SCINTILLATION CAUSTICS IN PLANETARY OCCULTATION LIGHT CURVES

ASANTHA R. COORAY¹, J. L. ELLIOT²

¹Theoretical Astrophysics, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125

²Department of Earth, Atmospheric & Planetary Sciences and Department of Physics, Massachusetts

Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139.

Draft version October 29, 2018

ABSTRACT

We revisit the GSC5249-01240 light curve obtained during its occultation by Saturn's North polar region. In addition to refractive scintillations, the power spectrum of intensity fluctuations shows an enhancement of power between refractive and diffractive regimes. We identify this excess power as due to high amplitude spikes in the light curve and suggest that these spikes are due to caustics associated with ray crossing situations. The flux variation in individual spikes follows the expected caustic behavior, including diffraction fringes which we have observed for the first time in a planetary occultation light curve. The presence of caustics in scintillation light curves require an inner scale cut off to the power spectrum of underlying density fluctuations associated with turbulence. Another possibility is the presence of gravity waves in the atmosphere. While occultation light curves previously showed the existence of refractive scintillations, a combination of small projected stellar size and a low relative velocity during the event have allowed us to identify caustics in this occultation. This has led us to re-examine previous data sets, in which we have also found likely examples of caustics.

Subject headings: occultations—planets: atmospheres—planets: Saturn

1. INTRODUCTION

The occultation of background stellar sources by foreground solar system objects provides one of the well utilized probes of distant planetary atmospheres (for a review, see, Elliot & Olkin 1995). The light curve produced during such events allow one to extract detailed information related to the thermal structure of the atmosphere with spatial resolutions at the distance of the planet of order of a few kilometers. In recent years, increasingly sensitive instrumentation has allowed occultation observations with spatial accuracies not limited by instrumental effects, but rather by physical parameters associated with the occultation geometry—such as the distance, the relative velocity, and the projected size of the occulted star at the planet.

In Cooray et al. (1998), we presented results from an occultation by Saturn's North-polar region of the star GSC5249-01240 on November 20, 1995, near the time of the solar-ring plane crossing of Saturn. This occultation was predicted by Bosh & McDonald (1992) to occur with the slowest relative velocity, 0.59 km sec⁻¹, of their 203 predicted stellar occultations by Saturn between 1990 and 2000. The small projected diameter of the background star, ~ 0.1 km, allowed sub-kilometer spatial resolution of the Saturn's atmosphere.

The light curve of GSC5249-01240 obtained during the occultation at NASA's Infrared Telescope Facility (IRTF) in Mauna Kea, Hawaii showed an excessive number of high amplitude spikes in the light curve. In Cooray et al. (1998), we tentatively identified these large amplitude variations, of over 100% in some occasions, as due to diffractive scintillations. This suggestion was primarily based on the fact that the projected size of the star at the distance of Saturn, ~ 0.1 km, was much smaller than the Fresnel scale associated with these observations, ~ 0.7 km. As the light curve probes deeper in to the atmosphere, scintillation fluctuations observed in such cases are well described

through a combination of weak and strong scintillation theories based on refraction alone (e.g., Narayan & Hubbard 1988). In the present occultation, due to the smaller size of the star when compared to the Fresnel scale, we entered a regime for the first time where potentially new and interesting signatures may be observed.

We recommend the reader to Cooray et al. (1998) for observational details. There, we also present an analysis based on the temperature structure of Saturn's North polar region. Here, we study the light curve under the context of scintillation theories based on both diffraction and refraction and discuss the power spectrum of intensity fluctuations as well as individual high amplitude spikes. We show that the light curve contains scintillation caustics involving multiply imaged events; the presence of caustics in our light curve agrees with theoretical predictions made previously by Goodman et al. (1987) with regards to caustics in pulsar light curves due to interstellar scintillation. Similarly, while not recognized as such, the same caustics events have also been predicted by French & Lovelace (1983) due to ray crossing situations associated with density fluctuations generated by gravity waves. Our light curve probably provides the best evidence for caustics whatever their cause.

