32	6.4	217	60	42
OB Gem 1	1.34	9.9	276	...	< 5
NGC 2175	1.63	10.0	311	60	9
Stock 8	1.82	7.1	405	40	41
NGC 2331	1.33	19.3	488	30	?

the rather irregular nature of the Northern half of the remnant and the argument that the explosion should be south of the geometrical center, we do not find this axis convincing. The axis to NGC 2331 intersects at a more plausible explosion age of 30 kyr.

If however we adopt NGC 1960 as the birth-site, then a explosion somewhat south of (in front of) the geometrical center becomes natural. Without a blowout identifying an entry site, we cannot set a precise axis, but the path should pass close to the geometric center, implying an intersection with the proper motion vector at  $\leq 60$  kyr. Our conclusion is that the best estimate of the SNR age is 40 kyr with a maximum plausible range of 20 – 60 kyr. This is slightly older than the estimate of Kramer et al. (2003). However, the inferred initial spin period of PSR J0538+2817 is not significantly changed. Assuming magnetic spin-down with constant braking index  $n = 3$ , the initial spin period is given by

$$P_0 = P \left( 1 - \frac{n-1}{2} \frac{\tau}{\tau_c} \right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}},$$

where  $\tau$  and  $\tau_c$  are the kinematic and characteristic ages of the pulsar respectively. We obtained  $P_0 = 138 \pm 2.3$  ms; the kinematic age of the pulsar is indeed much smaller than its spin-down age.

### 6.5. Spin-velocity Alignment

Only a few pulsars have estimated initial spin periods; the value for PSR J0538+2817 is the longest among these. Of course, the high space velocity of the pulsar argues for a strong birth kick. Note that with the large parallax distance to the pulsar, the binary break-up scenario described by (Gvaramadze 2006) is now even more improbable. If one further accepts a progenitor origin in the Galactic plane near NGC 1960, then the pulsar’s present rapid return to the plane further supports a birth kick uncorrelated with its parent’s motion.

These considerations make a comparison of this pulsar’s kick and spin direction particularly appealing. Unfortunately the morphology of the extended structure near the pulsar is not clear enough to define a definitive spin axis and thus weakens this system’s ability to cleanly test the spin-kick models. However, we can turn the question around: if the mechanism that seems to cause spin and kick alignment in other young pulsars acts on PSR J0538+2817, do we expect its spin to be more nearly aligned or orthogonal? To retain a slow spin with a large kick velocity, the net kick vector must be nearly radial, applying little torque to the star.

We have performed a series of simulation of neutron star birth kicks in a range of models where a single thrust is applied to the surface at fixed angle as the proto-neutron



star cools, with amplitude proportional to the driving neutrino luminosity (Ng & Romani 2007, in preparation). Comparing with the set of all neutron stars with initial spin and/or speed measurements, we have found (for several models of proto-neutron star evolution and neutrino cooling) the best-fit parameter distributions for the neutron star pre-kick spin, the kick amplitude, normal direction and duration that reproduce the observed pulsar spin and speed distributions. For these parameters (fixed by a set of  $\sim 50$  other pulsars) we can ask whether a pulsar with slow initial spin like PSR J0538+2817 is more likely to have its birth velocity and spin vectors aligned or orthogonal. The simulations find that for  $P \sim 140$  ms, the aligned case is produced  $30\text{--}90\times$  more frequently than the orthogonal cases, even though faster spin pulsars do not always show good alignment. Ng & Romani (2006, in preparation) discuss the significance of this result for improving kick constraints.

## 6.6. Pulsar Thermal Emission

From the X-ray spectral results, the neutron star atmosphere fit gives an effective surface temperature of  $\gtrsim 10^6$  K. This matches well to the standard cooling curve for the pulsar age of  $\lesssim 40$  kyr (c.f. Fig 6 in McGowan et al. 2003). Comparing with the cooling models of Yakovlev & Pethick (2004) we see that our best-fit  $1.05 \times 10^6$  K surface agrees well with a typical cooling model at age 25 kyr. Even so-called slow cooling neutron stars (low mass stars, with crustal neutron pairing and/or accreted low Z envelopes) drop very rapidly below  $T_\infty = 10^6$  K after  $10^5$  yr. Thus if we interpret the thermal emission as full surface emission, it seems impossible for PSR J0538+2817 to be as old as its characteristic age. In contrast, its thermal surface emission is quite consistent with its young  $\sim 30$  kyr kinematic age, requiring no direct Urca process or any other exotic cooling mechanisms.

