

Jovian Dust Streams: A monitor of Io’s volcanic plume activity

Harald Krüger¹, Paul Geissler², Mihály Horányi³, Amara L. Graps^{1,4}, Sascha Kempf¹, Ralf Srama¹, Georg Moragas-Klostermeyer¹, Richard Moissl¹, Torrence V. Johnson⁵, Eberhard Grün^{1,6}

Abstract. Streams of high speed dust particles originate from Jupiter’s innermost Galilean moon Io. After release from Io, the particles collect electric charges in the Io plasma torus, gain energy from the co-rotating electric field of Jupiter’s magnetosphere, and leave the Jovian system into interplanetary space with escape speeds over 200 km s^{-1} . Galileo, which was the first orbiter spacecraft of Jupiter, has continuously monitored the dust streams during 34 revolutions about the planet between 1996 and 2002. The observed dust fluxes exhibit large orbit-to-orbit variability due to systematic and stochastic changes. After removal of the systematic variations, the total dust emission rate of Io has been calculated. It varies between 10^{-3} and 10 kg s^{-1} , and is typically in the range of 0.1 to 1 kg s^{-1} . We compare the dust emission rate with other markers of volcanic activity on Io like large-area surface changes caused by volcanic deposits and sightings of volcanic plumes.

1. Introduction

Io is the most volcanically active body in the Solar System. When the most dramatic signs of Io’s volcanism – its energetic volcanic plumes – were discovered with the Voyager spacecraft in 1979, it was suggested that tiny dust grains entrained in the plumes might be ejected into circumjovian space by electromagnetic forces [Johnson *et al.*, 1980; Morfill *et al.*, 1980].

First observational evidence for this mechanism came with the discovery of streams of dust particles with the dust detector on-board the Ulysses spacecraft in 1992 [Grün *et al.*, 1993]. These grains were detected more than 200 million kilometers away from Jupiter and they could be tracked to the Jovian system [Zook *et al.*, 1996]. Derived grain radii were $\approx 10 \text{ nm}$ and their speeds exceeded 200 km s^{-1} . The grains are accelerated to such high speeds by the corotational electric field of Jupiter’s magnetosphere [Horányi *et al.*, 1993]. Galileo dust measurements obtained within the Jovian magnetosphere showed that the stream particles are strongly coupled to the Jovian magnetic field [Grün *et al.*, 1998], and Io was shown to be the ultimate source for the majority of the particles rather than other potential sources [Graps *et al.*, 2000].

Voyager, Galileo and Cassini imaging observations have detected at least 17 volcanic centers with related plumes [McEwen *et al.*,

2003]. Most of the plumes were sensed through the scattering of sunlight by dust particles entrained within the plumes. Ring-shaped surface deposits suggest that other plumes have been recently active as well. Pele, one of the most powerful plumes on Io, has been observed at altitudes up to 460 km [Spencer *et al.*, 1997].

Since 1996 Galileo has made 34 orbits about Jupiter and provided a unique long-term record of the dust flux ejected from Io. In particular, as the plumes are the most plausible sources of the dust particles, the dust measurements monitor plume activity. The dust data show a large orbit-to-orbit variation due to both systematic and stochastic changes. Systematic effects include Io’s orbital motion, changes in the geometry of Galileo’s orbit and in the magnetic field configuration due to the rotation of the planet. Stochastic variations include fluctuations of Io’s volcanic activity and the deformation of the outer magnetosphere in response to the variable solar wind conditions. By combining the entire Galileo dust data set, the variability due to stochastic processes could be removed and a strong flux variation with Jovian local time showed up [Krüger *et al.*, 2003a], confirming earlier predictions [Horányi *et al.*, 1997].

In this paper we use the entire Galileo data set and examine the orbit-to-orbit variability of the dust emission pattern. We derive the dust emission rate of Io and its variations during the Galileo mission and compare it with other markers of volcanic activity on Io.

2. Galileo dust measurements at Jupiter

The Galileo Dust Detector System (DDS), like its twin on-board Ulysses, is a multi-coincidence impact ionization detector which measures submicron- and micron-sized dust particles [Grün *et al.*, 1992, 1995]. DDS is mounted on the spinning section of Galileo and has a 140° wide field of view. During one spin revolution of the spacecraft, the detector scans the entire anti-Earth hemisphere. Jovian streams particle identification has been previously described in the literature [Grün *et al.*, 1995, 1998; Krüger *et al.*, 1999, 2001].