2. SCINTILLATION CAUSTICS

The application of scintillations to stellar occultation light curves, either due to turbulence or coherent gravity waves, has been widely discussed in the literature (e.g., Young 1976; French & Lovelace 1983; Hubbard & Narayan 1998). In general, scintillation processes are expected from fluctuations in the underlying density field, or more appropriately the refractivity in the case of stellar occultation light curves, with a power-law spectrum of the form:

$$Q(\vec{k}) = Q_0 \left[k^2 + k_{\text{out}}^2\right]^{-\beta/2} \exp\left(-\frac{k^2}{2k_c^2}\right)$$

$$\approx k^{-\beta}$$
 $k_{\text{out}} < k < k_c$, (1)

where k is the spatial wave-number and k_{out} and k_c are outer and inner cut-off scales of the fluctuation power spectrum. In the case of an exponential atmosphere, the outer cut-off scale is related to the atmospheric scale height, H(French & Lovelace 1983).

Between the two cut-off scales, the usual assumption of a power law in density fluctuations leads to two separate and well known regimes of scintillations involving refraction and diffraction. If the power density spectrum has an inner scale cut off between the refractive and diffractive regimes, Goodman et al. (1987) showed that there is excess power at the same intermediate regime due to caustic events. In the case of stellar occultations, these caustics can be associated with ray-crossing situations, either due to turbulence or gravity waves (French & Lovelace 1983). The flux power spectrum in the presence of caustics can be broken to three regimes, refractive, intermediate, and diffractive with

$$k^{2}P(k) = \begin{cases} Q_{0}r_{F}^{\alpha}(kr_{F})^{4-\alpha} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{k}{k_{\rm ref}}\right)^{2}\right] & k < k_{\rm cref} \\ \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2\alpha(2-\alpha)|A|}}(r_{c}/r_{F})^{4-\alpha} & k_{\rm cref} < k < k_{\rm cdif} \\ \frac{\pi}{\alpha|A|}(r_{c}/r_{F})^{2-\alpha}(kr_{F})^{2} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{k}{k_{\rm dif}}\right)^{2}\right] & k > k_{\rm cdif} , \end{cases}$$

respectively, where $\alpha = \beta - 2$. Following Goodman & Narayan (1985), we write

$$A = \frac{\Gamma[(4-\alpha)/2]}{2^{\alpha}\pi\alpha(\alpha-2)\Gamma[(\alpha+2)/2]}Q_0r_F^{\alpha}.$$
 (3)

The various length scales involved in Eq. 2 are (1) the Fresnel scale, $r_F = (\lambda d/2\pi)^{1/2}$, when wavelength of observations is λ and distance to the scattering screen is d, (2) the refractive scale, $r_{\rm ref} = \theta d$, when the scatterbroadened image size is θ (Eq. 2.10 of Narayan & Hubbard 1988), (3) the diffractive scale, $r_{\rm dif} = r_F^2/r_{\rm ref}$, that determines the fringe separation, (4) the inner cut off scale of density fluctuations, $r_c = 2\pi/k_c$, (5) the cut off scale of refractive scintillations, $r_{\rm cref} = r_{\rm ref}$ if $\beta < 4$ or $r_{\rm cref} = r_{\rm ref}(r_c/r_{\rm ref})^{(\beta-4)/2}$, if $\beta > 4$, and, finally, (6) the cut off scale associated with diffractive scintillations, $r_{\rm cdif} = r_F^2/r_c$. These length scales are inter-related such that $r_{\rm ref}r_{\rm dif} = r_c r_{\rm cdif} = r_F^2$. Here, length scales, r, are related to wave numbers via $k = 2\pi/r$. In the case of stellar occultations, note that the Fresnel scale decreases as one probes deep in to the atmosphere.

In the presence of an inner-scale cutoff, which lies between the refractive and diffractive scales, $k_{\rm cref} < k_c < k_{\rm cdif}$, one expects the power spectrum of intensity fluctuations, P(k), to scale as k^{-2} in the same intermediate regime between diffractive and refractive scintillations. The presence of refractive scintillations requires that the outer cut off scale satisfy the condition $r_{\rm out} \gg r_{\rm ref}$ (Goodman et al. 1987).

In Fig. 1, we show the light curve of GSC5249-01240 during the occultation by Saturn. The existence of high amplitude spikes are clearly visible. The relevant parameters for the GSC5249-01240 event are: relative velocity,



FIG. 1.— The GSC5249-01240 light curve during the occultation by Saturn's North polar region and discussed in Cooray et al. (1998). The existence of high amplitude short duration spikes are clearly visible. Note that these appear as pairs, which is an indication for caustics. The labeled spikes are shown in Fig. 3.

