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#### Abstract

We have surveyed $\sim 400$ known large-amplitude variables within $15^{\prime}$ of the galactic center in the $\mathrm{SiO} J=1-0 v=1$ and 2 maser lines at 43 GHz , resulting in 180 detections. SiO lines were also detected from 16 other sources, which are located within $20^{\prime \prime}$ (the telescope half beamwidth) of the program objects. The detection rate of 48 percent is comparable to that obtained in Bulge IRAS source surveys. Among the SiO detections, five stars have radial velocities greater than $200 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. The SiO detection rate increases steeply with the period of light variation, particularly for stars with $P>500 \mathrm{~d}$, where it exceeds $80 \%$. We found that, at a given period, the SiO detection rate is approximately three times that for OH . These facts suggest


that the large-amplitude variables in the Nuclear Disk region are AGB stars similar in their overall properties to the inner and outer Bulge IRAS/SiO sources. From the set of radial velocity data, the mass distribution within 30 pc of the galactic center is derived by a new method which is based on the collisionless Boltzmann equation integrated along the line of sight. The mass within 30 pc is about $6.4[ \pm 0.7] \times 10^{7} \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ and the mass of the central black hole is $2.7[ \pm 1.3] \times 10^{6} \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$. Consideration of the line-of-sight velocity of each star and its potential energy leads to the conclusion that the five high-velocity stars come from galactocentric distances as high as 300 pc . The high-velocity subsample of stars with negative radial velocities exhibits a tendency to have brighter $K$ magnitudes than the subsample of stars with positive velocities. The origin of these high-velocity stars is discussed.
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## 1. Introduction

Radial-velocity data concerning stellar maser sources are useful for studying the dynamical behavior of the central part of the Galaxy (Lindqvist et al. 1992; Izumiura et al. 1995; Sjouwerman et al. 1998; Deguchi et al. 2000). At visible wavelengths, they are difficult to obtain in the galactic center region because of interstellar extinction. Instead, most information comes from radio or near-infrared observations [for example, Sellgren et al. (1987)]. In particular, observations of SiO and OH masers give radial velocities of stars accurate to within a few $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$. The masers arise in the circumstellar envelopes of mass-losing stars on the Asymptotic Giant Branch (AGB), which are intrinsically bright in the near- and mid-infrared regions, and which can potentially be identified at these wavelengths. Large numbers of candidate stars suitable for pointed maser surveys toward the nuclear disk have been discovered in the near-infrared $K$ band by making use of their characteristic large-amplitude variability (Glass et al. 2001).

The dynamical behavior of the central region of the Galaxy has attracted much attention, especially in relation to the central black hole (for example, Morris, Serabyn 1996). Proper motions of stars have been measured in the near-infrared $K$ band near the black hole (Genzel et al. 2000; Ghez et al. 2000), and proper motions of SiO maser stars have also been measured within the central $15^{\prime \prime}$ (Reid et al. 2003). These were used to find the position of $\mathrm{Sgr} \mathrm{A}^{*}$ in the $K$-band images (Menten et al. 1997). This paper concentrates on the dynamics of stars located towards the outer part of the central star cluster around the black hole, i.e., at about $2-30 \mathrm{pc}$ distance, where the gravitational force of the black hole ceases to influence the stellar motions, and the stellar system is nearly self-gravitating. The dynamical (rotational) time scale in this region is a few $\times 10^{6} \mathrm{y}$, while the ages of the AGB stars are $10^{7}-10^{9} \mathrm{y}$. Therefore,
these stars are considered to be dynamically well relaxed (e.g., Hozumi et al. 2000). Since the bar-like structure of the Galactic bulge was discovered (Blitz, Spergel 1991; Nakada et al. 1991; Dwek et al. 1995), it has been recognized that non-circular motions must be taken into account when interpreting observational data such as the CO gas distribution in the central nuclear disk (Binney et al. 1991; Weiner, Sellwood 1999). Because double bars and nuclear rings have been proposed as efficient mechanisms for feeding gas into the centers of galaxies (Shlosman et al. 1989), it has become additionally important to look for signs of non-circularity in the motions of gas and stars.

In this paper, we report on the results of an SiO maser survey of Large Amplitude Variables (Miras or semiregulars; abbreviated as LAV hereafter) in a $24^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$ area of the galactic center (Glass et al. 2001), whose amplitudes and periods are known (Wood et al. 1998; Glass et al. 2001). Because these stars are located at approximately the same distance (about $8 \mathrm{kpc})$ from the Sun, they constitute an ideal sample for studying the statistical characteristics of AGB stars and their detectability in the maser lines. In addition, surveying these sources gives accurate radial velocities, and provides basic data for investigating the kinematics of the galactic nuclear disk. Although the mass within this region has been obtained previously by various methods [for example, Lindqvist et al. (1992)], none of them [except Saha et al. (1996)] are fully valid for treating the problem; the results obtained are likely to be in error by a factor of a few between the radii of 2 and 30pc. In this paper, we analyze the new SiO radial-velocity data set using the Boltzmann equation integrated along the line of sight. We also consider the origin of the high-velocity stars seen toward the galactic center.

## 2. Observations

Simultaneous observations in the $\mathrm{SiO} J=1-0, v=1$ and 2 transitions at 42.122 and 42.821 GHz , respectively, were made with the $45-\mathrm{m}$ radio telescope at Nobeyama during the periods of 2001 February-May, 2002 March-May, and 2003 May. We used a cooled SIS mixer receiver (S40) for the 43 GHz observations and accousto-optical spectrometer arrays, AOS-H and AOS-W, having bandwidths of 40 and 250 MHz . The effective velocity resolution of the AOS-H spectrometer is $0.3 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. They cover the velocity range of $\pm 350 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, for both the $\mathrm{SiO} J=1-0 \quad v=1$ and 2 transitions, simultaneously. The overall system temperature was between 200 and 300 K , depending on the weather condition. The half-power telescope beam width (HPBW) is about $40^{\prime \prime}$. The antenna temperature $\left(T_{a}\right)$ given in the present paper is that corrected for the atmospheric and telescope ohmic loss but not for the beam or aperture efficiency. The conversion factor of the antenna temperature to the flux density is about 2.9 Jy $\mathrm{K}^{-1}$. To save observation time, we employed the position switching sequence, Off-On1-On2On3, where the off position was taken 7 arc minutes west of the first-object position (On1) in right ascension; the separation of the off position corresponds to the angle moved by an object in the sky during the typical integration ( 20 s ), additional telescope-slewing, and settling time (10


Fig. 1. Source distribution in the galactic coordinates. Filled and unfilled circles indicate the SiO detections and nondetections.
s), so that the integrations were made at nearly the same elevation angle. With this sequence, we observed three stars at once and saved about $50 \%$ of the total observation time. Further details of SiO maser observations using the NRO 45-m telescope have been described elsewhere (Deguchi et al. 2000), and are not repeated here.

The sources observed in this paper are the large-amplitude variables (LAVs) in a $24^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$ area of the galactic center (Glass et al. 2001). The positions of the observed sources are shown in Figure 1. In this sample, the star name was designated by field and object numbers, e.g., 3-49. The total number of the objects listed in Glass et al. (2001) was 418. Note that, however, a star near the edge of a field was sometimes given a second name because it appeared in an adjacent field. We counted 15 such objects; the measured positions of these stars are coincident with a few arcsec accuracy. In field 11, the positions turned out to be shifted by about $30^{\prime \prime}$ and corrected values were listed in an erratum (Glass et al. 2001); note that the latter includes an additional variable, 11-307. Additionally, three LAV stars, which were identified later with the help of ISOGAL observations (Omont et al. 2003), were observed. The positions of these 3 stars are given in table 1.

In summary, we observed 396 LAVs over three years. The SiO detections and nondetections are summarized in tables 2 and 3, respectively. The spectra of the SiO detections are shown in Figure 2a-2k. In the Glass et al. (2001)'s list, some objects are located very close to each other and they fall within one beam diameter of the $45-\mathrm{m}$ telescope ( $40^{\prime \prime}$ ). We observed only one object of each such close pair, because they are unresolvable in our beam. We list such objects lying closer than $12^{\prime \prime}$ from each other in table 4, together with those that have more than one name assignment in the LAV survey. The objects in table 4 were thus considered to have been observed. In the final accounting of such overlapped observations etc., in 2003, two sources were found to be still missing; 4-22 and 11-307 (which was added in the erratum) was not observed. Therefore we made an extra short observation of these two stars in February 2004 together with a prvious marginal detection of $4-33$. Thus all the LAVs listed by Glass et
al. 2001 have been observed.
Because the surface number density of stars is so high in the galactic center area, we often detected multiple emission peaks in a single beam. When the velocity separation is more than $20 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, they are regarded as different objects. In table 5, we listed such multiple detections in a single beam (of HPBW $=40^{\prime \prime}$ ) and the possible assignment of the sources. The associations with the $\mathrm{OH} / \mathrm{IR}$ objects (Sjouwerman et al. 1998) are made in terms of the radial velocity (within a few $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ ) and the position (within $\sim 20^{\prime \prime}$ ). The identified $\mathrm{OH} / \mathrm{IR}$ objects were listed in table 6. Furthermore, identifications with previously known $\mathrm{SiO} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ objects in this area of the sky were also made. These are $1-72,2-1,2-18$, and $3-266$ which are detected in Miyazaki et al. (2001), and 3-5, 3-6, 3-57, 3-88, 3-2885 in Deguchi et al. (2002); 3-3 was detected at $86.7 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}$ in 1999 May, but not at this time (2001 April).

When the velocity separation between multiple peaks is less than $20 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, it is impossible to judge whether we are dealing with a single object with multiple peaks, or two close-lying objects within the telescope beam, unless a mapping observation has been made to separate the components. For example, the SiO spectra of 5-157 in Figure 2d show peaks at $V_{\text {lsr }}=-2$ and $6 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. However, we found no significant sources within $30^{\prime \prime}$ around this object. We tried to separate several multiple-peak objects in the first year (for example, 9-8). However, because of a shortage of observing time in the third year, we were unable to look further into this issue. In table 5, we count 10 cases where source assignments were impossible because of multiple peaks. In our statistics we took the strongest peak to be the given object, for simplicity, and put an asterisk sign after the name.

There is also further confusion in the SiO detections due to time variation. For example, 13-18 (=10-3465 ) was observed twice in May 2001 and May 2002, but emission was detected at different radial velocities, -16 and $38 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}$. The star $13-4$, is located $12.7^{\prime \prime}$ away from 13-18, but was not detected on May 2003. However, a careful check of the spectra in May 2003 gave weak enhancements of emission at 25 and $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}$. We tentatively assign the $-16 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ component to be 13-18 and discard the May 2002 observations. It is highly possible that the $38 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}$ component is $13-4$.

Several objects were detected in the off position during the position switching sequence, at $7^{\prime}$ west of the first-on source (On-1) in right ascension. The off-position detections can easily be recognized; because of the combination of the three objects with one off-position, all of the three spectra have negative emission at the same radial velocity. These are 23-1198 (seen in the spectra of 6-151), and IRAS 17413-2909 (seen in the spectra of 5-27). The $-60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}$ component was detected in the spectra of 8-2 and 20-2631 (shown as off-1). This off position was outside of the observed area of Glass et al. (2001), and was named to be SiO359.700+0.079 (17h44m35.9s, $-29^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 01^{\prime \prime}$, J2000); no OH counterpart was found at this position. This position is close to MSX5CG359.6949+00.0742 (17 h44 m36.12s -2909 ${ }^{\prime} 27.4^{\prime \prime}$ ) with $F_{12}=5.4 \mathrm{Jy}$. In addition, 24-108 was detected at the off position (in the spectra of 12-6)
in April 2002. We observed this object in May 2003, but the emission was quite weak.
Because of the high chance of contamination by other objects in the telescope beam, the positions and radial velocities of the previously known OH sources were carefully checked to ensure that the cross-identifications are correct. For the sample of both OH and SiO detected objects, the average radial-velocity difference between SiO and OH is $<V_{\text {sio }}-V_{\mathrm{OH}}>=0.47$ $( \pm 1.88) \mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$, where the standard deviation is shown in the parenthesis. The minimum and maximum of the velocity differences are -3.8 and $4.3 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. These values indicate that the identifications are almost certainly correct and that no large systematic velocity shift occurs between SiO and OH . In terms of OH and SiO velocity differences, an interesting object, 13200, was found to have an SiO radial velocity at $V_{\mathrm{lrs}}^{\mathrm{SiO}}=23.7 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. However, the position of this LAV is close to $\mathrm{OH} 0.178-0.055$ with a separation of $5.1^{\prime \prime}$, which has $V_{\text {lrs }}^{\mathrm{OH}}=-36.6 \mathrm{~km}$ $\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ (Sjouwerman et al. 1998). As noted in Glass et al. (2001), the separation of more than $5^{\prime \prime}$ is too large to regard as an error in the position measurement. The SiO detection with a large radial velocity difference in this paper proves that these two are surely different stars.

Messineo et al. (2002) surveyed the ISOGAL and MSX sources in the SiO $J=2-1 v=1$ transition; in their detections, 11 LAVs of Glass et al. (2001) were involved. We checked our detection list and found nine detections (2 negative) in the $\mathrm{SiO} J=1-0 v=1$ and 2 transitions in the present paper. Among their four nondetections of LAVs, we got one detection (20-116) in the present paper. The radial velocities of the $\mathrm{SiO} J=2-1 v=1$ line are coincident with the velocities of the $\mathrm{SiO} J=1-0 v=1$ and 2 transitions within a few $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$.

Sjouwerman et al. (2002) made targeted surveys for $22 \mathrm{GHz} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and 43 GHz SiO maser emission in the galactic center $\mathrm{OH} / \mathrm{IR}$ stars using the Very Large Array. Only 4 SiO detected objects overlap the LAVs in the present sample, and these are marked $\square$ in table 6 . Radial velocities also coincide very well with the velocities obtained in this paper. It is curious that none of the objects in the present sample was detected in the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ line. In general, the circumstellar $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ maser line seems to be weak in the LAVs in the galactic center (Taylor et al. 1993; Levine et al. 1995).

## 3. Discussion

### 3.1. Period-SiO Detection Rate

In total, 180 LAVs from the original sample were detected in SiO with additional 16 objects. Figure 3 shows the period histogram of all the observed LAVs and the SiO detection rate (line graph with filled circles). The line graph with unfilled circles in figure 3 indicates the OH detection rate, which seems to correlate with the SiO detection rate quite well. Figure 3 clearly shows that the SiO detection rate increases with the period. The maximum detection rate occurs in the 600-700 d period bin. Note that the average period of the Glass et al. (2001) sample is about 430 d . A slight decrease of the detection rate above $P>700 \mathrm{~d}$ is probably
a statistical effect arising from the small numbers. The same graph was also shown in Imai et al. (2002) for the sample of LAVs with the periods $P>400 \mathrm{~d}$ and the period measurement quality $Q=3$ ( $\mathrm{Q}=3$ the highest quality) preferentially observed in the first year of this program.

