U jjaini A lam^a, Varun Sahni^a and A.A. Starobinsky^b

^a Inter-University Centre for A stronom y & A strophysics, P une 411 007, India

^b Landau Institute for Theoretical Physics, 119334 M oscow, Russia

A bstract. We investigate the behaviour of dark energy using the recently released supernova data of R iess et al. (2004) and a m odel independent param eterization for dark energy (DE). We nd that, if no priors are imposed on $_{0m}$ and h, DE which evolves with time provides a better t to the SNe data than CDM. This is also true if we include results from the W MAP CM B data. From a joint analysis of SN e+ CM B, the best-t DE m odel has w₀ < 1 at the present epoch and the transition from deceleration to acceleration occurs at $z_T = 0.39$ 0.03. However, DE evolution becomes weaker if the CDM based CMB results $_{0m} = 0.27$ 0.04, h = 0.71 0.06 are incorporated in the analysis. In this case, $z_T = 0.57$ 0.07. Our results also show that the extent of DE evolution is sensitive to the manner in which the supernova data is sam pled.

1. Introduction

Supernova observations [1, 2] were the rst to suggest that our universe is currently accelerating. Subsequently, a combination of results from cosm ic microw are background (CMB) experiments and observations of galaxy clustering served to strengthen this world view [3, 4], and it is now believed that as much as 2/3 of the total density of the universe is in a form which has large negative pressure and which is usually referred to as dark energy (DE).

The earliest theoretical model of DE { the cosm ological constant () { satisfied w p= = 1. Since the energy density in does not evolve, its present value

' 10 ⁴⁷ G eV⁴ is also its initial value. As a result, the ratio = r, where r is the radiation density, had the miniscule value 10 ¹²³ at the Planck time. The enormous amount of netuning this might involve led theorists to suggest that, like other forms ofmatter in the universe, D E density too may show significant time-evolution. However, this argument for significant variability of with redshift is questionable. A ctually, it is a variant of the D irac's large number hypothesis that proved not to be valid in our U niverse, e.g., the density of water has also a miniscule value of 10 ⁹³ in P lanck units (though, of course, larger than that of), and we know that its relative change from present time up to redshifts of the order of one (due to possible variations of the ne structure constant and the electron and proton masses) is less than 10 ⁵. So, based

on the argument above, it would be wrong to assume that dark energy density should signicantly change with redshift.

A nother, m ore reliable reason to suggest a time dependent form of DE lies in the fact that our current accelerating epoch is unlikely to have been unique. In fact there is considerable evidence to suggest that the universe underwent an early in ationary epoch during which its expansion rapidly accelerated under the in uence of an 'in aton' eld which, over su ciently sm all time scales, had properties sim ilar to those of a cosm ological constant. Inspired by in ationary cosm ology, quintessence m odels invoke a m inim ally coupled scalar eld to construct a dynam ically evolving m odel for DE.

Recent years have seen a unry of activity in this area and there are currently, apart from quintessence, at least a dozen well motivated models of an accelerating universe in which dark energy is a dynamically evolving quantity (for a recent review see [5]). A simple categorization of DE models could be as follows:

- (i) The cosm ological constant, w = 1.
- (ii) DE with w = constant \Leftrightarrow 1. (Cosmic strings (w = 1=3), domain walls (w = 2=3). Quintessence with a sine hyperbolic potential [6]).
- (iii) Dynamical DE, w & constant. (Quintessence, Chaplygin gas [7], k-essence [8], braneworld models [26, 27, 28], etc.)
- (iv) DE with w < 1. (scalar-tensor gravity models [9], phantom models, braneworld cosmology etc. [10, 12, 11, 13, 14].)

