The s-Process in Rotating AGB Stars

Falk Herwig

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Victoria, 3800 Finnerty Rd, Victoria, BC, V8P 1A1 Canada

fherwig@uvastro.phys.uvic.ca

Norbert Langer

Astronomical Institute, Universiteit Utrecht, P.O. Box 80000, NL-3508 TA Utrecht, The Netherlands

N.Langer@astro.uu.nl

Maria Lugaro

Institute of Astronomy, University of Cambridge, Madingley Road, Cambridge CB3 0HA, United Kingdom

mal@ast.cam.ac.uk

ABSTRACT

We model the nucleosynthesis during the thermal pulse phase of a rotating, solar metallicity Asymptotic Giant Branch (AGB) star of $3 \,\mathrm{M}_{\odot}$, which was evolved from a main sequence model rotating with 250km/s at the stellar equator. Rotationally induced mixing during the thermal pulses produces a layer ($\sim 2 \cdot 10^{-5} \,\mathrm{M}_{\odot}$) on top of the CO-core where large amounts of protons and ¹²C co-exist. With a post-processing nucleosynthesis and mixing code, we follow the abundance evolution in this layer, in particular that of the neutron source ¹³C and of the neutron poison ¹⁴N. In our AGB model mixing persists during the entire interpulse phase due to the steep angular velocity gradient at the coreenvelope interface, thereby spreading ¹⁴N over the entire ¹³C-rich part of the layer. We follow the neutron production during the interpulse phase, and find a resulting maximum neutron exposure of $\tau_{\rm max} = 0.04 \,\mathrm{mbarn}^{-1}$, which is too small to produce any significant *s*-process. In parametric models, we then investigate the combined effects of diffusive overshooting from the convective envelope and rotationally induced mixing. Just adding the overshooting and leaving the

rotational mixing unchanged results also in a small maximum neutron exposure $(0.03 \text{ mbarn}^{-1})$. Models with overshoot and weaker interpulse mixing — as perhaps expected from more slowly rotating stars — yield larger neutron exposures. A model with overshooting without any interpulse mixing obtained up to 0.72 mbarn⁻¹, which is larger than required by observations. We conclude that the incorporation of rotationally induce mixing processes has important consequences for the production of heavy elements in AGB stars. While through a distribution of initial rotation rates, it may lead to a natural spread in the neutron exposures obtained in AGB stars of a given mass in general — as appears to be required by observations — it may moderate the large neutron exposures found in models with diffusive overshoot in particular. Our results suggest that both processes, diffusive overshoot and rotational mixing, may be required to obtain a consistent description of the s-process in AGB stars which fulfils all observational constraints. Finally, we find that mixing due to rotation within our current framework does increase the production of ¹⁵N in the partial mixing zone. However, this increase is not large enough to boost the production of fluorine to the level required by observations.

Subject headings: stars: AGB and post-AGB — stars: evolution — nuclear reactions, nucleosynthesis, abundances — stars: rotation — stars: interiors

1. Introduction

Trans-iron elements are mainly made by neutron-capture reactions on ⁵⁶Fe seed nuclei. Two processes have been been distinguished according to the neutron density at the production site. In the case of the *r*-process the n-densities are high ($N_n > 10^{20} \text{cm}^{-3}$), and the time scale of successive n-capture reactions on heavy isotopes is faster than the β -decay time scale. Such a sudden high-density neutron burst creates isotopes far away from the valley of β -stability in the chart of nuclides, which successively decay back to the stable isotopes. In contrast the *s*-process is characterized by lower neutron densities ($N_n \leq 10^{10} \text{cm}^{-3}$). Neutron captures are generally followed by β -decays since unstable isotopes on the *s*-process path have typical life times of the order of hours. In some cases, however, the unstable isotopes involved have longer life times and, depending on the neutron density and temperature conditions, *branchings* can be open on the *s*-process path leading to the production of neutron-rich isotopes (see Clayton 1968, for an introduction to the *s*-process).

In Asymptotic Giant Branch (AGB) stars recurrent He-shell flashes (thermal pulses, TP) drive a convective zone that temporarily covers the whole region between the H-burning and

the He-burning shells (intershell). Here, partial He burning produces a high mass fraction (> 0.25) of ¹²C and the chain ¹⁴N $(\alpha, \gamma)^{18}$ F $(\beta^+ \nu)^{18}$ O $(\alpha, \gamma)^{22}$ Ne starting on the abundant ¹⁴N from the H-burning ashes produces a relatively large amount of ²²Ne (mass fraction $\simeq 0.02$). The ${}^{22}\text{Ne}(\alpha, n){}^{25}\text{Mg}$ reaction as neutron source for the s-process was suggested by Cameron (1960). Temperatures above $3 \cdot 10^8$ K are required for that reaction to release a significant amount of neutrons. Stellar models showed that such high temperatures are achieved in intermediate-mass $(M_{\rm ZAMS}/M_{\odot} > 4)$ AGB stars, which were hence proposed as the main site for the production of s-process elements belonging to the solar main component, i.e. 90 < A < 204 (Iben 1975; Truran & Iben 1977). However, the neutron density produced by ²²Ne burning in thermal pulses is rather high (above 10^{11} cm⁻³ for $T = 3.5 \cdot 10^8$ K). This leads to excesses in the neutron-rich nuclides produced by branchings, for example ⁸⁶Kr, ⁸⁷Rb, and 96 Zr (see e.g. Despain 1980), in contrast with the great majority of the observations of s-process-enhanced stars such as MS, S and C stars. In S and C stars the Rb/Sr ratio is typically much lower than solar (Lambert et al. 1995; Abia et al. 2001), indicating a low neutron density at the s-process site. Also the Rb abundances observed in 10 AGB members of the massive Galactic globular cluster ω Centauri indicate a low neutron density for the s-process (Smith et al. 2000). Lambert et al. (1995) reported the zirconium isotopic abundance obtained by spectroscopic observations of the ZrO bandheads in M, MS and S stars and found no evidence of an excess of the neutron-rich ⁹⁶Zr which can be produced in great amount by the s-process when the neutron density exceeds $\sim 5 \cdot 10^8 \ n \ {\rm cm}^{-3}$. Another problem is that the activation of the ${}^{22}Ne(\alpha, n){}^{25}Mg$ is expected to produce an excess of 25 Mg in stars enriched in *s*-process elements. Instead these stars typically have magnesium isotopic abundances in solar proportion (see e.g. Smith & Lambert 1986; McWilliam & Lambert 1988). Also other types of observations tend to exclude AGB stars of intermediate mass as the main s-process site. Observations mainly show that MS, S and C stars have low luminosity (Frogel et al. 1990) and hence low mass. Feast (1989) performed a study of the kinematics of peculiar red giants including S, SC, and C stars. On the basis of 183 S -SC stars, and 463 C stars he estimated their mean mass to be 1.3 and 1.6 M_{\odot} respectively, although this estimate needs to be improved. In summary, the observational evidence and the current state of AGB evolution models suggest that the major nuclear production site of the *s*-process are low-mass AGB stars.

In low-mass AGB stars the temperature in the intershell is not high enough to burn a significant amount of ²²Ne. The ¹³C(α, n)¹⁶O neutron source reaction, which was suggested by Greenstein (1954) and Cameron (1955) and is activated at lower temperatures ($\sim 0.9 \cdot 10^8$ K), is expected to play the major role (Iben & Renzini 1982; Gallino et al. 1988; Käppeler et al. 1990). However, an amount of ¹³C higher than that present in the H-burning ashes is needed to reproduce the observed enhancements of heavy elements. In order to form

a sufficient amount of ${}^{13}C$ it is hence speculated that some protons mix into the ${}^{12}C$ -rich intershell (see Busso et al. 1999, for a general review of the *s*-process in AGB stars).

In recent years a picture of the s-process based on these results has emerged (Gallino et al. 1998) and is summarized in Figure 1. The He-flash convection zone homogenizes the intershell region and ¹²C produced in the He-burning shell is mixed up to just below the location of the H-burning shell. The dashed line in Figure 1 indicates that, after the convective pulse is extinguished, the convectively unstable envelope may extend down into H-free layers of the intershell region. This phenomenon allows processed intershell material to be carried into the envelope and hence to the stellar surface (third dredge-up). At the end of third dredge-up a layer is created where the H-rich envelope directly neighbours the 12 C-rich intershell. This layer is a favourable region for the formation of a zone where 12 C and protons are partially mixed. As the temperature increases in the region a pocket of ¹³C forms by proton captures on ¹²C. Subsequently, the ¹³C serves as a neutron donor via the reaction ${}^{13}C(\alpha, n){}^{16}O$ which is activated during the following interpulse phase at $T \sim 9 \cdot 10^7$ K so that neutrons are released under radiative conditions (Straniero et al. 1995). Typically the s-process occurs on a time scale of several tens of thousands years and before the onset of the following thermal pulse. In the convective pulse the ²²Ne neutron source is only marginally activated.

It is most reasonable to assume that the H/¹²C-ratio in the partial mixing zone varies somehow continuously from a few hundreds in the envelope to zero in the intershell. Then, one finds in the top layers of the partial mixing zone another pocket made of ¹⁴N, which forms where the H/¹²C-ratio is larger than where the ¹³C pocket forms. Without further mixing the partial mixing layer is strictly stratified during the interpulse period: as shown in Figure 1 the two pockets coexist without much interaction. During the interpulse phase the temperature does not reach values required for the ¹⁴N(α, γ)¹⁸F reaction. The ¹⁴N pocket is engulfed into the following He-flash convection zone where it might slightly contribute to the production of ²²Ne.

Stellar models that use a standard treatment of convective mixing can not produce the ¹³C pocket because extra mixing processes are required to allow a small amount of protons to enter the ¹²C-rich region. Gallino et al. (1998, and following studies by that group) and Goriely & Mowlavi (2000) have studied the *s*-process by assuming a certain proton profile extending into the ¹²C-rich region without relating explicitly to a specific physical process. In these studies it was implicitly assumed that any subsequent alterations of the abundances in the partial mixing zone are due to nucleosynthesis only and no mixing takes place during the interpulse phase after the initial formation of the *s*-process nucleosynthesis in the ¹³C.

pocket. For example, it was shown that many observations are reproduced with models in which the neutron exposure in the ¹³C pocket is up to $\simeq 0.4$ mbarn⁻¹ at solar metallicity. In order to account for the observed *s*-process overabundances the partial mixing zone needs to have a mass of $10^{-4} - 10^{-3}$ M_{\odot}. These *s*-process models with an assumed H-profile for the partial mixing zone can account for many of the overall observed properties of the *s*-process.

However, to explain the observed s-process signature in AGB stars as a function of metallicity, the s-process model described above requires that stars of the same mass and metallicity have different neutron exposures due to the ¹³C neutron source during the AGB interpulse phase (Busso et al. 2001). A mixing process for the formation and evolution of this neutron source that allows for some spread in the efficiency of producing neutrons seems to be necessary. Van Winckel & Reyniers (2000) arrive at the same conclusion from observations of weakly metal-poor post-AGB stars with $21\mu m$ feature. In these objects the s-process element signatures are easier to measure than in the cool progenitor AGB stars. Also the spread in the Pb abundance observed in very low-metallicity stars (for example Van Eck et al. 2003) could fit into the current s-process model only if there is a spread in the number of neutrons available in the pocket for the s-process. Such a spread in the efficiency of the ¹³C neutron source is also required to explain the measurements of isotopic ratios in single pre-solar silicon carbide grains (SiC) recovered from pristine carbonaceous meteorites (Zinner 1998). The majority of these grains are believed to have formed in the circumstellar dusty envelopes surrounding carbon stars. The main evidence for this comes from measurements of aggregates of SiC that have shown a very strong signature of the s-process in the heavy elements present in trace (see e.g. Gallino et al. 1997). Nicolussi et al. (1997, 1998a,b) and Savina et al. (2003) performed measurements of the composition of Sr, Zr, Mo and Ba in single SiC grains. Isotopic ratios that are sensitive to the efficiency of the ¹³C neutron source have been found to show a large spread within the single grain data. This can be explained if SiC grains were formed in a multiplicity of low mass AGB stars with a range of neutron exposures in the ¹³C pocket. These isotopic ratios are those involving nuclei with very low neutron capture cross section such as nuclei with number of neutrons equal or near to nuclear magic numbers, i.e. $^{88}{\rm Sr}/^{86}{\rm Sr},~^{90,91,92}{\rm Zr}/^{94}{\rm Zr}$ and $^{138}{\rm Ba}/^{136}{\rm Ba}.$ A detailed analysis of SiC grain data indicated that a spread of the order 5 is necessary in the neutron exposure for a given mass and metallicity (Lugaro et al. 2003a). These new insights are specifically important for constraining the physical processes which are responsible for the partial mixing between envelope and core, and thereby lead to the formation of the ${}^{13}C$ neutron source. Moreover, neutron capture elements are in general becoming an increasingly important target of stellar observations, for example of IR-observations in planetary nebulae (Dinerstein 2001).