The Galileo trajectory remained in Jupiter’s equatorial plane within a few degrees. As the line of apsides of the spacecraft trajectory stayed constant in an inertial frame, the orbital motion of Jupiter about the Sun lead to a 360° shift with respect to the Sun-Jupiter line within one Jovian year (11.9 Earth years). The shift of the apsidal line resulted in a gradual change of the detectability of the jovian stream particles during the Galileo Jupiter mission. Early in the mission, stream particles could be mostly detected in the inner Jovian system, i.e. within $\sim 50 R_J$ from the planet, with a varying detection geometry from orbit to orbit (Jupiter radius $R_J = 71,492 \text{ km}$). Only after mid-2000 was the detection geometry significantly different so that the streams could be detected during almost the entire Galileo orbit. Highly time resolved real-time science (RTS) data were received from the dust instrument during most of the time periods when the dust streams were detectable. Dust fluxes measured within $60 R_J$ from Jupiter are shown in Fig. 1. In these time periods and this spatial region the flux varied by almost six orders of magnitude ($\approx 10^{-3} - 10^3 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$).

To determine the dust flux, we simultaneously multiplied the rate of dust stream impacts and the effective sensitive area of the

¹Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik, Heidelberg, Germany

²Lunar and Planetary Laboratory, Univ. of Arizona, Tucson, USA

³Laboratory for Atmospheric and Space Physics and Department of Physics, Univ. of Colorado, Boulder, USA

⁴Inst. di Fisica dello Spazio Interplanetario, INAF, Roma, Italy

⁵Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Pasadena, California, USA

⁶Hawaii Inst. of Geophysics and Planetology, Univ. of Hawaii, Honolulu, USA

detector averaged over one spacecraft spin revolution, as Galileo moved about Jupiter. To calculate the approach direction, we used a “standard” trajectory of a 10 nm radius particle [Grün *et al.*, 1998]. Particles of this size best explained observed times of onset, a 180° shift, and cessation of stream particle impacts which were recognised during many Galileo orbits. Flux values for sensitive areas below 20 cm^2 were ignored because the derived fluxes are rather uncertain.

The sensitivity of DDS has changed over time due to radiation-related aging effects in the instrument electronics. This degradation has been revealed from the electronics’ response to simulated charge signals generated by a test pulse generator which is part of DDS. The change of the instrument response is consistent with a gradual shift of the charge signals measured for the stream particles. The drop in sensitivity has been a factor of 5 since Galileo’s early Jupiter mission in 1996. By assuming a size distribution of the particles, a time-dependent correction for the flux can be calculated and applied to the data: taking the impact charge distribution measured for stream particles in 1996 [Krüger *et al.*, 2001] when DDS still had its nominal sensitivity, the flux correction factor is one in 1996 and gradually rises up to 25 in 2002.

Significant variations in the dust flux orbit-to-orbit are obvious in Fig. 1. In addition, for a source with a constant dust ejection rate and assuming continuity, one expects the dust flux to drop with the inverse square of the source distance. This is only rarely the case. Particularly high fluxes were measured during orbits E4 and C21.

3. Io’s dust emission rate

How significant is Io as a source of cosmic dust? A simple calculation yields the total dust emission rate of Io. Ulysses, Galileo and Cassini dust measurements in interplanetary space have recorded the dust streams in the ecliptic plane. In addition, Ulysses also measured the streams at -35° jovigraphic latitude in 1992, and very recently, in July 2003, detected the dust streams even at $+55^\circ$ latitude. This is consistent with models for the electromagnetically dominated dynamics of the grains within the Jovian magnetosphere [Horányi *et al.*, 1993]. The long record of Galileo dust stream measurements from 1996 to 2002 has shown that the Io torus acts as a ‘smear out (ring) source’ rather than a sharply localized point source [Krüger *et al.*, 2003a]. Given the spread of Io dust along and away from Jupiter’s equatorial plane, we assume a wedge-shaped

emission pattern of dust originating at Jupiter, with a wedge opening angle $\alpha = 35^\circ$ (this angle is implied by our Ulysses measurements). Furthermore, we assume a constant particle speed. Dynamical modeling shows that most of the particle acceleration occurs in the region within Europa’s orbit ($\lesssim 10 R_J$). By ignoring flux measurements from within $13 R_J$ from Jupiter the acceleration further out is negligible for our calculation. Finally, a ‘dilution’ of the dust with d^{-2} , d being distance from Jupiter, is expected from continuity. Then, the total mass of dust ejected from Io [kg s^{-1}] is:

