THE 160 SQUARE DEGREE ROSAT SURVEY: THE REVISED CATALOG OF 201 CLUSTERS WITH SPECTROSCOPIC REDSHIFTS¹

C.R. Mullis^{2,3}, B.R. McNamara^{4,5}, H. Quintana⁶, A. Vikhlinin⁵, J.P. Henry³, I.M. Gioia^{7,3}, A. Hornstrup⁸, W. Forman⁵, and C. Jones⁵

Accepted for publication in ApJ

ABSTRACT

We present the revised catalog of galaxy clusters detected as extended X-ray sources in the 160 Square Degree ROSAT Survey, including spectroscopic redshifts and X-ray luminosities for 200 of the 201 members. The median redshift is $z_{\text{median}} = 0.25$ and the median X-ray luminosity is $L_{\text{X,median}} = 4.2 \times 10^{43}$ h_{50}^{-2} erg s⁻¹ (0.5–2.0 keV). This is the largest high-redshift sample of X-ray selected clusters published to date. There are 73 objects at z > 0.3 and 22 objects at z > 0.5 drawn from a statistically complete flux-limited survey with a median object flux of 1.4×10^{-13} erg cm⁻² s⁻¹. We describe the optical follow-up of these clusters with an emphasis on our spectroscopy which has yielded 155 cluster redshifts, 110 of which are presented here for the first time. These measurements combined with 45 from the literature and other sources provide near-complete spectroscopic coverage for our survey. We discuss the final optical identifications for the extended X-ray sources in the survey region and compare our results to similar X-ray cluster searches.

Subject headings: catalogs — galaxies: clusters: general — surveys — X-rays: galaxies

1. INTRODUCTION

According to the theory of hierarchical structure formation, clusters of galaxies are amongst the largest and most recent systems to form in the matrix of cosmic construction (e.g., Peebles 1993; Peacock 1999). Measurements based on dynamical, gravitational lensing, and X-ray data independently confirm the extreme magnitude of cluster masses $(10^{14} - 10^{15} M_{\odot})$; thus situating them as the largest virialized masses in the Universe (e.g., Smail et al. 1997; Wu et al. 1998; Allen et al. 2001; Clowe & Schneider 2002). Observed phenomena including cluster-cluster mergers, shock fronts, infalling sub-clusters, and non-spherical morphologies attest to the on-going assembly of clusters. (e.g., Gioia et al. 1999; Vikhlinin, Markevitch, & Murray 2001; Czoske et al. 2002; Markevitch et al. 2002; Rose et al. 2002).

As galaxy clusters form in the deepest gravitational potentials, presumably at the intersections of filaments in the "cosmic web" of large-scale structure, they are excellent tracers of the matter distribution in the Universe (e.g., Bond, Kofman, & Pogosyan 1996; Jenkins et al. 1998; Mullis et al. 2001; Borgani & Guzzo 2001). Moreover, clusters are important tools for constraining cosmological parameters. For example, determinations of the mass, X-ray luminosity, and X-ray temperature functions can be used to measure the matter density parameter (Ω_M) and the amplitude (σ_8) of density fluctuations (e.g., Henry 2000; Borgani et al. 2001; Vikhlinin et al. 2003). Observations of the Sunyaev-Zeldovich (SZ) effect combined with X-ray imaging of clusters can be used to assess the Hubble parameter (H_0) via a technique notably independent of the distance ladder (e.g., Sunyaev & Zeldovich 1972; Silk & White 1978; Carlstrom, Holder, & Reese 2002;). By no means is this overview of systemic cluster applications complete; see the reviews, and references therein, of Sarazin (1988) for a historical perspective on cluster X-ray emission, Mulchaev (2000) for the X-ray properties of galaxy groups, and Rosati, Borgani, & Norman (2002) for the current understanding of evolutionary trends in X-ray clusters of galaxies.

The significance of galaxy clusters and the strong interest to discover and investigate these remarkable structures are demonstrated by the sheer number of surveys undertaken in recent years. In the high-energy domain alone, there are at least sixteen independent X-ray-selected cluster surveys spanning the *Einstein* and *ROSAT* eras (see Table 1). X-ray selection is currently the optimal procedure for building cluster samples with minimum bias and maximum statistical completeness. Techniques currently under development that may offer a competitive alternative include gravitational lensing and SZ surveys. Rosati et al. (2002) provide a detailed discussion of X-ray survev strategies, and Postman (2002) offers a comprehensive comparison of the X-ray, optical/NIR, and SZ approaches.

We briefly review the basic properties of cluster X-ray emission. On megaparsec scales dark matter halos collapse pulling in gas and galaxies. This gas comprises $\sim 85\%$ of the luminous cluster mass, and is heated to several $10^7 \,\mathrm{K}$

- ³ Institute for Astronomy, University of Hawai'i, 2680 Woodlawn Drive, Honolulu, HI 96822, USA
- ⁴ Department of Physics and Astronomy, Ohio University, Athens, OH 45701, USA
- ⁵ Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, 60 Garden Street, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA
- ⁶ Departamento de Astronomia y Astrofisica, Pontificia Universidad Catolica de Chile, Casilla 104, Santiago, 22, Chile
- ⁷ Istituto di Radioastronomia del CNR, via Gobetti 101, Bologna, I-40129, Italy
- ⁸ Danish Space Research Institute, Juliane Maries Vej 30, Copenhagen 0, DK-2100, Denmark

¹ Based on observations obtained at the W.M. Keck Observatory, jointly operated by the California Institute of Technology, the University of California and the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, at the University of Hawai'i 2.2 m telescope, and at the European Southern Observatory 3.6 m on La Silla (ESO Programs 60.A-0694, 62.O-0586, 64.O-0455).

² European Southern Observatory, Headquarters, Karl-Schwarzschild-Strasse 2, Garching bei München D-85748, Germany, cmullis@eso.org

through adiabatic compression and shock heating during cluster formation. At these extreme temperatures the gas is highly ionized, optically thin $(n \sim 10^{-3} \text{ cm}^{-3})$, and primarily radiates via thermal bremsstrahlung with minor contributions from thermal line transitions (e.g., 6.7 keV Fe K α).

The X-ray advantages of cluster selection are impres-Clusters are very luminous $(10^{43}-10^{45} \text{ erg s}^{-1})$ sive. and spatially extended X-ray sources, which are efficiently detectable to high redshifts (e.g., RX J0848.9+4452 at z = 1.26, Rosati et al. 1999; Stanford et al. 2001). Since the X-ray emission is proportional to the gas density squared, clusters have relatively peaked surface-brightness profiles. This characteristic coupled with the low X-ray background nearly eliminates projection effects and underpins the high statistical quality of X-ray samples. The cosmological utility is further enhanced by the strong correlation of X-ray luminosity with cluster mass, and the fact that X-ray surveys feature well-defined selection functions. The latter is critical for reliably transforming source counts to volume-normalized diagnostics.

In this paper we present the spectroscopic redshift catalog for the 160 Square Degree ROSAT Cluster Survey (hereafter 160SD). The 201 galaxy clusters of the 160SD survey represent the largest, high-redshift X-ray selected sample published to date. First described by Vikhlinin et al. (1998a, hereafter V98), the 160SD clusters have been used to study the evolution of cluster X-ray luminosities and radii (Vikhlinin et al. 1998b), to present evidence for a new class of X-ray overluminous elliptical galaxies or "fossil groups" (Vikhlinin et al. 1999), to analyze the correlation of optical cluster richness with redshift and X-ray luminosity (McNamara et al. 2001), and to discover gravitational lenses (Muñoz et al. 2001; Hornstrup et al. 2003). Chandra observations of high-redshift 160SD clusters have been used to make an accurate determination of the evolution of the scaling relations between X-ray luminosity, temperature, and gas mass (Vikhlinin et al. 2002), and to derive cosmological constraints from the evolution of the cluster baryon mass function (Vikhlinin et al. 2003). The most recent results are reported by Mullis et al. (2003) who find significant evolution in the number density of clusters as a function of redshift based on number counts and changes in the X-ray luminosity functions.

In § 2 we review the design of the 160SD survey and describe the optical follow-up observations with an emphasis on the new spectroscopy. In § 3 we present the revised cluster catalog which features essentially complete spectroscopic redshifts. We describe the general properties of our cluster sample and compare them to the results of other X-ray surveys in § 4. We close with a brief summary in § 5. Throughout this analysis we assume an Einsteinde Sitter cosmological model with $H_0 = 50 \text{ h}_{50} \text{ km s}^{-1}$ Mpc⁻¹ and $\Omega_M = 1 (\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0)$, and quote X-ray fluxes and luminosities in the 0.5–2.0 keV energy band.

2. The 160 square degree rosat survey

The study of high-redshift galaxy clusters was revolutionized by the ROSAT X-ray satellite (Trümper 1993) which was used to perform the first all-sky survey with an imaging X-ray telescope and to carry out a program of deep pointed observations for nearly a decade (1990– 1999). These data, the *ROSAT* All-Sky Survey (RASS, Voges 1992) and the archive of pointed observations, are the basis of many X-ray selected surveys which have identified more than 1000 clusters; survey acronyms and principal references are given in Table 1. See Rosati et al. (2002) for an extensive review of the subject.

Applying different combinations of solid angle and X-ray flux sensitivity, the *ROSAT* cluster surveys provide good coverage of the X-ray luminosity-redshift parameter space. For example, BCS, CIZA, NORAS, and REFLEX adopted the wide (~10⁴ deg²) and shallow ($f_X \gtrsim 3 \times 10^{-12}$ erg cm⁻² s⁻¹) strategy using the RASS data to locate relatively nearby clusters ($z \leq 0.3$). Conversely surveys such as BMW-HRI, RDCS, SSHARC, and WARPS executed deep searches ($f_X \gtrsim 2-6 \times 10^{-14}$ erg cm⁻² s⁻¹) with more modest solid angles (~20–200 deg²) to identify higher redshift clusters. Our 160SD survey falls into the latter category. In the following section we review the design of the program and elaborate on the optical follow-up observations. See V98 for a complete description of the 160SD survey methodology.

2.1. X-ray Selection of Cluster Candidates

Clusters of galaxies are rare occurrences in the X-ray sky. Surveys such as the EMSS and NEP which have rigorously identified *all* X-ray sources within their survey regions find only $\sim 12-15\%$ of the X-ray emitters at high Galactic latitudes are clusters (Stocke et al. 1991; Henry et al. 2001; Mullis 2001; Gioia et al. 2003). However, except for nearby, isolated elliptical galaxies, clusters are essentially the only extended X-ray sources away from the Galactic Plane. Thus with X-ray imaging data of sufficient spatial resolution and signal-to-noise, X-ray extent is an excellent means to efficiently identify galaxy clusters.

The 160SD clusters were selected based on the serendipitous detection of extended X-ray emission in 647 archival ROSAT PSPC observations. The search included all fields with Galactic latitude $|b| > 30^{\circ}$ and hydrogen column densities $n_{\rm H} < 6 \times 10^{20}$ cm⁻² but excluded 10° radius regions around the SMC and LMC. PSPC observations targeting known clusters of galaxies, nearby galaxies, star clusters, and supernova remnants were not analyzed. A total of 160 deg² were surveyed at high fluxes. At lower fluxes, the sky coverage smoothly decreases due to the survey selection function (see Table 5 in V98). The area drops to 80 deg² at the median survey flux $(1.2 \times 10^{-13} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1})$ and to 5 deg² at $3.7 \times 10^{-14} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (which is the effective lower flux limit of the survey).

Operating on hard-band images (0.6-2.0 keV), a wavelet decomposition algorithm was used to detect X-ray sources between 2.5' and 17.5' from the PSPC field center. The inner radius allows for the avoidance of the original target of the pointing and the outer radius is set by the window support structure of *ROSAT* PSPC. X-ray sources significantly broader than the point-spread function (PSF), as determined through a maximum-likelihood determination, qualified for the initial list of candidate galaxy clusters.

The PSF of the *ROSAT* PSPC has a FWHM of about 25" on-axis which grows to about 45" at 17.5' off axis. The radial surface-brightness profile of cluster X-ray emission is characterized by a β -model, $I(r, r_c) = I_0 (1 + r^2/r_c^2)^{-3\beta+0.5}$ (Cavaliere & Fusco-

Femiano 1976). A cluster with the canonical properties (core radius $r_c=250 \ h_{50}^{-1}$ kpc and slope $\beta = 2/3$) at z = 1corresponds to an angular radius of $\sim 30''$ or a FWHM of $\sim 60''$ and thus is easily resolved in our survey. For a more stringent scenario consider $r_c=100 \ h_{50}^{-1}$ kpc which is at the very low end of the observed distribution of core radii (e.g., Jones & Forman 1999). At z = 1 the intrinsic radius is $\sim 12''$. Nonetheless the convolution of the intrinsic profile and the PSF results in a significantly extended source even for this compact cluster at high redshift. The decreased detection probability for such a small cluster at larger off-axis angles is incorporated into the 160SD selection function.