The open problem addressed in this paper is the role of rotational mixing. Rotation is an

effect that has to be taken into account when studying stellar evolution and nucleosynthesis. Most F stars, which are the main sequence progenitors of low-mass AGB stars, show rotational velocities of a few hundred $\mathrm{km \, s^{-1}}$ (Royer et al. 2002; Royer, Zorec & Frémat 2003). The importance of rotation as a physical process in AGB stars is not restricted to mixing and nucleosynthesis. Rotation in stars during their late evolutionary phase possibly drives the shaping of bipolar proto-planetary nebulae. According to the interacting-stellar-winds model (Kwok 1982; Balick 1987) a fast ionized stellar wind interacts with an equatorially dense AGB circumstellar envelope that could be the result of inhomogeneities associated with rotation. This model can qualitatively explain the presence of sharp radial structures and the wide variety of shapes found in planetary nebulae (see also Icke et al. 1992). Reimers et al. (2000) find that elliptical or weakly bipolar planetary nebulae shapes can result from dust-driven winds of rotating AGB stars. Soker (2001) considers the possibility that increased rotation in an AGB envelope may result from swallowing another celestial body, like a companion star in a binary system or an orbiting planet. Indeed, this hypothesis gains support from the recent discovery of many extra-solar planets and the detection of water vapour around evolved AGB stars, possibly due to the presence of comets (Melnick et al. 2001). García-Segura et al. (1999) present hydrodynamical models in which an equatorial density enhancement originates around an intermediate mass single AGB star with a fast rotating core that can spin up the extended envelope during mixing events associated with the He-shell flashes.

Langer et al. (1999) evolved a $3 M_{\odot}$ stellar model from the main sequence to the AGB phase including the effects of rotation on the stellar structure and mixing. They found that rotationally induced mixing at the core-envelope interface after a thermal pulse could be responsible for the formation of the partial mixing zone that hosts a ¹³C pocket and subsequent *s*-process nucleosynthesis. They also found that mixing in the partial mixing layer continues throughout the entire interpulse phase. In this paper we investigate mixing and the *s*-process at the core-envelope interface of this stellar model with rotation. We will also present a comparison with models including mixing due to hydrodynamic overshooting as well as parametric models that further illustrate the effect of slow mixing of the *s*-process layer during the interpulse period.

In the current model the s-process occurs in every interpulse-pulse cycle from when third dredge-up starts until the end of the AGB evolution. Several stellar parameters that are important for the computation of the s-process, e.g. the mass of the intershell, the temperature at the base of the convective shell, the overlapping factor between subsequent pulses and the third dredge-up, are different at each interpulse-pulse cycle. Detailed s-process calculations, such as those of Gallino et al. (1998), take into account these effects. However, the features of the ¹³C pocket are kept the same in each interpulse, and the changes in the temperature are not large enough to affect the modality of the burning of ¹³C in different interpulses. The only effect is that in detailed calculations the neutron exposure in the pocket slightly decreases with the interpulse number because the amount of *s*-process material increases in the intershell. We perform simulations of the *s*-process over only one interpulse period, which in first approximation represents all the interpulse periods. In §2 we derive the basic properties of the partial mixing zone and interpulse *s*-process layer from average observational features and simplified AGB evolution properties. We describe the stellar models and the nucleosynthesis code in §3. The following section is devoted to our scheme for the heavy *s*-process neutron sink (§4). The properties and the effects of mixing induced by hydrodynamic overshoot and by rotation are presented in §5 and §6 respectively. Mixing for the *s*-process is further explored with synthetic models in §7. The particular problem of the production of ¹⁹F is addressed in §8 and we present a final discussion in §9.

2. Constraints on the Properties of the Partial Mixing Zone

The properties of a partial mixing zone that reproduces the *s*-process features observed in AGB stars can be studied in detail with models including the effect of many consecutive thermal pulses and neutron exposure events (Gallino et al. 1998; Goriely & Mowlavi 2000). Here we derive some basic constraints on the properties of the *s*-process zone by following a much simpler approach. We consider two *s*-process indicators: the index s/s_{\odot} is the overproduction factor of *s*-process elements with respect to the initial solar value. We have used for this index the average of the production factors of Y and Nd. The index [hs/ls] = [hs/Fe] - [ls/Fe] monitors the distribution of the *s*-process elements. We have used $[ls/Fe]=\frac{1}{2}([Y/Fe]+[Zr/Fe])$ and $[hs/Fe]=\frac{1}{5}([Ba/Fe]+[La/Fe]+[Ce/Fe]+[Nd/Fe]+[Sm/Fe])$, where square brackets indicate the logarithmic ratio with respect to the solar ratio. Observationally, the spectroscopic studies of the *s*-process abundances in evolved low-mass stars of solar metallicity can be summarized as $0 < \log(s_{obs}/s_{\odot}) < 1$ and -0.5 < [hs/ls] < 0 (Busso et al. 1995).

The observed overproduction factors in the envelope are related to the overproduction factors in the s-process zone by two dilution factors that result from two subsequent mixing events: the He-flash convective mixing and the third dredge-up. Assuming that no significant amount of s-process material is available in the envelope initially and considering only the contribution of the s-process in the interpulse, the abundance of any species in the envelope after third dredge-up events in m identical TP cycles is related to the abundance in the s-process zone (partial mixing zone, PM) by

$$Y_{\rm env} = q \, m \, Y_{\rm PM} \, \frac{M_{\rm PM} M_{\rm DUP}}{M_{\rm IS} M_{\rm env}} \tag{1}$$

where $M_{\rm DUP}$, $M_{\rm IS}$ and $M_{\rm env}$ are the masses of the dredged-up layer, the intershell zone covered by the He-flash convection and the envelope respectively. In low mass AGB stars with core masses of ~ 0.6 M_☉ these quantities are of the order $M_{\rm DUP} \sim 3 \cdot 10^{-3} \,\rm M_{\odot}$, $M_{\rm IS} \sim 10^{-2} \,\rm M_{\odot}$ and $M_{\rm env} \sim 0.5 \,\rm M_{\odot}$. These masses vary from pulse to pulse and are dependent on the core mass and on the treatment of mixing. For example in the model sequence with rotation $M_{\rm IS} = 1 \cdot 10^{-2} \,\rm M_{\odot}$ at a core mass of $M_{\rm c} = 0.746 \,\rm M_{\odot}$ (Langer et al. 1999) while in the sequence with overshooting at all convective boundaries $M_{\rm IS} = 2.4 \cdot 10^{-2} \,\rm M_{\odot}$ at a core mass of $M_{\rm c} = 0.628 \,\rm M_{\odot}$ (Herwig 2000). The mass $M_{\rm PM}$ refers to the layer of the partial mixing zone that at the end of the interpulse phase contains *s*-process material. In models without mixing during the interpulse phase this corresponds to the region of the partial mixing zone were initially $-3 < \log X(H) < -2$ (Goriely & Mowlavi 2000). In models with mixing during the interpulse like in the case with rotation the extent of the partial mixing zone can only be determined at the end of the interpulse when the calculation has yielded the s-process nucleosynthesis and mixing result. The factor *q* describes the effect of overlapping He-flash convection zones in subsequent TP (see below).

Without resorting to the detailed results of full stellar evolution calculations we estimate the number m of thermal pulses with dredge-up events that enrich the envelope in a semiempirical way. As we have discussed in the introduction carbon stars are believed to be the result of recurrent third dredge-up events in low mass stars with initial ZAMS masses predominantly in the range $1.5 < M/M_{\odot} < 3$ (see also Groenewegen et al. 1997). These stars end their lives as white dwarfs with masses in the range 0.57 $< M/M_{\odot} < 0.68$, according to the revised stellar initial-final mass relation of Weidemann (2000). In fact, the mass distribution of white dwarfs peaks at or just below $0.60 \,\mathrm{M_{\odot}}$ (Koester et al. 1979; Weidemann & Koester 1984; Bergeron et al. 1992; Napiwotzki et al. 1999). This mass distribution is very similar to that of central stars of planetary nebulae (Stasinska et al. 1997), which are in the evolution phase between AGB stars and white dwarfs. This means that the majority of carbon stars must have achieved the necessary abundance enrichment through the third dredge-up before or when reaching a core mass of $\sim 0.6 \,\mathrm{M}_{\odot}$. According to the synthetic AGB models of Marigo et al. (1996) significant dredge-up must commence at core masses of $0.58 \,\mathrm{M_{\odot}}$ or even below in some cases, in order to reproduce the carbon star luminosity function (Marigo et al. 1999). Therefore the relevant chemical enrichment of AGB stars typically occurs within an effective core mass growth of about $\Delta M_{\rm cg} = 0.02 \,{\rm M}_{\odot}$, and maybe up to $0.06 \,\mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ in some cases. For low mass TP-AGB stars the core mass growth per TP is about $\Delta M_{\rm H} = 6 \cdot 10^{-3} \,\mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ and with a dredge-up parameter of $\lambda = 0.5$ about m=7 $(\Delta M_{\rm cg} = 0.02)$ and possibly up to 20 thermal pulses with dredge-up mixing (if dredge-up starts at $M_{\rm c} = 0.54 \,{\rm M}_{\odot}, \,\Delta M_{\rm cg} = 0.06$) can be considered to be responsible for the abundance enrichment.

Expression Eq. (1) does not take into account that processed heavy elements accumulate in the intershell from one TP to the next because the He-flash convection zone is partly overlapping (overlap factor r) with layers that have been swept by the previous He-flash convection zone. In the case of nucleosynthesis of a species (s) during the interpulse phase in a partial mixing zone the production stays roughly constant from TP to TP. The abundance due to such a production is $X_{\rm s} = X_{\rm PM} M_{\rm PM}/M_{\rm IS}$. The total intershell abundance at the nth TP with third dredge-up is then given by $X_n = X_{\rm s} + rX_{n-1}$. The number l of TPs needed to approach a 90% level of some asymptotic value for the intershell abundance of species s is given by $l = -1/\log r$. For n > l it follows that

$$X_n \approx X_s \cdot q = X_s \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} r^i \tag{2}$$

The overlap factor decreases as a function of TP number and also depends on the details of the third dredge-up efficiency. Typically overlap factors in stellar models decrease from about 0.8 at the earliest TPs to an asymptotic value larger than 0.4. The condition n > lis approximately satisfied for $r \leq 0.6$, for which Eq. (2) returns q = 2.3. Other triplets of (r, l, q) are (0.4, 3, 1.6) and (0.8, 11, 4.6). By evaluating Eq. (1) for the numbers specified above, and with Y_{env} in Eq. (1) given by using the maximum $\log(s_{obs}/s_{\odot}) = 1$, we derive a logarithmic expression that relates the *s*-process overabundance in the PM zone with the mass of that zone:

$$\log M_{\rm PM} = -\log(s_{\rm PM}/s_{\odot}) + c \tag{3}$$

where $c = -0.14 \ (0, -0.44)$ for $m = 10 \ (7, 20)$.