$$W = \frac{16}{3} \pi^2 s^3 F_d \rho d^2 f(\Lambda) \tan \alpha. \quad (1)$$

The dust flux F_d at distance d is shown in Fig. 1. For the grain density we assume $\rho = 1.5 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$. The grain radius is $s = 10 \text{ nm}$ [Zook *et al.*, 1996; Grün *et al.*, 1998]. A variation of the dust flux with Jovian local time, Λ , [Krüger *et al.*, 2003a], is described by $f(\Lambda)$ and is typically below a factor of 5 and, hence, much smaller than the flux variations seen in the data.

The assumed grain size of 10 nm is an effective radius as implied by our DDS measurements and is close to the detection threshold of the instrument. The abundance of bigger grains is very strongly decreasing. Much smaller particles, however, are likely to be generated by Io, but they are not likely to be able to leave the Io torus because of their electromagnetic interaction with the magnetosphere. Therefore, dust ejection rates derived in this paper should be treated as lower limits. Given our simple assumptions made in Eqn. 1 the absolute dust emission rates are accurate to an order of magnitude, at most. The accuracy of the relative variations with time, however, should be more accurate.

In principle, Eqn. 1 allows us to calculate dust emission rates from measurements at any distance from Jupiter. However, the dust dynamics are only reasonably well understood in the inner Jovian magnetosphere, and, with our assumed simple wedge-shaped emission pattern, dust measurements very far from Jupiter may erroneously give very large emission rates (Sect. 4). We therefore consider emission rates for $13 < d < 30 R_J$ as the most reliable. Galileo typically spent only several days in this spatial region during each orbit so that emission rates were derived from this short time interval.

The dust emission rates derived from the DDS measurements are shown in Fig. 2. The emission rate for $13 < d < 30 R_J$ varied between 10^{-3} and 10 kg s^{-1} , with a typical average of 0.1 to 1 kg s^{-1} . This value is consistent with theoretical predictions assuming effective electrostatic charging in the upper regions of the plumes [Ip, 1996]. Compared with $\sim 10^3 \text{ kg s}^{-1}$ of plasma ejected from Io into the torus, the dust amounts to only 0.01 to 0.1% of the total mass released. These numbers indicate that Io is also a minor source of interplanetary dust compared with comets and main belt asteroids ($\sim 10^4 \text{ kg s}^{-1}$). Io, however, turns out to be a major dust source for the Jovian system itself. The total mass of dust produced by Io as 10 nm particles is comparable to the mass of dust ejected as micrometer-sized particles due to hypervelocity impacts of interplanetary micrometeoroids by the other Galilean satellites which have no volcanic activity [Krüger *et al.*, 2003b].

In 2000 (orbit G28) Galileo left the Jovian magnetosphere for the first time since 1995 to a joviocentric distance of $\sim 280 R_J$ (0.13 AU). In this time period a high dust flux of up to $\sim 10 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ sec}^{-1}$ was measured for about two months. This leads to a dust emission rate of $\sim 100 \text{ kg s}^{-1}$ (dashed line in Fig. 2). Similarly high fluxes were also recorded with the Cassini dust instrument at $\sim 0.3 \text{ AU}$ from Jupiter when the spacecraft was approaching the planet in September 2000.

Estimates of the Io dust emission rate from the Galileo and Ulysses dust measurements in interplanetary space out to 1 AU from




Figure 1. Dust fluxes measured along 34 Galileo orbits about Jupiter vs. joviocentric distance. Years are indicated on the right. Fluxes were corrected for DDS aging. The orbits of the Galilean moons are indicated by vertical dotted lines. Dust fluxes have been smoothed with a 10 h boxcar average.

Jupiter – assuming again that the dust is uniformly distributed into a wedge of 35° – lead to unrealistically high dust emission rates of more than 10^7 kg s^{-1} . It clearly shows that our simple picture cannot be extrapolated into interplanetary space.

It should be noted that the maximum and minimum dust emission values in C21 derived from $13 < d < 30 R_J$ are four orders of magnitude apart (Fig. 2). The dust emission varied by such a large amount within only four days (Days 182 to 185 in 1999).

4. Dust emission versus volcanic activity

Exceptionally high dust emission rates occurred during orbits E4 and C21, G28, and to a lesser extent also during G29 and C30 (Fig. 2). Hence, it is of particular interest whether these peaks can be related to specific plume sightings or other observations of volcanic activity on Io.

