

COLLAPSE OF NEUTRON STARS TO BLACK HOLES IN BINARY SYSTEMS: A MODEL FOR SHORT GAMMA RAY BURSTS

CHARLES D. DERMER¹ & ARMEN ATOYAN²
Draft version July 1, 2018

ABSTRACT

The accretion of $\approx 0.1 - 1 M_{\odot}$ of material by a neutron star through Roche lobe overflow of its companion or through white-dwarf/neutron-star coalescence in a low mass binary system could be enough to exceed the critical mass of a neutron star and trigger its collapse to a black hole, leading to the production of a short gamma-ray burst (SGRB). In this model, SGRBs would often be found in early-type galaxies or in globular cluster environments, though they could also be formed through stellar-wind accretion in high-mass binary systems of star-forming galaxies. Although the collapse event is likely to be of short ($\ll 1$ s) duration, afterglow complexity could be produced by neutron decoupling in the fireball and subsequent accretion of the companion remnant, for example, the disrupted white-dwarf core.

Subject headings: gamma-ray bursts — X-rays: binaries — stars: winds, outflows

1. INTRODUCTION

Recent discoveries from Swift and HETE-II have led to the identification of host galaxies for short GRBs (SGRBs), which is the class of GRBs with durations $\lesssim 2$ s that tend to be spectrally harder than the classical long-duration GRBs (LGRB; Kouveliotou et al. 1993). GRB 050509B, the first rapidly localized SGRB (Gehrels et al. 2005), displayed a single short spike with duration of 40 ± 4 ms. No radio or optical counterpart emission was detected. A large elliptical cD galaxy at redshift $z = 0.225$ overlapped the $9.3''$ error radius of the XRT on Swift, and is the likely host galaxy (Bloom et al. 2006). No supernova emissions were detected to faint limits, ruling out an origin associated with supernovae, including Type 1a SNe (Hjorth et al. 2005; Kulkarni et al. 2005). Its apparent isotropic energy was $\approx 4.5 \times 10^{48}$ ergs, with a smaller absolute energy release if the emission was collimated.

In contrast, GRB 050709, a SGRB discovered with HETE-II, is associated with an irregular star-forming galaxy at $z = 0.160$ (Fox et al. 2005). This burst displayed a 70 ms single-spiked 30 – 400 keV hard pulse followed by a 130 s long smooth bump (Villasenor et al. 2005). The energy released in the bump was about the same as the energy released in the spike. X-ray flares occurred ≈ 100 s and ≈ 16 days after the GRB. The afterglow was also detected at optical frequencies, with a jet break apparent at 10 days after the burst. A beaming factor $f_b \approx 0.03$ implies an absolute energy release of $\approx 2 \times 10^{48}$ ergs (Fox et al. 2005).

GRB 050724 is a Swift-detected SGRB that exhibited a 250 ms spike followed by an ≈ 3 s 15 – 150 keV hard bump and an ≈ 100 s 15 – 25 keV soft bump starting ≈ 1 s and ≈ 40 s after the trigger, respectively (Barthelmy et al. 2005). This burst is clearly associated with an elliptical galaxy at $z = 0.258$. The X-ray af-

terglow of GRB 050724 is remarkable in that it exhibits a rapid decline in the X-ray flux at $\approx 200 - 400$ s after the trigger—similar to the behavior seen in LGRBs (Tagliaferri et al. 2005)—and an X-ray flare peaking $\approx 1/2$ day later. A break in the optical light curve ≈ 1 day after the GRB suggests $f_b \approx 0.01$ (Berger et al. 2005), implying an absolute total energy of $\approx 4 \times 10^{48}$ ergs. The energy released in the soft bump and the later X-ray flare is comparable to the energy measured in the prompt phase.

