

# Virtual Contraction Approach to Decentralized Adaptive Stabilization of Nonlinear Time-Delayed Networks

Yu Kawano and Zhiyong Sun

**Abstract**—In this paper, we utilize a diagonally dominant structure for the decentralized stabilization of unknown nonlinear time-delayed networks. Generalizing the idea of virtual contraction analysis to time-delayed systems, we demonstrate that nonlinear time-delayed networks can be stabilized by diagonal high-gains if the input matrices possess certain generalized (column/row) diagonally dominant properties. To achieve stabilization of unknown networks, we further propose a distributed adaptive tuning rule for each individual gain function, ensuring that all closed-loop trajectories converge to the origin. The effectiveness of the proposed decentralized adaptive control is verified in a case study on epidemic spreading control in SIS networks with transmission delays.

**Index Terms**—Nonlinear uncertain systems, time-delayed networks, diagonal dominance, decentralized stabilization, adaptive high-gain stabilization, contraction

## I. INTRODUCTION

In stabilizing an unknown plant, one approach is to incrementally increase a feedback gain until the closed-loop system becomes stable. This process, known as the *high-gain adaptive stabilization* problem, involves the challenge of identifying a suitable gain. While a substantial body of research exists on this topic, these studies primarily focus on single plants. Recently, the paper [1] has studied decentralized high-gain adaptive stabilization for linear networks and demonstrated that a diagonally dominant structure is crucial when each local plant tunes its local gain based on its state information only. Following this line of research, we investigate decentralized high-gain adaptive stabilization for nonlinear time-delayed networks.

*Literature Review:* For adaptive stabilization, there are plenty of researches in the literature including studies on adaptive control of nonlinear systems with a scalar high-gain function [2]; adaptive stabilization of linearizable systems [3]; adaptive stabilization of nonlinear systems with a scalar adaptive gain function [4]; adaptive tracking of nonlinear systems [5]; global adaptive stabilization with cascaded nonlinear systems [6]; adaptive nonlinear regulation [7]; and adaptive designated-time stabilization of triangular nonlinear systems [8]. Also, adaptive (high-gain) stabilization of nonlinear systems is closely related to passivity properties, as investigated by [9], and explored in works on feedback passive systems [10] and passivity-based adaptive stabilization [11]. We emphasize that these all mentioned papers focus on adaptive stabilization with scalar gain functions (i.e., a centralized adaptive scheme).

As aforementioned, the paper [1] has studied decentralized adaptive stabilization of linear networks, identifying that a key structural property is diagonal dominance. This aligns with the well-known fact that diagonal dominance properties enable scalable stability analysis of positive linear or monotone nonlinear systems [12]–[21].

The work of Yu Kawano was partially supported by JST FOREST Program Grant Number JPMJFR222E and JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP24K00910.

Yu Kawano is with Graduate School of Advanced Science and Engineering, Hiroshima University, Higashi-Hiroshima 739-8527, Japan (e-mail: ykawano@hiroshima-u.ac.jp).

Zhiyong Sun is with State Key Laboratory for Turbulence and Complex Systems, Department of Mechanics and Engineering Science, College of Engineering, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China (e-mail: zhiyong.sun@pku.edu.cn).

In the linear case, even with time delays, such results have been generalized to general linear systems (including positive systems) by taking component-wise absolute values of system matrices [22], [23]. Recent work on delay adaptive control has focused mostly on linear systems, as studied in the monograph [24] by Zhu and Krstic. This diagonal dominance-based idea has been exploited in our preliminary version [25] to stability analysis of nonlinear delay-free systems, aiming at decentralized adaptive stabilization of nonlinear delay-free networks, based on virtual contraction techniques [18], [26]–[28]. However, adaptive stabilization of nonlinear time-delayed systems utilizing diagonal dominance properties is still missing, and its application on decentralized stabilization of nonlinear time-delayed networks still remains an open problem.

*Contribution:* In this paper, we study decentralized high-gain adaptive stabilization of nonlinear time-delayed networks from the viewpoint of diagonal dominance. To this end, we first generalize virtual contraction analysis to time-delayed systems and establish sufficient conditions for global uniform asymptotic stability of a nonlinear time-delayed system, which employs the diagonal dominance property by taking the component-wise absolute values of some Jacobian matrix. These conditions can be viewed as natural extensions of those previously derived conditions for *linear* time-delayed systems in the classical work [22] by Lewis and Anderson. Utilizing one of them, we show that a *nonlinear* time-delayed network with a diagonally dominant input matrix can be stabilized by selecting a gain of each node sufficiently large. In situations where system parameters are unknown, determining an appropriate gain amplitude for stabilization is very challenging, if not impossible. Therefore, we propose an automatic tuning rule for each gain, ensuring that all closed-loop trajectories converge to the origin without knowing system parameters. This constitutes a major contribution on the decentralized adaptive stabilization of nonlinear time-delayed networks. Additionally, we address the related dual problem arising in the context of decentralized output feedback control.

The contribution of this paper is summarized as follows:

- By generalizing virtual contraction analysis to time-delayed systems, we provide novel stability conditions for nonlinear time-delayed systems in terms of diagonal dominance;
- For a nonlinear time-delayed network with a diagonally dominant input matrix, we propose a distributed automatic tuning rule for each local gain that ensures that all closed-loop trajectories converge to the origin, thus advancing the theory of decentralized adaptive stabilization for time-delayed uncertain systems.

*Organization:* The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section II, we mention the studied problem of decentralized high-gain stabilization. In Section III, as a preliminary step, we study stability of nonlinear time-delayed systems in terms of diagonal dominance. In Section IV, as the main result, we provide an adaptive tuning rule for decentralized high-gain stabilization of a nonlinear time-delayed network with a diagonally dominant input matrix.