*XMM* observations find a low 18% soft X-ray pulsation with a very broad profile (McGowan et al. 2003). These authors interpret this as a hot polar cap from a nearly aligned rotator (polar cap axis and rotation axis both close to the line of sight). This seems at odds with the radio polarization and PWN data, so a more natural interpretation might be emission from a gradual temperature variation across a light element surface, perhaps caused by magnetic dipole variation in the thermal conductivity (Greenstein & Hartke 1983).

If interpreted as a re-heated cap emission the thermal flux would be a surprisingly large 1% of the full spin-down power. On the other hand, the non-thermal emission from the PSR/PWN system as a whole is close to that expected. In an aperture of radius  $15''$ , the observed count rate in the  $2\text{--}10$  keV band is  $5 \times 10^{-3}$  cts  $\text{s}^{-1}$ . After subtracting the background and thermal emission from the pulsar, the non-thermal contribution gives  $2.8 \times 10^{-3}$  cts  $\text{s}^{-1}$ . For a power law of  $\Gamma = 1.5$ , this converts to the luminosity of

$10^{31.26}$  erg s<sup>-1</sup>. Possenti et al. (2002) found an empirical relation between the X-ray flux in the 2-10 keV band and spin-down luminosity:  $\log L_{X,(2-10)} = 1.34 \log L_{\text{sd}} - 15.34$ . For the case of PSR J0538+2817, the spin-down luminosity derived from the radio parameters (Anderson et al. 1996) is  $L_{\text{sd}} = 5 \times 10^{34}$  ergs s<sup>-1</sup>, which predicts an X-ray luminosity of  $L_{X,(2-10)} = 10^{31.2}$  erg s<sup>-1</sup>, very close to the observed value.

## 6.7. Conclusions

We have reported VLBA astrometric measurements and Chandra ACIS-I observation of PSR J0538+2817. The VLBA astrometry gives the pulsar distance of  $1.47_{-0.27}^{+0.42}$  kpc with a precise model independent transverse velocity  $V_{\perp} = 400_{-73}^{+114}$  km s<sup>-1</sup>. These observations strengthen the association with S147 and suggest NGC 1960 as plausible birth-site for the progenitor star. It seems likely that the supernova occurred in a stellar wind bubble some 40 kyr ago. The X-ray observations of the pulsar show that the thermal point source has a high temperature consistent with the 40 kyr age and imply that it was the source of the observed SNR. Our deep Chandra pointing reveals extended emission around the pulsar with a very compact symmetric structure observed in the 4-8 keV range. The overall PWN flux is broadly consistent with the emission expected from this relatively low  $\dot{E}$  spin-down pulsar, but the physical origin of the hard emission in these innermost regions is not clear. Statistical arguments suggest that the symmetric emission is an equatorial structure, viewed edge-on, so that the pulsar spin and motion are roughly aligned as for many other young pulsars. However, further observations are needed to reach definitive conclusions.

We thank Andrew Lyne for providing the current ephemeris of PSR J0538+2817. The initial VLBA observations of PSR J0538+2817 were made as part of the VLBA pulsar astrometry project (<http://www.astro.cornell.edu/~shami/psrv1b/>). The H $\alpha$  image of S147 is based on data obtained as part of the INT Photometric H $\alpha$  Survey of the Northern Galactic Plane: prepared by Albert Zijlstra, University of Manchester and Jonathan Irwin, IoA Cambridge. The National Radio Astronomy Observatory is a facility of the National Science Foundation operated under cooperative agreement by Associated Universities, Inc. This work was supported by NASA grant NAG5-13344 and by CXO grant G05-6058 issued by the Chandra X-ray Observatory Center, which is operated by the Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory for and on behalf of the National Aeronautics Space Administration under contract NAS8-03060.

