Flat Central Density Profiles from Scalar Field Dark Matter Halo

Tonatiuh Matos

Departamento de Fisica, Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN, AP 14-740, 07000 D.F., México

Darío Núñez

Instituto de Ciencias Nucleares, Universidad Nacional Autonoma de México, AP 70-543, 94 510 D.F., México.

(Dated: Marzo 15, 2003)

The scalar field endowed with a cosh scalar field potential, behaves exactly in the same way as cold dark matter (CDM) in the region where the scalar field oscillates around its minimum. Also, in the linear regime, the scalar field dark matter (SFDM) hypothesis predicts the same structure formation as the cold dark matter one. This means that CDM and SFDM are equivalent from the cosmological point of view. The free parameters of the SFDM model can be fixed using cosmological observations. In a previous work, we showed by solving the Einstein Klein Gordon equations, that if we use such parameters, the scalar field collapses forming stable objects with a mass around $10^{12} M_{\odot}$. In the present work we use an analytical solution of the flat Klein-Gordon equation. With this solution we show that its scalar field density profile corresponds to a halo with an almost flat central density and that this halo coincides with the CDM model in a large outer region. Such a result could solve the problem of the cusp DM halo in galaxies without extra hypothesis.

PACS numbers: 95.35.+d, 98.62.Ai, 98.80.-k, 95.30.Sf

The Lambda Cold Dark Matter (Λ CDM) model has recently shown an enormous predictive power. It can explain the structure formation of the Universe, its accelerated expansion, the micro Kelvin fluctuation of the Cosmic Microwave Background Radiation, etc. Nevertheless, more recently some problems of this model with the formation of galaxies have arisen [1]. The CDM paradigm predicts a density profile which corresponds to the Navarro-Frenk-White (NFW) profile [2] given by

$$\rho_{NFW} = \frac{\rho_0}{\frac{r}{r_0}(\frac{r}{r_0} + 1)^2}.$$
(1)

However, this profile seems to have some differences with the observed profiles of LSB galaxies [3]. The evidence points to the fact that, in the central regions, galaxies prefer to follow an almost constant density profile. The main difference of both profiles lays in the fact that the NFW is consistent with N-body simulations using CDM, while the constant profile in the central regions is empirical, and it fits better the rotation curves in the central regions of galaxies, but there is no consistent theory of the Universe which could predict its formation. In this work we show that a flat central profile naturally arises within the scalar field dark matter hypothesis. This adds evidence in favor that the cold dark matter in galaxies can be identified with the scalar field. We work within the specific context of the so-called 'strong, self-interacting scalar field dark matter' (SFDM) hypothesis that has recently been developed by the authors [4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10] (see also [11]). The key idea of the SFDM scenario is that the dark matter responsible for structure formation in the Universe is a real scalar field, Φ , minimally coupled to Einstein gravity with self-interaction parameterized by a potential energy of the form (see also [12])

$$V(\Phi) = V_0 \left[\cosh(\lambda \sqrt{\kappa_0} \Phi) - 1 \right] \tag{2}$$

where V_0 and λ are the only two free parameters of the model, $\kappa_0 = 8\pi G$ and we employ natural units such that $\hbar = c = 1$. The effective mass of the scalar field is given by $m_{\Phi}^2 = \kappa_0 V_0 \lambda^2$.

The advantage of the SFDM model is that it is insensitive to initial conditions and the scalar field behaves as CDM once it begins to oscillate around the minimum of its potential. In this case, it can be shown [4, 5] that the SFDM model is able to reproduce all the successes of the standard ΛCDM model above galactic scales. Furthermore, it predicts a sharp cut-off in the mass power spectrum due to its quadratic nature, thus explaining the observed dearth of dwarf galaxies, in contrast with the possible excess predicted by high resolution N-body simulations with standard CDM [5]. Even when there are some attempts to give a solution to these problems inside the CDM paradigm, [13, 14], the debate is still open because new observations in galactic centers of Low Surface Brightness (LSB) and dwarf galaxies do not show a clear correspondence with CDM predictions [3, 15]. This is the reason why we look for alternative candidates that can explain the structure formation at cosmological level, the observed amount of dwarf galaxies, and the dark matter density profile in the core of galaxies. In the case of the SFDM, the strong self-interaction of the scalar field results in the formation of solitonic objects called 'oscillatons', which have a mass of the order of a galaxy (see for example [9, 10, 16]). In this work we will show that these profiles contains an almost flat central density profile, they do not exhibit the cusp density profiles characteristic of the standard CDM hypothesis.