*Notation:* Let  $\mathbb{R}$  denote the field of real numbers. For a vector, its Euclidean norm is denoted by  $|\cdot|$ . Let  $\mathcal{C} := C([-T_d, 0], \mathbb{R}^n)$  denote the Banach space of continuous functions mapping the interval

$[-T_d, 0]$  into  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with the topology of uniform convergence. For  $\phi \in \mathcal{C}$ , define its norm by  $\|\phi\|_{\mathcal{C}} := \sup_{\theta \in [-T_d, 0]} |\phi(\theta)|$ . For any  $T > 0$  and  $x \in C([-T_d, T], \mathbb{R}^n)$ , let  $x_t \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$  denote a segment of the function  $x$  defined by  $x_t(\theta) = x(t + \theta)$ ,  $\theta \in [-T_d, 0]$ . The  $n \times n$  identity matrix is denoted by  $I_n$ . For vectors  $v, u \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we write  $v \leq u$  (resp.  $v < u$ ) if and only if  $v_i \leq u_i$  (resp.  $u_i < v_i$ ) for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

## II. PROBLEM FORMULATION

In this paper, we are interested in generalizing results [1] on decentralized adaptive stabilization for linear network systems to nonlinear time-delayed networks, described by

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}(t) &= f(t, x(t), x(t - T_d)) + B(t, x(t), x(t - T_d))u(t), \quad t \geq \sigma \\ x(t + \sigma) &= \phi(t), \quad t \in [-T_d, 0], \end{aligned}$$

where  $\sigma, T_d > 0$ , and  $\|\phi\|_{\mathcal{C}}$  is bounded;  $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is continuous and continuously differentiable in the second and third arguments and satisfies  $f(t, 0, 0) = 0$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ;  $B : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is continuous and locally Lipschitz in the second and third arguments. The matrix  $B$  represents the coupling term and decentralized control in network systems.

By abuse of notation, each  $x_i \in \mathbb{R}$  denotes the state of node  $i$ . As a control problem, we consider the scenario where each node designs its control input  $u_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  only based on its *local* information  $x_i$  by  $u_i = k_i(t)x_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  for decentralized stabilization, whereas  $f$  and  $B$  are unknown. Utilizing diagonal gain matrix  $K(t) := \text{diag}\{k_1(t), \dots, k_n(t)\}$ , the closed-loop system can be represented as

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}(t) &= f(t, x(t), x(t - T_d)) \\ &\quad + B(t, x(t), x(t - T_d))K(t)x(t), \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where  $K : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is continuous w.r.t. time. Our main objective is as follows.

**Problem 2.1:** For the time-delayed network (1) with unknown  $f$  and  $B$ , design a diagonal gain matrix  $K$  such that all closed-loop trajectories converge to the origin.  $\triangleleft$

In the linear delay-free case, it has been shown by [1, Theorem 4] that Problem 2.1 has a solution if the coupling matrix  $B$  possesses a kind of diagonally dominant properties. We investigate its extension to nonlinear time-delayed networks.

## III. AUXILIARY STABILITY ANALYSIS

To generalize results of the linear delay-free case [1, Theorem 4], we in this section study stability of nonlinear time-delayed systems in terms of diagonal dominance. Diagonal dominance properties have been utilized for stability analysis in a variety of systems, particularly for positive and monotone systems, e.g., [12], [13], [15]–[20], which has the root in system contraction theory [29]. A similar approach has been investigated for general linear time-delayed systems [22], [23] by taking the component-wise absolute value of the system matrix. Inspired by this, we derive two stability conditions based on diagonally dominant types properties for nonlinear time-delayed networks.

In this section, we proceed with stability analysis of a closed system, described by

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= f(t, x(t), x(t - T_d)), \quad t \geq \sigma \\ x(t + \sigma) &= \phi(t), \quad t \in [-T_d, 0], \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where we recall that  $f(t, 0, 0) = 0$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

We consider the following standard stability properties [30, Definition 1.1].

**Definition 3.1:** The solution  $x = 0$  of the system (2) is said to be

- *uniformly stable* if for any  $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that

$$\|\phi\|_{\mathcal{C}} \leq \delta \implies \|x_t\|_{\mathcal{C}} \leq \varepsilon, \quad \forall t \geq \sigma;$$

- *uniformly asymptotically stable (UAS)* if it is uniformly stable, and there exists  $\delta_0 > 0$  such that for every  $\eta > 0$ , there exists  $t_0 = t_0(\delta_0, \eta)$  such that

$$\|\phi\|_{\mathcal{C}} \leq \delta_0 \implies \|x_t\|_{\mathcal{C}} \leq \eta, \quad \forall t \geq \sigma + t_0$$

for every  $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ ;

- *globally uniformly asymptotically stable (GUAS)* if it is uniformly stable, and  $\delta_0$  can be made arbitrary large.  $\triangleleft$

To analyze GUAS, we generalize a technique of virtual contraction analysis [18], [26]–[28] to time-delayed systems. Let us introduce  $g : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  such that

$$g(t, x, y, x, y) = f(t, x, y) \quad (3a)$$

$$g(t, x, y, 0, 0) = 0 \quad (3b)$$

for all  $(t, x, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ , where  $g(t, x, y, \xi, \eta)$  is continuous, locally Lipschitz in  $x$  and  $y$ , and continuously differentiable in  $\xi$  and  $\eta$ . Then,  $f$  can be represented by

$$\begin{aligned} f(t, x, y) &= g(t, x, y, x, y) \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{dg(t, x, y, sx, sy)}{ds} ds \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{\partial g(t, x, y, \xi, \eta)}{\partial \xi} \Big|_{(\xi, \eta) = (sx, sy)} x ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 \frac{\partial g(t, x, y, \xi, \eta)}{\partial \eta} \Big|_{(\xi, \eta) = (sx, sy)} y ds. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Utilizing  $g$ , we derive diagonally dominant types of stability conditions as follows.

**Theorem 3.2:** For a system (2), suppose that there exist  $a \geq 0$  and  $g : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  satisfying (3) and

$$\left| \frac{\partial g_i(t, x, y, \xi, \eta)}{\partial \eta_j} \right| \leq a, \quad \forall i, j = 1, \dots, n \quad (5)$$

for all  $(t, x, y, \xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ . The solution  $x = 0$  to the system is GUAS if either of the following two conditions holds:

- I) there exist  $c > 0$  and  $0 < v \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} v_j \left( a + \frac{\partial g_j(t, x, y, \xi, \eta)}{\partial \xi_j} \right) \\ + \sum_{i \neq j} v_i \left( a + \left| \frac{\partial g_i(t, x, y, \xi, \eta)}{\partial \xi_j} \right| \right) \leq -cv_j \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

for all  $j = 1, \dots, n$  and  $(t, x, y, \xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ ;

- II) there exist  $c > 0$  and  $0 < w \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \left( a + \frac{\partial g_i(t, x, y, \xi, \eta)}{\partial \xi_i} \right) w_i \\ + \sum_{j \neq i} \left( a + \left| \frac{\partial g_i(t, x, y, \xi, \eta)}{\partial \xi_j} \right| \right) w_j \leq -cw_i \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $(t, x, y, \xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ .

