
Extracting energy from black holes: Short-GRBs, Long-GRBs and GRB afterglows

Remo RUFFINI

ICRA — International Center for Relativistic Astrophysics and Dipartimento di Fisica, Università “La Sapienza”, Piazzale Aldo Moro 5, I-00185 Roma, Italy

Abstract

The extractable energy from a black hole, as origin of the Gamma-Ray Burst (GRB) phenomenon is reviewed.

1. Introduction

My visits to Japan have occurred always in crucial times in the development of our research. In 1975 I visited the University of Kyoto and gave the lectures which were then co-authored in the Japanese book with Humitaka Sato [1]. The focus then was on three major topics: a) the basic paradigm for the identification of a black hole I had just established and which had found a very significant application in Cygnus X-1 through the splendid data obtained by Riccardo Giacconi and Minoru Oda [1]; b) the Cristodoulou-Ruffini [2] mass-energy formula for black holes:

$$E_{BH}^2 = M^2 c^4 = \left(M_{\text{ir}} c^2 + \frac{Q^2}{\rho_+} \right)^2 + \frac{L^2 c^2}{\rho_+^2}, \quad (1)$$

where M_{ir} is the irreducible mass, $\rho_+ = 2(G/c^2)M_{\text{ir}}$ is the quasi-spheroidal cylindrical coordinate of the horizon evaluated at the equatorial plane and Q and L are respectively the charge and angular momentum of the black hole; this mass-energy formula allows to estimate the maximum energy extractable from a process of gravitational collapse; c) a specific energy extraction process from the black hole by pair creation due to supercritical electric fields, first introduced by Sauter [3], Heisenberg & Euler [4], Schwinger [5], I developed with T. Damour [6]. In that paper we had also pointed out that such process could be the source of the then newly discovered Gamma-Ray Bursts (GRBs). Our model had a very distinct signature, which differentiates it from all the other models: the characteristic energy of the GRBs should be of the order of 10^{54} ergs (see Fig. 1.–2.).




Fig. 1. Princeton 1971.

The strategy we had followed, both in the case of Cygnus X1 and the GRBs, was not to try to understand the astrophysical aspects of the phenomenon evidencing the black hole formation. On the contrary we had capitalized on the physics of the black hole and on specific properties of the solutions of Einstein Maxwell Equations in order to infer specific signatures to be expected in the astrophysical scenario in order to obtain the observational evidence for a black hole in a realistic astrophysical setting. Indeed the paradigm for the identification of the black hole in Cygnus X1 (Leach & Ruffini [7]) was based mainly on three general relativistic considerations: a) the comprehension of the gravitational binding energies around a Kerr black hole, which I found with Wheeler in 1969 [8], clearly pointing to the possibility of having accretion energy as the origin of the observed enormous luminosities in X-ray observed in Cygnus X1, $L = 10^4 L_{\odot}$; b) the

Theoretical background of the EMBH model

$$E_c = \frac{m^2 c^3}{\hbar e}; \quad Z_c \sim \frac{\hbar c}{e^2} \sim 137; \quad \Delta t \sim \frac{\hbar}{m_e c^2} \sim 10^{-18} s$$

Heisenberg, Euler, 1935, Schwinger, 1951



- In a Kerr-Newmann black hole vacuum polarization process occurs if $3.2M_{Sun} \leq M_{BH} \leq 7.2 \cdot 10^6 M_{Sun}$
- Maximum energy extractable $1.8 \cdot 10^{54} (M_{BH}/M_{Sun}) \text{ ergs}$
- "...naturally leads to a most simple model for the explanation of the recently discovered γ -rays bursts"

Damour & Ruffini 1974

Sato & Ruffini 1975




Fig. 2. The basic components of the Damour & Ruffini black hole vacuum polarization.

uniqueness theorem of black hole (see e.g. Ruffini & Wheeler [9]) endowed only of charge mass and angular momentum, clearly pointing to the impossibility of having periodic signals out of a black hole; c) the existence of an absolute maximum mass of a neutron star, again derived out of first principles, from the equation of equilibrium in the Einstein theory of gravity, the principle of causality implying speed of sound not exceeding the speed of light, and the existence of a fiducial density (Rhoades & Ruffini [10]). All these points were later summarized in the proceedings of the Varenna School organized by Riccardo Giacconi and myself [11, 12] and in the Solvay conference [13]. Riccardo Giacconi, in his splendid lecture [14] recalls the significance of this theoretical work for the understanding of binary X-ray sources.

