

# Linear-space LCS enumeration with quadratic-time delay for two strings

Yoshifumi Sakai \*

April 9, 2025

## Abstract

Suppose we want to seek the longest common subsequences (LCSs) of two strings as informative patterns that explain the relationship between the strings. The dynamic programming algorithm gives us a table from which all LCSs can be extracted by traceback. However, the need for quadratic space to hold this table can be an obstacle when dealing with long strings. A question that naturally arises in this situation would be whether it is possible to exhaustively search for all LCSs one by one in a time-efficient manner using only a space linear in the LCS length, where we treat read-only memory for storing the strings as excluded from the space consumed. As a part of the answer to this question, we propose an  $O(L)$ -space algorithm that outputs all distinct LCSs of the strings one by one each in  $O(n^2)$  time, where the strings are both of length  $n$  and  $L$  is the LCS length of the strings.

## 1 Introduction

Comparing two strings to find common patterns that would be most informative of their relationships is a fundamental task in parsing them. The longest common subsequences (LCSs) are regarded as such common patterns, and the problem of efficiently finding one of them has long been investigated [2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13]. Here, a subsequence of a string is the sequence obtained from the string by deleting any number of elements at any position that is not necessarily contiguous. Hence, an LCS of two strings is a common subsequence obtained by deleting the least possible number of elements from the strings. For example, if  $X = \text{acddadacbc}$  and  $Y = \text{caccbaadcad}$  are the target strings, then  $\text{cacbc}$  is one of the seven distinct LCSs of  $X$  and  $Y$ . The six other LCSs are  $\text{cacbc}$ ,  $\text{accbc}$ ,  $\text{acaac}$ ,  $\text{acadc}$ ,  $\text{acada}$ , and  $\text{acdad}$ .

Given a pair of strings  $X$  and  $Y$  both of length  $n$  and a string  $Z$  of length less than  $n$ , it is easy to determine whether  $Z$  is a common subsequence of  $X$  and  $Y$  in  $O(n)$  time. In comparison, it is hard to determine whether  $Z$  is an LCS of  $X$

---

\*Graduate School of Agricultural Science, Tohoku University, Japan

and  $Y$ . The reason is that we need to know the LCS length in advance. It was revealed [1, 4] that unless the strong exponential time hypothesis (SETH) does not hold, no algorithm can determine the LCS length of  $X$  and  $Y$  in  $O(n^{2-\varepsilon})$  time for any positive constant  $\varepsilon$ . Therefore, we cannot find any LCS in  $O(n^{2-\varepsilon})$  time under SETH.

On the other hand, as is well known, finding an arbitrary LCS of  $X$  and  $Y$  is possible in  $O(n^2)$  time and  $O(n^2)$  space by the dynamic programming (DP) algorithm [13]. The space of size  $O(n^2)$  consumed to store the LCS lengths for all pairs of a prefix of  $X$  and a prefix of  $Y$  can be treated as constituting a particular directed acyclic graph (DAG) such that any path from the source to the sink represents an LCS of  $X$  and  $Y$ . This DAG is also available in applications other than seeking a single arbitrary LCS because each existing LCS corresponds to at least one of the paths from the source to the sink. If one considers only the problem of finding a single arbitrary LCS without intending a data structure representing all LCSs, Hirschberg [7] showed that  $O(n)$  space is sufficient to solve the problem in the same  $O(n^2)$  time as the DP algorithm. Excluding the read-only memory storing  $X$  and  $Y$ , his algorithm performs only in  $O(L)$  space with a slight modification, where  $L$  is the LCS length of  $X$  and  $Y$ .