In Figure 2 we show the variation of $\log(s_{\rm PM}/s_{\odot})$ and $[\rm hs/ls]_{\rm PM}$ with the neutron exposure. These trends have been obtained by fully implicit network calculations containing the s-process nucleosynthesis with neutron captures on all isotopes from He to Pb (as in Lugaro et al. 2003b), and all relevant charged particle reactions (see § 3 for reaction rate references). As more neutrons are released the average s-process overabundance increases. In the current s-process model the partial mixing zone by definition does not extend into the He-burning shell, and therefore the partial mixing zone can not exceed the mass of the intershell layer. Thus, the mass available for the pocket is $M_{\rm PM} < 10^{-2} \,\mathrm{M_{\odot}}$. This, together with Eq. (3) and m = 10 requires that $\log(s_{\rm PM}/s_{\odot}) > 1.86$ and translates into a minimum for τ in the partial mixing zone. In Figure 2 the left shaded part of the diagram with $\tau < 0.2$ mbarn⁻¹ is thereby excluded as the predominant region of τ in the partial mixing zone. Values of $\log(s_{\rm PM}/s_{\odot}) < 1.86$ in that region would require a prohibitively large partial mixing zone $(M_{\rm PM} > 10^{-2} \,\mathrm{M_{\odot}})$ in order to reproduce the most s-process enriched stars of solar metallicity with $\log(s_{\rm obs}/s_{\odot}) = 1$. From $[\rm hs/ls]_{obs} < 0$ the τ -values corresponding to the right shaded area in Figure 2 can be excluded as typical for the s-process. In fact, if the neutron exposure

values predominant in the pocket exceed ~ 0.5 mbarn^{-1} the envelope *s*-process abundance will show [hs/ls] > 0. This case is discussed in §5.3 with an example in Table 1 (see also Lugaro et al. 2003b). To summarize, we estimate the following properties for the partial mixing zone in stars of solar metallicity:

- the ¹³C pocket should generate a predominant neutron exposure in the range ~ 0.2 0.5 mbarn⁻¹;
- using Eq. (3) and $\log(s_{\rm PM}/s_{\odot}) = 4$, corresponding to the upper limit of τ , the mass of the partial mixing zone should obey $M_{\rm PM} > 7 \cdot 10^{-5} \,\mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ (assuming m = 10), in agreement with the detailed studies.

3. Stellar Evolution and Nucleosynthesis Models

Stellar evolution models with rotation are computed in the same way as in Langer et al. (1999). The 1D-hydrodynamical stellar evolution code (Langer 1998; Heger et al. 2000) considers angular momentum, the effect of centrifugal force on the stellar structure and the following rotationally induced transport mechanisms for angular momentum and chemical species: Eddington-Sweet circulation, Solberg-Høiland and Goldreich-Schubert-Fricke instability, and dynamical and secular shear instability. The μ -gradient, which acts as a barrier for rotationally induced mixing, and the Ledoux criterion for convection and semiconvection are considered. The nuclear energy generation is computed in the operator split approximation with a network including pp-chain, H-burning cycles and He-burning. For comparison we analyze stellar models with hydrodynamic overshoot of Herwig (2000). These models feature a partial mixing zone of protons and ${}^{12}C$ very similar to that assumed for s-process calculations with a parameterized partial mixing zone, like in Goriely & Mowlavi (2000). They assume however that overshoot is to some extent present at all convective boundaries. The two processes of rotation and overshoot are considered independently from each other as the stellar evolution models with rotation do not include overshoot and the overshoot models do not include rotation.

The nuclear reactions under consideration for the *s*-process do not contribute in a significant way to the energy generation in the star. Hence post-processing is a valid approximation and a faster and easier-to-handle alternative to re-computing the whole stellar evolution including all the needed nuclear species. An important feature of our rotating AGB stellar models is a weak and persistent mixing of the partial mixing layer where the ¹³C and ¹⁴N pocket are located next to each other. The *s*-process can not be computed under the assumption of stratification anymore, as done for example by Lugaro et al. (2003b). Therefore

we have developed a nucleosynthesis and mixing post-processing code (SBM6) which solves simultaneously for mixing processes, charged particle nuclear reactions as well as neutron production and destruction reactions and β -decays. The code uses thermodynamic and mixing properties of the stellar evolution models as input and follows the abundance evolution of the nuclear network described below. In the stellar evolution code with rotation the time and spatial resolution is determined by the H-burning shell. Grid rezoning after the thermal pulse at the core-envelope interface may introduce some numerical diffusion which can be avoided if the s-process simulation is carried out on a fixed grid beginning right after the formation of the partial mixing zone. In the post-processing we use a high-resolution, equidistant Lagrangian grid with 400 points to cover our partial mixing layer which has a mass of $10^{-4} \,\mathrm{M_{\odot}}$. The solution scheme of coupled burning and mixing is fully implicit (Herwig 2001) with adaptive time steps. A Newton-Raphson iteration is accepted as solution if the corresponding greatest relative correction is less than 10^{-3} for any species at any grid point with a mass fraction $X > 10^{-21}$. This guarantees a numerical precision of 0.1% for any species at each time step and the correct integration of the neutron abundance, which is treated like that of any other species. The neutron density typically encountered during the interpulse $(N_{\rm n} < 10^7 n/{\rm cm}^{-3})$ corresponds to $X({\rm n}) < 2 \cdot 10^{-21}$. In practise the precision for neutrons is much better than 0.1% even for $X(n) < 10^{-21}$ because the convergence of the set of equation is determined by other species. Due to the fully implicit solution scheme only a fraction (about one hundredth) of the time steps of the evolutionary code need to be computed with the SBM6 code because in the partial mixing zone the thermodynamic conditions change much slower than at the location of the H-burning shell.

For the post-processing simulations we have considered the following reactions in the SBM6 code:

- (p, γ) from NACRE compilation (Angulo et al. 1999) on ¹²C, ¹³C, ¹³N, ¹⁴N, ¹⁵N, ¹⁶O, ¹⁷O, ¹⁸O, ¹⁹F, ²⁰Ne, ²¹Ne, ²²Ne, ²²Na, ²³Na, ²⁴Mg, ²⁵Mg(both to ²⁶Al_g and ²⁶Al_m), ²⁶Mg, ²⁷Al, ²⁸Si, ²⁹Si and ³⁰Si. ³¹P and ²⁶Al_g(p, γ) from Iliadis et al. (2001). ¹⁴C(p, γ) from Wiescher et al. (1990).
- (p, α) from NACRE on ¹⁵N, ¹⁷O, ¹⁸O and ¹⁹F.
- β -decays have been assumed to follow instantaneously were applicable, except for the β -decays of ²²Na, ²⁶Al_g, ¹³N, ¹⁴C and ⁵⁹Ni from the Karlsruher Chart of Nuclides.
- (α, γ) from NACRE on ¹²C, ¹⁵N and ¹⁸O, and on ¹⁴C from Gai et al. (1987); Hashimoto et al. (1986); Funck & Langanke (1989)¹.

¹We have used the electronic NETGEN database to retrieve these and other rates (Jorissen & Goriely

- (α, n) from NACRE on ¹³C and ²²Ne.
- (n, γ) from the compilation by Lugaro (2001, Appendix B) which is largely based on Bao et al. (2000) on ¹²C, ¹⁴N, ¹⁶O, ²¹Ne, ²⁰Ne, ²²Ne, ²³Na, ²⁴Mg, ²⁵Mg, ²⁶Mg, ²⁷Al, ²⁸Si, ²⁹Si, ³⁰Si, ³¹P, ⁵⁶Fe, ⁵⁷Fe, ⁵⁸Fe, ⁵⁹Co, ⁵⁸Ni, ⁵⁹Ni, ⁶⁰Ni, ⁶¹Ni and ⁶²Ni.
- (n, p) neutron recycling reactions on ¹⁴N (Gledenov et al. 1995) and ⁵⁹Ni from the Reaclib Data Tables of nuclear reaction rates (1991), updated version of the compilation by Thielemann et al. (1987).
- A light and a heavy neutron sink accounts for neutron absorbing species between ³²S and ⁵⁶Fe and above ⁶²Ni respectively (see § 4 for details).

We consider the light n-capture reactions that are important to determine the n-density. The efficiency of isotopes to absorb neutrons can be measured by the product of the n-capture Maxwellian-averaged cross section and the number density of the isotope. Assuming a solar abundance distribution the 12 most important light n-absorbing isotopes are (in the order of decreasing absorbing efficiency, (n, γ) reaction unless noted): ¹⁴N(n,p), ³⁰Si, ²⁷Al, ²⁸Si, ²⁹Si, ²⁵Mg, ¹⁶O, ¹⁴N, ²⁴Mg, ²³Na, ²⁰Ne and ¹²C. The order of this list of n-capture isotopes changes at locations in stars where a non-solar abundance distribution has been established. E.g. in the ¹⁴N pocket (see § 5) the ¹⁴N abundance is so high that the n-captures by ¹⁴N(n, γ) reactions outnumber all other (n, γ) reactions on light elements and is itself dwarfed by ¹⁴N(n, p)¹⁴C. The long-lived ground state of the unstable nucleus ²⁶Al is also a major neutron poison due to its very large cross sections for (n, p) and (n, α) reactions (Skelton et al. 1987; Koehler et al. 1997). This nucleus is produced by proton captures on ²⁵Mg during H-burning, however it is efficiently destroyed by neutron captures in the thermal pulse convective regions, hence it is not present in the ¹³C pocket and we have omitted it in the computation.

The neutron recycling induced by the ¹⁴N(n,p)¹⁴C reaction is of particular importance for the *s*-process in rotating AGB stars. This reaction deprives the Fe-seed and trans-iron elements of available neutrons. The major effect is that the neutron exposure is smaller if ¹⁴N is present (see Appendix A). In addition the ¹⁴N(n,p)¹⁴C reaction opens a channel to make the isotope ¹⁵N, hence potentially ¹⁹F. ¹⁴C has a half life of 5730 yr. Under the typical conditions of n-production in the interpulse phase ($T = 9 \cdot 10^7 \text{ K}, \rho = 3700 \text{ gcm}^{-3}$) ¹⁴C can capture an α particle or a proton. The ¹⁴C(α, γ)¹⁸O reaction is 2.5 times slower than ¹³C(α, n)¹⁶O, but still affected by large uncertainties. The p-capture reaction of ¹⁴C (¹⁴C(p, γ)¹⁵N) is 3.7

^{2001).}

times faster than ${}^{12}C(p,\gamma){}^{13}N$ and about as fast as ${}^{13}C(p,\gamma){}^{14}N$. No other p- or α -capture reactions of ${}^{14}C$ are important and also the ${}^{14}C(n,\gamma){}^{15}C$ can be neglected.

4. Approximating the *s*-Process with a Neutron Sink

We introduce two reactions and two artificial particles that together give an estimate on the overproduction of *s*-process elements and the *s*-process distribution. First, we consider the reaction ${}^{62}\text{Ni}(n, \gamma){}^{63}\text{G}$, where ${}^{63}\text{G}$ is an artificial particle with mass number 63. We identify the number abundance of ${}^{63}\text{G}$ with the combined number abundance of all isotopes heavier than ${}^{62}\text{Ni}$:

$$Y(^{63}G) = \sum_{A=63}^{209} Y(^{A}S),$$
(4)

where S stands for the element symbol of the respective species with A > 62. For a solar composition the number abundance of ${}^{63}\text{G}$ is $Y_{\odot}({}^{63}\text{G}) = 5.4 \cdot 10^{-8}$. Starting on ${}^{56}\text{Fe}$ a suitable neutron exposure will quickly lead to the formation of heavy particles ^AS (with A > 62), hence the abundance of ${}^{63}\text{G}$ increases. In order to count the number of neutron captures that occur on species with A > 62 we introduce a second reaction ${}^{63}\text{G}(n, {}^{1}\text{L}){}^{63}\text{G}$, which plays the role of heavy neutron sink. The Maxwellian-averaged cross section of ${}^{63}\text{G}$ is computed in the usual way (Jorissen & Arnould 1989):

$$\sigma(^{63}G) = Y(^{63}G)^{-1} \sum_{A=63}^{209} \sigma_A Y(^AS).$$
(5)

Neutron captures will occur repeatedly on individual ⁶³G particles, thereby simulating the production of increasingly heavy *s*-process isotopes. These neutron captures are responsible for the final *s*-process element distribution of the species represented by ⁶³G. They do, however, not change the number abundance of ⁶³G. The ratio $(L/G) = Y(^{1}L)/Y(^{63}G)$ is similar to the customary quantity n_{cap} (Clayton 1968) and is a measure of the *s*-process distribution (Figure 3). In fact, if one defines the artificial sink particle G to be the product of a n-capture on ⁵⁶Fe, then $(L/G) = n_{cap}$. With our choice of G being the product of a n-capture on ^{62}Ni , n_{cap} is slightly larger than (L/G) for a given neutron exposure because n_{cap} takes into account the n-captures on isotopes from ^{56}Fe to ^{62}Ni . These n-captures mainly take place during the initial phase of the neutron exposure phase for $\tau < 0.1$ mbarn⁻¹.