In view of the large number of possibilities for DE it would not be without advantage to analyse the properties of DE in a model independent manner. Such an approach was adopted by A lam et al.[15] (henceforth Paper I) in which the Supernova data published by Tonry et al.[16] and Barris et al.[17] was analysed using a versatile ansatz for the Hubble parameter. Paper I discovered that dynamical DE t the SN e observations better than CDM and these results found support in the subsequent analysis of other teams [19, 20, 21]. (Paper I referred to DE evolution as in etam orphosis' since the DE equation of state appeared to metam orphose from a negative present value $w_0 < 1$ to w' = 0 at z' = 1.)

Recently Riess et al.[18] have reanalysed som e earlier SN e data and also published new data relating to 16 type Ia supernovae discovered using the HST. In the present paper we shall reconstruct the properties of DE using the new SN e data set (Gold' in [18]). We shall also use som e of the CMB results obtained by WMAP in the later part of our analysis.

2. Reconstructing Dark Energy

Perhaps the simplest route to cosmological reconstruction is through the Hubble parameter, which in a spatially at universe is related to the lum inosity distance quite

sim ply by [22, 23, 24]

$$H(z) = \frac{d_{L}(z)}{dz} \frac{d_{L}(z)}{1+z} :$$
(1)

W e m ay now de ne the dark energy density as :

$$D_{E} = O_{C} \frac{H}{H_{0}}^{2} O_{m} (1 + z)^{3}; \qquad (2)$$

where $_{0c} = 3H_0^2 = (8 \text{ G})$ is the present day critical density of an FRW universe, and

 $_{0m}$ is the present day matter density with respect to the critical density. However, one should keep in mind a subtle point regarding this de nition of the dark energy density. Its ambiguity lies in the value of $_{0m}$. From CMB and galaxy clustering data, we obtain an estimate of the total amount of clustered non-relativistic matter present today (denoted by $_{0m}$). However, $_{0m}$ may be dimensity has a dust-like equation of state. Fortunately, this dimense (if it exists) appears to be small, e.g. not exceeding 0:1 for the best-t shown in section (2.2).

Inform ation extracted from SN e observations regarding $d_L(z)$ therefore translates directly into know ledge of H(z), the dark energy density, and, through [31]

$$q(x) = \frac{a}{aH^2} - \frac{H^0}{H} x - 1;$$
 (3)

$$w(x) = \frac{2q(x)}{3(1 m(x))} \frac{1}{1(H_0 = H)^2 m^3} ; x = 1 + z;$$
(4)

into know ledge about the deceleration parameter of the universe and the equation of state of dark energy.

For a meaningful reconstruction of DE one must construct an ansatz for H (z) which is su ciently versatile to accommodate a large class of DE models. (A lternatively one could devise an ansatz for d_L (z) or w (z); for a summary of di erent approaches see [29, 15].) An ansatz which works quite well for Q uintessence and also for the Chaplygin gas and B ranew orld models is [30]

$$h(x) = \frac{H(x)}{H_0} = {}^{h}_{0m} x^3 + A_0 + A_1 x + A_2 x^{2\frac{i_1}{2}} ; x = 1 + z ;$$
(5)

where $A_0 + A_1 + A_2 = 1$ om.

This is equivalent to the following ansatz for $D \in C$ density (with respect to the critical density):

$$\gamma_{\rm DE}(\mathbf{x}) = {}_{\rm DE} = {}_{\rm 0c} = {}_{\rm 0} + {}_{\rm 1}\mathbf{x} + {}_{\rm 2}\mathbf{x}^2$$
; (6)

which is exact for the cosm ological constant w = 1 ($A_1 = A_2 = 0$) and for DE m odels with w = 2=3 ($A_0 = A_2 = 0$) and w = 1=3 ($A_0 = A_1 = 0$).

The corresponding expression for the equation of state of DE is :

$$w(x) = 1 + \frac{A_1 x + 2A_2 x^2}{3(A_0 + A_1 x + A_2 x^2)}$$
(7)



Figure 1.1;2;3 con dence levels in the $w_0 w_1$ space for the ansatz (8) for $_{0m} = 0.3$, using dimensions of data from [18]. The led circle represents the CDM point.

