

XMM-Newton Observations of Two BAL QSOs: Q1246-057 and SBS1542+541¹

D. Grupe, S. Mathur

Astronomy Department, Ohio State University, 140 W. 18th Ave., Columbus, OH-43210, U.S.A.
 dgrupe, smita@astronomy.ohio-state.edu

and M. Elvis

Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, 60 Garden Street, Cambridge, MA 02138, U.S.A.

ABSTRACT

We report on the results of XMM-Newton observations of two Broad Absorption Line Quasars (BAL QSOs), Q1246-057 and SBS 1542+541. Unprecedented sensitivity of XMM allows spectral analysis of these X-ray weak sources. The X-ray spectral data of these sources can be fitted by a power-law with $\alpha_X=1.0-1.2$ and either a partially covering absorber or an ionized absorber model. Rest frame UV spectroscopy together with polarimetry favors the model with a partially covering absorber with column density a few times 10^{22}cm^{-2} and a covering fraction of about 0.80. After correcting for absorption, the X-ray loudness of these BAL QSOs appears to be similar to other, unabsorbed quasars. The mystery of X-ray weakness of BAL QSOs appears to be all but solved, with strong absorption being the primary reason. With the available X-ray data, however, the issue of whether BAL QSOs represent highly accreting/ younger population of quasars remains unsettled.

Subject headings: galaxies: active - quasars:general - quasars: individual (Q1246-057, SBS 1542+541)

1. Introduction

About 10-15% of optically-selected Quasars have rest frame ultraviolet spectra showing deep broad absorption line (BAL) troughs displaced blueward from the corresponding emission lines. Recently, Hewett & Foltz (2003) found that the intrinsic fraction of BAL quasars (BAL QSOs) is as large as 22% in the $1.5 \leq z \leq 3.0$ redshift range. These broad absorption lines are seen either in high ionization transitions such as C IV, Si IV, N V, and O VI or in low-ionization stages such as Al III or Mg II (Weymann et al. (1991)), dividing them into high-ionization and low-ionization broad absorption line quasars (Hi-BAL and LoBAL). If the broad absorption lines

are associated with mass outflows from the nucleus, then outflow velocities of several thousand km s^{-1} are inferred (Arav et al. (1997), but see Branch et al. (2002) for an alternative explanation). If quasar outflows are a result of radiation pressure from the nuclear continuum, the large momentum associated with the BAL QSO outflow causes a serious problem to the quasar energy budget (see Mathur et al. (2001) and references therein). As an alternative, outflows in AGN can also be the result of disk-driven hydromagnetic winds (e.g. Emmering et al. (1992); Königl & Kartje (1994); Bottorff et al. (1997, 2000)). BAL QSOs in general show high degrees of polarization, of up to more than 10%, in their absorption troughs (e.g. Schmidt & Hines (1999); Ogle et al. (1999)). This argues that part of the nuclear emission is scattered back into our line of sight off a highly asymmetric structure. BAL QSOs may then be

¹Based on observations obtained with XMM-Newton, an ESA science mission with instruments and contribution directly funded by ESA member states and NASA

normal quasars viewed down the 10–20% of the lines of sight covered by ubiquitous accelerating wind (e.g. Elvis 2000)

While these properties make BAL QSOs important in their own right, some recent observations suggest that they also occupy a special space in quasar life line (e.g. Mathur (2000), Becker et al. (2000)). BAL QSOs, together with narrow line Seyfert 1 galaxies (NLS1s), occupy one extreme end of the Eigenvector 1 for quasars and Seyferts (Boroson (2002)). As such, they appear to be objects accreting at close to the Eddington limit. NLS1s have been suggested to be AGN in a young state of their evolution (Grupe (1996); Grupe et al. (1999); Mathur (2000)). An analogy between BAL QSOs, especially the low-ionization BAL QSOs, and NLS1s (Brandt & Gallagher (2000); Lawrence et al. (1997); Leighly et al. (1997); Brandt et al. (1997)) further suggests that BAL QSOs possibly are young quasars (Mathur (2000); Becker et al. (2000)). This possibility adds further significance to the study of BAL QSOs.

X-ray studies of BAL QSOs are important for many reasons. X-ray observations offer direct measurements of the total absorbing column density. In the last decade the rest-frame UV spectra of BAL QSOs have been extensively investigated. However, using the UV range only, properties such as absorption column density, covering fraction, or temperature are poorly constrained. In fact, absorption columns derived from the UV absorption lines led to values of $N_H = 10^{20} - 10^{21} \text{cm}^{-2}$ (Korista et al. (1992)). This would suggest only minor intrinsic X-ray absorption in these sources. However, BAL QSOs appear to be highly absorbed in soft X-rays, implying absorbing column densities at least an order of magnitude higher compared to those from the UV studies (Green et al. (2001) and references there in). Scattering into our line of sight, evidenced by polarization (Ogle et al. (1999)) turns these measurements into lower limits, and allows consistency with the 10–100 times larger X-ray column densities. Non-black saturation of absorption lines (e.g. Arav et al. (1997)) is a probable cause of the discrepancy between the X-ray and UV studies. Another motivation behind X-ray observations of BAL QSOs is to determine the intrinsic spectral shape in the X-ray band and to compare it with the NLS1 spec-

tra. Are the X-ray spectra of BAL QSOs similar to those of non-BAL QSOs?

New X-ray missions, *Chandra* and *XMM-Newton*, offer complementary advantages to BAL QSO studies. *Chandra*, with its excellent point spread function and low background is ideal for detecting faint sources like BAL QSOs. *XMM-Newton* (Jansen et al. (2001)), on the other hand, with its large collecting area, is ideal for obtaining spectra. In a recent survey of BAL QSOs with *Chandra*, Green et al. (2001) detected 8 out of the 10 observed BAL QSOs (see also Gallagher et al. (2002a)). In this paper we report on *XMM-Newton* observations of two BAL QSOs, Q1246-057 and SBS 1542+541. Both the objects were previously detected in X-rays, and given their flux, we expected to obtain a good S/N spectrum with *XMM-Newton*. Unfortunately, QSO Q1246-057 was observed to be a factor of two fainter compared to epoch of *Chandra* observation and about half of SBS 1542+541 data were unusable because of high background radiation. As a result, the spectral quality of both objects is not as good as expected, but given the rarity of BAL QSO spectra, any spectral information is valuable. In the following we briefly discuss the properties of our two targets and then proceed to the discussion of observations (§2), results (§3), discussion (§4) and we conclude in §5.