To correlate dust measurements collected far away from Io with imaging observations one must know how long the particles need to travel from the source to the Galileo spacecraft. After ejection from Io, the particles typically need several hours up to one day to collect sufficient charge and get ejected from the torus [Horányi *et al.*, 1997]. After release from the torus, they need several hours to travel to a joviocentric distance of $30 R_J$ and one day to travel to $280 R_J$, respectively. Therefore, after ejection from a plume, the particles reach the spacecraft within one to two days, which, for our analysis, is a negligible time delay.

A correlation of the dust emission with the activity of the most energetic plumes seems most promising. Only these plumes are expected to accelerate the grains to high altitudes so that they can collect sufficient charge from the ambient plasma to overcome the satellite gravity [Johnson *et al.*, 1980; Ip, 1996]. A correlation of our in-situ dust measurements with either Galileo or Earth-based plume imaging observations turned out to be very difficult. Because of the incomplete temporal coverage, only a very incomplete record of plume activity is available from direct sightings [McEwen *et al.*, 1998; Keszthelyi *et al.*, 2001]. This is further complicated because plume activity sometimes changes on timescales of days to weeks.

A more complete history of Io's explosive eruptions has been derived from a study of the surface changes they produced [Geissler *et al.*, 2003]. These surface changes are caused by plume eruptions that can be divided into two categories. Smaller plumes produce near-circular rings typically 150 to 200 km in radius that are white or yellow in color unless contaminated with silicates, and frequently coat their surroundings with frosts of fine-grained SO_2 . Giant plumes are much rarer, limited to a half dozen examples, and produce oval, orange or red, sulfur-rich rings with radii in the range from 500 to 550 km. Because they are much more energetic than the smaller plumes and eject dust particles at speeds approaching Io's escape velocity, the giant plumes probably contribute most to the escape of dust from Io.

Giant plume eruptions occurred at the North Pole of Io (North Polar Ring) during the time interval between Galileo's G2 and E4 orbits in 1996, in the region South of Karei between orbits E15 (1998) and C21 (1999), at Surt between C22 (1999) and I31 (2001) and at Dazhbog between G29 and C30 (2001, Fig. 2). An enormous red ring appeared around Tvashtar which most likely erupted between orbits I27 and G29 in 2000. Pele's deposits were gradually replaced after Pillan's eruption obscured parts of Pele's red ring during orbit C9. Plume sightings and observations of thermal emission provide closer constraints on the dates of some of these eruptions. Surt's eruption probably took place in February 2001 (between G29 and C30), based on high-temperature lavas spotted in ground-based observations [Marchis *et al.*, 2002]. South of Karei




Figure 2. Dust emission rate of Io calculated with Eqn. 1. Triangles and crosses denote the maxima and minima derived from measurements in the distance range $13 < d < 30 R_J$, respectively. The dashed line is for the G28 orbit in the range $30 < d < 280 R_J$, dotted lines show the remaining orbits with $30 < d < 400 R_J$. Thick horizontal bars indicate periods when large-area surface changes occurred on Io [Geissler *et al.*, 2003], arrows indicate individual plume sightings. Note that South of Karei probably erupted just before C21, and that Surt's eruption probably took place between G29 and C30 (see text). Galileo peri-jove passages (vertical dashes) and orbit labels are indicated at the bottom. No dust stream measurements were collected during Galileo orbits 5 and 13.

probably erupted just before orbit C21, based on thermal emission detected by Galileo [Keszthelyi *et al.*, 2001] and ground-based observations [Howell *et al.*, 2001]. The North Polar ring may have been associated with a large thermal event seen from Earth in October 1996 [Stansberry *et al.*, 1997] but its location is too uncertain to be sure. In addition, a 400km high plume was imaged at Tvashtar with the Cassini spacecraft during its Jupiter fly-by in early January 2001 [Porco *et al.*, 2003, Galileo orbit G29]. Many smaller eruptions also took place during the Galileo Mission, including a dramatic eruption at Pillan during orbit C9 [McEwen *et al.*, 1998] and a large plume at Thor seen by Galileo during orbit I31 [McEwen *et al.*, 2001], but their deposits were much less extensive than those of the giant plumes and they are unlikely to have contributed substantially to the flux of dust escaping Io.