The neutron cross section of the sink particle 63 G depends on the abundance distribution of the particles it represents. In Figure 4 the Maxwellian-averaged sink cross section at 8keV according to Eq. (5) is shown during calculations of the *s*-process nucleosynthesis with the network including neutron captures on all isotopes up to Pb, starting with a solar abundance distribution of trans-iron elements. The variation of σ ⁽⁶³G) reflects the changing abundance distribution of heavy elements. Initially, species accumulate at the stable neutron magic nuclei on the s-process path (88 Sr, 138 Ba, 208 Pb) and the averaged cross section decreases. As more neutrons are released the contribution of species in between increases and finally dominates the sink cross section. The choice of a constant sink neutron cross section for the entire s-process simulation is the largest individual uncertainty when approximating the s-process with artificial sink reactions as described above. However, it turns out that the error introduced by using a constant sink cross section is sufficiently small for our purpose. In Figure 5 we show the neutron exposure of calculations with the sink treatment with three choices of the sink cross section as compared with s-process calculations performed with a network including neutron captures on all isotopes up to to Pb for the three cases of Figure 4. For low neutron exposures the influence of the sink cross section is small, because the neutron density is dominated by 56 Fe and the lighter neutron capture elements. For the *s*-process in the partial mixing zone of stars of solar metallicity the most important range for τ is between ~ 0.2...0.5mbarn⁻¹. We choose for our simulations $\sigma(^{63}G) = 120$ mbarn which reproduces the neutron exposure from the *s*-process calculation up to Pb within 10%.

We also introduced a light neutron-sink reaction to take into account neutron captures on elements from S to Mn, which are missing in our network. For this reaction, which plays only a minor role, we have used the value $\sigma_{\text{light}}(8\text{keV}) = 7.36\text{mbarn}$ given by Lugaro (2001).

5. Mixing from hydrodynamic overshoot

The hydrodynamical properties of convection inevitably result in some turbulent mixing into the stable layers adjacent to convectively unstable regions. In fact, any model of convection in the hydrodynamical framework predicts that the turbulent velocity field decays roughly exponentially inside the stable layers (e.g. Xiong 1985; Freytag et al. 1996; Asida & Arnett 2000). A depth- and time-dependent hydrodynamic overshoot approximation has been used in a number of recent studies (Herwig et al. 1997; Mowlavi 1999; Mazzitelli et al. 1999; Salasnich et al. 1999; Herwig 2000; Cristallo et al. 2001), with the aim to capture the main consequences of hydrodynamic mixing into the stable layers induced by convection.

5.1. Third dredge-up and $H/^{12}C$ -partial mixing zone

It has been shown by Herwig (2000) that overshoot at all convective boundaries, including the base of the convective envelope and the bottom of the He-flash convection zone, strongly increases the efficiency of the third dredge-up at low core masses. This is required observationally in order to reproduce the observed C-star luminosity function in the Magellanic Clouds (Richer 1981; Frogel et al. 1990). Synthetic models of the AGB phase in which the third dredge-up parameter is derived observationally have demonstrated that typically efficient third dredge-up must take place at core masses as low as $0.58 \,\mathrm{M_{\odot}}$ (Marigo et al. 1996) or at even lower core masses around $0.54 \, M_{\odot}$ according to a more recent analyzes by Marigo et al. (1999). This condition is not met by most stellar models, including those of Mowlavi (1999) who considers hydrodynamic overshoot only at the bottom of the convective envelope (see his Fig. 10b for a comparison of third dredge-up efficiencies found by different authors). The model grid of Herwig et al. (2000), which includes overshoot at all convective boundaries, includes cases (e.g. the $2 M_{\odot}$, Z=0.01 case) which cover the low C-star luminosity tail as required by observations. The third dredge-up properties of AGB models are important for the s-process because third dredge-up is needed to bring the processed material to the surface. Hydrodynamic overshoot creates a partial mixing zone at the core-envelope interface with a continuous decrease of the $H/^{12}C$ -ratio from the envelope into the intershell layers (Herwig et al. 1997; Herwig 2000).

The global properties of the *s*-process can be reproduced with a partial mixing zone resulting from hydrodynamic overshoot (Goriely & Mowlavi 2000; Lugaro & Herwig 2001). The main features of the *s*-process overabundance distribution are mainly determined by the regions of the pocket which have the largest neutron exposures, not so much by the detailed shape of the $H/^{12}C$ -profile within the partial mixing zone. Even if the treatment of hydrodynamic overshoot according to an exponentially decaying velocity field is not correct and the actual functional dependence of overshoot efficiency with depth is somewhat different, then the *s*-process will most likely be affected only slightly as long as the $H/^{12}C$ -profile is somehow continuous. For example, Denissenkov & Tout (2002) investigate gravity waves below the convective envelope as a cause for extra mixing to produce partial mixing of protons and ^{12}C . This mixing process is another way of looking at the mixing resulting from the perturbation of the convective boundary due to turbulence and leads to neutron exposures in the region close to that of previous models featuring a continuous decrease of the proton abundances into the ^{12}C -rich core.

An additional effect is introduced in models that consider overshoot at all convective boundaries (Herwig 2000). In these models the ¹²C abundance in the intershell is about twice as large than in models without overshoot at the base of the He-shell flash convection zone. Lugaro et al. (2003b) have shown that the neutron exposure in the *s*-process layer is proportional to the ¹²C abundance in the intershell. Hence, in models that consider overshoot at the base of the He-shell flash convection zone the neutron exposure in the *s*-process layer is higher than in models that do not include this overshoot.

5.2. How much overshoot?

The initial computations of AGB stars with hydrodynamic overshoot were carried out with an exponential overshoot parameter of f = 0.016, which was motivated by the efficiency derived from convective core overshoot of main sequence stars. The effective mass of the partial mixing zone where the neutrons are efficiently released is confined within the region where the proton abundance follows $-2 < \log X_{\rm P} < -3$ (for an intershell ¹²C mass fraction of ~ 20%, Goriely & Mowlavi 2000). According to this criterion the mass of the *s*-process layer computed with f = 0.016 is only ~ $10^{-6} \,\mathrm{M}_{\odot}$, which is much smaller than required (see § 2).

However, one overshoot efficiency parameter will not apply to all convective boundaries during all evolutionary phases. After Shaviv & Salpeter (1973) first considered the possibility of convective overshoot several studies have used a very simple prescription in which convective mixing was treated instantaneously and overshoot was simply a matter of extending the instantaneously mixed region by some fraction of the pressure scale height. In this approximation main sequence core overshoot should extend by about $0.2H_{p}$ (e.g. Schaller et al. 1992, and references there). Alongi et al. (1991) argued that overshoot of $0.7 H_{\rm p}$ below the envelope of red giant stars could align the location of luminosity bump with observations. The 2D radiation-hydrodynamic simulations by Freytag et al. (1996) have shown that the shallow surface convection zone of white dwarfs have exponential overshoot mixing according to an overshoot parameter of f = 1.0 while the convection zone simulation of A-stars show f = 0.25. For the oxygen burning layer in pre-supernova models Asida & Arnett (2000) found perturbations of the stable layers reaching one pressure scale height beyond the formal convective boundary. Thus, there is ample indication that the overshoot efficiency is not the same at different convective boundaries. However, convective overshoot is not a stochastic process as long as the convective turn-over time scale is shorter than the thermal time scale of the region which hosts the convective boundary. For similar convective boundaries one should expect a similar overshoot efficiency. This expectation is supported by 2D hydrodynamical computations by Deupree (2000) who showed that the core overshoot distance of ZAMS stars varies only mildly with stellar mass.

Here we choose an exponential overshoot parameter for the hydrodynamic overshoot at the bottom of convective envelope of f = 0.16. This larger overshoot is only applied during the third dredge-up phase. This has no major effect on the properties of the models, other than stretching the partial mixing zone and consequently the ¹³C and ¹⁴N profiles in that layer over a larger mass range. The peak neutron exposure and the *s*-process abundance distribution in the partial mixing layer are not much changed. As a side effect the third dredge-up efficiency is slightly increased, by ~ 20%. As mentioned in the introduction observations as well as the analysis of pre-solar meteoritic SiC grains suggest that stars with otherwise identical initial conditions have a range of *s*-process efficiencies. Such a range can not be expected to result from overshoot since such mechanism is not expected to be a stochastic process.

5.3. Neutron production for the *s*-process in the overshoot model

We model the abundance evolution in the partial mixing zone during the 7th interpulse phase of the $3 M_{\odot}$, Z = 0.02 sequence of Herwig et al. (2000), with an overshoot efficiency f =0.16 during the third dredge-up phase. We use the post-processing code (SBM6) described in §3 because the computation of the stellar evolution does not include all the species and reactions needed to study the *s*-process. As initial conditions we use the thermodynamic and abundance profiles from the stellar evolution model at the end of the third dredge-up phase after the thermal pulse. These profiles are mapped to the equidistant, Lagrangian post-processing grid and then evolved according to the stellar structure models at a series of times throughout the interpulse phase.

We start the simulation with the partially mixed $H/^{12}C$ zone of ~ $10^{-4} M_{\odot}$ that has formed at the end of the third dredge-up phase as a result of time- and depth-dependent hydrodynamic overshoot (top panel, Figure 6). In this model no mixing takes place during the interpulse phase. In the middle panel of Figure 6 the ¹³C neutron source has started releasing neutrons and up to 10% of the ¹³C abundance has been consumed. In the upper part of the partial mixing zone where ¹⁴N dominates the majority of neutrons is absorbed by the ¹⁴N(n, p)¹⁴C reaction. This can be seen from the profile of ¹⁴C. The maximum neutron density is $5.7 \cdot 10^6 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ at that time and it subsequently reaches a peak value of $1.1 \cdot 10^7 \text{ cm}^{-3}$. The bottom panel of Figure 6 shows the total neutron exposure in the *s*-process layer before it is engulfed by the subsequent He-flash convection zone. A maximum neutron exposure $\tau = 0.72 \text{mbarn}^{-1}$ is found. This is about a factor two larger than in previous *s*-process simulations of the partial mixing scenario (Goriely & Mowlavi 2000) because in our overshoot models the ¹²C intershell abundance is larger than in models that do not use overshoot at the bottom of the He-flash convection zone.

In comparison to the observational constraints for the partial mixing and s-process layer derived in §2 we find that the neutron exposure in this model with hydrodynamic overshoot at all convective boundaries is too large. Lugaro et al. (2003b) concluded that to match observed stellar s-process abundance patterns the overshoot at the bottom of the He-flash convection zone should be smaller than that used by Herwig (2000, f=0.016). For example, the higher temperatures in the He-flash convection zone due to overshoot result

in very large ⁹⁶Zr/⁹⁴Zr ratios, in contradiction with measurements in mainstream pre-solar meteoritic SiC grains. The temperature in the He-flash convection zone decreases with the stellar mass. However, some SiC show almost no presence of ⁹⁶Zr and they are difficult to explain by current models even by considering stars with mass as low as 1.5 M_{\odot} (Lugaro et al. 2003a) and no overshoot included. The inclusion of overshoot at the base of the He-flash convection zone increases the temperature for a given stellar mass, making it even more difficult to explain these data. On the other hand, current models of hydrogen-deficient post-AGB stars (Herwig et al. 1999) can only reproduce the abundance patterns observed in PG1159-type objects and central stars of planetary nebulae of spectral type [WC] (Koesterke & Hamann 1997; Dreizler et al. 1996) with AGB progenitor models including intershell overshoot. Further investigations of extra mixing have to address whether the constraints from s-process branchings and from subsequent evolutionary stages can be resolved in well adjusted models. 0 The mass of the layer in which significant overproduction factors of s-process elements can be expected is in our calculation of the order $\sim 3 \cdot 10^{-5} \,\mathrm{M_{\odot}}$, about a factor of two less than the minimum pocket mass required according to the estimates in §2. The choice of the overshoot parameter at the base of the convective envelope only affects the extent in mass of the partial mixing zone, and very little the s-process distribution. The latter is determined by the neutron exposure in the pocket. For that reason one can not remove the problem of the large neutron exposure in our overshoot model by a fine tuning of the overshoot parameter at the base of the convective envelope.