Q1246-057 ($\alpha_{2000}=12^{\text{h}} 49^{\text{m}} 13.9\text{s}$, $\delta_{2000} = -05^{\circ} 59' 19.3''$, $z=2.236$, galactic $N_H=2.15 \cdot 10^{20} \text{cm}^{-2}$ (Dickey & Lockman (1990))) is a high-ionization BAL QSO discovered by Osmer & Smith (1977). They found strong, broad (5000 km s^{-1}) absorption lines which were blue-shifted by $15,000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ with respect to the quasars rest frame. The source was re-observed with higher spectral resolution by Boksenberg et al. (1978) showing multicomponent structure of the absorption troughs. In spectropolarimetry measurements Schmidt & Hines (1999) and Ogle et al. (1999) found strong polarization in the C IV $\lambda 1550$ trough, with increasing degree of polarization toward the blue, of the order of about 1.1% to 2% (Hutsemékers et al. (1998); Schmidt & Hines (1999); Ogle et al. (1999)). X-ray observations with ROSAT and *Chandra* (Page et al. (2000, 2001); Green et al. (2001)) detected the source, but did not yield enough photons to perform spectral analysis.

SBS 1542+541 ($\alpha_{2000}=15^{\text{h}} 43^{\text{m}} 59.4^{\text{s}}$, $\delta_{2000} = +53^{\circ} 59' 03.7''$, $z=2.361$, galactic $N_{\text{H}}=1.27 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ (Dickey & Lockman (1990))) has shown a variety of highly ionized absorption lines in HST spectra blue-shifted by $\approx 11000\text{--}12000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and widths of $2000\text{--}3000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ (Telfer et al. (1998)). It was detected by ROSAT (Yuan et al. (1998) with $7.02 \times 10^{-3} \text{ cts s}^{-1}$ (Telfer et al. (1998)) in the Position Sensitive Proportional Counter (PSPC, Pfeiffermann et al. (1986)). In a later observation with *Chandra's* ACIS-S detector the quasar was clearly detected with a count rate of 0.019 cts s^{-1} (Green et al. (2001)).

Throughout the paper we use energy spectral slopes α defined as $F_{\nu} \propto \nu^{-\alpha}$. Luminosities are calculated assuming a Hubble constant of $H_0 = 75 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$ and a deceleration parameter of $q_0 = 0.5$ if not noted otherwise.

2. Observations

2.1. XMM-Newton observations

Q1246-057 was observed by XMM for 41.5 ks in orbit 291. SBS 1542+541 was observed twice in orbits 294 and 396 for 11 and 36 ks, respectively. The observations of both sources were performed with XMM-Newton's EPIC PN and MOS cameras (Strüder et al. (2001) and Turner et al. (2001), respectively) in Full-Frame mode using thin filters (Table 1). For Q1246-057 the whole lengths of the observations could be used. Due to high background radiation in some parts of the observations of SBS 1542+541 only part of these observations were used. Only times with high energy ($> 10 \text{ keV}$) background count rate $\text{CR} < 10 \text{ cts s}^{-1}$ were accepted and screened into good time intervals (GTIs). These GTIs were used to create new event file lists that contain only acceptable data. In the end, the two GTI event files were merged into one which was used to derive spectra. This results in total observing time of 25176 s for the PN and 37303 s for the MOS detectors.

Source photons were selected in a circle with a radius of $22.5''$ and $25.0''$ in the PN and MOS, respectively. Background photons were selected for a circular region of $50''$ radius close to the source on the same CCD. Only good quality events, with single and double patterns for the EPIC PN ($\text{PATTERN} \leq 4$) and single, double, and triple events for the EPIC MOS ($\text{PATTERN} \leq 12$), were

selected for spectral analysis. The count-rates of both sources are too low to be affected by pile-ups (See Sect. 3.1 and 3.2).

The data were reduced by using the XMM-Newton Science Analysis Software (XMMSAS) version 5.3.3 and the X-ray spectra were analyzed with XSPEC 11.2.0. The spectra were grouped by tool GRPPHA 3.0.0 in bins of at least 20 counts per bin. For the count-rate conversions between different X-ray missions, PIMMS 3.2 has been used.

2.2. ROSAT observations

Q1246-057 and SBS 1542+541 were both targets for pointed ROSAT Trümper (1983) observations using the PSPC. Q1246-057 was observed serendipitously in an observation of NGC4697 on 1993-06-22 to 1993-07-07 (rp600262a02) for a total of 45 ks (Green & Mathur (1996); Page et al. (2000, 2001)). SBS 1542+541 was observed on 1993-08-14 (rp701436) for a total of 5.6 ks (Telfer et al. (1998)). In both cases we re-analyzed the ROSAT data using the Extended X-ray Scientific Analysis System (EXSAS, Zimmermann et al. (1998)) version 01APR. These data were used together with the XMM data for spectral analysis (see Sect. 3).

2.3. Chandra Observations

Both sources have been observed by the *Chandra* X-ray observatory with the Advanced CCD Imaging Spectrometer (ACIS-S) (Green et al. (2001)). Q 1246-0542 was observed on 2000-02-08 for 5.4 ks. An ACIS-S count rate of $0.0081 \text{ cts s}^{-1}$ was measured. For SBS 1542+541 which was observed on 2000-03-22 a count rate of $0.0197 \text{ cts s}^{-1}$ was measured (Green et al. (2001)).

3. Results

3.1. Q1246-057

3.1.1. Long-term light curve

The mean count rates measured for Q1246-057 by the EPIC PN, MOS-1, and MOS-2 are $(1.21 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-2} \text{ cts s}^{-1}$, $(3.86 \pm 0.41) \times 10^{-3} \text{ cts s}^{-1}$, and $(4.18 \pm 0.70) \times 10^{-3} \text{ cts s}^{-1}$, respectively, resulting in 460, 160, and 170 photons. Due to the low count rate of the source no reliable light curve could be derived.