A glim pse into the properties of dark energy is also provided by a two parameter approximation for the equation of state :

$$w(z) = w_0 + w_1 z;$$
 (8)

which can be trusted for sm all values of z < 1.

The likelihood for the parameters of the ansatz can be determined by minimising a $\,^2$ -statistic:

$${}^{2}(H_{0}; _{0m}; p_{j}) = {}^{X} \frac{\left[_{t;i}(z_{i}; H_{0}; _{0m}; p_{j}) _{0;i}\right]^{2}}{\frac{2}{i}};$$
(9)

where $_{0,i} = m_B$ M = 5logd_L + 25 is the extinction corrected distance modulus for SN e at redshift $z_{i,r}$ is the uncertainty in the individual distance moduli (including the



Figure 2. The logarithm ic variation of dark energy density $_{DE}(z) = _{0c}$ (where $_{0c} = 3H_0^2 = 8$ G is the present critical energy density) with redshift for $_{0m} = 0.3$ using di erent subsets of data from [18], for the ansatz (5). In each panel, the thick solid line shows the best-t, the light grey contour represents the 1 con dence level, and the dark grey contour represents the 2 con dence level around the best-t. The dotted line denotes matter density $_{0m}(1 + z)^3$, and the dashed horizontal line denotes CDM.

uncertainty in galaxy redshifts due to a peculiar velocity of 400 km/s), and p_j are the parameters of the relevant ansatz (A_1 ; A_2 for the ansatz (5) and w_0 ; w_1 for the ansatz (8)). We assume a at universe for our analysis but make no further assumptions on the nature of dark energy. For most of the following results, we marginalise over the nuisance parameter H₀ by integrating the probability density e²=2 over all values of H₀.



Figure 3. The variation of equation of state of dark energy w (z) with redshift for $_{\rm Om} = 0.3$ using di erent subsets of data from [18], for the ansatz (5). In each panel, the thick solid line shows the best-t, the light grey contour represents the 1 con dence level, and the dark grey contour represents the 2 con dence level around the best-t. The dashed horizontal line denotes CDM.

We rst study the dierent subsamples of the new SNe data reported in [18] in some detail using the ansatz (5) and (8). Riess et al.[18] reanalysed the existing SNe data compiled by dierent search teams (mainly the High Redshift Search Team (HZT) and the Supernova Cosmology Project (SCP) team) and added to these 16 new SNe observed by HST. They have rejected many of the previously published SNe due to lack of complete photom etric record, uncertain classic cation, etc. They divide the total data-set into \high-con dence" (Gold') and \likely but not certain" (Silver') subsets. In our calculations we will consider their \high-con dence" (Gold') subset. Figure 1 shows the $(w_0; w_1)$ con dence levels for the ansatz (8) using di erent subsets of the new data for $_{0m} = 0.3$. The top two panels in g 1 are similar to the corresponding panels of gure 10 of [18], and the bottom panels show other subsets of data. The panel (a) shows the Gold' sample of [18] without the 14 new HST points, and the panel (b) shows the full Gold' sample of 156 SN e. Of the last two panels, the panel (c) shows the results for a subset of the Gold' sample, consisting only of the points obtained by the SCP team and the new HST points, while the panel (d) shows the con dence levels for the older data set of 172 SN e published in Tonry et al. [16]. The greatest di erence is clearly between the panels (a) and (c). W e should also note that the panel (b) (com prising of the new reanalysed data) and panel (d) (com prising of the old HZT data) are qualitatively similar, with panel (b) having tighter errors.

We now analyse these di erent subsets using the ansatz (5). In gures 2 and 3, we show the variation of dark energy density and dark energy equation of state obtained using the ansatz (5) for the di erent subsets of data, xing $_{0m} = 0.3$. It is interesting to note that panels (b) and (d) in both gures are quite similar, and we therefore conclude that the G old' sample shows an evolution for D E which is consistent with that obtained from the older sample of Tonry et al.[16].