*Proof:* The proof is in Appendix A.  $\blacksquare$

In Theorem 3.2, the conditions in items I) and II) can be understood as generalized diagonally-dominant type conditions of the Jacobian matrix  $\partial g(t, x, y, \xi, \eta) / \partial \xi$ . The difference is that items I) and II) are with respect to the column and row sums, respectively, which correspond to the 1-norm and infinity-norm of relevant Lyapunov vector functions in virtual contraction analysis.

#### IV. DECENTRALIZED ADAPTIVE STABILIZATION

In this section, we apply item I) of Theorem 3.2 to solve Problem 2.1. We first show that if  $B$  possesses a kind of diagonally dominant properties then there exist sufficiently large  $k_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , rendering the closed-loop system (1) to be GUAS. Then, we provide an automatic tuning rule of each local gain  $k_i$  for solving Problem 2.1, only utilizing its local information  $x_i$ . After that, we address the dual problem of Problem 2.1 based on item II) of Theorem 3.2.

##### A. Main Results

We first characterize a class of the matrix  $B$  for which the system (1) can be made GUAS by selecting  $k_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  sufficiently large, stated below in the first main result of this paper.

*Theorem 4.1:* Suppose that the nonlinear time-delayed network system (1) satisfies the following two conditions:

- I)  $\partial f(t, x, y)/\partial x$ ,  $\partial f(t, x, y)/\partial y$ , and  $B(t, x, y)$  are bounded on  $(t, x, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ ;
- II) there exist  $c > 0$  and  $0 < v \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that

$$v_j B_{j,j}(t, x, y) + \sum_{i \neq j} v_i |B_{i,j}(t, x, y)| \leq -cv_j$$

for all  $j = 1, \dots, n$  and  $(t, x, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Then, there exist  $k_i > 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  such that the solution  $x = 0$  to the system is GUAS.

*Proof:* The proof is in Appendix B.  $\blacksquare$

Theorem 4.1 shows that nonlinear delayed networks can be stabilized by selecting each local gain  $k_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  sufficiently large. However, their lower bounds are difficult or impossible to estimate if  $f$  and  $B$  are unknown. To resolve this issue, we provide an *adaptive* tuning rule of each decentralized gain  $k_i$ , stated as the second main result of this paper.

*Theorem 4.2:* Suppose that the uncertain time-delayed system (1) satisfies items I) and II) of Theorem 4.1. We implement the following update rule for each local gain  $k_i(t)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ :

$$\dot{k}_i = \min\{a_i, b_i |x_i|\}, \quad t \geq \sigma, \quad (8)$$

where  $a_i, b_i > 0$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Then, the following statements hold for all initial conditions  $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\phi \in \mathcal{C}$ , and  $k_i(\sigma) \geq 0$  and for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ :

- I)  $x_i(t)$  and  $k_i(t)$  uniquely exist for all  $\sigma \leq t \in \mathbb{R}$ ;
- II)  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |x_i(t)| = 0$ ;
- III) there exists  $k_i^\infty > 0$  such that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} k_i(t) = k_i^\infty$ .

*Proof:* The proof is in Appendix C.  $\blacksquare$

*Remark 4.3:* The statement of Theorem 4.2 holds also for a *time-dependent* delay  $\tau(t)$  for which there exists  $\hat{T}_d < 1$  such that  $\tau(t) \in [0, T_d]$  and  $\dot{\tau}(t) \in [0, \hat{T}_d]$  for all  $t \geq \sigma$ . Moreover, Theorem 4.2 can be generalized to the case where the nonlinear uncertain system (1) contains multiple time-dependent delays by a minor modification.  $\triangleleft$

##### B. Dual Problems

In the linear case [1], decentralized adaptive stabilization has been also studied in the context of *output* feedback. Its nonlinear generalization is

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}(t) &= f(t, x(t), x(t - T_d)) + K(t)H(t, x(t), x(t - T_d)), \quad t \geq \sigma \\ x(t + \sigma) &= \phi(t), \quad t \in [-T_d, 0], \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where  $H : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is continuous w.r.t. time and continuously differentiable in the second and third arguments such that  $H(t, 0, 0) = 0$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then, the origin is an equilibrium point.

Applying item II) of Theorem 3.2, we obtain the counterpart of Theorem 4.1 for high-gain decentralized stabilization.

*Theorem 4.4:* Suppose that the nonlinear uncertain network system (9) satisfies the following two conditions:

- I)  $\partial f(t, x, y)/\partial x$ ,  $\partial f(t, x, y)/\partial y$ ,  $\partial H(t, x, y)/\partial x$ , and  $\partial H(t, x, y)/\partial y$  are bounded on  $(t, x, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ ;
- II) there exist  $c > 0$  and  $0 < w \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that

$$\frac{\partial H_i(t, x, y)}{\partial x_i} w_i + \sum_{j \neq i} \left| \frac{\partial H_i(t, x, y)}{\partial x_j} \right| w_j \leq -cw_i$$

for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $(t, x, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Then, there exist local gains  $k_i > 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  such that the solution  $x = 0$  to the closed-loop system is GUAS.

*Proof:* The proof is in Appendix D.  $\blacksquare$

We also obtain the counterpart of Theorem 4.2 for high-gain decentralized adaptive stabilization as follows.

*Theorem 4.5:* Suppose that the nonlinear uncertain network system (9) satisfies items I) and II) of Theorem 4.4. We implement the update rule (8) for  $k_i(t)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Then, items I) – III) of Theorem 4.2 hold for all initial conditions  $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\phi \in \mathcal{C}$ , and  $k_i(\sigma) \geq 0$  and for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

*Proof:* The proof is similar to that of Theorem 4.2, and thus is omitted.  $\blacksquare$

*Remark 4.6:* The statement of Theorem 4.5 holds also for time-dependent delay  $\tau(t)$  such that  $\tau : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t - \tau(t) = \infty$ , by following the condition in [15]. Moreover, Theorem 4.5 can be generalized to the case where the nonlinear uncertain system (9) contains multiple time-dependent delays by a minor modification.  $\triangleleft$

#### V. EXAMPLE ON DECENTRALIZED CONTROL OF ELIMINATING EPIDEMIC SPREADING IN NETWORKS

Recent years have witnessed increasing attention on the decentralized control of eliminating epidemic spreading in networks [31]. In this study, we will apply the developed decentralized adaptive approach for stabilization control of time-delayed epidemic network systems. Consider a network of the Susceptible-Infected-Susceptible (SIS) model [32] with delays, given by

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_i(t) &= (1 - x_i(t)) \sum_{j=1}^n c_{i,j} x_j(t - T_d) - k_i x_i(t), \quad t \geq 0 \\ x_i(t) &= \phi_i(t), \quad t \in [-T_d, 0], \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where  $x_i(t) \in [0, 1]$  denotes the fraction of infected individuals in population  $i$  at time  $t \geq 0$ , and  $T_d \geq 0$  represents the epidemic transmission delays. Also,  $c_{i,j} \geq 0$ ,  $i, j = 1, \dots, n$  denotes the rate at which the infected individuals in population  $j$  can transmit the disease to the susceptibles in population  $i$ .