In many cases, the eruptions of giant plumes are in agreement with the time periods when our in-situ dust-measurements showed episodes of elevated dust emissions. These coincidences indicate that the observed surface changes [Geissler *et al.*, 2003] were indeed due to very powerful plume eruptions, and the extent of the changes implies that these eruptions must have been among the most powerful during the Galileo mission. The lack of plume sightings and/or recognition of large area surface changes in some time intervals when strong dust emission was observed, may point to volcanic eruptions on Io which were only recognised because of increased dust emission. Here, the dust measurements may eventually serve as a monitor of Io's volcanic activity.

If the high dust emission rates deduced from the dust measurements are indeed connected to the largest explosive eruptions of volcanic plumes, which plumes caused the dust emission at a lower level during the remaining periods? Surface changes have been detected at many other locations, and plumes have also been seen at other times. In particular, the Prometheus plume may be a continuous supplier of dust on a low level if the particles entrained in it are ejected with sufficient speed. At least, the imaging observations imply that it was continuously active, its prominent 50 to 150 km

high dusty plume was visible in every image taken of it by both Voyagers and Galileo [Geissler et al., 2003].

Another candidate is Pele, one of the most powerful plumes and the most steady high-temperature volcanic center on Io. Surface changes at the Pele site were detected frequently during the Galileo mission whereas detections of the Pele plume are relatively rare. During the Galileo Jupiter mission the Pele plume was observed in July 1996 (G1), December 1996 (E4) possibly in July 1997 (C9), October 1999 (I24) and by the Cassini spacecraft in January 2001 (G29) [McEwen et al., 1998; Porco et al., 2003]. On the other hand, it was absent in June 1996, February 1997, June 1997 and July 1999. Hence, two detections of the Pele plume are also coincident with our measurements of high dust fluxes in E4 and G29. The low dust flux detected in E6 may be explained by the absence of the Pele plume.

Particle radii derived from our in-situ measurements are ~ 10 nm [Zook et al., 1996; Grün et al., 1998]. Theoretical considerations imply that particles of this size and below may be able to escape Io [Johnson et al., 1980; Ip, 1996]. The Pele plume is rich in short-chain sulfur (S_2) as well as SO_2 [Spencer et al., 2000] and contains very fine (≤ 80 nm) particulates [Spencer et al., 1997]. This is consistent with Voyager observations of the Loki plume [Collins, 1981].

The dust emission rates derived from our Galileo DDS measurements reflect lower limits to the amount of dust generated in the eruptions on Io and ejected into circumjovian space. The ejected dust, however, represents only a small fraction of the total amount of solids erupted. By far the largest amount of material is deposited on Io's surface. Our dust measurements may lead to improved plume models and, together with Galileo imaging observations, to better constraints of the total amount of material deposited on the surface.

Acknowledgments. We are grateful to John Spencer for providing us with Io thermal emission data. We thank the Galileo project at JPL for effective and successful mission operations. This research has been supported by the German Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung through DLR (grant 50 QJ 9503 3). M.H. has been supported by NASA.