We measured the ROSAT PSPC count-rate to be $(1.30 \pm 0.29) \times 10^{-3}$ cts s^{-1} , consistent with that reported by Page et al. (2000). Using the EPIC PN count-rate and the best fit model (table 2) we calculated the ROSAT PSPC count rate using PIMMS and found it to be the same as observed. This suggests that the source did not vary significantly between the ROSAT and XMM-Newton observations. However a comparison to the Chandra ACIS-S count rate of $CR = (8.1 \pm 1.2) 10^{-3}$ cts s^{-1} (Green et al. (2001)) suggests that the source was about a factor of two brighter during the *Chandra* observation compared to the ROSAT and XMM observations. Converting the EPIC PN count rate into an ACIS-S count rate gives $CR = (4.9 \pm 0.5) 10^{-3}$ cts s^{-1} . From the quality of the data it is not clear if this is due to intrinsic variability in luminosity of the source or caused by a change in the absorber property, either column density, covering fraction or the ionization parameter. The *Chandra* observation was performed on 2000-02-08, so the Chandra and XMM observations were 520 days apart which converts to 161 days in the rest-frame of the quasar. From the ROSAT observation the Chandra and XMM observations were 2596 and 2934 days apart, respectively, which converts to 802 and 907 days in Q 1246-057's rest-frame, respectively.

3.1.2. Spectral analysis

Figure 1 shows the observed XMM-Newton spectrum of the source in the 0.2-7 keV range ($\sim 0.6 - 21$ keV rest frame). What is immediately apparent is lack of low-energy cut-off. This is completely contrary to the expectation, based on earlier work, that BAL QSOs are highly absorbed in X-rays (Mathur et al. (2001); Green et al. (2001)). A simple power-law model with only Galactic absorption fits the data with $\chi^2 = 6.9$ for 12 degrees of freedom (Tab. 2). If the absorbing column density is allowed to vary, the fit yields $\alpha_X = 1.28 \pm 0.94$, and N_H is unconstrained (PN data only). If the source is not intrinsically X-ray weak, and is absorbed in X-rays like other BAL QSOs, then the observed flux in the soft-energy range can be explained if (1) the absorber only partially covers the source, or (2) the absorber is ionized. We examine these two alternatives below.

We then attempted to fit the data with an absorbed power-law model, partially covering the

continuum source. Such a model was motivated by our earlier work on BAL QSOs (Mathur et al. (2001)). The S/N in the spectrum is not good enough to perform complex spectral fits, and leaving the spectral slope parameter free results in fits where no parameter can be constrained (see Table 2). Restricting the EPIC PN data in the observed 1.5-7.5 keV energy range yields $\alpha_X = 1.28$ when N_H is free (Table 2). This energy range converts to 4.85-24.3 keV in the rest-frame of Q1246-057 which is insensitive to absorption with column densities smaller than $\approx N_H = 10^{23} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ and therefore the X-ray spectral slope α_X in this range is likely the intrinsic un-affected power-law slope of the source. We therefore fixed the power-law slope to $\alpha_X = 0.80, 1.0, 1.28$ (to match the best fit), and 1.5 (See Table 2).

Fitting all three XMM EPIC detectors simultaneously results in a partially covering absorber with $N_H = (4.49 \pm 2.36) \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ with a covering fraction of $58 \pm 9\%$ (Table 2) for $\alpha_X = 1.0$ (fixed) and $N_H = (7.4 \pm 2.1) \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ with a covering fraction of 79% (Table 2) for $\alpha_X = 1.5$ (fixed). Addition of the ROSAT data do not contribute significantly to the fit. However Table 2 shows that the ROSAT PSPC spectrum can be fitted with the same parameters as the EPIC PN and MOS data, providing a consistency check.

The rest frame UV spectrum of Q1246-057 shows absorption lines of Si IV $\lambda 1397$ and C IV $\lambda 1549$ (Boksenberg et al. (1978), their figure 2) in which the flux in troughs do not reach zero intensity. If this is due to non-black saturation resulting from partial covering of the continuum source, then the estimated covering fraction is 0.8. This is consistent with covering fraction determined with the X-ray spectral fitting, for $\alpha_X = 1.5$ (Table 2).

As discussed above, an ionized absorber can also be transparent in the soft X-ray region. To test this possibility we fitted the spectrum with an ionized absorber model in XSPEC, and the results are given in 2. Again, because the data quality is not good enough to fit all the parameters simultaneously, we fixed the power-law slope to $\alpha_X = 1.0$ and then to $\alpha_X = 1.5$ to fit the absorber parameters. As shown in Figure 2, the column density and ionization parameter of the absorber are not well constrained, but the best fit values are $N_H = 6.5 \pm 1.9 \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ and the ionization state (Done et al. (1992)) $\xi = 609 \pm 175$

for $\alpha_X=1.0$. For $\alpha_X=1.5$, the best fit values are $N_H = 6.9 \times 10^{22} \text{cm}^{-2}$ and $\xi=1000$. In terms of the more familiar representation of the ionization parameter U (Ferland et al. (1998)), these best fit values correspond to $U=30$ and 50 , respectively, using the conversion given in Fig. 1 in George et al. (1998). This compares well with the ionization parameter $U \approx 20$ suggested by Sabra & Hamann (2001) for the BAL QSO PG 1254+047 derived from *Chandra* observations. However, compared to ionization parameters U derived from UV observations of BAL QSOs, which are in the order of 0.01-5 (e.g. Hamann (1998); Turnshek et al. (1996)), this value is rather large.

We determined the X-ray loudness α_{ox}^2 using the unabsorbed rest-frame fluxes $F_{2500\text{\AA}}^\circ$ and $F_{2\text{keV}}$. The $F_{2500\text{\AA}}^\circ$ flux was determined by extrapolating the continuum in the spectrum given in Fig. 4 in Schmidt & Hines (1999) to 2500Å and adding 20% additional flux density due to flux losses, e.g. slit losses (G. Schmidt priv. comm). The unabsorbed rest-frame 2keV X-ray flux density was determined from the the EPIC PN spectrum. Using these data we determined $\alpha_{\text{ox}}=1.50$ (Table 3).