In the case of GRBs our approach was similar: priority was given to the identification of the energy source of GRBs. That nuclear energy is the energy source of main sequence stars has been credibly proved [15], that accretion and gravitational energy release around neutron stars and black holes was the Energy

sources of binary x-ray sources had been demonstrated [14], we decided to look in the possibility of having a new energy source as powering the GRBs: the extractable energy of a black hole [2, 6]. The mechanism I had conceived with T. Damour was indeed viable, supported by the very basic and well established physical principles on which it was grounded.

My second scientific visit to Japan occurred in occasion of the sixtieth birthday of Humitaka Sato: again I reported [16] some new progress in our research: a) The situation with GRBs had dramatically modified by the observations of the Italian-Dutch satellite BeppoSAX (Costa [17]) which gave origin to an unprecedented collaboration between X- and γ -ray, optical and radio astronomy. This observational effort had lead to the determination of the distances of GRBs had unequivocally established the cosmological nature of their source: indeed energetics of the order of 10^{54} ergs were implied as predicted by our model with Damour [6]. b) I clarified some basic conceptual issues on the energy extraction process from a black hole endowed with electromagnetic structure, introducing the novel concept of “dyadosphere” of a black hole, as the region surrounding the black hole horizon where the electron-positron pairs created in the process of vacuum polarization are localized. c) I finally pointed out that the very process of thermalization of such an electron-positron plasma created in the dyadosphere is the main mechanism originating the GRB expansion and the engine of the entire GRB phenomenon [18, 19] (see Fig. 3.–4.).

In this visit I like to report new results connected with: a) the physics of the dyadosphere and a possible future verification derived from the observation of short GRBs; b) the three fundamental paradigms for the theoretical interpretation of GRBs; c) our understanding of the long bursts and the GRBs afterglows.

2. On the Dynamical formation of the Dyadosphere and the short GRBs

The dynamics of the collapse of an electrically-charged stellar core, separating itself from an oppositely charged remnant in an initially neutral star, was first modeled by an exact solution of the Einstein-Maxwell equations corresponding to a shell of charged matter in Ref. [20]. The fundamental dynamical equations and their analytic solutions were obtained, revealing the amplification of the electromagnetic field strength during the process of collapse and the asymptotic approach to the final static configuration. The results, which properly account for general relativistic effects, are summarized in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2 of Ref. [20]. A first step toward the understanding of the process of extracting energy from a black hole was obtained in Ref. [21], where it was shown how the




Fig. 3. The basic parameters of the dyadosphere.

extractable electromagnetic energy is not stored behind the horizon but is actually distributed all around the black hole. Such a stored energy is in principle extractable, very efficiently, on time-scales $\sim \hbar/m_e c^2$, by a vacuum polarization process *à la* Sauter-Heisenberg-Euler-Schwinger [3, 4, 5]. Such a process occurs if the electromagnetic field becomes larger than the critical field strength \mathcal{E}_c for electron-positron pair creation. In Ref. [21] we followed the approach of Damour and Ruffini [6] in order to evaluate the energy density and the temperature of the created electron-positron-photon plasma. As a byproduct, a formula for the irreducible mass of a black hole was also derived solely in terms of the gravitational, kinetic and rest mass energies of the collapsing core. This surprising result allowed us in Ref. [22] to obtain a deeper understanding of the maximum limit for the extractable energy during the process of gravitational collapse, namely 50% of the initial energy of the star: the well known result of a 50% maximum efficiency for energy extraction in the case of a Reissner-Nordström black hole [2] then becomes a particular case of a process of much more general validity. The crucial issue of the survival of the electric charge of the collapsing core in the presence of a