From gure 1 we nd that for all four datasets, the largest degeneracy direction in $w_0 = w_1$ plane corresponds to the curve $w_0 + 0.25w_1$ ' 1. This immediately suggests that w (z = 0.25) ' 1, and this result appears to be quite robust since one can also arrive at it by choosing a very di erent ansatz (5) to determ ine w (z), as shown in gure 3.

A spointed out in [18], and con med by the gures 1, 2, 3, the maximum evolution in DE is for the Gold { HST' data. Less evolution is shown by the Gold' data set. However, we would like to emphasise again that the Gold' data set, which includes the 14 new HST points, gives roughly the same degree of evolution for DE as the original data reported in Tonry et al. [16] and analysed in [15]. Thus the results pertaining to the evolution of DE reported in Paper I remain valid also for the new SN e (Gold) data set.

Figures 1, 2, and 3 also illustrate that the degree of DE evolution can be quite sensitive to the manner in which the SNe data is sampled. Comparing panels (a) and (c) in these gures, we not that the degree of evolution of DE is largest for the Gold $\{ HST' data set and least for the Gold, SCP + HST' data. (The latter is in better agreement with CDM than the other three data sets.)$

2.1. Analysis of Gold' SNe dataset :

We now examine the Gold' data set in some detail using the polynomial expansion of dark energy, (5). In the gure 4 we show the condence levels for the parameters $(A_1;A_2)$ of the ansatz for three di erent values of $_{0m}$. The 2 value for the best-t in each case is given in table 1. The 2 values for the corresponding CDM models are also given for comparison. Interestingly, in all three cases the condence ellipse has the



Figure 4. The $(A_1;A_2)$ parameter space for the ansatz (5) for dierent values of $_{Om}$, using the Gold' sample of SN e from [18]. The star in each panel marks the best-t point, and the solid contours around it mark the 1;2;3 con dence levels around it. The led circle represents the CDM point. The corresponding ² for the best-t points are given in table 1.

Table 1. ² per degree of freedom for best-t and CDM models for analysis using the Gold' sample of SN e from [18]. w_0 is the present value of the equation of state of dark energy in best-tmodels.

	Best	CDM		
0m	w ₀	2 m.in	2	
0:20	1:20	1:036	1:109	
0:30	1:35	1:034	1:053	
0 : 40	1:59	1:030	1:086	

same inclination, it only appears to shift downwards as $_{0m}$ increases.

In gure 5, we show the variation of the dark energy density with redshift for di erent values of the current matter density. We see that, for higher $_{0m}$, the dark energy density evolution is sharper. The reader should also note that the grow th of $\gamma_{\rm DE}$ with time in the panels (b) and (c) is indicative of the phantom nature of DE (w 1) at recent times (z < 0.25 for $_{0m}$ = 0.3 and z < 0.4 for $_{0m}$ = 0.4, see gure 6).

W e may obtain more information from the dark energy density by considering a weighted average of the equation of state:

$$1 + w = \frac{1}{\ln(1+z)}^{2} [1 + w(z)] d \ln(1+z) = \frac{1}{3} \frac{\ln c_{\rm DE}}{\ln(1+z)}; \quad (10)$$

8



Figure 5. The logarithm ic variation of dark energy density $_{DE}(z) = _{0c}$ (where $_{0c} = 3H_0^2 = 8$ G is the present critical energy density) with redshift for dimentiation values of $_{0m}$, using the Gold' sample of SN e from [18]. The reconstruction is done using the polynomial t to dark energy, ansatz (5). In each panel, the thick solid line shows the best-t, the light grey contour represents the 1 condence level, and the dark grey contour represents the 2 condence level around the best-t. The dotted line denotes matter density $_{0m}(1 + z)^3$, and the dashed horizontal line denotes CDM.

Table 2. The weighted average w (eq 10) over specified redshift ranges for analysis using the Gold' sample of SN e from [18]. The best-t value and 1 deviations from the best-t are shown.

