

NEW CONSTRAINTS ON THE ENERGETICS, PROGENITOR MASS, AND AGE OF THE SUPERNOVA REMNANT G292.0+1.8 CONTAINING PSR J1124-5916

MARJORIE GONZALEZ¹ AND SAMAR SAFI-HARB¹

¹Physics and Astronomy Department, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, MB, R3T 2N2, Canada;
 umgonza4@cc.umanitoba.ca, safi harb@cc.umanitoba.ca.

Accepted for publication in the *Astrophysical Journal Letters*

ABSTRACT

We present spatially resolved spectroscopy of the supernova remnant (SNR) G292.0+1.8 with the *Chandra* X-ray observatory. This composite-type SNR contains the 135 ms pulsar, J1124-5916, recently discovered by Camilo and coworkers. We apply non-equilibrium ionization (NEI) models to fit the ejecta-dominated regions and to identify the blast wave of the supernova explosion. By comparing the derived abundances with those predicted from nucleosynthesis models, we estimate a progenitor mass of 30–40 $M_{\odot}$. We derive the intrinsic parameters of the supernova explosion such as its energy, the age of the SNR, the blast wave velocity, and the swept-up mass. In the Sedov interpretation, our estimated SNR age of $2,600^{+250}_{-200}$ years is close to the pulsar's characteristic age of 2,900 years. This confirms the pulsar/SNR association and relaxes the need for the pulsar to have a non-canonical value for the braking index, a large period at birth or a large transverse velocity. We discuss the properties of the pulsar wind nebula (PWN) in the light of the Kennel and Coroniti model and estimate the pulsar wind magnetization parameter. We also report the first evidence for steepening of the power law spectral index with increasing radius from the pulsar, a result that is expected from synchrotron losses and is reminiscent of Crab-like PWNe.

Subject headings: ISM: individual (G292.0+1.8, MSH 11-54, PSR J1124-5916) — ISM: abundances — supernova remnants — X-rays: ISM

1. INTRODUCTION

Chandra has been advancing our understanding of core-collapse supernovae by revealing their collapsed cores and their outflows, the distribution of supernova ejecta, and their interaction with the interstellar medium (ISM). Increasing the sample of SNRs with a hybrid morphology (i.e. Composite-type SNRs) helps address questions related to the birth properties and evolution of neutron stars and SNRs in the ISM.

G292.0+1.8 (MSH 11-54) offers a unique laboratory to address these questions because of its hybrid morphology, its youth, and its size. This SNR is one of three Oxygen-rich remnants in our Galaxy. It was first discovered in the radio band (Milne 1969; Shaver & Goss 1970), where it exhibits a centrally-filled morphology indicative of the presence of a PWN. *Einstein* and *EXOSAT* X-ray observations classified the remnant as a type-II explosion of a massive star (Hughes & Singh 1994). *Chandra* observations led to the discovery of the long-sought PWN (Hughes et al. 2001; Safi-Harb & Gonzalez 2001) surrounding a candidate pulsar. An imaging study of the SNR with *Chandra* (Safi-Harb & Gonzalez 2001; Park et al. 2002) traced the distribution of the ejecta. The remnant has a morphology similar to Cas A on small scales (Hwang, Holt, & Petre 2001), however, no Fe-K line-emission was detected.

The discovery of the PWN in G292.0+1.8 prompted a deep search for radio pulsations and led to the discovery of a 135 ms pulsar coincident with the *Chandra* X-ray point source (Camilo et al. 2002a). The pulsar has a derived spin-down luminosity $\dot{E}$ of 1.2×10^{37} erg s⁻¹, a surface magnetic dipole field strength of 1×10^{13} G, and a characteristic age of 2,900 yrs. To date, the only estimate of the age of this SNR is from optical studies of the high-velocity material coincident with the PWN (Murdin & Clark 1979). The age of 1,600 years is a factor of 1.8 less than the characteristic age of the pulsar.

In this Letter, we present the first detailed spatially resolved spectroscopy of the *Chandra* observation in order to infer the intrinsic parameters of the supernova explosion and its associ-

ated pulsar and PWN. In §2, we briefly summarize the observation and present our sub-arcminute spatially resolved spectroscopy of the SNR and the embedded PWN. In §3, we discuss our results and infer the energetics of the supernova explosion, the mass of the progenitor, the SNR's age, the pulsar's transverse velocity and the pulsar wind parameter. We also report the first evidence for spectral softening of the power law index of the PWN with increasing distance from the pulsar.