The advantage of  $O(L)$ -space algorithms for finding an arbitrary LCS is that they perform without reserving  $O(n^2)$  space, the size of which becomes pronounced when  $n$  is large. Thus, a natural question that would come to mind is whether it is possible to design  $O(L)$ -space algorithms that are as accessible to all LCSs as the DP algorithm in addition to the above advantage. As a part of the answer to this question, the author showed in [12] that an  $O(L)$ -space algorithm is capable of enumerating all distinct LCSs with  $O(n^2 \log L)$ -time delay, which is asymptotically inferior to the execution time of Hirschberg's  $O(L)$ -space algorithm [7] for finding a single arbitrary LCS by a factor  $O(\log L)$ . Enumeration is done by introducing a DAG, called the all-LCS graph, with each path from the source to the sink corresponding to a distinct LCS and vice versa. Since the size of the all-LCS graph is  $O(n^2)$ , which exceeds  $O(L)$ , the proposed algorithm performs a depth-first search without explicitly constructing it.

In this article, we consider the same enumeration problem as above. Our contribution is to address the shortcomings in the previous linear-space LCS enumeration algorithm [12] concerning delay time. We accomplished this by replacing the crucial part of the algorithm, which finds the next LCS strictly specified by the immediately preceding output LCS, with a variant of Hirschberg's linear-space LCS-finding algorithm [7]. Similarly to Hirschberg's algorithm, the crucial part of the previous algorithm finds the LCS by divide and conquer. The reason why the delay time of the algorithm is longer than the execution time of Hirschberg's algorithm is that Hirschberg's algorithm finds a balanced midpoint concerning the length of input strings when dividing them. In contrast, the previous algorithm for LCS enumeration could only find a balanced midpoint concerning the length of the LCS it seeks. The variant of Hirschberg's algorithm that we adopt to improve delay time differs from the original in that the chosen midpoint is strictly specified. This difference would be natural since the

original can find an arbitrary LCS, whereas our algorithm must find a specified LCS. We show that the exact choice of the midpoint in Hirschberg's algorithm can improve the delay time of the previous linear-space LCS-enumeration algorithm [12] from  $O(n^2 \log L)$  to  $O(n^2)$ .

## 2 Preliminaries

For any sequences  $S$  and  $S'$ , let  $S \circ S'$  denote the concatenation of  $S$  followed by  $S'$ . For any sequence  $S$ , let  $|S|$  denote the length of  $S$ , i.e., the number of elements in  $S$ . For any index  $i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq |S|$ , let  $S[i]$  denote the  $i$ th element of  $S$ , so that  $S = S[1] \circ S[2] \circ \dots \circ S[|S|]$ . A *subsequence* of  $S$  is the sequence obtained from  $S$  by deleting zero or more elements at any positions not necessarily contiguous, i.e., the sequence  $S[i_1] \circ S[i_2] \circ \dots \circ S[i_\ell]$  for some length  $\ell$  with  $0 \leq \ell \leq |S|$  and some  $\ell$  indices  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_\ell$  with  $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_\ell \leq |S|$ . We sometimes denote this subsequence of  $S$  by  $S[i_1 \circ i_2 \circ \dots \circ i_\ell]$ , or  $S[I]$  with  $I = i_1 \circ i_2 \circ \dots \circ i_\ell$ . For any indices  $i$  and  $i'$  with  $1 \leq i \leq i' + 1 \leq |S| + 1$ , let  $S[i : i']$  denote the contiguous subsequence  $S[i] \circ S[i + 1] \circ \dots \circ S[i']$  of  $S$ , where  $S[i : i']$  with  $i = i' + 1$  represents the empty subsequence. If  $i = 1$  (resp.  $i' = |S|$ ), then  $S[i : i']$  is called a *prefix* (resp. *suffix*) of  $S$ .

A string is a sequence of characters over an alphabet set. For any strings  $X$  and  $Y$ , a *common subsequence* of  $X$  and  $Y$  is a subsequence of  $X$  that is a subsequence of  $Y$ . Let  $L(X, Y)$  denote the maximum of  $|Z|$  over all common subsequences  $Z$  of  $X$  and  $Y$ . Any common subsequence  $Z$  of  $X$  and  $Y$  with  $|Z| = L(X, Y)$  is called a *longest common subsequence* (an *LCS*) of  $X$  and  $Y$ . The following lemma gives a typical recursive expression for the LCS length.