3.2. SBS 1542+541

3.2.1. Long-term light curve

In the EPIC PN and MOS-1 and MOS-2 observations SBS 1542+541 detected count-rates of $(4.51 \pm 0.21) \times 10^{-2} \text{cts s}^{-1}$, $(1.38 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-2} \text{cts s}^{-1}$, and $(1.30 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-2} \text{cts s}^{-1}$, resulting in 1135, 515, and 485 total photons respectively. Due to the presence of high background during part of the observation, the observed data quality is not as good as expected and it is impossible to perform reliable temporal analysis. We did not detect any significant change in count rate between the two observations four days apart.

We measured the ROSAT PSPC count-rate $\text{CR} = (5.19 \pm 1.15) \times 10^{-3} \text{cts s}^{-1}$. Using PIMMS and a power-law model with partial covering with all parameters free as given in Table 4 results in a similar count-rate $(5.06 \times 10^{-3} \text{cts s}^{-1})$. Using the same data to derive a count-rate for the *Chandra* ACIS-S results in $0.016 \pm 0.001 \text{cts s}^{-1}$, which

² α_{ox} is the slope of a hypothetical power-law from 2500 Å to 2 keV; $\alpha_{\text{ox}} = 0.384 \log (L_{2500}/L_{2\text{keV}})$

agrees with the measured value ($0.019 \pm 0.002 \text{cts s}^{-1}$, Green et al. (2001)). The *Chandra* and XMM-*Newton* observations were separated by 2412 and 3097 days from the ROSAT observation, which converts to 718 and 921 days in the rest-frame. The Chandra and XMM observations were separated by 686 days in the observed frame or 204 days in the rest-frame.

3.2.2. Spectral Analysis

Spectral analysis of SBS 1542+541 was performed the same way as discussed above for Q 1246-057, and the results are summarized in table 4. When leaving all parameters free (except the Galactic absorption) the X-ray slope α_X is of the order of 1.0 in all the fits and the covering fraction is of the order of 0.70. The absorption column is constrained to lie between about 2.7 to $6.4 \times 10^{22} \text{cm}^{-2}$ (see also Fig. 3). A combined fit to the spectra from all the EPIC detectors results in power-law slope $\alpha_X=1.04$ with an intrinsic absorption column $N_H \approx 4 \times 10^{22} \text{cm}^{-2}$ and a covering fraction of ≈ 0.70 . Fixing the power-law slope to $\alpha_X=1.5$, as in Q1246-057, results in column density $N_H \approx 6 \times 10^{22} \text{cm}^{-2}$ and a covering fraction of ≈ 0.8 .

The optical spectrum of SBS 1542+541 shows absorption troughs of O VII $\lambda 1032$ and Ly α (Fig. 2 in Telfer et al. (1998)). From these, we estimate the covering fraction to be 0.80, consistent with spectral fitting results with $\alpha_X=1.5$.

An ionized absorber model fit to the EPIC PN and MOS data with $N_{H,\text{gal}}$ fixed to the galactic value ($1.27 \times 10^{20} \text{cm}^{-2}$) and $\alpha_X=1.0$ resulted in $N_H \approx 5.1 \pm 1.4 \times 10^{22} \text{cm}^{-2}$ and the ionization state $\xi = 375 \pm 145$ (Table 4), which converts to an ionization parameter $U=21.0 \pm 8.7$. Fig. 4 displays the contour plot between the column density of the ionized absorber and the ionization state ξ ; neither parameters are very well constrained.

We noticed in the unscreened EPIC PN data of SBS 1542+541 residuals at around 1.9 keV in the observed frame. This corresponds to the red-shifted energy of the Fe K α line. We used simple power-law, partial covering model and ionized absorber models by fixing the parameters to the results of the $\alpha_X=1.0$ fits to the screened data of SBS 1542+541. The Fe K α line is barely detected with 1σ significance and we measured the

equivalent widths in the range between 70-90 eV in the observed frame corresponding to 210-270 eV in the rest-frame. This agrees with what is expected from Figure 3 in Nandra et al. (1997) for an object of $\log L_{2-10\text{keV}}=45.8$ [ergs s⁻¹] (please note that here a Hubble constant of 50 km s⁻¹ Mpc⁻¹ and $q_0=0.0$ is used for comparison purposes). Because of the low detection significance of the Fe line, however, we do not attach much importance to this result.

We derived an X-ray loudness $\alpha_{\text{ox}}=1.52$ for SBS 1542+541 based on the rest-frame UV spectrum given in Telfer et al. (1998) for the flux density $F_{2500\text{\AA}}$ at 2500Å and the rest-frame 2keV flux density calculated from an absorbed power law fit to the EPIC PN data. This value agrees with what is expected for a radio-quiet source with an optical luminosity density of $\log l_{2500\text{\AA}} = 31.6$ [ergs s⁻¹ Hz⁻¹] (Yuan et al. (1998).

4. Discussion & Conclusion

The XMM-Newton observations of BAL QSOs Q1246-057 and SBS 1542+541 show that the X-ray spectra can be represented by a power law and intrinsic partially covering absorption. The column density of the absorber is of the order of $4 \times 10^{22} \text{cm}^{-2}$ with a covering fraction of the order of 0.8. The observed column density is comparable to that derived by Telfer et al. (1998) to model the UV spectrum of SBS 1542+541. A partially covering absorber and an ionized absorber, both fit the data well. However, significant polarization observed in Q1246-057 and non-black saturation of UV absorption lines observed in both sources, suggests that a part of continuum radiation is scattered back in our line of sight, favoring the model with a partially covering absorber. It is possible that the absorber is both warm and partially covering. In Q1246-057 the BAL CIV and SiIV troughs are reported to be variable (Smith & Penston (1988)). Comparison of observations from 1977, 1979, and 1984 found variability of the order of up to 10%. The observed X-ray variability may then be a result of change in absorber property.