The best-fit model to the cosmological data can be deduced from the current densities of dark matter and radiation in the Universe and from the cut-off in the mass power spectrum that constrains the number of dwarf galaxies in clusters. The favored values for the two free parameters of the scalar field potential are found to be [5]:

$$\lambda \simeq 20, \tag{3}$$

$$V_0 \simeq (3 \times 10^{-27} m_{Pl})^4$$
, (4)

where $m_{Pl} \equiv G^{-1/2} \approx 10^{-5}$ g is the Planck mass. This implies that the effective mass of the scalar field should be $m_{\Phi} \simeq 9.1 \times 10^{-52} m_{Pl} = 1.1 \times 10^{-23}$ eV.

An important feature of the scalar field potential (2) is that it is renormalizable and exactly quantizable, although it is presently unknown whether it originates from a fundamental quantum field theory [17]. Furthermore, the scattering cross section by mass of the scalar particles, $\sigma_{2\rightarrow2}/m_{\Phi}$, can be constrained from numerical simulations of self-interacting dark matter and avoids high-density dark matter halos [18]. This effectively constrains the renormalization scale, Λ_{Φ} , of the scalar field potential to be of the order of the Planck mass, $\Lambda_{\Phi} \simeq 1.93 m_{Pl} = 2.15 \times 10^{19}$ GeV [6]. Such a value is indicative of a possible fundamental origin for the scalar field, which in turn suggests that the strongly, self-interacting scalar field dark matter may also have been present during the inflationary epoch [6, 19].

For the scenario of galactic formation with the SFDM hypothesis, we assume the following: When the scalar field fluctuations reaches the non-linear regime, the scalar field collapses in a different way as the standard CDM. In a normal dust collapse, as for example in CDM, there is in principle nothing to avoid that the dust matter collapses all the time. There is only a radial gravitational force that provokes the collapse, and to stop it, one needs to invoke some virialization phenomenon. In the scalar field paradigm this collapse is different. The energy momentum tensor of the scalar field is

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \Phi_{,\mu}\Phi_{,\nu} - \frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{2} \left[\Phi^{,\alpha}\Phi_{,\alpha} + 2V(\Phi) \right] \,. \tag{5}$$

Supposing spherical symmetry, we work with the line element

$$ds^{2} = -e^{2\nu}dt^{2} + e^{2\mu}dr^{2} + r^{2}d\Omega^{2}, \qquad (6)$$

with $\mu = \mu(r, t)$ and $\nu = \nu(r, t)$, being this last function the Newtonian potential. The energy momentum tensor of the scalar field has then the components

$$-T^{0}_{0} = \rho_{\Phi} = \frac{1}{2} \left[e^{2\nu} \dot{\Phi}^{2} + e^{-2\mu} \Phi'^{2} + 2V(\Phi) \right]$$
(7)

$$T^{1}_{1} = p_{r} = \frac{1}{2} \left[e^{-2\nu} \dot{\Phi}^{2} + e^{-2\mu} \Phi^{\prime 2} - 2V(\Phi) \right]$$
(9)

$$T^{2}{}_{2} = p_{\perp} = \frac{1}{2} \left[e^{-2\nu} \dot{\Phi}^{2} - e^{-2\mu} \Phi'^{2} - 2V(\Phi) \right] (10)$$

and also $T^3{}_3 = T^2{}_2$. These different components are identified as the energy density ρ_{Φ} , the momentum density \mathcal{P}_{Φ} , the radial pressure p_r and the angular pressure p_{\perp} . The integrated mass is also defined by

$$M(x) = 4\pi \int_0^x \rho_{\Phi}(X) \, X^2 dX \,. \tag{11}$$

The radial and angular pressures are two natural components of the scalar field which stops the collapse, avoiding the cusp density profiles in the centers of the collapsed objects. This is the main difference between the normal dust collapse and the SFDM one. The pressures play an important roll in the SFDM equilibrium. In order to see this, as galaxies are almost flat, the Newtonian approximation should be sufficient to understand them. In this work we will take the flat space-time approximation.

In what follows let us explain why we suspect that the scalar field could be the dark matter in galaxies. There are three main reasons for that.