In figure 3, we can recognize a small enhancement of the detection rate at the shortperiod end. There are 16 sources with periods below 200 d ; five detections among these are $1-42,4-28,6-112,7-9$, and $14-38$. The period measurement quality of these LAVs are $\mathrm{Q}=2$, $0,1,3$, and 3 , where higher Q indicates better measurement quality. Therefore, at least two LAVs of $\mathrm{Q}=0$ or 1 are uncertain members of the $P=100-200 \mathrm{~d}$ bin. However, even so, we still have 3 firm detections in this bin. Therefore, we get about a $20 \%$ detection rate in this bin after this correction, resulting a relatively flat, but non-zero, detection rate below 300 d . The significant SiO detection rate for periods below 200 d can also be found in the database of stellar maser sources by Benson et al. (1990), though the listed sources are not at the same distances from the Sun. In fact, a significant number of semi-regular variables are known to be involved in the short-period subsample in Benson et al. (1990).

The SiO detection rate is approximately twice (or even triple for certain period ranges) as high as for OH , indicating that the SiO maser survey has doubled the number of stars with radial velocity data near the galactic center. Note, however, that the SiO survey was a targetted survey and OH an unbiased (blind) survey. Therefore, the OH survey (Sjouwerman et al. 1998) detected a number of objects which were not identified in NIR imaging; they are simply not counted in the above comparison of the detection rate between SiO and OH surveys.

That the maser detection rate increases with the period of light variation in LAVs has already been suggested by observations of stars near the Sun (e.g., Benson, Little-Marenin 1996). However, because of uncertainties in the distances of these stars, the relation was not clearly demonstrated. In the present sample, the distances to the LAV stars are almost equal and the correlation with period is much more conclusive.

### 3.2. Surface density

The surface density distribution of the sample is useful for estimating an approximate line-of-sight distribution of the LAVs. Figure 4 shows plots of the cumulative number of objects, $N(r)$, within a given projected distance from Sgr A*, $r$, normalized to 1 at the outermost projected distance. The left panel shows the difference between the LAVs and the SiO-detected objects (including all the detections), and the center panel shows the difference between the $l^{*}>0$ and $l^{*}<0 \mathrm{SiO}$ detected objects. Here $l^{*}$ is the longitude offset from $\mathrm{Sgr} \mathrm{A}^{*}$. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test does not give any significant probability of these two sets being statistically different. The distributions can be well approximated by $N(r) \sim r^{1.3}$. This function gives a surface density distribution for SiO or LAV objects that varies as $\sigma(r) \sim r^{-0.7}$. If we assume that the source distribution is spherically symmetric and with a power-law density profile, the number density of the sources (per unit volume) is $\rho(R) \sim R^{-1.7}$, where R is the real
distance from the galactic center (Sgr A*) [see equation (2-43) of Binney \& Tremaine (1987)]. The present result fits quite well with the K-band surface density variation near the Center $\left(\lesssim 6^{\prime}\right), I_{K} \sim r^{-0.65}$, measured by Philipp et al. (1999).

In this case, a simple computation gives that, for the sources within a projected distance of $12^{\prime}(\sim 30 \mathrm{pc}), 32 \%$ of the objects are located between the true radii 60 and 300 pc , and $12 \%$ of the objects are between the radii 300 and 3 kpc . Furthermore, an integration shows that $31 \%$ of the observed objects in the sample are actually within a radius of 30 pc . The contamination of the sample by SiO objects outside 30 pc is estimated to be as follows; among the $\sim 200 \mathrm{SiO}$ detections, 23 objects are outside $300 \mathrm{pc}, 112$ are sources between 30 and 300 pc, and 65 sources are within 30 pc .

However, the OH 1612 MHz source distribution looks slightly different as shown in the right panel of figure 4 for 137 OH sources within $15.9^{\prime}$ [taken from Sjouwerman et al. (1998)]. The OH integrated number distribution is approximated by $N_{\mathrm{OH}}(r) \sim r^{0.8}$, leading to a steeper surface density distribution $\sigma(r) \sim r^{-1.2}$, and a number density distribution $\rho(R) \sim R^{-2.2}$. In the right panel, we also plotted the normalized integrated number (thin line) of LAVs with SiO in the present sample (thick dots). The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test gives the probability of 2 \% that the two sets have the same statistical distributions. Therefore, we conclude that the distributions are different. The integrated number of LAVs with OH in the present sample is also plotted as thin dots ( 67 objects). As expected, this line falls near the middle of the two sets above. A statistical test does not give any conclusive evidence that the distribution of the LAVs-with-OH set is different from the above two sets (because of small numbers).

The surface density of our LAV sample increases toward the galactic center more slowly than that for OH-sources. This is partly because the near-infrared detection of LAVs is hindered by the high density of stars near the galactic center. In contrast, the OH surveys are less biased near the galactic center. On the other hand, OH detection is enhanced towards the long period end and, hence, toward massive objects [if the masses (luminosities) and periods are correlated as normally assumed]. This fact might imply a mass segregation effect for stars in the galactic center. However, the statistical test for the present SiO sample with OH emission gives a probability of greater than $20 \%$ that the two SiO subsets with and without OH have the same spatial distribution. Therefore, the conjecture of mass segregation cannot be proved by using the present subsample.

Note that the power law obtained for SiO density distribution is close to $R^{-1.75}$. If the mass density of the galactic center area also follows the same rule, the rotational velocity as a function of radius increases as $V(R) \sim R^{0.125}$. Because the $R^{-1.75}$ law makes various physical quantities easy to estimate, we will assume that the density distribution follows this law near the galactic center.

### 3.3. Velocity Distribution

Figure 5 shows a longitude-velocity diagram for all the SiO detected sources. Here, $l^{*}$ is the galactic longitude offset from Sgr A* (the dynamical center of the Galaxy; R.A. $=18^{\mathrm{h}} 45^{\mathrm{m}} 40^{\mathrm{s}} .05$, Dec. $=-29^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 27^{\prime \prime} .9$, J2000; Rogers et al. 1994). Most of the radial velocities fall within $\pm 200 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Five extreme sources at $\left|V_{\mathrm{lsr}}\right|>200 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ occupy outlying positions. These sources might be outer bulge objects in highly eccentric orbits, seen by chance in the line of sight toward the galactic center [see discussion in van Langevelde et al. (1992)]. These high-velocity objects appear almost evenly at positive and negative velocities in the present sample. However, they appears only at the negative longitude side of the galactic center ( $l^{*}<0$ ).

The regression-line analysis for the radial velocities gives $V_{\text {lsr }}=-6.1( \pm 5.6)+$ $190.1( \pm 51.2)\left(l^{*} / \mathrm{deg}\right) \mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ and the standard deviation from this line is $78.0 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for all the 195 sources. If we remove the five extreme sources with $\left|V_{\text {lsr }}\right|>200 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, the best fit gives $V_{\text {lsr }}=-5.4( \pm 4.5)+209.8( \pm 41.9)\left(l^{*} / \mathrm{deg}\right) \mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$. The best-fit slope, $190.1 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ per degree, is compatible with the value, $\sim 190 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ per degree, which was computed from the OH 1612 MHz data within 0.5 degree from the galactic center (Sjouwerman et al. 1998). Considering the slow rotation of the inner Bulge out to $3^{\circ}$ of the galactic center, $\sim 20 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ per degree (Deguchi et al. 2000), we conclude that the nuclear disk is rotating more rapidly than the inner Bulge. The slight shift of the average velocity to the negative side may be interpreted as contamination by foreground objects in stream of stars forming part of a bar-like Bulge (Izumiura et al. 1995; Deguchi et al. 2000).

The overall structure of the $\mathrm{SiO} l-v$ diagram is quite similar to the $\mathrm{OH} l-v$ diagram in the same region (see figure 3 of Sjouwerman et al. 1998). We can recognize a hole in the SiO distribution at $\left(l^{*}=0.06^{\circ}, V_{\text {1sr }}=60 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ in figure 5 . The same hole can be seen in figure 3 of Sjouwerman et al. (1998), though another hole at ( $l^{*}=-0.04^{\circ}, V_{\text {lsr }}=-20 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) in figure 3 of Sjouwerman et al. (1998) does not exist in figure 5. The position of this hole in the $l-v$ diagram corresponds roughly to the dense CO and CS clouds at $V_{\text {lsr }} \sim 75 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, which can be seen on the overlaid map of figure 5. It is clear that the hole does not simply arise from a bias in the distribution of the LAVs, arising from the near-infrared sampling because it also appears in the unbiased OH survey (Sjouwerman et al. 1998).

Another interesting characteristic of the $\mathrm{SiO} l-v$ diagram is no strong association of the SiO radial velocities with the " 240 pc molecular-ring" features at $V_{\text {lsr }} \sim-130--100$ and $\sim 170-190 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, which can be seen on the overlaid CO map in the left panel of figure 5 . This molecular-ring feature is extended in the area of $l= \pm 2^{\circ}$ and $b= \pm 0.5^{\circ}$, and is considered to be a manifestation of the bar potential of the Bulge (Binney et al. 1991). On the line of sight toward the galactic center, this ring is located at about 240 pc from the Center itself. A closer look at figure 5 shows that two objects at $V_{\mathrm{lsr}} \sim 170 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and $l^{*}=-0.12^{\circ}$, are on the positive-velocity ring feature, and one is at $V_{\text {lsr }} \sim-140 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and $l^{*}=-0.17^{\circ}$; these are
$22-21.2[(l, b)=(-0.170,0.047)], 22-21.3$, and $22-166[(l, b)=(-0.229,0.044)]$. However, at $b=-0.4^{\circ}\left(\sim 2^{\prime}\right)$, the negative ring feature appears at $V_{\text {lsr }} \sim-75 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ in Oka et al. (1998)'s map. Moreover, the positive-velocity ring feature actually appears very weakly at $V_{\text {lsr }} \sim 165$ $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ and $l=-0.2^{\circ}$. The LAVs are therefore not likely to be associated with the ring feature.

It is further reasonable that no parallelogram feature appears in the SiO and $\mathrm{OH} l-v$ diagram because the orbits cannot be cusped for the case of stars in a bar-like Bulge (unlike the gas; Binney et al. 1991). Rather, the velocity spread in the $\mathrm{SiO} l-v$ diagram is much larger than the velocity spread of the CO gas. These facts indicate that random motions are considerably dominant for stars in this region.

### 3.4. The Mass of the Galactic Center

From the velocity data of maser stars, we can obtain the gravitational mass in the galactic center area in principle. However, this is rather a difficult task because only the line-of-sight velocities and projected distances are known. In the past (Sellgren et al. 1987; Lindqvist et al. 1992), three methods have been used for this purpose: the pressure-balance, projected-mass, and virial methods, where we take the 'pressure balance method' to be the moment equation of the Boltzmann equation in the radial direction, as given in Sellgren et al. (1987). Although none of these approaches are really valid for application to the galactic center velocities, we first used them to compute the gravitational masses in order to show that the present sample gives results which are compatible with previous calculations. The results from the pressure-balance and projected-mass methods are presented as filled thin triangles and diamonds in figure 6. For comparison, the previous OH 1612 MHz results (Lindqvist et al. 1992) are also plotted as unfilled thin triangles and diamonds in figure 6.

Because all three of the above noted methods rely on assumptions which are not verified in the case of the galactic center sample in a strict (even rough) sense, they are believed to yield errors of a factor of a few in the computed masses. For example, the pressure balance method uses an equation derived in the radial direction and makes use of line-of-sight quantities.

In order to remedy the situation, we have developed a new method in this paper. We first assume that the mass distribution is spherically symmetric. We also assume that the enclosed mass within the radius $R$ is written by

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{R}=m_{1}+m_{2} R^{1.25} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m_{1}$ corresponds to the galactic center black hole, and the second term corresponds to the observed mass density distribution $\rho \propto R^{-1.75}$ (and the surface density mass distribution $\left.\sigma(r) \propto r^{-0.75}\right)$. In what follows, we will use cylindrical coordinates, $r, \phi$, and $z$, where the $z$ axis is taken along the line of sight to the galactic center (see figure 9). In this coordinate system, $r$ is called the projected distance from the galactic center. The observed quantity, the average of $v_{z}^{2}$ between projected distances $r_{n}$ and $r_{n+1}$, can be expressed using the Boltzmann one-particle distribution function, $f\left(r, \phi, z, v_{r}, v_{\phi}, v_{z}\right)$, as

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\sum_{i}^{r_{n}<r_{i}<r_{n+1}}\left(v_{z, i}\right)^{2}\right] / N_{n} } \\
\simeq & \int_{r_{n}}^{r_{n+1}} \int\left[\left(v_{z}\right)^{2} f\right] d z r d \phi d v_{r} d v_{z} d v_{\phi} d r /\left[\int_{r_{n}}^{r_{n+1}} \int f d z r d \phi d v_{r} d v_{z} d v_{\phi} d r\right] \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $N_{n}$ is the number of the observed stars between $r_{n}$ and $r_{n+1}$, and the subscript $i$ indicates the i-th star in the sample. All the quantities on the right side of the equation are regarded as continuos functions of the coordinates, $r, \phi, z, v_{r}, v_{z}$, and $v_{\phi}$. A partial integration with respect to $z$ in the numerator gives

$$
\int_{r_{n}}^{r_{n+1}} \int\left[z\left(v_{z}\right)^{2} f\right]_{z=-\infty}^{z=+\infty} r d \phi d v_{r} d v_{z} d v_{\phi} d r-\int_{r_{n}}^{r_{n+1}} \int\left[z v_{z}\left(v_{z} \partial f / \partial z\right)\right] d z r d \phi d v_{r} d v_{z} d v_{\phi} d r .(3)
$$

Here the first term vanishes because the function $f$ tends to zero rapidly as $z$ goes to infinity. Using the collisionless steady-state Boltzmann equation, the second integral can be related to the term $z v_{z}\left(F_{z} \partial f / \partial v_{z}\right)$. Details are given in the Appendix. Here the $z$ component of the gravitational force $F_{z}$ is given as $F_{z}=-G M_{R} z / R^{3}$, where G is the gravitational constant and $R=\left(z^{2}+r^{2}\right)^{0.5}$. By integrating this equation over the line of sight and all the other coordinates with a density distribution

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(R)=\rho_{0} R^{-1.75} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and assuming a symmetric velocity distribution, we obtain the equation to be solved:

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{1} A_{n}+m_{2} B_{n}=C_{n} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}=1.0245 G\left(r_{n+1}^{1 / 4}-r_{n}^{1 / 4}\right) /\left(r_{n+1}^{5 / 4}-r_{n}^{5 / 4}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{n}=0.7556 G\left(r_{n+1}^{3 / 2}-r_{n}^{3 / 2}\right) /\left(r_{n+1}^{5 / 4}-r_{n}^{5 / 4}\right),  \tag{7}\\
& C_{n}=\left(\sum_{i}^{r_{n}<r_{i}<r_{n+1}} v_{z, i}^{2}\right) / N_{n} . \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

The unknowns, $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$, are solved by least squares with the set of $A_{n}, B_{n}$, and $C_{n}(n=$ $1,2, . . n_{e}$ ), where $n_{e}$ is the number of divided regions in the cylinder.