 $v_{\perp} = 0.586$ km sec⁻¹, distance to Saturn, d = 9.194 AU, wavelength, $\lambda = 2.28 \ \mu$ m, and integration time, $t_{\rm int} = 0.947$ sec. The Fresnel scale is ~ 0.71 km while the resolution of the light curve is ~ 0.55 km. Based on the spectrum of the star, the projected radius of the star at the distance of Saturn was determined to be of order 0.1 km. For the rest of the discussion, we renormalize the light curve based on model fits presented in Cooray et al. (1998) and remove the variation in mean flux and only consider fluctuations with respect to this mean.

The power spectrum of intensity fluctuations, P(k), is presented in Fig. 2 where we plot $k^2P(k)$, which represents equal weighing in logarithmic wavenumber intervals. In general, this power spectrum is expected to show two distinct peaks associated with refractive and diffractive scintillations (see, for e.g., Fig. 3 of Goodman et al. 1987). The data clearly show the existence of the first peak associated with refractive scintillations. In the presence of an inner-scale cut off to the underlying density field fluctuations, we expect excess power beyond the refractive peak and a flattening of power at large wave numbers. We see an evidence for such an increase in power and flattening as shown in the bottom plot of Fig. 2. Here, we have focused on only the large wave number regime that is of interest.

The two solid and dashed curves show fits to the power spectrum under the assumption of $\beta = 11/3$, a Kolmogorov type spectrum, and $\beta = 4$ in Eq. 2. With the slope fixed, the model fitting involves two unknown free parameters related to Q_0 and k_{ref} . In order not to bias model fitting with respect to the excess, we make use of the power spectrum only out to wave numbers less than 3 km⁻¹; While we can obtain a fit to the whole power spectrum, we, however, cannot explain the apparent flattening of power beyond the turnover at wave numbers greater than ~ 4.5 km⁻¹. Note that the flattening cannot be ascribed to simply noise in the power spectrum; white noise fluctuations will lead to scale independent power with $P(k) \sim$ constant such that in a $k^2 P(k)$ plot, one would see a sharp rise.



FIG. 2.— The power spectrum of intensity fluctuations. The solid and dashed curves are based on fits to the power spectrum with wavenumbers less than 3 km⁻¹ and using Eq. 2 with assumed power-laws for the underlying density-field fluctuation power spectrum. The peak, at wavenumbers near 1 km⁻¹, is associated with refractive scintillations, while an additional peak, which we have not probed, is expected at high wavenumbers due to diffractive scintillations. In the bottom panel, we concentrate on the high wave-number region where, to the right, we see an indication for the flattening of power at wavenumbers above 4 km⁻¹. Such a flattening is expected when caustics are present in the light curve in addition to simple refraction (Goodman et al. 1987).

Using fits to the power spectrum based on theoretical expectations, following Eq. 2, we determined that for a $\beta = 11/3$ power law, $r_{\text{ref}} = r_{\text{cref}} = 5.7 \pm 1.2$ km, and $Q_0 = 380 \pm 130$, at the one-sigma confidence level. For a $\beta = 4$ power law, these numbers are 5.2 ± 1.1 km and 370 ± 140 respectively. The errors in fitted parameters are estimated by allowing the normalized light curve to vary randomly up to $\pm 5\%$; this accounts, rather conservatively, relative photometric errors and any potential uncertainties associated with the light curve. Our discussion is not subject to absolute calibration uncertainties, as we are only interested in relative changes. The associated diffraction scale is $r_{\text{dif}} \sim 0.09$ km or $k_{\text{dif}} \sim 70$ km⁻¹; we do not probe such small length scales, suggesting that we have not observed regular diffractive scintillations in the present data.

We can determine the inner cut-off scale by comparing the amplitude of flattening to that of the refractive peak in the power spectrum. For the $\beta = 11/3$ and 4 powerlaws, we determine this cut off to be at $r_c \sim 4.0 \pm 1.5$ km and 4.4 ±1.6 km, respectively. The caustic regime extends from the cut off scale associated with refraction, $r_{\rm cref} \sim 6$ km, to the cut off scale associated with diffraction, $r_{\rm cdif} \sim$ 0.12 km. Following Goodman et al. (1987), the expected flux variations associated with caustic events are given by $(\Delta F/F)^2 \sim 2(r_c/r_{\rm ref})^2 \ln(r_c r_{\rm ref}/r_F^2)$. Based on derived values, we find $(\Delta F/F) \sim 1.9$ which is consistent, though some what higher than, the observed amplitudes of spikes in the light curve.

