Cosmological models with Gurzadyan-Xue dark energy

G. V. Vereshchagin[‡] and G. Yegorian[§]

International Center for Relativistic Astrophysics, University of Rome "La Sapienza", Physics Department, P.le A. Moro 5, 00185 Rome, Italy.

Abstract. The formula for dark energy density derived by Gurzadyan and Xue provides a remarkable fit not only to the SN data but also to other basic observations, unlike numerous dark energy scenarios where the corresponding value is postulated. We consider several cosmological models based on that formula and link them to observations using two key numbers: the first acoustic peak position in the CMB power spectrum and the age of the Universe. We show that two models with varying physical constants pass these cosmological tests, being close to concordance model: de Sitter type solution with $\Omega_m = 0.3$ and $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.7$, and a model with dark energy equation of state $p = -2\epsilon/3$ and varying speed of light.

PACS numbers: 98.80

- ‡ e-mail address: veresh@icra.it
- § e-mail address: gegham@icra.it

1. Introduction

With the establishement of observational evidence favoring dark energy domination in the recent history of the cosmological expansion, numerous different models were suggested to account for it. For most of them the value of the corresponding density parameter is chosen to fit the observational one. In contrast, Gurzadyan and Xue formula [1] for dark energy predicts the observed value for the density parameter of the dark energy. The formula reads

$$\rho_{GX} = \frac{\pi}{4} \frac{\hbar c}{L_p^2} \frac{1}{a^2} = \frac{\pi}{4} \frac{c^4}{G} \frac{1}{a^2},\tag{1}$$

where \hbar is the Planck constant, the Planck length is $L_p = \left(\frac{\hbar G}{c^3}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, c is the speed of light, G is the gravitational constant, a is the scale factor of the Universe. Following the original idea by Zeldovich [2], it corresponds to the cosmological term

$$\Lambda_{GX} = \frac{8\pi G \rho_{GX}}{c^2} = \frac{8\pi G}{c^2} \frac{\pi}{4} \frac{c^4}{G} \frac{1}{a^2} = 2\pi^2 \left(\frac{c}{a}\right)^2.$$
 (2)

Therefore, to keep it constant, the speed of light should vary with cosmological expansion as $c \propto a$. One can consider other possibilities as well, assuming the presence of some fundamental physical quantity and admitting variation of basic physical constants in the spirit of Dirac approach. Since the Planck constant does not appear in (1), these can be the gravitational constant and the speed of light. In fact, models with varying physical constants are among the currently discussed ones (e.g. [3]).

The simplest cosmological models following from GX-formula were explored in [4]. Clearly, they can be falsified and various cosmological observations should ultimately select the viable model.

Among the key directly observable cosmological parameters determined with a good accuracy is the position of the first peak in the power spectrum of the cosmic microwave background (CMB) radiation. Although a detailed power spectrum may be obtained as an output of complicated codes such as CMBFast, rather simple calculations including only one-dimensional integrals, give sufficiently accurate positions of acoustic peaks in this spectrum. At the same time, enough accurate constraints on various cosmological models can be obtained from calculation of the age of the Universe as well.

The aim of this paper is to perform these calculations and select from the models considered in [4] those, which pass these cosmological tests. More complicated analysis of the expansion history within these models will be given in the forthcoming paper.

2. The models and their parametrization

We start from the FRW interval

$$ds^{2} = -c^{2}dt^{2} + a^{2}(t)\left[\frac{dr^{2}}{1-kr^{2}} + r^{2}\left(d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta d\varphi^{2}\right)\right],$$
(3)

where t is the cosmic time, r, θ, φ are spatial coordinates, a is the scale factor. Using Einstein equations and the energy-momentum tensor

$$T^{\mu}{}_{\nu} = diag(-\epsilon, p, p, p), \tag{4}$$

with ϵ being the energy density and p being the pressure, we arrive at the usual Friedmann equation

$$H^{2} + \frac{kc^{2}}{a^{2}} - \frac{\Lambda}{3} = \frac{8\pi G}{3c^{2}}\epsilon,$$
(5)

where k is the sign of spatial curvature, $H \equiv d \ln a/dt$ is the Hubble parameter. In [4] we had considered four different cases

- (i) c=const, G=const, $\Lambda \neq const$;
- (ii) $c \propto a$, G=const, Λ =const;
- (iii) c=const, $G \propto a^{-2}$, ϵ_{Λ}/c^2 =const (case 3.1);
- (iv) $c \propto a^{1/2}$, G=const, ϵ_{Λ} =const (case 4.2).