We calculated $A_{n}, B_{n}$, and $C_{n}(n=1$ to 10$)$ and solved $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ by least squares. In the present line-of-sight velocity sample of 199 SiO maser stars within 30 pc from the galactic center. In order to obtain accurate masses very near the galactic center, we added 10 SiO objects within $2^{\prime}$ of the galactic center, as found by Deguchi et al. (2002). Furthermore, we excluded 5 extremely high velocity objects with $\left|v_{\text {lsr }}\right|>200 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ from the fit. we divided the cylinder into 10 regions, where each has about 20 data points except the innermost cylinder which contains 10 points. The value of $m_{1}$ is somewhat sensitive to the velocity dispersion of the innermost 10 objects. In the case with no rotational motion ( $V_{\text {Rot }}=0$ ), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{R}=\left(2.69[ \pm 1.30]+0.53[ \pm 0.07](R / p c)^{1.25}\right) \times 10^{6} \mathrm{M}_{\odot} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can also involve the average rotational motion. Details are given in Appendix. Here we assume that the rotational velocity slowly increases with radius as $\sim r^{0.125}$. The average rotation speed can be obtained from the observational quantities and we obtain the rotational speed at 30 pc as $V_{0}=86.2 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. The mass distribution involving the rotation becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{R}=\left(2.69[ \pm 1.30]+0.88[ \pm 0.07](R / p c)^{1.25}\right) \times 10^{6} \mathrm{M}_{\odot} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The thin and thick solid curves in figure 6 show the mass distributions for the nonrotating and rotating cases, respectively. These masses are slightly less than the values obtained using the other methods mentioned. Because of the self-consistency of this method, which uses only the line-of-sight velocities and the projected radii, we believe that the masses obtained in this paper are more accurate than those found previously. Also the effects of the foreground and background of the galactic center along the line of sight are correctly taken into account.

### 3.5. High Velocity Stars

We can clearly see five distinct high velocity stars with $\left|V_{\text {lsr }}\right|>200 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ in figure 5 . As noted before, these sources are located only on the $l^{*}<0$ side, which is somewhat difficult to explain. However, because of their small numbers, we can always argue that their presence is accidental.

To understand the high velocity objects in greater detail, we consider the energetics of stars in the galactic center. The energy of a particle moving in the fixed gravitational potential can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
E / m=(1 / 2) v^{2}+U(R) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where m and $U(R)$ are the mass of the particle and the gravitational potential at the radius, $R$, from the galactic center, respectively. Here, for convenience, we replace the term, $E / m$, by $U\left(R_{0}\right)$, where $R_{0}$ is the outermost radius to which the star can reach if the orbit is linear. Because the projected quantities, $v_{z}^{2}$ and $r$, are always smaller than $v^{2}$ and $R$, respectively, we have the inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
R \geq r \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 G^{-1} R\left[U\left(R_{0}\right)-U(R)\right]=G^{-1} R v^{2} \geq G^{-1} r v_{z}^{2} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where G is the gravitational constant. Here, the quantity, $G^{-1} r v_{z}^{2}$ is often called the projected mass ( $\equiv q$; Bahcall \& Tremaine 1981). From the mass distribution of the galactic center (equation 1), we can compute the gravitational potential as

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(R)=G\left(-m_{1} R^{-1}+4 m_{2} R^{0.25}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{R})$ is a monotonically increasing function of $R$.
Figure 7 is a plot of the mass distribution, $M_{R}$, and the quantity $2 G^{-1} R\left[U\left(R_{0}\right)-U(R)\right]$,
as a function of the real distance, $R$, for various different $R_{0}$ (indicated as the value $R_{0}$ ). We also plotted the projected mass $q$ against projected radius $r$ for the SiO-detected objects in figure 7 using the same axes. Because of the inequalities (12) and (13), the position of a star, ( $R, G^{-1} R v^{2}$ ), must fall to the upper right part of the point $\left(r, G^{-1} r v_{z}^{2}\right)$ in figure 7. More precisely, it will be above the line connecting the origin and the point $\left(r, G^{-1} r v_{z}^{2}\right)$ and at the right part of the line $R=r$. In another words, the observed location of the projected quantities, (r, q), gives the lower bound of $\left(\mathrm{R}, 2 G^{-1} R\left[U\left(R_{0}\right)-U(R)\right]\right)$, in this diagram.

Note that a particle in a pure circular orbit in an edge-on plane (as viewed from the sun) falls on the curve $M_{R}$ only when it moves along the line of sight. In general, the orbit of a particle is not edge-on, and the velocity vector is not along the line of sight. Therefore, the projected mass of a particle falls on a much lower part of the $M_{R}$ curve. In summary, the particles at the upper-right part of the diagram in figure 7 have higher energy in general. But note that the particles with high $U(R)$ also fall towards the lower part of the diagram due to a projection effect; at best when $v_{z}=v$, the point, $\left(R, G^{-1} R v^{2}\right)$, moves on a straight line through the origin.

With the help of the projected mass-radius diagram of figure 7, we can make subsamples of the set and investigate the statistical properties of the high $U\left(R_{0}\right)$ subsample. Let us divide the sample by the $\left.q=2 G^{-1} r[U(30 \mathrm{pc})-U(r))\right]$ curve and call the upper subset $\left(q>2 G^{-1} r(U(30 \mathrm{pc})-U(r))\right.$ as a high $q$ subset. Note that this subset consist of the objects distributed over all the projected distances, but weighted more to the largest values. We tried to find statistical differences in $K$-magnitude and period between the high and low $q$ subsets. However, no strong effects were found. If the stellar system is in equipartition of energy (though this is somewhat absurd in a collisionless system), the light mass particles may have larger specific energy $E / m$. However, we do not find any period or $K$-magnitude segregation effect on energy. Both luminosity and period are roughly increasing functions of stellar mass. Therefore, our finding, i.e., that the statistical properties of the two sets are similar, indicates that there is no strong mass segregation effect. Of course, the low- $q$ subset is not purely one whose members have low energies but, in fact, comprises a mixture of stars with low and high $U\left(R_{0}\right)$ because of the projection effect. Therefore, it is understandable that they do not exhibit any strong differences in $K$ and period statistics.

We can also divide the high $q$ set into two; $V_{\text {lsr }}>0$ and $V_{\text {lsr }}<0$. This is because the SiO maser sample may exhibit a streaming motion of stars along the Bulge bar. Izumiura et al. (1995) demonstrated that the Bulge objects in front of the galactic center tend to exhibit a negative radial velocity and the objects behind a positive velocity, due to the streaming motions of stars in the bar-like Bulge. The same tendency was also observed in the sample of SiO maser stars within 3 degrees of the galactic center (Deguchi et al. 2000). This front and back effect may produce a systematic difference in the $K$ magnitudes of the stars (Deguchi et al. 2002); the frontward stars must be systematically brighter than the background ones due to distance
or absorption by circumnuclear dust. Because the high- $q$ objects are considered to be located in general (but not strictly always) outside $R=30 \mathrm{pc}$, circumnuclear absorption is thought to have a significant influence on the $K$ magnitudes.

Figure 8 shows a plot of $K$ magnitude against period for the LAVs. The filled and unfilled circles indicate the objects with positive and negative $V_{\text {lsr }}$ both with $q>2 G^{-1} r[U(30 \mathrm{pc})-U(r)]$. Apparently, figure 8 indicates that the positive- $V_{\text {lsr }}$ objects are fainter at $K$ than the negative ones. The average $K$ magnitudes are $9.44( \pm 1.21)$ and $8.96( \pm 0.79)$ for the 25 positive- and 25 negative-velocity sets respectively. The t- and F-tests give a probability of $11 \%$ for the average $K$ magnitude difference and a probability of $4 \%$ for the standard-deviation difference being produced by the same distribution function. In other words, with more than $89 \%$ probability, two sets are statistically different. Therefore, if this average-magnitude difference is interpreted as being produced due to absorption by circumnuclear dust, the negative-velocity stars are in front of the galactic center and the positive-velocity stars are behind it.

We can recognize in figure 8 a slight shift in distribution of the two sets with respect to period. However, neither the t- nor the F-test gives any probability higher than $78 \%$ for an average-period difference or a standard-deviation difference between the two sets. Therefore, we regard the period distributions in the two sets as being the same.

The systematic tendency towards brighter $K$-magnitude in the negative-velocity subset appears more strongly in the smaller subsets. For the top five high-velocity stars with $\left|V_{\text {lsr }}\right|>$ $190 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, we obtain $K_{\text {ave }}=9.25( \pm 0.71)$ and $K_{\text {ave }}=8.23( \pm 0.30)$ for two positive and three negative high-velocity LAV stars. For the top 23 high- $q$ stars, we obtain $K_{\text {ave }}=9.63( \pm 0.99)$ and $K_{\text {ave }}=8.93( \pm 0.94)$ for 11 positive and 12 negative high-velocity stars. Here the numbers in parentheses are standard deviations. Though these results are not statistically significant because of small samples, we believe that the tendency appears more drastically in the extremely high-velocity stars.

The above finding, i.e., that the negative-velocity stars are located relatively in front of and the positive-velocity stars behind the galactic center, indicates that they share the same dynamical property as the stars in the Bulge (Deguchi et al. 2001). ${ }^{1}$ However, this fact does not immediately suggest that all of the high-velocity stars belong to the Bulge population and that their real distances from the galactic center are much farther than the projected distance, say about 30 pc . The average difference of 0.4 mag corresponds to a distance difference of about 1.5 kpc , if the magnitude difference is produced purely by distance effects. On the other hand, the distance difference is computed to be about 120 pc , when the extinction model of $A(K) \sim 3 \mathrm{kpc}^{-1}$ is applied near the galactic center.

[^0]Though interstellar extinction by dust grains must have a strong influence on the $K$ magnitudes obtained, it is hard to quantify it because the dust distribution is irregular on scales of $1-5^{\prime}$ towards the galactic center. Because the present high- $q$ objects are not concentrated in a particular region in the $24^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$ area, it is unthinkable that the above noted difference in the $K$-magnitudes is created only by the irregular distribution of the dust toward the galactic center.

The other notable point on the extremely high velocity stars is the lopsidedness of their distribution; all of the six extremely high-velocity stars with $\left|V_{\text {lsr }}\right|>190 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ appear in the $l^{*}<0$ side of the sky plane in the present sample. If we assume that an object should appear equally in both half-planes, the probability for all six to lie on one side of the plane is $1 / 32 \sim 3$ \%. Of course, we can always argue that it is due to statistical fluctuations. In fact, we find an OH high-velocity source, OH $0.335-0.180$ with $V_{\text {lsr }}=-355.1 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, on the $l^{*}>0$ side of the plane in Sjouwerman et al. (1998), outside the present survey area.

The distribution of the circumnuclear molecular ring (Wright et al. 2001) is very asymmetric about Sgr A*, and the MSX $21 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ map in the same region shows heavy concentrations of bright objects on the $l^{*}>0$ side (Price et al. 2001). Considering the dynamical (rotation) time scale of about 2 My , and the lifetime of molecular clouds of about $1-10 \mathrm{My}$, the lopsidedness of the interstellar matter can be regarded as a relatively short-lived phenomenon. The ages of AGB stars are between 30 My (about $9 M_{\odot}$ ) and 2 Gy (about $2 M_{\odot}$ ) (Vassiliadis, Wood 1993; Mouhcine, Lançon 2002). Therefore, such a lopsided distribution of the high velocity stars (if it is not a statistical fluctuation) may be more or less a reflection of an asymmetry of the gravitational potential. Kim et al. (2003) made a numerical simulation of the dynamical friction of stars in the central cluster within 30 pc and found that massive stars in a cluster can sink to the center within a relatively short time. By a counter-reaction, low-mass stars can be ejected from the cluster and can be observable as high-velocity stars. Though it is related to the disruption of young stellar clusters, the possible lopsided distribution of aged AGB highvelocity stars might be explained by a chance encounter of these AGB stars with such young clusters. However, we have not yet been able to reach a unified view of the high-velocity star phenomenon in the galactic center from the present data.

## 4. Conclusion

We have surveyed $\sim 400$ large-amplitude variables within a $24^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$ square about the galactic center, and obtained 180 detections (with additional 16 detections other than LAVs) in the SiO maser lines. The SiO detection rate of $\sim 48 \%$ is comparable to that in previous SiO surveys of color-selected Bulge IRAS sources. The SiO detection rate increases with the period of light variation, and is well correlated with the OH detection rate. The longitude-velocity diagram of the SiO sources has been revealed to be quite similar to the $\mathrm{OH} l-v$ diagram. These facts suggest that the large-amplitude variables in the galactic nuclear disk are mass-losing
stars in the AGB phase, quite similar to the IRAS sources in the inner Galactic bulge.
We also analyzed the SiO radial velocity data and obtained the mass distribution of the galactic center area. The mass of the central black hole that we have deduced, $2.7( \pm 1.3) \times$ $10^{6} M_{\odot}$, and the mass within $30 \mathrm{pc}, 6.5( \pm 0.7) \times 10^{7} M_{\odot}$, are more accurate than the previous estimates for these quantities. From analysis of the projected mass vs radius diagram, we found a tendency among the high-velocity sources that the subset with negative line-of-sight velocity is systematically brighter than the subset with positive line-of-sight velocity. This results from the fact that the the subsample with negative line-of-sight velocity is in front of the galactic center and the subsample with positive velocity is behind it. This tendency, which also applies to the Bulge SiO maser sources, strongly suggest the presence of streaming motion in the present nuclear-disk LAV sample.
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## Appendix. Theory for Computing the Enclosed Mass

We assume that the mass distribution is spherically symmetric. We also assume that the enclosed mass within the radius $R$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{R}=m_{1}+m_{2} R^{1.25} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the first term corresponds to the mass of the central black hole, and the second term corresponds to the general mass density distribution (including dark matter) $\rho_{R} \sim R^{-1.75}$ (and the surface density mass distribution $\sigma(r) \sim r^{-0.75}$ ). Here, we adopt cylindrical coordinates, $r, \phi$, and $z$, where the $z$ axis is taken along the line of sight to the galactic center, $r$ is the projected distance from the galactic center, and the direction of $\phi=0$ to the galactic plane (see figure 9). The collisionless Boltzmann equation in a steady state can be written in cylindrical coordinates [for example, Binney \& Tremaine (1987)]

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{r} \partial f / \partial r+\left(v_{\phi} / r\right) \partial f / \partial \phi+v_{z} \partial f / \partial z+\left(v_{\phi}^{2} / r+F_{r}\right) \partial f / \partial v_{r}-r^{-1} v_{r} v_{\phi} \partial f / \partial v_{\phi}+F_{z} \partial f / \partial v_{z}=0, \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $\phi$ component of the gravitational force $F_{\phi}$ vanishes because of the spherical symmetry. The average of $v_{z}^{2}$ in the area between $r_{n}$ and $r_{n+1}$ can be expressed using the Boltzmann oneparticle distribution function, $f$, as

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\sum_{i}^{r_{n}<r_{i}<r_{n+1}}\left(v_{z i}\right)^{2}\right] / N_{n} } \\
\simeq & \int_{r_{n}}^{r_{n+1}} \int\left[\left(v_{z}\right)^{2} f\right] d z r d \phi d v_{r} d v_{z} d v_{\phi} d r /\left[\int_{r_{n}}^{r_{n+1}} \int f d z r d \phi d v_{r} d v_{z} d v_{\phi} d r\right], \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

where $N_{n}$ is the number of stars observed between $r_{n}$ and $r_{n+1}$, and the denominator is for
normalization. All the quantities on the right side of the equation are regarded as continuos functions of the coordinates, $r, \phi, z, v_{r}, v_{\phi}$, and $v_{z}$. The partial integral with respect to $z$ can convert the numerator to

$$
\int_{r_{n}}^{r_{n+1}} \int\left[z\left(v_{z}\right)^{2} f\right]_{z=-\infty}^{z=+\infty} r d \phi d v_{r} d v_{z} d v_{\phi} d r-\int_{r_{n}}^{r_{n+1}} \int\left[z v_{z}\left(v_{z} \partial f / \partial z\right)\right] d z r d \phi d v_{r} d v_{z} d v_{\phi} d r,(18)
$$

Here the first integral vanishes because the distribution function $f$ tends rapidly to zero at infinity. Using the collisionless steady-state Boltzmann equation (16), the second integral can be related to the term $z v_{z}\left(F_{z} \partial f / \partial v_{z}\right)$. Here the $z$ component of the gravitational force $F_{z}$ is given as $F_{z}=-G M_{R} z / R^{3}$ where $R=\left(z^{2}+r^{2}\right)^{0.5}$. We perform the integral (18) over all the coordinates with a density distribution

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{R}=\rho_{0} R^{-1.75} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f d v_{r} d v_{z} d v_{\phi}=\rho_{R} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

When the system is not rotating, further partial integral in expression (18) after the above noted replacement easily results in equation (5).