In the recent work [31], decentralised adaptive-gain control is developed for delay-free epidemic networks. In this section, we apply Theorem 4.2 for adaptive stabilization to tune the recovery parameter  $k_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  in each population  $i$  such that the epidemic disappears in network SIS systems with transmission delays. Note that the trajectory  $x(t)$  stays in  $[0, 1]^n$  for any  $t \geq 0$  and initial condition  $\phi(t) \in [0, 1]^n$ ,  $t \in [-T_d, 0]$ . On the bounded set  $[0, 1]^n$ , item I) of Theorem 4.1 automatically holds. Moreover, item II) holds because  $B$  in the representation (1) is  $B := -I_n$  in this case. Therefore, by implementing decentralized adaptive tuning rule (8), the state trajectories converge to the origin. Moreover, each gain converges to the finite value.

To conduct numerical simulation, we select the time delay as  $T_d = 50$ . For the network topology, we consider a scale-free network




Fig. 1. Network topology with 200 nodes in an SIS network model




Fig. 2. Time response of the SIS network with decentralized adaptive control law, where all trajectories converge to zero.

with  $n = 200$  nodes and 5 edges per each node. Figure 1 shows the network topology, where  $c_{i,j} = 1$  if there is a path from node  $j$  to node  $i$ ; otherwise  $c_{i,j} = 0$ . Regarding the controller parameters, we select  $a_i = b_i = 1$  and  $k_i(0) = 0$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . As confirmed by Figs. 2 and 3, we achieve decentralized adaptive stabilization, and each gain converges to a finite value. To illustrate the utility of the proposed tuning rule, Figure 4 shows the system trajectory when constant local gains  $k_i(t) = 20$  for all  $t \geq 0$  and  $i = 1, \dots, n$  are applied. In this case, some trajectories do not converge to zero, indicating that the epidemic spreading in networks cannot be eliminated by the conventional decentralized control.

## VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have studied decentralized adaptive stabilization for unknown nonlinear time-delayed networks with diagonally dominant input matrices. First, we have generalized diagonally dominant-type stability conditions from positive linear systems to nonlinear time-delayed systems which are not required to be positive or monotone. In this way, we have contributed to the development of virtual contraction analysis to time-delayed systems. Based on the column diagonally dominant condition, we have clarified the structure of diagonally dominant input matrices, enabling the stabilization of nonlinear time-delayed networks using decentralized high-gain control. Additionally, we have provided an automatic tuning rule for




Fig. 3. Time response of the decentralized adaptive gains




Fig. 4. Time response of the SIS network when  $k_i = 20$ , where certain trajectories do not converge to zero.

each gain in a decentralized manner, without the need for any system parameter knowledge. Furthermore, we have investigated the dual of decentralized adaptive stabilization by utilizing the row diagonally dominant condition. The proposed decentralized adaptive control method has been applied for eliminating epidemic spreading in SIS networks with transmission delays.

## APPENDIX A PROOF OF THEOREM 3.2

(item I) Consider the following Lyapunov function candidate

$$V_s(x(t)) := \sum_{i=1}^n v_i \left( |x_i(t)| + a \int_{t-h}^t \sum_{j=1}^n |x_j(\theta)| d\theta \right). \quad (11)$$

From (4), the upper right Dini derivative of  $V_s(x)$  along the trajectory of the time-delayed nonlinear system (1), denoted by  $D^+V_s$ , satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} D^+V_s(x(t)) &= \sum_{i=1}^n v_i \frac{x_i(t)}{|x_i(t)|} f_i(t, x(t), x(t-h)) \\ &\quad + a \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n v_i (|x_j(t)| - |x_j(t-h)|) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n v_i \frac{x_i(t)}{|x_i(t)|} \\ &\quad \times \int_0^1 \left( \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial \xi_j} x_j(t) + \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial \eta_j} x_j(t-h) \right) \Big|_{(\xi, \eta) = (sx(t), sx(t-h))} ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + a \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n v_i (|x_j(t)| - |x_j(t-h)|) \\
= & \int_0^1 \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n v_i \frac{x_i(t)}{|x_i(t)|} \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial \xi_j} \Big|_{(\xi, \eta) = (sx(t), sx(t-h))} x_j(t) ds \\
& + \int_0^1 \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n v_i \frac{x_i(t)}{|x_i(t)|} \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial \eta_j} \Big|_{(\xi, \eta) = (sx(t), sx(t-h))} x_j(t-h) ds \\
& + a \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n v_i (|x_j(t)| - |x_j(t-h)|),
\end{aligned}$$

where the arguments  $(t, x(t), x(t-h), \xi, \eta)$  of  $g_i$  are dropped for the notational simplicity. Also, it follows from  $a v_i |x_j(t)| = \int_0^1 a v_i |x_j(t)| ds$  that

$$\begin{aligned}
D^+ V_s(x(t)) & = \int_0^1 \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \left( v_i \frac{x_i(t)}{|x_i(t)|} \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial \xi_j} \Big|_{(\xi, \eta) = (sx(t), sx(t-h))} x_j(t) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + a v_i |x_j(t)| \right) ds \\
& + \int_0^1 \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n v_i \frac{x_i(t)}{|x_i(t)|} \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial \eta_j} \Big|_{(\xi, \eta) = (sx(t), sx(t-h))} x_j(t-h) ds \\
& - a \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n v_i |x_j(t-h)|.
\end{aligned}$$