2. SUB-ARCMINUTE SPATIALLY RESOLVED SPECTROSCOPY

G292.0+1.8 was observed with the S3-chip of the Advanced CCD Imaging Spectrometer (ACIS) on board *Chandra* on March 11, 2000, at a CCD temperature of -120°C. We corrected for Charge Transfer Inefficiency (CTI) by applying the *cticorrect* tool to the event 1 raw data (Townsend et al. 2000). A new event 2 file was then obtained by screening the data using standard *Ciao* 2.2 routines. The resulting exposure time was 38 ksec.

2.1. SNR

To perform spatially resolved spectroscopy of the SNR, we selected the 23 bright regions shown in Fig. 1 (left). In this image, the broadband emission from the SNR was divided into soft (0.3–1.15 keV, red), medium (1.15–2.15 keV, green) and hard (2.15–10.0 keV, blue) contributions, each smoothed with a gaussian with $\sigma=1.''5$. The PWN is clearly visible in blue near the center of the SNR. We fitted the spectra of the remnant in the 0.5–8.0 keV range using the non-equilibrium ionization (NEI) model, *vpshock* (Borkowski, Lierly, & Reynolds 2001) in version 11.0.1 of the XSPEC package (Arnaud 1996). This model is appropriate for modeling plane-parallel shocks in young SNRs whose plasma has not reached ionization equilibrium. It is characterized by the shock temperature, kT , and the ionization timescale, $n_e t$, of the plasma. Here, n_e is the post-shock electron density and t is the time since the passage of the shock. t can then be used as a lower estimate on the SNR age.

Since the remnant is ejecta-dominated, we allowed the abundances to vary.

One-component NEI model fits yielded an average temperature for the selected regions of 0.9 ± 0.3 keV, with minimum and maximum values of 0.6 and 1.7 keV, respectively. The ionization timescale varied across the SNR from $\sim 10^{11}$ to 10^{13} cm⁻³ s. These values indicate that the emission from the ejecta contains a wide range of temperatures and ionization states. The highest ionization state values are associated with regions 1, 3, and 9 (see Fig. 1, left), indicating that the plasma has reached ionization equilibrium in the southeast. Relative abundances were well constrained in these models and were used to derive the abundance ratios discussed in §3.2.

We subsequently attempted two-component model fits. This was motivated by the expectation of a high-temperature and a low-temperature plasma associated with the supernova blast wave and reverse shock, respectively (see e.g. Safi-Harb et al. 2000). While the overall fits were slightly improved, the abundances could not be well constrained due to the large number of free parameters. The derived average temperatures for the hot and cool components were 1.05 ± 0.34 keV and 0.37 ± 0.18 keV, respectively. These are lower than $1.64^{+0.3}_{-0.2}$ keV, as reported by Hughes & Singh (1994) from their one-component NEI model fit to the *Einstein* and *EXOSAT* data. The discrepancy is probably due to the contamination by the previously unresolved PWN.

2.2. PWN

Chandra observations of PWNe have shown that 1) PWNe harbor torus-like and jet-like structures indicating equatorial and polar outflows, and 2) the power law photon index steepens away from the pulsar, as expected from synchrotron and expansion losses (see e.g. Safi-Harb 2002 for a review). By examining this PWN, we find evidence of an arcsecond-scale east-west elongation and an extension to the south (see Fig 1, right), hinting at structures associated with the deposition of the pulsar's wind energy into its surroundings. To search for spectral variations, we divided the inner 45'' of the PWN into four concentric rings to obtain enough counts to extract a spectrum. As reported by Hughes et al. (2001), the spectrum of the PWN is heavily contaminated by thermal emission from the SNR. In order to minimize this contamination, we used a nearby region as background and restricted the fit to the 1.5–7.0 keV range, thus reducing the contamination by Oxygen, Neon, and Magnesium ejecta. Using a power-law model, we find that the photon index (Γ) steepens away from the pulsar (see Table 1). This result is expected from synchrotron losses and is reminiscent of Crab-like nebulae (e.g. Safi-Harb et al. 2001).