Besides these bursts, two other SGRBs have also been rapidly localized. GRB 050813 is associated with a cluster elliptical galaxy at either $z = 0.722$ or $z \gtrsim 1$ (Berger 2005; Gladders et al. 2005). GRB 050906 is possibly associated with a nearby galaxy at $z \cong 0.03$ (Levan & Tanvir 2005). The association of 3 out of 5 SGRBs with elliptical galaxies excludes models associated with massive stars as a common origin for these sources (Prochaska et al. 2006). Gal-Yam et al. (2005) perform an archival analysis of SGRBs localized through the Interplanetary Network to enlarge the sample to include two sources at $z < 0.15$ and two others at $z \gtrsim 0.3$.

Here we consider whether the collapse of a neutron star (NS) to a black hole (BH) in a binary system, as considered earlier for LGRBs by Vietri & Stella (1999) and for SGRBs by MacFadyen et al. (2005), either through accretion-induced collapse (AIC) or through the coalescence of the low-mass companion with the NS, can produce SGRBs. Statistical arguments for the model are presented in Section 2, explanations for X-ray flares are considered in Section 3, and a discussion and summary are given in Section 4.

2. STATISTICS OF NEUTRON STARS IN BINARIES

Observations of low mass X-ray binaries (LMXBs) and high-mass XBs (HMXBs) in the Galaxy with both NS and BH companions provide support for the idea that the collapse of NSs to BHs could occur in binary systems. The most widely considered evolutionary pathway to form a BH binary (BHB) involves instead the collapse of the evolved core of a massive ($\approx 25 - 100 M_{\odot}$) star in a primordial binary directly to a BH with mass $\approx 10 M_{\odot}$

arXiv:astro-ph/0601142v3 6 Apr 2006

¹ E. O. Hulburt Center for Space Research, Code 7653 Naval Research Laboratory, Washington, D.C. 20375-5352; dermer@gamma.nrl.navy.mil

² CRM, Université de Montréal, Montréal, Canada H3C 3J7; atoyan@crm.umontreal.ca

(for review, see Lewin et al. 1995). Depending on the range of initial stellar masses that collapse to NSs and BHs, the rate of production of LMNSBs in the Galaxy is $10^{-5}\lambda_{-5} \text{ yr}^{-1}$, with $\lambda_{-5} \sim 1$ (Portegies Zwart et al. 1997), at least by a factor ≈ 30 greater than the formation rate of LMBHBs. This should lead to a preponderance of NSXBs over BHBs in our Galaxy, contrary to observation. If the mean lifetime of NSXBs is smaller than BHBs, then this discrepancy could be resolved. One possibility is that a large fraction of NSXBs in low-mass systems form BHBs through AIC. In this case $\approx 10^4 - 10^5$ such events should occur over the lifetime of the Galaxy. Another possibility is that the number of NSXBs could be reduced relative to BHBs if the rate of coalescence events, limited by the measured rate of SGRBs, is comparable to the LMB formation rate.

Approximately 25% of BATSE GRBs are SGRBs (Kouveliotou et al. 1993), implying that SGRBs occur at the rate

$$\Lambda_{SGRB} \gtrsim \frac{5000}{(f_b/0.03)} \text{ yr}^{-1} \quad (1)$$

throughout the universe for a full-sky BATSE GRB rate of 550 yr^{-1} (Band 2002), where f_b is the mean beaming factor of SGRBs. Swift is less sensitive to SGRBs than BATSE, and detects only 1 SGRB every 2 – 3 months (Barthelmy et al. 2005), or $\lesssim 10\%$ of the Swift GRBs. The present data are inadequate to determine the mean redshift \bar{z}_{SGRB} of Swift SGRBs, which may, moreover, be different from the mean redshift of BATSE SGRBs. The value $\langle V/V_{max} \rangle_{SGRB} = 0.39 \pm 0.02$ measuring the deviation from a Euclidean distribution for BATSE SGRBs is significantly larger than for BATSE LGRBs ($\langle V/V_{max} \rangle_{LGRB} = 0.29 \pm 0.01$; Guetta & Piran 2005, and references therein), but is also sufficiently different from 0.5 to suggest that cosmological effects are starting to play a role.