We have to involve the average rotational motion by the replacing

$$
\begin{align*}
& v_{r}=v_{0, r}+V_{\mathrm{rot}, \mathrm{r}}  \tag{21}\\
& v_{\phi}=v_{0, \phi}+V_{\mathrm{rot}, \phi} \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{z}=v_{0, z}+V_{\mathrm{rot}, \mathrm{z}} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V_{\text {rot, }, \mathrm{r}}, V_{\text {rot }, \phi}$, and $V_{\text {rot, } \mathrm{z}}$ are the $r, \phi$, and $z$, components of the rotational velocity. Here we assume the rotational axis is perpendicular to the galactic plane (i.e., perpendicular to z axis). We assume the rotational motion is fastest at equator, and gets slower according to a cosine law when approaching the pole (see figure 9). In such a case, the rotational velocity components can be written using the rotational velocity at the equator, $V_{\text {Rot }}$, as

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{\mathrm{rot}, \mathrm{r}}=-V_{\mathrm{Rot}} z \cos (\phi) / R,  \tag{24}\\
& V_{\mathrm{rot}, \phi}=V_{\mathrm{Rot}} z \sin (\phi) / R, \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\mathrm{rot}, \mathrm{z}}=V_{\mathrm{Rot}} r \cos (\phi) / R \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see figure 9). Here we assume that the rotational velocity $V_{\text {Rot }}$ at the equator is given by the law corresponding to $\rho \sim R^{-1.75}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\mathrm{Rot}}=V_{0}\left(R / r_{0}\right)^{0.125} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V_{0}$ is the rotational velocity at the equator at $r_{0}=30 \mathrm{pc}$. A more realistic case of $V_{\text {Rot }}$ as a function of radius can also be calculated if the integral converges, but we do not pursue such a complex case here.

We perform integral (18) with respect to $z, r, \phi, v_{0, z}, v_{0, r}$, and $v_{0, \phi}$, assuming that the distribution function is symmetric in $z, v_{0, z}, v_{0, r}$, and $v_{0, \phi}$ [for example, $f\left(r, \phi, z, v_{0, z}, v_{0, r}, v_{0, \phi}\right)=$ $\left.f\left(r, \phi, z,-v_{0, z}, v_{0, r}, v_{0, \phi}\right)\right]$. In the partial integral, which must be made to convert the derivatives $\partial f / \partial r$, etc., to $f$, the odd terms of these variables vanish due to the symmetry. The only remaining terms come from the part, $z v_{z} F_{z} \partial f / \partial v_{z}$ (leading the term $m_{1} A_{n}+m_{2} B_{n}$ ), and the part (only in the rotational case), $z v_{z} v_{r} \partial f / \partial r$ (leading the term $V_{0}^{2} D_{n}$ ). We obtain the equation to be solved:

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{1} A_{n}+m_{2} B_{n}=C_{n}+V_{0}^{2} D_{n} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where where

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{n}=1.0245 G\left(r_{n+1}^{1 / 4}-r_{n}^{1 / 4}\right) /\left(r_{n+1}^{5 / 4}-r_{n}^{5 / 4}\right),  \tag{29}\\
& B_{n}=0.7556 G\left(r_{n+1}^{3 / 2}-r_{n}^{3 / 2}\right) /\left(r_{n+1}^{5 / 4}-r_{n}^{5 / 4}\right)  \tag{30}\\
& C_{n}=\left(\sum_{i}^{r_{n}<r_{i}<r_{n+1}} v_{z, i}^{2}\right) / N_{n} \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{n}=0.5667 G r_{0}^{-1 / 4}\left(r_{n+1}^{3 / 2}-r_{n}^{3 / 2}\right) /\left(r_{n+1}^{5 / 4}-r_{n}^{5 / 4}\right) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

The unknowns, $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$, are solved by least squares with the set of $A_{n}, B_{n}$, and $C_{n}(n=$ $1,2, . . n_{e}$ ), where $n_{e}$ is the total number of divided regions in the cylinder. The rotational term $D_{n}$ appears due to the centrifugal force.

The average rotational velocity, $V_{0}$, can be computed from the following equation;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\sum_{i}^{\text {all }} v_{z i} \cos \left(\phi_{i}\right)\right] / N \simeq \int v_{z} \cos (\phi) f d r d z r d \phi d v_{r} d v_{z} d v_{\phi} /\left(\int f d r d z r d \phi d v_{r} d v_{z} d v_{\phi}\right) \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where N is the total number of the observed particles and the integration must be made over all the coordinates. By integrating the right hand side after replacing $v_{z}$ by equations (24) (27), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{0}=3.726\left[\sum_{i}^{\text {all }} v_{z i} \cos \left(\phi_{i}\right)\right] / N \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

All the computations described above were made with help of computer-algebra software. A key aspect of the present analysis is that the functional form of the density distribution is given so that we can integrate the distribution function. The present analysis does not assume any special velocity distribution function except symmetry with respect to the velocities, $v_{0, z}$, $v_{0, r}$, and $v_{0, \phi}$. This is considered to be the same as an isotropic velocity distribution, though it is somewhat obscured because of the cylindrical coordinates.

In this method, the effect of the objects to the front and rear along the line of sight is correctly taken into account. Because of the self consistency, i.e., using only the line-of-sight velocities and projected radius, we believe that the mass distributions we have obtained are more accurate than those obtained with the projected-mass or pressure-balance methods [for the reference, see Bahcall \& Tremaine (1981)].
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Table 1. additional stars observed

| Name | R. A. (J2000) Dec. |  |  |  |  |  | $P$ | $K_{\text {av }}$ | $A$ | comment |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | h | m | s | $\circ$ |  |  | $\prime \prime$ | $(\mathrm{d})$ | $(\mathrm{mag})$ | $(\mathrm{mag})$ |

Table 2. SiO detections

| name | $\mathrm{SiO} J=1-0 \quad v=1$ |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{SiO} J=1-0 \quad v=2$ |  |  |  | Obs. Date (yymmdd.d) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $l$ $b$ <br> $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $V_{\text {peak }}$ <br> (km s${ }^{-1}$ ) | $T_{\text {peak }}$ <br> (K) | Flux <br> $\left(\mathrm{K} \mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$ | RMS <br> (K) | $V_{\text {peak }}$ <br> (km s${ }^{-1}$ ) | $T_{\text {peak }}$ <br> (K) |  | RMS <br> (K) |  |
| 1-2 | $0.089 \quad 0.007$ | $-32.3$ | 0.093 | 0.074 | 0.034 | $-30.5$ | 0.185 | 0.087 | 0.035 | 020309.2 |
| 1-8 | $0.095-0.007$ | 79.1 | 0.417 | 1.342 | 0.049 | 79.0 | 0.335 | 0.707 | 0.050 | 010220.3 |
| 1-31 | 0.1130 .063 | $-39.2$ | 0.183 | 0.726 | 0.038 | -38.0 | 0.146 | 0.561 | 0.043 | 010311.2 |
| 1-42.1 | $0.074 \quad 0.023$ | 43.5 | 0.133 | 0.346 | 0.041 | 44.0 | 0.132 | 0.175 | 0.043 | 020525.1 |
| 1-42.2 | 0.0740 .023 | 57.3 | 0.109 | 0.027 | 0.041 | 57.3 | 0.114 | 0.294 | 0.043 | 020525.1 |
| 1-72 | $0.064-0.006$ | 21.0 | 0.374 | 1.310 | 0.040 | 21.2 | 0.232 | 0.967 | 0.042 | 010311.2 |
| 1-391 | $0.072-0.011$ | 94.1 | 0.321 | 0.566 | 0.053 | 94.3 | 0.335 | 0.529 | 0.058 | 020224.2 |
| 1-1890 | $0.083 \quad 0.063$ | 23 | 0.235 | 0.423 | 0.036 | 23.9 | 0.275 | 1.065 | 0.038 | 010308.2 |
| 2-1 | $359.999-0.004$ | -6.1 | 0.501 | 1.804 | 0.050 | -5.7 | 0.420 | 1.742 | 0.045 | 010309.2 |
| 2-3 | $359.964 \quad 0.007$ | -7.6 | 0.343 | 0.839 | 0.041 | $-7.5$ | 0.360 | 0.837 | 0.041 | 010223.2 |
| 2-9 | 0.004-0.014 | 86 | 0.149 | 0.385 | 0.044 | 91.3 | 0.153 | 0.683 | 0.048 | 020314.3 |
| 2-1 | 0.003-0.004 | -6. | 0.193 | 0.3 | 0.040 | - | 0.188 | 0.568 | 0.044 | 020423.2 |
| 2-11.1 | $359.997-0.009$ | -23.0 | 0.271 | 0.500 | 0.047 | -23.0 | 0.266 | 0.184 | 0.052 | 020527.0 |
| $2-11.2 \dagger$ | $359.997-0.009$ | $-7.1$ | 0.144 | 0.524 | 0.047 | -6.2 | 0.187 | 0.440 | 0.052 | 020527.0 |
| 2-18 | $359.967 \quad 0.002$ | -7 | 0.512 | 1.953 | 0.056 | -9.7 | 0.453 | 2.218 | 0.059 | 020308.2 |
| 2-26.1 | $359.990-0.011$ | -66.5 | 0.117 | 0.498 | 0.036 | -61.3 | 0.160 | 0.359 | 0.038 | 020314.2 |
| 2-26.2 | $359.990-0.011$ | 151.5 | 0.176 | 0.457 | 0.037 | 151.6 | 0.186 | 0.421 | 0.047 | 020314.2 |
| 2-28 | $0.037-0.003$ | 35.1 | 0.206 | 0.598 | 0.054 | 36.9 | 0.218 | 0.354 | 0.049 | 010520.0 |
| 2-43 | $0.007-0.038$ | 110.9 | 0.705 | 1.099 | 0.051 | 111.0 | 0.531 | 0.845 | 0.052 | 020521.0 |
| 2-49 | $0.049-0.005$ | 63.6 | 0.174 | 0.264 | 0.042 | 64.0 | 0.177 | 0.184 | 0.043 | 020522.1 |
| 2-52 | $359.996 \quad 0.015$ | 113.7 | 0.131 | 0.392 | 0.036 | 112.5 | 0.098 | 0.259 | 0.036 | 010309.3 |
| 2-320 | $0.060-0.018$ | -4.7 | 0.274 | 0.766 | 0.041 | -4.6 | 0.230 | 0.815 | 0.039 | 020309.2 |
| 2-697 | $0.025 \quad 0.027$ | -53.2 | 0.286 | 0.727 | 0.071 | -51.7 | 0.246 | 0.373 | 0.071 | 010522.0 |
| 2-6329 | $0.015-0.046$ | 114.7 | 0.196 | 1.225 | 0.039 | 118.8 | 0.142 | 0.980 | 0.040 | 010222.3 |
| 3-5.1 $\dagger$ | $359.957-0.050$ | 36.5 | 0.120 | 0.340 | 0.037 | 35.2 | 0.192 | 0.463 | 0.037 | 010218.3 |
| 3-5.2 | $359.957-0.050$ | 51.5 | 0.146 | 0.770 | 0.035 | 54.2 | 0.166 | 0.474 | 0.037 | 010218.3 |
| 3-6 | $359.970-0.043$ | 22.4 | 0.213 | 0.575 | 0.041 | 23.5 | 0.323 | 1.129 | 0.041 | 010308.2 |
| 3-16 | $359.954-0.054$ | 32.0 | 0.184 | 0.147 | 0.047 | 33.7 | 0.235 | 0.630 | 0.052 | 030522.0 |
| $3-49.1 \dagger$ | $359.905-0.036$ | -140.9 | 0.231 | 0.556 | 0.047 | $-140.8$ | 0.247 | 0.787 | 0.051 | 020424.1 |
| 3-49.2 | $359.905-0.036$ | $-98.7$ | 0.178 | 0.225 | 0.047 | - | - | - | 0.045 | 020424.1 |
| $3-49.3 \dagger$ | $359.905-0.036$ | -46.9 | 0.192 | 0.677 | 0.044 | -50.6 | 0.174 | 1.072 | 0.045 | 020424.1 |
| 3-57 | $359.914-0.042$ | -21.6 | 0.218 | 0.236 | 0.053 | -23.6 | 0.280 | 0.667 | 0.058 | 030514.1 |
| $3-72 \dagger$ | 359.944-0.049 | -27.6 | 0.313 | 0.893 | 0.047 | -27.9 | 0.258 | 0.745 | 0.047 | 020424.1 |