**Lemma 1** (e.g., [13]). *For any strings  $X$  and  $Y$ , if at least one of  $X$  and  $Y$  is empty, then  $L(X, Y) = 0$ ; otherwise, if  $x = y$ , then  $L(X, Y) = L(X', Y') + 1$ ; otherwise,  $L(X, Y) = \max(L(X', Y), L(X, Y'))$ , where  $X = X' \circ x$  and  $Y = Y' \circ y$ . The same also holds for the case where  $X = x \circ X'$  and  $Y = y \circ Y'$ .*

Let any algorithm that takes certain information, specified later, of an arbitrary pair of non-empty strings  $X$  and  $Y$  as input and uses only  $O(L(X, Y))$  space to output all distinct LCSs of  $X$  and  $Y$ , each represented by a specific form, one by one be called a *linear-space LCS enumeration algorithm*. We assume that the information of  $X$  and  $Y$  given to the algorithm consists of  $|X|$ ,  $|Y|$ , and  $O(1)$ -time access to check whether  $X[i] = Y[j]$  or not for any pair of indices  $i$  and  $j$  with  $1 \leq i \leq |X|$  and  $1 \leq j \leq |Y|$  with no space consumption. The worst-case time between outputting one LCS and the next LCS is called the *delay time* of the algorithm. The aim of this article is to propose a linear-space LCS enumeration algorithm with  $O(|X||Y|)$  delay time.

## 3 Algorithm

This section proposes a linear-space LCS enumeration algorithm that outputs all distinct LCS of  $X$  and  $Y$  each in  $O(|X||Y|)$  time.

To handle each distinct LCS, we introduce the following unique sequence of  $L(X, Y)$  indices representing the position at which it appears in  $Y$ . The proposed algorithm outputs each such sequence in lexicographic order.

**Definition 1** (LCS-position sequence). For any contiguous subsequences  $\tilde{X} = X[i' : i'']$  and  $\tilde{Y} = Y[j' : j'']$ , let any sequence  $P$  of  $L(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})$  increasing indices between  $j'$  and  $j''$  such that  $Y[P]$  is an LCS of  $\tilde{X}$  and  $\tilde{Y}$  and for any index  $k$  with  $1 \leq k \leq L(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})$ ,  $Y[j' : P[k]]$  is the shortest prefix of  $\tilde{Y}$  with  $Y[P[1 : k]]$  as a subsequence be called an *LCS-position sequence of  $\tilde{X}$  and  $\tilde{Y}$* . For convenience, we sometimes treat 0 and  $|Y| + 1$  as the (virtual) zeroth and  $(L(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) + 1)$ st elements of any LCS-position sequence of  $\tilde{X}$  and  $\tilde{Y}$ , respectively. Let  $\mathcal{P}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})$  denote the sequence of all LCS-position sequences of  $\tilde{X}$  and  $\tilde{Y}$  in lexicographic order.

**Example 1.** If  $X = \text{acddadacbc}$  and  $Y = \text{caccbaadcad}$  (with  $\circ$  omitted), then  $\mathcal{P}(X, Y)$  consists of the seven LCS-position sequences listed below in the same order.

- $1 \circ 2 \circ 3 \circ 4 \circ 5$  (representing  $\text{caccb}$ )
- $1 \circ 2 \circ 3 \circ 5 \circ 9$  (representing  $\text{cacbc}$ )
- $2 \circ 3 \circ 4 \circ 5 \circ 9$  (representing  $\text{accbc}$ )
- $2 \circ 3 \circ 6 \circ 7 \circ 9$  (representing  $\text{acaac}$ )
- $2 \circ 3 \circ 6 \circ 8 \circ 9$  (representing  $\text{acadc}$ )
- $2 \circ 3 \circ 6 \circ 8 \circ 10$  (representing  $\text{acada}$ )
- $2 \circ 3 \circ 8 \circ 10 \circ 11$  (representing  $\text{acdad}$ )

Observing Example 1, we note that for any index  $k$  with  $1 \leq k \leq L(X, Y)$ , the sequence of  $P[k]$  over all elements  $P$  in  $\mathcal{P}(X, Y)$  in the same order as  $\mathcal{P}(X, Y)$  is non-decreasing. The following Lemma, which plays an crucial role in the design of the proposed algorithm, states that this situation holds in general.