The power-law slope could not be well constrained, making comparison with spectral shape of NLS1s impossible. The absorption corrected, intrinsic loudness of both the objects is within the

normal range observed for non-BAL QSOs. *Chandra* and XMM-Newton observations now offer spectra for a handful of BAL QSOs (e.g. Gallagher et al. (2002a); Green et al. (2001); Hasinger et al. (2002); Clavel et al. (2003)). In Tab. 5 we list the column densities, covering fractions and spectral slopes of published spectra of BAL QSOs. In many of these, a partially covering absorber is the best fit spectral model. The detection of these sources, however, is not only because of the part of the unabsorbed continuum in our line of sight. The BAL QSOs detected in X-rays, and for which a reasonable spectrum could be obtained, tend to have lower absorbing columns. As a class, low ionization BAL QSOs tend to be more X-ray weak compared to the high ionization BAL QSOs (Green et al. (2001)), but not all hi-BALs are the brighter members of the population either. The hi-BAL LBQS 2212-1759 is undetected in a 172 ks observation with XMM, yielding observed $\alpha_{\text{ox}} \geq 2.55$ (Clavel et al. (2003)). Thus, even the hi-BALs must have a range of absorbing column density, plausibly related to the strength of the CIV BAL (Brandt & Gallagher (2000)).

The issue of whether BAL QSOs represent highly accreting/ younger population of quasars remains unsettled. For the spectra presented here, the power-law slope could not be constrained, making comparison with spectral shape of NLS1s impossible. However, it is necessary to note that relatively steep X-ray spectra are required for our objects ($\alpha_X \sim 1.5$) if the covering fraction is to be the same as observed in the UV. [Note, however, that accretion rate close to Eddington on to a high mass black hole may not result in a steep X-ray power-law slope as in lower luminosity NLS1s with lower mass black holes (see the accretion disk/corona models of Witt et al. (1997) and also Kuraszekiewicz et al. (2000))]. Comparison of rest frame optical spectra of BAL and non-BAL quasars would be useful in deciding whether the two classes are intrinsically similar.

We would like to thank Drs Gary Schmidt and Dean Hines for discussions on the UV spectrum of Q1246-057, and Dr. Norbert Scharrel for providing the information about the XMM observation on LBQS 2212-1759. This research has made use of the NASA/IPAC Extra-galactic Database (NED) which is operated by the Jet

Propulsion Laboratory, Caltech, under contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. The ROSAT project is supported by the Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung (BMBF/DLR) and the Max-Planck-Society. This work is supported in part by NASA grant NAG5-9936.

REFERENCES

- Arav, N., Shlosman, I., Weymann, R.J., 1997, ASP Conf. Ser. 128, Mass Ejection from AGN, San Francisco: ASP
- Becker, R.H., White, R.L., Gregg, M.D., Brotherton, M.S., Laurent-Muehleisen, S.A., & Arav, N., 2000, ApJ, 538, 72
- Boksenberg, A., Carswell, R.F., Smith, M.G., & Whelan, J.A.J. 1978, MNRAS, 184, 773
- Boroson, T.A., 2002, ApJ, 565, 78
- Bottorff, M.C., Korista, K.T., Shlosman, I., & Blandford, R.D., 1997, ApJ, 479, 2000]bot00
- Bottorff, M.C., Korista, K.T., & Shlosman, I., 2000, ApJ, 537, 134
- Branch, D., Leighly, K.M., Thomas, R.C., & Baron, E., 2002, ApJ, 578, L37
- Brandt, W.N., Mathur, S., Elvis, M., 1997, MNRAS, 285, L25
- Brandt, W.N., & Gallagher, S.C., 2000, New Astr. Rev., 44, 461, eds. Th. Boller, W.N. Brandt, K.M. Leighly, & M.J. Ward
- Brandt, W.N., Laor, A., & Wills, B. 2002, ApJ, 528, 637
- Brinkmann, W., Ferrero, E., Gliozzi, M., 2002, A&A, 385, L31
- Chartas, G., Dai, X., Gallagher, S.C., Garmire, G.P., Bautz, M.W., Schechter, P.L., & Morgan, N.D., 2001, ApJ, 558, 119
- Chartas, G., Brandt, W.N., Gallagher, S.C., & Garmire, G.P., 2002, ApJ, 579, 169
- Clavel, J., Schartel, N., & Tomas, L., 2003, to be published in ASP (Astronomical Society of the Pacific), Conference Series
- Crenshaw, M.D., Kraemer, S.B., & George, I.M., 2002, ASP Conf. Ser. 255, 'Mass Outflow in Active Galactic Nuclei: New Perspectives, San Francisco, ASP
- Dickey, J.M., & Lockman, F.J., 1990, Ann. Rev. Astron. & Astrophys., 28, 215
- Done, C., Mulchaey, J. S., Mushotzky, R. F., Arnaud, K. A., 1992, ApJ395, 275
- Elvis, M., 2000, ApJ, 545, 63
- Emmering, R.T., Blandford, R.D., & Shlosman, I., 1992, ApJ, 385, 460
- Ferland, G., Korista, K.T., Verner, D.A., Ferguson, J.W., Kingdon, J.B., & Verner, E.M., 1998, PASP, 110, 761
- Ferrero, E., & Brinkmann, W., 2003, A&A in press (astro-ph/0302421)
- Gallagher, S.C., Brandt, W.N., Chartas, G., & Garmire, G.P., 2002, ApJ, 567, 37
- Gallagher, S.C., Brandt, W.N., Chartas, G., & Garmire, G.P., 2002b, ApJ, 569, 655
- Gallagher, S.C., Brandt, W.N., Chartas, G., & Garmire, G.P., 2002c, ASP Conf. Ser. Vol. 255, 'Mass outflows from AGN', eds. D.M. Crenshaw, S.B. Kraemer, & I.M. George, p25
- George, I.M., Turner, T.J., Netzer, H., Nandra, K., Mushotzky, R.F., & Yaqoob, T., 1998, ApJS, 114, 73
- Green, P.J., & Mathur, S., 1996, apj, 462, 637
- Green, P.J., Aldcroft, T.L., Mathur, S., Wilkes, B.J., Elvis, M., 2001, ApJ, 558, 109
- Grupe, D., 1996, PhD Thesis, Universität Göttingen
- Grupe, D., Beuermann, K., Mannheim, K., & Thomas, H.-C., 1999, A&A, 350, 805
- Hamann, F. 1998, ApJ, 500, 798
- Hasinger, G., Schartel, N., Komossa, S., 2002, ApJ, 573, L77
- Hewett, P.C., & Foltz, C.B., 2003, ApJ, in press, astro-ph/0301191