The total number of LMXBs in galaxies of different types is poorly known, because LMXBs may be quiescent for most of their lifetime. Measurements (Gilfanov 2004) show that, within a factor of ≈ 2 , the number of luminous ($\gtrsim 3 \times 10^{35} \text{ ergs s}^{-1}$) LMXBs is proportional to the stellar mass of the host galaxy for spirals and ellipticals. If the total number of LMXBs is proportional to the number of luminous LMXBs, then we can estimate the production rate Λ_{LMXB} of LMXBs in the local universe using the LMNS binary production rate in our Galaxy per unit stellar mass implied by the calculation of Portegies Zwart et al. (1997). The result for $z \lesssim 1$, using a stellar mass in the Milky Way of $3 \times 10^{11} M_\odot$, is

$$\Lambda_{LMXB}(\leq z) \simeq 8 \times 10^3 z^3 \left(\frac{\rho_*}{0.1}\right) \lambda_{-5} \text{ yr}^{-1}, \quad (2)$$

where ρ_* (≈ 0.1 ; see Rudnick et al. 2003) is the fraction of baryon mass in the form of stars in the local universe, the ratio of baryon density compared to the critical density is 5%, and we use a Hubble constant of $70 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$. This rate exceeds the SGRB rate, eq. (1), if

$$z \gtrsim 0.85 \left(\frac{f_b}{0.03} \frac{\rho_*}{0.1} \lambda_{-5} \epsilon \right)^{-1/3}, \quad (3)$$

where ϵ (≤ 1) is the fraction of NSs in LMBs that collapse to a BHs over the $\approx 10^{10} \text{ yr}$ lifetime of galaxies. Unless $\lambda_{-5} \gg 1$, a significant fraction of NSs in binaries must

therefore collapse to BHs to provide the observed rate of SGRBs.

A contact or short-period LMXB forms through spiral-in of the lower initial mass secondary during a common envelope phase while the higher initial mass primary is evolving through a giant phase. If the primary evolves to form a NS before the secondary coalesces with the helium core of the primary (which depends on the initial separation and initial masses of the stars), then a close LMNS binary will form. When the secondary evolves through its hydrogen-burning phase and expands to overflow its Roche lobe (Podsiadlowski et al. 2002), additional accretion onto the NS can trigger collapse to a BH and the formation of a SGRB. Alternately, the secondary can lose angular momentum through gravitational radiation and magnetic braking to spiral in and coalesce with the NS. If the pulsar had evaporated the companion, all that may remain of the secondary is a helium core.

Formation of a BH through AIC or white-dwarf/NS coalescence requires that the NS accretes enough mass to exceed the maximum stable mass of a NS. Depending on the equation of state of nuclear matter, this mass is $\approx 2.2 - 2.9 M_\odot$ (Kalogera & Baym 1996), though rotation could increase these values by $\lesssim 20\%$ (Shapiro & Teukolsky 1983). Sufficient mass could also be accreted from stellar winds to trigger AIC in HMBs. These systems would be found only in star-forming galaxies, and may display distinctive signatures due to the different environment.

Recent observations show that NSs with masses significantly exceeding the Chandrasekhar mass are found in LMBs. PSR J0751+1807 is a $2.1 M_\odot$ millisecond pulsar in a 0.26 d orbit around an $\approx 0.2 M_\odot$ helium white dwarf companion (Nice et al. 2005). As its orbit decays, the white dwarf will overflow its Roche lobe. A number of evolutionary outcomes are possible, including an ultra-compact X-ray binary phase and tidal disruption of the white dwarf (Ergma et al. 1997). Given the large mass of the NS, the NS may also collapse to a BH when it exceeds its maximum mass through accretion. NS masses $\gtrsim 1.7 M_\odot$ are found for several millisecond pulsars in the globular cluster Terzan 2 (Ransom et al. 2005). The masses of most millisecond pulsars are, however, near the Chandrasekhar limit, even allowing for the mass needed to spin up the pulsar (Jacoby et al. 2005), but selection biases may exist against the detection of high mass NSs in millisecond pulsar systems if magnetic field decay accompanies accretion.