**Lemma 2.** For any contiguous subsequences  $\tilde{X} = X[i' : i'']$  and  $\tilde{Y} = Y[j' : j'']$ , any elements  $P^<$  and  $P$  appearing after  $P^<$  in  $\mathcal{P}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})$ , and any index  $k$  with  $1 \leq k \leq L(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})$ ,  $P^<[k] \leq P[k]$ .

*Proof.* By transitivity, it is sufficient to show that the lemma holds for any elements  $P^<$  and  $P$  that appear consecutively in  $\mathcal{P}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})$  in this order. Assume for contradiction that the lemma does not hold and suppose that  $k$  is the least index with  $P^<[k-1] \leq P[k-1]$  and  $P^<[k] > P[k]$ . Let  $X^<$  be the shortest prefix of  $\tilde{X}$  with  $Y[P^<[1 : k]]$  as a subsequence. If  $Y[P[1 : k]]$  is a subsequence of  $X^<$ , then  $P^<[1 : k-1] \circ P[k] \circ P^<[k : L(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})]$  represents a common subsequence of  $\tilde{X}$  and  $\tilde{Y}$  of length  $L(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) + 1$ , a contradiction. Otherwise, since  $Y[P[1 : k]]$  is not a subsequence of  $X^<$ ,  $P^<[1 : k] \circ P[k+1 : L(X, Y)]$  appears in  $\mathcal{P}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})$  as an element at position between  $P^<$  and  $P$ , contradicting that  $P^<$  and  $P$  are consecutive in  $\mathcal{P}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})$ .  $\square$

---

**Algorithm 1:** ENUMERATELCS

---

```

1  $\kappa \leftarrow 0$ ;  $P \leftarrow$  the empty sequence
2 repeat
3    $P \leftarrow P[1 : \kappa] \circ \text{FINDFIRSTLCS}(i+1, |X|, P[\kappa]+1, |Y|)$ , where  $X[1 : i]$ 
   is the shortest prefix of  $X$  with  $Y[P[1 : \kappa]]$  as a subsequence
4   output  $P$ 
5    $\text{FINDBRANCH}$ 
6 until  $\kappa$  is a dummy index

```

---

The algorithm searches for the next element  $P$  in  $\mathcal{P}(X, Y)$  of the last found element  $P^\prec$  after determining somehow the features of  $P^\prec$  introduced below.

**Definition 2** (branching position and element). For any consecutive elements  $P^\prec$  and  $P$  in  $\mathcal{P}(X, Y)$  appearing in this order, let the *branching position* of  $P^\prec$  be the least index  $k$  with  $P^\prec[k] < P[k]$ , so that  $P[1 : k-1] = P^\prec[1 : k-1]$  due to Lemma 2. Furthermore, let the *branching element* of  $P^\prec$  be  $P[\kappa]$ , where  $\kappa$  is the branching position of  $P^\prec$ . Let the last element of  $\mathcal{P}(X, Y)$  have no branching position.

**Lemma 3.** For any consecutive elements  $P^\prec$  and  $P$  in  $\mathcal{P}(X, Y)$  appearing in this order,  $P$  is the concatenation of  $P^\prec[1 : \kappa-1] \circ j^*$  followed by the first element of  $\mathcal{P}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})$ , where  $\kappa$  and  $j^*$  are the branching position and element of  $P^\prec$ , respectively, and  $\tilde{X}$  (resp.  $\tilde{Y}$ ) is the suffix of  $X$  (resp.  $Y$ ) obtained by deleting the shortest prefix with  $Y[P^\prec[1 : \kappa-1] \circ j^*]$  as a subsequence.