3. DISCUSSION

3.1. Distance

From our one- and two-component fits, we derive an average value of $N_H = (0.5 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{22}$ cm⁻² for the remnant. We can use this value to verify previous estimates for the distance to G292.0+1.8. The extinction per unit distance in the direction of the remnant can be estimated from the contour diagrams given by Lucke (1978): $E_{B-V}/D \sim 0.2$ mag kpc⁻¹. Using the relation $N_H/A_V = 1.79 \times 10^{21}$ cm⁻² mag⁻¹, which translates into $N_H/E_{B-V} = 5.55 \times 10^{21}$ cm⁻² mag⁻¹ (Predehl & Schmitt 1995), we derive a distance of 3.6–5.5 kpc. A distance of 4.8 kpc, as used in the previous studies, is then a good approximation and is adopted in the following discussion.

3.2. Supernova Parameters

We subsequently use our NEI model fits to derive the supernova parameters. In the standard picture of X-ray emission from young SNRs, the soft component arises from shocked ejecta and the hard component is usually attributed to the blast wave shocking the surrounding medium. Our spatially resolved spectroscopy indicates that most of the regions in the SNR (except for the PWN, the outermost regions and the eastern 'belt'-like filament near the SNR center) are well fitted with a one-component NEI (*vpshock*) model with high metal abundances (with respect to solar), indicating that these knots are the shocked ejecta associated with the explosion of a massive star. Nucleosynthesis yield as a function of the progenitor's mass has been numerically computed by Woosley and Weaver (1995) and several others. In order to derive the progenitor's mass, we use the abundance ratios from our NEI model fits in the 0.5–8.0 keV band to the ejecta-dominated regions. In Fig. 2, we plot the average values for these ratios along with their rms scatter. Over-plotted are the predicted ratios from nucleosynthesis models for progenitors of 25, 30, 35 and 40 M_⊙. We then conclude that the measured abundance ratios are consistent with a progenitor's mass of 30–40 M_⊙.

Regions 20 to 23 selected in our analysis are of special interest (see Fig. 1, left). Region 20 is part of a set of sharp circumferential filaments surrounding the bulk of the ejecta-dominated X-ray emission. Regions 21, 22 and 23 are part of an outer faint ridge of emission delimiting the SNR. We believe that these latter regions indicate the location of the blast wave shocking the surrounding medium for the following reasons: 1) as shown in Table 2, the abundances derived for region 21 using one-component models are consistent with ISM abundances (sub-solar) and are the lowest ones throughout the remnant, while those derived for region 20 are contaminated by ejecta; 2) the outermost emission is absent in the equivalent width maps which reveal the ejecta (Park et al. 2002); and 3) even two-component model fits to regions 21–23 require sub-solar abundances, which is not the case for region 20, where both components require above-solar abundances.

In order to estimate the SNR age, we will adopt our more reliable one-component fits to regions 21–23 in the 0.5–8.0 keV band. The corresponding SNR size would be $r \sim 4.2' = 5.9$ pc (at 4.8 kpc). In the following example, we will use the parameters derived for region 21 shown in Table 2. The observed emission measure (EM) of 0.09 ± 0.02 (after scaling it to the SNR volume) corresponds to: $\int n_e n_H dV = 10^{14} (4\pi D^2) (EM) = (2.5 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{58}$ cm⁻³. If the emission volume $V = fV_{tot}$, where f is the filling factor, we find an upstream density $n_0 = (0.23 \pm 0.03) f^{-1/2}$ cm⁻³. From our fit parameter $n_0 t$, obtained from the *vpshock* model, we find a shock age of $t = (2,500^{+1100}_{-700}) f^{1/2}$ years. Similarly, we derive the parameters for regions 22 and 23 and summarize them in Table 3. For a reasonable filling factor of 0.25, the shock age varies from 1,250–4,450 years. The discrepancy between these ages suggests that the northeastern regions are shocked at earlier times than the western regions, probably due to an inhomogeneous medium. This complication makes the determination of the SNR parameters in this manner uncertain.

In order to estimate the global properties of the SNR independently of the small-scale density variations, we attempted to fit the spectrum of the whole remnant. We found this to be extremely difficult using the entire 0.5–8.0 keV band and various combination models with up to three components.