This can easily be shown with a numerical test carried out with a parametric s-process code, as that used by Lugaro et al. (2003b, and ref. there). We made four runs of the s-process model with radiative ${}^{13}C$ burning through 13 thermal pulse events and mixing episodes according to the stellar evolution model including overshoot, as described in Lugaro et al. (2003b). To select the effect of varying of the ¹³C-pocket mass only, we neglect the contribution of the ²²Ne neutron source during the He-shell flash. The benchmark (BM) case corresponds to the ¹³C pocket obtained with an exponential overshoot parameter of f = 0.128 at the bottom of the convective envelope. The pocket is computed starting from an intershell ¹²C mass fraction of 0.43 and is kept identical in all the interpulse phases. We multiply/divide the mass of the pocket as indicated in Table 1, which approximates the effect of larger/smaller f values. Note that this is different than changing the ¹³C abundance in the pocket as done in order to obtain a spread in neutron exposures by Busso et al. (2001). Table 1 gives s-process indices at the stellar surface at the end of an AGB model sequence. In all cases [hs/ls] > 0, clearly in contrast to the observed negative [hs/ls]. The smallest |hs/ls| values which are closest to the observed values correspond to almost no s-process overproduction ([ls/Fe] and [hs/Fe] ~ 0) and must be excluded.

6. Mixing in rotating AGB stars

For the formation of the ¹³C pocket and the *s*-process in TP-AGB stars the most relevant rotationally induced mixing instability is caused by shear at locations of large angular velocity gradients. In order to anticipate rotationally induced mixing events it is therefore important to consider the angular velocity evolution throughout the thermal pulse cycle. Throughout this and the following section we make use of the interpulse phase $\phi = \frac{t-t_0}{\Delta t}$, where t_0 is the time of the thermal pulse, i.e. the peak He-burning luminosity, and Δt is the interpulse period of $3 \cdot 10^4$ yr.

The evolution of angular velocity in the intershell region during the 25^{th} interpulse period of our $3 M_{\odot}$ rotating TP-AGB model star is shown in Figure 7. The main features of the angular velocity evolution can be understood by redistribution of angular momentum in the convective regions and conservation of angular momentum in contracting or expanding stable layers. The first profile at $\phi = -0.0536$ shows a model of stable H-burning during the interpulse phase shortly before the He-shell flash. The H-shell is located just below the mass coordinate $0.746 \,\mathrm{M_{\odot}}$. The steep jump at ~ $0.741 \,\mathrm{M_{\odot}}$ marks the largest extent of the He-flash convection zone during the previous thermal pulse. The He-flash convection zone redistributes angular momentum from the faster rotating C/O-core throughout the intershell and the layers just below the H-rich envelope. The profile shows a plateau between 0.741 and $0.746 \,\mathrm{M}_{\odot}$. This region contains the H-shell ashes which have been deposited there by the outward burning H-shell. The angular velocity is larger in this area than in the convective envelope above the H-burning shell. Angular momentum is efficiently distributed by convection throughout the convective envelope, which rotates almost rigidly. However, the H-burning ashes contract onto the core and therefore the angular velocity increases, hence the plateau between 0.741 and 0.746 M_{\odot} is formed.

At $\phi = 0.0034$ the He-flash convection zone has formed and the mass layers covered by convection are spun up. At this time the He-flash convection zone reaches up to mass coordinate $0.745 \,\mathrm{M}_{\odot}$. Between this and the following profile at $\phi = 0.0037$ the He-flash convection zone reaches its fullest extent up to $0.746 \,\mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ (just below the location of the H-burning shell before the thermal pulse). In addition, the intershell region is expanding due to the energy provided by the He-flash. This leads to a reduction of the angular velocity in the intershell. This effect is still present at $\phi = 0.0087$, until contraction resumes and the angular velocity in the intershell region increases accordingly (profiles $\phi = 0.0122$ to 0.1706). The angular velocity profiles at later times show again the formation of a plateau between 0.746 and 0.749 M_{\odot} , where the H-shell is now located, due to deposition of H-shell ashes on top of the core.

From the evolution of the angular velocity in rotating TP-AGB stars we can anticipate

the following mixing properties. Efficient shear mixing will take place at ~ 0.746 M_{\odot} after the formation of the large angular velocity gradient. This gradient forms because two convective regions extend to this mass coordinates from below and above in short succession. The He-flash convection first taps the reservoir of high angular momentum in the core. Then, the convective envelope establishes contact between the fast-rotating intershell and the low-rotating envelope. At this interface rotationally induced shear mixing will be most efficient in acting on abundance gradients. The timescale to establish a partial mixing zone of hydrogen and ¹²C as needed for the formation of the ¹³C pocket is limited to the time interval when the envelope and the intershell are in contact, i.e. between the end of the third dredge-up and the reignition of the H-shell. This period lasts 2000 to 3000 yr (Figure 1). However, as can be seen in Figure 7 the steep angular velocity gradient remains at this mass coordinate after the formation of the partial mixing zone and shear mixing at this location will persist throughout the intershell period.

Correspondingly, two mixing periods during the interpulse phase resulting from rotation can be distinguished as shown by the temporal evolution of the mixing coefficient presented in Figure 8. During the initial envelope-core contact period at the end of the third dredge-up a partial mixing zone of protons and ¹²C forms (top panel, Figure 9). The mixing efficiency in Eulerian coordinates at this time is $\log D_{\rm r} \sim 5$ (in cgs units), but decreasing rapidly at a time scale of ~ 1000 yr. However, after the thermal pulse the mass gradient in the partial mixing zone increases steadily as the intershell layers contract and evolve towards a preflash structure. For assessing the effect on the abundance evolution the Lagrangian mixing coefficient should be considered:

$$D_{\rm m} = \left(\frac{dm}{dr}\right)^2 D_{\rm r} = (4\pi \,\rho \,r^2)^2 \,D_{\rm r}\,, \tag{6}$$

where all symbols have their usual meaning.

In Table 2 we give the mixing properties in the partial mixing zone at three different times: an early phase soon after the pulse, a phase just before the release of neutrons starts, and a phase after the neutron source ¹³C is exhausted. Despite the comparatively large mixing coefficients rotationally induced mixing is not important immediately after the initial formation of the partial mixing zone. By the time the neutron source is about to be activated the mass gradient has increased by more than two orders of magnitude, which more than offsets the decrease of the geometrical mixing zone. This trend continues throughout the time of occurrence of the *s*-process during the interpulse phase, as the intershell layer and the partial mixing zone gradually contract.

Finally, we note that the present model with rotation does not show sufficient third

dredge-up. This has already been reported by Langer et al. (1999) and is evident from their Fig. 3 and 4. We found that the third dredge-up in models of rotating AGB stars is related to the efficiency of μ -gradients to inhibit mixing. This is a somewhat uncertain parameterized property of rotating stellar models and a different approach in describing this effect could change the resulting third dredge-up.

6.1. Neutron production for the *s*-process with rotation

We model the abundance evolution in the partial mixing zone during the 25th interpulse phase of a stellar model of $3 M_{\odot}$, Z = 0.02, and initial equatorial rotation velocity of 250km/s (Langer et al. 1999). The core mass at this interpulse phase is $M_c = 0.746 M_{\odot}$ and the third dredge-up only just dips into the carbon-rich intershell. To compute the *s*-process we used the post-processing code (SBM6) described in §3. As in the computation with overshoot, we use as initial conditions the thermodynamic and abundance profiles from the stellar evolution model at the end of the third dredge-up. We map these profiles to the equidistant, Lagrangian post-processing grid and then evolve them according to the stellar structure models at a series of times throughout the interpulse phase. As a result of shear mixing due to the large angular velocity gradient immediately after the end of the third dredge-up a partial mixing zone forms (top panel, Figure 9) similar to that in models with diffusive overshoot (Figure 6). The partial mixing zone defined as having a proton abundance of $-2 < \log X(p) < -3$ has a mass of $M_{\rm PM} = 6 \cdot 10^{-6} M_{\odot}$.

As described above, throughout the interpulse period shear mixing at the former coreenvelope interface continues at a low level. This has two effects on the ¹³C initially formed in the partial mixing zone. The ¹³C is spread out, hence diluted, over the mass range subject to shear mixing. Secondly, the ¹⁴N and the ¹³C pockets, which are well separated in the overshoot model, are effectively mixed. As a result the ¹⁴N abundance exceeds the ¹³C abundance everywhere in the pocket (middle panel, Figure 9). In this situation most neutrons released by ¹³C(α ,n) are absorbed by the reaction ¹⁴N(n, p)¹⁴C rather than by heavy nuclei. Due to the increased amount of neutron absorbers the neutron density is drastically reduced; the maximum neutron density is $N_n = 2.6 \cdot 10^6 \text{cm}^{-3}$. This corresponds to the very small neutron exposure obtained in this model (bottom panel, Figure 9). The activation of the ¹⁴N(n, p)¹⁴C reaction can be seen from the ¹⁴C profile in the middle panel. Protons released by this reaction are captured by ¹²C, building more ¹³C, as well as by ¹³C itself (and by ¹⁸O, see discussion in §8).

In comparison to the basic requirements for the *s*-process layer derived in $\S 2$ we conclude that this particular model of rotational mixing in the TP-AGB interpulse period can not produce the *s*-process abundance patterns observed in stars of solar metallicity. The neutron exposure reaches only $\tau = 0.04 \text{mbarn}^{-1}$, too small for any significant *s*-process enhancement. As far as the mass of the *s*-process layer is concerned we note that shear mixing has caused a substantial broadening. In the end, a zone of $5 \cdot 10^{-5} \text{ M}_{\odot}$ has experienced a low neutron irradiation.

One peculiarity of s-process simulations including mixing throughout the interpulse period concerns the sensitivity to uncertainties of the nuclear reaction rates. In the parameterized s-process without mixing during the interpulse each layer is treated individually and all ¹³C is burnt before the onset of the next He-shell flash. As a result, for example a different ¹³C(α , n)¹⁶O rate, as long as it allows all ¹³C to be consumed during the interpulse period, would lead to a slight time shift of the neutron release, but in the end this does not affect the neutron exposure. The case with mixing throughout the interpulse is different due to the more important recycling of neutrons by ¹⁴N(n, p)¹⁴C and ¹²C(p, γ)¹³N. For example, we find that the neutron exposure increases by about 20% when using the NACRE (Angulo et al. 1999) ¹³C(α , n)¹⁶O rate instead of the CF88 (Caughlan & Fowler 1988) rate.

In summary, we have demonstrated the main properties of partial mixing layers for the s-process due to rotationally induced mixing and due to hydrodynamic overshoot. Both models do not seem to be consistent with the observed properties. The overshoot model shows some problems related to the overshoot at the base of the He-flash convection. The model including rotational mixing shows that the s-process during the interpulse is easily prevented if the delicate process of ¹³C formation and neutron release is disturbed by mixing. In fact, our preliminary model of an AGB star evolving from a main sequence star rotating more slowly than the model presented above indicates that also in this case the s-process nucleosynthesis during the interpulse period is very much inhibited by the rotation induced mixing.

7. Synthetic Mixing Models

In the previous section we have encountered the limitations of both mixing processes, hydrodynamic overshoot and rotationally induced mixing. Here we want to explore the possibility that some combination of both processes may provide a satisfactory mixing law for the *s*-process. To that end we construct some synthetic post-processing models that include overshoot and rotation in a simple parametric scheme.