The first reason for proposing the scalar field as the dark matter in a galaxy is that numerical simulations suggest that the critical mass for the case considered here, using the scalar potential (2), and the parameters (3) and (4) is approximately [8]

$$M_{crit} \simeq 0.1 \frac{m_{Pl}^2}{\sqrt{\kappa_0 V_0}} = 2.5 \times 10^{13} M_{\odot} .$$
 (12)



FIG. 1: The energy density profile for the Scalar Field Dark Matter model. The parameters used in this plot are $m_{\Phi} = 20, \omega = 20.001, \Phi_0^2 = 5 \ 10^{-2}$. The density is given in arbitrary units and r is given in kpc.

This is a surprising result, the critical mass of the model shown in [4, 5] is of the order of magnitude of

the dark matter content of a standard galactic halo. Observe that the parameters of the model (3) and (4), where fixed using cosmological observations. The surprising result consists in the fact that using the same scalar field for explaining the dark matter at cosmological scales, this scalar field will always collapse with a preferred mass which corresponds to the halo of a real galaxy. Thus, this result is a prediction of the cosmological SFDM model for galaxy formation.

The second reason is that during the linear regime of fluctuations, the scalar field and a dust fluid, like CDM, behave in the same way. The density contrast in CDM and in the SFDM models evolve in exactly the same form and then both models predict the same large scale structure formation in the universe (see [5]). The difference between the CDM and SFDM models begins in the non linear regime of structure formation, thus we will find this differences essentially in their predictions on galaxy formation.

The third reason is the topic of this work. A scalar field object (an oscillaton) in flat space-time contains a flat central density profile, as it seems to be the case in galaxies. In order to see this, we study a massive oscillaton without self-interaction (*i.e.* with potential $V = \frac{1}{2}m_{\Phi}^2\Phi^2$), in the Minkowski background ($\mu \sim \nu \sim 0$). Even though it is not a solution to the Einstein equations as we are neglecting the gravitational force provoked by the scalar field, the solution is analytic and it helps us to understand some features that appear in the non-flat oscillatons.

In a spherically symmetric space-time, the Klein-Gordon equation $\nabla_4 \Phi - dV/d\Phi = 0$ reads

$$\Phi^{\prime\prime} + \frac{2}{r} \Phi^{\prime} - m_{\Phi}^2 \Phi = \ddot{\Phi} \tag{13}$$

where over-dot denotes $\partial/\partial t$ and prime denotes $\partial/\partial r$. The exact general solution for the scalar field Φ is

$$\Phi(t,r) = \frac{e^{\pm ikr}}{r} e^{\pm i\omega t} \tag{14}$$

where we obtain the dispersion relation $k^2 = \omega^2 - m_{\Phi}^2$. For $\omega > m_{\Phi}$ the solution is non-singular and vanishes at infinity. We will restrict ourselves to this case. It is more convenient to use trigonometric functions and to write the particular solution in the form

$$\Phi(t,x) = \Phi_0 \frac{\sin(x)}{x} \cos(\omega t) \tag{15}$$

where x = kr. It oscillates in harmonic manner in time. The scalar field spreads over all space, *i.e.*, it is not confined to a finite region, as we are neglecting the gravitational interactions. In [9] has been shown that when the gravitational interaction is taken into account, the oscillaton gets confined in a finite region.



FIG. 2: Comparison between the energy density profile for the Scalar Field Dark Matter model with the NFW and the Isothermal models. The parameters for the isothermal model are $\rho_{Iso} = 0.3/(r^2 + 8^2)$ and for the NFW profile are $\rho_{NFW} = 10/(r(r+8)^2)$. The parameter used for the SFDM model are the same as in the previous figure.

The analytic expression for the scalar field energy density derived from Eq. (15) is

$$\rho_{\Phi} = \frac{1}{2} \Phi_0^2 \left(\left(\frac{x \cos(x) - \sin(x)}{x^2} \right)^2 - \frac{\sin^2(x)}{x^4} \right) k^4 \cos^2(\omega t) + \frac{1}{2} \Phi_0^2 \frac{\omega^2 k^2 \sin^2(x)}{x^2}$$

which oscillates with a frequency $2\omega t$. Observe that close to the central regions of the object, the density of the oscillaton behaves like

$$\rho_{\Phi} \sim \frac{1}{2} \Phi_0^2 k^2 \left[\omega^2 - k^2 \cos^2(\omega t) \right] + O(x^2)$$
 (16)

which implies that the density is almost constant in the central regions, i.e. when $x \to 0$ the central density oscillates around a fixed value. Recall that this is an exact solution of the Klein Gordon field equation, so this behaivors arise naturally.