Define

$$A_j := \sum_{i=1}^n v_i \left( \frac{x_i(t)}{|x_i(t)|} \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial \xi_j} \Big|_{(\xi, \eta) = (sx(t), sx(t-h))} x_j(t) + a |x_j(t)| \right)$$

and

$$B := \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n v_i \frac{x_i(t)}{|x_i(t)|} \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial \eta_j} \Big|_{(\xi, \eta) = (sx(t), sx(t-h))} x_j(t-h).$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
D^+ V_s(x(t)) & = \int_0^1 \sum_{j=1}^n A_j ds + \int_0^1 B ds \\
& - a \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n v_i |x_j(t-h)|. \tag{12}
\end{aligned}$$

From (6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
A_j & = \left( v_j \left( a + \frac{\partial g_j}{\partial \xi_j} \right) |x_j| + \sum_{i \neq j} v_i \left( a |x_j| + \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial \xi_j} \frac{x_i x_j}{|x_i|} \right) \right) \\
& \leq \left( v_j \left( a + \frac{\partial g_j}{\partial \xi_j} \right) + \sum_{i \neq j} v_i \left( a + \left| \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial \xi_j} \right| \right) \right) |x_j| \\
& \leq -c v_j |x_j|.
\end{aligned}$$

Also, from (5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
B & \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n v_i \frac{|x_i(t)|}{|x_i(t)|} \left| \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial \eta_j} \Big|_{(\xi, \eta) = (sx(t), sx(t-h))} \right| |x_j(t-h)| \\
& \leq a \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n v_i |x_j(t-h)|.
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting them into (12) leads to

$$D^+ V_s(x(t))$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \leq - \int_0^1 \sum_{j=1}^n c v_j |x_j| ds + \int_0^1 a \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n v_i |x_j(t-h)| ds \\
& - a \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n v_i |x_j(t-h)| \\
& = - \sum_{j=1}^n c v_j |x_j| \\
& + a \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n v_i |x_j(t-h)| - a \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n v_i |x_j(t-h)| \\
& = - \sum_{i=1}^n c v_i |x_i|.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lyapunov-Krasovskii Theorem, e.g. [33, Theorem 1], the solution  $x = 0$  is GUAS.

(item II) Define

$$V_m(x) := \max_{i=1, \dots, n} \left\{ \frac{|x_i|}{w_i} \right\}. \tag{13}$$

For this function, we define the following set of the indexes:

$$I(x) := \left\{ i = 1, \dots, n : \frac{|x_i|}{w_i} = V_m(x) \right\}.$$

From its definition, we have

$$\frac{|x_j|}{w_j} \leq \frac{|x_i|}{w_i} \iff |x_j| \leq \frac{w_j}{w_i} |x_i| \tag{14}$$

for all  $j = 1, \dots, n$  and  $i \in I(x)$ .

It follows from (4) that

$$\begin{aligned}
D^+ V_m(x(t)) & = \max_{i \in I(x(t))} \frac{x_i(t)}{w_i |x_i(t)|} f_i(t, x(t), x(t-h)) \\
& = \max_{i \in I(x(t))} \frac{x_i(t)}{w_i |x_i(t)|} \int_0^1 \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial \xi_j} x_j(t) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial \eta_j} x_j(t-h) \right) \Big|_{(\xi, \eta) = (sx(t), sx(t-h))} ds,
\end{aligned}$$

where the arguments  $(t, x(t), x(t-h), \xi, \eta)$  of  $g_i$  is dropped for the notational simplicity. For the most right-hand side, it follows from (7) and (14) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \max_{i \in I(x)} \frac{x_i}{w_i |x_i|} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial \xi_j} x_j \\
& = \max_{i \in I(x)} \frac{x_i}{w_i |x_i|} \left( \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial \xi_i} x_i + \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial \xi_j} x_j \right) \\
& = \max_{i \in I(x)} \frac{1}{w_i} \left( \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial \xi_i} |x_i| + \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial \xi_j} \frac{x_j x_i}{|x_i|} \right) \\
& \leq \max_{i \in I(x)} \frac{1}{w_i} \left( \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial \xi_i} |x_i| + \sum_{j \neq i} \left| \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial \xi_j} \right| |x_j| \right) \\
& \leq \max_{i \in I(x)} \frac{|x_i|}{w_i^2} \left( \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial \xi_i} w_i + \sum_{j \neq i} \left| \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial \xi_j} \right| w_j \right) \\
& \leq -c V_m(x) - \max_{i \in I(x)} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{a w_j}{w_i} V_m(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Also, from (5), we obtain

$$\max_{i \in I(x(t))} \frac{x_i(t)}{w_i |x_i(t)|} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial \eta_j} x_j(t-h)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \max_{i \in I(x(t))} \frac{|x_i(t)|}{w_i |x_i(t)|} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial \eta_j} \right| |x_j(t-h)| \\
&\leq \max_{i \in I(x(t))} \frac{a}{w_i} \sum_{j=1}^n |x_j(t-h)| \\
&\leq \max_{i \in I(x(t))} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{aw_j}{w_i} V_m(x(t-h)).
\end{aligned}$$

In summary, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&D^+ V_m(x(t)) \\
&\leq -c V_m(x(t)) \\
&+ \max_{i \in I(x)} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{aw_j}{w_i} (V_m(x(t-h)) - V_m(x(t))).
\end{aligned}$$

To apply Lyapunov-Razumikhin Theorem, e.g. [33, Theorem 2], suppose that  $V_m(x(t-h)) \leq (1+\varepsilon)V_m(x(t))$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
D^+ V_m(x(t)) &\leq -c V_m(x(t)) + \varepsilon \max_{i \in I(x)} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{aw_j}{w_i} V_m(x(t)) \\
&= \left( -c + \varepsilon \max_{i \in I(x)} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{aw_j}{w_i} \right) V_m(x(t)).
\end{aligned}$$

For  $\varepsilon > 0$  satisfying  $\varepsilon < c / (\max_{i \in I(x)} \sum_{j=1}^n aw_j / w_i)$ , we have  $\bar{c} := c - \varepsilon \max_{i \in I(x)} \sum_{j=1}^n aw_j / w_i > 0$ . Therefore, we obtain

$$D^+ V_m(x(t)) \leq -\bar{c} V_m(x(t)).$$

By Lyapunov-Razumikhin Theorem, the solution  $x = 0$  is GUAS. ■

#### APPENDIX B PROOF OF THEOREM 4.1

For the system, we choose  $g$  as

$$g(t, x, y, \xi, \eta) = f(t, \xi, \eta) + B(t, x, y)K\xi, \quad (15)$$

which satisfies (3). For sufficiently large  $k_i > 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , we show that  $g$  satisfies (6). Then, the statement of this theorem follows from item I) of Theorem 3.2.

