

# Transient acceleration from a hybrid Chaplygin gas

Neven Bilić<sup>1</sup>, Gary B. Tupper<sup>2</sup> and Raoul D. Viollier<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Rudjer Bošković Institute, 10002 Zagreb, Croatia

E-mail: bilic@thphys.irb.hr

<sup>2</sup>Institute of Department of Physics, University of Cape Town,  
Rondebosch 7701, South Africa

E-mail: gtupper@science.uct.ac.za, viollier@physci.uct.ac.za

December 24, 2018

## Abstract

We extend the Chaplygin gas model for dark matter and dark energy unification by promoting the Chaplygin gas parameter  $A$  to the potential for an extra scalar with canonical kinetic energy. The hybrid model allows for accelerated Hubble expansion to be a transient effect around redshift zero.

## 1 Introduction

The discovery of accelerated Hubble expansion [1, 2] has presented physics with a profound challenge : to account for a critical universe in which 74% is in the form of ‘dark energy’ with an equation of state  $w_{\text{DE}} \equiv p_{\text{DE}}/\rho_{\text{DE}} \simeq -1$ . Aside from the obvious cosmological constant  $\Lambda$ , a variety of models based on ‘quintessence’ [3] and ‘k-essence’ [4] have been put forward; for reviews, see [5]. One characteristic of the afore-mentioned models is the assumption that dark energy is separate and distinct from dark matter which comprises 22% of the universe with  $w_{\text{DM}} = 0$ , the remaining 4% being ordinary baryonic matter.

A rather different idea, which has been dubbed *quartessence* [6], is that both dark energy and dark matter are aspects of a unified theory. The first definite quartessence model [7, 8, 9] was based upon the Chaplygin gas, an exotic fluid obeying  $p = -A/\rho$ , which is equivalent [8] to the Dirac-Born-Infeld theory for a D-brane:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{DBI}} = -\sqrt{A}\sqrt{1 - g^{\mu\nu}\theta_{,\mu}\theta_{,\nu}}, \quad (1)$$

where  $\sqrt{A} \sim \Lambda/G$  is the brane tension. This Lagrangian yields a perfect fluid stress-energy tensor with the four-velocity  $u_{\mu} = \theta_{,\mu}/\sqrt{g^{\alpha\beta}\theta_{,\alpha}\theta_{,\beta}}$ , the pressure  $p = \mathcal{L}_{\text{DBI}}$  and the density  $\rho = \sqrt{A}/\sqrt{1 - g^{\mu\nu}\theta_{,\mu}\theta_{,\nu}}$ . Solving the  $\theta$  field equation in a Friedmann-Robertson-Walker (FRW) universe gives [7]  $\bar{\rho} = \sqrt{A + B/a^6}$ , where  $B$  is an integration constant and  $a$  the

scale factor normalized to unity today. Thus one sees that the model interpolates between dust at small  $a$  and a cosmological constant at large  $a$ .

In [8] it was suggested that a dominant proportion of the Chaplygin gas condensed on caustics of the primordial velocity field to provide dark matter haloes, the residual fraction providing dark energy. The crucial question is what fraction of the Chaplygin gas goes into condensate. Indeed, in [10] we have noted that if this were 92% as given by the geometric Zel'dovich approximation, the CMB and the mass power spectrum would be reproduced. However, our analysis of the nonlinear evolution of the Chaplygin gas [11] demonstrates that the collapse fraction is less than 1% , far too small to significantly affect the mass power spectrum. This result, in qualitative agreement with that of Avelino et al [12], is not surprising. Although the adiabatic speed of sound  $c_s^2 = dp/d\rho = A/\rho^2$  is small at large redshifts, the comoving acoustic horizon  $d_s = \int dt c_s/a \simeq H_0^{-1} a^{7/2}$  already reaches Mpc scales at a redshift  $z = 20$ , so frustrating condensation. In the absence of dominant condensation, the Chaplygin gas model is in gross conflict with the cosmic microwave background [13] and the mass power spectrum [14]. We note that the generalized Chaplygin gas model [15],  $p = -A/\rho^\alpha$ , with  $\alpha < 1$  for causality, is even less satisfactory because  $d_s \simeq \alpha^{1/2} H_0^{-1} a^{2+3\alpha/2}$  is larger.