It is important to recognize that though X-ray extent is the primary selection criterion for our survey, comparisons with other surveys (e.g., WARPS, Perlman et al. 2002) demonstrate that no clusters were missed as unresolved sources. Hence the results of the 160SD survey are in effect a statistically complete, X-ray flux-limited cluster sample.

2.2. Initial Optical Follow-up Observations

Following the X-ray selection of 223 candidate clusters, optical observations are required to verify the presence of a galaxy cluster and to estimate the distance to each system. V98 described the initial phase of these followup observations. A search of the NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database (NED⁹) revealed 37 previously known clusters, 31 of which had measured redshifts in the literature. For the remaining 186 candidates, photometric CCD imaging in the *R*-band was obtained using the Fred Lawrence Whipple Observatory (FLWO) 1.2m, European Southern Observatory (ESO) / Danish 1.54m, and Las Campanas 1m telescopes. These were supplemented in the case of seven bright clusters using the DSS-II plates which can be used to identify clusters to $z \leq 0.45$. The CCD imaging was sufficiently deep to reveal clusters to z = 0.7 - 0.9. Figure 1 illustrates the relative ease of establishing the presence of distant clusters even with short exposures through a modest telescope. An overdensity of galaxies visible in this 5 minute R-band image from the FLWO 1.2m is perfectly aligned with the X-ray emission from an 80 ks Chandra observation. Our subsequent Keck-II longslit spectra (Figure 2) taken along the major axis of the X-rays and galaxy distribution confirm the presence of a cluster at z = 0.700. If a concentration of galaxies is not present in the CCD imaging it was classified as a false detection though it could presumably be a very distant cluster (e.g., RX J0848.9+4452 which is 160SD cluster #61 at z = 1.26).

In total 203 clusters were confirmed by V98 (see Table 2). Of the remaining 20 sources, 19 are probable false detections resulting from the blends of unresolved X-ray point sources. It was not possible to confirm RX J1415.6+1906 (#157) because it is obscured by the glare of Arcturus. The exceptional quality of the 160SD X-ray selection is reinforced by this high success rate (91%).

The second phase of the optical follow-up concerns spectroscopic measurements principally used to measure distances to the clusters. Of course the determination of concordant redshifts from amongst the likely

cluster members further justifies the cluster classification, but this is somewhat ancillary given the presence of extended X-ray emission spatially coincident with a galaxy density enhancement. As previously noted, spectroscopic redshifts for 31 of the clusters were available from the literature. V98 obtained spectroscopic redshifts for 45 additional clusters using the Multiple Mirror Telescope 6×1.8 m, ESO 3.6m, and ESO/Danish 1.54m telescopes, and presented photometric redshifts for 124 of the remaining 127 clusters based on the magnitude of the brightest cluster galaxy (BCG). Reliable photometric estimates were not possible for three candidates $RX J0910.6+4248 \ (\#69), RX J1237.4+11141 \ (\#122), and$ RXJ1438.9+6423 (#164) because the selection of the BCG in each case was unclear due to the large angular extent of the candidate. Note the object identification numbers in parentheses are the same as the ones used in V98. The distance estimates of V98 are summarized in the second column of Table 3.

The membership of the 160SD cluster sample has proven to be remarkably stable since first presented by V98. Based on the results of extensive spectroscopic follow-up (described in $\S2.3$), the revised sample consists of 201 confirmed clusters, 21 false detections, and one source obscured by Arcturus (see Table 2). These classifications have changed very little; one probable false detection has been identified as a cluster and three cluster candidates have been reclassified as probable falses. RX J0848.9+4452 (#61) was originally classified as a probable false detection because no galaxy overdensity was visible in our optical image of this field. However, it was forewarned that such an object could be a very distant $(z\gtrsim 0.9)$ cluster. In fact Rosati et al. (1999) have proven that this X-ray source is a cluster at z = 1.26 making it the most distant system found in any of the X-ray surveys thus far. RX J0857.7+2747 (#65), RX J1429.6+4234 (#163), and RX J2004.8-5603 (#197) were initially cluster candidates but we now interpret them to be likely false detections because our spectroscopic survey of these fields failed to find any coherent structure in redshift space.

2.3. New Spectroscopic Redshifts

Since the initial follow-up observations we have gone on to measure spectroscopic redshifts for 110 additional clusters from our 160SD survey using the Keck-II 10m and the University of Hawai'i (UH) 2.2m telescopes at the Mauna Kea Observatories, and the ESO 3.6m telescope at La Silla Observatory. Combining these new redshifts with 76 measurements reported by V98 and 14 redshifts from the literature and private communications results in essentially complete (200 of 201 clusters) spectroscopic coverage for our entire sample. Refer to Table 3 for a summary of the redshift determinations. The new cluster catalog will be presented in § 3. Here we give a technical description of the new observations.

We chose the targets for the low-dispersion spectroscopic observations using our previously described CCD images. This was usually a straightforward task since in most cases unambiguous cluster members were spatially coincident with the extended X-ray emission. Longslit spectra were obtained with the Keck-II 10m and the UH 2.2m resulting in usually 2–3 concordant galaxy redshifts per cluster, and always including the BCG. Longslit and multi-object spectra were taken with the ESO 3.6m, the latter producing 10–15 galaxy redshifts per cluster.

We measured redshifts for the most distant clusters $(z \gtrsim 0.5)$ using the Keck-II 10m telescope with the Low Resolution Imaging Spectrometer (LRIS, Oke et al. 1995) during four nights of observations (1999 July 07–08 and 2000 January 26–27). Examples are shown in Figures 2 and 4. The 300 lines mm⁻¹ grating (5000Å blaze) combined with the GG495 long-pass order-blocking filter yielded an effective wavelength coverage of approximately 5000Å–9000Å and a dispersion of 2.45Å pixel⁻¹. With the Tektronix 2048×2048 CCD detector the spatial scale is 0.215" pixel⁻¹. We used a slit of 1.5" in width, which gives a reduced spectral resolution of ~16Å FWHM ($R \sim 440$). Exposure times were typically 1200s–2400s and the seeing was 0.7"– 1.1".

Moderately distant clusters were observed using the UH 2.2m with the Wide Field Grism Spectrograph (WFGS) during ten nights of spectroscopy (1998 May – 2000 February). The instrument setup consisted of the Tektronix 2048×2048 CCD at the f/10 focus with the 420 lines mm⁻¹ red grism and a 1.8" slit, providing a wavelength coverage of approximately 3800Å–9000Å. The spectral dispersion is 3.6Å pixel⁻¹, the spectral resolution is ~19Å FWHM ($R \sim 340$), and the spatial scale is 0.35" pixel⁻¹. The typical seeing was 0.8"–1.5" and integration times ranged between 600s and 3600 s.

We observed the southern clusters not visible from Mauna Kea using the ESO 3.6m with the ESO Faint Object Spectrograph and Camera (EFOSC2), in both the longslit and the multi-object spectroscopy modes. Data were taken over 6 nights (1998 March 28, 1999 February 15–16, and 1999 November 06–08) during which time the seeing varied over the range 0.6″–1.8″. The 300 lines mm⁻¹ grating (#6, 5000Å blaze) with a 1.5″ slit provides a wavelength coverage of about 3900Å– 8000Å with a spectral resolution of ~20Å ($R \sim 300$). The spectral dispersion is 4Å pixel⁻¹ and the spatial scale is 0.31″ pixel⁻¹ using the Loral 2048×2048 CCD binned 2×2 at readout. Exposure times were usually between 900s and 1800s.

In total we observed over 400 individual galaxies to derive the 110 new cluster redshifts reported in this paper. The only cluster for which we lack a redshift is RXJ1237.4+1141 (#122). The unusually large extent of this source would require an extensive redshift survey to yield a reliable distance measurement. We analyzed our data following standard procedures using IRAF¹⁰ reduction packages and IDL¹¹ routines. Two-dimensional spectra were de-biased and flat-fielded. One-dimensional spectra, with the sky background subtracted, were extracted and wavelength calibrated. Finally the instrumental response was removed using observations of the spectrophotometric standard stars of Oke & Gunn (1983) and Massey et al. (1988). Redshifts were measured based on the offsets of absorption features commonly observed in early-type galaxies including the Ca II H and K doublet (3933.68Å)

3968.49Å), the 4000Å break, the CH G band (\sim 4300Å), Mg Ib (\sim 5175Å), and Na Id (5889.97Å).

Brief descriptions of a few individual clusters demonstrate the reliability of our X-ray source characterization and the rigor of our optical follow-up program. Though relatively simple X-ray/optical configurations such as that shown in Figure 1 are the most commonly observed, there are more challenging scenarios. Take for instance the 160SD source RX J0921.2+4528 (#70). We were surprised when our spectrum of the apparent BCG revealed a broadline QSO at z = 1.66. Since active galactic nuclei (AGN) are usually X-ray luminous we could have stopped here and classified RX J0921.2+4528 as an AGN. However our analysis of the X-ray data shows this source is significantly (6σ) broader than the *ROSAT* PSPC PSF thus motivating us to pursue this further. With subsequent spectroscopy we found five concordant redshifts at z = 0.315 confirming the cluster identification. More interestingly, a second QSO at z = 1.66 was found separated 6.93'' from the first. Muñoz et al. (2001) further describe this wide-separation gravitational lens candidate. Our Monte-Carlo simulations show that a 90% upper limit on the flux of a point source at the QSO position is about 35% of the total cluster flux.

Another complex source to disentangle is RX J1524.6+0957 (#170). With a cursory inspection of the *I*-band image shown in Figure 3 one might conclude the obvious low-redshift group is the optical counterpart of the X-ray source. This interpretation was reported by the BSHARC survey who quoted a redshift of z = 0.078(Romer et al. 2000). However, closer scrutiny of the fainter objects suggests a concentration of galaxies at the X-ray position. Our Keck-II spectroscopy for these faint galaxies establishes a distant cluster at z = 0.516 (Figure 4). Were the nearby group the principal source of the X-rays, it would have to be exceedingly compact. The measured core radius of 26" corresponds to 52 h_{50}^{-1} kpc at z = 0.078or 190 h_{50}^{-1} kpc at z = 0.516. The former is unrealistic whereas the latter is quite typical. Finally, we measure an ~ 5 keV temperature for the intracluster medium, via a spectral fit to the *Chandra* data, which strongly rejects the group-dominant scenario. Thus we conclude the primary identification for RX J1524.6+0957 is a distant cluster at z = 0.516.

We close this section with an assessment of the photometric redshift estimates of V98 versus the spectroscopic measurements reported here. Looking at the relevant data plotted in Figure 5 we see that in general the photometric redshifts were quite reliable. In retrospect the 90% confidence interval of $\Delta z = \frac{+0.04}{-0.07}$ for the 117 CCD-based estimates was somewhat underestimated by V98, since only 70% of the spectroscopic redshifts actually fall within this error range. Ignoring the six principal outlyers labeled in Figure 5, the true 90% confidence interval is about $\Delta z = \pm 0.1$.

3. REVISED CLUSTER CATALOG WITH SPECTROSCOPIC REDSHIFTS AND X-RAY LUMINOSITIES

We present the revised cluster catalog for the 160SD survey in Table 4. The most significant feature is the nearly

¹⁰ IRAF is distributed by the National Optical Astronomy Observatories, which are operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation. http://iraf.noao.edu

¹¹ http://www.rsinc.com, http://idlastro.gsfc.nasa.gov/homepage.html

complete spectroscopic coverage. We report spectroscopic redshifts for 200 of the 201 (99.5%) clusters in our sample. With knowledge of the cluster distances we can compute accurate X-ray luminosities. Hence the fundamental parameters are now available to pursue detailed investigations with this statistically complete, X-ray flux-limited sample.

In Table 4 we provide detailed information for each of the 201 clusters discovered in the 160SD survey. For completeness we also list the 22 other objects meeting our original selection criteria of significant X-ray extent. Twentyone of these, flagged with "F" in columns (10), (11), and (13), are likely false detections due to blends of unresolved X-ray point sources. The other source is only 4.4′ away from the zero magnitude star Arcturus and hence nearly impossible to confirm as a cluster.