Table 2. (Continued)

| 3 | $359.932-0.04$ | -115.5 | 0.14 | 0.507 | 0.046 | $-114.2$ | 0.154 | 0.418 | 0.044 | 030510.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-88.2 | $359.932-0.04$ | 8. | 0.2 | 0.439 | 0.046 | 8.5 | 0.188 | 0.583 | 0.044 | 030510.0 |
| $3-101$ | $359.965-0.04$ | 24. | 0.34 | 1.055 | 0.061 | 23 | 0.362 | 1.147 | 0.059 | 020524.1 |
| 3-1 | 35 | -141.3 | 0.160 | 0.3 | 0.061 | -1 | 0.324 | 0.561 | 0.069 | 030514.1 |
| 3-2 | 359.933 | - | 0. | 0.30 | 0.071 | -9 | 0.2 | 0.040 | 0.076 | 020519.0 |
| 3-2 | $359.910-0.04$ |  |  |  | 0.075 | -1 | 0.2 | 0.325 | 0.094 | 020520.1 |
| 22 | 35 |  |  | 0.3 | 0.0 | 134.2 | 0. | 0.0 | 0.069 | . 1 |
| 3-24 | $359.910-0$ |  | 0.3 | 1. | 0.0 |  | 0.3 | 0.843 | 0.064 | 020520.1 |
| 3-26 | 359.938 | - |  |  | 0.0 | $-72.3$ | 0.259 | 0.557 | 0.055 | 010522.1 |
| 3-35 | $359.986-0.0$ | 13 |  | 0.92 | 0. | 12 | 0.264 | 0.818 | 0.045 | 010223.3 |
| 3-779.1 | $359.906-0.0$ | 141.6 |  | 0. | 0. | - | 0. | 0.382 | 0.037 | 010309.2 |
| 3-779.2 † | $359.906-0$. |  | 0. | 0. | 0. | -48.2 | 0 | 0.538 | 0. | . 2 |
| 3-88 | 359.955 |  |  |  | 0. | 65 | 0. | 0.345 | 0.036 | 020305.2 |
| 3-2389 | $359.939-0$ | 53 |  | 0. | 0. | 5 |  | 0. | 0.052 | 20221.4 |
| 3-2 | $359.985-0.0$ | 5.8 | 0. | 0. | 0. | 5.5 | 0. | 0 | 0.039 | . 0 |
| 3 | 359.918 -0.0 | -308.0 |  | 0. | 0. | - | 0. | 0.351 | 0.068 | . 1 |
| 3-4 | 359.959 |  |  | 0. |  | 109.5 | 0.199 | 0.825 | 0.056 | 020423.1 |
| 3-76 | 359.9670 .002 |  |  |  | 0. | -7.5 | 0 | 1.416 | 0.042 | 010519.0 |
| 4 | 359.858-0.056 | -20.1 | 0. | 0. | 0.030 |  |  |  | 0.033 | . 2 |
| 4 | 35 |  |  | 0. | 0. | 6.2 |  | 0.377 | 0.033 | 020312.2 |
| 4-23 | 359.85 | 3 |  |  | 0. | 3.4 | 0.176 | 0.652 | 0.038 | 010223.3 |
| 4-2 | $359.860-0.067$ | 5 | 0. | 0. | 0.044 |  |  |  | 0.048 | . 1 |
| 4-33 | $359.899-0.068$ |  |  | 0.6 | 0. | -35 |  | 0.902 | 0.056 |  |
| 4-113 $\dagger$ | 359.855-0.078 |  |  |  |  | -2 | 0 | 0.710 |  | 010219.3 |
| 4-253 | $359.909-0.11$ | - | 0. | 0. | 0. | -4 | 0. | 0.235 | 0.046 | 010521.0 |
| 4-340 | $359.888-0.05$ | - | 0. |  | 0.044 | -5 | 0.228 | 1.072 | 0.049 | . 3 |
| 4-55 | $359.902-0.10$ | -85 |  | 0. | 0. | -85 | 0.2 | 0.955 | 0.036 | 10219.3 |
| 5-10 | $359.814-0.16$ | -31. | 0 | 0. | 0.049 | -30.3 | 0.18 | 0.832 | 0.047 | 20220.2 |
| 5-27 $\ddagger$ | $359.819-0.11$ | 101 | 0. | 0. | 0.054 | $-100$ | 0.249 | 0.728 | 0.053 | 020220.4 |
| 5-91 | $359.837-0.15$ | 91 | 0.2 | 0. | 0.038 | 91.0 | 0.155 | 0.475 | 0.036 | 0309.2 |
| 5-15 | $359.820-0.101$ | -2.3 | 0.15 | 0. | 0.031 | - |  | - | 0.029 | 20310.2 |
| 5-157.2 | $359.820-0.101$ | 6.9 | 0.10 | 0.29 | 0.031 | 6.6 | 0.097 | 0.277 | 0.029 | 020310.2 |
| 5-2856 | $359.777-0.119$ | 71 | 0.13 | 0.078 | 0.036 |  |  |  | 0.035 | 010404.2 |
| 6-20 | $359.970-0.11$ | $-7.5$ | 0.31 | 1.058 | 0.065 | -8.8 | 0.369 | 1.032 | 0.067 | 20519.1 |
| 6-22 | $0.001-0.124$ | 6.6 | 0.153 | 0.355 | 0.043 | 5.2 | 0.139 | 0.193 | 0.043 | 020424.1 |
| 6-25 | $0.013-0.117$ | -1.6 | 0.143 | 0.318 | 0.042 | -0.1 | 0.181 | 0.329 | 0.042 | 010223.2 |
| 6-36 | $359.998-0.117$ | 75.9 | 0.100 | 0.252 | 0.032 | 77.7 | 0.112 | 0.322 | 0.034 | 020221.3 |

Table 2. (Continued)

| 6 | 359.983-0 | 12.0 | 0.113 | 0.187 | 0.046 | 14.1 | 0.193 | 0.395 | 0.049 | 030525.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6-85 | $0.002-0.068$ | 88.0 | 0.166 | 0.549 | 0.052 | 88.1 | 0.199 | 0.217 | 0.053 | 010522.1 |
| 6-112 | $359.969-0$ |  |  |  | 0.053 | -8.7 | 0.179 | 1.000 | 0.056 | 030514.1 |
| 6-13 | $0.007-0.08$ | 5 | 0.1 | 0.613 | 0.042 | 5.7 | 0.193 | 0.526 | 0.040 | 010308.2 |
| 6-151 $\ddagger$ | 0.02 | $-17$. | 0.10 | 0.158 | 0.030 | $-23.7$ | 0.075 | 0.027 | 0.032 | 030517.1 |
| 6-24 | 359.946 | 134. | 0.20 | 0.366 | 0.03 | 134.3 | 0.158 | 0.354 | 0.041 | 020310.2 |
| 7-9 | $359.943-0.15$ | - | 0.1 | 0.278 | 0.035 | -26.4 | 0.215 | 0.447 | 0.041 | 020308.2 |
| 7-13 | $359.890-0.15$ | 5.7 | 0.18 | 0.464 | 0.038 | 4.8 | 0.235 | 1.145 | 0.037 | 010223.3 |
| 7-20 | $359.905-0.15$ | $-55.8$ | 0.5 | 4.290 | 0.044 | $-55.5$ | 0.539 | 5.543 | 0.039 | 010219.2 |
| 7-52 | $359.940-0.1$ | - | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.2 | $-32.2$ | 0.130 | 0.366 | 0.049 | 030525.1 |
| 8-2 $\ddagger$ | $359.893-0.216$ | - | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.039 | -19.4 | 0.169 | 0.652 | 0.039 | 020525.0 |
| 8-8 | $359.868-0.23$ | 30.2 | 0.16 | 0.353 | 0.049 | 31.1 | 0.198 | 0.510 | 0.050 | 020425.1 |
| 8-23 | $359.836-0.17$ | -8 | 0.09 | 0.2 | 0.029 | -82.3 | 0.082 | 0.241 | 0.028 | 020310.2 |
| 8-31 | $359.817-0$. | 10 | 0. | 0. | 0. | 107.3 | 0.113 | 0.163 | 0.047 | 010404.2 |
| 8-53 | $359.851-0.221$ | -2 | 0. | 0.210 | 0.049 | $-26.7$ | 0.126 | 0.054 | 0.047 | 010527.1 |
| 9-8. | $0.041-0.06$ | -4.4 | 0.28 | 1.168 | 0.038 | -4.4 | 0.228 | 0.965 | 0.041 | 010223.4 |
| 9-8.2 | $0.041-0.06$ | 7 | 0. | 0. | 0. | 72.7 | 0 | 0.368 | 0.041 | . 4 |
| 9-9 | $0.071-0.053$ | 2 | 0. | 0. | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0. | 0.753 | 0.037 | . 3 |
| 9-49 | 0.053 | - | 0. | 0. | 0.037 | $-14.0$ | 0.108 | 0.548 | 0.041 | 20223.3 |
| 9-54 | $0.063-0.05$ | 26.0 | 0.26 | 0.538 | 0.072 | 24.6 | 0.254 | 0.470 | 0.075 | 020519.0 |
| 9-67 | $0.079-0.10$ | -28 | 0.1 | 0.390 | 0.040 | $-28.3$ | 0.121 | 0.450 | 0.044 | 020423.2 |
| 9 | $0.076-0.10$ | 55 | 0.08 | 0.447 | 0.034 | 57.7 | 0.123 | 0.346 | 0.033 | 020526.1 |
| 9-14 | $0.047-0.11$ | 59 | 0. | 0.281 | 0.044 | 60.1 | 0.198 | 0.124 | 0.047 | 020314.3 |
| 9-547.1 $\dagger$ | $0.040-0.05$ | -4 | 0.24 | 0.528 | 0.049 | -3.8 | 0.296 | 0.804 | 0.052 | 020524.0 |
| 9-547.2 | $0.040-0.05$ | 73. | 0.21 | 0.332 | 0.049 | 72.0 | 0.231 | 0.390 | 0.052 | 020524.0 |
| 10-5 | $0.112-0.08$ | 69 | 0.17 | 0.432 | 0.050 | 70.3 | 0.162 | 0.167 | 0.050 | 010220.3 |
| 10-6 | 0.108-0.016 | 39.5 | 0.517 | 2.081 | 0.052 | 39.6 | 0.361 | 1.445 | 0.050 | 010222.2 |
| 10-13 | $0.103-0.05$ | 55. | 0.17 | 0.268 | 0.055 | 54.2 | 0.231 | 0.964 | 0.057 | 020530.0 |
| 10-26 | $0.172-0.04$ | $-66$. | 0.14 | 0.354 | 0.054 | -65.0 | 0.210 | 0.351 | 0.052 | 20528.1 |
| 10-27 | $0.154-0.051$ | -85.8 | 0.113 | 0.572 | 0.038 | -86.3 | 0.107 | 0.428 | 0.039 | 020316.2 |
| 10-40 | $0.131-0.05$ | -29.0 | 0.286 | 0.745 | 0.057 | $-29.9$ | 0.279 | 0.550 | 0.055 | 020424.2 |
| 10-45 | $0.120-0.00$ | 106. | 0.22 | 0.582 | 0.040 | 105.4 | 0.180 | 0.560 | 0.041 | 020316.2 |
| 10-84 | 0.115-0.043 | $-28.1$ | 0.233 | 0.514 | 0.043 | $-27.6$ | 0.345 | 0.587 | 0.045 | 010221.2 |
| 10-392 | $0.129-0.020$ | 93.8 | 0.185 | 0.512 | 0.039 | 94.8 | 0.167 | 0.246 | 0.039 | 010308.2 |
| 11-15 | $0.034-0.146$ | 98.4 | 0.185 | 0.472 | 0.044 | 99.4 | 0.170 | 0.387 | 0.046 | 020526.0 |
| 11-23 | $0.081-0.187$ | 1.1 | 0.181 | 0.425 | 0.041 | 2.2 | 0.147 | 0.459 | 0.045 | 020423.2 |
| 11-241 | 0.048-0.159 | 55.9 | 0.155 | 0.514 | 0.047 | 54.6 | 0.154 | 0.135 | 0.047 | 020527.0 |

Table 2. (Continued)

| $11-4503$ | $0.009-0.174$ | 8.0 | 0.113 | 0.117 | 0.040 | 7.8 | 0.203 | 0.293 | 0.041 | 010520.0 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $12-4$ | $0.060-0.132$ | -11.5 | 0.161 | 0.809 | 0.053 | -16.4 | 0.128 | 0.437 | 0.056 | 020522.1 |  |
| $12-6 \ddagger$ | $0.090-0.174$ | 6.1 | 0.100 | 0.280 | 0.036 | 4.0 | 0.156 | 0.556 | 0.041 | $030529.0 \sharp$ |  |
| $12-13$ | $0.108-0.097$ | -19.4 | 0.325 | 1.602 | 0.065 | -19.7 | 0.345 | 1.506 | 0.071 | 010522.0 |  |
| $12-19$ | $0.132-0.137$ | 41.8 | 0.307 | 0.759 | 0.075 | 41.7 | 0.284 | 0.389 | 0.073 | 020519.0 |  |
| $12-21.1$ | $0.091-0.123$ | 32.5 | 0.102 | 0.269 | 0.040 | 33.9 | 0.116 | 0.209 | 0.040 | 010223.4 |  |
| $12-21.2 \dagger$ | $0.091-0.123$ | 70.2 | 0.147 | 0.503 | 0.040 | 72.7 | 0.161 | 0.036 | 0.040 | 010223.4 |  |
| $12-51$ | $0.138-0.137$ | 44.5 | 0.333 | 0.976 | 0.046 | 44.2 | 0.545 | 1.610 | 0.048 | 010221.2 |  |
| $12-65$ | $0.088-0.119$ | 74.5 | 0.167 | 0.434 | 0.039 | - | - | - | 0.043 | 020223.3 |  |
| $12-79$ | $0.075-0.123$ | - | - | - | 0.404 | 35.9 | 0.167 | 0.359 | 0.062 | 020528.1 |  |
| $12-145$ | $0.068-0.123$ | 33.3 | 0.231 | 1.122 | 0.049 | 35.7 | 0.230 | 0.588 | 0.047 | 020223.3 |  |
| $12-228$ | $0.129-0.145$ | -74.2 | 0.240 | 0.797 | 0.039 | -74.3 | 0.191 | 0.355 | 0.041 | 010404.2 |  |
| $12-352$ | $0.062-0.136$ | -10.2 | 0.260 | 0.722 | 0.062 | -16.0 | 0.176 | 0.493 | 0.057 | 020523.0 |  |
| $12-1236$ | $0.121-0.112$ | 41.4 | 0.212 | 0.190 | 0.054 | 42.1 | 0.162 | 0.275 | 0.055 | 020530.1 |  |
| $13-16$ | $0.179-0.108$ | 85.7 | 0.382 | 1.198 | 0.063 | 80.7 | 0.237 | 0.892 | 0.058 | 010526.0 |  |
| $13-18$ | $0.158-0.063$ | 16.3 | 0.340 | 0.758 | 0.052 | 16.2 | 0.305 | 0.930 | 0.050 | 010526.0 |  |
| $13-55$ | $0.167-0.060$ | 37.5 | 0.308 | 0.742 | 0.039 | 37.3 | 0.211 | 0.640 | 0.042 | 010311.2 |  |
| $13-200$ | $0.178-0.053$ | 23.4 | 0.250 | 0.729 | 0.045 | 24.0 | 0.195 | 0.925 | 0.043 | 010521.0 |  |
| $14-2$ | $359.944-0.233$ | -58.7 | 0.344 | 1.258 | 0.065 | -58.6 | 0.285 | 0.997 | 0.061 | 010520.1 |  |
| $14-6$ | $359.972-0.193$ | -34.4 | 0.181 | 0.837 | 0.036 | -30.5 | 0.196 | 0.839 | 0.036 | 010219.3 |  |
| $16-11$ | $359.949-0.237$ | -59.0 | 0.245 | 0.601 | 0.059 | -61.2 | 0.250 | 0.606 | 0.062 | 010526.1 |  |
| $16-77-47$ | $359-286$ | 359.885 | 0.016 | -31.6 | 0.185 | 0.734 | 0.041 | -31.6 | 0.169 | 0.241 | 0.040 | 0020424.19