The presence of caustics can also be verified by inspecting the intensity profile of individual events. In general, individual caustics are expected to show the $1/\sqrt{d}$ behavior in the flux variation with distance, d, as the source moves away from the critical line. In the case of stellar occultation light curves, such a distance dependence is expected in the observer plane such that the intensity varies as $I(d) = I_0 + \Theta(d)a_0d^{-1/2}$, where $\Theta(d)$ is the step function, a_0 is the caustic strength, and $I_0 \sim 0$ is the background flux. This intensity dependence, at Saturn, can be written using the fact that the mapping between the source and observer plane involves $d = r_{\rm cref} (x/r_c)^2$ and $a_0 \sim r_c^2 / r_{\rm cref}^{3/2}$ (Goodman et al. 1987), where x is measured distance in the scatterer plane. This leads to an intensity decrease for high amplitude spikes as $I(x) \sim A_0/x$, where $A_0 \sim r_c^3/r_{\rm cref}^2 \sim 1.9$. In addition to this one-sided drop in flux, caustics are also expected to show the presence of diffraction with an oscillatory pattern superimposed on the intensity variation.

In Fig. 3, we summarize our model descriptions of individual high amplitude spikes. While the expected width of the caustic is a priori known, $\sim (r_{\rm ref} r_F^4/r_c^2)^{1/3}$ (Goodman et al. 1987), we have allowed for smoothing with a Gaussian profile, $\exp(-x^2/2\sigma^2)$, and treated the width as an unknown parameter. Individual caustic curve model fits to spikes are determined by three parameters: the caustic strength A_0 , smoothing width, and the oscillation spacing due to diffraction. From fits to six spikes, we determine $A_0 = 1.8 \pm 0.4$, consistent with the expectation value based on parameters derived from the power spectrum analysis. The smoothing width, σ , is determined to be of order $0.5\pm0.2~\mathrm{km}$ and is consistent with the expected width of order 0.45 km. Note that the caustic width is higher than the size of the star, ~ 0.1 km, based on its spectrum; While Goodman et al. (1997) considered the case of a background point source, in our case with the finite size for the star, the final caustic width is likely to be smoothed by the projected star size at the distance of Saturn.

Note that caustic spikes appear in pairs in the light curve; such a pairing may lead one to conclude the possibility that the occultation, in fact, involves a binary instead of a single star, as was observed in the occultation of β -Scorpii by Jupiter (Elliot et al. 1976). There is one observation that rules out this possibility; in our spikes, we see the alternating right- and left-handed behavior associated with the one-sided decrease in flux. This is naturally associated with caustics.

Before discussing our main results, we note that previous studies on refractive scintillations in occultation light curves have shown evidence for anisotropy in underlying fluctuations such that in Eq. 1, $k^2 = \rho^2 k_x^2 + k_y^2$ with $\rho > 1$ (Narayan & Hubbard 1988). While for the present discussion we ignored the presence of an anisotropic medium, we plan to address this issue as part of a detailed analysis of several light curves of the same occultation event obtained with the Hubble Space Telescope.



FIG. 3.— Individual spikes as labeled in Fig. 1. The model fits, shown as solid lines, are based on caustic descriptions with an oscillation due to diffraction. The pairs, A&B and C&D, show the expected right and left-handed one-sided decrease in flux associated with caustics.

3. DISCUSSION

Among the two processes for strong scintillation, refractive scintillations have been considered in the past as a source of fluctuations in the stellar occultation light curves associated with giant planet atmospheres. The second, diffractive scintillations, was not expected to contribute to intensity fluctuations given the required high resolution to see its effects and the small stellar size required not to average out the diffractive pattern (e.g., Narayan & Hubbard 1988). In the case of the occultation of GSC5249-01240 by Saturn, the projected size of the star was smaller than the Fresnel scale and the relative velocity during the event was of order 0.5 km sec⁻¹. This, in combination, allowed sub-km spatial resolution.

The light curve contains distinct signatures of sharp and high amplitude spikes; such a high number of them has not been observed previously. While we explored the regime below refractive scintillations, the presence of diffractive scintillations require spatial resolutions less than 0.1 km, below what we have probed. The diffractive scintillations are expected to produce flux variations of order 100% at regular intervals. In our case, we see high amplitude spikes at irregular intervals with normalized fluxes well over unity suggesting that the observed phenomenon is not typical.