We approximate the details of rotationally induced mixing by assuming a constant mixing coefficient $D_{\rm IP}$ throughout the interpulse phase for the post-processing simulation of

the s-process layer. To show that this approach leads to the same results as the detailed computation presented in $\S 6.1$ we compare the evolution of the neutron exposure with time from the detailed computation with that obtained in two test cases (Figure 10). Test rot1 is computed by starting from the partial mixing zone obtained in the rotation sequence (top panel, Figure 9), but excluding any further mixing during the interpulse. The maximum neutron exposure is $\tau \approx 0.38 \text{mbarn}^{-1}$, much higher than the case when mixing continues during the interpulse and very similar to that found in other partial mixing models without rotation. However, the neutron exposure integrated over the simulated mass range is two times smaller in test case rot1 compared to the case when the further mixing during the interpulse is included. This reflects the higher degree of n-recycling in a region of wider mass in the case when rotation mixing during the interpulse is included. Test rot2 is computed by starting again from the partial mixing zone obtained in the rotation sequence and applying a constant Eulerian mixing coefficient $\log D_{\rm IP} = 1$ (cgs units) across the simulation range. This procedure can approximately reproduce the key quantities of the detailed post-processing simulation presented in §6.1: $M_{\rm MP} \leq 10^{-4} \,\rm M_{\odot}$ and $\tau_{\rm max} \sim 0.03 \,\rm mbarn^{-1}$. The fact that the test case rot2 approximates the results of the detailed simulation confirms that a constant mixing coefficient throughout the interpulse period mimics the second phase of rotationally induced mixing that is responsible for the admixture of the ¹⁴N neutron poison and the dilution of the ¹³C pocket.

With this simple representation we study the effect that mixing of the s-process layers during the interpulse might have on the partial mixing zone formed as a result of hydrodynamic overshoot. We perform another set of simulations in which we start with the partial mixing layer produced from hydrodynamic overshoot (shown in the top panel of Figure 6). A constant mixing coefficient is imposed during the interpulse simulation. Cases for four different interpulse mixing efficiencies are shown in Figure 11. Even with very weak constant mixing of log $D_{\rm IP} = -2$ the peak neutron exposure is somewhat reduced compared to the overshoot case without interpulse mixing shown in Figure 6. For faster interpulse mixing the s-process layer becomes broader and the final neutron exposure declines.

From these tests we tentatively propose a two-step-mixing scheme for the *s*-process in AGB stars. At the end of the third dredge-up a fast mixing process induced by the convectively unstable envelope leads to the formation of a partial mixing zone. A mass range of $\approx 5 \cdot 10^{-5} \,\mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ should have a proton abundance of $-2 < \log X(p) < -3$. The intershell ¹²C abundance should be larger than in models that confine mixing in the Heshell flash convection zone strictly to within the Schwarzschild boundaries. Due to mixing during the interpulse phase the neutron release is modulated (in any case reduced) and a set of otherwise identical stars with a variety of mixing efficiencies at the core-envelope layers will display a spectrum of neutron exposures. In this scenario involving hydrodynamic overshoot as well as interpulse mixing, the efficiencies needed to cover the spread in s-process efficiencies observed in stars (Busso et al. 2001) and pre-solar grains (Lugaro et al. 2003a) can be achieved with mixing efficiencies of the order $\log D_{\rm IP} \approx 0 \dots -1.3$. This range is not currently reproduced by stellar evolutionary sequences including rotation, which predict much larger mixing efficiencies (see § 6.1). Smaller mixing efficiencies would result from a smaller angular velocity gradient at the core-envelope interface and/or a smaller rotation rate. This could be achieved by a more efficient angular momentum transport during the progenitor evolution. Efficient third dredge-up and/or penetration of the convective pulse into the core might affect the mixing properties due to rotation as angular momentum could be transported out of the core into the envelope and carried away by mass loss. This effect is not present in our computation as our models do not show efficient third dredge-up. Moreover, in our models we have not considered magnetic fields which could enhance the coupling of core and envelope and decelerate the core (Spruit 1998).

8. Fluorine Production in Models with Rotation

Jorissen et al. (1992) have observed high enhancements of fluorine in AGB stars. The solar abundance is $X(^{19}\text{F}) = 4.1 \cdot 10^{-7}$ and observationally $X(^{19}\text{F}) = 6.6 \cdot 10^{-7}$ at the start of the AGB phase (average of K and M giants). The typical abundance of ¹⁹F in TP-AGB stars (S, M and C stars) is $X(^{19}\text{F}) \approx (4.5 \pm 4) \cdot 10^{-6}$. In particular the N-type carbon stars show a large spread in ¹⁹F abundances within a small range of C/O values. Overall thermal pulses appear to cause a tenfold increase in ¹⁹F as a result of thermal pulse and/or interpulse nucleosynthesis and third dredge-up. While the observed correlation of fluorine enhancement with s-process enhancement is reproduced by current models (see Figure 13 of Goriely & Mowlavi 2000), the observed correlation of fluorine with carbon remains unsolved.

Several nucleosynthesis paths have been considered and the most likely involves a (n,p) reaction (Jorissen et al. 1992). Because the ${}^{19}F(\alpha, p){}^{22}Ne$ rate is about ten times larger than the ${}^{22}Ne(\alpha, n){}^{25}Mg$ rate at $T = 3 \cdot 10^8$ K (Caughlan & Fowler 1988; Angulo et al. 1999) ${}^{22}Ne$ can be excluded as the *n*-source for the required (n,p)-reactions. Even if fluorine is made during the interpulse period, massive AGB stars can be excluded as ${}^{19}F$ producers because they have very hot He-flash convective zones, in which ${}^{19}F(\alpha, p){}^{22}Ne$ is very efficient. Moreover, in massive AGB stars, proton captures at the hot base of the convective envelope destroy fluorine efficiently.

The ${}^{13}C(\alpha,n)$ reaction is activated when the H-burning ashes are engulfed in the convection zone. However, the amount of neutrons released by the ${}^{13}C$ from the H-burning ashes is not enough to produce the required abundance of fluorine. Another possible site for the production of fluorine is the nucleosynthesis occurring in the partial mixing zone during the interpulse periods. However, the partial mixing zone is typically unimportant with regards to the production of light elements because its mass is very small. In fact, the inclusion of the partial mixing zone in model calculations does not appear to increase the predicted surface abundance of ¹⁹F. This conclusion can be drawn when comparing the abundance of ¹⁹F at a given C/O ratio in Figure 12 of Goriely & Mowlavi (2000), who studied fluorine production in AGB stars including a parametric partial mixing zone, and in Figure 14 of Mowlavi, Jorissen & Arnould (1996), who did not include a partial mixing zone in their study. Here we check whether rotation can improve the match with observation.

During the interpulse period and in the partial mixing zone about 80% of the 15 N is produced via the chain of reactions

14
N(n,p) 14 C(α,γ) 18 O(p, α) 15 N

and 20% comes from the reaction ${}^{14}N(n, \gamma){}^{15}N$ (Figure 12). During the interpulse phase the temperature is not high enough for the ${}^{15}N(\alpha, \gamma){}^{19}F$ reaction and the production of fluorine occurs later in the He-flash convection zone (note that the ${}^{15}N(\alpha, \gamma){}^{19}F$ rate is about 50 times faster than the destruction of ${}^{19}F$ by α -capture in the temperature range of interest). For simplicity we assume that all ${}^{15}N$ present in the intershell before the onset of the He-flash will be transformed into ${}^{19}F$ and no ${}^{19}F$ will be destroyed so that the intershell abundance at the time of the third dredge-up is $X_{IS}({}^{19}F) \propto M_{^{15}N}/M_{\rm IS}$, where $M_{^{15}N} = X_{PM}({}^{15}N)M_{\rm PM}$. With Eq. (1), the observed abundances mentioned above, using q=2.3, m=20 and the other numbers used in § 2, the total ${}^{15}N$ production in the partial mixing zone during the interpulse phase must be of the order $M_{^{15}N} > 10^{-7} \,\rm M_{\odot}$ to cover the observational data.

In Figure 12 we show some results for the ¹⁵N production in the partial mixing zone. In the post-processing model of the sequence including rotation ¹⁵N is produced by the reaction channels described above. The absolute amount is much smaller than needed. However, compared to a case with the same initial partial mixing zone but no interpulse mixing (test case rot1, §7) the production of ¹⁵N is larger by a factor 2...3. We analyzed the ¹⁵N production in the parametric models presented in §7 that combine an overshooting partial mixing zone with interpulse mixing of the *s*-process layer. We find that the ¹⁵N production increases with interpulse mixing reaching $8 \cdot 10^{-8} M_{\odot}$ for $D_{\rm IP} = 0$. If rotation in AGB stars is instrumental in producing ¹⁹F one might expect an anti-correlation of ¹⁹F with the s-process index [hs/ls] as well as with the s-process enhancement. Currently available observational data do not show this. Renewed observational work on ¹⁹F in AGB stars is needed as well as more detailed models. In addition the question of reaction rate uncertainties has to be revised, in particular in the case of ¹⁴C(α, γ)¹⁸O.

9. Discussion

We have compared two mixing processes for the s-process in low-mass AGB stars. Models that include overshoot at all convective boundaries feature neutron exposures that are larger than allowed to reproduce the observed s-process properties. This is due to the fact that overshoot at the base of the He-shell flash convection zone leads to intershell dredge-up of additional carbon from the core into the intershell layer. Models that do not include overshoot at all convective boundaries (and include the partial mixing zone in a parametric way) feature neutron exposures that can explain only a small fraction of the observations. To explain the whole range of observational data some spread in the efficiency of the neutron release is required in the scenario of ${}^{13}C(\alpha,n)$ occurring in the interpulse periods. If rotation is included the s-process layer located at the core-envelope interface is continuously mixed throughout the interpulse period. The s-process layer is not stratified anymore and the ${}^{13}C$ pocket which forms at the end of the third dredge-up is polluted with the ${}^{14}N$ from layers in the partial mixing zone with an initially larger H/ ${}^{12}C$ ratio. Using the mixing law from a stellar evolution sequence of an initially rapidly rotating star the resulting neutron exposure is too small to allow any production of s-process elements.

We have constructed synthetic models with a parameterized range of mixing efficiencies during the interpulse phase. In these models we find a spread of neutron exposures in the *s*-process layer. Hence, we tentatively propose that the signature of rotationally induced mixing in AGB stars might be identified with the observationally-inferred spread of neutron exposure in the interpulse. The spread of mixing efficiencies may be related to a spread of angular velocity gradients in stars of different initial rotational velocities, masses, or evolutionary status. However, mixing efficiencies which would correspond to the observed spread of neutron exposures are substantially lower than the mixing law in our stellar evolution model with rotation. In this scenario this might be attributed to some effect of angular momentum redistribution and loss due to a physical process missing in our calculations, such as efficient third dredge-up, mass loss and magnetic fields.

In the framework of the radiative interpulse s-process production site our simulations establish a relation between the intershell carbon abundance and the range of mixing efficiencies during the interpulse phase of AGB stars. Any mixing in the s-process layer during the interpulse phase and after the initial formation of the partial mixing zone can only reduce the final neutron exposure. A spectrum of slow mixing efficiencies during the interpulse phase can deliver a spread in neutron exposures in accordance with the observed spread of s-process indices if the neutron exposure in the limiting case without mixing is larger than the largest observationally required neutron exposure (for example case ST \cdot 2 in Busso et al. 2001). If the carbon intershell abundance is larger than that obtained in models without hydrodynamic overshooting at the bottom of the He-flash convection zone, then the ¹³C abundance in the PM zone and thus the neutron exposure will be larger as well. As discussed in § 5.3 AGB models including this effect are in very good agreement with the observed carbon abundances of H-free and hot central stars of planetary nebulae, in which the progenitor AGB intershell abundance is believed to be seen at the surface.

In this paper we have used the nucleosynthesis and the observed features of the s-process to constrain stellar models. We have demonstrated how different mixing processes in different locations and at different times impact the current model of s-process nucleosynthesis in low-mass AGB stars. In the future we should improve the stellar physics in order to investigate which processes determine the mixing at the core-envelope interface and why mixing efficiencies in our rotating stellar model are not in agreement with the requirements of the s-process. The theory of angular momentum transport and loss may need to be revisited. The question of the third dredge-up in rotating AGB stellar models needs additional consideration, and it is likely that the treatment of μ gradients and their impact on mixing will play an important role.