	W								
Om	z = 0 0:414	z= 0 : 414 1	z=1 1:755						
02	0 : 847 ^{+ 0:019} 0:043	$0:118^{+0:280}_{0:211}$	0:089 ^{+ 0:067} 0:039						
03	1:053 ^{+ 0:089}	$0:159^{+0:319}_{0:259}$	0:118 ^{+ 0:073} 0:041						
0:4	1:310 ^{+ 0:220} 0:179	$0210^{+0.452}_{0.340}$	$0215^{+0.081}_{-0.050}$						

variation in the dark energy density depicted in gure 5 is very simply related to the weighted average equation of state of dark energy. The value of w for di erent ranges of integration are shown in table 2. We have taken the ranges of integration to be approximately equally spaced in $\ln(1 + z)$. In all three cases shown, the value of w changes noticeably from close to 1 in the rst bin to close to zero in the second bin. This indicates that the equation of state of DE is evolving from w < 1 today to w ' 0 at z ' 1. Note that these results are in very good agreement with those reported in Table 1 of Paper I.

Figure 6 shows the corresponding variation of the DE equation of state with redshift



Figure 6. The evolution of w (z) with redshift for di erent values of $_{Om}$, for the Gold' sample of SN e from [18]. The reconstruction is done using the polynom ial t to dark energy, equation (5). In each panel, the thick solid line shows the best-t, the light grey contour represents the 1 con dence level, and the dark grey contour represents the 2 con dence level around the best-t. The dashed line represents CDM.

for di erent $_{0m}$. Here also, there is strong evidence for evolution of DE.W e see that for higher values of $_{0m}$, the dark energy equation of state has a more negative value at present and shows a sharper evolution over redshift.

To sum marize, our results clearly demonstrate that evolving DE is by no means excluded by the most recent SN e observations. On the contrary, our results for $\gamma_{\rm DE}$ and $w_{\rm DE}$ obtained using the Gold'sample of [18] (gures 5, 6) are very similar to the results which we obtain using the SN e samples of [16, 17]. For 0.2 $_{\rm Om}$ 0.4 the best-tDE model evolves from w < 1 at z' 0 to w' 0 at z' 1 in agreement with the results of Paper I.

2.2. DE reconstruction using SNe(Gold')+CMB :

O bærvations of the cosm ic m icrow ave background and Type Ia supernovae provide us with complementary insight into the nature of dark energy [32, 33, 34, 35]. We may use the WMAP result of $R = \frac{P_{max}R_{z_{1s}}}{Om_{0}} dz = h(z) = 1:710$ 0:137 (from WMAP data alone) in conjunction with the SNe G old' sample to reconstruct DE. For this purpose, we use $_{b}h^{2} = 0:024$ and $_{Om}h^{2} = 0:14$ 0:02 [3]. To calculate z_{1s} we use a tting function given in [46]:

$$z_{ls} = 1048 [1 + 0.00124 (_{b}h^{2})^{-0.738}] [1 + g_{1} (_{0m}h^{2})^{g_{2}}];$$
(11)



Figure 7. Results from analysis of SNe(Gold) + CMB data, using ansatz (5). $_{Om}$ and h are xed at best-t values of $_{Om} = 0.385$; h = 0.60. Panel (a) shows the condence levels in the (A₁; A₂) parameter space. The starmarks the best-t and the led circle marks the CDM point. Panel (b) shows the logarithmic variation of dark energy density with redshift. Panel (c) shows the evolution of dark energy equation of state with redshift. Panel (d) shows the variation of the deceleration parameter with redshift. In all three panels (b), (c) and (d), the thick solid line represents the best-t, the light grey contours represent the 1 condence level, and the dark grey contours represent the 2 condence levels. The dashed line in panels (b), (c) and (d) represents CDM, and the dotted line in panel (b) represents the matter density. The horizontal thick solid line in (d) represents the 1 lim its on the transition redshift at which the universe starts accelerating.