This difficulty is due to the large number of NEI components needed to account for the variations in temperature and ionization time-scale of the ejecta, as indicated in our spatially resolved spectroscopy described above. However, restricting the fit to the 2.0–8.0 keV range (to remove the contamination from O, Ne, Mg and Si ejecta) and excluding the pulsar and its PWN, we found that a two-component model (*vpshock* + *sedov*) provides an acceptable fit for the overall spectrum with $\chi^2_r(\text{dof})=1.17(197)$; *sedov* is an NEI model that accounts for the hot thermal emission from the SNR interior and is based on the Sedov dynamics (Borkowski et al. 2001). The *vpshock* model was used to characterize the emission from the Sulfur ejecta, while the *sedov* model was used to characterize the blast wave component (abundances of 2/3 solar with equal electron and ion temperatures). In Table 4, we summarize the SNR parameters estimated from using equations (4a-4f) in Hamilton et al. (1983). The derived tabulated value of n_0 is consistent within the various uncertainties with that derived from the observed emission measure. The SNR age, $t(\text{Sedov})=2,400\text{--}2,850$ yrs, is comparable to the average value derived from regions 21–23 above. This age represents a more reliable estimate for the age of the remnant since the contamination from ejecta has been minimized and the effect of an inhomogeneous medium has been averaged. In addition, this age is in close agreement with the pulsar’s characteristic age of 2,900 years. This agreement strengthens the pulsar/SNR association and relaxes the need for invoking a very slow initial spin period or a non-canonical value for the braking index of the pulsar (Camilo et al. 2002a).

3.3. Pulsar Wind Nebula Parameters

As noted by Hughes et al. (2001), the pulsar and its PWN are not located at the geometrical center of the remnant. The offset was attributed to either a high transverse velocity for the pulsar or slower expansion of the SNR toward the south-east. From the offset ($\sim 1'$) they calculate a transverse velocity for the pulsar of $\sim 770(d_{4.8}/t_{1600}) \text{ km s}^{-1}$, where $d_{4.8}$ is the distance in units of 4.8 kpc and t_{1600} is the age of the remnant in units of 1,600 yrs. Using our new estimate of $2,600^{+250}_{-200}$ yrs for the age we obtain a transverse velocity for the pulsar of $470 \pm 40 d_{4.8} \text{ km s}^{-1}$. This value is in excellent agreement with recent estimates of the mean birth velocity of pulsars ($450 \pm 90 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, Lyne & Lorimer 1994). The nearly east–west extension and jet-like structure extending to the south (see Fig. 1, right) could be indicative of a toroidal and collimated outflows reminiscent of Crab-like PWNe.

In the Kennel & Coroniti (1984) model, the synchrotron nebula is produced by the confinement of a relativistic wind injected by the pulsar into its surroundings. The radius of the standing shock, R_s , is estimated by equating the pressure of the pulsar’s wind, $\dot{E}/(4\pi c R_s^2)$, with the pressure in the nebula, $P_n=B_n^2/4\pi$. B_n , the nebular magnetic field, can be approximated from its equipartition value, $B_{n,eq}$. Using the average luminosity and spectral index for the PWN, we estimate a value of $B_{n,eq} \sim 1 \times 10^{-4} \text{ G}$. With the measured $\dot{E}=1.2 \times 10^{37} \text{ ergs s}^{-1}$ (Camilo et al. 2002a), we obtain a shock radius of $R_s \sim 3''$ at 4.8 kpc. Alternatively, we can estimate the shock radius using the thermal pressure in the SNR interior as a confining pressure. This average pressure can be estimated as $2n_e kT \sim 6.9 \times 10^{-9} \text{ erg cm}^{-3}$; which yields a shock radius of $1''$. The inner circle shown in Fig. 1 (right) shows the estimated upper value of R_s . An interesting parameter than can also be derived from *Chandra* studies of PWNe is the pulsar wind magnetization parameter, σ , defined as the ratio of the Poynting flux to the

particles flux, which can be estimated as $\sigma \sim (R_s/R_n)^2$. Using a shock radius $R_s \sim 1''\text{--}3''$ and a nebular radius $R_n \sim 30''\text{--}45''$, we find that $\sigma \sim 5 \times 10^{-4}\text{--}0.01$, indicating a particle dominated wind.

The properties of the PWN can thus be derived from the Kennel & Coroniti model. The value derived for the pulsar wind magnetization parameter in this manner is comparable to that derived for other PWNe of similar properties (Safi-Harb 2002). It is worth noting that the properties of the pulsar and the PWN in G292.0+1.8 are strikingly similar to those of the pulsar discovered in the Crab’s cousin, G54.1+0.2 (Camilo et al. 2002b, Lu et al. 2002). The lack of ejecta and a shell in the latter SNR (and in a handful other plerionic-type SNRs is to a large extent linked to the nature of the progenitor star, the explosion energy, and the environment.