First, from item I) of this theorem, there exists  $a \geq 0$  such that the condition of (5) and the following inequality hold

$$\begin{aligned}
v_j \frac{\partial f_j(t, \xi, \eta)}{\partial \xi_j} + \sum_{i \neq j} v_i \left| \frac{\partial f_i(t, \xi, \eta)}{\partial \xi_j} \right| &\leq av_j \\
\forall j = 1, \dots, n, (t, \xi, \eta) &\in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n.
\end{aligned}$$

Next, item II) of this theorem implies

$$\begin{aligned}
v_j B_{j,j}(t, x, y)k_j + \sum_{i \neq j} v_i |B_{i,j}(t, x, y)|k_j \\
\leq -cv_j k_j \leq -c \min_{j=1, \dots, n} \{k_j\} v_j \\
\forall j = 1, \dots, n, (t, x, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, it follows from the selection (15) of  $g$  that

$$\begin{aligned}
&v_j \left( a + \frac{\partial g_j(t, x, \xi, y, \eta)}{\partial \xi_j} \right) \\
&+ \sum_{i \neq j} v_i \left( a + \left| \frac{\partial g_i(t, x, \xi, y, \eta)}{\partial \xi_j} \right| \right) \\
&\leq \left( a \left( 1 + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{v_i}{v_j} \right) - c \min_{j=1, \dots, n} \{k_j\} \right) v_j \\
&\forall j = 1, \dots, n, (t, x, \xi, y, \eta) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n.
\end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

There exist sufficiently large  $k_j > 0$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$  such that

$$a \left( 1 + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{v_i}{v_j} \right) - c \min_{j=1, \dots, n} \{k_j\} < 0, \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, n.$$

That completes the proof. ■

#### APPENDIX C PROOF OF THEOREM 4.2

(Proof of item I) It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&B(t, x, y)Kx - B(t, x', y')K'x' \\
&= B(t, x, y)Kx - B(t, x, y)Kx' \\
&+ B(t, x, y)Kx' - B(t, x, y)K'x' \\
&+ B(t, x, y)K'x' - B(t, x', y')K'x',
\end{aligned}$$

and consequently

$$\begin{aligned}
&|f(t, x, y) + B(t, x, y)Kx - f(t, x', y') - B(t, x', y')K'x'| \\
&\leq |f(t, x, y) - f(t, x', y')| + |B(t, x, y)K||x - x'| \\
&+ |B(t, x, y)||K - K'|x'| \\
&+ |B(t, x, y) - B(t, x', y')||K'x'|.
\end{aligned}$$

Recall that  $f$  is continuous and continuously differentiable in  $(x, y)$ , and  $B$  is continuous and locally Lipschitz in  $(x, y)$ . Thus,  $f + BKx$  is continuous and locally Lipschitz in  $(x, y, K)$ . Moreover,  $|x_i|$  is locally Lipschitz in  $x_i$ . Therefore,  $x_i(t)$  and  $k_i(t)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  exist in some time interval. Also, they are unique as long as they exist; see e.g. [30, Theorem 2.3].

From (16),  $V_s(x)$  in (11) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
D^+ V_s(x(t)) &\leq \left( \hat{a} - c \min_{i=1, \dots, n} \{k_i(t)\} \right) \sum_{i=1}^n v_i |x_i(t)| \\
\hat{a} &:= a \left( 1 + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{v_i}{\min_{j=1, \dots, n} \{v_j\}} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

By (8), each  $k_i(t) > 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  is an increasing function of time, and thus

$$D^+ V_s(x(t)) \leq \left( \hat{a} - c \min_{j=1, \dots, n} \{k_j(\sigma)\} \right) \sum_{i=1}^n v_i |x_i(t)|.$$

There exists  $\hat{b}(\sigma) > 0$  such that

$$D^+ V_s(x(t)) \leq \hat{b}(\sigma) \sum_{i=1}^n v_i |x_i(t)| \leq \hat{b}(\sigma) V_s(x(t)).$$

The comparison principle [34, Lemma 3.4] leads to

$$v_i |x_i(t)| \leq V_s(x(t)) \leq V_s(x(\sigma)) e^{\hat{b}(\sigma)(t-\sigma)} \quad (17)$$

for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Thus,  $x_i(t)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  stay in  $\mathbb{R}$  for all  $\sigma \leq t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\phi \in \mathcal{C}$ , and  $k_i(\sigma) \geq 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

Also, it follows from (8) and (17) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&k_i(t) \\
&= k_i(\sigma) + \int_{\sigma}^t \min\{a_i, b_i |x_i(\tau)|\} d\tau \\
&\leq k_i(\sigma) + \int_{\sigma}^t \min \left\{ a_i, \frac{b_i V_s(x(\sigma))}{v_i} e^{\hat{b}(\sigma)(\tau-\sigma)} \right\} d\tau \\
&= k_i(\sigma) + \min \left\{ a_i(t-\sigma), \frac{b_i V_s(x(\sigma))}{v_i \hat{b}(\sigma)} \left( e^{\hat{b}(\sigma)(t-\sigma)} - 1 \right) \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

for all  $t \geq \sigma$ . Thus,  $k_i(t)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  stay in  $\mathbb{R}$  for all  $\sigma \leq t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\phi \in \mathcal{C}$ , and  $k_i(\sigma) \geq 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

(Proofs of items II) and III)) We divide  $i = 1, \dots, n$  into two subsets  $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J} \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ . First,  $\mathcal{I}$  is the set of  $i$  for which there exists  $\sigma \leq t_i \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\hat{a} - \hat{c}k_i(t_i) < 0. \quad (18)$$

Second,  $\mathcal{J}$  is the set of  $j$  for which such  $t_j$  does not exist. In other words, all  $j \in \mathcal{J}$  satisfy

$$k_j(t) = k_j(\sigma) + \int_{\sigma}^t \min\{a_j, b_j|x_j(\tau)|\}d\tau \leq \frac{\hat{a}}{\hat{c}} \quad \forall t \geq \sigma. \quad (19)$$

From their definitions, it holds that  $\mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{J} = \emptyset$  and  $\mathcal{I} \cup \mathcal{J} = \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

We first consider  $j \in \mathcal{J}$ . Since the integral in (19) is an upper bounded increasing sequence of  $t \geq \sigma$ , this converges to a finite value, i.e., item III) holds for all  $j \in \mathcal{J}$ . In addition, there exists a sufficiently large  $\sigma \leq t_j \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $b_j|x_j(t)| \leq a_j$  for all  $t \geq t_j$ . That is,  $|x_j(t)|$ ,  $t \geq t_j$  is uniformly continuous. From Barbalat's Lemma, e.g., [34, Lemma 8.2], we have  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |x_j(t)| = 0$ , i.e., item II) holds for all  $j \in \mathcal{J}$ .

