

Comment on Hervik's comment
(astro-ph/0504071) on evidence of vorticity
and shear of the Universe (astro-ph/0503213)

D. PALLE

Zavod za teorijsku fiziku

Institut Rugjer Bošković

Pošt. Pret. 180, HR-10002 Zagreb, CROATIA

Hervik's comments on my criticism of the paper of Jaffe et al are irrelevant or wrong.

Jaffe et al [1] performed recently the fit of WMAP data based on the work of Barrow et al [2]. They claim [1] the existence of the nonvanishing vorticity and shear of the Universe. The spacetime vorticity [3] in the model [2] vanishes by definition, and this was the statement in my comment [4]. The spacetime vorticity vanishes even after the introduction of the angle of tilt that induces fluid vorticity [5]. However, the model discussed in [2] contains vanishing angle of tilt, thus also vanishing fluid vorticity. Barrow et al [2] define their own "vorticity", with unclear physical notion and relevance.

The correct treatment of perturbations in General Relativistic cosmology beyond FRW models is introduced and discussed by Ellis and Bruni [6], few years after paper of Barrow et al had been published. One cannot work properly in the model beyond FRW, and then assume during some derivations "FRW background" [7]. The gauge noninvariant formulae are the source of the embarrassing results of Jaffe et al [1]: extremely small shear beyond one-year WMAP data sensitivity.

SAPIENTI SAT.

References

- [1] T. R. Jaffe et al: **astro-ph/0503213**
- [2] J. D. Barrow, R. Juszkiewicz and D. H. Sonoda: MNRAS **213** (1985) 917
- [3] K. Gödel: Rev. Mod. Phys. **21** (1949) 447
- [4] D. Palle: **astro-ph/0503562**
- [5] A. R. King and G. F. R. Ellis: Comm. Math. Phys. **31** (1973) 209
- [6] G. F. R. Ellis and M. Bruni: Phys. Rev. **D 40** (1989) 1804
- [7] S. Hervik: **astro-ph/0504071**