This research made use of NASA’s Astrophysics Data System (ADS), and of archival data obtained from the *Chandra* X-ray Center. We thank G.G. Pavlov for his help at the early stage of this work, and for useful comments on the manuscript. The authors acknowledge support by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC). M.E.G. is a PGS A fellow, and SS-H is a University Faculty Award (UFA) fellow.

REFERENCES

- Arnaud, K.A. 1996, *Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems V*, ASP Conference Series, Vol. 101, 1996, Eds. G.H.Jacoby and J. Barnes, p. 17.
- Borkowski, K.J., Lierly, W.J., & Reynolds, S.P. 2001, *ApJ*, 548, 820
- Camilo, F. et al. 2002a, *ApJ*, 567, L71
- Camilo, F. et al. 2002b, *ApJ*, 574, L71
- Hamilton, A. & J.S. et al. 1983, *ApJS*, 51, 115
- Hughes, J. P., & Singh, K. P. 1994, *ApJ*, 422, 126
- Hughes, J. P. et al. 2001, *ApJ*, 559, L153
- Hwang, U., Holt, S., & Petre, R. 2001, *ApJ*, 537, L110
- Kennel, C. F. & Coroniti, F. V. 1984, *ApJ*, 283, 694
- Lu, F.J. et al. 2002, *ApJ*, 568, L49
- Lucke, P. B. 1978, *A&A*, 64, 367
- Lyne, A. G., & Lorimer, D. R. 1994, *Nature*, 369, L127
- Milne, D. K. 1969, *Australian J. Phys.*, 22, 613
- Murdin, P., & Clark, D. H. 1979, *MNRAS*, 189, 501
- Park, S. et al. 2002, *ApJ*, 564, L39
- Predehl, P., & Schmitt, J. H. M. M. 1995, *A&A*, 293, 889
- Safi-Harb, S. 2002, in *Proceedings of the 4th Microquasar Workshop*, eds. Ph. Durouchoux, Y. Fuchs and J. Rodriguez, published by the Center for Space Physics: Kolkata (in press)
- Safi-Harb, S., & Gonzalez, M. E. 2001, in *High Energy Universe at Sharp Focus: Chandra Science* (St. Paul, ASP Conference Series).
- Safi-Harb, S. et al. 2001, *ApJ*, 561, 308.
- Safi-Harb, S. et al. 2000, *ApJ*, 545, 922.
- Shaver, P. A., & Goss, W. M. 1970, *Australian J. Phys., Astr. Suppl.*, 14, 133
- Townsley, L. K. et al. 2000, *ApJ*, 534, L139
- Woosley, S. E., & Weaver, T. A. 1995, *ApJS*, 101, 181

TABLE 1
STEEPENING OF THE POWER LAW PHOTON INDEX OF THE PWN IN G292.0+1.8^a

Radius	Γ ($\pm 90\%$)	$\chi^2(\text{dof})$
$< 2''$ (pulsar)	1.74 ± 0.10	142 (140)
$2'' - 7''$	2.0 ± 0.10	122 (94)
$7'' - 15''$	2.06 ± 0.11	129 (125)
$15'' - 30''$	2.37 ± 0.10	202 (219)
$30'' - 45''$	3.00 ± 0.20	204 (212)

^a N_H fixed to the best fit value for the pulsar of $0.37 \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}$