It has been noted by Reis et al [16] that the root of the structure formation problem is the term  $\Delta\delta p$  in perturbation equations, equal to  $c_s^2\Delta(\delta\rho/\bar{\rho})$  for adiabatic perturbations, and if there are entropy perturbations such that  $\delta p = 0$ , no difficulty arises. This scenario is difficult to justify in the simple Chaplygin gas model. Here, we would like to explore a nonadiabatic scenario in which the constant  $A$  is promoted to a field. In this case,  $\delta p = -\bar{p}(\delta\rho/\bar{\rho} - \delta A/\bar{A})$  and the entropy perturbations needed to make  $\delta p$  vanish are attributed to the perturbations of  $A$ . However, we demonstrate that if the kinetic term corresponding to  $A$  is standard (or can be made so by a field redefinition) the entropy perturbation is automatically destroyed on subcausal horizon scales. A nonadiabatic scenario with spatial fluctuations of  $A$  has recently been discussed<sup>1</sup> [17] in the context of the integrated Sachs Wolfe effect.

Irrespective of its other problems, the Chaplygin gas quartessence shares the feature of eternal acceleration with most other models of dark energy. The models with eternal acceleration such as  $\Lambda$ CDM and QuintessenceCDM possess a future horizon which forbids the construction of a consistent S-matrix based on free asymptotic states [18, 19]. In this paper we show that a simple extension of the Chaplygin gas model yields an end to the accelerating phase and makes this model compatible with the S-matrix description of string theory.

## 2 Hybrid model

The Lagrangian density for the hybrid Chaplygin gas is

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{EQ}} = \frac{\omega}{2K} g^{\mu\nu} \phi_{,\mu} \phi_{,\nu} - \sqrt{A} e^{-\phi} \sqrt{1 - g^{\mu\nu} \theta_{,\mu} \theta_{,\nu}}, \quad (2)$$

---

<sup>1</sup>In fact, gauge-invariant perturbations of the single-component model suggested in [17] are adiabatic in that  $\delta p_{\text{GI}} = (\bar{A}/\bar{\rho}^2)\delta\rho$ . Moreover, if effectively  $A \propto \theta^{-2n}$  with  $0 \leq n < 2$  to produce acceleration [20], then  $d_s \simeq H_0^{-1} a^{2+3(1-n)/2}$  showing that such tachyon models share the clustering behaviour of the generalized Chaplygin gas.

where  $\omega$  is a coupling constant and the constant  $K = 8\pi G$  is introduced to make  $\phi$  dimensionless. That is to say, we have replaced the constant brane tension, or the Chaplygin gas constant, by the potential for a quintessence-type field  $\phi$ , here taken to be exponential. However, it is important to stress that, unlike in quintessence models, the field  $\phi$  affects both dark energy and dark matter. Hence, dark matter and dark energy remain unified as in the simple Chaplygin gas model.

Solving the  $\theta$  field equation in a flat FRW model yields the universe evolution equations in the standard form

$$H^2 \equiv \left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 = \frac{K}{3}(\bar{\rho}_\theta + \bar{\rho}_\phi), \quad (3)$$

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{K}{6}(\bar{\rho}_\theta + 3\bar{p}_\theta + \bar{\rho}_\phi + 3\bar{p}_\phi), \quad (4)$$

with

$$\bar{\rho}_\theta = \sqrt{Ae^{-2\phi} + \frac{B}{a^6}}, \quad (5)$$

$$\bar{p}_\theta = -\frac{Ae^{-2\phi}}{\bar{\rho}_\theta}, \quad (6)$$

for the Chaplygin-like component and

$$\bar{\rho}_\phi = \bar{p}_\phi = \frac{\omega}{2K}\dot{\phi}^2 \quad (7)$$

for the  $\phi$  field component. In addition, the  $\phi$  field satisfies the equation of motion

$$\ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi} = -\frac{K}{\omega}\bar{p}_\theta. \quad (8)$$