The object name and object number are given in columns (1) and (2). Note the latter is the same identification used by V98. The right ascension and declination (J2000) for the centroid of the X-ray emission are listed in columns (3) and (4). Column (5) gives the positional uncertainty in terms of the radius for the 90% confidence X-ray position error circle. Columns (6) and (7) give the angular core radius and its uncertainty based on a β -model fit with $\beta = 2/3$. The total, unabsorbed X-ray flux in the 0.5–2.0 keV energy band in the observer's restframe and its uncertainty are listed in columns (8) and (9).

Cluster X-ray luminosity in the 0.5-2.0 keV energy band is reported in column (10). Luminosity in the object's rest frame is defined by

$$L_{\rm X} = 4\pi d_{\rm L}^2 f_{\rm X} k_{0.5-2.0} \tag{1}$$

where $f_{\rm X}$ is the total X-ray flux, $d_{\rm L}$ is the luminosity distance (e.g., Weinberg 1972), and $k_{0.5-2.0}$ is the *K*-correction in the 0.5 – 2.0 keV band. The latter transforms the *ROSAT* rest-frame luminosity into the object's rest-frame luminosity. The *K*-correction here is given by

$$k_{0.5-2.0} = \frac{\int_{0.5}^{2.0} f_{\rm E} d\mathbf{E}}{\int_{0.5(1+z)}^{2.0(1+z)} f_{\rm E} d\mathbf{E}}$$
(2)

where $f_{\rm E}$ is the differential flux (flux per unit energy) as a function of energy and the integration limits are energy band edges in keV. The *K*-corrections for clusters, shown in Figure 6, were computed assuming a Raymond-Smith plasma spectrum (Raymond & Smith 1977) with a metallicity of 0.3 solar and a gas temperature for the intracluster medium (ICM) consistent with the $L_{\rm X}-kT$ relation of White, Jones, & Forman (1997),

$$kT = 2.76 \,\mathrm{keV} \, L_{\mathrm{X,bol},44}^{0.33},$$
 (3)

where $L_{X,bol,44}$ is the bolometric X-ray luminosity in units of 10^{44} erg s⁻¹ with $H_0 = 50$ h₅₀ km s⁻¹ Mpc⁻¹

Heliocentric spectroscopic redshifts which entirely supersede the photometric estimates of V98 are given in column (11). We measured redshifts for 155 clusters while 45 come from the literature and private communications as referenced in column (12). In a few cases the precision of literature-based redshifts reported by V98 have been updated to reflect more robust measurements that are now available.

The final column (13) lists notes on individual clusters. In particular we indicate where our 160SD clusters are also members of other X-ray selected samples. Coincidences were found with the BCS+eBCS (Ebeling et al. 1998, 2000), BMW-HRI (L. Guzzo, priv. comm.), BSHARC (Romer et al. 2000), EMSS (Gioia & Luppino 1994), NORAS (Böhringer et al. 2000), RBS (Schwope et al. 2000), RDCS (P. Rosati, priv. comm.), REFLEX-I/II (H. Böhringer, priv. comm.), RIXOS (Mason et al. 2000), SSHARC (Burke et al. 2003), and WARPS-I/II (Perlman et al. 2002; L. Jones, priv. comm.). No matches were found in the MACS (H. Ebeling, priv. comm.), the NEP (Mullis 2001; Gioia et al. 2003), RASS1BS (De Grandi et al. 1999) or the SGP (Cruddace et al. 2002, 2003) samples.

We also mark in column (13) the four X-ray overluminous elliptical galaxies (OLEGs) described by Vikhlinin et al. (1999) which are potential "fossil groups" similar to those reported by others (e.g., Jones, Ponman, & Forbes 2000, Romer et al. 2000, Mullis 2001). Finally, we note nine instances where the cluster redshift is within $\Delta z = 0.015$ of the original target of the *ROSAT* PSPC pointed observations (flagged with " z_{PSPC} ").

4. DISCUSSION

We describe here the general properties of our cluster sample and compare them to the results of other X-ray surveys. In Figure 7 we plot the luminosity-redshift distribution for 160SD clusters. The median redshift is $z_{\text{median}} = 0.25$ and the median X-ray luminosity is $L_{\text{X,median}} = 4.2 \times 10^{43} \text{ h}_{50}^{-2} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$. Note that the 160SD sample is the largest high-redshift sample of X-ray-selected clusters published to date. For example there are 73 clusters at z > 0.3 and 22 clusters at z > 0.5.

As remarked by Vikhlinin et al. (2003) the ROSAT fluxes (and by inference luminosities) used in our survey construction show very good agreement with those measured in deep *Chandra* observations. For the six 160SD clusters observed by both X-ray telescopes (as of early 2003), the fluxes differ by $\leq 13\%$, with no systematic offset, and always within the statistical uncertainties. Comparisons of fluxes independently measured from ROSAT observations demonstrate consistent results. For example, using appropriate core radii and redshifts. Romer et al. (2000) report no systematic offsets between their measurements and ours for 11 clusters which are common to the BSHARC and 160SD surveys. Examining 16 clusters detected in the 20 ROSAT fields surveyed by both WARPS-I and 160SD, Perlman et al. (2002) find a mean flux ratio of $f_{160SD}/f_{WARPS} = 0.98 \pm 0.26$. We compared our fluxes to those of the SSHARC survey (Burke et al. 2003) and find for the nine shared clusters the mean flux ratio is $f_{160SD}/f_{SSHARC} = 0.78 \pm 0.22$. This tendency for the SSHARC fluxes to be somewhat larger than those of the 160SD and other surveys is also noted by Burke et al. (2003).

The statistics concerning the membership of the 160SD clusters in other samples are summarized in Table 5. Six independent cluster surveys, including the 160SD, have been extracted from the same parent dataset, the *ROSAT* archive of pointed PSPC observations. Thus a significant amount of shared objects is anticipated and is confirmed. Given the large solid angle and deep flux limit of our survey, the 201 clusters of 160SD comprise the largest sample

derived from the PSPC archive and encompass approximately 30%–60% of the clusters from similar surveys. By number the largest overlap is 46 clusters shared with the RDCS, whereas by percentage the largest coincidence is the 58% of RIXOS clusters. Not surprisingly there are much fewer matches between the 160SD catalog and the surveys based on the RASS. The 160 \deg^2 sky coverage of our survey is a very small fraction of the near all-sky coverage of the RASS. Furthermore, these RASS-based surveys are largely dominated by nearby (z $\lesssim 0.3)$ bright clusters, which were often the target of PSPC observations and thus excluded by design from the 160SD sample. Finally, there are only minor duplications between the *ROSAT* PSPC and the *ROSAT* HRI or *Einstein* IPC pointings, hence the overlaps with the EMSS and BMW-HRI samples are small.

Since the 160SD cluster sample was the first of the ROSAT surveys to be published (V98), subsequent groups have had the opportunity to make detailed comparisons to our sample (e.g., BSHARC: Romer et al. 2000; SSHARC: Burke et al. 2003; WARPS-I: Perlman et al. 2002). In each case the authors have made a field-by-field evaluation of their X-ray source detections and cluster identifications versus ours for the ROSAT pointings common to both surveys. We highlight and further develop the key results using the revised 160SD catalog.

There are 201 ROSAT PSPC fields processed by both the BSHARC and 160SD surveys (Romer et al. 2000, see their $\S7.5$). Note the BSHARC survey adopted a wide and shallow strategy covering approximately 178 deg^2 above a flux limit of $\sim 3 \times 10^{-13}$ erg s⁻¹. In the shared area there are twenty-one 160SD clusters which are sufficiently bright as to appear in the BSHARC survey. Thirteen of these X-rays sources are recovered in the BSHARC sample, whereas eight are missing. Six clusters (#9, #42, #107,#182, #195, and #207) were not included because of a failure to meet a filling factor criterion, and two (#110 and#201) were missed because they were not detected as extended (Romer et al. 2000; K. Romer, priv. comm.). The BSHARC filling factor diagnostic was used to reject blends or "percolation runaways"; see Perlman et al. (2002) for a discussion on the impact of this filter on survey completeness. For the thirteen sources successfully detected by the BSHARC survey, we note only two deviations in the optical identifications. First, RX J0947.7+0741 (#75) is identified as a QSO at z = 0.63, but we have measured concordant galaxy redshifts at z = 0.625 thus suggesting that the extended X-ray emission is the result of the ICM. The QSO was in fact identified as a separate point source by our X-ray analysis software. Second as previously discussed in §2.3, RX J1524.6+0957 (#170) is listed as a group at z = 0.078 whereas we conclude that a z = 0.516cluster is the dominant source. Romer et al. (2000) did not identify any additional clusters within 160SD survey boundaries which are not already part of the 160SD catalog.

Recently Burke et al. (2003, see latter portions of their § 3.1 and § 4) described the results of the SSHARC project and examined the areas of the sky jointly surveyed by both the SSHARC and 160SD. Note that both surveys probe to similar lower flux limits ($\sim 4 \times 10^{-14} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$) but the SSHARC was limited to a relatively small solid angle

of 17.7 deg^2 . There are sixteen 160SD clusters in the overlap region. Nine of these are identified as clusters in the SSHARC sample whereas seven are missing. One 160SD cluster (#215), which is also a part of the RDCS sample, was not detected in the SSHARC survey. The remaining six (#39, #43, #75, #83, #124, and #214) were flagged as extended X-ray sources by Burke et al. (2003); however they concluded that their optical follow-up does not suggest the existence of a cluster in any of these cases. Nonetheless, we have measured multiple concordant redshifts for each of these six clusters. Also note clusters #83and #124 are confirmed members of the WARPS-II and RDCS samples, respectively. Burke et al. (2003) point out one SSHARC cluster (RX J0505.3-2849, z = 0.509) which should formally meet our selection criteria but was not included in our catalog because it was detected as two separate sources. We manually inspected the processed ROSAT fields during survey construction and suspected this double source was an incorrect result of the X-ray detection algorithm. However, no other similar cases were found in the entire survey, and we opted not to modify the detection software for the sake of this single relatively X-ray faint cluster.

The first phase of the WARPS survey (Perlman et al. 2002, and references therein) is similar in sky coverage to the SSHARC. WARPS-I covered 16.2 deg^2 down to a flux limit of 6.5 \times 10⁻¹⁴ erg s⁻¹ in total flux. The ongoing second phase, WARPS-II, extends the survey area to about 73 deg^2 (H. Ebeling, priv. comm.). Perlman et al. (2002) scrutinized the 20 ROSAT PSPC fields included in both the 160SD and WARPS-I surveys. They found that the 16 cluster identifications in the overlap region are in complete agreement. Furthermore, we note excellent accord in the cluster redshifts except in one case. For RX J0210.4-3929 (#25) we measured a redshift for the BCG of z = 0.165 and obtained a low signal-to-noise spectrum of a second galaxy that is consistent with the BCG redshift. In the WARPS-I catalog (Perlman et al. 2002) the redshift is quoted as z = 0.273 but is noted to be uncertain. In light of our new evidence the WARPS team re-examined their low-quality spectra for this cluster and find their data are consistent with the 160SD redshift (E. Perlman, priv. comm.).

Finally, we emphasize a very important conclusion that can be drawn from the careful work of the WARPS program. Their survey is unique amongst the complement of cluster searches based upon the *ROSAT* PSPC archive of pointed observations in that they pursued optical identifications for extended X-ray sources as well as for *non*extended sources. The others, including the 160SD survey, only examined X-ray emitters which were significantly extended. The fact that the WARPS team found no clusters missed by the 160SD, and in particular, no cluster was missed because it was not resolved as extended, underscores the completeness of our survey strategy.

5. SUMMARY

We present the revised catalog of 201 galaxy clusters for the 160SD survey featuring spectroscopic redshifts for 99.5% of the members. This sample includes 30%-60%of the clusters from similar *ROSAT* cluster surveys, and is currently the largest high-redshift sample of X-ray select clusters in the public domain. We review the X-ray criteria used to locate these galaxy systems, describe the optical imaging and spectroscopy used to classify them, and compare our results with similar studies.