The total mass accreted by the compact companion in LMXBs over the lifetime of the Galaxy is $\approx 10^5 M_\odot$, using the LMXB energy output computed by Gilfanov (2004, eq. (19)), for a 10% accretion radiation efficiency and a bolometric-correction factor of ≈ 2 . Most of this energy is radiated by sources with luminosity $\lesssim 2 \times 10^{38} \text{ ergs s}^{-1}$, the Eddington limit of a $1.4 M_\odot$ object. If NSs in $\sim 10^5$ LMNSBs each accrete about the same fraction of this mass during that time, then a large fraction will collapse to BHs in the Galaxy. The proportionality of the number and luminosities of LMXBs in systems with old stellar populations compared to the Milky Way indicates that this mechanism will also operate effectively in early-type galaxies. The larger fraction of LMXBs in globular clusters, which are more prevalent in elliptical galaxies (Sarazin et al. 2003), and the long time required

for AIC, means that SGRBs would often be found at large distances from the center of E/S0 galaxies.

3. X-RAY FLARES FROM SGRBS

One of the surprises of the Swift and HETE-II results is the complex X-ray afterglow behavior of SGRBs. It is hard to invoke density inhomogeneities for bright flares observed hundreds of seconds to days or more after the trigger, especially in those cases where SGRBs are associated with old stellar populations that should inhabit a rarefied quasi-uniform ISM.

There are, however, at least four other processes that can produce episodes of emission from SGRBs on different timescales. First is the duration of engine activity. Before reaching the moment of collapse, the NS in a LMXB could have accreted enough mass and angular momentum to have been spun up to millisecond periods and would, in effect, be a recycled pulsar. As in the case of a hypermassive NS formed in binary neutron-star coalescence (Shibata et al. 2006), the newly formed black hole would be surrounded by a dense torus that accretes on subsecond timescales, accounting for the first burst of emission of the SGRB. Millisecond structure in the lightcurve could be explained by angular-momentum transport through the magneto-rotational instability in the material accreting on the newly formed BH.

A second timescale that could appear in the X-ray lightcurve of SGRBs relates to the deceleration of the blast wave as it sweeps up material from the ISM. For an apparent isotropic energy release of $E_0 = 10^{50} E_{50}$ ergs from a blast wave with mean entropy per baryon $\Gamma_0 = 300\Gamma_{300}$ that decelerates in a uniform surrounding medium with density $n = 10^{-2} n_{-2} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, the deceleration timescale

$$t_d = (1+z) \left(\frac{3E_0}{4\pi n m_p c^5 \Gamma_0^8} \right)^{1/3} \cong 10 \left(\frac{E_{50}}{n_{-2} \Gamma_{300}^8} \right)^{1/3} \text{ s}. \quad (4)$$

The value of t_d represents the time when unabsorbed synchrotron emission from a decelerating blast wave reaches its maximum value. The value of Γ_0 is unknown for SGRBs, so the afterglow synchrotron emission could explain emission peaks on various timescales,³ for example, emission bumps observed a few seconds to minutes after the start of GRBs 050709 and 050724. The peaking of this emission could however be concealed by the decay phase of the prompt emission if t_d is very short, which could happen if $\Gamma_0 \gg 300$.

Another emission episode can occur because of neutron decoupling from the fireball during its expansion phase (Derishev et al. 1999; Bahcall & Mészáros 2000). The baryon load would be rich in neutrons from the accreting neutron-star material. If the elastic nuclear interaction timescale is longer than the comoving time, neutron decoupling occurs when $\Gamma_0/\Gamma_{dcp} \equiv u (> 1)$, where the decoupling Lorentz factor

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{dcp} &\cong (\ln 2\Gamma_{dcp})^{1/4} \left[\frac{E_0 \sigma_{pn}}{(1+y)4\pi m_p c^2 \Delta^2} \right]^{1/4} \\ &\cong 150 \left[1 + 0.17 \ln \left(\frac{\Gamma_{dcp}}{150} \right) \right]^{1/4} \left[\frac{E_{50}}{\frac{(1+y)}{2} \Delta_9^2} \right]^{1/4}. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

³ If SGRBs are sources of 100 MeV – GeV radiation, then GLAST will be able to constrain lower limits of Γ_0 from $\gamma\gamma$ transparency arguments.