TABLE 2
ONE- AND TWO-COMPONENT NEI FITS TO REGIONS 20 AND 21

	Region 20	Region 21
N_H (10^{21} cm^{-2})	4.7 ± 0.2	4.9 ± 0.3
kT (keV)	$0.66^{+0.01}_{-0.03}$	0.87 ± 0.07
$n_e t$ ($\text{cm}^{-3} \text{ s}$)	$(7.5^{+2.4}_{-1.8}) \times 10^{11}$	$(8.7 \pm 2.4) \times 10^{10}$
O ($M_\odot$)	1.1 ± 0.1	$0.38^{+0.04}_{-0.06}$
Ne ($M_\odot$)	0.90 ± 0.06	$0.53^{+0.05}_{-0.06}$
Mg ($M_\odot$)	$0.63^{+0.05}_{-0.06}$	0.23 ± 0.06
Si ($M_\odot$)	0.47 ± 0.06	$0.27^{+0.09}_{-0.08}$
S ($M_\odot$)	1.2 ± 0.3	$0.2^{+0.4}_{-0.2}$
Fe ($M_\odot$)	0.24 ± 0.02	0.16 ± 0.03
norm	$(3.3 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-3}$	$(1.4 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-3}$
χ^2_ν (dof)	1.9 (119)	1.2 (98)
N_H (10^{21} cm^{-2})	6.1 ± 0.03	$6.1^{+0.02}_{-0.01}$
kT_{hot} (keV)	$0.94^{+0.05}_{-0.02}$	$0.95^{+0.20}_{-0.15}$
$n_e t_{\text{hot}}$ ($\text{cm}^{-3} \text{ s}$)	$(3 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{11}$	$(6^{+1.4}_{-1.8}) \times 10^9$
norm _{hot}	$(1.05 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-3}$	$(9.1 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-4}$
kT_{cool} (keV)	0.27 ± 0.02	0.84 ± 0.06
$n_e t_{\text{cool}}$ ($\text{cm}^{-3} \text{ s}$)	$(2.7^{+2.3}_{-1.1}) \times 10^{11}$	$(1.4 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{11}$
norm _{cool}	$(5.1 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$	$(2.8^{+0.3}_{-0.2}) \times 10^{-4}$
χ^2_ν (dof)	1.6 (116)	1.0 (95)

TABLE 3
DERIVED SNR PARAMETERS FROM REGIONS 21, 22 AND 23

	Region 21	Region 22	Region 23
Ionization timescale, $n_e t$ ($\text{cm}^{-3} \text{ s}$)	$(8.7 \pm 2.4) \times 10^{10}$	$(2.1 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{11}$	$(3.1^{+1.2}_{-0.6}) \times 10^{11}$
$\int n_e n_H dV$ (cm^{-3})	$(2.5 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{58}$	$(3.9 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{58}$	$(2.5 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{58}$
Ambient density, n_0 ($f^{-1/2} \text{ cm}^{-3}$)	0.23 ± 0.03	0.29 ± 0.4	0.23 ± 0.04
Swept-up mass, M_s ($f^{-1/2} M_\odot$)	6.7 ± 0.8	8.3 ± 0.9	6.7 ± 1.1
Lower age limit, t ($f^{1/2}$ years)	$2,500^{+1,100}_{-7,00}$	$4,900^{+2,100}_{-1,500}$	$8,900^{+5,700}_{-2,800}$

TABLE 4
EXPLOSION PARAMETERS FROM *sedov* FIT TO HARD COMPONENT OF THE OVERALL SPECTRUM

Parameter	Value ($\pm 1\sigma$)
Emission Measure, EM	$0.166^{+0.006}_{-0.008}$
Shock temperature, kT_s (keV)	0.95 ± 0.15
Ionization timescale, $n_e t$ ($\text{cm}^{-3} \text{ s}$)	$(2.0^{+0.4}_{-0.3}) \times 10^{11}$
Shock velocity, v_s (km s^{-1})	880 ± 70
Age, t (yrs)	$2,600^{+250}_{-200}$
Ambient density, n_0 (cm^{-3})	$0.51^{+0.15}_{-0.11}$
Explosion energy, E_0 (10^{51} ergs)	$0.18^{+0.08}_{-0.06}$
Swept-up mass, M_s ($M_\odot$)	$15.6^{+4.5}_{-3.5}$




FIG. 1.— Left: combined broadband image of G292.0+1.8; individual images were used from the soft (0.3–1.15 keV, red), medium (1.15–2.15 keV, green) and hard (2.15–10.0 keV, blue) bands each smoothed with a gaussian with $\sigma=1''.5$. Superimposed are the regions used for our spatially resolved spectroscopy. Right: 2.6–10.0 keV false color image of the PWN surrounding PSR J1124-5916. The image was smoothed using a gaussian with $\sigma = 0''.25$. The inner circle is at a radius of $3''$ (R_s) and the outer one is at $45''$ (R_n).




FIG. 2.— Average abundance ratios (filled squares) and their rms scatter measured throughout the remnant. Predicted ratios from nucleosynthesis models are also shown.