It is a pleasure to thank Piero Rosati, Hans Böhringer, Harald Ebeling, Kathy Romer, Eric Perlman, Stefano Ettori and Axel Schwope for fruitful discussions. We are grateful to Harald Ebeling and the WARPS team, Piero Rosati and the RDCS team, and John Huchra for sharing cluster redshifts prior to publication (respectively 3, 1, and 2 entries referenced in Table 4). Kathy Romer and the BSHARC team kindly shared galaxy redshifts for the foreground group associated with RX J1524.6+0957. We thank Piero Rosati and the RDCS team for providing the unpublished RDCS sample, and Laurence Jones and the WARPS team for providing access to the unpublished WARPS-II sample to permit cross-correlations with the 160SD clusters. Similarly we appreciate Luigi Guzzo and the BMW-HRI team for sharing the target list for their on-going survey for comparison with the 160SD. Hans Böhringer kindly provided the cross correlation of the un-

- Abell, G. O., Corwin, H. G., & Olowin, R. P. 1989, ApJS, 70, 1
- Aben, G. O., Corwin, H. G., & Colowin, R. P. 1959, ApJS, 70, 1
 Allen, S. W., Ettori, S., & Fabian, A. C. 2001, MNRAS, 324, 877
 Böhringer, H., Schuecker, P., Guzzo, L., Collins, C. A., Voges, W., Schindler, S., Neumann, D. M., Cruddace, R. G., De Grandi, S., Chincarini, G., Edge, A. C., MacGillivray, H. T., & Shaver, P.
- Böhringer, H., Voges, W., Huchra, J. P., McLean, B., Giacconi, R., Rosati, P., Burg, R., Mader, J., Schuecker, P., Simic, D., Komossa, S., Reiprich, T. H., Retzlaff, J., & Trümper, J. 2000, ApJS, 129, 435

- Bond, J. R., Kofman, L., & Pogosyan, D. 1996, Nature, 380, 603 Borgani, S. & Guzzo, L. 2001, Nature, 409, 39 Borgani, S., Rosati, P., Tozzi, P., Stanford, S. A., Eisenhardt, P. R., Lidman, C., Holden, B., Della Ceca, R., Norman, C., & Squires, G. 2001, ApJ, 561, 13

- Boyle, B. J., Wilkes, B. J., & Elvis, M. 1997, MNRAS, 285, 511
 Burke, D. J., Collins, C. A., Sharples, R. M., Romer, A. K., & Nichol, R. C. 2003, MNRAS, accepted Carlstrom, J. E., Holder, G. P., & Reese, E. D. 2002, ARA&A, 40, 643
- Castander, F. J., Bower, R. G., Ellis, R. S., Aragon-Salamanca, A., Mason, K. O., Hasinger, G., McMahon, R. G., Carrera, F. J., Mittaz, J. P. D., Perez-Fournon, I., & Lehto, H. J. 1995, Nature, 377, 39
- Cavaliere, A. & Fusco-Femiano, R. 1976, A&A, 49, 137
- Clowe, D. & Schneider, P. 2002, A&A, 395, 385 Couch, W. J., Ellis, R. S., MacLaren, I., & Malin, D. F. 1991, MNRAS, 249, 606
- Cruddace, R., Voges, W., Böhringer, H., Collins, C. A., Romer, A. K., MacGillivray, H., Yentis, D., Schuecker, P., Ebeling, H., & De Grandi, S. 2002, ApJS, 140, 239 2003, ApJS, 144, 299
- Czoske, O., Moore, B., Kneib, J.-P., & Soucail, G. 2002, A&A, 386,
- De Grandi, S., Böhringer, H., Guzzo, L., Molendi, S., Chincarini, G., Collins, C., Cruddace, R., Neumann, D., Schindler, S., Schuecker, P., & Voges, W. 1999, ApJ, 514, 148 Donahue, M., Mack, J., Scharf, C., Lee, P., Postman, M., Rosati, P.,
- Dickinson, M., Voit, G. M., & Stocke, J. T. 2001, ApJ, 552, L93
 Donahue, M., Scharf, C. A., Mack, J., Lee, Y. P., Postman, M., Rosati, P., Dickinson, M., Voit, G. M., & Stocke, J. T. 2002, ApJ, 569, 689
- Ebeling, H., Edge, A. C., Allen, S. W., Crawford, C. S., Fabian, A. C., & Huchra, J. P. 2000, MNRAS, 318, 333
 Ebeling, H., Edge, A. C., Bohringer, H., Allen, S. W., Crawford, C. S., Fabian, A. C., Voges, W., & Huchra, J. P. 1998, MNRAS, 301.881

- Ebeling, H., Edge, A. C., & Henry, J. P. 2001, ApJ, 553, 668 Ebeling, H., Mullis, C. R., & Tully, R. B. 2002, ApJ, 580, 774 Gioia, I. M., Henry, J. P., Maccacaro, T., Morris, S. L., Stocke, J. T., & Wolter, A. 1990, ApJ, 356, L35

published REFLEX-I/II samples and the 160SD clusters. The referee is thanked for providing comments that helped clarify the presentation of this work. The support of the time allocation committees at UH and ESO, and the expertise of the dedicated personnel at the Mauna Kea and La Silla observatories are gratefully acknowledged. The authors recognize the religious and cultural significance of the summit of Mauna Kea to the indigenous people of Hawai'i. We deeply appreciate the opportunity to pursue scientific research from this special place.

C.R.M. acknowledges partial financial support from NASA grant NGT5-50175, the ESO Office for Science, and the ARCS Foundation. The contributions of B.R.M., A.V., W.R.F., and C.J.F. were possible thanks in part to NASA grant NAG5-9217 and contract NAS8-39073. HQ was partially supported by FONDAP Centro de Astrofisica. This research has made use of the NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database (NED) which is operated by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

REFERENCES

- Gioia, I. M., Henry, J. P., Mullis, C. R., Ebeling, H., & Wolter, A. Gioia, I. M., Henry, J. P., Mullis, C. R., Voges, W., Briel, U. G.,
 Gioia, I. M., Henry, J. P., Mullis, C. R., Voges, W., Briel, U. G.,
- Giota, I. M., Henry, J. P., Munis, C. R., Voges, W., Briel, U. G.,
 Böhringer, H., & Huchra, J. P. 2003, ApJS, submitted
 Giota, I. M. & Luppino, G. A. 1994, ApJS, 94, 583
 Griffiths, R. E., Tuohy, I. R., Brissenden, R. J. V., & Ward, M. J.
 1992, MNRAS, 255, 545

- Henry, J. P. 2000, ApJ, 534, 565
 Henry, J. P., Gioia, I. M., Maccacaro, T., Morris, S. L., Stocke, J. T., & Wolter, A. 1992, ApJ, 386, 408 Henry, J. P., Gioia, I. M., Mullis, C. R., Voges, W., Briel, U. G.,
- Böhringer, H., & Huchra, J. P. 2001, ApJ, 553, L109
 Hornstrup, A., McNamara, B. R., Vikhlinin, A., Greve, T. R., Forman, W. R., & Jones, C. 2003, ApJ, submitted
 Huchra, J. P., Geller, M. J., Henry, J. P., & Postman, M. 1990, ApJ,
- 365, 66
- Juchra, J. P., Vogeley, M. S., & Geller, M. J. 1999, ApJS, 121, 287
 Jenkins, A., Frenk, C. S., Pearce, F. R., Thomas, P. A., Colberg, J. M., White, S. D. M., Couchman, H. M. P., Peacock, J. A., Efstathiou, G., & Nelson, A. H. 1998, ApJ, 499, 20 Jones, C. & Forman, W. 1999, ApJ, 511, 65 Jones, L. R., Ponman, T. J., & Forbes, D. A. 2000, MNRAS, 312,
- 139
- Kopylov, A. I., Fetisova, T. S., & Shvartsman, V. F. 1984, Åstronomicheskij Tsirkulyar, 1344, 1
- Kurtz, M. J., Huchra, J. P., Beers, T. C., Geller, M. J., Gioia, I. M., Maccacaro, T., Schild, R. E., & Stauffer, J. R. 1985, AJ, 90, 1665 Loveday, J., Peterson, B. A., Maddox, S. J., & Efstathiou, G. 1996, ApJS, 107, 201
- Mahdavi, A., Böhringer, H., Geller, M. J., & Ramella, M. 2000, ApJ, 534, 114
- Markevitch, M., Gonzalez, A. H., David, L., Vikhlinin, A., Murray,
 S., Forman, W., Jones, C., & Tucker, W. 2002, ApJ, 567, L27
 Mason, K. O., Carrera, F. J., Hasinger, G., Andernach, H.,
 Aragon-Salamanca, A., Barcons, X., Bower, R., Brandt, W. N.,
- Branduardi-Raymont, G., Burgos-Martín, J., Cabrera-Guerra, F., Carballo, R., Castander, F., Ellis, R. S., González-Serrano, J. I., Martínez-González, E., Martín-Mirones, J. M., McMahon, R. G., Matthez-Gonzalez, E., Mathi-Mitolies, J. M., McMalon, R. G., Mittaz, J. P. D., Nicholson, K. L., Page, M. J., Pérez-Fournon, I., Puchnarewicz, E. M., Romero-Colmenero, E., Schwope, A. D., Vila, B., Watson, M. G., & Wonnacott, D. 2000, MNRAS, 311, 456
- Massey, P., Strobel, K., Barnes, J. V., & Anderson, E. 1988, ApJ, 328, 315
- McHardy, I. M., Jones, L. R., Merrifield, M. R., Mason, K. O., Newsam, A. M., Abraham, R. G., Dalton, G. B., Carrera, F., Smith, P. J., Rowan-Robinson, M., & Abraham, R. G. 1998, MNRAS, 295, 641
- McNamara, B. R., Vikhlinin, A., Hornstrup, A., Quintana, H., Whitman, K., Forman, W., & Jones, C. 2001, ApJ, 558, 590

- Moretti, A., Guzzo, L., Campana, S., Covino, S., Lazzati, D., Longhetti, M., Molinari, E., Panzera, M. R., Tagliaferri, G., & dell'Antonio, I. 2001, in ASP Conf. Ser. 234: X-ray Astronomy 2000, 393
- 2000, 393
 Muñoz, J. A., Falco, E. E., Kochanek, C. S., Lehár, J., McLeod, B. A., McNamara, B. R., Vikhlinin, A. A., Impey, C. D., Rix, H. ., Keeton, C. R., Peng, C. Y., & Mullis, C. R. 2001, ApJ, 546, 769
 Mulchaey, J. S. 2000, ARA&A, 38, 289
 Mullis, C. R. 2001, Ph.D. Thesis, Univ. of Hawaii
 Mullis, C. R., Henry, J. P., Gioia, I. M., Böhringer, H., Briel, U. G., Voges, W., & Huchra, J. P. 2001, ApJ, 553, L115
 Mullis, C. R., Vikhlinin, A., Henry, J. P., McNamara, B. R., Quintana, H., Gioia, I. M., Hornstrup, A., Way, M., Forman, W., & Lones, C. 2003, in preparation

- Quintana, H., Gioia, I. M., Hornstrup, A., Way, M., Forman, W., & Jones, C. 2003, in preparation
 Nesci, R. & Altamore, A. 1990, A&A, 234, 60
 Oegerle, W. R., Hill, J. M., & Fitchett, M. J. 1995, AJ, 110, 32+
 Oke, J. B., Cohen, J. G., Carr, M., Cromer, J., Dingizian, A., Harris, F. H., Labrecque, S., Lucinio, R., Schaal, W., Epps, H., & Miller, J. 1995, PASP, 107, 375
 Oke, J. B. & Comp. J. E. 1992, A. J. 200, 710
- Oke, J. B. & Gunn, J. E. 1983, ApJ, 266, 713
- Panzera, M. R., Campana, S., Covino, S., Lazzati, D., Mignani, R. P.,
 Moretti, A., & Tagliaferri, G. 2003, A&A, 399, 351
 Peacock, J. A. 1999, Cosmological Physics (Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press)
- Peebles, P. J. E. 1993, Principles of Physical Cosmology (Princeton,
- NJ: Princeton University Press) Perlman, E. S., Horner, D. J., Jones, L. R., Scharf, C. A., Ebeling, H., Wegner, G., & Malkan, M. 2002, ApJS, 140, 265
- Ponman, T. J., Allan, D. J., Jones, L. R., Merrifield, M., McHardy, I. M., Lehto, H. J., & Luppino, G. A. 1994, Nature, 369, 462 Postman, M. 2002, in ASP Conf. Ser. TBD: Tracing Cosmic
- Evolution with Galaxy Clusters, 1
- Quintana, H. & Ramirez, A. 1995, ApJS, 96, 343
- Raymond, J. C. & Smith, B. W. 1977, ApJS, 35, 419
 Romer, A. K., Nichol, R. C., Holden, B. P., Ulmer, M. P., Pildis, R. A., Merrelli, A. J., Adami, C., Burke, D. J., Collins, C. A., Metevier, A. J., Kron, R. G., & Commons, K. 2000, ApJS, 126, 209
- Rosati, P., Borgani, S., & Norman, C. 2002, ARA&A, 40, 539
- Rosati, P., della Ceca, R., Burg, R., Norman, C., & Giacconi, R. 1995, ApJ, 445, L11
- Rosati, P., della Ceca, R., Norman, C., & Giacconi, R. 1998, ApJ, 492, L21
- Rosati, P., Stanford, S. A., Eisenhardt, P. R., Elston, R., Spinrad, H., Stern, D., & Dey, A. 1999, AJ, 118, 76