Table 3. The weighted average w (eq 10) over speci ed redshift ranges for analysis from SN e+ CM B data. The best-tvalue and 1 deviations from the best-tare shown.

	Ŵ							
Om	z = 0	0:414	z= 0 : 414	1	z= 1	1 : 755		
0:385	$1287^{+0.016}_{-0.056}$		0:229 ^{+ 0:070} 0:177		$0:142^{+0:051}_{0:033}$			

where

$$q_{1} = 0.078 (_{b}h^{2})^{-0.238} [1 + 39.5 (_{b}h^{2})^{0.763}]^{-1};$$
(12)

$$g_2 = 0.56[1 + 21:1(_{b}h^2)^{1:31}]^{-1} :$$
(13)

Figure 7 shows our reconstruction of DE obtained with the ansatz (5) using the W MAP result together with the SNe Gold' sample. The best t values for this reconstruction are: $_{0m} = 0.385; A_1 = 2.87; A_2 = 1.01; h = 0.60$. The best t dark energy density is $_{DE}(x) = 2.475 - 2.87x + 1.01x^2$. Note that the best t $_{DE}$ decreases m onotonically with redshift up to z ' 0.4 and then begins to increase. This re ects the phantom nature of DE (w < 1) at lower redshifts. The equation of state behaves as before, evolving from $w_0 < 1$ to w ' 0 at z ' 1. From the gure 7 (d), we see that the deceleration parameter q has a value of $q_0 = 0.84 - 0.11$ at present. The transition from deceleration to acceleration ($q(z_T) = 0$)occurs at a redshift of $z_T = 0.39 - 0.03$. Therefore, from a joint analysis of CMB and SN e data, one may obtain a fairly good idea of when the universe began to accelerate. These results demonstrate that the best

t to SN e+ CM B observations favours evolving DE with a som ewhat higher value of $_{Om}$ and a slightly lower value of h. N ote that the value of $_{Om}$ is larger than the W M AP result $_{Om} = 0.27$ 0.04 obtained using the CDM prior, but is in agreement with the results obtained in [36, 37] ($_{Om}$ ' 0.35 0.12) using high redshift clusters. A loo note that, because of the dust-like behaviour of DE at higher redshifts, the above value of $_{Om}$ obtained using equations (2-5) m aybe som ewhat larger than the values obtained for $_{Om}$ from clustering measurements. The value of h ' 0.60 obtained for the best t is in tension with the CDM based result from W M AP, h = 0.73 0.03, but is in agreement with the observations of [39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45] which can accome not date lower values of h 0.66 (see also the discussion in [38] in this context). We therefore conclude that a joint analysis of SN e and CM B data favours evolving DE over CDM if no priors are placed on $_{Om}$ and h separately ($_{Om}$ h² = 0.14 0.02 is assumed).

It is however a useful exercise to see how the behaviour of DE would change if strong priors were in posed on $_{0m}$ and h. We therefore show results obtained by using the CDM based CMB priors [3]: $_{0m} = 0.27 \quad 0.04$ and $h = 0.71 \quad 0.06$. The best t in this case has $_{0m} = 0.29$ and a dark energy density of $\gamma_{DE} = 1.23 \quad 0.81x + 0.29x^2$. The equation of state at present is $w_0 = 1.10$, and it slow by evolves to w (z = 1.75) ' 0.4. The deceleration parameter has a value of $q_D = 0.63 \quad 0.12$ at present and the redshift at which the universe begins to accelerate is $z_T = 0.57 \quad 0.07$. Figure 8 demonstrates that the time evolution of DE is extremely weak in this case and is in good agreement.