### 3 Results and discussion

To preserve the dustlike behaviour of the Chaplygin gas at small  $a$ , we require  $\dot{\phi}$  to be small in the small  $a$  regime. In this regime the  $\phi$  field equation (8) becomes

$$3H\dot{\phi} \simeq -\frac{K}{\omega}\bar{p}_\theta, \quad (9)$$

whence

$$\frac{\bar{\rho}_\phi}{\bar{\rho}_\theta} \simeq \frac{1}{6\omega} \left(\frac{\bar{p}_\theta}{\bar{\rho}_\theta}\right)^2. \quad (10)$$

Solving (9) with  $\bar{\rho}_\theta \simeq \sqrt{B}/a^3$  and the initial conditions<sup>2</sup>  $\phi = \dot{\phi} = 0$  yields

$$\phi(a) \simeq \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(1 + \frac{A}{3\omega B} a^6\right). \quad (11)$$

Thus, indeed the scalar  $\phi$  will be unimportant until  $a \sim 1$  and  $|p_\theta/\rho_\theta| \sim 1$ .

---

<sup>2</sup>An initial  $\dot{\phi} \neq 0$  will quickly redshift to zero.




Figure 1: Evolution of the scalar field  $\phi$  for  $\omega = 0.45$  and the parameter  $A/\rho_{\text{cr}}^2$  equal to 0.7 (solid) and 0.9 (dashed line).

As in the simple Chaplygin gas, once accelerated expansion starts near  $a = 1$ , the quantity  $\dot{\theta}$  is quickly redshifted to zero. For large  $a$ ,  $-\bar{p}_\theta \simeq \bar{\rho}_\theta \simeq \sqrt{A}e^{-\phi}$ , so the hybrid Chaplygin gas becomes simple quintessence with an exponential potential. In this large  $a$  regime there are simple power law solutions

$$e^{-\phi} = \phi_1 \left(\frac{t_1}{t}\right)^2; \quad a = a_1 \left(\frac{t}{t_1}\right)^{2\omega}, \quad (12)$$

with  $\phi_1$ ,  $t_1$ , and  $a_1$  being constants, showing that if  $\omega < 1/2$ , deceleration is obtained, and if  $\omega = 1/3$ , the behaviour is that of dust.

Hence, the hybrid model with  $\omega < 1/2$  supports only a transient period of accelerated expansion around  $a = 1$ . We have confirmed this by numerical calculation: in Fig. 1 we exhibit the solution of Eq. (8), which fits Eqs. (11) and (12) well for small and large  $a$ , respectively. In Fig. 2 we show the deceleration parameter  $q = -a\ddot{a}/\dot{a}^2$  which briefly goes negative around  $a = 1$ .

Our hybrid model is in spirit similar to the hybrid quintessence of Halyo [21] since the field  $\phi$  serves as a trigger field responsible for ending acceleration. As we have already mentioned, this type of behaviour is preferable from the string theory perspective. A similar transient acceleration has been obtained in braneworld models of dark energy [22], in the two-field supersymmetric hybrid model [23], in double quintessence [24], in a tachyon scenario [25] and in a variant of the generalized Chaplygin gas [26].

For the sake of comparison with the concordance model, we also calculate the magnitude-




Figure 2: Deceleration parameter versus  $a$  for  $\omega$  and  $A/\rho_{\text{cr}}^2$  equal to, respectively, 0.45 and 0.7 (solid), 0.45 and 0.9 (dot-dashed), 0.35 and 0.7 (dashed), and 0.35 and 0.9 (dotted line)

redshift relation using the definition [1]

$$m = 5 \log_{10}(H_0 d_{\text{lum}}) + M, \quad (13)$$

where the luminosity distance for the flat space is defined as

$$d_{\text{lum}} = (1+z) \int_0^z \frac{dz'}{H(z')}, \quad (14)$$

and  $M$  is a constant of the order of 25. In Fig. 3 we plot the results of the calculation in the hybrid Chaplygin gas and in the  $\Lambda$ CDM model, and compare them with the supernovae type I observation data [1, 27, 28, 29].