- Rose, J. A., Gaba, A. E., Christiansen, W. A., Davis, D. S., Caldwell, N., Hunstead, R. W., & Johnston-Hollitt, M. 2002, AJ, 123, 1216 Sarazin, C. L. 1988, X-ray emission from clusters of galaxies
- Astrophysics Series, Cambridge: (Cambridge Cambridge University Press)
- (Cambridge Astrophysics Series, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press)
 Scharf, C. A., Jones, L. R., Ebeling, H., Perlman, E., Malkan, M., & Wegner, G. 1997, ApJ, 477, 79
 Schmidt, M., Hasinger, G., Gunn, J., Schneider, D., Burg, R., Giacconi, R., Lehmann, I., MacKenty, J., Trumper, J., & Zamorani, G. 1998, A&A, 329, 495
 Schneider, D. P., Gunn, J. E., & Hoessel, J. G. 1983, ApJ, 264, 337
 Schwope, A., Hasinger, G., Lehmann, I., Schwarz, R., Brunner, H., Neizvestny, S., Ugryumov, A., Balega, Y., Trümper, J., & Voges, W. 2000, AN, 321, 1
 Silk, J. & White, S. D. M. 1978, ApJ, 226, L103
 Smail, I., Ellis, R. S., Dressler, A., Couch, W. J., Oemler, A. J., Sharples, R. M., & Butcher, H. 1997, ApJ, 479, 70
 Stanford, S. A., Holden, B., Rosati, P., Tozzi, P., Borgani, S., Eisenhardt, P. R., & Spinrad, H. 2001, ApJ, 552, 504
 Stocke, J. T., Morris, S. L., Gioia, I. M., Maccacaro, T., Schild, R., Wolter, A., Fleming, T. A., & Henry, J. P. 1991, ApJS, 76, 813
 Struble, M. F. & Rood, H. J. 1999, ApJS, 125, 35
 Sunyaev, R. A. & Zeldovich, Y. B. 1972, Com.Ap, 4, 173
 Trümper, J. 1993, Science, 260, 1769
 Ulrich, M.-H. 1976, ApJ, 206, 364
 Vikblin, A. Markavitch, M. & Murray, S. S. 2001, ApJ, 551, 160

- Ulrich, M.-H. 1976, ApJ, 206, 364
- Vikhlinin, A., Markevitch, M., & Murray, S. S. 2001, ApJ, 551, 160 Vikhlinin, A., McNamara, B. R., Forman, W., Jones, C., Quintana,
- H., & Hornstrup, A. 1998a, ApJ, 502, 558 1998b, ApJ, 498, L21
- Vikhlinin, A., McNamara, B. R., Hornstrup, A., Quintana, H., Forman, W., Jones, C., & Way, M. 1999, ApJ, 520, L1 Vikhlinin, A., VanSpeybroeck, L., Markevitch, M., Forman, W. R., & Grego, L. 2002, ApJ, 578, L107
- Wikhlinin, A., Voevodkin, A., Mullis, C., VanSpeybroeck, L.,
 Quintana, H., McNamara, B., Gioia, I., Hornstrup, A., Henry, J.,
 Forman, W., & Jones, J. 2003, ApJ, submitted, 1, 1
 Voges, W. 1992, Proceedings of Satellite Symposium 3, EAS ISY-3,
- Weinberg, S. 1972, Gravitation and Cosmology: Principles and Applications of the General Theory of Relativity (New York: John Wiley & Sons)
- White, D. A., Jónes, C., & Forman, W. 1997, MNRAS, 292, 419
- Wu, X., Chiueh, T., Fang, L., & Xue, Y. 1998, MNRAS, 301, 861

TABLE 1 X-RAY SELECTED CLUSTER SURVEYS

Acronym	Name	Reference
160SD BCS+eBCS BMW-HRI BSHARC CIZA EMSS MACS NEP NORAS RASS1BS RDCS REFLEX RIXOS RBS ROXS SGP SSHARC	160160Square DegreeROSATRightest Cluster Sample + Extended Brightest Cluster SampleBrera MultiscaleWavelet ROSAT HRI Cluster SurveyBright Serendipitous High-Redshift Archival ROSATClusters in the Zone of Avoidance SurveyEinsteinExtended Medium Sensitivity SurveyMassive Cluster SurveyROSATNorth Ecliptic Pole SurveyNorthernROSATAll-Sky Galaxy Cluster SurveyRASS1Bright SampleROSATROSATDistant Cluster SurveyROSATROSATInternational X-ray/Optical SurveyROSATROSATBright SurveyROSATROSATSouth Galactic Pole SurveySouthern Serendipitous High-Redshift Archival ROSAT Cluster Survey	Vikhlinin et al. 1998a; this paper Ebeling et al. 1998, 2000 Moretti et al. 2001; Panzera et al. 2003 Romer et al. 2000 Ebeling, Mullis, & Tully 2002 Gioia et al. 1990; Henry et al. 1992 Ebeling, Edge, & Henry 2001 Henry et al. 2001; Mullis 2001 Böhringer et al. 2000 De Grandi et al. 1999 Rosati et al. 1995, 1998 Böhringer et al. 2001 Castander et al. 1995; Mason et al. 2000 Schwope et al. 2000 Donahue et al. 2001, 2002 Cruddace et al. 2002, 2003 Burke et al. 2003
WARPS	Wide Angle <i>ROSAT</i> Pointed Survey	Scharf et al. 1997; Perlman et al. 2002



FIG. 1.— RX J1221.4+4918 (#119): a distant cluster at z = 0.700. A 5 minute *R*-band image taken with the FLWO 1.2m on 25 Jan 1998 is overlaid with adaptively smoothed X-ray flux contours in the 0.7–2.0 keV band from an 80 ks observation with the *Chandra* ACIS-I. Contours are logarithmically spaced by factors of 1.4 with the lowest contour a factor of 2 above the background (5.5×10^{-4} counts s⁻¹ arcmin²). Features in the X-ray contours are significant at a level of $\gtrsim 4\sigma$. The inset (upper-right) indicates the cluster galaxies for which redshifts were measured (see spectra in Figure 2). The *R*-band magnitude of galaxy A is 20.23. The scale bar shows the angular size of 250 kpc at z = 0.700.



FIG. 2.— RX J1221.4+4918 (#119): a distant cluster at z = 0.700. Longslit spectra of galaxies A and B measured with Keck-II LRIS on 08 Jul 1999. Total integration time was 30 minutes. Galaxy A is vertically displaced by 1×10^{-17} and galaxy B is scaled by a factor of 2 for an optimal comparison of the spectra. The measured redshifts are $z_A = 0.6998 \pm 0.0004$ and $z_B = 0.6999 \pm 0.0008$. The dashed lines indicate the positions of stellar absorption features at the cluster redshift (z = 0.700) and the dotted lines mark the wavelengths of atmospheric absorption bands.



FIG. 3.— RX J1524.6+0957 (#170): a nearby group (z = 0.078) and a distant cluster (z = 0.516). A 10 minute *I*-band image taken with the FLWO 1.2m on 08 Jul 1997 is overlaid with adaptively smoothed X-ray flux contours in the 0.7–2.0 keV band from a 51 ks observation with the *Chandra* ACIS-I. Contours are logarithmically spaced by factors of 1.4 with the lowest contour a factor of 2 above the background (9.7×10^{-4} counts s⁻¹ arcmin²). Features in the X-ray contours are significant at a level of $\geq 4\sigma$. The inset (upper-right) indicates the galaxies (A-C) of the distant cluster for which redshifts were measured (see spectra in Figure 4). The foreground group galaxies (D-F) are at redshifts $z_D = 0.0787 \pm 0.0009$, $z_E = 0.0767 \pm 0.0009$, and $z_F = 0.08?$ (K. Romer, priv. comm.). The *R*-band magnitude of galaxy C is 20.29. The scale bar shows the angular size of 250 kpc at z = 0.516.



FIG. 4.— RX J1524.6+0957 (#170): a nearby group (z = 0.078) and a distant cluster (z = 0.516). Longslit spectra of galaxies A, B, and C measured with Keck-II LRIS on 27 Jan 2000. Total integration time was 20 minutes. Galaxies A and B are vertically displaced by 2×10^{-17} and 1×10^{-17} , respectively, for an optimal comparison of the spectra. The measured redshifts are $z_{\rm A} = 0.5113 \pm 0.0002$, $z_{\rm B} = 0.5190 \pm 0.0005$ and $z_{\rm C} = 0.5179 \pm 0.0004$. The dashed lines indicate the positions of stellar absorption features at the cluster redshift (z = 0.516) and the dotted lines mark the wavelengths of atmospheric absorption bands.



FIG. 5.— Spectroscopic redshift versus photometric redshift for the 124 clusters of the 160SD sample originally published with photometric redshifts in V98. The circles represent the 117 objects for which the photometric redshift estimates were based on CCD imaging; whereas the triangles represent the 7 objects for which photometric redshifts were based on DSS images. The horizontal error bars are the 90% confidence intervals for the photometric redshift estimates. The errors on the spectroscopic measurements are smaller than the plotting symbols. The six clusters with the largest redshift difference are labeled.



FIG. 6.— K-corrections as a function of redshift and ICM temperature in the 0.5 - 2.0 keV band. The model source spectrum is a Raymond-Smith plasma with a metallicity of 0.3 solar. Data points indicate the sampling of the 160SD clusters. The trend of increasing cluster temperature with increasing redshift is the result of the flux-limited nature of the survey.



FIG. 7.— X-ray luminosity and redshift distribution of the 160SD cluster sample. The median redshift is $z_{\text{median}} = 0.25$ and the median X-ray luminosity is $L_{\text{X,median}} = 4.2 \times 10^{43}$ erg s⁻¹. To preserve the readability of this plot the most distant cluster (RX J0848.9+4452, z = 1.261, $L_{\text{X}} = 2.0 \times 10^{44}$ erg s⁻¹) is not shown.

	V98	Revised Sample (this work)
extended X-ray sources galaxy clusters	$223 \\ 203$	$223 \\ 201$
probable false detections ^a no follow-up observations ^b	$\begin{array}{c} 19\\1\end{array}$	21 1

TABLE 2Optical Identifications of 160SD X-ray Sources

^alikely blends of unresolved X-ray point sources

^bobscured by the glare of Arcturus

TABLE 3 Redshifts for 160SD Clusters

	V98	Newly Reported (this work)	Revised Sample (this work)
spectroscopic redshifts	76	124	200
measured by our group	45	110	155
literature & private comm.	31	14	45
photometric estimates	124		
clusters with no redshift	3		1
cluster sample size	203		201