- Hutsemékers, D., Lamy, H., Remy, M., 1998, A&A, 340, 371
- Jansen, F., Lumb, D., Altieri, B., et al., 2001, A&A, 365, L1
- Königl, A. & Kartje, J.F., 1994, ApJ, 434, 446
- Korista, K.T., Weymann, R.J., Morris, S.L., et al., 1992, ApJ, 401, 529
- Kuraszkiewicz, J. Wilkes, B.J., Czerny, B., Mathur, S. 2000, ApJ, 542, 692
- Lawrence, A., Elvis, M., Wilkes, B.J., McHardy, I., Brandt, W.N., 1997, MNRAS, 285, 879
- Leighly, K.M., Mushotzky, R.F., Nandra, K., 1997, ApJ, 488, L91
- Mathur, S., 2000, MNRAS, 314, L17
- Mathur, S., Matt, G., Green, P.J., Elvis, M., Singh, K.P., 2001, ApJ, 551, L13
- Nandra, K., George, I.M., Mushotzky, R.F., Turner, T.J., & Yaqoob, T., 1997, ApJ, 488, L91
- Ogle, P.M., Cohen, M.H., Miller, J.S., Tran, H.D., Goodrich, R.W., & Martel, A.R., 1999, ApJS, 125, 1
- Osmer, P.S., & Smith, M.G., 1977, ApJ, 213, 607
- Page, M.J., Mittaz, J.P.D., & Carrera, F.J., 2000, MNRAS, 318, 1073
- Page, M.J., Mittaz, J.P.D., & Carrera, F.J., 2001, MNRAS, 325, 575
- Pfeffermann, E., Briel, U.G., Hippmann, H., et al., 1986, SPIE, 733, 519
- Sabra, B.M., & Hamann, F., 2001, ApJ, 563, 555
- Schmidt, G.D., & Hines, D.C., 1999, ApJ, 512, 125
- Smith, L.J., & Penston, M.V., 1988, MNRAS, 235, 551
- Strüder, L., Briel, U., Dennerl, K., et al., 2001, A&A, 365, L18
- Telfer, R.C., Kriss, G.A., Zheng, W., Davidson, A.F., Green, R.F., 1998, ApJ, 509, 132
- Trümper, J., 1983, Adv. Space Res., 4, 241
- Turner, M.J.L., Abbey, A., Arnaud, M., et al., 2001, A&A, 365, L27
- Turnshek, D.A., Kopko, M., Monier, E., Noll, D., Espey, B.R., & Weymann, R.J., 1996, ApJ, 463, 110
- Weymann, R.J., Morris, S.L., Foltz, C.B., & Hewett, P.C., 1991, ApJ, 373, 23
- Witt, H.J., Czerny, B., Zyki, P.T. 1997, MNRAS, 286, 848
- Yuan, W., Brinkmann, W., Siebert, J., Voges, W., 1998, A&A, 330, 108
- Zimmermann, U., Boese, G., Becker, W., et al., 1998, 'EXSAS User's Guide', MPE report (<http://wave.xray.mpe.mpg.de/exsas/users-guide>)




Fig. 1.— Power-law fit with neutral galactic absorption and intrinsic partial covering absorption to the EPIC PN, MOS-1 and MOS-2 data of Q 1246–057 with galactic absorption fixed to the galactic value and X-ray slope α_X fixed to 1.0 (see Table 2). The left panel shows the fits to the spectra and the right panel displays the confidence levels of the Column density of the partial coverer vs. the covering factor (see Table 2).




Fig. 2.— Contour plots of the absorption column and ionization state ξ using a power-law fit with intrinsic ionized absorber to the EPIC PN + MOS-1 and MOS-2 data of Q1246–057. The left panel shows the contours with a fixed $\alpha_X=1.0$ and the right with $\alpha_X=1.28$ (see Table 2).




Fig. 3.— Power-law fit with neutral galactic absorption and intrinsic partial covering absorption to the EPIC PN, MOS-1, and MOS2 PSPC data of SBS 1542+541. The left panel shows the fits to the spectra and the right panel displays the confidence levels of the Column density of the partial coverer vs. the Photon index (see Table 4).




Fig. 4.— Contour plot of SBS 1542+541 of the column density $N_{H,intr}$ and ionization state ξ of the ionized intrinsic absorber with galactic absorption column fixed to the galactic value and $\alpha_X = 1.0$.

TABLE 1
SUMMARY OF THE XMM OBSERVATIONS OF Q1246–057 AND SBS1542+541

Source	Obs ID	Instr.	Obs _{start}	Obs _{end}	T_{obs}
Q1246–057	0060370201	PN	2001-07-11, 15:59:38	2001-07-12, 02:40:56	38008
		MOS	2001-07-11, 15:21:40	2001-07-12, 02:39:54	40514
SBS 1542+541	0060370101	PN	2002-02-03, 04:15:32	0220-02-03, 06:45:48	8546 ¹
		MOS	2002-02-03, 03:41:54	2002-02-03, 06:47:05	10822 ¹
	0060370901	PN	2002-02-06, 20:57:59	2002-02-07, 06:31:35	33946 ¹
		MOS	2002-02-06, 20:24:21	2002-02-06, 06:31:03	36222 ¹

¹Please note that parts of the observations could not be used for spectral analysis due to high background radiation. The actual times used are given in Sect. 2.1.

TABLE 2

SPECTRAL FIT PARAMETERS OF Q1246-057 FOR A POWER-LAW MODEL WITH GALACTIC ABSORPTION ($N_{\text{H,gal}} = 2.15 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2}$) AND RED-SHIFTED PARTIAL COVERER AND IONIZED ABSORPTION FOR THE INTRINSIC ABSORPTION. THE IONIZATION STATE IS GIVEN BY ξ (DONE ET AL. (1992)).