Here $\sigma_{pn} \cong 30 \text{ mb}$, y is the ratio of neutrons to protons in the expanding fireball, and Δ/c is the characteristic timescale during which energy is injected, so that $\Delta/c = 10^9 \Delta_9/c = 33\Delta_9 \text{ ms}$.

The Lorentz factor of neutrons when they decouple is $\Gamma_n = \Gamma_0 u^{-4/3}$, and the fraction of total energy in the decoupled neutrons is $(1 + u^{4/3}/y)^{-1}$. The neutrons will decay before colliding with the forward proton shell when the comoving time $t'_n \cong x_{col}/\Gamma_n c \gg 900 \text{ s}$, the mean neutron lifetime. The collision radius where the shell of neutron-decay protons impact the decelerating forward proton shell is $x_{col} = 2^{1/3} u^{8/9} x_d$, where $x_d = \Gamma_0^2 c t_d / (1+z) \cong 2.6 \times 10^{16} (E_{50}/n_{-2} \Gamma_{300}^2)^{1/3} \text{ cm}$ is the deceleration radius. If $x_d \gg 6 \times 10^{15} \Gamma_{300} u^{-20/9} \text{ cm}$, then most neutrons will decay before the impact.

From this, we derive the observer time of the collision, given by $t_{col} \cong 2^{1/3} u^{32/9} t_d$. When $u \cong 2$, a neutron-decay proton shell with significant amount of energy will collide with the forward shell, resulting in a strong shock that could be observed as the second peak near 100 s in GRB 050724. After the shock crosses the shell and injection ceases, the flux rapidly declines. This results from fast synchrotron cooling and expansion of the shell. Note that only one flare can be produced from this process, though other neutron decoupling scenarios can be considered (Beloborodov 2003).

The preceding three mechanisms may also equally operate for NS/NS and NS/BH mergers. In the case of AIC of a NS to a BH, however, the impact of gravitational and neutrino radiation produced at the time of collapse of the neutron star to a BH would heat and disrupt the companion star, causing the ejection of material outside the Roche surface. fallback of this material onto newly formed black hole can trigger flares hours or days later, as suggested by simulations of white dwarf mergers with BHs (Fryer et al. 1999). The stellar companion can also be disrupted through tidal forces if its orbit is perturbed. Only $\approx 10^{-5} M_\odot$ of matter is required for X-ray flares from SGRBs, and fallback of the disrupted stellar material could make flares weeks to months after the event. We expect that a large fraction of BHs in LMBHBs are just above the maximum stable mass of a neutron star if this model is correct.

MacFadyen et al. (2005) also argue that shock interaction of the ejecta with a red giant companion could make flares seen minutes after the prompt emission. Such a process is unlikely to explain the rapid decline in GRB 050724 due to light travel-time delays from different parts of the red-giant surface. Moreover, because comparable X-ray energy is detected in the prompt emission and in the delayed X-ray flares, considerably more energy must be directed toward the red giant than is emitted in the beamed prompt emission. X-ray flares unassociated with SGRBs would be therefore be predicted from the un-beamed shock-heated red giant surface.