*Proof.* Since  $P[1 : \kappa] = P^\prec[1 : \kappa-1] \circ j^*$  due to Definition 2,  $P[\kappa+1 : L(X, Y)]$  is an element of  $\mathcal{P}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})$ , completing the proof because  $\mathcal{P}(X, Y)$  has no element between  $P^\prec$  and  $P$ .  $\square$

**Example 2.** Consider as  $P^\prec$  in Lemma 3 the third element of  $\mathcal{P}(X, Y)$  for the same  $X$  and  $Y$  as Example 1, so that  $P^\prec = 2 \circ 3 \circ 4 \circ 5 \circ 9$  (representing **accbc**). Suppose that the branching position and element of  $P^\prec$  are available as 3 and 6, respectively. Since  $Y[P^\prec[1 : 3-1] \circ 6] = Y[2] \circ Y[3] \circ Y[6]$  (representing **aca**),  $\tilde{X}$  and  $\tilde{Y}$  in Lemma 3 are  $X[6 : 11]$  (representing **dacbc**) and  $Y[7 : 11]$  (representing **adcad**), respectively.  $\mathcal{P}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})$  consists of three elements  $7 \circ 9$  (representing **ac**),  $8 \circ 9$  (representing **dc**), and  $8 \circ 10$  (representing **ac**) in this order. Thus, due to Lemma 3, the fourth element of  $\mathcal{P}(X, Y)$  can be obtained as  $P = P^\prec[1 : 3-1] \circ 6 \circ (7 \circ 9) = 2 \circ 3 \circ 6 \circ 7 \circ 9$  (representing **acaac**).

The proposed algorithm, which we denote ENUMERATELCS, finds each element  $P$  in  $\mathcal{P}(X, Y)$  as a distinct LCS-position sequence based on Lemma 3. At the beginning of each process corresponding to  $P$ , variables  $P$  and  $\kappa$  are maintained so that  $P[1 : \kappa] = P[1 : \kappa]$  and  $P[\kappa+1 : L(X, Y)]$  is the first element in  $L(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})$ , where  $\tilde{X}$  (resp.  $\tilde{Y}$ ) is the suffix of  $X$  (resp.  $Y$ ) obtained by deleting the shortest prefix with  $P[1 : \kappa]$ . Due to this condition, the algorithm finds the first element  $\tilde{P}$  of  $\mathcal{P}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})$  by calling function FINDFIRSTLCS( $\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}$ ), as which

we adopt a variant of Hirschberg's linear-space LCS-finding algorithm [7] with a very slight modification. After updating  $P$  to  $P[1 : \kappa] \circ \tilde{P}$  and outputting the resulting  $P$  as  $P$ , the algorithm finds the existing branch position and element of  $P$  by executing procedure `FINDBRANCH`. More precisely, `FINDBRANCH` sets  $\kappa$  and  $P[\kappa]$  to the branch position and element of  $P$ , respectively, if existing, or set  $\kappa$  to a dummy index to indicate that  $P$  is the last element of  $\mathcal{P}(X, Y)$ , otherwise. Consequently, the condition that should be satisfied at the beginning of the process for the next element in  $\mathcal{P}(X, Y)$  after  $P$  holds. Hence, due to Lemma 3, induction proves that all elements in  $\mathcal{P}(X, Y)$  are output one by one in lexicographic order. A pseudo-code of `ENUMERATELCS` is given as Algorithm 1.