Detector	$N_{\text{H,gal}}$ 10^{20} cm^{-2}	$N_{\text{H,intr}}$ 10^{22} cm^{-2}	Covering Fraction	ξ	α_X	χ^2 (DOF)
PN ¹	2.15 (fixed)	—	—	—	0.794 ± 0.314	6.9 (12)
	92.0 ± 157	—	—	—	1.28 ± 0.94	7.5 (11)
PN ²	4.78 ± 3.50	—	—	—	0.710 ± 0.213	22.1 (34)
	2.15 (fixed)	2.11 ± 5.07	0.42 ± 0.30	—	0.780 ± 0.273	21.5 (33)
	2.15 (fixed)	2.47 ± 3.62	0.43 ± 0.27	—	0.800 (fixed)	21.6 (33)
	2.15 (fixed)	2.55 ± 1.09	0.86 ± 0.09	—	1.280 (fixed)	30.8 (35)
	2.15 (fixed)	5.28 ± 3.92	—	495 ± 470	1.000 (fixed)	21.8 (34)
MOS-1 ³	6.41 ± 7.85	—	—	—	0.537 ± 0.339	4.1 (6)
	2.15 (fixed)	15.05 ± 9.13	0.80 ± 0.21	—	1.216 ± 0.564	2.2 (5)
	2.15 (fixed)	10.60 ± 11.39	0.60 ± 0.15	—	0.800 (fixed)	3.2 (6)
MOS-2 ⁴	3.70 ± 10.95	—	—	—	0.642 ± 0.652	6.3 (5)
	2.15 (fixed)	0.49 ± 17.59	0.95 ± 800	—	0.800 ± 0.874	6.0 (4)
PSPC ⁵ (0.2-1.45 keV)	2.15 (fixed)	4.56 ± 11.78	0.95 ± 14.25	—	1.520 ± 5.450	3.5 (7)
	2.15 (fixed)	2.84 ± 4.00	0.95 ± 8.08	—	1.000 (fixed)	3.2 (8)
	2.15 (fixed)	3.90 ± 3.86	0.95 ± 3.32	—	1.500 (fixed)	3.6 (8)
MOS-1 ³ + MOS-2 ⁴	3.65 ± 5.82	—	—	—	0.510 ± 0.300	11.4 (13)
	2.15 (fixed)	0.47 ± 13.50	0.48 ± 10.8	—	0.511 ± 0.320	11.1 (13)
	2.15 (fixed)	4.170 ± 540	0.48 ± 0.19	—	0.800 (fixed)	11.7 (13)
	2.15 (fixed)	11.12 ± 4.90	0.74 ± 0.06	—	1.280 (fixed)	14.9 (14)
PN ² + MOS-1 ³ + MOS-2 ⁴	5.52 ± 3.48	—	—	—	0.723 ± 0.176	31.8 (46)
	2.15 (fixed)	2.49 ± 4.70	0.38 ± 0.29	—	0.730 ± 0.222	33.2 (46)
	2.15 (fixed)	2.76 ± 3.56	0.44 ± 0.17	—	0.800 (fixed)	33.9 (47)
	2.15 (fixed)	4.49 ± 2.69	0.58 ± 0.09	—	1.000 (fixed)	35.3 (47)
	2.15 (fixed)	6.08 ± 2.09	0.72 ± 0.06	—	1.280 (fixed)	39.9 (48)
	2.15 (fixed)	7.43 ± 2.07	0.79 ± 0.04	—	1.500 (fixed)	44.2 (47)
	2.15 (fixed)	3.60 ± 3.39	—	445 ± 560	0.800 (fixed)	33.0 (47)
	2.15 (fixed)	6.69 ± 10.51	—	630 ± 1390	1.000 (fixed)	34.5 (47)
	2.15 (fixed)	9.11 ± 2.95	—	990 ± 435	1.280 (fixed)	38.9 (47)
PN ² + MOS-1 ³ + MOS-2 ⁴ + PSPC ⁵	4.98 ± 3.26	—	—	—	0.685 ± 0.171	34.7 (51)
	2.15 (fixed)	0.33 ± 1.00	0.95 ± 56.2	—	0.644 ± 0.155	39.3 (54)
	2.15 (fixed)	0.64 ± 0.62	0.95 ± 1.75	—	0.800 (fixed)	40.4 (55)
	2.15 (fixed)	5.65 ± 1.98	0.73 ± 0.05	—	1.280 (fixed)	41.5 (54)
	2.15 (fixed)	3.74 ± 1.72	—	430 ± 200	0.800 (fixed)	34.9 (53)
	2.15 (fixed)	6.58 ± 2.35	—	590 ± 240	1.000 (fixed)	36.3 (53)
	2.15 (fixed)	9.10 ± 2.67	—	985 ± 370	1.280 (fixed)	41.3 (53)

¹EPIC PN, observed energy range used: 1.5-7.5 keV

²EPIC PN, observed energy range used: 0.2-7.5 keV

³EPIC MOS-1, observed energy range used: 0.2-7.5 keV

⁴EPIC MOS-2, observed energy range used: 0.2-4.0 keV

⁵ROSAT Position Sensitive Proportional Counter, observed energy range used: 0.2-1.45 keV

TABLE 3

REDSHIFTS, DISTANCES (IN MPC), UNABSORBED REST-FRAME X-RAY LUMINOSITIES (IN UNITS OF ERGS s^{-1}) THE REST-FRAME LUMINOSITY DENSITY $l_{2500\text{\AA}}$ AT 2500 $\text{\AA}$ (IN UNITS OF ERGS $\text{s}^{-1} \text{Hz}^{-1}$, AND X-RAY LOUDNESS α_{ox} ¹

Property	Q1246-057	SBS 1542+541
z	2.236	2.361
D	11500	12222
$\log L_X$ (0.2-2.0 keV)	44.70	45.13
$\log L_X$ (2.0-10.0 keV)	44.64	45.10
$\log l_{2500\text{\AA}}$	31.08	31.62
α_{ox}	1.50 ²	1.48 ²

¹The X-ray loudness is defined by: $\alpha_X = -0.384 \log(l_{2\text{keV}}/l_{2500\text{\AA}})$.