4. DISCUSSION AND SUMMARY

Although we have considered a model of SGRBs from the collapse of NSs to BHs in binaries, as first proposed for SGRBs by MacFadyen et al. (2005), SGRBs may represent a heterogeneous class. The currently favored scenario for SGRBs is compact-object coalescence involving NS/NS and NS/BH binaries (brief

reviews are given by Zhang & Mészáros 2004; Piran 2005, see Ruffert & Janka (2001) for Newtonian calculations and Shibata et al. (2005) for fully general relativistic calculations). Such systems that will coalesce within a Hubble time are known to exist, and can release sufficient energy through $\nu\bar{\nu} \rightarrow e^+e^-$ processes (Janka et al. 1999; Lee et al. 2005) to power a SGRB. Compact object merger scenarios with short delay times may, however, conflict with the statistics of SGRB host galaxy types and the properties of observed NS binaries (Gal-Yam et al. 2005; Nakar et al. 2006). Evolutionary calculations of the timescale for AIC of NSs in LMXBs are required to determine if the model proposed here is in accord with the preference of SGRBs for early-type galaxies.

The features that make compact-object coalescence an attractive model for SGRBs also apply here, because both involve bursting events derived from the gravitational energy released by the collapse of a supermassive NS to a BH. Initial loss of angular momentum support along the rotation axis of the NS would form evacuated axial regions and a massive, dense torus of neutron-star material that would accrete on ms timescales (Vietri & Stella 1998; Shibata et al. 2006). Along the poles, a baryon-dilute jet would be created through $\nu\bar{\nu}$ annihilation (Aloy et al. 2005) or MHD (Drenkhahn & Spruit 2002) processes. Insofar as the progenitor systems would not involve stellar winds or supernovae, the collimated outflows would be launched into a low-density external medium.

The occurrence of flaring emissions long after the SGRB takes place was not, however, predicted in NS/NS or NS/BH coalescence scenarios. For NS/NS mergers, the collapse is over after only a few tens of ms (Janka et al. 1999). The torus formed by a transient

highly magnetized hypermassive NS collapses to a BH formed in a NS/NS merger lasts for only ~ 10 ms (Shibata et al. 2006). Even in the case of NS/BH coalescence where the NS is shredded, activity is not expected to last for more than a few seconds (Davies et al. 2005).

In the model proposed here, the disruption of the companion star during coalescence could produce flares long after the SGRB. In the case of AIC, by contrast, if the stellar companion could survive the collapse of the NS to a BH and the accompanying impact of neutrinos and gravitational radiation, then the system may relax to a BHB system. Depending on the type of companion star at the time of collapse, either a LMBHB or HMBHB will be produced. This scenario offers an alternate pathway for BHB and BH microquasar formation.

Gravitational radiation is emitted in this model through two mechanisms. One is the collapse of the NS to the BH. The collapse of deformed, rapidly rotating NSs with millisecond periods would enhance the gravitational wave output compared to collapse events that are nearly spherically symmetric. The coalescence of white dwarf cores with masses of a few tenths of a M_\odot onto NSs could also be detectable, and we suggest that template waveforms for such events be calculated for comparison with LIGO data.

We thank D. A. Kann, E. Nakar, P. S. Ray, and N. E. White for comments, and the referee for valuable suggestions. The work of C. D. D. is supported by the Office of Naval Research. The visit of A. A. to the NRL High Energy Space Environment Branch to study this problem was supported by NASA *GLAST* Science Investigation No. DPR-S-1563-Y, and a NASA Swift Guest Investigator Grant.