Sections 3.1 and 3.2 design `FINDFIRSTLCS` and `FINDBRANCH` to perform as mentioned above in  $O(|X||Y|)$  time and  $O(L(X, Y))$  space, respectively, to obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *Algorithm `ENUMERATELCS` with function `FINDFIRSTLCS` and procedure `FINDBRANCH` works as a linear-space LCS enumeration algorithm that outputs all distinct LCS-position sequences of  $X$  and  $Y$  each in  $O(|X||Y|)$  time.*

*Proof.* The theorem follows from Lemma 6 in Section 3.1 and Lemma 8 in Section 3.2.  $\square$

### 3.1 Function `findFirstLCS`

This section presents `FINDFIRSTLCS`( $i', i'', j', j''$ ) as a recursive function that returns the first element of  $\mathcal{P}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})$  in  $O(|\tilde{X}||\tilde{Y}|)$  time and  $O(L(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}))$  space, where  $\tilde{X} = X[i' : i'']$  and  $\tilde{Y} = Y[j' : j'']$ . As mentioned earlier, we adopt as it a variant of Hirschberg's linear-space LCS-finding algorithm [7]. A pseudo-code of `FINDFIRSTLCS`( $i', i'', j', j''$ ) is given as Algorithm 2.

Following Hirschberg's divide-and-conquer rule, when the length of  $\tilde{X}$  is more than one, `FINDFIRSTLCS` halves  $\tilde{X}$  into  $X' \circ X''$  with  $|X'| = \lceil |\tilde{X}|/2 \rceil$  and finds a division of  $\tilde{Y}$  into  $Y' \circ Y''$  such that  $L(X', Y') + L(X'', Y'') = L(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})$ . This division of  $\tilde{Y}$  allows `FINDFIRSTLCS` to return  $P' \circ P''$  as an LCS of  $\tilde{X}$  and  $\tilde{Y}$ , where  $P'$  (resp.  $P''$ ) is the LCS of  $X'$  and  $Y'$  (resp.  $X''$  and  $Y''$ ) returned by the recursive call of itself with argument representing  $X'$  and  $Y'$  (resp.  $X''$  and  $Y''$ ). A notable feature of `FINDFIRSTLCS` compared to the usual Hirschberg's algorithm is that `FINDFIRSTLCS` adopts the shortest possible one as  $Y'$ , when dividing  $\tilde{Y}$  into  $Y' \circ Y''$ . The following lemma guarantees this feature.

**Lemma 4.** *For any pair of contiguous subsequences  $\tilde{X} = X[i' : i'']$  of  $X$  and  $\tilde{Y} = Y[j' : j'']$  of  $Y$ , if  $|\tilde{X}| \geq 2$ ,  $Y'$  is the shortest possible one such that  $L(X', Y') + L(X'', Y'') = L(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})$ , where  $X' = X[i' : \bar{i}]$ ,  $Y' = Y[j' : \bar{j}]$ ,  $X'' = X[\bar{i} + 1 : i'']$ , and  $Y'' = Y[\bar{j} + 1 : j'']$  are those at line 23 of `FINDFIRSTLCS`( $i', i'', j', j''$ ) implemented as Algorithm 2.*

*Proof.* Since  $|\tilde{X}| \geq 2$  implies that  $i' < i''$ , `FINDLCS` executes lines 6 through 23. After line 6 initializes  $J^-$  (resp.  $J^+$ ) to the empty sequence, lines 7 through