Table 2. (Continued)

| 17-1 | 359.963 | 0.007 | -9.8 | 0.21 | 0.652 | 0.049 | -6.8 | 47 | . 088 | 0.051 | . 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 | 359.950 | 0.078 | -48.2 | 0.101 | 0.264 | 0.034 |  |  |  | 0.037 | 020314.2 |
| 17 | 359.93 | 0.058 | -36.6 | 0.18 | 0.281 | 0.044 | -3 | 0.192 | 0.68 | 0.048 | 020314.3 |
| 17 | 359 | 0.03 | -41 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.049 | - | 0. | 0. | 0.048 |  |
| 17-34 | 01 | 0.04 | 77. | 0.2 | 0.53 | 0.044 |  |  |  | 0.047 | 030523.1 |
| 17 | 00 | 0.04 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | -0.2 | 0.104 | 0. | 0.041 | 030522.0 |
| 17 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 7 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 77 | 0.225 | 0.889 | 0.072 | 020519.0 |
| 17 | 359.948 | 0.06 | -9.5 | 0.4 | 1.217 | 0.038 | -10.0 | 0.393 | 1.210 | 0.037 | 010222.3 |
| 1 | 35 | . 03 | - | 0. | 0.8 | 0. | -48.8 | 0.327 | 0.916 | 0.038 | 010221.3 |
| 18 | 0.02 | 0.10 | - | 0. | 0.3 | 0. | - | 0. | 0. | 0.050 | 010220.3 |
| 18 | 0. | 0. | 121 | 0. | 0. | 0. | 12 |  |  | 0.042 | . 3 |
| 18-173 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 72 | 0.13 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 73.5 | 0.275 | 0.710 | 0.056 | 020224.2 |
| 18-19 | 0.07 | 0.08 | - | 0. | 0. | 0. | - | 0 | 0.365 | 0.054 | 030510.1 |
| 18 | 0. | 0. | 10 | 0. | 1. | 0. | 10 | 0 | 1.162 | 0.054 | . 1 |
| 19 | 35 | 0.000 | - | 0. | 0. | 0. | - | 0. | 1.849 | 0.040 | . 2 |
| 19-7.1 | 359.84 | 0.0 | 10 | 0. | 0.2 | 0.0 | -103 |  | 0.436 | 0.036 | 010219.3 |
| 19 | 35 | . 0 | - | 0. | 0. | 0. | -29.6 | 0.134 | 0.506 | 0.036 | . 3 |
| 19 | 35 | 0.010 | - | 0. | 0. | 0. | -37.7 |  | 0. | 0.076 |  |
| 19 | 35 | 0. | - | 0. | 0. | 0. | - |  |  | 0.063 | 0.1 |
| 19-47 | 359.7 | 0.02 | $-75$ | 0.2 | 0.523 | 0.056 | -74.4 | 0.209 | 0.160 | 0.052 | 010526.1 |
| 19 | 35 | -0.024 | -5 | 0 | 0. | 0.033 |  |  |  | 0.048 | . 3 |
| 19 | 359.86 | 0.01 | -5 | 0. |  |  | -54.1 | 0.343 | 0.554 | 0.069 | . 0 |
| 19 | 35 | 0.018 | - | 0. | 0. | 0. | - | 0.258 | 0.860 | 0.069 | 10522.0 |
| 19-780 | 359.81 | 0.04 | - | 0.1 | 0.600 | 0.039 | -4 | 0.167 | 0.271 | 0.040 | 020309.3 |
| 20 | 359.7 | 0.0 | -31 | 0. | 0.5 |  | -36.4 |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | 359.81 | -0.07 | $-37$ | 0. |  | 0. | -3 | 0. | 0.491 | 0.034 | 0.2 |
| 20 | 359.77 | -0.078 | -7 | 0. | 1.0 | 0. | - | 0.279 | 0.978 | 0.057 | 020522.0 |
| 20-11 | 359.75 | 0.07 | -2 | 0.22 | 0.925 | 0.0 | -1 | 0.240 | 0.495 | 0.052 | 020528.0 |
| 20 | 359.7 | -0.081 | -96. | 0.3 | 1.5 | 0.0 | -94. | 0.340 | 1.759 | 0.047 | 10309.3 |
| 20-2631 $\ddagger$ | 359.79 | -0.090 | -1. | 0.12 | 0.36 | 0.039 | -6.5 | 0.164 | 0.578 | 0.040 | 20525.0 |
| 21-6 | 359.71 | -0.01 | 91. | 0.28 | 0.394 | 0.054 | 90.9 | 0.234 | 0.572 | 0.055 | 020530.0 |
| 21-12 | 359.7 | 0.00 | -20.6 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.048 | -18.8 | 0.19 | 0.4 | 0.044 | 010527.1 |
| 21-17 | 359.68 | -0.004 | 317.2 | 0.196 | 0.440 | 0.057 | 317.8 | 0.292 | 0.221 | 0.064 | 020522.0 |
| 21-27 | 359.740 | 0.002 | -68.3 | 0.089 | 0.437 | 0.030 | -69.0 | 0.075 | 0.107 | 0.030 | 020310.2 |
| 21-39 | 359.742 | 0.006 | -65. | 0.437 | 2.189 | 0.036 | -65.2 | 0.272 | 1.528 | 0.032 | 010220.2 |
| 22-4 | 359.838 | 0.052 | -68.7 | 0.349 | 0.603 | 0.040 | -68.6 | 0.236 | 0.489 | 0.039 | 010218.2 |
| 22-7 | 359.790 | 0.034 | -66.7 | 0.141 | 0.470 | 0.041 | -69.3 | 0.209 | 0.586 | 0.038 | 010223.3 |

Table 2. (Continued)

| $22-9$ | 359.823 | 0.056 | 84.2 | 0.126 | 0.432 | 0.035 | 86.0 | 0.175 | 0.586 | 0.036 | 020310.2 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $22-11$ | 359.801 | 0.017 | -195.8 | 0.275 | 0.820 | 0.057 | -198.8 | 0.250 | 0.340 | 0.067 | 010522.0 |
| $22-21.1$ | 359.830 | 0.047 | 12.0 | 0.166 | 0.244 | 0.031 | 12.1 | 0.098 | 0.342 | 0.032 | 020312.2 |
| $22-21.2$ | 359.830 | 0.047 | 156.9 | 0.141 | 0.369 | 0.033 | 155.5 | 0.192 | 0.330 | 0.039 | 020312.2 |
| $22-21.3$ | 359.830 | 0.047 | 165.1 | 0.124 | 0.352 | 0.033 | 166.0 | 0.180 | 0.354 | 0.039 | 020312.2 |
| $22-22$ | 359.796 | 0.021 | -12.0 | 0.177 | 0.273 | 0.049 | -10.8 | 0.178 | 0.342 | 0.047 | 010521.0 |
| $22-27$ | 359.773 | 0.017 | 43.1 | 0.339 | 0.931 | 0.058 | 43.1 | 0.233 | 0.374 | 0.060 | 030511.1 |
| $22-35$ | 359.786 | 0.007 | -78.2 | 0.167 | 0.459 | 0.044 | -76.4 | 0.209 | 0.558 | 0.047 | 020312.3 |
| $22-76$ | 359.837 | 0.030 | -75.4 | 0.178 | 0.407 | 0.050 | -79.2 | 0.113 | 0.095 | 0.042 | 010521.1 |
| $22-166$ | 359.771 | $0.044-139.0$ | 0.183 | 0.315 | 0.038 | -139.2 | 0.313 | 0.429 | 0.045 | 020309.3 |  |
| $22-274 \dagger$ | 359.778 | 0.010 | -81.2 | 0.180 | 0.255 | 0.052 | - | - | - | 0.050 | 010309.3 |
| $23-5$ | 359.865 | 0.126 | -5.4 | 0.174 | 0.799 | 0.035 | 1.2 | 0.114 | 0.458 | 0.036 | 010218.3 |
| $23-7$ | 359.862 | $0.052-140.1$ | 0.218 | 0.499 | 0.044 | -142.7 | 0.151 | 0.328 | 0.051 | 030513.1 |  |
| $23-8$ | 359.890 | $0.114-109.3$ | 0.125 | 0.183 | 0.034 | -108.7 | 0.132 | 0.234 | 0.045 | 010404.1 |  |
| $23-10.1$ | 359.864 | $0.055-299.5$ | 0.125 | 0.207 | 0.033 | -299.3 | 0.163 | 0.350 | 0.039 | 020221.3 |  |
| $23-10.2 \dagger$ | 359.864 | $0.055-141.1$ | 0.112 | 0.215 | 0.033 | -138.5 | 0.105 | 0.022 | 0.040 | 020221.3 |  |
| $23-22$ | 359.869 | 0.099 | -33.8 | 0.369 | 0.716 | 0.066 | -33.4 | 0.336 | 0.908 | 0.081 | 020311.3 |
| $23-37$ | 359.859 | $0.068-130.2$ | 0.160 | 0.222 | 0.032 | -130.8 | 0.178 | 0.296 | 0.040 | 020311.2 |  |
| $23-101$ | 359.902 | $0.061-132.1$ | 0.287 | 0.565 | 0.037 | -131.9 | 0.283 | 0.644 | 0.044 | 020308.2 |  |
| $23-255$ | 359.877 | 0.081 | 24.8 | 0.154 | 0.133 | 0.049 | 24.9 | 0.177 | 0.477 | 0.053 | 030528.0 |
| $23-1198$ | 359.917 | 0.083 | 65.8 | 0.356 | 1.302 | 0.066 | 62.8 | 0.294 | 1.509 | 0.067 | 030525.0 |
| $24-108$ | 359.925 | 0.124 | -2.6 | 0.116 | 0.154 | 0.037 | - | - | - | 0.039 | 030522.0 |
| $25-9$ | 0.024 | 0.143 | -0.4 | 0.187 | 0.394 | 0.046 | -0.9 | 0.157 | 0.426 | 0.050 | 030514.0 |
| $25-23$ | 359.993 | 0.175 | -2.9 | 0.178 | 0.432 | 0.043 | -0.2 | 0.121 | 0.297 | 0.043 | 030510.1 |
| $25-234$ | 0.018 | 0.156 | 105.3 | 0.244 | 0.821 | 0.080 | 104.5 | 0.337 | 0.806 | 0.065 | 030526.0 |

$\dagger$ a contamination from the other source; see the assignment in table 5.
$\ddagger$ Off-position detection at the other velocity.
§ maybe multiple detections.
$\sharp$ reobserved on the day different from that shown in figure 2 f .

Table 3. Negative results

| GMCS Name | e $l \quad b \operatorname{RMS}(v=1) \operatorname{RMS}(v=2)$ |  |  | ObsDate1 | ObsDate2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\left({ }^{\circ}\right) \quad\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | (K) |  | yymmdd.d) | (yymmdd.d) |
| 1-19 | 0.1220 .049 | 0.041 | 0.040 | 020522.1 | 010525.1 |
| 1-26 | $0.095 \quad 0.075$ | 0.066 | 0.062 | 020224.3 | 010526.0 |
| 1-37 | $0.074 \quad 0.015$ | 0.047 | 0.047 | 020524.0 | 010522.0 |
| 1-41 | $0.086 \quad 0.056$ | 0.048 | 0.051 | 010526.0 | 020520.0 |
| 1-46 | $0.060 \quad 0.017$ | 0.046 | 0.046 | 010221.2 | 020424.2 |
| 1-175 | $0.066 \quad 0.007$ | 0.056 | 0.054 | 010525.1 | 020521.1 |
| 1-182 | 0.0720 .019 | 0.051 | 0.047 | 030514.0 | - |
| 2-13 | $0.047 \quad 0.014$ | 0.044 | 0.039 | 030519.1 | - |
| 2-27 | 0.003-0.019 | 0.100 | 0.102 | 020219.3 | - |
| 2-30 | $359.993-0.037$ | 0.046 | 0.042 | 020526.0 | - |
| 2-46 | $359.986-0.019$ | 0.032 | 0.029 | 030517.1 | - |
| 2-58 | $359.976-0.009$ | 0.033 | 0.033 | 020526.1 | - |
| 2-79 | $0.016-0.035$ | 0.042 | 0.041 | 030510.1 | - |
| 2-145 | 359.9780 .015 | 0.053 | 0.052 | 030522.1 | - |
| 2-147 | 0.056-0.024 | 0.040 | 0.037 | 030522.0 | - |
| 2-504 | $0.030-0.026$ | 0.069 | 0.065 | 020519.1 | - |
| 3-3\# | $359.970-0.049$ | 0.040 | 0.035 | 010404.1 | - |
| 3-50 | $359.950-0.015$ | 0.058 | 0.058 | 020519.1 | - |
| 3-61 | $359.937-0.025$ | 0.049 | 0.048 | 020529.0 | - |
| 3-116 | $359.921-0.030$ | 0.061 | 0.119 | 030525.0 | - |
| 3-131 | $359.947-0.015$ | 0.053 | 0.049 | 030525.1 | - |
| 3-270 | $359.935-0.040$ | 0.060 | 0.116 | 030526.0 | - |
| 3-271 | $359.929-0.014$ | 0.032 | 0.032 | 020221.3 | - |
| 3-300 | $359.927-0.018$ | 0.047 | 0.041 | 030513.1 | - |
| 4-9 | $359.834-0.091$ | 0.047 | 0.044 | 020312.3 | - |
| 4-17 | $359.911-0.103$ | 0.056 | 0.050 | 030511.0 | - |
| 4-22 | $359.928-0.103$ | 0.042 | 0.055 | 040225.2 | - |
| 4-26 | $359.872-0.073$ | 0.056 | 0.048 | 020423.1 | - |
| 4-85 | $359.861-0.091$ | 0.058 | 0.053 | 030511.1 | - |
| 5-35 | $359.835-0.115$ | 0.070 | 0.079 | 030527.0 | - |
| 5-59 | $359.779-0.118$ | 0.048 | 0.050 | 030524.0 | - |
| 5-158 | 359.818-0.090 | 0.085 | 0.083 | 020519.1 | - |
| 5-164 | $359.773-0.115$ | 0.046 | 0.047 | 020425.1 | 010311.2 |
| 6-17 | 359.8840 .043 | 0.035 | 0.034 | 020305.2 | - |

Table 3. (Continued)

| $6-21$ | $0.017-0.137$ | 0.046 | 0.043 | 020221.4 | - |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $6-23$ | $359.980-0.087$ | 0.033 | 0.034 | 020311.2 | - |
| $6-28$ | $359.960-0.104$ | 0.036 | 0.035 | 020220.3 | - |
| $6-31$ | $359.974-0.147$ | 0.052 | 0.173 | 030524.0 | - |
| $6-32$ | $359.957-0.123$ | 0.054 | 0.053 | 020221.2 | - |
| $6-57$ | $359.979-0.157$ | 0.036 | 0.036 | 010309.2 | 020305.2 |
| $6-81$ | $359.949-0.125$ | 0.046 | 0.041 | 030513.1 | - |
| $6-83$ | $0.017-0.102$ | 0.039 | 0.036 | 020311.3 | - |
| $6-156$ | $0.039-0.129$ | 0.055 | 0.047 | 020423.1 | - |
| $7-8$ | $359.940-0.139$ | 0.061 | 0.056 | 030514.1 | - |
| $7-11$ | $359.907-0.179$ | 0.050 | 0.046 | 020312.3 | - |
| $7-17$ | $359.934-0.153$ | 0.043 | 0.041 | 020224.3 | - |
| $7-19$ | $359.934-0.170$ | 0.053 | 0.048 | 020423.1 | 020423.1 |
| $7-31$ | $359.894-0.170$ | 0.050 | 0.049 | 020219.3 | - |
| $7-86$ | $359.935-0.119$ | 0.033 | 0.029 | 020312.2 | 020312.0 |
| $7-94$ | $359.941-0.167$ | 0.049 | 0.046 | 030523.0 | - |
| $7-127$ | $359.921-0.200$ | 0.061 | 0.064 | 020520.1 | - |
| $9-104$ | $0.089-0.053$ | 0.040 | 0.039 | 020525.1 | - |
| $9-110$ | $0.028-0.096$ | 0.036 | 0.035 | 020308.2 | - |
| $7-277$ | $359.888-0.147$ | 0.038 | 0.043 | 020309.3 | - |
| $7-350$ | $359.912-0.122$ | 0.076 | 0.080 | 020529.0 | - |
| $7-36$ | 0.0 .048 | $359.915-0.169$ | 0.031 | 0.029 | 020312.2 |