F. H. appreciates support from D. A. VandenBerg through his Operating Grant from the Natural Science and Engineering Research Council of Canada. F. H. also thanks the Institute of Nuclear Theory at the University of Washington for its hospitality and the U.S. Department of Energy for partial support during the completion of this work. The detailed and thoughtful comments by the referee have helped us to improve the presentation of this paper.

A. Details of the computation of [hs/ls] and s/s_{\odot} vs τ

In §2 we have presented a s-process calculation (Figure 2) to establish the relation between the neutron exposure and the s-process indices [hs/ls] and $\log(s/s_0)$. We have used for this calculation initial mass fractions for the light neutron poison typically encountered in the partial mixing zone, including (⁴He,¹²C,¹⁶O,²²Ne) \simeq (0.74, 0.23, 0.01, 0.02). In our calculation the temperature and density are chosen constant at $T = 9.8 \cdot 10^7$ K and $\rho = 2000$ g/cm³. For intermediate- and heavy-mass isotopes we have used an initial solar abundance distribution. The charged-particle reactions listed in §3 and neutron-capture reactions on isotopes up to Pb are considered in the calculation. We have taken an initial mass fraction of ¹³C of 0.03 and assumed only a residual amount of initial nitrogen ($X(^{14}N) = 1.2 \cdot 10^{-5}$). The initial amount of protons was set to zero. With this choice a final neutron exposure of $\tau \simeq 0.7$ mbarn⁻¹ is reached when all ¹³C is consumed.

In a partial mixing situation a significant amount of ¹⁴N may be present. Due to different assumptions about mixing in the underlying stellar evolution model, the abundance of ¹²C and ¹⁶O may be different from model to model. The larger intershell ¹²C abundance in models with hydrodynamic overshooting increases the neutron exposure that can be generated in the partial mixing zone (Lugaro et al. 2003b). However, we show here that the relation between τ and the *s*-process indices does not depend on the abundance distribution of light poison, for example the presence of ¹⁴N. We demonstrate this by some test calculations and by recalling some basic properties of the *s*-process as layed out in text books like Clayton (1968).

The neutron exposure is defined as $\tau = \int_0^t N_n v_T dt$, where N_n is the neutron density and v_T is the thermal velocity. The neutron density is related to the molar neutron abundance by $N_n = N_A \rho Y(n) A(n)$ where all symbols have their usual meaning. Since neutron captures are faster than any of the charged particle reactions the neutron density is given by the ratio of neutron production by sources and destruction by sinks. The presence of light neutron poison (sinks) will depress the neutron density (and eventually the neutron exposure) and will thereby determine the amount of neutrons available to enter the *s*-process path. The *s*-process distribution (as given by the index $\log(s/s_0)$) is then given by the number of neutrons that are captured by the *s*-process seed (usually ⁵⁶Fe) and other heavy elements. This number depends solely on the neutron density and the abundances and reaction rates of seed nuclei and all *s*-process species themselves. While neutron poison may limit the neutron density (τ) and the *s*-process distribution and total enhancement.

More specifically, the s-process distribution depends univocally on the number of neu-

trons, n_{56} , captured by ⁵⁶Fe and its progeny. If the abundance of ⁵⁶Fe is much larger than the abundance of its progeny n_{56} is equal to the amount of ⁵⁶Fe destroyed by neutron captures:

$$Y(n_{56}) = -Y(^{56}\text{Fe}) = \int Y(^{56}\text{Fe}) Y(n) r_{56,(n\gamma)} dt,$$
 (A1)

where $r_{56,(n\gamma)}$ is the rate of the ⁵⁶Fe (n, γ) reaction and Y are molar abundances. If we assume ¹³C (α, n) to be the neutron source and ⁵⁶Fe (n, γ) and ¹⁴N(n, p) to be the major neutron absorbers, then with the equilibrium condition $dN_n/dt = 0$ the total neutron abundance is given by:

$$Y(\mathbf{n}) = \frac{Y(^{13}\mathrm{C}) Y(^{4}\mathrm{He}) r_{13,(\alpha,n)}}{Y(^{14}\mathrm{N}) r_{14,(n,p)} + Y(^{56}\mathrm{Fe}) r_{56,(n,\gamma)}},$$

where $r_{14,(n,p)}$ is the rate of the ¹⁴N(n,p) reaction and $r_{13,(\alpha,n)}$ is the rate of the ¹³C (α,n) reaction. The abundance of light neutron poison like ¹⁴N determines the neutron density on which the number of neutron captures by ⁵⁶Fe and progeny depends (Eq. A1). But the light neutron poison do not affect the relationship between $Y(n_{56})$ and Y(n), i.e. between the *s*-process distribution and the neutron exposure.

In Figure 13 we show different cases of the same computation of Figure 2 performed with a range of initial ¹⁴N mass fractions. Changing the initial mass fraction of ¹⁴N leaves almost completely unchanged the relationship between the *s*-process distribution and the neutron exposure. The difference is in the final τ value, which is much lower for a higher ¹⁴N abundance. In the same way the relation of τ with the *s*-process indices is independent on the abundance of other light neutron sinks, and rather general.

It follows that the parameter τ determines univocally the *s*-process distribution. Note, that the conclusions reached in §7 do not change if instead of τ we quantify the *s*-process with the parameter (L/G), which was introduced in §4, and which is a measure of the number of neutrons captured by the heavy sink particle ⁶³G, i.e. is similar to considering the number of neutrons captured by ⁵⁶Fe. In the synthetic models presented in §7 for a range of log $D_{\rm IP} \approx 0...-2$. we obtain a range of τ and correspondingly a range of (L/G) (see Table 3).

IP	BM/10	BM/2	$BM^{(a)}$	$BM \cdot 2$
[ls/Fe] [hs/Fe] [hs/ls]	$0.03 \\ 0.06 \\ 0.03$	$0.13 \\ 0.25 \\ 0.12$	$0.23 \\ 0.40 \\ 0.17$	$0.38 \\ 0.61 \\ 0.23$

Table 1. Effect of the $^{13}\mathrm{C}\text{-pocket}$ mass on the s-process efficiency and abundance distribution

 $^{(a)}{\rm BM}:$ benchmark $^{13}{\rm C}$ pocket corresponding to case with hydrodynamic overshoot with f=0.128.

 Table 2. Mixing coefficients and Lagrangian mixing efficiency in the partial mixing layer of the AGB model including rotation

ϕ	$dm/dr^{(a)}$ ${ m M}_{\odot}/{ m cm}$	$\log D_{\rm r}^{(b)}$ $[D_{\rm r}] = {\rm cm}^2/{\rm s}$	$\log D_{\rm m}$ $[D_{\rm m}] = M_{\odot}^2/{\rm yr}$	$\Delta t_{ m mix}$ yr	$\Delta m_{ m mix}$ ${ m M}_{\odot}$
$0.034 \\ 0.232 \\ 0.557$	$5.4 \cdot 10^{-14} 9.2 \cdot 10^{-12} 3.6 \cdot 10^{-11}$	~ 5.0 ~ 1.5 ~ 2.8	-14.0 -13.1 -10.6	$\frac{1440^{(c)}}{8560^{(c)}}$ $\frac{2900^{(d)}}{2900^{(d)}}$	$3.7 \cdot 10^{-6} 2.7 \cdot 10^{-5} 2.7 \cdot 10^{-4}$

^(a) at $m_{\rm r} = 0.745965 \,{\rm M}_{\odot}$; ^(b)max, see Figure 8 for the overall distribution in mass; (c)time since thermal pulse; (d)nuclear lifetime of ¹³C against α -capture τ_{α} (¹³C).

$\log D_{\rm IP}$ $[D_{\rm r}] = {\rm cm}^2/{\rm s}$	aumbarn ⁻¹	(L/G) number ratio
-0.0	0.12	4
-1.0	0.33	18
-2.0	0.64	55
no mixing	0.72	70

Table 3. Maximum τ and (L/G) obtained for different log $D_{\rm IP}$

REFERENCES

- Abia, C., Busso, M., Gallino, R., Dominguez, I., Straniero, O., & Isern, J., 2001, ApJ, 559, 1117
- Alongi, M., Bertelli, G., Bressan, A., & Chiosi, C. 1991, A&A, 244, 95
- Angulo, C. et al. 1999, Nucl. Phys., A 656, 3, NACRE compilation
- Asida, S. M., & Arnett, D. 2000, ApJ, 545, 435
- Balick, B. 1987, AJ, 94, 671
- Bao, Z. Y., Beer, H., Käppeler, F., Voss, F., Wisshak, K., & Rauscher, T. 2000, Atom. Data Nucl. Data Tables, 75, 1
- Bergeron, P., Saffer, R. A., & Liebert, J. 1992, ApJ, 394, 228
- Busso, M., Gallino, R., Lambert, D. L., Travaglio, C., & Smith, V. V. 2001, ApJ, 557, 802
- Busso, M., Gallino, R., & Wasserburg, G. J. 1999, ARA&A, 37, 239
- Busso, M., Lambert, D. L., Beglio, L., Gallino, R., Raiteri, C. M., & Smith, V. V. 1995, ApJ, 446, 775
- Cameron, A.G.W. 1955, ApJ, 121, 144
- Cameron, A.G.W. 1960, AJ, 65, 485
- Caughlan, G. R., & Fowler, W. A. 1988, Atom. Data Nucl. Data Tables, 40, 283
- Clayton, D. D. 1968, Principles of stellar evolution and nucleosynthesis (The University of Chicago Press)
- Cristallo, S., Straniero, O., Gallino, R., Herwig, F., Chieffi, A., Limongi, M., & Busso, M. 2001, Nucl. Phys. A, 688, 217
- Denissenkov, P. A., & Tout, C. A. 2002, MNRAS, in press
- Despain, K.H. 1980, ApJ, 236, L165
- Deupree, R. G. 2000, ApJ, 543, 395
- Dinerstein, H. L. 2001, ApJ, 550, L223
- Dreizler, S., Werner, K., Heber, U., & Engels, D. 1996, A&A, 309, 820

- Feast, M. W. 1989, in Evolution of Peculiar Red Giant Stars, ed. H. R. Johnson & B. Zuckerman (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press), 26
- Freytag, B., Ludwig, H.-G., & Steffen, M. 1996, A&A, 313, 497
- Frogel, J. A., Mould, J., & Blanco, V. M. 1990, ApJ, 352, 96
- Funck, C., & Langanke, K. 1989, ApJ, 344, 46
- Gai, M., Keddy, R., Bromley, D., Olness, J., & Warburton, E. 1987, Phys. Rev. C, 36, 1256
- Gallino, R., Arlandini, C., Busso, M., Lugaro, M., Travaglio, C., Straniero, O., Chieffi, A., & Limongi, M. 1998, ApJ, 497, 388
- Gallino, R., Busso, M., & Lugaro, M. 1997, in Astrophysical Implications of the Laboratory Study of Presolar Materials, ed. T. Bernatowitz & E. Zinner (New York: AIP), 115
- Gallino, R., Busso, M., Picchio, G., Raiteri, C.M., & Renzini, A. 1988, ApJ, 334, L45
- Garcia-Segura, G., Langer, N., & Różyczka, M. 1999, ApJ, 517, 767
- Gledenov, Y., Salatski, V., Sedyshev, P., Sedysheva, M., Koehler, P., Vesna, V., & Okunev, I. 1995, in Nuclei in the Cosmos III, ed. M. Busso, R. Gallino, & C. M. Raiteri (New York: AIP), 173
- Goriely, S., & Mowlavi, N. 2000, A&A, 362, 599
- Greenstein, J.L. 1954, in Modern Physics for Engineers, ed. L. Ridenour, New York: McGraw-Hill
- Groenewegen, M.A.T., van den Hoek, L.B., & de Jong T. 1995, A&A, 293, 381
- Hashimoto, M., Nomoto, K., Arai, K., & Kaminisi, K. 1986, ApJ, 307, 687
- Heger, A., Langer, N., & Woosley, S. E. 2000, ApJ, 528, 368
- Herwig, F. 2000, A&A, 360, 952
- Herwig, F. 2001, in Low mass Wolf-Rayet Stars: origin and evolution, ed. R. Waters, B. Zijlstra, & T. Blöcker (Dordrecht: Kluwer), Ap&SS, 275, 15, astro-ph/9912353
- Herwig, F., Blöcker, T., & Driebe, T. 2000, in The changes in abundances in AGB stars, ed.F. D'Antona & R. Gallino, Mem. Soc. Astron. Italiana, 71, 745, astro-ph/9912350
- Herwig, F., Blöcker, T., Langer, N., & Driebe, T. 1999, A&A, 349, L5