 $\frac{\delta f_{\rm X}}{[10^{-14}\,{\rm cgs}]}$ $[10^{-14} \text{ cgs}]$ **Object** Name Number δ δr $\delta r_{\rm c}$ Redshift Notes α L_{X} z $r_{\rm c}$ $[10^{44}~\mathrm{cgs}]$ [J2000] [J2000] References (5)(6)(7)(8)(9)(1)(2)(3)(4)(10)(11)(12)(13)RX J0030.5+2618 $00 \ 30 \ 33.2$ $+26\ 18\ 19$ 13313 24.33.02.610.500RDCS/WARPS2 1 RX J0041.1-2339 00 41 10.3 -23 39 33120.1122 19259.82.40.06RX J0050.9-0929 3 00 50 59.2 $-09 \ 29 \ 12$ 11 454 36.60.640.200WARPS2 4.9RX J0054.0-2823 4 00 54 02.8-28 23 581637 6 10.8 1.50.420.292RDCS/WARP2 RX J0056.9-2213 $\mathbf{5}$ 00 56 55.8 $-22\ 13\ 53$ 1761 1225.90.160.116 5.2RX J0056.9-2740 6 $00 \ 56 \ 56.1$ $-27\ 40\ 12$ 1314 $\mathbf{2}$ 6.9 0.81.000.5631 J1888.16, RDCS RX J0057.4-2616 7 $00\ 57\ 24.2$ $-26\ 16\ 45$ 14 82 6 186.121.31.030.1132 A122/REFLEX2 $+19 \ 38 \ 23$ RX J0110.3+1938 8 01 10 18.0 16358 7.81.60.360.317WARPS2 RX J0111.6-3811 9 01 11 36.6 $-38\ 11\ 12$ 9 18 3 8.9 1.70.060.122WARPS1 RX J0122.5-2832 10 $01 \ 22 \ 35.9$ $-28 \ 32 \ 03$ 1437 1626.96.3 0.780.256Abell S154 RX J0124.5+0400 11 $01 \ 24 \ 35.1$ $+04 \,\, 00 \,\, 49$ 2031147.52.2 0.340.316RX J0127.4-4326 01 27 27.8 $-43\ 26\ 13$ 1913 \mathbf{F} 12345.71.9 \mathbf{F} F RX J0128.6-4324 1301 28 36.9 -43 24 5710 3 0.280.2889 7.51.3RX J0132.9-4259 14 $01 \ 32 \ 54.7$ -42595223752532.38.1 0.11 0.088 3 APMBGC 244-064-098 21RX J0136.4-1811 15 $01 \ 36 \ 24.2$ $-18\ 11\ 59$ 158 4.81.00.140.251WARPS2 $z_{\rm PSPC}, \ {\rm RDCS}/{\rm WARPS2}$ RX J0139.6+0119 16 $01 \ 39 \ 39.5$ +01 19 2712378 10.92.00.320.255RX J0139.9+1810 17 $01 \ 39 \ 54.3$ $+18\ 10\ 00$ 33 52 A227/RDCS 9 27.33.80.380.177RX J0142.8+2025 $+20\ 25\ 16$ 2218 $01 \ 42 \ 50.6$ 296 26.14.50.840.271BMW RX J0144.4+0212 19 $01 \ 44 \ 29.1$ $+02\ 12\ 37$ 13321110.12.30.130.166WARPS1 RX J0154.2-5937 2001 54 14.8 $-59 \ 37 \ 48$ 12223.20.840.360 7 14.5RX J0159.3+0030 21 $+00 \ 30 \ 12$ 13 $\mathbf{2}$ 0.386 01 59 18.2 9 32.72.114.1 RX J0206.3+1511 22 $02 \ 06 \ 23.4$ 10 RDCS/RIXOS/WARPS1 $+15\ 11\ 16$ 145313.02.50.360.24823RX J0206.8-1309 $02 \ 06 \ 49.5$ -13 09 0415288 26.04.41.180.320RX J0210.2-3932 2402 10 13.8 $-39 \ 32 \ 51$ 11 2210 4.61.10.060.168RDCS RX J0210.4-3929 25 $02 \ 10 \ 25.6$ -39 29 47289 0.08RDCS/WARPS1 146.41.30.165RX J0228.2-1005 26 $02 \ 28 \ 13.2$ $-10\ 05\ 40$ $\overline{35}$ 6 24.40.24WARPS1 150.1493.9RX J0236.0-5225 27 $02 \ 36 \ 05.2$ $-52\ 25\ 03$ 4 9 165.81.2 \mathbf{F} \mathbf{F} RX J0237.9-5224 $-52\ 24\ 40$ A3038/BSHARC/RDCS/REFLEX2/WARPS1 28 $02 \ 37 \ 59.2$ 14498 64.48.2 0.520.1354 RX J0239.8-2320 2902 39 52.6 $-23 \ 20 \ 35$ 23511.80.770.4501484 RX J0258.7+0012 30 02 58 46.1 $+00\ 12\ 44$ 19287 10.82.90.330.259RX J0259.5+0013 3102 59 33.9 $+00\ 13\ 47$ 12 4211 32.45.20.540.194 $z_{\,\rm PSPC},$ Abell S34 RX J0322.3-4918 32 $03 \ 22 \ 20.1$ $-49\ 18\ 40$ 1569 11 40.37.20.080.0675RX J0337.7 - 252233 03 37 44.9 $-25\ 22\ 39$ 8 7 $\mathbf{2}$ 3.70.70.600.585RDCS/SSHARC RDCS RX J0341.9-4500 34 $03 \ 41 \ 57.1$ $-45 \ 00 \ 11$ 12279 1.70.40.140.408RX J0351.6-3649 3503 51 37.8 $-36\ 49\ 50$ 2431178.8 2.20.560.372RX J0428.7-3805 36 $04 \ 28 \ 43.0$ $-38 \ 05 \ 54$ 20541320.85.00.220.154 $\mathbf{5}$ A3259 RX J0434.2-0831 37 $04 \ 34 \ 15.7$ $-08 \ 31 \ 17$ 2425147.22.20.190.240 $-28\ 25\ 47$ 25RDCS/SSHARC RX J0505.9-2825 3805 05 57.8 154 14.21.90.110.131RX J0506.0-2840 39 05 06 03.7 $-28 \ 40 \ 44$ 2184 2019.53.40.160.136RX J0521.1-2530 40 $05\ 21\ 10.7$ $-25 \ 30 \ 44$ 1537 1317.64.02.570.581RX J0522.2-3625 41 16 BMW $05\ 22\ 14.2$ $-36\ 25\ 04$ 51.790.4729 18.43.8RX J0528.6-3251 42 $05 \ 28 \ 40.3$ $-32\ 51\ 38$ 8 263 19.92.50.660.273RDCS/WARPS2 RX J0529.6 - 58484305 29 38.4 $-58\ 48\ 10$ 9 10 3 5.61.00.560.466RX J0532.7 - 461405 32 43.7 $-46\ 14\ 11$ 41.1 0.33 447 120.1354.31 RX J0533.8-5746 4505 33 53.2 $-57 \ 46 \ 52$ 37 81 2822.26.10.870.297RX J0533.9-5809 46 $-58\ 09\ 16$ 30 2005 33 55.9 530.160.1989.0 2.8RX J0810.3+4216 4708 10 23.9 $+42\ 16\ 24$ 14 595238.627.20.420.064RX J0818.9+5654 4808 18 57.8 +56543417299 10.12.50.310.260RX J0819.3+7054 08 19 22.6 246 0.23 WARPS2 49+7054481510.10.2261.8RX J0819.9+5634 50 $08 \ 19 \ 54.4$ +56 34 351416 $\mathbf{5}$ 30.8 5.00.920.260RX J0820.4+5645 51 $08\ 20\ 26.4$ $+56\ 45\ 22$ 39 14 22.9 0.020.04318 4.2 RX J0826.1+2625 52 $08\ 26\ 06.4$ $+26\ 25\ 47$ 22591910.92.60.610.351314722RX J0826.4+3125 5308 26 29.7 $+31 \ 25 \ 15$ 11.14.70.220.209RX J0831.2+4905 5408 31 16.0 $+49\ 05\ 06$ 1730 1512.34.0F F F RX J0834.4+1933 55 $08 \ 34 \ 27.4$ +19 33 2418 31 7 8.3 1.7 \mathbf{F} \mathbf{F} F +64 22 43RDCS RX J0841.1+6422 5608 41 07.4 8 353 29.13.21.490.342RX J0841.7+7046 57 $08 \ 41 \ 43.4$ +70 46 531331128.9 2.10.220.235RX J0842.8+5023 58 $08 \ 42 \ 52.8$ $+50\ 23\ 16$ 1623106.31.70.520.42328RX J0847.1+3449 5908 47 11.3 +34 49 16179 12.23.01.690.560

 TABLE 4

 160 Square Degree ROSAT Survey Cluster Catalog

TABLE 4—Continued

Object Name	Number	α	δ	δr	$r_{\rm c}$	$\delta r_{\rm c}$	$f_{\rm X}$	$\delta f_{\rm X}$	$L_{\rm X}$	z	Redshift	Notes
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 6 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 7 \end{pmatrix}$	[10 cgs] (8)	[10 cgs] (9)	(10 cgs)	(11)	(12)	(13)
RX J0848.7+4456	60	08 48 47.6	+44 56 21	13	14	4	3.3	0.6	0.52	0.574		RDCS/WARPS2
RX J0848.9 + 4452	61	$08 \ 48 \ 56.3$	+44 52 16	14	23	6	2.7	0.6	1.99	1.261	6	RDCS
RX J0849.1+3731	62	$08 \ 49 \ 11.1$	$+37 \ 31 \ 25$	14	36	10	14.7	3.0	0.38	0.240		BSHARC/RIXOS/WARPS2
RX J0852.5 + 1618	63	$08 \ 52 \ 33.6$	+16 18 08	19	33	10	37.1	6.2	0.16	0.098		, ,
RX J0853.2 + 5759	64	$08 \ 53 \ 14.1$	+57 59 39	17	35	14	19.8	5.8	1.95	0.475		
RX J0857.7 + 2747	65	08 57 45.7	$+27 \ 47 \ 32$	27	42	11	6.8	1.6	F	F		F
RX J0858.4+1357	66	08 58 25.0	+13 57 16	10	14	5	6.4	1.0	0.70	0.488		cluster + $AGN(z = 0.494)$ SSHARC/WARPS2
RX J0907.2+3330	67	09 07 17.9	$+33 \ 30 \ 09$	14	24	5	4.4	0.8	0.48	0.483	_	RDCS
RX J0907.3+1639	68	$09 \ 07 \ 20.4$	+16 39 09	9	55	5	148.5	17.6	0.34	0.073	7	A744/eBCS/EMSS
RA J0910.0+4248	69 70	$09\ 10\ 39.7$	+42 48 41	24 11	10	23	8.3	2.0	1.24	0.370	8	RDUS
RX $10921.2+4528$	70	$09\ 21\ 13.4$ 00\ 26\ 36\ 6	$+43 \ 28 \ 50$ $\pm 12 \ 42 \ 56$	11	20	3	23.9	4.7	1.05	0.313		lenses $2 = 1.00$ Q5O, see 32.3
RX 10926 7 ± 1234	72	09 20 30.0	± 12 ± 2 50 ± 12 34 07	41	60	22	11.7	2.1	1.75 F	0.485 F		F
BX J0943 5+1640	73	$09 \ 43 \ 32 \ 2$	$+12 \ 54 \ 07$ $+16 \ 40 \ 02$	10	36	5	23.1	3.7	0.67	0.256		RIXOS/WARPS2
RX J0943.7 + 1644	74	09 43 44.7	+16 44 20	17	69	13	21.2	4.1	0.31	0.180		RIXOS/WARPS24
RX J0947.7+0741	75	$09 \ 47 \ 45.8$	+07 41 18	17	32	10	13.5	3.7	2.30	0.625		(BSHARC/SSHARC QSO $z \sim 0.63$)
RX J0951.7-0128	76	$09 \ 51 \ 47.0$	$-01 \ 28 \ 33$	22	25	11	7.1	1.9	1.04	0.568	9	WARPS1
RX J0952.1 - 0148	77	$09 \ 52 \ 08.7$	$-01 \ 48 \ 18$	18	39	14	9.3	2.5	\mathbf{F}	F		F
RX J0953.5 + 4758	78	$09 \ 53 \ 31.2$	+47 58 57	20	41	20	13.0	5.2	F	F		F
RX J0956.0 + 4107	79	09 56 03.4	$+41 \ 07 \ 14$	13	13	6	15.6	3.3	2.34	0.587		
RX J0957.8 + 6534	80	$09 \ 57 \ 53.2$	+65 34 30	12	19	5	9.4	1.7	1.19	0.530		
RX J0958.2 + 5516	81	09 58 13.5	$+55 \ 16 \ 01$	15	67	14	48.2	7.1	0.97	0.214		A899
RX J0959.4+4633	82	09 59 27.7	$+46\ 33\ 57$	31	37	23	10.5	5.2	F	F	10	F
RX J1002.6-0808	83	$10\ 02\ 40.4$	$-08\ 08\ 46$	12	29		8.6	2.1	1.07	0.524	10	WARPS2
RX J1010.2+5430	84	10 10 14.7	+54 30 18	14	20	4	21.0	2.9	0.02	0.047	11	RDCS/RIXOS/WARPS2
RX J1011.0+5339	85	10 11 05.1 10 11 26 0	+53 39 27	11	23	9	4.7	1.2	0.24	0.329		WARPS2
RA J1011.4+5450 PV J1012.6+4022	80	10 11 20.0	$+34\ 50\ 08$ $\pm 40\ 22\ 07$	24	94 107	22	20.0	0.1	0.77	0.294 0.122		RDCS/RIXOS/WARPS2
RX J1015.0 \pm 4933	88	$10\ 15\ 08\ 5$	$\pm 49 33 07$ $\pm 40 31 32$	10	107	21	40.0	9.8	0.30	0.133		
RX 110133 8+5703	89	$10\ 13\ 08.3$ $10\ 33\ 51\ 9$	+49 31 32 +57 03 10	16	24	9	14.5	2.0	0.71	0.383 0.046	12	E
BX 11036.1 ± 5713	90	$10 \ 36 \ 11 \ 3$	+57 13 31	13	15	6	18.8	3.9	0.35	0.203	12	H
RX J1048.0-1124	91	$10 \ 48 \ 00.1$	-11 24 07	19	35	7	18.5	3.6	0.03	0.065		\triangleright
RX J1049.0+5424	92	10 49 02.7	+54 24 00	12	22	9	9.1	1.6	0.26	0.251		RIXOS
RX J1053.3+5720	93	$10 \ 53 \ 18.4$	+57 20 47	8	12	3	2.5	0.3	0.14	0.340	13	•
RX J1056.2+4933	94	10 56 12.6	$+49 \ 33 \ 11$	23	64	15	12.9	1.9	0.23	0.199		RIXOS/WARPS2
RX J1058.2+0136	95	10 58 13.0	$+01 \ 36 \ 57$	15	113	13	129.5	19.3	0.09	0.040	14	A1139/eBCS/REFLEX1
RX J1117.2+1744	96	$11\ 17\ 12.0$	$+17 \ 44 \ 24$	26	65	33	12.0	5.6	0.50	0.305		
RX J1117.4+0743	97	$11\ 17\ 26.1$	$+07 \ 43 \ 35$	12	18	7	6.1	1.6	0.64	0.477		WARPS2
RX J1117.5+1744	98	$11 \ 17 \ 30.2$	+17 44 44	16	36	10	14.4	2.5	1.90	0.548		
RX J1119.7+2126	99	11 19 43.5	+21 26 44	10	12	3	5.5	0.9	0.01	0.061	11	RDCS/RIXOS/WARPS2
RAJ1120.9+2320	100	11 20 57.9	+23 20 41	10	29	8	21.3	5.0	2.89	0.362		
RX $11123.1 + 1409$ RX $11124.0 - 1700$	101	11 23 10.2	+14 09 44 -17 00 11	21	49	24 10	10.2	4.9	0.95	0.340 0.407		
RX $11124.0 - 1700$	102	11 24 05.8	-17 00 11 $\pm 41 55 59$	31	110	30	40.1	9.6	0.67	0.407		
RX J1135 9+2131	103	$11 \ 24 \ 50.5$ $11 \ 35 \ 54.5$	+21 31 05	17	72	20	17.8	4.0	0.14	0.133		
RX J1138.7+0315	105	11 38 43.9	+03 15 38	10	18	6	15.9	3.7	0.11	0.127		
RX J1142.0+2144	106	11 42 04.6	+21 44 57	26	56	34	45.9	17.4	0.35	0.131		
RX J1146.4+2854	107	11 46 26.9	+285415	18	79	11	39.2	5.8	0.38	0.149		WARPS2
RX J1151.6+8104	108	$11 \ 51 \ 40.3$	+81 04 38	14	27	7	3.7	1.1	0.15	0.290		
RX J1158.1 + 5521	109	$11 \ 58 \ 11.7$	$+55 \ 21 \ 45$	9	21	5	4.7	1.0	0.04	0.135		
RX J1159.8 + 5531	110	$11 \ 59 \ 51.2$	$+55 \ 31 \ 56$	7	24	2	74.2	7.6	0.21	0.081	15	OLEG/EMSS/RDCS/RIXOS
RX J1200.8 - 0327	111	12 00 49.7	$-03 \ 27 \ 31$	10	29	5	18.5	2.6	1.28	0.396		RIXOS/SSHARC/WARPS2
RX J1204.0+2807	112	$12 \ 04 \ 04.0$	+28 07 08	7	32	3	102.6	11.4	1.24	0.167	15	z_{PSPC} , A1455/BSHARC/EMSS/NORAS/RDCS/RIXOS
RX J1204.3-0350	113	$12 \ 04 \ 22.9$	$-03\ 50\ 55$	14	26	6	8.7	1.3	0.27	0.261		RDCS/SSHARC/WARPS2
RX J1206.5-0744	114	$12\ 06\ 33.5$	-07 44 28	15	64	7	129.0	16.3	0.26	0.068		REFLEX2
RX J1211.2+3911	115	$12\ 11\ 15.3$	$+39\ 11\ 38$	8	14	4	26.6	3.8	1.35	0.340	15	BMW/BSHARC/EMSS/WARPS2
RA J1213.5+0253	116	$12 \ 13 \ 35.3$	+025326	13	27	9	14.3	3.0	1.07	0.409		
na ji210.3+2033 dv 11918 4 + 2011	117	12 10 19.4	+20 33 26	15	15	6	10.4	4.2	1.25	0.428		DDCC /DIXOC /WADDCO
na j1216.4+3011	110	12 18 29.1	+30 11 40	11	18	9	0.3	1.4	0.33	0.308		nDOS/nIAOS/WARF52