References

- Collins, S. A., Spatial color variations in the volcanic plume at Loki on Io, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **86**, 8621–8626, 1981.
- Geissler, P. E., A. McEwen, C. Phillips, L. Keszthelyi, and J. Spencer, Surface Changes on Io during the Galileo Mission, *Icarus*, 2003, in press.
- Graps, A. L., E. Grün, H. Svedhem, H. Krüger, M. Horányi, A. Heck, and S. Lammers, Io as a source of the Jovian dust streams, *Nature*, **405**, 48–50, 2000.
- Grün, E., H. Fechtig, M. S. Hanner, J. Kissel, B. A. Lindblad, D. Linkert, D. Maas, G. E. Morfill, and H. A. Zook, The Galileo dust detector, *Space Sci. Rev.*, **60**, 317–340, 1992.
- Grün, E., et al., Discovery of Jovian dust streams and interstellar grains by the Ulysses spacecraft, *Nature*, **362**, 428–430, 1993.
- Grün, E., et al., Three years of Galileo dust data, *Planet. Sp. Sci.*, **43**, 953–969, 1995.
- Grün, E., et al., Galileo observes electromagnetically coupled dust in the Jovian magnetosphere, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **103**, 20,011–20,022, 1998.
- Horányi, M., G. E. Morfill, and E. Grün, Mechanism for the acceleration and ejection of dust grains from Jupiter's magnetosphere, *Nature*, **363**, 144–146, 1993.
- Horányi, M., E. Grün, and A. Heck, Modeling the Galileo dust measurements at Jupiter, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **24**, 2175–2178, 1997.
- Howell, R. R., et al., Ground-based observations of volcanism on Io in 1999 and early 2000, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **106**, 33,129–33,140, 2001.
- Ip, W. H., The dust halo of Io, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **24**, 3671–3674, 1996.
- Johnson, T. V., G. E. Morfill, and E. Grün, Dust in Jupiter's magnetosphere - An Io source, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **7**, 305–308, 1980.
- Keszthelyi, L., et al., Imaging of volcanic activity on Jupiter's moon Io by Galileo during the Galileo Europa Mission and the Galileo Millennium Mission, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **106**, 33,025–33,052, 2001.
- Krüger, H., E. Grün, A. Heck, and S. Lammers, Analysis of the sensor characteristics of the Galileo dust detector with collimated Jovian dust stream particles, *Planet. Sp. Sci.*, **47**, 1015–1028, 1999.
- Krüger, H., M. Horányi, and E. Grün, Jovian dust streams: Probes of the Io plasma torus, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **30**(2), 1058, doi:10.1029/2002GL015,920, 2003a.
- Krüger, H., A. V. Krivov, M. Sremčević, and E. Grün, Galileo measurements of impact-generated dust clouds surrounding the Galilean satellites, *Icarus*, **164**, 170–187, 2003b.
- Krüger, H., et al., One year of Galileo dust data: 1996, *Planet. Sp. Sci.*, **49**, 1285–1301, 2001.
- Marchis, F., I. de Pater, A. G. Davies, H. G. Roe, T. Fusco, D. Le Mignant, P. Descamps, B. A. Macintosh, and R. Prangé, High-Resolution Keck Adaptive Optics Imaging of Violent Volcanic Activity on Io, *Icarus*, **160**, 124–131, 2002.
- McEwen, A., L. Keszthelyi, R. Lopes, P. Schenk, and J. Spencer, The Lithosphere and Surface of Io, in *Jupiter: Planet, Satellites & Magnetosphere*, edited by F. Bagenal, B. McKinnon, and T. Dowling, Cambridge University Press, 2003, accepted.
- McEwen, A., et al., Galileo SSI Images of Io During the I31 and I32 Flybys, in *Amer. Geophys. U., Fall Meeting 2001, abstract #P11A-09*, pp. A9+, 2001.
- McEwen, A. S., et al., Active volcanism on Io as seen by Galileo SSI, *Icarus*, **135**, 181–219, 1998.
- Morfill, G. E., E. Grün, and T. V. Johnson, Dust in Jupiter's magnetosphere - Origin of the Ring, *Planet. Sp. Sci.*, **28**, 1101–1110, 1980.
- Porco, C. C., et al., Cassini Imaging of Jupiter's Atmosphere, Satellites, and Rings, *Science*, **299**, 1541–1547, 2003.
- Spencer, J. R., P. Sartoretti, G. E. Ballester, A. S. McEwen, J. T. Clarke, and M. A. McGrath, The Pele plume (Io): observations with the Hubble Space Telescope, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **24**, no. 20, 2471–2474, 1997.
- Spencer, J. R., K. L. Jessup, M. A. McGrath, G. E. Ballester, and R. Yelle, Discovery of gaseous S_2 in Io's Pele plume, *Science*, **288**, 1208–1210, 2000.
- Stansberry, J. A., J. R. Spencer, R. R. Howell, C. Dumas, and D. Vakil, Violent silicate volcanism on Io in 1996, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **24**, 2455–2458, 1997.
- Zook, H. A., E. Grün, M. Baguhl, D. P. Hamilton, G. Linkert, D. Linkert, J.-C. Liou, R. Forsyth, and J. L. Phillips, Solar wind magnetic field bending of Jovian dust trajectories, *Science*, **274**, 1501–1503, 1996.
- H. Krüger, S. Kempf, R. Srama, G. Moragas-Klostermeyer, R. Moissl, E. Grün, MPI Kernphysik, Postfach 103980, 69029 Heidelberg, Germany (E-Mail: Harald.Krueger@mpi-hd.mpg.de)
- P. Geissler, LPL, U. of Arizona, Tucson AZ 85721, USA
- M. Horányi, LASP, U. of Colorado, Boulder, CO 80309, USA
- A. L. Graps, IFSI, INAF, Via del Fosso del Cavaliere 100, 00133 Roma, Italy
- T. V. Johnson, JPL, 4800 Oak Grove Dr. Pasadena, CA 91109, USA

(Received _____)