Fig. 4. The theoretically predicted luminosity and spectral distribution of a short GRB. Details in Ruffini et al. [33].

copious process of electron-positron pair creation was addressed in Refs. [23, 24]. By using theoretical techniques borrowed from plasma physics and statistical mechanics [25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31] based on a generalized Vlasov equation, it was possible to show that while the core keeps collapsing, the created electron-positron pairs are entangled in the overcritical electric field. The electric field itself, due to the back reaction of the created electron-positron pairs, undergoes damped oscillations in sign finally settling down to the critical value \mathcal{E}_c . The pairs fully thermalize to an electron-positron-photon plasma on time-scales typically of the order of $10^2-10^4\hbar/m_e c^2$. During this characteristic damping time, which we recall is much larger than the pair creation time-scale $\hbar/m_e c^2$, the core moves inwards, collapsing with a speed $0.2-0.8c$, further amplifying the electric field strength at its surface and enhancing the pair creation process. The first attempt to analyze the expansion of the newly generated and thermalized electron-positron-photon plasma was made in Ref. [32]. The initial dynamical phases of the expansion were

analyzed, using the general relativistic equations of Ref. [20] for the gravitational collapse of the core. The electron-positron-photon plasma expansion in a sharp pulse of constant length in the laboratory frame was described following the treatment in Refs. [18, 19]. A *separatrix* was found in the motion of the plasma at a critical radius \bar{R} : the plasma created at radii larger than \bar{R} expands to infinity, while the one created at radii smaller than \bar{R} is trapped by the gravitational field of the collapsing core and implodes towards the black hole. The value of \bar{R} was found in Ref. [32] to be $\bar{R} = 2GM/c^2[1 + (1 - 3Q^2/4GM^2)^{1/2}]$, where M and Q are the mass and the charge of the core, respectively.

In Ruffini et al. [33] we have described the dynamical phase of the expansion of the pulse of the optically thick plasma all the way to the point where the transparency condition is reached. In this process the pulse reaches ultrarelativistic regimes with Lorentz factor $\gamma \sim 10^2$ – 10^4 . The spectra, the luminosities and the time-sequences of the electromagnetic signals captured by a far-away observer have been there analyzed in detail for the first time. We discretize the gravitational collapse of a spherically symmetric core of mass M and charge Q by considering a set of events along the world line of a point of fixed angular position on the collapsing core surface. Between each of these events we consider a spherical shell slab of plasma of constant coordinate thickness Δr . In order to describe the dynamics of the expanding plasma pulse the energy-momentum conservation law and the rate equation for the number of pairs in the Reissner-Nordström geometry external to the collapsing core. The expansion of each slab follows closely the treatment developed in Refs [18, 19] where it was shown how a homogeneous slab of plasma expands as a pair-electromagnetic pulse (PEM pulse) of constant thickness in the laboratory frame. The integration stops when each slab of plasma reaches the optical transparency.

We have integrated the equations for a core with $M = 10M_\odot$, $Q = 0.1\sqrt{GM}$. We now turn to the results in Fig. ?? (right), where we plot both the theoretically predicted luminosity L and the spectral hardness of the signal reaching a far-away observer as functions of the arrival time t_a . Since all three of these quantities depend in an essential way on the cosmological redshift factor z , see Refs. [34, 35], we have adopted a cosmological redshift $z = 1$ for this figure.

The projection of the plot in Fig. ?? (right) onto the $k\gamma T_{\text{obs}}, t_a$ plane describes the temporal evolution of the spectral hardness. We observe a precise soft-to-hard evolution of the spectrum of the gamma ray signal from $\sim 10^2$ keV monotonically increasing to ~ 1 MeV. We recall that $kT_{\text{obs}} = k\gamma T / (1 + z)$. The above quantities are clearly functions of the cosmological redshift z , of the charge Q and the mass M of the collapsing core.