²Assuming partial covering model

TABLE 4

SPECTRAL FIT PARAMETERS OF SBS1542+541 FOR A POWER-LAW MODEL WITH GALACTIC ABSORPTION ($N_{\text{H,gal}} = 1.27 \cdot 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2}$) AND RED-SHIFTED PARTIAL COVERER OR IONIZED ABSORPTION FOR THE INTRINSIC ABSORPTION.

Detector	$N_{\text{H,gal}}$ 10^{20} cm^{-2}	$N_{\text{H,intr}}$ 10^{22} cm^{-2}	Covering Fraction	ξ	α_X	χ^2 (DOF)
PN ¹	1.27 (fixed)	—	—	—	1.126 $\pm$ 0.220	30.7 (26)
PN ¹	0.00 $\pm$ 1.70	—	—	—	1.044 $\pm$ 0.574	31.0 (25)
PN ²	8.43 $\pm$ 1.98	—	—	—	0.965 $\pm$ 0.119	68.0 (67)
	1.27 (fixed)	—	—	—	0.581 $\pm$ 0.048	91.2 (68)
	1.27 (fixed)	3.58 $\pm$ 1.26	0.73 $\pm$ 0.08	—	1.129 $\pm$ 0.161	64.3 (66)
	1.27 (fixed)	5.52 $\pm$ 0.83	0.84 $\pm$ 0.02	—	1.500 (fixed)	72.3 (67)
	1.27 (fixed)	3.98 $\pm$ 1.45	—	250 $\pm$ 140	1.000 (fixed)	66.5 (67)
	1.27 (fixed)	7.98 $\pm$ 1.41	—	1000 $\pm$ 280	1.500 (fixed)	76.5 (67)
MOS-1 ³	10.91 $\pm$ 4.10	—	—	—	0.962 $\pm$ 0.188	27.4 (26)
	1.27 (fixed)	3.48 $\pm$ 2.78	0.68 $\pm$ 0.14	—	1.000 $\pm$ 0.223	26.9 (25)
	1.27 (fixed)	6.34 $\pm$ 1.52	0.83 $\pm$ 0.04	—	1.500 (fixed)	33.3 (26)
	1.27 (fixed)	7.08 $\pm$ 3.90	—	570 $\pm$ 440	1.000 (fixed)	27.1 (26)
MOS-2 ⁴	6.50 $\pm$ 3.76	—	—	—	0.813 $\pm$ 0.181	21.3 (23)
	1.27 (fixed)	6.35 $\pm$ 4.70	0.55 $\pm$ 0.20	—	0.984 $\pm$ 0.266	20.0 (22)
	1.27 (fixed)	10.67 $\pm$ 3.09	0.80 $\pm$ 0.04	—	1.500 (fixed)	26.8 (23)
	1.27 (fixed)	9.65 $\pm$ 5.25	—	1000 $\pm$ 620	1.000 (fixed)	20.4 (23)
MOS-1 ³ + MOS-2 ⁴	8.89 $\pm$ 2.83	—	—	—	0.894 $\pm$ 0.132	49.2 (51)
	1.27 (fixed)	4.18 $\pm$ 2.50	0.60 $\pm$ 0.12	—	0.962 $\pm$ 0.167	47.9 (50)
	1.27 (fixed)	10.37 $\pm$ 3.20	—	1000 $\pm$ 390	1.124 (fixed)	49.2 (51)
PN ² + MOS-1 ³ + MOS-2 ⁴	8.43 $\pm$ 1.64	—	—	—	0.923 $\pm$ 0.088	118.3 (120)
	1.27 (fixed)	3.80 $\pm$ 1.13	0.68 $\pm$ 0.06	—	1.053 $\pm$ 0.111	113.7 (119)
	1.27 (fixed)	6.33 $\pm$ 0.72	0.83 $\pm$ 0.02	—	1.500 (fixed)	131.0 (120)
	1.27 (fixed)	5.17 $\pm$ 1.40	—	377 $\pm$ 150	1.000 (fixed)	116.5 (120)

¹EPIC PN, observed energy range used: 1.5-10.0 keV

²EPIC PN, observed energy range used: 0.2-12.0 keV

³EPIC MOS-1, observed energy range used: 0.2-9.0 keV

⁴EPIC MOS-2, observed energy range used: 0.2-7.0 keV

TABLE 5
SUMMARY OF PUBLISHED CHANDRA AND XMM OBSERVATION OF BAL QSOs

Source	Mission	Intrinsic N_H ¹	Covering Fraction	α_X	reference
Q1246–057	XMM, EPIC PN	2.47 ± 3.62	0.43 ± 0.27	0.800	this paper
SBS 1542+541	XMM, EPIC PN	3.58 ± 1.26	0.73 ± 0.08	1.129	this paper
Q 0000–263	XMM, PN+MOS	0.0	—	1.1	(Ferrero & Brinkmann 2003)
APM 08279+5255	Chandra, ACIS-S	6.0 ± 3.5	—	0.86	(Chartas et al. 2002)
APM 08279+5255	XMM, PN + MOS	6.9 ± 0.3	—	1.04	(Hasinger et al. 2002)
RX J0911.4+0551	Chandra, ACIS-S	19 ± 28	0.71 ± 0.20	0.87	(Chartas et al. 2001)
PG 1115+080	Chandra, ACIS-S	3.8 ± 2.5	0.64 ± 0.12	0.99	(Chartas et al. 2002)
Mkn 231	Chandra, ACIS-S	$2.1^{+1.3}_{-0.9}$	—	1.1	(Gallagher et al. 2002b)
H 1413+117	Chandra, ACIS-S	20 ± 18	—	0.39	(Chartas et al. 2002)
PG 1411+442	Chandra, ACIS-S	19 ± 7.5	0.97 ± 0.02	1.20	(Gallagher et al. 2002a)
PG 1535+547	Chandra, ACIS-S	12 ± 8	0.91 ± 0.07	1.02	(Gallagher et al. 2002c)
PG 2112+059	Chandra, ACIS-S	1.1 ± 0.5	—	0.97	(Gallagher et al. 2002c)
LBQS 2212–1759	XMM, EPIC PN	>3000	—	0.9	(Clavel et al. 2003)
PHL 5200	XMM, EPIC PN	10	—	1.0	(Brinkmann et al. 2002)

¹In units of 10^{22} cm^{-2}