Table 3. (Continued)

| 9-124 | $0.090-0.063$ | 0.088 | 0.084 | 020521.1 | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9-229 | $0.040-0.090$ | 0.047 | 0.050 | 020529.0 | - |
| 10-1 | 0.120-0.048 | 0.049 | 0.048 | 030514.0 | - |
| 10-4 | $0.163-0.033$ | 0.051 | 0.048 | 020530.1 | - |
| 10-55 | $0.121-0.082$ | 0.039 | 0.037 | 010221.3 | 020305.3 |
| 10-60 | 0.1210 .004 | 0.044 | 0.042 | 030510.1 | - |
| 10-66 | 0.132-0.002 | 0.051 | 0.046 | 020530.1 | 010526.0 |
| 10-100 | 0.127-0.004 | 0.050 | 0.050 | 020530.1 | - |
| 10-138 | $0.143-0.037$ | 0.168 | 0.154 | 020520.0 | - |
| 10-313 | $0.134-0.023$ | 0.066 | 0.064 | 020224.3 | - |
| 11-6 | $0.025-0.186$ | 0.044 | 0.044 | 010223.3 | 020528.1 |
| 11-14 | $359.999-0.180$ | 0.038 | 0.034 | 030529.0 | 020529.1 |
| 11-27 | $0.013-0.213$ | 0.050 | 0.053 | 020528.1 | - |
| 11-49 | $0.019-0.157$ | 0.053 | 0.243 | 030524.0 | - |
| 11-307 | 0.035-0.181 | 0.042 | 0.046 | 040225.2 | - |
| 11-2449 | $0.060-0.196$ | 0.033 | 0.032 | 020526.1 | - |
| 12-2 | 0.084-0.105 | 0.052 | 0.052 | 020521.0 | - |
| 12-11 | $0.137-0.159$ | 0.048 | 0.047 | 010520.0 | 020524.0 |
| 12-42 | 0.085-0.122 | 0.054 | 0.341 | 030525.1 | - |
| 12-46 | 0.099-0.098 | 0.067 | 0.067 | 020519.1 | - |
| 12-47 | $0.099-0.107$ | 0.047 | 0.045 | 030523.1 | - |
| 12-71 | $0.097-0.103$ | 0.044 | 0.040 | 030519.1 | - |
| 12-129 | $0.126-0.136$ | 0.046 | 0.044 | 020316.2 | 010309.3 |
| 12-136 | 0.104-0.181 | 0.032 | 0.029 | 030517.1 | - |
| 12-140 | $0.058-0.125$ | 0.050 | 0.048 | 020524.0 | - |
| 13-4 | $0.159-0.066$ | 0.054 | 0.445 | 030526.1 | - |
| 13-6 | $0.179-0.065$ | 0.069 | 0.066 | 030528.1 | - |
| 13-13 | $0.190-0.057$ | 0.060 | 0.066 | 020523.0 | 010525.1 |
| 13-20 | $0.161-0.074$ | 0.055 | 0.049 | 030528.0 | - |
| 13-25 | $0.165-0.096$ | 0.049 | 0.054 | 010222.2 | 020224.2 |
| 13-30 | 0.159-0.147 | 0.043 | 0.041 | 030519.1 | - |
| 13-33 | $0.180-0.098$ | 0.040 | 0.039 | 010308.2 | - |
| 13-44 | 0.198-0.093 | 0.067 | 0.063 | 020224.3 | - |
| 13-45 | $0.138-0.077$ | 0.061 | 0.388 | 030526.0 | - |
| 13-49 | $0.160-0.123$ | 0.082 | 0.083 | 020521.1 | - |
| 13-64 | $0.180-0.078$ | 0.039 | 0.038 | 020316.3 | - |
| 13-73 | $0.159-0.137$ | 0.055 | 0.052 | 020424.2 | - |

Table 3. (Continued)

| $13-95$ | $0.143-0.104$ | 0.046 | 0.040 | 030527.0 | - |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $13-117$ | $0.167-0.105$ | 0.161 | 0.166 | 020520.0 | - |
| $13-230$ | $0.155-0.070$ | 0.034 | 0.035 | 020309.2 | - |
| $14-8$ | $359.982-0.201$ | 0.054 | 0.051 | 020528.1 | 030527.0 |
| $14-12$ | $359.947-0.204$ | 0.075 | 0.078 | 030527.0 | - |
| $14-15$ | $359.933-0.238$ | 0.080 | 0.085 | 020519.1 | - |
| $14-16$ | $359.959-0.205$ | 0.062 | 0.060 | 020224.4 | - |
| $14-17$ | $359.962-0.193$ | 0.048 | 0.240 | 030525.1 | - |
| $14-24$ | $359.956-0.194$ | 0.042 | 0.039 | 020525.0 | 010521.0 |
| $14-27$ | $359.954-0.246$ | 0.052 | 0.046 | 020423.1 | - |
| $14-53$ | $359.930-0.199$ | 0.058 | 0.359 | 030524.1 | 010522.0 |
| $14-105$ | $359.987-0.201$ | 0.044 | 0.042 | 020529.1 | - |
| $14-150$ | $359.991-0.241$ | 0.044 | 0.040 | 020224.3 | - |
| $14-463$ | $359.945-0.267$ | 0.059 | 0.065 | 020523.0 | - |
| $14-6233$ | $359.988-0.179$ | 0.052 | 0.231 | 030525.1 | - |
| $15-5$ | $359.864-0.247$ | 0.055 | 0.054 | 020530.0 | - |
| $15-6$ | $359.936-0.257$ | 0.053 | 0.052 | 020528.0 | - |
| $15-10$ | $359.945-0.275$ | 0.044 | 0.044 | 020529.1 | - |
| $17-16$ | 359.973 | 0.040 | 0.042 | 0.042 | 020223.3 |
| $17-57$ | 359.967 | 0.040 | 0.040 | 0.043 | 030510.1 |
| $16-11$ | 359.985 | 0.023 | 0.039 | 0.038 | 010404.2 |
| $15-26$ | $359.918-0.232$ | 0.052 | 0.052 | 020522.0 | - |
| $16-187$ | $359.853-0.255$ | 0.058 | 0.380 | 030526.1 | - |
| $15-36$ | $359.882-0.250$ | 0.050 | 0.049 | 010311.2 | 020521.1 |
| $15-47$ | $359.904-0.246$ | 0.051 | 0.052 | 020530.0 | - |
| $15-81$ | $359.911-0.271$ | 0.049 | 0.299 | 030524.0 | - |
| $16-32$ | 359.902 | 0.020 | 0.054 | 0.050 | 020528.1 |

Table 3. (Continued)

| 17-70 | 359.982 | 0.046 | 0.038 | 0.040 | 020223.3 | 010223.4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17-119 | 359.976 | 0.063 | 0.062 | 0.064 | 020519.1 | - |
| 18-6 | 0.062 | 0.117 | 0.037 | 0.036 | 020316.2 | - |
| 18-12 | 0.059 | 0.082 | 0.036 | 0.037 | 020316.3 | - |
| 18-22 | 0.018 | 0.123 | 0.052 | 0.139 | 030526.1 | - |
| 19-3 | 359.823 | $-0.007$ | 0.059 | 0.057 | 30524.1 | - |
| 19-45 | 359.872 | -0.041 | 0.046 | 0.051 | 010521.0 | 020221.2 |
| 19-54 | 359.863 | -0.008 | 0.042 | 0.041 | 030510.0 | - |
| 19-57 | 359.831 | 0.001 | 0.050 | 0.053 | 010522.1 | 020524.1 |
| 19-58 | 359.836 | $-0.004$ | 0.046 | 0.043 | 020526.0 | - |
| 19-64 | 359.870 | $-0.045$ | 0.050 | 0.045 | 030523.0 | - |
| 19-82 | 359.862 | $-0.035$ | 0.035 | 0.036 | 020310.2 | - |
| 19-128 | 359.866 | $-0.021$ | 0.036 | 0.039 | 020305.3 | - |
| 19-136 | 359.838 | $-0.052$ | 0.054 | 0.049 | 030511.0 | - |
| 19-613 | 359.830 | $-0.070$ | 0.050 | 0.048 | 020219.3 | 010519.0 |
| 19-660 | 359.822 | -0.042 | 0.049 | 0.051 | 020530.0 | - |
| 19-3321 | 359.835 | 0.007 | 0.055 | 0.048 | 010521.0 | 020519.0 |
| 20-22 | 359.733 | $-0.040$ | 0.046 | 0.046 | 020425.1 | - |
| 20-25 | 359.763 | $-0.032$ | 0.047 | 0.046 | 010220.3 | 020521.1 |
| 20-34 | 359.754 | $-0.037$ | 0.054 | 0.053 | 020530.0 | - |
| 20-38 | 359.763 | $-0.042$ | 0.056 | 0.056 | 020224.4 | - |
| 20-43 | 359.773 | -0.049 | 0.052 | 0.055 | 020522.0 | - |
| 20-46 | 359.746 | $-0.066$ | 0.041 | 0.046 | 020220.2 | 010526.1 |
| 20-60 | 359.776 | $-0.054$ | 0.033 | 0.033 | 020309.2 | - |
| 20-64 | 359.742 | $-0.031$ | 0.050 | 0.051 | 020220.4 | - |
| 20-70 | 359.749 | $-0.055$ | 0.058 | 0.108 | 030526.1 | - |
| 20-99 | 359.739 | $-0.053$ | 0.050 | 0.047 | 020530.0 | 010520.1 |
| 20-133 | 359.762 | $-0.021$ | 0.038 | 0.039 | 020525.0 | - |
| 20-522 | 359.790 | $-0.059$ | 0.070 | 0.072 | 020521.1 | - |
| 21-38 | 359.686 | 0.012 | 0.044 | 0.044 | 020220.2 | - |
| 21-72 | 359.722 | 0.002 | 0.060 | 0.061 | 030526.1 | - |
| 21-185 | 359.745 | 0.038 | 0.032 | 0.032 | 020309.2 | - |
| 22-1 | 359.782 | 0.014 | 0.049 | 0.048 | 020219.3 | 010519.0 |
| 22-14 | 359.794 | 0.057 | 0.074 | 0.075 | 020529.0 | - |
| 22-16 | 359.789 | 0.014 | 0.031 | 0.029 | 020312.2 | - |
| 22-30 | 359.802 | 0.052 | 0.055 | 0.047 | 030511.0 | - |
| 22-31 | 359.793 | 0.012 | 0.049 | 0.044 | 030523.0 | - |

Table 3. (Continued)

| $22-60$ | 359.808 | 0.086 | 0.058 | 0.051 | 010522.1 | 020519.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $22-85$ | 359.837 | 0.025 | 0.063 | 0.056 | 030514.1 | - |
| $22-95$ | 359.840 | 0.049 | 0.051 | 0.050 | 020221.2 | - |
| $22-100$ | 359.750 | 0.050 | 0.053 | 0.050 | 010527.0 | 020522.0 |
| $22-136$ | 359.781 | 0.042 | 0.054 | 0.056 | 010527.0 | 020224.4 |
| $23-15$ | 359.843 | 0.080 | 0.047 | 0.045 | 030522.0 | - |
| $23-18$ | 359.888 | 0.084 | 0.036 | 0.033 | 020311.3 | - |
| $23-28$ | 359.868 | 0.088 | 0.050 | 0.051 | 010522.1 | 020519.1 |
| $23-30$ | 359.865 | 0.069 | 0.058 | 0.059 | 010519.1 | 020220.3 |
| $23-32$ | 359.864 | 0.045 | 0.036 | 0.034 | 020308.2 | - |
| $23-42$ | 359.828 | 0.101 | 0.039 | 0.041 | 020424.1 | - |
| $23-46$ | 359.873 | 0.053 | 0.040 | 0.042 | 020424.1 | 010519.0 |
| $23-50$ | 359.816 | 0.102 | 0.040 | 0.043 | 030510.0 | - |
| $23-62$ | 359.843 | 0.073 | 0.047 | 0.043 | 020526.0 | - |
| $23-75$ | 359.845 | 0.082 | 0.050 | 0.052 | 020524.1 | - |
| $23-114$ | 359.883 | 0.130 | 0.100 | 0.101 | 020219.3 | - |
| $23-3305$ | 359.871 | 0.045 | 0.048 | 0.049 | 030525.0 | - |
| $23-371$ | 359.890 | 0.073 | 0.041 | 0.040 | 020525.0 | - |
| $24-17$ | 359.915 | 0.111 | 0.052 | 0.051 | 030522.1 | - |
| $24-28$ | 359.932 | 0.093 | 0.057 | 0.054 | 030526.0 | - |
| $24-29$ | 359.896 | 0.138 | 0.039 | 0.043 | 030510.1 | - |
| $25-7$ | 359.975 | 0.201 | 0.052 | 0.051 | 030526.1 | - |
| $25-414$ | 359.974 | 0.162 | 0.062 | 0.059 | 030526.0 | - |

$\#$ detectied in Deguchi et al. (2000).

Table 4. Objects not listed in Tables 2 and 3, but regarded as observed

| GMCS Name | Observed as | table <br> listed | separation <br> (") | comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| the same sources |  |  |  |  |
| 2-101 | 1-72 | 2 | 1.9 | detected also on 20305.3 |
| 5-159 | 20-2631 | 2 | 0.7 | detected also on 10527.1 |
| 9-75 | 12-46 | 3 | 2.1 | nondetection |
| 10-171 | 1-8 | 2 | 0.7 | deteceted also on 20316.3 |
| 10-3465 | 13-18 | 2 | 0.4 | detected also on 20524.0 at $V_{l s r}=38 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$; see text. |
| 11-34 | 14-6233 | 3 | 3.6 | nondetection |
| 13-1856 | 12-51 | 2 | 2.4 | detected also on 20525.1 |
| 14-32 | 7-52 | 2 | 0.6 | detection |
| 14-18 | 15-6 | 3 | 0.6 | nondetection |
| 16-80 | 3-271 | 3 | 2.7 | nondetection |
| 16-150 | 23-101 | 2 | 0.8 | detected |
| 16-24 | 17-1 | 2 | 2.8 | detection |
| 19-23 | 4-6 | 2 | 1.9 | detected |
| 21-8 | 20-22 | 3 | 1.7 | nondetection |
| 24-2 | 23-1198 | 2 | 0.8 | detected |
| different sources |  |  |  |  |
| 2-19 | 2-504 | 2 | 10.5 | nondetection |
| 2-33 | 2-504 | 3 | 9.9 | nondetection |
| 3-162 | 3-49.2 | 2 | 10.7 | detection, assignment impossible |
| 3-1030 | 3-49.3 | 2 | 3.7 | detection ( $=\mathrm{OH} 359.906-0.036$ ) |
| 3-2753 | 3-72 | 2 | 4.9 | detected but IRs10EE |
| 3-2832 | 3-4969 | 2 | 5.3 | detected component assigned to 3-4969 (OH source) |
| 3-2834 | 17-1 | 2 | 11.6 | detected component assigned to 17-1 (OH source) |
| 6-7 | 6-57 | 3 | 8.6 | nondetection |
| 8-113 | 8-97 | 3 | 8.3 | nondetection |
| 9-3 | 9-110 | 3 | 11.4 | nondetection |
| 9-4 | 12-2 | 3 | 7.3 | 12-2 was not found in 2MASS |
| 12-799 | 12-1236 | 2 | 6.5 | detection, assignment impossible |
| 16-2993 | 16-227 | 3 | 6.1 | nondetection |
| 17-58 | 17-8 | 3 | 3.5 | detection, assignment impossible |
| 22-5 | 22-21 | 2 | 10.5 | double detections |
| 22-120 | 22-31 | 3 | 5.4 | nondetection |