The arrival time interval is very sensitive to the mass of the black hole:

$\Delta t_a \sim 10^{-2} - 10^{-1}$ s. Similarly the spectral hardness of the signal is sensitive to the ratio Q/\sqrt{GM} [36]. Moreover the duration, the spectral hardness and luminosity are all sensitive to the cosmological redshift z (see Ref. [36]). The characteristic spectra, time variabilities and luminosities of the electromagnetic signals from collapsing overcritical stellar cores we have derived agrees closely with the observations of short-GRBs [37]. New space missions should possibly be planned, with temporal resolution down to fractions of μs and higher collecting area and spectral resolution, in order to verify the agreement between our model and the observations. It is now clear that if our theoretical predictions will be confirmed, we would have a very powerful tool for cosmological observations: the independent information about luminosity, time-scale and spectrum can uniquely determine the mass, the electromagnetic structure and the distance from the observer of the collapsing core, see Ref. [36]. In that case short-GRBs may become the best example of standard candles in cosmology [38].

3. The three paradigms for the interpretation of GRBs

I recall briefly the three paradigms which we have introduced in 2001 as a guideline for the understanding of GRBs:

- 1) The Relative Space-Time Transformation (RSTT) paradigm [39]: “the necessary condition in order to interpret the GRB data, given in terms of the arrival time at the detector, is the knowledge of the *entire* worldline of the source from the gravitational collapse. In order to meet this condition, given a proper theoretical description and the correct constitutive equations, it is sufficient to know the energy of the dyadosphere and the mass of the remnant of the progenitor star”.
- 2) The Interpretation of the Burst Structure (IBS) paradigm [40]. In it we reconsider the relative roles of the afterglow and the burst in the GRBs by defining in this complex phenomenon two new phases: **a)** the *injector phase* starting with the process of gravitational collapse, encompassing the above Eras I, II, III and ending with the emission of the Proper-GRB (P-GRB); **b)** the *beam-target phase* encompassing the above Eras IV and V giving rise to the afterglow. In particular in the afterglow three different regimes are present for the average bolometric intensity : one increasing with arrival time, a second one with an Extended Afterglow Peak Emission (E-APE) and finally one decreasing as a function of the arrival time.
- 3) The GRB-supernova time sequence (GSTS) paradigm introduces the concept of *induced supernova explosion* in the supernovae-GRB association [41] leading to the very novel possibility of a process of gravitational collapse induced on a companion star in a very special evolution phase by the GRB explosion.




Fig. 5. Theoretical fit of the γ and X-ray luminosity in the afterglow of GRB 980425. Details in Ruffini et al. [44, 47, 48, 49, 50]

4. Selected examples of the understanding of GRBs

As a test of the understanding of GRBs, I illustrated in the oral presentation the case of GRB991216, GRB980425 and GRB030329 which will not be reported in this printed version since they are contained in the published literature and I am going to give uniquely the list of references. GRB991216 (Ruffini et al. [39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46]) has been used as a prototype in order to prove the validity of the first two paradigms, while GRB980425 (Ruffini et al. [44, 47, 48, 49, 50]) and GRB030329 (Ruffini et al. [48, 51, 52]) have been a test of all the above three paradigms (see Figs. ??–8.).

References

- [1] H. Sato, R. Ruffini, “Black holes”, Chuo Koron Sha, Tokyo, 1976.
- [2] D. Christodoulou, R. Ruffini, Phys. Rev. D4 (1971) 3552.
- [3] F. Sauter, Zeits. Phys. 69 (1931) 742.