- Herwig, F., Blöcker, T., Schönberner, D., & El Eid, M. F. 1997, A&A, 324, L81
- Iben, Jr., I. 1975, ApJ, 196, 525
- Iben, Jr., I., & Renzini, A. 1982, ApJ, 263, L23
- Icke, V., Balick, B., & Frank, A. 1992, A&A, 253, 224
- Iliadis, C., D'Auria, J. M., Starrfield, S., Thompson, W. J., & Wiescher, M. 2001, ApJS, 134, 151
- Jorissen, A., & Arnould, M. 1989, A&A, 221, 161
- Jorissen, A., & Goriely, S. 2001, Nucl. Phys. A, 688, 508, NETGEN database
- Jorissen, A., Smith, V. V., & Lambert, D. L. 1992, A&A, 261, 164
- Käppeler, F., Gallino, R., Busso, M., Picchio, G., & Raiteri, C.M. 1990, ApJ, 354, 630
- Koehler, P., Kavanagh, R., Vogelaar, R., Gledenov, Y. , & Popov, Y. 1997, Phys. Rev. C, 56, 1138
- Koester, D., Weidemann, V., & Schulz, H. 1979, A&A, 76, 262
- Koesterke, L., & Hamann, W. R. 1997, A&A, 320, 91
- Kwok, S. 1982, ApJ, 258, 280
- Lambert, D.L., Smith, V.V., Busso, M., Gallino, R., & Straniero, O. 1995, ApJ, 450, 302
- Langer, N. 1998, A&A, 329, 551
- Langer, N., Heger, A., Wellstein, S., & Herwig, F. 1999, A&A, 346, L37
- Lugaro, M. 2001, PhD thesis, Monash University, Australia
- Lugaro, M., & Herwig, F. 2001, Nucl. Phys. A, 688, 201, astro-ph/0010012
- Lugaro, M., Davis, A. M., Gallino, R., Pellin, M. J., Straniero, O., & K"appeler, F. 2003a, ApJ, accepted
- Lugaro, M., Herwig, F., Lattanzio, J. C., Gallino, R., & Straniero, O. 2003b, ApJ, in press
- Marigo, P., Bressan, A., & Chiosi, C. 1996, A&A, 313, 545
- Marigo, P., Girardi, L., & Bressan, A. 1999, A&A, 344, 123

- Mazzitelli, I., D'Antona, F., & Ventura, P. 1999, A&A, 348, 846
- McWilliam, A., & Lambert, D. L. 1988, MNRAS, 230, 573
- Melnick, G. J., Neufeld, D. A., Ford, K. E. S., Hollenbach, D. J., & Ashby, M. L. N. 2001, Nature, 412, 160
- Mowlavi, N. 1999, A&A, 344, 617
- Mowlavi, N., Jorissen, A., & Arnould, M. 1996, A&A, 311, 803
- Napiwotzki, R., Green, P. J., & Saffer, R. A. 1999, ApJ, 517, 399
- Nicolussi, G. K., Davis, A. M., Pellin, M. J., Lewis, R. S., Clayton, R. N., & Amari, S. 1997, Science, 27, 1281
- Nicolussi, G. K., Pellin, M. J., Lewis, R. S., Davis, A. M., Amari, S., & Clayton, R. N. 1998a, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 62, 1093
- Nicolussi, G. K., Pellin, M. J., Lewis, R. S., Davis, A. M., Clayton, R. N., & Amari, S. 1998b, Phys. Rev. Lett., 81, 3583
- Plez, B. Smith, V.V., & Lambert, D.L. 1993, ApJ, 418, 812
- Reimers, C., Dorfi, E. A., & Höfner, S. 2000, A&A, 354, 573
- Richer, H. B. 1981, ApJ, 243, 744
- Royer, F., Grenier, S., Baylac, M.-O., Gómez, A. E., & Zorec, J. 2002, A&A, 393, 897
- Royer, F., Zorec, J., & Frémat, Y. 2003, in Proc. IAU-Symp. 215 on "Stellar Rotation", ed. A. Maeder & P. Eenens (San Francisco: ASP), in press
- Salasnich, B., Bressan, A., & Chiosi, C. 1999, A&A, 342, 131
- Savina, M.R., et al. 2003, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, in press
- Schaller, G., Schaerer, D., Meynet, G., & Maeder, A. 1992, A&AS, 96, 269
- Schönberner, D. 1979, A&A, 79, 108
- Shaviv, G., & Salpeter, E. 1973, ApJ, 184, 191
- Skelton, R., Kavanagh, R., & Sargood, D. 1987, Phys. Rev. C, 35, 45
- Smith, V. V., & Lambert, D. L. 1986, ApJ, 311, 843

- Smith, V.V., Suntzeff, N.B., Cunha, K., Gallino, R., Busso, M., Lambert, D.L., & Straniero, O. 2000, AJ, 119, 1239
- Soker, N. 2001, MNRAS, 324, 699
- Spruit, H.C. 1998, A&A, 333, 603
- Stasinska, G., Gorny, S. K., & Tylenda, R. 1997, A&A, 327, 736
- Straniero, O., Gallino, R., Busso, M., Chieffi, A., Raiteri, C. M., Salaris, M., & Limongi, M. 1995, ApJ, 440, L85
- Thielemann, F.-K., Arnould, M., & Truran, J. 1987, in Advances in Nuclear Astrophysics, ed. E. V.-F. et al. (Gyf-sur-Yvette: Edition Frontières), 525
- Truran, J. W., & Iben, Jr., I. 1977, ApJ, 216, 797
- Van Eck, S., Goriely, S., Jorissen, A., & Plez, B. 2003, A&A, accepted
- Van Winckel, H., & Reyniers, M. 2000, A&A, 354, 135
- Weidemann, V. 2000, A&A, 363, 647
- Weidemann, V. & Koester, D. 1984, A&A, 132, 195
- Wiescher, M., Goerres, J., & Thielemann, F.-K. 1990, ApJ, 363, 340
- Xiong, D. R. 1985, A&A, 150, 133
- Zinner, E. 1998, Ann. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci., 26, 147

This preprint was prepared with the AAS ${\rm IAT}_{\rm E}{\rm X}$ macros v5.0.



Fig. 1.— Schematic representation of the *s*-process in the interpulse phase of TP-AGB stars in a space-time diagram. The ordinate covers the mass range between the H- and He-burning shells (intershell). The time marks represent a rough estimate.



Fig. 2.— s-process indices $\log(s/s_{\odot})$ and [hs/ls] in s-processed material as function of the neutron exposure from a network calculation including all relevant light and heavy elements from H to Pb. The initial ¹³C mass fractions is 0.03 and neutrons are released by the ¹³C(α ,n) reaction at a constant temperature of $9.8 \cdot 10^7$ K. The neutron exposure corresponding to the shaded areas can be excluded as the the dominant contribution in the s-process site (see text). As discussed in Appendix A the presence of light neutron poison like ¹⁴N would limit the neutron exposure that can be achieved but the relations of τ and the shown indices are only very weakly effected.



Fig. 3.— Comparison of the *s*-process parameters $n_{\rm cap}$ and L/G as functions of τ . The quantity $n_{\rm cap}$ is computed with a full *s*-process network calculation while the ratio L/G comes from the calculation in which the *s*-process is approximated with a sink. The first increase of $n_{\rm cap}$ with respect to L/G at very low τ is due to neutron captures on isotopes from ⁵⁶Fe to ⁶²Ni. From $\tau \sim 0.5$ mbarn⁻¹ the resul;ts obtained with the neutron sink show larger and larger differences from the results obtained with the full *s*-process network. The neutron sink representation is a valid approximation for $\tau < 0.6$ mbarn⁻¹, i.e. in the range of interest for solar metallicity stars.



Fig. 4.— Neutron density (solid line, left ordinate) and Maxwellian-averaged neutron capture cross section (dashed line, right ordinate) of sink particle 63 G (described in § 4) as a function of time from *s*-process calculations as those performed for Figure 2 with a network including all heavy elements up to Pb. Three test calculations are presented starting with three different amount of 13 C mass fractions (indicated by the labels). The variation of the cross section of 63 G reflects its dependence on the abundance distribution of the species represented by the sink particle.



Fig. 5.— The evolution in time of the neutron exposure τ for the three calculations shown in Figure 4 is represented by the solid lines (initial ¹³C mass fractions indicated by the labels). Each calculation is compared to three tests computed with different values of the Maxwellian-averaged neutron capture cross section of the neutron sink reaction σ ⁽⁶³G) described in §4.



Fig. 6.— for caption see page 45



Fig. 7.— Evolution of angular velocity profiles through the 25th interpulse-pulse cycle. Labels give interpulse phase $\phi = (t - t_0)/\Delta t$, where the previous pulse, i.e. the maximum He-burning luminosity, has occured at t_0 ; the interpulse period is $\Delta t = 3 \cdot 10^4$ yr. The mass range covers the intershell region from the top of the C/O-core (location of the He-shell) to the bottom of the convective envelope.



Fig. 8.— Evolution of mixing coefficient due to rotationally induced mixing during the interpulse phase; labels give interpulse phase $\phi = (t - t_0)/\Delta t$, where the previous pulse, i.e. the maximum He-burning luminosity, has occured at t_0 ; the interpulse period is $\Delta t = 3 \cdot 10^4$ yr. Two different mixing periods resulting from rotation occur in the partial mixing zone during the interpulse period (see text).

Fig. 6 – Abundance profiles in the partial mixing zone at three times during the 7th interpulse phase of the model with hydrodynamic overshoot and no rotation. Top panel: first post-processing model after the end of the third dredge-up phase; middle panel: 10% of ¹³C is burned by ¹³C(α , n)¹⁶O; bottom panel: neutron exposure τ at end of the *s*-process in the partial mixing zone when the ¹³C neutron source is exhausted.

Fig. 9 – Same as Figure 6 for the simulation at the 25^{th} interpulse phase including rotation and no hydrodynamic overshoot. The middle panel shows the same interpulse phase as the middle panel in Figure 6 with respect to the nuclear lifetime of ¹³C against α -capture.



Fig. 9.— for caption see page 45



Fig. 10.— Maximum neutron exposure in the stellar layer where the *s*-process occurs during the TP-AGB interpulse period as a function of phase ϕ . The solid line is the result of detailed computation with rotational mixing (shown in Figure 9) and the long- and short-dashed lines show test calculations (see text, § 7).



Fig. 11.— Final neutron exposure profiles in the *s*-process layer of simulations with a synthetic mixing law, combining the initial formation of a partial mixing layer by overshoot with a constant interpulse mixing coefficient that mimics the effect of shear mixing (see text for details).



Fig. 12.— Profile of ¹⁵N mass fraction across the partial mixing zone at a time close to the maximum neutron density in the simulation with rotation presented in §6. the long-dashed and dotted lines clarify the contributions by two reaction chains discussed in the text. For comparison the ¹⁵N profile for the same time is shown for the test case rot1 presented in §7.



Fig. 13.— Same as Figure 2, but each case with a different initial abundance of ¹⁴N. The labels indicate the logarithm of the ¹⁴N mass fraction. Case (a) has been computed with initial abundances $X(^{4}\text{He}) = 0.95$, $X(^{12}\text{C}) = 0.03$, $X(^{14}\text{N}) = 1.2 \cdot 10^{-5}$, and $\rho = 20 \text{g/cm}^{3}$.