*Facilities:* CXO (ACIS) VLBA ()

## REFERENCES

- Anderson, S. B., Cadwell, B. J., Jacoby, B. A., Wolszczan, A., Foster, R. S., & Kramer, M. 1996, *ApJ*, 468, L55
- Cordes, J. M., & Lazio, T. J. W. 2002, preprint (astro-ph/0207156)
- Davis, J. E. 2001, *ApJ*, 562, 575
- Dehnen, W., & Binney, J. J. 1998, *MNRAS*, 298, 387
- Drew, J. E., et al. 2005, *MNRAS*, 362, 753
- Dias, W. S., Alessi, B. S., Moitinho, A., & Lepine, J. R. D. 2002, *A&A*, 389, 871
- Faucher-Giguère, C.-A., & Kaspi, V. M. 2006, *ApJ*, 643, 332
- Fich, M., Blitz, L., & Stark, A. 1989, *ApJ*, 342, 272
- Greenstein, G., & Hartke, G. J. 1983, *ApJ*, 271, 283
- Gvaramadze, V. V. 2006, *A&A*, 454, 239
- Johnston, S., Hobbs, G., Vigeland, S., Kramer, M., Weisberg, J. M., & Lyne, A. G. 2005 *MNRAS*, 364, 1397
- Hobbs, G., Lorimer, D. R., Lyne, A. G., & Kramer, M. 2005 *MNRAS*, 360, 974
- Kirshner, R. P., & Arnold, C. N. 1979, *ApJ*, 229, 147
- Kramer, M., Lyne, A. G., Hobbs, G., Löhmer, O., Carr, P., Jordan, C., & Wolszczan, A. 2003, *ApJ*, 593, L31
- Kharchenko, N. V., Piskunov, A. E., Roeser, S., Schilbach, E., & Scholz R.-D. 2005, *A&A*438, 1163
- Kundu, M. R., Angerhofer, P. E., Fürst, E., & Hirth, W. 1980, *A&A*, 92, 225
- Lozinskaya, T. A. 1976, *Soviet Ast.*, 20, 19
- McGowan, K. E., Kennea, J. A., Zane, S., Córdova, F. A., Cropper, M., Ho, C., Sasseen, T., & Vestrand, W. T. 2003, *ApJ*, 591, 380
- Mel'nik, A. M. & Efremov, Y. N. 1995, *Astron. Lett.*, 21, 10

- Mori, K., Tsunemi, H., Miyata, E., Baluta, C. J., Burrows, D. N., Garmire, G. P., & Chartas, G. 2001, in ASP Conf. Ser. 251, *New Century of X-Ray Astronomy*, ed. H. Inoue & H. Kunieda (San Francisco: ASP), 576
- Pavlov, G. G., Shibano, Yu. A., & Zavlin, V. E. 1992, *MNRAS*, 253, 193
- Phillips, A. P., Gondhalekar, P. M., & Blades, J. C. 1981, *MNRAS*, 195, 485
- Possenti, A., Cerutti, R., Colpi, M., & Mereghetti, S. 2002, *A&A*, 387, 993
- Romani, R. W., & Ng, C.-Y. 2003, *ApJ*, 585, L41
- Romani, R. W. 2005, in ASP Conf. Ser. 328, *Binary Radio Pulsars*, ed. F. A. Rasio & I. H. Stairs (San Francisco: ASP), 337
- Ruprecht, J., Balazs, B., White, R. E. 1983, *Soviet Ast.*, 27, 358
- Sallmen, S., & Welsh, B. Y. 2004, *A&A*, 426, 555
- van der Swaluw, E. 2001, PhD Thesis, Proefschrift Universiteit Utrecht
- Sofue, Y., Fürst, E., & Hirth, W. 1980, *PASJ*, 32, 1
- Souvageot, J. L., Ballet, J., & Rothenflug, R. 1990, *A&A*, 227, 183
- Sun, X. 1996, *MPE Rep.*, 263, 195
- Sun, X. H. & Han, J. L. 2004, *MNRAS*, 350, 232
- Yakovlev, D. G., & Pethick, C. J. 2004, *ARA&A*, 42, 169
- Yusifov, I. & Küçük, I. 2004, *A&A*, 422, 545
- Zavlin, V. E., Pavlov, G. G., & Shibano, Yu. A. 1996, *A&A*, 315, 141