On the other hand, the asymptotic behavior when $x \to \infty$, is such that $\rho_{\Phi} \sim 1/x^2$, *i.e.* far away from the center, in this approximation, the flat oscillaton density profile behaves like the isothermal one. Nevertheless, if the gravitational interaction is taken into account, the object must be confined [9] and a more realistic profile should change this behavior. Obviously, the mass function oscillates around $M \sim x$. In this approximation the integrated mass of the scalar field gives an infinite value.

In order to understand what is happening within the object, observe that the KG equation can be rewritten in a more convenient form in terms of the energy density, as

$$\frac{\partial \rho_{\Phi}}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r^2 \mathcal{P}_{\Phi} \right) = 0.$$
 (17)

This last equation has a clear interpretation: Since its form looks like the conservation equation, $\dot{\rho} + \nabla \cdot \vec{J} = 0$, equation (17) represents the conservation of the scalar field energy. It also tells us that there is a scalar field current given by

$$\vec{J}_{\Phi} = -\mathcal{P}_{\Phi}\vec{r}$$
$$= \Phi_0^2 \frac{k\omega}{2} \left[x\cos(x) - \sin(x)\right] \frac{\sin(x)\sin(2\omega t)}{x^3} \vec{r}.$$

Observe that the quantity involved in this current is the scalar field momentum density (8). Although the flux of scalar radiation at large distances does not vanish, there is not a net flux of energy, as it can be seen by averaging the scalar current on a period of a scalar oscillation. We also see that the only transformation process is that of the scalar field energy density into the momentum density, and vice versa. For the realistic values (4) this transfer is very small.

In Fig. 1 we show the behavior of the SFDM density profile for a typical galaxy and in Fig. 2 we show the comparison between the NFW, the isothermal and the SFDM density profiles for the same galaxy. Observe that the SFDM and NFW profiles remain very similar up to 100 kpc, then the SFDM profile starts to follow the isothermal one. In a more realistic analysis the oscillaton is confined and the SFDM profile becomes finite (see [9, 10]). The parameters used in the figures, correspond to a middle size galaxy.

Summarizing, the SFDM model is insensitive to initial conditions; it reproduces all the success of Λ CDM at cosmological scale [5]; reproduces the same structure

- B. Moore, Nature **370**, 629 (1994). A. Burkert, ApJ **477**, L25 (1995). J. A. Tyson, G. P. Kochanski and I. P. Dell'Antonio, ApJ **498**, L107 (1998).
- [2] J. Navarro, C. S. Frenk and S. S. M. White. ApJ 490, 493 (1997).
- [3] W. J. G. de Blok, S. S. MacGaugh, A. Bosma and V. C. Rubin, ApJ **552**, L23 (2001). S. S. MacGaugh, V. C. Rubin and W. J. G. de Blok, AJ **122**, 2381 (2201). W. J. G. de Blok, S. S. MacGaugh and V. C. Rubin, AJ **122**, 2396 (2001).
- [4] T. Matos and L. A. Ureña-López, Class. Quantum Grav. 17, L75 (2000).
- [5] T. Matos and L. A. Ureña-López, Phys. Rev. D63, 63506 (2001).
- [6] T. Matos and L. A. Ureña-López, Phys. Lett. B 538, 246 (2002).
- [7] T. Matos and F. S. Guzmán, Class. Quantum Grav. 18, 5055 (2001).
- [8] M. Alcubierre, F. S. Guzmán, T. Matos, D. Núñez, L. A. Ureña López and P. Wiederhold. Class. Quantum Grav. 19, 5017 (2002).
- [9] L. A. Ureña-López, Class. Quantum Grav. 19, 2617