Fig. 6. Theoretical fit of the γ and X-ray luminosity in the E-APE of GRB 980425. Details in Ruffini et al. [44, 47, 48, 49, 50]

- [4] W. Heisenberg, H. Euler, Zeits. Phys. 98 (1935) 714.
- [5] J. Schwinger, Phys. Rev. 82 (1951) 664.
- [6] T. Damour, R. Ruffini, Phys. Rev. Lett. 35 (1975) 463.
- [7] R.W. Leach, R. Ruffini, ApJ, 180 (1973) L15.
- [8] L.D. Landau, E.M. Lifshitz, 1995, "Theory of Fields", Butterworth Heine-
mann.
- [9] R. Ruffini, J.A. Wheeler, Physics Today 24 (January 1971) 30
- [10] C.E. Rhoades, R. Ruffini, Phys. Rev. Lett. 32 (1974) 324.
- [11] H. Gursky, R. Ruffini, "Neutron stars, black holes and binary X-ray sources",
D. Reidel, 1975
- [12] R. Giacconi, R. Ruffini, "Physics and astrophysics of neutron stars and black
holes", North Holland, 1978
- [13] R. Ruffini, in "Proceedings of the Sixteenth Solvay Conference on Physics",
Editions de l'Universite de Bruxelles, 1974
- [14] R. Giacconi, Nobel Lecture, 2002,




Fig. 7. Theoretical fit of the γ and X-ray luminosity in the afterglow of GRB 030329. Details in Ruffini et al. [48, 51, 52]

<http://www.nobel.se/physics/laureates/2002/giacconi-lecture.pdf>

- [15] M. Schwarzschild, “Structure and evolution of the stars”, Dover, 1965.
- [16] R. Ruffini, 1998, in “Black Holes and High Energy Astrophysics”, Proceedings of the 49th Yamada Conference Ed. H. Sato and N. Sugiyama, Universal Ac. Press, Tokyo.
- [17] E. Costa, et al., Nature 387 (1997) 783.
- [18] R. Ruffini, J. D. Salmonson, J. R. Wilson, S.-S. Xue, A&A 350 (1999) 334.
- [19] R. Ruffini, J. D. Salmonson, J. R. Wilson, S.-S. Xue, A&A 359 (2000) 855.
- [20] C. Cherubini, R. Ruffini, L. Vitagliano, Phys. Lett. B545 (2002) 226.
- [21] R. Ruffini, L. Vitagliano, Phys. Lett. B545 (2002) 233.
- [22] R. Ruffini, L. Vitagliano, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D12 (2003) 121.
- [23] Ruffini R., Vitagliano L., Xue S.-S., Phys. Lett. B573 (2003) 33.
- [24] R. Ruffini, L. Vitagliano, S.-S. Xue, Quantum Aspects of Beam Physics, 28th Advanced IFCA Beam Dynamics Workshop, Ed. Pisin Chen, (World Scientific, Singapore, 2003) in press; astro-ph/0304306.




Fig. 8. Theoretical fit of the γ and X-ray luminosity in the E-APE of GRB 030329. Details in Ruffini et al. [48, 51, 52]

- [25] G. Gatoff, A. K. Kerman, T. Matsui, Phys. Rev. D36 (1987) 114.
- [26] Y. Kluger, J. M. Eisenberg, B. Svetitsky, F. Cooper, E. Mottola, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67 (1991) 2427.
- [27] Y. Kluger, J. M. Eisenberg, B. Svetitsky, F. Cooper, E. Mottola, Phys. Rev. D45 (1992) 4659.
- [28] F. Cooper, J. M. Eisenberg, Y. Kluger, E. Mottola, B. Svetitsky, Phys. Rev. D48 (1993) 190.
- [29] Y. Kluger, E. Mottola and J.M. Eisenberg, Phys. Rev. D58 (1998) 125015.
- [30] S. M. Schmidt et al., Int. J. Mod. Phys. E7 (1998) 709.
- [31] J. C. R. Bloch et al., Phys. Rev. D60 (1999) 116011.
- [32] R. Ruffini, L. Vitagliano, S.-S. Xue, Phys. Lett. B559 (2003) 12.
- [33] R. Ruffini, F. Frascchetti, L. Vitagliano, S.-S. Xue, Phys. Lett. B, submitted to.
- [34] C. L. Bianco, R. Ruffini, S.-S. Xue, A&A 368 (2001) 377.