Table 5. Multiple detections and their assignment

| Observed as | $\begin{gathered} V_{\mathrm{lsr}} \\ \left(\mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Assignment | separation <br> (") | comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-42.1* | 43.8 | assigment impossible |  | 1-182(17.8"; negative) |
| 1-42.2 | 57.3 | assigment impossible |  |  |
| 2-11.1 | -23.0 | 2-11 | 0.0 |  |
| 2-11.2 | -6.6 | ~OH359.998-0.005 | 19.5 | this is not $2-10$ |
| 2-26.1 | -63.9 | assigment impossible |  | $2-11$ (26.2 ${ }^{\prime \prime}$; $-23 \mathrm{kms}^{-1}$ ) |
| 2-26.2* | 151.6 | assigment impossible |  | and 2-46 (32.8' ${ }^{\prime \prime}$; negative), both seem not |
| 3-5.1 | 35.8 | $\sim 3-16$ | 16.7 | $V_{l s r}=32.8$ |
| 3-5.2 | 52.8 | 3-5 | 0.0 | $=\mathrm{OH} 359.956-0.050$ |
| 3-49.1 | -140.9 | $\sim 3-779$ |  | $=\mathrm{OH} 359.906-0.041\left(-140.8 \mathrm{kms}^{-1}\right)$ |
| 3-49.2 | -98.7 | assigment impossible |  | 3-162 close (10.4 ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ ) |
| 3-49.3 | -48.7 | $\sim 3-1030$ | 3.7 | $=\mathrm{OH} 359.906-0.036\left(-46.8 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ |
| 3-88.1 | -114.8 | assigment impossible |  | close to 3-270 (19.8'; negative) |
| 3-88.2* | 8.4 | assigment impossible |  | but this is negative |
| 3-779.1 | -141.7 | 3-779 | 0.0 | $=\mathrm{OH} 359.906-0.041$ |
| 3-779.2 | -47.6 | $\sim 3-1030$ |  | $=\mathrm{OH} 359.906-0.036$ |
| 5-157.1 | $-2.3$ | assigment impossible |  |  |
| 5-157.2* | 6.8 | assigment impossible |  |  |
| 9-8.1 | -4.4 | 9-8 | 0.0 |  |
| 9-8.2 | 72.5 | $\sim 9-547$ | 14.5 | $=\mathrm{OH} 0.040-0.056$ |
| 9-547.1 | -3.9 | $\sim 9-8$ | 14.5 |  |
| 9-547.2 | 72.5 | 9-547 | 0.0 | $=\mathrm{OH} 0.040-0.056$ |
| 12-21.1 | 33.2 | (or 12-42) | (24.8) | assignment difficult |
| 12-22.2 | 71.4 | $\sim 12-65$ | 20.7 | assignment difficult |
| 16-1.1 | -5.1 | $\sim \mathrm{OH} 359.867+0.030$ | 9.8 |  |
| 16-1.2 | 22.1 | 16-1 | 0.0 |  |
| 16-77.1 | -28.2 | assigment impossible |  | 16-127 (18.4 ${ }^{\prime \prime}$;negative) |
| 16-77.2* | 244.2 | assigment impossible |  | 16-29 (26.9 ${ }^{\prime \prime}$; $46.9 \mathrm{kms}^{-1}$ ) |
| 18-190.1 | -48.0 | assigment impossible |  | no closeby source |
| 18-190.2* | 101.6 | assigment impossible |  |  |
| 19-7.1 | -105.6 | 19-7 | 0.0 |  |
| 19-7.2 | -31.9 | ~19-9 | 15.9 |  |
| 19-685.1 | -53.4 | assigment impossible |  | 19-128(16.3 ${ }^{\prime \prime}$;negative) |
| 19-685.2 | -44.2 | 19-685 | 0.0 | $=\mathrm{OH} 359.868-0.018$ |
| 22-21.1 | 12.1 | assigment impossible |  | close to 22-5 (10.0 ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ ) |

## Table 5. (Continued)

| $22-21.2^{*}$ | 156.2 | assigment impossible |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $22-21.3$ | 165.6 | assigment impossible |  |  |
| $23-10.1$ | -299.4 | $23-10$ | 0.0 | $=\mathrm{OH} 359.779+0.010$ |
| $23-10.2$ | -139.8 | $23-7$ | 12.9 | $23-7$ detected |

* indication that the star is tentatively assigned to the stronger SiO source for convenience.

Table 6. Comparison with OH observations

| Observed as | $\begin{array}{r} V_{l s r}^{S i O} \\ \left(\mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{array}$ | OH Name listed | $\begin{aligned} & V_{l s r}^{O H} \mathrm{~S} \\ & \left(\mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{aligned}$ | parati <br> ( ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ ) | comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-1890 | 23.6 | 0.083+0.063 $\downarrow$ | 24.8 | 1.7 |  |
| 2-10 † | -6.9 | 359.998-0.005 | -6 | 15.8 | this is not $2-10$ |
| 2-11.2† | -6.6 | 359.998-0.005 | -6 | 19.5 | this is not $2-10$ |
| 2-28 | 36.0 | 0.037-0.003 | 34.9 | 2.9 |  |
| 2-320 | -4.7 | 0.060-0.018 | $-4.3$ | 1.7 |  |
| 2-504 | - | 0.030-0.026 | -55.1 | 1.7 |  |
| 2-697 | -53.2 | $0.024+0.027$ | -50.7 | 1.8 |  |
| 2-6329 | 114.7 | 0.014-0.046 | 117.8 | 1.4 |  |
| 3-3 | - | 359.970-0.049 | 88.8 | 2.1 |  |
| 3-5.2 | 52.8 | 359.956-0.050 | 48.5 | 2.0 |  |
| 3-101† | 24.0 | 359.965-0.043 | -55.3 | 2.6 | 3-6 (17.0'; $23.0 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) |
| 3-205 $\dagger$ | -93.6 | 359.932-0.059 | $-151.7$ | 1.4 | this is OH359.931-0.063 ( 12.5 "; $-93.7 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) |
| 3-226 | 134.2 | 359.946-0.092 | 132.3 | 1.2 |  |
| 3-266 | -73.0 | 359.937-0.010 | -73.0 | 1.7 |  |
| 3-358 | 12.9 | $359.985-0.061$ | 13.3 | 2.3 |  |
| 3-779 | -141.7 | 359.906-0.041 | -140.8 | 0.1 |  |
| 3-885 | 65.9 | 359.954-0.031 | 66.1 | 1.2 |  |
| 3-1030 (3-779.2) | -48.7 | 359.906-0.036 | -46.8 | 1.8 | also observed as 3-49.3 |
| 3-2389 | 54.0 | 359.938-0.052b | 53.1 | 1.8 |  |
| 3-2752 | 5.7 | 359.985-0.042 | 2.0 | 2.7 |  |
| 3-2855 | -308.1 | 359.918-0.055 | -307.9 | 1.0 |  |
| 3-4969 | 108.6 | 359.999-0.061 | 106.4 | 2.4 |  |
| 4-113 $\dagger$ | -289.4 | 359.855-0.078 | 5.2 | 0.3 | inconsistent |
| 4-340 | -55.3 | 359.888-0.051 | $-57.3$ | 2.9 |  |
| 4-557 | -85.1 | 359.902-0.103 | -85.6 | 1.4 |  |
| 5-10.2 | -31.0 | 359.814-0.162 | -31.1 | 0.8 |  |
| 5-2856 | 71.0 | 359.776-0.120 | 72.3 | 2.4 |  |
| 6-21 | - | 0.017-0.137 | 108.3 | 2.0 |  |
| 6-32 | - | 359.957-0.123 | -157.5 | 1.1 |  |
| 6-135 | 5.8 | 0.007-0.089 $\downarrow$ | 3.7 | 0.6 |  |
| 8-264 | - | 359.872-0.210 | 12.4 | 0.7 |  |
| 9-49 | -14.0 | 0.053-0.063 | -11.5 | 0.9 |  |
| 9-547.2 | 72.5 | 0.040-0.056b | 71.8 | 2.9 | also observed as 9-8.1 |
| 10-6 | 39.5 | 0.107-0.016 | 40.8 | 1.3 |  |

Table 6. (Continued)

| 10-84 | -27.9 | 0.115-0.043 | -29.7 | 0.7 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10-313 | - | 0.134-0.023 | -20.2 | 1.0 |  |
| 10-392 | 94.3 | 0.128-0.020 | 94.5 | 0.9 |  |
| 11-4503 | 7.9 | 0.015-0.171 | 8.1 | 1.8 |  |
| 12-51 | 44.3 | 0.138-0.136 | 40.1 | 2.4 |  |
| 12-145 | 34.5 | 0.067-0.123 | 35.7 | 0.9 |  |
| 13-33 | - | 0.180-0.098 | 120.7 | 0.4 |  |
| 13-200 | 23.7 | 0.178-0.055 | -36.6 | 5.1 | different source |
| 13-1856 | - | 0.138-0.136 | 40.1 | 0.4 |  |
| 16-1.1 $\dagger$ | -5.1 | $359.867+0.030$ | $-3.7$ | 9.6 | not 16-1 |
| 16-49 | -72 . | 359.938-0.010 | $-73.3$ | 2.0 |  |
| 16-187 | - | $359.925+0.032$ | -83.2 | 2.9 |  |
| 17-630 | -9.8 | $359.947+0.066$ | -9.4 | 2.0 |  |
| 17-3762 | -49.0 | $359.990+0.030$ | -50.2 | 2.4 |  |
| 18-74 | 121.3 | $0.051+0.079$ | 117.0 | 0.4 |  |
| 18-173 | 73.9 | $0.042+0.082$ | 74.2 | 1.0 |  |
| 19-476 | -74.9 | 359.797-0.025 | -71.2 | 3.4 |  |
| 19-582 | -50.2 | 359.825-0.024 | -53.6 | 2.9 |  |
| 19-613 | - | 359.830-0.070 | -82.7 | 0.3 |  |
| 19-685 | -44.2 | 359.868-0.018 | -43.4 | 2.8 |  |
| 20-26 | -38.4 | 359.810-0.070ヶ | -36.9 | 1.3 |  |
| 20-38 |  | 359.763-0.042 $\downarrow$ | 120.7 | 0.4 |  |
| 20-136 | -95.4 | 359.791-0.081 | $-116.8 \S$ | 0.7 | OH single peak detection |
| 20-2631 | -4.0 | 359.799-0.090 | -4.3 | 1.3 |  |
| 22-4 | -68.6 | $359.837+0.052$ | -69.0 | 2.9 |  |
| 22-76 | -77.3 | $359.837+0.030$ | 75.2 | 2.7 |  |
| 22-274 $\dagger$ | -81.2 | $359.779+0.010$ | -27.5 | 0.6 | inconsistent (22-34? 31.4 ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ ) |
| 23-10.1 | -299.4 | $359.864+0.056$ | $-300.6$ | 0.6 |  |
| 23-30 | - | $359.864+0.068$ | 66.1 | 2.2 |  |
| 23-101 (=6-150) | -132.0 | $359.902+0.061$ | -133.6 | 0.4 |  |
| 25-234 | 104.9 | $0.018+0.156$ | 103.0 | 0.6 |  |
| 25-414 | - | 359.974-0.058 | 26.0 | 0.5 |  |

$\dagger$ contamination.
§ OH single peak.
$\square \mathrm{SiO}$ also detected by Sjouwerman et al. (2002).
b see figure 11 of Sjouwerman et al. (1998).
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Fig. 2. a. Spectra of the $\mathrm{SiO} J=1-0 v=1$ and 2 lines for the detected sources.
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Fig. 2. k.

Fig. 3. Histogram of period and detection probability (line graph). The shaded and unshaded area of the histogram indicate the SiO detection and nondetection, respectively. The line graphs with filled and unfiled circles indicate the detection rate of SiO and OH masers, respectively, for the observed sources (unit at the right vertical axis).
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Fig. 4. Normalized accumulation number with respect to $r^{2}$ for all the LAVs and SiO detections (left panel), that for SiO detections at $l^{*}>0$ and at $l^{*}<0$ (center panel), and that for OH sources in a OH survey, and LAV with OH , and LAV with SiO in the present sample (right panel). The OH surface-density distribution in the OH survey $\left(N \sim r^{0.8}\right)$ is apparently different from the distribution of the LAVs with SiO (and that of LAVs; $N \sim r^{1.3}$ ). The holizontal axis is taken as $r^{2}$, so that the constant surface density gives a straight line $\left(N \sim r^{2}\right)$ in this figure.
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Fig. 5. Longitude-Velocity diagram of the SiO detections. Filled circles indicate the objects with $r<5^{\prime}$ on the left panel and with $r<3^{\prime}$ on the right panel. The regression lines are indicated by broken or solid lines for each sets. The CO (left panel) and CS (right panel) $J=1-0 l-v$ diagram at $b \sim-4^{\prime}$ (Oka et al. 1998; Tsuboi et al. 1999) are overlaid.


Fig. 6. Mass distribution in the galactic center area. Filled triangles and diamonds indicate the enclosed masses obtained for SiO maser data set by the pressure-balance ( PB ) and projected-mass ( PM ) methods, respectively. Open triangles and diamonds indicate the mass from the OH-maser data set (Lindqvist et al. 1992) with the same two methods. The thick and thin curves with filled squares and circles indicate the mass obtained by the present method for rotational and non-rotational cases, respectively. statistical errors are also shown by tickmarks.

Fig. 7. Plot of projected mass versus projected distance. Circles indicate the projected mass for indivisual object. The dotted and broken curves indicate $q=2 G^{-1} R\left[U\left(R_{0}\right)-U(R)\right]$, where $R_{0}$ is indicated. Thick solid curve with sign " $M_{R}$ " indicate the calculated enclosed mass. Note that these circles and curves are plotted with $r$ and $R$, respectively, but are plotted using the same axis in this figure. Because of inequalities (12) and (13), the projected quantities, $(r, q)$, give the lower bound for ( $\mathrm{R}, 2 G^{-1} R\left[U\left(R_{0}\right)-U(R)\right]$ ) for the particular object. Several extremely high velocity object are located out of this diagram and are not shown.
$\square$
Fig. 8. K Magnitude-Period diagram for SiO detected objects. Open circles indicate the stars with $q>2 G^{-1} r[U(30 p c)-U(r)]$ and $V_{l s r}>0$, filled circles the stars with $q>2 G^{-1} r[U(30 p c)-U(r)]$ and $V_{\text {lsr }}<0$, and the crosses the stars with $q<2 G^{-1} r[U(30 p c)-U(r)]$.
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Fig. 9. Cylindrical coordinates and relations of the rotational vectors. The observer is located at the infinitely distant point on the z-axis. It is assumed that the system rotates around y-axis, and that the rotational velocity, $V$, decreases with the cosine law [proportional to $\left.\left(x^{2}+z^{2}\right)^{0.5} / R\right)$ ]. Using $V_{\text {rot,r }}=V_{x} \cos (\phi)$ and $V_{\mathrm{rot}, \phi}=-V_{x} \sin (\phi)$, and $x=r \cos (\phi)$ and $y=r \sin (\phi)$, we obtain equations (24)-(26).
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[^0]:    1 It suggests that orbital motions of these stars are close to those of the $x_{1}$ orbit family in a bar potential (Binney \& Merrifield 1998). Because the $x_{2}$ orbits are less elongated and have smaller orbital velocities than the $x_{1}$ orbits, the present high-velocity star subsample does not seem to show properties of the $x_{2}$ orbit family.