We next consider  $i \in \mathcal{I}$ . Define

$$\bar{V}_s(x(t)) := \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} v_i \left( |x_i(t)| + a \int_{t-h}^t \sum_{j=1}^n |x_j(\theta)|d\theta \right). \quad (20)$$

Similar calculations as the proofs of Theorems 3.2 and 4.1 lead to

$$\begin{aligned} & D^+ \bar{V}_s(x(t)) \\ & \leq \left( \hat{a} - \hat{c} \min_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \{k_i(t)\} \right) \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} v_i |x_i(t)| \\ & \quad + \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} v_i \left( \int_0^1 \frac{\partial f_i(t, \xi, \eta)}{\partial \xi_j} \Big|_{(\xi, \eta) = (sx(t), sx(t-h))} ds \right. \\ & \quad \left. + a + k_j(t) |B_{i,j}(t, x(t), x(t-h))| \right) |x_j(t)|. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that  $\partial f(t, \xi, \eta)/\partial \xi$  and  $B(t, x, y)$  are bounded, and all  $k_j(t)$ ,  $j \in \mathcal{J}$  are monotonically increasing and satisfy item III). Thus, there exists  $0 < \hat{d} \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} v_i \left( \int_0^1 \frac{\partial f_i(t, \xi, \eta)}{\partial \xi_j} \Big|_{(\xi, \eta) = (sx(t), sx(t-h))} ds \right. \\ & \quad \left. + a + k_j(t) |B_{i,j}(t, x(t), x(t-h))| \right) |x_j(t)| \\ & \leq \hat{d} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} |x_j(t)|. \end{aligned}$$

In summary, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D^+ \bar{V}_s(x(t)) & \leq \left( \hat{a} - \hat{c} \min_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \{k_i(t)\} \right) \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} v_i |x_i(t)| \\ & \quad + \hat{d} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} |x_j(t)|. \end{aligned}$$

From the definition of  $\mathcal{I}$  (recall (18)), there exist  $\lambda_{\mathcal{I}} > 0$  and  $t_{\mathcal{I}} \geq \sigma$  such that

$$D^+ \bar{V}_s(x(t)) \leq -\lambda_{\mathcal{I}} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} v_i |x_i(t)| + \hat{d} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} |x_j(t)|, \quad \forall t \geq t_{\mathcal{I}}.$$

Taking the time integration in the interval  $[t_{\mathcal{I}}, t]$  yields

$$\bar{V}_s(x(t)) + \lambda_{\mathcal{I}} \int_{t_{\mathcal{I}}}^t \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} v_i |x_i(\tau)|d\tau$$

$$\leq \bar{V}_s(x(t_{\mathcal{I}})) + \hat{d} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \int_{t_{\mathcal{I}}}^t |x_j(\tau)|d\tau, \quad \forall t \geq t_{\mathcal{I}}.$$

From (19) with  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x_j(t) = 0$  for all  $j \in \mathcal{J}$  and (20), there exists  $\bar{d}(t_{\mathcal{I}}, x(t_{\mathcal{I}})) > 0$  such that

$$|x_i(t)| + \lambda_{\mathcal{I}} \int_{t_{\mathcal{I}}}^t |x_i(\tau)|d\tau \leq \bar{d}(t_{\mathcal{I}}, x(t_{\mathcal{I}})), \quad \forall t \geq t_{\mathcal{I}}$$

for all  $i \in \mathcal{I}$ . Thus,  $|x_i(t)|$  is uniformly continuous, and by Barbalat's Lemma, item II) holds for all  $i \in \mathcal{I}$ . This further implies that there exists  $t_i > t_0$  such that  $b_i|x_i(t)| \leq a_i$  for all  $t \geq t_i$ . Finally, since  $\int_{t_{\mathcal{I}}}^t |x_i(\tau)|d\tau$  is a bounded function of  $t_{\mathcal{I}} \leq t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $k_i$  in (20) satisfies item III) for all  $i \in \mathcal{I}$ . ■

#### APPENDIX D PROOF OF THEOREM 4.4

For the system (9), we choose  $g$  as

$$g(t, x, y, \xi, \eta) = f(t, \xi, \eta) + KH(t, \xi, \eta). \quad (21)$$

which satisfies (3).

First, from item I), there exists  $a \geq 0$  such that (5) and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial f_i(t, \xi, \eta)}{\partial \xi_i} w_i + \sum_{j \neq i} \left| \frac{\partial f_i(t, \xi, \eta)}{\partial \xi_j} \right| w_j \leq a w_i \\ & \forall j = 1, \dots, n, (t, \xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n. \end{aligned}$$

Next, it follows from item II) that

$$\begin{aligned} & k_i \frac{\partial H_i(t, \xi, \eta)}{\partial \xi_i} w_i + k_i \sum_{j \neq i} \left| \frac{\partial H_i(t, \xi, \eta)}{\partial \xi_j} \right| w_j \\ & \leq -c k_i w_i \leq -c \min_{i=1, \dots, n} \{k_i\} w_i \\ & \forall i = 1, \dots, n, (t, \xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from the definition (15) of  $g$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( a + \frac{\partial g_i(t, x, y, \xi, \eta)}{\partial \xi_i} \right) w_i \\ & \quad + \sum_{j \neq i} \left( a + \left| \frac{\partial g_i(t, x, y, \xi, \eta)}{\partial \xi_j} \right| \right) w_j \\ & \leq \left( a \left( 1 + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{w_j}{w_i} \right) - c \min_{i=1, \dots, n} \{k_i\} \right) w_i \\ & \forall i = 1, \dots, n, (t, x, \xi, y, \eta) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n. \end{aligned}$$