as ΛCDM of the Universe in the linear regime [5]; it predicts that SFDM objects will collapse with a preferred mass of ~ $10^{12} M_{\odot}$ [8], and now we have shown that objects formed out of the SFDM have an almost constant density profile in the center, which seems to fit better with the observed rotation curves of galaxies [3, 15]. All these features of the SFDM model put together allows to consider the model as a robust candidate to be the dark matter of the Universe, as it was suggested in [7, 20, 21]. Furthermore, it has been shown before that dark halos of galaxies could be scalar solitonic objects, even in the presence of baryonic matter [22, 23, 24, 25]. Actually, the boson mass estimated in all these different approaches roughly coincides with the value $m_{\Phi} \sim 10^{-23} eV$, even if the later was estimated from a cosmological point of view [5]. It is here where we can appreciate the non-trivial properties of potential (2): Its strong self-interaction provides a reliable cosmological scenario, while it has the desired properties of a quadratic potential at the same time. Along with this, the results presented here fill the gap between the successes at cosmological and galactic levels.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Vladimir Avila Reese, Arturo Ureña and F. Siddhartha Guzmán for many helful and useful discussions and Erasmo Gómez and Aurelio Espíritu for technical support. This work was partly supported by CONACyT México, under grants 32138-E and 34407-E. DN acknowledges the DGAPA-UNAM grant IN-122002.

(2002). L. A. Ureña-López, T. Matos and R. Becerril, Class. Q. Grav. **19**, 6259 (2002).

- [10] M. Alcubierre, R. Becerril, F. S. Guzmán, T. Matos, D. Núñez, and L. A. Ureña López. Numerical studies of Φ²-Oscillatons. To be published.
- [11] P. J. E. Peebles, E-print astro-ph/0002495.
- [12] V. Sahni and L. Wang, Phys. Rev. D 63, (2000).
- [13] N. Dalal and C. S. Kochanek, ApJ in press. E-print astroph/0111456. D. Merritt, L. Ferrarese, and C. L. Joseph, Science 293, 1116 (2001).
- [14] J. R. Primack, E-print astro-ph/0112255. *Ibid*, E-print astro-ph/0205391.
- [15] J. J. Binney and N. W. Evans, MNRAS 327 L27 (2001). Blais-Ouellette, C. Carignan, and P. Amram, E-print astro-ph/0203146. C. M. Trott and R. L. Wesbster, Eprint astro-ph/0203196. P. Salucci, F. Walter and A. Borriello, E-print astro-ph/0206304.
- [16] E. Seidel and W. Suen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 66, 1659 (1991).
 E. Seidel and W. Suen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72, 2516 (1994).
 S. H. Hawley and M. W. Choptuik, Phys. Rev. D 62, 104024 (2000). E. P. Honda and M. W. Choptuik, E-print hep-ph/0110065.

- [17] K. Halpern and K. Huang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 3526 (1995); K. Halpern and K. Huang, Phys. Rev. D **53**, 3252 (1996). Vincenzo Branchina, Phys. Rev. D **64** 043513 (2001). C. Bagnuls and C. Bervillier, Phys. Rept. **384**, 91 (2001).
- [18] D. N. Spergel and P. J. Steinhardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 3760 (2000); B. D. Wandelt, R. Dave, G. R. Farrar, P. C. McGuire, D. N. Spergel, and P. J. Steinhardt, astro-ph/0006344; C. Firmani, E. D'Onghia, V. Avila-Reese, G. Chincarini, and X. Hernández, MNRAS 315, L29 (2000); C. Firmani, E. D'Onghia, G. Chincarini, X. Hernández, and V. Avila-Reese, MNRAS 321, 713 (2001); M. Kaplinghat, L. Knox, and M. S. Turner, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 3335 (2000)..
- [19] J. Lidsey, T. Matos and L. A. Ureña-López, Phys. Rev.

D66, 023514 (2002). E-print astro-ph/0111292.

- [20] T. Matos, F. S. Guzmán and D. Núñez, Phys. Rev. D 62, 061301 (2000).
- [21] F. S. Guzmán and T. Matos, Class. Quantum Grav. 17, L9 (2000). T. Matos and F. S. Guzmán, Ann. Phys. (Leipzig) 9, SI-133 (2000).
- [22] S. J. Sin, Phys. Rev. D 50, 3650 (1994). S. U. Ji and S. J. Sin, Phys. Rev. D 50, 3655 (1994).
- [23] J. W. Lee and I. G. Koh, Phys. Rev. D 53, 2236 (1996).
- [24] A. Arbey, J. Lesgourgues and P. Salati, Phys. Rev. D 64, 123528 (2001). *Ibid*, 65, 083514 (2002).
- [25] W. Hu, R. Barkana and A. Gruzinov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 1158 (2000).