Substituting (2) into (5), we find that it is convenient to introduce the following parametrization

$$H^{2} = H_{0}^{2}(\Omega_{\rho}x^{-6} + \Omega_{\mu}x^{-5} + \Omega_{r}x^{-4} + \Omega_{m}x^{-3} + \Omega_{k}x^{-2} + \Omega_{\alpha}x^{-1} + \Omega_{\Lambda}),$$
(6)

where $x \equiv a/a_0$, and all density parameters are calculated for present epoch, $a(t_0) = a_0$, and are defined as follows

$$\Omega_{\rho} \equiv -\frac{2\pi^2 c^2}{3\rho_{GX} H_0^2 a_0^2} \rho_{r0},\tag{7}$$

$$\Omega_{\mu} \equiv -\frac{2\pi^2 c^2}{3\rho_{GX} H_0^2 a_0^2} \rho_0, \tag{8}$$

$$\Omega_r \equiv \frac{8\pi G}{3H_0^2} \rho_{r0},\tag{9}$$

$$\Omega_m \equiv \frac{8\pi G}{3H_0^2} \rho_0,\tag{10}$$

$$\Omega_k \equiv -\left(k - \frac{2\pi^2}{3}\right) \frac{c^2}{H_0^2 a_0^2},$$
(11)

$$\Omega_{\alpha} = \left(k - \frac{2\pi^2}{3}\right) \left(\frac{4G\rho_{GX}}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},\tag{12}$$

$$\Omega_{\Lambda} = \left(1 - \frac{3k}{2\pi^2}\right) \frac{\Lambda}{3H_0^2},\tag{13}$$

where ρ_0 and ρ_{r0} are present densities of nonrelativistic and relativistic matter, respectively.

3. First acoustic peak position

The multipole for the CMB first peak position is expressed from the angular size of the last scattering surface

$$l_{fp} \approx \pi \frac{d_{sh}}{r_{sh}},\tag{14}$$

where the sound horizon r_{sh} at the epoch of last scattering t_* is

$$r_{sh} = \int_0^{t_*} c_s d\eta = \int_0^{x_*} c_s \frac{dx}{Hx^2 a_0},\tag{15}$$

conformal time is $d\eta = dt/a$, with the speed of sound

$$c_s = \frac{c}{\sqrt{3\left(1 + \frac{3\Omega_b}{4\Omega_r}x\right)}},\tag{16}$$

The position of the first peak should be corrected taking into account the shift of the spectrum [5] and it is given by

$$l_{fp} \approx \pi (1 - \bar{\varphi}) \frac{d_{sh}}{r_{sh}},\tag{17}$$

with the fitting formula, which we modified for higher baryonic fraction $\Omega_b h^2 = 0.023$ as

$$\bar{\varphi} \approx 0.206 \left(\frac{r_*}{0.3}\right)^{0.1},\tag{18}$$

where the ratio of the matter and radiation at the last scattering with z_* is

$$r_* = 0.042 (\Omega_m h^2)^{-1} \left(\frac{z_*}{10^3}\right).$$
(19)

The distance to the last scattering surface is determined from the condition $ds^2 = 0$, i.e.

$$\int_{0}^{d_{sh}} \frac{dr}{\sqrt{1-kr^2}} = c \int_{t_*}^{t_0} \frac{dt}{a}.$$
 (20)

Putting everything together, we find

$$l_{fp} = \pi (1 - \bar{\varphi}) \frac{\sqrt{3} F\left[\int_{x_*}^1 x^{-2} dx \left(\sum_{i=-6}^0 \Omega_i x^i\right)^{-1/2}\right]}{\int_0^{x_*} x^{-2} dx \left[\left(1 + \frac{3\Omega_b}{4\Omega_r}x\right) \left(\sum_{i=-6}^0 \Omega_i x^i\right)\right]^{-1/2}},$$
(21)

where the function $F[y] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Omega_k}} \sinh[\sqrt{\Omega_k}y]$ for $\Omega_k > 0$ and F[y] = y for $\Omega_k = 0$ and $i = \rho, \mu, r, m, k, \alpha, \Lambda$ as in (6).

4. Age

Given equation (6), the age of the Universe is calculated via the integral

$$t_0 = H_0^{-1} \int_0^1 [\Omega_\rho x^{-4} + \Omega_\mu x^{-3} \Omega_r x^{-2} + \Omega_m x^{-1} + \Omega_k + \Omega_\Lambda x^2]^{-1/2} dx.$$
(22)

5. Model selection

Recent observations allowed to determine the position of the first acoustic peak in the CMB anisotropy spectrum with the high accuracy. Current best-fit value [7] is

$$l_{obs} = 220.1 \pm 0.8. \tag{23}$$

The age of globular clusters, in agreement with cosmic nucleo-chronology, sets an conservative lower bound to the age of the Universe [8]

$$t_{obs} = 11.2 \, 10^9 \, \mathrm{Yrs.}$$
 (24)