TABLE 4—Continued

Object Name	Number	α	δ	δr	$r_{\rm c}$	$\delta r_{\rm c}$	$f_{\rm X}$	$\delta f_{\rm X}$	$L_{\mathbf{X}}$	z	Redshift	Notes
(1)	(2)	[J2000] (3)	[J2000] (4)	[''] (5)	[''] (6)	[''] (7)	$[10^{-14} \text{ cgs}]$ (8)	$[10^{-14} \text{ cgs}]$ (9)	$[10^{44} \text{ cgs}]$ (10)	(11)	References (12)	(13)
BY 11221 4±4018	110	19 91 94 5	+40 18 13	18	34	8	20.6	4.6	4.97	0.700	· /	
RX $J1221.4+4910$ RX $J1222.5+0412$	120	$12 \ 21 \ 24.5$ $12 \ 22 \ 32 \ 5$	+04 12 02	12	15	7	6.3	4.0	4.27 F	0.700 F		F
BX J1236.5+0051	121	$12 \ 36 \ 31.4$	+005143	14	28	8	4.8	1.0	0.09	0.205	12	RDCS
RX J1237.4+1141	122	$12 \ 37 \ 25.1$	+11 41 27	21	41	$1\tilde{5}$	10.6	3.4				discussed in §2.3
RX J1237.6+2632	123	$12 \ 37 \ 38.6$	+26 32 23	14	31	12	7.0	2.3	0.25	0.278		BMW
RX J1252.0 - 2920	124	$12 \ 52 \ 05.4$	$-29 \ 20 \ 46$	13	46	11	21.7	4.2	0.34	0.188		RDCS
RX J1252.1 - 2914	125	$12 \ 52 \ 11.3$	-29 14 59	8	11	5	8.7	1.6	F	F		F
RX J1254.6 + 2545	126	12 54 38.3	$+25 \ 45 \ 13$	13	31	7	10.2	2.0	0.17	0.193		RDCS/WARPS2
RX J1254.8 + 2550	127	12 54 53.6	+25 50 55	12	40	8	13.2	2.5	0.32	0.233		RDCS/WARPS2
RX J1256.0 + 2556	128	12 56 04.9	+25 56 52	11	30	7	9.9	1.9	0.24	0.232		RDCS/WARPS2
RX J1256.6 + 4715	129	12 56 39.4	$+47 \ 15 \ 19$	10	25	5	5.7	0.8	0.43	0.404	11	RDCS/RIXOS/WARPS2
RX J1301.7+1059	130	$13 \ 01 \ 43.6$	$+10\ 59\ 33$	18	54	11	28.1	5.6	0.66	0.231		
RX J1309.9+3222	131	$13 \ 09 \ 55.6$	+32 22 31	23	42	19	9.0	2.9	0.34	0.290		
RX J1311.2+3228	132	13 11 12.8	$+32\ 28\ 58$	8	22	3	46.7	5.8	1.22	0.245	15	BSHARC/EMSS/RIXOS/WARPS2
RX J1311.5-0551	133	13 11 30.2	$-05\ 51\ 26$	20	36	0	13.7	2.4	1.29	0.461		WADDO
RX J1325.2+6550	134	13 25 14.9	$+65\ 50\ 29$	28	54	21	10.1	3.1	0.15	0.180		z_{PSPC} , WARPS2
RA J1325.7-2943 DV 11220 4+1142	135	13 23 43.9	-294301 +114221	17	43	11	07.0	2.1	F 0.02	F 0.024	16	F NCC 5171 group
RX 11329.4 ± 1143 RX 11334.5 ± 0.822	130	13 29 27.3	-082220	10	120	10	50	10.0	0.02 F	0.024 F	10	F
RX J1334 5+3756	138	13 34 31.1 13 34 34 4	+375658	11	16	5	1.6	0.3	0.07	0 308	17	ľ
BX $11335 0+3750$	139	13 35 03 7	+375000	9	21	4	2.9	0.5	0.20	0.382	17	BDCS
BX J1336.7+3837	140	13 36 42.1	+38 37 32	16	20	9	5.9	1.6	0.09	0.180	11	110 05
RX J1337.8+4815	141	$13 \ 37 \ 48.3$	$+48\ 15\ 46$	10	16	4	7.1	1.5	F	F		F
RX J1337.8+2638	142	$13 \ 37 \ 50.4$	$+26\ 38\ 49$	12	21	6	9.6	2.1	0.51	0.342		WARPS2
RX J1337.8+3854	143	$13 \ 37 \ 53.3$	+385409	17	32	9	14.3	3.6	0.41	0.252		
RX J1340.5+4017	144	$13 \ 40 \ 33.5$	$+40\ 17\ 47$	10	19	5	16.1	2.5	0.21	0.171	18	OLEG
RX J1340.8+3958	145	$13 \ 40 \ 53.7$	+39 58 11	19	66	16	34.4	6.9	0.44	0.169	19	A1774
RX J1341.8+2622	146	$13 \ 41 \ 51.7$	$+26 \ 22 \ 54$	8	103	4	814.0	84.6	1.80	0.072	20	A1775/BCS/NORAS/RBS
RX J1342.0 + 5200	147	$13 \ 42 \ 05.0$	$+52 \ 00 \ 37$	13	10	4	12.4	1.9	F	\mathbf{F}		F
RX J1342.8+4028	148	$13 \ 42 \ 49.1$	$+40\ 28\ 11$	16	15	6	7.4	2.0	1.62	0.699		WARPS2
RX J1343.4+4053	149	$13 \ 43 \ 25.0$	$+40\ 53\ 14$	10	18	.7	12.6	2.8	0.11	0.140		P.W.O.G
RX J1343.4+5547	150	13 43 29.0	$+55\ 47\ 17$	23	109	17	17.5	2.8	0.04	0.069		RIXOS
RX J1354.2-0221	151	13 54 16.9	$-02\ 21\ 47$	11	27	4	14.5	2.6	1.89	0.546		RDCS/SSHARC
RX J1354.8+6917	152	13 54 49.1	+69 17 20	15	26	10	6.4	1.9	0.13	0.207	01	DDCC /WADDC1
RA J1406.2+2830	153	14 06 10.3	$+28\ 30\ 52$	9	14	4	8.0 95.7	1.2	1.14	0.540	21	RDC5/WARP51
RA J1400.9 + 2034 PV J1410.9 + 5049	104	$14\ 00\ 04.9$ $14\ 10\ 12\ 4$	+20.54.17 +50.42.40	19	20	ა 19	20.7	5.4 5.1	0.10	0.110	21	probably part of A1877
RX 11410.2 \pm 5038	156	14 10 12.4 14 10 15 2	+594240 ±503831	10	30	22	20.1	5.1 8.7	0.92	0.250	22	probably part of A1877
RX 11415 6 ± 1006	157	$14\ 10\ 15.2$ $14\ 15\ 37\ 0$	$\pm 10.06.33$	12	52	5	20.1	3.4	0.50	0.250	22	observed by Arcturus
BX J1416 4+4446	158	14 16 28 7	+44 46 41	8	16	4	40.4	5.2	2.77	0.400		obsected by Metalas
BX J1418.5+2510	159	14 18 31.1	$+25\ 10\ 50$	7	33	1	75.6	7.8	2.84	0.296	9	BMW/BSHARC/RDCS/WARPS1
RX J1418.7+0644	160	$14 \ 18 \ 45.2$	+06 44 02	9	18	5	16.4	3.0	F	F	÷	
RX J1419.3+0638	161	$14 \ 19 \ 23.5$	$+06\ 38\ 42$	9	17	4	13.1	1.9	1.90	0.574	10	WARPS2
RX J1419.9+0634	162	$14 \ 19 \ 57.2$	+06 34 26	15	35	7	10.3	2.1	1.38	0.549	10	WARPS2
RX J1429.6 + 4234	163	$14 \ 29 \ 38.1$	+42 34 25	26	35	12	8.5	2.4	F	\mathbf{F}		F
RX J1438.9+6423	164	$14 \ 38 \ 55.5$	$+64 \ 23 \ 44$	19	103	11	26.2	3.6	0.25	0.146		BMW
RX J1444.1 + 6344	165	$14 \ 44 \ 07.7$	$+63 \ 44 \ 58$	15	26	9	17.4	3.2	0.69	0.299	22	$z_{PSPC}, A1969$
RX J1500.0+2233	166	$15 \ 00 \ 02.7$	+22 33 51	24	37	17	14.5	4.5	0.35	0.230		z pspc
RX J1500.8+2244	167	$15 \ 00 \ 51.5$	+22 44 41	16	31	10	17.8	4.2	1.58	0.450		
KX J1515.5+4346	168	$15\ 15\ 32.5$	+43 46 39	18	60	19	34.6	9.7	0.29	0.137		
RX J1515.6+4350	169	15 15 36.8	+43 50 50	22	34	18	10.5	3.8	0.28	0.243		
na J1524.0+0957 DV 11527 7 - 1900	170	15 24 40.3	+09.57.39	20	20	3 96	30.4	4.1	3.40	0.010		ci + group (z = 0.078), BSHARO/WARPS2
RA J1537.7+1200 DV J1540.8+1445	171 172	15 37 44.3	$+12\ 00\ 26$ $+14\ 45\ 24$	30	84 17	26	26.4	7.4	0.21	0.134		
RX J1540.0+1445	172	15 40 00.0	$\pm 14 40 34$ $\pm 52 46 97$	10	11	0	1.0	2.0	0.08	0.441		
RA J1044.0+0340 RV 11547 9 + 9054	174	15 44 00.0	+03 40 27	19	30 E1	20	9.7	2.2	0.05	0.112		2 DODO
RX $J1547.5\pm2000$	175	15 52 12 2	$\pm 20, 50, 50$ $\pm 20, 13, 45$	24 0	50	20	40 5	7.0 6.0	0.79	0.200		BSHARC/WARPS1
BX J1606 7+2320	176	16 06 42 5	+23290	12	34	13	12.1	2.8	0.40	0.310		WARPS2
RX J1620.3+1723	177	$16\ 20\ 22.0$	$+17\ 23\ 05$	12	35	8	20.8	3.7	0.12	0.112		Z PSPC