Figure 8. Results for analysis of SNe(Gold)+CMB data with WMAP priors of _{0m} = 0:27 0:04 and h = 0:71 0:06, using ansatz (5). Panel (a) shows the condence levels in the (A₁;A₂) space. The starm arks the best-t and the lled circle marks the CDM point. Panel (b) shows the logarithm ic variation of dark energy density with redshift. Panel (c) shows the evolution of dark energy equation of state with redshift. Panel (d) shows the variation of the deceleration parameter with redshift. In all three panels (b), (c) and (d), the thick solid line represents the best-t, the light grey contours represent the 1 condence level, and the dark grey contours represent the 2 condence levels. The dashed line in panels (b), (c) and (d) represents CDM, and the dotted line in panel (b) represents the matter density. The horizontal thick solid line in (d) represents the 1 lim its on the transition redshift at which the universe starts accelerating.

with CDM cosmology (see also [47]).

3. Conclusions

In conclusion, we indicate the case for evolving dark energy (originally demonstrated in Paper I) is upheld by the new supernova data if no priors are in posed on _{Om} and h. For 0:4, the equation of state of dark energy evolves from a reasonable range of 0.2Om $w_0 < 1$ today to $w_0' = 0$ at z' 1. The above result remains in place if we add CM B priors to the analysis. In this case, evolving dark energy with $_{0m}$ ' 0:385 and h ' 0:6 is favoured over CDM, and the epoch at which the universe began to accelerate is $z_{T} = 0.39$ 0.03 within 1 . However, if we assume strong priors on _{0m} and h using the CDM based WMAP results, then the best-t chooses an $_{0m} = 0.29$. The evolution in the equation of state becomes weaker and is in much better agreement with CDM. The redshift of transition from deceleration to acceleration is $z_T = 0.57 \quad 0.07$, which is closer to the CDM value of z_T ' 0:7 for this value of o_m . Finally, one must note that the DE evolution becomes weaker or stronger depending on the subsampling of the SNe dataset. A larger number of supernovae at high redshifts, as well as better know ledge of the values of H₀ and _{0m} are therefore required before rm conclusions are drawn about the nature of dark energy.

4. A cknow ledgem ents

We would like to thank A m an Sha eloo for useful discussions. UA thanks the CSIR for providing support for this work. A S was partially supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research, grant 02-02-16817, and by the Research Program A stronom y" of the Russian A cademy of Sciences.

References

- [1] S.J.Perhutter et al, Astroph. J. 517, 565 (1999) [arXiv:astro-ph/9812133].
- [2] A.Riess et al., Astron. J. 116, 1009 (1998) [astro-ph/9805201].
- [3] D.N. Spergel, et al, A stroph. J. Suppl. 148, 175 (2003) [astro-ph/0302209]
- [4] M. Tegm ark, et al., astro-ph/0310725
- [5] V.Sahni, astro-ph/0403324.
- [6] V.Sahniand A.A.Starobinsky, Int.J.M od.Phys.D 9 373 (2000) [astro-ph/9904398].
- [7] A.Kamenshchik, U.Moschella, and V.Pasquier, Phys.Lett.B 511 265 (2001).
- [8] C. Arm endariz-Picon, V. Mukhanov, and P.J. Steinhardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 4438 (2000) [astro-ph/0004134].
- [9] B. Boisseau, G. Esposito-Farese, D. Polarski, and A. A. Starobinsky, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 2236 (2000) [gr-qc/0001066] R. R. Caldwell, Phys. Lett. B 545, 23 (2002) [astro-ph/9908168].
- [10] R.R.Caldwell, Phys.Lett.B 545,23 (2002) [astro-ph/9908168].
- [11] R. R. Caldwell, M. Kamionkowski, and N.N. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91 071301 (2003) [astro-ph/0302506].
- [12] B.McInnes, JHEP 0208, 029 (2002) [hep-th/0112066].
- [13] S.M. Carroll, M. Homan, and M. Trodden, Phys. Rev. D 68, 023509 (2003) [astro-ph/0301273].