- [35] R. Ruffini, C. L. Bianco, P. Chardonnet, F. Fraschetti, S.-S. Xue, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D12* (2003) 173.
- [36] R. Ruffini, F. Fraschetti, L. Vitagliano, S.-S. Xue, in preparation.
- [37] W. S. Paciesas et al., *ApJ Suppl.* 122 (1999) 465.
- [38] R. Ruffini, M.G. Bernardini, C.L. Bianco, P. Chardonnet, F. Fraschetti, M. Lattanzi, S.-S. Xue, in preparation.
- [39] R. Ruffini, C.L. Bianco, P. Chardonnet, F. Fraschetti, S.-S. Xue, *ApJ* 555 (2001) L107.
- [40] R. Ruffini, C.L. Bianco, P. Chardonnet, F. Fraschetti, S.-S. Xue, *ApJ* 555 (2001) L113.
- [41] R. Ruffini, C.L. Bianco, P. Chardonnet, F. Fraschetti, S.-S. Xue, *ApJ* 555 (2001) L117.
- [42] R. Ruffini, C.L. Bianco, P. Chardonnet, F. Fraschetti, S.-S. Xue, *N. Cim. B116* (2001) 99.
- [43] R. Ruffini, C.L. Bianco, P. Chardonnet, F. Fraschetti, S.-S. Xue, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D12* (2003) 173.
- [44] R. Ruffini, C.L. Bianco, P. Chardonnet, F. Fraschetti, S.-S. Xue, in “Proceedings of Gamma-Ray Burst in the Afterglow Era: 3rd Workshop”, G. Chincarini, E. Costa, F. Frontera, L. Piro, editors, ASP, in press.
- [45] R. Ruffini, C.L. Bianco, P. Chardonnet, F. Fraschetti, S.-S. Xue, *ApJ* 581 (2002) L19.
- [46] R. Ruffini, C.L. Bianco, P. Chardonnet, F. Fraschetti, L. Vitagliano, S.-S. Xue, in “Cosmology and Gravitation”, M. Novello, S.E. Perez-Bergliaffa (eds.), AIP Conf. Proc. 668 (2003) 16.
- [47] R. Ruffini, M.G. Bernardini, C.L. Bianco, P. Chardonnet, F. Fraschetti, S.-S. Xue, in “Proceedings of the 34th COSPAR scientific assembly”, Elsevier, in press.
- [48] R. Ruffini, M.G. Bernardini, C.L. Bianco, P. Chardonnet, F. Fraschetti, S.-S. Xue, in “Proceedings of the Tenth Marcel Grossmann Meeting on General Relativity”, M. Novello, S.E. Perez-Bergliaffa, R. Ruffini (eds.), World Scientific, Singapore, in press.
- [49] F. Fraschetti, M.G. Bernardini, C.L. Bianco, P. Chardonnet, R. Ruffini, S.-S. Xue, in “Proceedings of the Tenth Marcel Grossmann Meeting on General Relativity”, M. Novello, S.E. Perez-Bergliaffa, R. Ruffini (eds.), World Scientific, Singapore, in press.
- [50] F. Fraschetti, M.G. Bernardini, C.L. Bianco, P. Chardonnet, R. Ruffini, S.-S. Xue, in “Proceedings of the 2003 GRB conference”, AIP, in press.
- [51] M.G. Bernardini, C.L. Bianco, P. Chardonnet, F. Fraschetti, R. Ruffini, S.-S. Xue, in “Proceedings of the Tenth Marcel Grossmann Meeting on General

Relativity”, M. Novello, S.E. Perez-Bergliaffa, R. Ruffini (eds.), World Scientific, Singapore, in press.

- [52] M.G. Bernardini, C.L. Bianco, P. Chardonnet, F. Fraschetti, R. Ruffini, S.-S. Xue, in “Proceedings of the 2003 GRB conference”, AIP, in press.