TABLE 4—Continued

Object Name	Number	α	δ	δr	$r_{\rm c}$	$\delta r_{\rm c}$	fx	$\delta f_{\rm X}$		z	Redshift	Notes
(1)	(2)	[J2000] (3)	[J2000] (4)	[''] (5)	[''] (6)	[''] (7)	$[10^{-14} \text{ cgs}]$ (8)	$[10^{-14} \text{ cgs}]$ (9)	$[10^{44} \text{ cgs}] (10)$	(11)	References (12)	(13)
RX J1629.7+2123	178	$16\ 29\ 46.1$	+21 23 54	19	46	8	25.3	4.0	0.38	0.184		NGC + 04 90 010
RX J1630.2+2434	179	16 30 15.2	+24 34 59 +21 22 02	23	129	13	179.4	25.9	0.33	0.066		MCG +04-39-010 group
RA J1031.0+2122 DV 11622 6+5714	180	16 22 40 0	+21 22 02	10	24	14	29.1	0.4	0.12	0.098		
PX I1620.0 + 5247	101	16 20 55 6	+57 14 57 +52 47 56	14	170	0	120.5	14.9	0.09	0.239	22	A 9990 /WA DDS9
RX 11641 1 \pm 8232	182	10 39 33.0 16 41 10 0	± 82 32 27	12	78	11	80.5	14.8	1.48	0.111	20	$TTR05 1646\pm 82$ clustor BSHARC
RX 11641.1 \pm 0232	184	$16 \ 41 \ 10.0$ $16 \ 41 \ 52 \ 5$	$\pm 40 01 20$	24	70 51	15	29.4	7.8	$1.40 \\ 2.72$	0.200		11R95 1040+82 cluster, DSHARC
$RX 11642 5 \pm 3059$	185	16 42 33 5	± 305005	12	10	10	53	1.0	2.72 F	0.404 F		E OSO 1640 \pm 400 z = 1.59
RX J1642 6+3935	186	16 42 38.9	+39 35 53	16	27	9	10.1	2.3	0.58	0.355		1, 250 1040 400 2 = 1.05
BX J1658 5+3430	187	16 58 34 7	+34 30 12	16	58	10	33.6	5.2	1.60	0.330		
RX J1659.7+3410	188	16 59 44.6	$+34\ 10\ 17$	16	25	11	9.8	3.4	0.52	0.341		
RX J1700.7+6413	189	$17\ 00\ 42.3$	$+64\ 13\ 00$	7	18	1	45.6	4.7	1.01	0.225	24	A2246/NORAS/RDCS
RX J1701.3+6414	190	$17\ 01\ 23.0$	+64 14 11	7	25	2	38.6	4.2	3.38	0.453		RDCS/BSHARC
RX J1701.7+6421	191	$17 \ 01 \ 46.1$	$+64 \ 21 \ 15$	14	32	8	3.5	0.7	0.08	0.220		RDCS
RX J1702.2+6420	192	$17 \ 02 \ 13.3$	+64 20 00	12	32	7	6.3	1.2	0.15	0.224		RDCS/WARPS2
RX J1722.8+4105	193	$17 \ 22 \ 53.8$	$+41 \ 05 \ 25$	22	42	12	29.4	6.5	1.23	0.309		,
RX J1729.0+7440	194	$17 \ 29 \ 01.9$	+74 40 46	40	100	31	17.3	7.2	0.35	0.213		
RX J1746.4+6848	195	$17 \ 46 \ 29.1$	+68 48 54	13	56	10	22.3	3.2	0.47	0.217		RDCS/WARPS2
RX J2003.4 - 5556	196	$20\ 03\ 28.4$	-55 56 47	8	16	2	47.6	6.3	0.00	0.015	5	Abell S840
RX J2004.8 - 5603	197	$20 \ 04 \ 49.4$	$-56 \ 03 \ 44$	16	30	11	10.4	2.5	F	\mathbf{F}		F
RX J2005.2-5612	198	$20\ 05\ 13.6$	$-56 \ 12 \ 58$	9	7	3	35.0	4.9	F	F		F
RX J2059.9-4245	199	$20\ 59\ 55.2$	-42 45 33	8	9	3	11.2	1.8	0.53	0.323		
RX J2108.8-0516	200	$21 \ 08 \ 51.2$	$-05\ 16\ 49$	12	34	7	11.6	1.7	0.53	0.319	~~	BMW/RDCS/SSHARC/WARPS1
RX J2114.3-6800	201	21 14 20.4	$-68\ 00\ 56$	13	17	3	25.8	3.3	0.19	0.130	25	OLEG/RDCS/SSHARC
RX J2137.1+0026	202	21 37 06.7	$+00\ 26\ 51$	21	55	20	27.8	5.7	0.03	0.051	26	UGC 11780 group
RX J2139.9-4305	203	21 39 58.5	$-43\ 05\ 14$	15	12	6	8.3	2.0	0.54	0.376		
RX J2146.0+0423	204	21 46 04.8	+04 23 19	13	17	2	13.8	2.1	1.71	0.531		
RAJ2202.7 - 1902	205	$22\ 02\ 44.9$	-190210 171255	10	30	12	0.0	2.2	0.58	0.438		RDCS/SSHARC/WARPS2
RAJ2212.0-1713 DV 19912 5 1656	200	22 12 30.2	-17 15 55	12	41	10	0.4	1.4	0.04	0.134		² PSPC
RX 12213.3-1030 RX 12230 4_0547	207	22 13 31.0 22 20 24 7	$-10\ 50\ 11$ $-05\ 47\ 04$	17	41	12	20.1	3.4	0.71	0.297	0	A 2465S /WARDS1
RX 12239.4-0547	208	22 39 24.1	-06 00 14	10	21	10	5.9	2.0	0.08	0.242	3	WARPS1
RX 12239.5-0000	203	22 39 34.4	$-05 \ 43 \ 18$	15	34	5	32.4	5.0	0.08	0.173	9	$\Delta 2465N/WARPS1$
BX J2247 4+0337	210	$22 \ 35 \ 30.5$ $22 \ 47 \ 29 \ 1$	+03 37 13	20	46	17	23.0	6.3	0.41	0.240	5	OLEG
BX J2257 8+2056	212	22 57 49 4	+205625	11	22	7	11.1	2.1	0.44	0.297		WARPS2
RX J2258.1+2055	213	225807.1	$+20\ 55\ 07$	9	24	3	50.5	6.1	1.81	0.288	15	Zw2255.5+2041/BSHARC/EMSS/WARPS2
RX J2305.4-3546	214	$23\ 05\ 26.2$	-35 46 01	$1\tilde{5}$	55	14	15.5	3.4	0.28	0.201		,,,,
RX J2305.4-5130	215	$23\ 05\ 26.6$	-51 30 30	17^{-1}	21	10	4.2	1.4	0.07	0.194		RDCS
RX J2318.0-4235	216	$23 \ 18 \ 04.8$	$-42\ 35\ 30$	17	28	8	15.5	2.7	0.30	0.209		BMW
RX J2319.5+1226	217	$23 \ 19 \ 33.9$	$+12 \ 26 \ 17$	10	30	6	38.2	4.7	0.27	0.126		RIXOS/WARPS1
RX J2325.6 - 5443	218	$23 \ 25 \ 39.1$	-54 43 59	35	91	26	22.4	7.7	0.10	0.102		,
RX J2328.8+1453	219	$23 \ 28 \ 49.9$	+14 53 12	21	27	12	7.6	1.7	0.85	0.497		WARPS2
RX J2331.8 - 3747	220	$23 \ 31 \ 52.1$	$-37 \ 47 \ 11$	28	46	25	10.8	4.7	0.38	0.280		
RX J2348.8 - 3117	221	$23 \ 48 \ 53.7$	$-31 \ 17 \ 20$	12	43	8	32.5	5.1	0.49	0.184		A4043
RX J2349.1 - 3122	222	$23 \ 49 \ 07.6$	$-31 \ 22 \ 26$	11	21	6	6.0	1.4	F	\mathbf{F}		F
RX J2355.1-1500	223	23 55 11.8	$-15 \ 00 \ 26$	26	70	20	26.6	6.7	0.09	0.086		

Note. — Units of right ascension are hours, minutes, and seconds, and units of declination are degrees, arcminutes, and arcseconds. Units of X-ray flux are 10^{-14} erg cm⁻² s⁻¹ and units of X-ray luminosity are 10^{44} h_{50}^{-2} erg s⁻¹, both of which are in the 0.5–2.0 keV energy band. Redshift references — (1) Couch et al. 1991; (2) Quintana & Ramirez 1995; (3) Loveday et al. 1996; (4) Nesci & Altamore 1990; (5) Abell, Corwin, & Olowin 1989; (6) Rosati et al. 1999; (7) Kurtz et al. 1985; (8) P.Rosati (private communication); (9) Perlman et al. 2002; (10) H. Ebeling (private communication); (11) Mason et al. 2000; (12) J. Huchra (private communication); (13) Schmidt et al. 1998; (14) Struble & Rood 1999; (15) Stocke et al. 1991; (16) Mahdavi et al. 2000; (17) McHardy et al. 1998; (18) Ponman et al. 1994; (19) Kopylov, Fetisova, & Shvartsman 1984; (20) Oegerle, Hill, & Fitchett 1995; (21) Boyle, Wilkes, & Elvis 1997; (22) Huchra et al. 1990; (23) Ulrich 1976; (24) Schneider, Gunn, & Hoessel 1983; (25) Griffiths et al. 1992; (26) Huchra, Vogeley, & Geller 1999. Table 4 is also available in machine-readable form in the electronic edition of the *Astrophysical Journal*.

Other Survey	Coincidences with 160SD	Percentage of 160SD	Percentage of Other Survey	Sample Size of Other Survey	Parent Dataset of Other Survey
BCS	1	0.5%	0.5%	201	RASS
eBCS	2	1.0%	2.0%	99	RASS
BMW-HRI	9	4.5%	6.0%	~ 150	ROSAT HRI
BSHARC	12	6.0%	32.4%	37	ROSAT PSPC
CIZA	0			150	RASS
EMSS	6	3.0%	6.7%	89	Einstein IPC
MACS	0			120	RASS
NEP	0			63	RASS
NORAS	3	1.5%	0.8%	378	RASS
RASS1BS	0			126	RASS
RBS	1	0.5%	0.5%	186	RASS
RDCS	46	22.9%	36.5%	126	ROSAT PSPC
REFLEX-I	1	0.5%	0.2%	452	RASS
REFLEX-II	3	1.5%	0.8%	~ 375	RASS
RIXOS	18	9.0%	58.0%	31	ROSAT PSPC
SGP	0			186	RASS
SSHARC	9	4.4%	28.1%	32	ROSAT PSPC
WARPS-I	16	8.0%	47.1%	34	ROSAT PSPC
WARPS-II	44	21.9%	35.5%	124	ROSAT PSPC

TABLE 5160SD Cluster Memberships In Other Surveys