Despite the above encouraging features, this extended model does not solve the structure formation problem. Indeed, the model of Eq. (2) is constructed so that

$$\delta p_\theta = -\bar{p}_\theta \left( \frac{\delta \rho_\theta}{\bar{\rho}_\theta} - 2\delta\phi \right) \quad (15)$$

and the entropy perturbations of [16] are realized by  $\delta\phi = \delta\rho_\theta/(2\bar{\rho}_\theta)$  as an initial condition outside the causal horizon  $d_c = \int dt/a \simeq H_0^{-1} a^{1/2}$ . Since  $\phi$  is small and the potential very flat, the problem is that  $\delta\phi$  behaves essentially as a free field

$$\delta\ddot{\phi} + 3H\delta\dot{\phi} - \frac{1}{a^2}\Delta\delta\phi \simeq 0. \quad (16)$$




Figure 3: Magnitude-redshift plot in the  $\Lambda$ CDM model with  $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.7$  and  $\Omega_M = 0.3$  (solid line) and in the hybrid Chaplygin gas model with  $\omega = 0.45$  and  $A/\rho_{\text{cr}}^2$  equal to 0.7 (dashed) and 0.9 (dot-dashed line) compared with the data taken from the indicated references.

Then, once the perturbations enter the horizon  $d_c$  (but are still outside the acoustic horizon  $d_s$  for adiabatic perturbations),  $\delta\phi$  undergoes rapid damped oscillations, so that the entropy perturbation is destroyed. This means that entropy perturbations are not automatically preserved except on long, i.e., superhorizon, wavelengths where the simple Chaplygin gas has no problem anyway.

What is wanted for structure formation is a field which avoids the last term in Eq. (16)<sup>3</sup>. A natural candidate that comes to mind [30] is the Kalb-Ramond field which is intrinsic to string theory and is coupled to the Dirac-Born-Infeld action [31]. Related models have recently been considered in the context of D-brane world cosmology [32] and phantom energy stars [33].

In conclusion, we have examined a hybrid model, promoting the Chaplygin gas constant to the potential for an extra scalar  $\phi$ . The hybrid model preserves the main successes, and failures, of Chaplygin quartessence but has the added feature of future deceleration.

## Acknowledgment

The work of NB was supported by the Ministry of Science and Technology of the Republic of Croatia under Contract No. 0098002 and partially supported through the Agreement

<sup>3</sup>Here we are speaking of a strictly 4-dimensional viewpoint. It is not excluded that the resolution of the structure formation problem lies with the geometric interpretation of Eq. (1) as the brane embedding in higher dimensions [34]

between the Astrophysical sector, SISSA, and the Particle Physics and Cosmology Group, RBI. Two of us (RDV and GBT) acknowledge grants from the South African National Research Foundation (NRF GUN-2053794), the Research Committee of the University of Cape Town, and the Foundation for Fundamental Research (FFR PHY-99-01241).