REFERENCES

- Aloy, M. A., Janka, H.-T., Müller, E. 2005, *A&A*, 436, 273
Bahcall, J. N., and Mészáros, P., 2000, *PRL*, 85, 1362
Band, D. L. 2002, *ApJ*, 578, 806
Barthelmy, S. D. et al. 2005, *Nature*, 438, 994
Beloborodov, A. M., 2003, *ApJ*, 585, L19
Berger, E. et al. 2005, *Nature*, in press (astro-ph/0508115)
Berger, E. 2005, *GRB Coordinates Network*, 3801, 1
Bloom, J. S., et al. 2006, *ApJ*, 638, 354
Davies, M. B., Levan, A. J., & King, A. R. 2005, *MNRAS*, 356, 54
Derishev, E. V., Kocharovskiy, V. V., and Kocharovskiy, V. V., 1999, *ApJ*, 521, 640
Drenkhahn, G., & Spruit, H. C. 2002, *A&A*, 391, 1141
Ergma, E., Lundgren, S. C., & Cordes, J. M. 1997, *ApJ*, 475, L29
Fox, D. B., et al. 2005, *Nature*, 437, 845
Fryer, C. L., Woosley, S. E., Herant, M., & Davies, M. B. 1999, *ApJ*, 520, 650
Gal-Yam, A., et al. 2005, *ApJ*, submitted (astro-ph/0509891)
Gehrels, N., et al. 2005, *Nature*, 437, 851
Gilfanov, M. 2004, *MNRAS*, 349, 146
Gladders, M., Berger, E., Morrell, N., & Roth, M. 2005, *GRB Coordinates Network*, 3798, 1
Guetta, D., & Piran, T. 2005, *A&A*, 435, 421
Hjorth, J., et al. 2005, *ApJ*, 630, L117
Jacoby, B. A., Hotan, A., Bailes, M., Ord, S., & Kulkarni, S. R. 2005, *ApJ*, 629, L113
Janka, H., Eberl, T., Ruffert, M., & Fryer, C. 1999, *ApJ*, 527, L39
Kalogera, V., & Baym, G. 1996, *ApJ*, 470, L61
Kochanek, C. S., et al. 2001, *ApJ*, 560, 566
Kouveliotou, C., et al. 1993, *ApJ*, 413, L101
Kulkarni, S., et al., submitted to *Nature*
Lee, W. H., Ramirez-Ruiz, E., & Granot, J. 2005, *ApJ*, 630, L165
Levan, A., & Tanvir, N. 2005, *GRB Coordinates Network*, 3927, 1
Lewin, W., van Paradijs, J., & van den Heuvel, E., *X-Ray Binaries* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
- Loveday, J., Peterson, B. A., Efstathiou, G., & Maddox, S. J. 1992, *ApJ*, 390, 338
MacFadyen, A. I., Ramirez-Ruiz, E. & Zhang, W.-Q. 2005, *Nature*, submitted (astro-ph/0510192)
Nakar, E., Gal-Yam, A., & Fox, D. B. 2006, *ApJ*, submitted (astro-ph/0511254)
Nice, D. J., Splaver, E. M., Stairs, I. H., Löhmer, O., Jessner, A., Kramer, M., & Cordes, J. M. 2005, *ApJ*, 634, 1242
Piran, T. 2005, *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 76, 1143
Podsiadlowski, P., Rappaport, S., & Pfahl, E. D. 2002, *ApJ*, 565, 1107
Portegies Zwart, S. F., Verbunt, F., & Ergma, E. 1997, *A&A*, 321, 207
Prochaska, J. X., et al. 2006, *ApJ*, in press (astro-ph/0510022)
Ransom, S. M., et al. 2005, *Science*, 307, 892
Ruffert, M., & Janka, H.-T. 2001, *A&A*, 380, 544
Rudnick, G., et al. 2003, *ApJ*, 599, 847
Sarazin, C. L., et al. 2003, *ApJ*, 595, 743
Shapiro, S. L., & Teukolsky, S. A. 1983, *White Dwarfs, Neutron Stars, and Black Holes* (New York, Wiley-Interscience), p. 264
Shibata, M., Duez, M. D., Liu, Y. T., Shapiro, S. L., & Stephens, B. C. 2006, *PRL*, 96, 031102
Shibata, M., Taniguchi, K., & Uryū, K. 2005, *Phys. Rev. D*, 71, 084021
Tagliaferri, G., et al. 2005, *Nature*, 436, 985
Vietri, M., & Stella, L. 1998, *ApJ*, 507, L45
Vietri, M., & Stella, L. 1999, *ApJ*, 527, L43
Villasenor, J. S., et al. 2005, *Nature*, 437, 855
Zhang, B., & Mészáros, P. 2004, *International Journal of Modern Physics A*, 19, 2385