In order to compare predictions of the models considered in [4] with observations, we need to perform simple integrals (21) and (22) taking certain values of the cosmological parameters. We adopt the Hubble constant value h = 0.72 [9], as well as the baryonic fraction of the Universe

$$\Omega_b = 0.045,\tag{25}$$

in agreement with 2dFGRS [6] results. The best fit value for the latter parameter currently comes from the CMB anisotropy measurements, but we cannot use it since it was obtained within the concordance model. BBN provides weaker constraints on Ω_b . With these values of cosmological parameters the redshift of last scattering is $z_* = x_*^{-1} = 1267$. Radiation density parameter is calculated from the CMB temperature $T_0 = 2.726$ K being

$$\Omega_r = \frac{8\pi G \rho_{r0}}{3H_0 c^2} = 1.2 \, 10^{-5}.$$
(26)

We take concordance value for the matter density parameter

$$\Omega_m = 0.3,\tag{27}$$

although results from the analysis of the final 2dFGRS sample point out on a somewhat smaller value $\Omega_m = 0.23$ [6].

Since the sum of all density parameters is unity, in all models 1,2,4 the value of the corresponding density parameter entering into the integrals (21) and (22), when appropriate, is set to 0.7 (see below).

Model	First peak position	Age, 10^9 Yrs
1	418.7	11.0
2	219.7	13.1
4	210.7	12.3

Table 1. First peak position and the age of the Universe for models 1,2,4.

Results for the calculation of the first acoustic peak position and the age for models 1,2 and 4 are displayed in the Table 1.

Model 1. Here we have $\Omega_k = 0.7$, so the model is curvature-dominated. As seen from the Table, although the age is acceptable, the first peak is very far from the observational value. Therefore, the model has to be rejected.

Model 2. This case, even though has de Sitter asymptote, corresponds to the concordance model with $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.7$, and hence gives good agreement both for the age and for the peak position.

Model 4. This case has unusual future since is dominated by a^{-1} term in the Friedmann equation with $\Omega_{\alpha} = 0.7$. Since the matter dominated epoch is finished recently, it is hard to distinguish this case from the concordance model. Indeed, the age is acceptable, as can be seen from the Table. The peak position is outside the 1σ region determined from WMAP observations, but with a slight variation of the cosmological parameters within error bars the result can be adjusted to fit the data. As we have pointed out in [4], this model corresponds to the dark energy equation of state $p = -2\epsilon/3$.

Model 3 has an unusual early expansion due to domination by a^{-6} and a^{-5} terms in equation (5). Instead of parameters Ω_r and Ω_m here we use Ω_{ρ} and Ω_{μ} , with corresponding values. However, the model is invalid due to a too short age.



Figure 1. Dynamics of cosmological expansion is shown for all considered models. Dots denote points with $\ddot{a} = 0$. Firm, thick and dashed curves correspond to models 1,2 and 4, correspondingly. Model 3 has a too short age (dashed-dotted curve).

For illustration purposes expansion dynamics for all considered cases is illustrated in Fig.1. Points mark transition from decelerated to accelerated expansion for all models except curvature dominated one where transition to free expansion takes place.

6. Conclusions

In this paper we confronted predictions of various cosmological models with Gurzadyan-Xue dark energy formula (1), assuming also variation of physical constants such as the speed of light and the gravitational constant.

Two key observational numbers are considered, the first acoustic peak position in the power spectrum of CMB anisotropy and the age of the Universe. Observations allow to rule out the curvature-dominated solution (model 1) and that with constant vacuum density and varying gravitational constant (model 3). It is shown that two models pass both tests: model 2 actually possessing concordance model's parameters, even though it is of de Sitter cosmological solution, as well as dark energy dominated model with unusual future expansion and varying speed of light (model 4).

References

- V. G. Gurzadyan V. G., S.-S. Xue in: "From Integrable Models to Gauge Theories; volume in honor of Sergei Matinyan", ed. V. G. Gurzadyan, A. G. Sedrakian, p.177, World Scientific, 2002; Mod. Phys. Lett. A18 (2003) 561 [astro-ph/0105245]
- [2] Ya. B. Zeldovich JETP Lett. 6 (1967) 883; Sov. Phys. Uspekhi 95 (1968) 209.
- [3] J. Magueijo, *Rept.Prog.Phys.*, **66** (2003) 2025; J.D. Barrow, D. Kimberly and J. Magueijo, *Class.Quant.Grav.*, **21** (2004) 4289
- [4] G.V. Vereshchagin, astro-ph/0511131
- [5] W. Hu et al., ApJ, 549 (2001) 669; M. Doran and M. Lilley, MNRAS, 330 (2002) 965
- [6] S. Cole *et al.*, *MNRAS*, **362** (2005) 505
- [7] G. Hinshaw et al., ApJS, **148** (2003) 135
- [8] L.M. Krauss and B. Chaboyer, Science 299 (2003) 65
- [9] W.L. Freedman et al., ApJ 553 (2001) 47