## References

- [1] S. Perlmutter et al., *Astrophys. J.* **517** (1999) 565;
- [2] A.G. Riess et al., *Astron. J.* **116** (1998) 1009.
- [3] C. Wetterich, *Nucl. Phys.* **B302** (1988) 668; P.J.E. Peebles and B. Ratra, *Astrophys. J.* **325** (1988) L17; R.R. Caldwell, R. Dave, and P.J. Steinhardt, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80** (1998) 1582; I. Zlatev, L. Wang, and P.J. Steinhardt, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82** (1999) 896.
- [4] C. Armendariz-Picon, T. Damour and V. Mukhanov, *Phys. Lett.* **B458** (1999) 209.
- [5] P.J.E. Peebles and B. Ratra, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **75** (2003) 599; T. Padmanabhan, *Phys. Rept.* **380** (2003) 235.
- [6] M. Makler, S.Q. de Oliveira and I. Waga, *Phys. Lett.* **B555** (2003) 1.
- [7] A. Kamenshchik, U. Moschella and V. Pasquier, *Phys. Lett.* **B511** (2001) 265.
- [8] N. Bilić, G.B. Tupper and R.D. Viollier, *Phys. Lett.* **B535** (2002) 17.
- [9] J.C. Fabris, S.V.B. Goncalves, P.E. de Souza, *Gen.Rel.Grav.* 34 (2002) 53; J.C. Fabris, S.V.B. Goncalves, P.E. de Souza, *Gen.Rel.Grav.* 34 (2002) 2111.
- [10] N. Bilić, R.J. Lindebaum, G.B. Tupper, and R.D. Viollier, astro-ph/0310181, to appear in *Proc. BLOIS 2003*
- [11] N. Bilić, G.B. Tupper, and R.D. Viollier, *JCAP* **11** (2004) 008.
- [12] P.P. Avelino, L.M.G. Beça, J.P.M. de Carvalho, C.J.A.P. Martins, and E.J. Copeland *Phys. Rev. D* **69** (2004) 041301
- [13] P. Carturan and F. Finelli, *Phys. Rev.* **D68** (2003) 103501; R. Bean and O. Doré, *Phys. Rev.* **D68** (2003) 023515.
- [14] H.B. Sandvik, M. Tegmark, M. Zaldarriaga and I. Waga, *Phys. Rev.* **D69** (2004) 123524.
- [15] M.C. Bento, O. Bertolami and A.A. Sen, *Phys. Rev.* **D66** (2002) 043507.
- [16] R.R.R. Reis, I Waga, M.O. Calvão and S.E. Joás, *Phys. Rev.* **D68** (2003) 061302.
- [17] W. Zimdahl and J.C. Fabris, gr-qc/0504088
- [18] T. Banks, hep-th/0007146 T. Banks and M. Dine, 2001 *JHEP* **10** (2001) 012

- [19] S. Hellerman, N. Kaloper, and L. Susskind, *JHEP* **06** (2001) 003
- [20] L.B.W. Abramo and F. Finelli, *Phys. Lett. B* **575** (2003) 165
- [21] E. Halyo E, *JHEP* **10** (2001) 025
- [22] V. Sahni and Yu. Shtanov, *JCAP* **11** (2003) 014
- [23] M. Axenides and K. Dimopoulos, *JCAP* **07** (2004) 010.
- [24] D. Blais and D. Polarski, *Phys. Rev. D* **70** (2004) 084008.
- [25] E. J. Copeland, M. R. Garousi, M. Sami, and S. Tsujikawa, *Phys. Rev. D* **71** (2005) 043003.
- [26] A.A. Sen and R.J. Scherrer, astro-ph/0507717
- [27] M. Hamuy et al., *Astron. J.* **112** (1996) 2408.
- [28] A.G. Riess et al., *Astron. J.* **117** (1999) 707.
- [29] R.A. Knop et al., *Astrophys.J.* 598 (2003) 102
- [30] N. Bilić, G.B. Tupper, and R.D. Viollier, hep-th/0504082.
- [31] J. Polchinski, *String Theory*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [32] E.J. Chun, H.B. Kim and Y. Kim, hep-ph/0502051.
- [33] N. Bilić, G.B. Tupper and R.D. Viollier, “Born-Infeld phantom gravastars”, astro-ph/0503427
- [34] N. Bilić, G.B. Tupper and R.D. Viollier, in *Proc. Int. Conf. in Dark Matter in Astro- and Particle Physics, DARK 2002* eds. H.V. Klapdor-Kleingrothaus and R.D. Viollier (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg 2002), astro-ph/0207423