The spikes, however, can be described through caustics whose presence require an inner cut off to fluctua-

tions in the underlying density power spectrum (Goodman et al. 1987). Such a cut off may also be responsible for ray crossing one needs for the formation of caustics (French & Lovelace 1983). Following the notation of French & Lovelace (1983), the present light curve has an intensity fluctuation strength, A, of 14 and a normalized star size of $d_{\star} = 0.05 r_F$. The strength of intensity fluctuations suggests fractional fluctuations in the refractivity, $\Delta \nu / \bar{\nu}$, of order 4×10^{-3} . If underlying fluctuations are due to gravitational waves, under geometrical arguments, ray crossing is expected when vertical wavelengths are less than $2\pi H/(\Delta \nu/\bar{\nu})^{-2/3} \sim H/6$ or 7 km since $H \sim 40$ km (Cooray et al. 1998) for this region of the atmosphere. This value is the constraint when only a single wave is present in the atmosphere. The case with a spectrum of waves is analogous to that described by Goodman et al. (1987) involving turbulence.

The presence of caustics is aided by several observations that involve the detection of excess power in the power spectrum of intensity fluctuations and the expected flattening of the same power spectrum in the intermediate regime between refractive and diffractive scintillations. The intensity variation in individual spikes is also well described through the caustic description with a modulation due to diffraction. The parameters from the power spectrum are consistent with model descriptions to the spikes aiding the suggestion for the presence of caustics. While we have not investigated in detail, we may have found occasional examples of caustic spikes in previous occultation light curves, such as the "camel" spike of Elliot & Veverka (1976). These, however, do not contain the signature of diffraction fringes as observed in the present occultation.

From our data, we determined the inner scale cut off of the underlying density fluctuation power spectrum to be around 4.5 km. The density fluctuations are generally considered to be caused by processes involving either turbulence or gravity waves (e.g. Elliot & Veverka 1976; Young 1976; French & Lovelace 1983; Narayan & Hubbard 1988). It is likely that the cut off may be associated with viscous dissipation of wave energy. In Cooray et al. (1998), we found an increase in temperature of ~ 15 K between ~ 10 and 1 μ bar of the region probed by this occultation. Using our cut off scale and parameters appropriate for this occultation, we extend the calculation by Roques et al. (1994) on heating by wave decay to estimate an energy transfer rate of ~ 10⁻⁷ ergs cm⁻³ s⁻¹.

The detection of caustics and, for the first time, diffraction fringes in an occultation light curve suggest that we entered a regime which was previously unexplored and unexpected to be present in occultation light curves. We believe that it was a combination of the small velocity, high sampling rate, and the small projected size of the occulted star that allowed us to probe the intermediate regime between refractive and diffractive scintillations for the first time. We suggest events with such favorable conditions be given additional priority for observation.

Acknowledgments: We thank R. Blandford, P. Goldreich, D. Holz, L. Koopmans, and R. Sari for useful discussions and helpful suggestions. We acknowledge support from DOE DE-FG03-92-ER40701 and the Sherman Fairchild Foundation (at Caltech) and NASA Grant NAG5-10444 and NSF AST-0073447 (at MIT).

REFERENCES

- REFERENCES
 Bosh, A. S., McDonald, S. W. 1992, AJ, 103, 983.
 Cooray, A. R., Elliot, J. L., Bosh, A. S., Young, L. A., Shure, M. A. 1998, Icraus, 132, 298.
 Elliot, J. L., Rages, K., Veverka, J. 1976, ApJ, 207, 994.
 Elliot, J. L., Olkin, C. B. 1995, AREPS, 24, 89.
 French, R. G., Lovelace, R. V. E. 1983, Icraus, 56, 122.
 Goodman, J., Narayan, R. 1985, MNRAS, 214, 519.
 Goodman, J. J., Romani, R. W., Blandford, R. D., Narayan, R. 1987, MNRAS, 229, 73.
 Narayan, R., Hubbard, W. B. 1988, ApJ, 325, 503.
 Roques, F. B., Sicardy, R. G., French, W. B. et al. 1994, A&A, 288, 985.
 Young, A. T. 1976, Icarus 27, 335.

- Young, A. T. 1976, Icarus 27, 335.