There exist sufficiently large  $k_i > 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  such that

$$a \left( 1 + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{w_j}{w_i} \right) - c \min_{i=1, \dots, n} \{k_i\} < 0, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n.$$

That completes the proof. ■

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Z. Sun, A. Rantzer, Z. Li, and A. Robertsson, "Distributed adaptive stabilization," *Automatica*, vol. 129, p. 109616, 2021.
- [2] H. K. Khalil and A. Saberi, "Adaptive stabilization of a class of nonlinear systems using high-gain feedback," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 32, no. 11, pp. 1031–1035, 1987.
- [3] S. S. Sastry and A. Isidori, "Adaptive control of linearizable systems," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 34, no. 11, pp. 1123–1131, 1989.
- [4] L. Praly, G. Bastin, J.-B. Pomet, and Z. Jiang, "Adaptive stabilization of nonlinear systems," in *Foundations of Adaptive Control*. Springer, 1991, pp. 347–433.
- [5] F. Allgöwer, J. Ashman, and A. Ilchmann, "High-gain adaptive  $\lambda$ -tracking for nonlinear systems," *Automatica*, vol. 33, no. 5, pp. 881–888, 1997.

- [6] M. Jankovic, R. Sepulchre, and P. V. Kokotovic, "Global adaptive stabilization of cascade nonlinear systems," *Automatica*, vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 263–268, 1997.
- [7] F. D. Prisco, L. Marconi, and A. Isidori, "A new approach to adaptive nonlinear regulation," *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*, vol. 45, no. 3, pp. 829–855, 2006.
- [8] Z.-Y. Sun, J.-J. Li, C. Wen, and C.-C. Chen, "A new adaptive designated-time stabilizing strategy for uncertain time-varying nonlinear systems," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 2024, (early access).
- [9] D. D'Alessandro, "On passivity and adaptive stabilization of nonlinear systems," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 41, no. 7, pp. 1083–1086, 1996.
- [10] M. M. Seron, D. J. Hill, and A. L. Fradkov, "Nonlinear adaptive control of feedback passive systems," *Automatica*, vol. 31, no. 7, pp. 1053–1060, 1995.
- [11] Z.-P. Jiang, D. J. Hill, and A. L. Fradkov, "A passification approach to adaptive nonlinear stabilization," *Systems & Control Letters*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 73–84, 1996.
- [12] W. M. Haddad, V. Chellaboina, and Q. Hui, *Nonnegative and Compartmental Dynamical Systems*. New Jersey: Princeton University Press, 2010.
- [13] A. Rantzer, "Scalable control of positive systems," *European Journal of Control*, vol. 24, pp. 72–80, 2015.
- [14] A. Rantzer, B. Rüffer, and G. Dirr, "Separable Lyapunov functions for monotone systems," *Proceedings of the 52nd IEEE Conference on Decision and Control*, pp. 4590–4594, 2013.
- [15] H. R. Feyzmahdavian, B. Besselink, and M. Johansson, "Stability analysis of monotone systems via max-separable Lyapunov functions," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 63, no. 3, pp. 643–656, 2018.
- [16] Y. Kawano, B. Besselink, and M. Cao, "Contraction analysis of monotone systems via separable functions," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 65, no. 8, pp. 3486–3501, 2020.
- [17] Y. Kawano, "Converse stability theorems for positive linear time-varying systems," *Automatica*, vol. 122, p. 109193, 2020.
- [18] Y. Kawano and M. Cao, "Contraction analysis of virtually positive systems," *Systems & Control Letters*, vol. 168, p. 105358, 2022.
- [19] S. Jafarpour, A. Davydov, and F. Bullo, "Non-euclidean contraction theory for monotone and positive systems," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 68, no. 9, pp. 5653–5660, 2023.
- [20] Y. Kawano and F. Forni, " $\mathcal{K}$ -monotonicity and feedback synthesis for incrementally stable networks," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 69, no. 10, pp. 7067 – 7074, 2024.
- [21] Y. Kawano and Y. Hosoe, "Contraction analysis of differentially positive discrete-time stochastic systems," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 2025, (early access).
- [22] R. Lewis and B. Anderson, "Necessary and sufficient conditions for delay-independent stability of linear autonomous systems," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 25, no. 4, pp. 735–739, 1980.
- [23] T. Zhao, B. Zhou, and W. Michiels, "Stability analysis of linear time-varying time-delay systems by non-quadratic Lyapunov functions with indefinite derivatives," *Systems & Control Letters*, vol. 122, pp. 77–85, 2018.
- [24] Y. Zhu and M. Krstic, *Delay-adaptive linear control*. Princeton University Press, 2020.
- [25] Y. Kawano and Z. Sun, "Stabilizing unknown nonlinear systems via decentralized high-gain adaptive control," *IFAC-PapersOnLine*, vol. 56, no. 2, pp. 9209–9214, 2023.
- [26] W. Lohmiller and J.-J. E. Slotine, "On contraction analysis for non-linear systems," *Automatica*, vol. 34, no. 6, pp. 683–696, 1998.
- [27] W. Wang and J.-J. E. Slotine, "On partial contraction analysis for coupled nonlinear oscillators," *Biological Cybernetics*, vol. 92, no. 1, pp. 38–53, 2005.
- [28] J. Jouffroy and T. I. Fossen, "A tutorial on incremental stability analysis using contraction theory," *Modeling, Identification and Control*, vol. 31, no. 3, pp. 93–106, 2010.
- [29] F. Bullo, *Contraction Theory for Dynamical Systems*, 1.2 ed. Kindle Direct Publishing, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://fbullo.github.io/ctds>
- [30] J. K. Hale and S. M. V. Lunel, *Introduction to Functional Differential Equations*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013, vol. 99.
- [31] L. Walsh, M. Ye, B. D. Anderson, and Z. Sun, "Decentralised adaptive-gain control for eliminating epidemic spreading on networks," *Automatica*, vol. 174, p. 112143, 2025.
- [32] W. Mei, S. Mohagheghi, S. Zampieri, and F. Bullo, "On the dynamics of deterministic epidemic propagation over networks," *Annual Reviews in Control*, vol. 44, pp. 116–128, 2017.
- [33] E. Fridman, "Tutorial on Lyapunov-based methods for time-delay systems," *European Journal of Control*, vol. 20, no. 6, pp. 271–283, 2014.
- [34] H. K. Khalil, *Nonlinear Systems*. New Jersey: Prentice-Hall, 1996.