- [14] A. Melchiorri, L. Mersini, C.J. Odman and M. Trodden, Phys. Rev. D 68, 043509 (2003) [astro-ph/0211522].
- [15] U.A lam, V.Sahni, T.D.Saini, and A.A.Starobinsky, astro-ph/0311364.
- [16] J.L.Tonry, et al., 2003, A stroph.J. 594, 1, [astro-ph/0305008].
- [17] B.J.Barris, et al., astro-ph/0310843.
- [18] A.G.Riess, et al., [astro-ph/0402512]
- [19] Y.W ang.and P.M ukherjee, astro-ph/0312192.
- [20] S.Nesseris, and L.Perivolaroupolos, astro-ph/0401556.
- [21] Y.Gong, astro-ph/0401207.
- [22] A.A.Starobinsky, JETP Lett. 68, 757 (1998) [astro-ph/9810431].
- [23] D.Huterer and M.S.Turner, Phys.Rev.D , 60, 081301 (1999) [astro-ph/9808133].
- [24] T.Nakamura and T.Chiba, Mon.Not.Roy.Ast.Soc., 306, 696 (1999) [astro-ph/9810447].
- [25] V.Sahni, Class. Quantum Grav. 19, 3435 (2002) [astro-ph/0202076].
- [26] C.De ayet, G.Dvali, and G.Gabadadze, Phys. Rev. D 65, 044023 (2002) [astro-ph/0105068].
- [27] V.Sahniand Yu.V.Shtanov, JCAP 0311,014 (2003) [astro-ph/0202346].
- [28] U.Alam and V.Sahni, astro-ph/0209443.
- [29] U.Alam, V.Sahni, T.D.Sainiand A.A.Starobinsky, Mon.Not.Roy.Ast.Soc., 344, 1057 (2003) [astro-ph/0303009].
- [30] V. Sahni, T.D. Saini, A.A. Starobinsky and U. Alam, JETP Lett. 77 201 (2003) [astro-ph/0201498].
- [31] T.D. Saini, S.Raychaudhury, V. Sahniand A.A. Starobinsky, Phys. Rev. Lett., 85, 1162 (2000) [astro-ph/9910231].
- [32] J. R. Bond, G. Efstathiou, and M. Tegmark, Mon. Not. Roy. Ast. Soc. 291 L33 (1997) [astro-ph/9702100].
- [33] M.Doran, M.Lilley, J.Schwindtand C.W etterich, Astroph. J. 559, 501 (2001) astro-ph/0012139.
- [34] R.Bean and A.Melchiorri, Phys.Rev.D 65, 041302 (2002) [astro-ph/0110472].
- [35] W. Hu, M. Fukugita, M. Zaklarriaga, M. Tegmark, Astroph. J. 549, 669 (2001) [astro-ph/0006436].
- [36] P. Schueker, R. R. Caldwell, H. Bohringer, C. A. Collins and L. Guzzo, Astron. Astrophys. 398, 867 (2003) [astro-ph/0208251].
- [37] S.Borganiet al, Astroph.J., 561 13 (2001) [astro-ph/0106428].
- [38] L.Conversi, A.Melchiorri, L.Mersini and J.Silk, astro-ph/0402529.
- [39] A. Saha et al, Astroph. J., 486, 1, (1997).
- [40] G. A. Tammann, A. Sandage and B. Reindl, Astron. Astrophys. 404 (2003) 423 [astro-ph/0303378].
- [41] P.D.Allen and T.Shanks, Mon.Not.Roy.Ast.Soc.347, 1011A (2004) [astro-ph/0102447].
- [42] W.L.Freedman et al, Astroph.J.553 (2001) 47 [astro-ph/0012376].
- [43] E.S.Battistelli et al, Astroph.J.598 (2003) L75 [astro-ph/0303587].
- [44] E.D.Reese, et al., A stroph.J.581, 53 (2002) [astro-ph/0205350].
- [45] B.S.M ason, S.T.M yers and A.C.Readhead, Astroph.J.555, L11 (2001) [astro-ph/0101169].
- [46] W .Hu and N. Sugiyam a, A stroph. J. 471, 30 (1996) [astro-ph/9510117].
- [47] Y.W ang and M. Tegm ark, astro-ph/0403292.