---

**Algorithm 2:** FINDFIRSTLCS( $i', i'', j', j''$ )

---

```

1 if  $i' = i''$  then
2   foreach  $j$  from  $j'$  to  $j''$  do
3     if  $X[i'] = Y[j]$  then return  $j$ 
4   return the empty sequence
5 else
6    $J^-, J^+ \leftarrow$  the empty sequences;  $\bar{i} \leftarrow \lfloor (i' + i'')/2 \rfloor$ 
7   foreach  $i$  from  $i'$  to  $\bar{i}$  do
8      $p \leftarrow |J^-|$ 
9     foreach  $j$  from  $j''$  down to  $j'$  do
10      if  $p > 0$  and  $J^-[p] = j$  then  $p \leftarrow p - 1$ 
11      if  $X[i] = Y[j]$  then  $J^-[p+1] \leftarrow j$ 
12   foreach  $i$  from  $i''$  down to  $\bar{i} + 1$  do
13      $q \leftarrow |J^+|$ 
14     foreach  $j$  from  $j'$  to  $j''$  do
15      if  $q > 0$  and  $J^+[q] = j$  then  $q \leftarrow q - 1$ 
16      if  $X[i] = Y[j]$  then  $J^+[q+1] \leftarrow j$ 
17    $p \leftarrow 0$ ;  $q \leftarrow |J^+|$ ;  $r \leftarrow p + q$ ;  $\bar{j} \leftarrow j' - 1$ 
18   foreach  $j$  from  $j'$  to  $j''$  do
19     if  $J^-[p+1] \leq j$  then  $p \leftarrow p + 1$ 
20     if  $J^+[q] \leq j$  then  $q \leftarrow q - 1$ 
21     if  $p + q > r$  then
22        $\bar{j} \leftarrow j$ ;  $r \leftarrow p + q$ 
23   return FINDFIRSTLCS( $i', \bar{i}, j', \bar{j}$ )  $\circ$  FINDFIRSTLCS( $\bar{i} + 1, i'', \bar{j} + 1, j''$ )

```

---

11 (resp. lines 12 through 16) update  $J^-$  (resp.  $J^+$ ) so that  $|J^-| = L(X', \tilde{Y})$  (resp.  $|J^+| = L(X'', \tilde{Y})$ ) and for any index  $p$  (resp.  $q$ ) with  $1 \leq p \leq |J^-|$  (resp.  $1 \leq q \leq |J^+|$ ),  $J^-[p]$  (resp.  $J^+[q]$ ) is the least (resp. greatest) index  $j$  such that  $L(X', Y[j' : j]) = p$  (resp.  $L(X'', Y[j : j'']) = q$ ) in a straightforward way based on Lemma 1. Therefore, at each execution of line 21, both  $p = L(X', Y[i' : j])$  and  $q = L(X'', Y[j + 1 : j''])$ . This implies that  $\bar{j}$  is the least index such that  $L(X', Y') + L(X'', Y'') = L(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})$ .  $\square$

The reason for adopting the shortest  $Y'$  strictly to divide  $\tilde{Y}$  into  $Y' \circ Y''$  is that the first element in  $\mathcal{P}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})$  is appropriately inherited from parent to child in the recursion tree of FINDFIRSTLCS.

**Lemma 5.** For any pair of  $X[i' : i'']$  and  $Y[j' : j'']$ ,  $P = P' \circ P''$ , where  $\tilde{X}$ ,  $\tilde{Y}$ ,  $X'$ ,  $Y'$ ,  $X''$ , and  $Y''$  are the same as in Lemma 4 and  $P$ ,  $P'$ , and  $P''$  are the first elements of  $\mathcal{P}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})$ ,  $\mathcal{P}(X', Y')$ , and  $\mathcal{P}(X'', Y'')$ , respectively.

*Proof.* Let  $Z = Y[P]$ . Let  $\tilde{k}$  be the index such that  $Z[k + 1 : L(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})]$  is the longest suffix of  $Z$  that is a subsequence of  $X''$ , so that  $L(X', Y[j' : P[\tilde{k}]]) +$

$L(X'', Y[P[\bar{k}] + 1 : j'']) = L(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})$ . Since  $P$  is the first element in  $\mathcal{P}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})$ , it follows from Lemma 2 that there exists no element in  $\mathcal{P}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})$  whose  $\bar{k}$ th element is less than  $P[\bar{k}]$ . Hence, for any index  $j$  with  $j' \leq j \leq P[\bar{k}] - 1$ ,  $L(X', Y[j' : j]) < \bar{k}$ , implying that  $L(X', Y[j' : j]) + L(X'', Y[j + 1 : j'']) < L(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})$ . Thus,  $Y' = Y[j' : P[\bar{k}]]$  and  $Y'' = Y[P[\bar{k}] + 1 : j'']$ .

It follows from the above that  $P[1 : \bar{k}]$  is an element of  $\mathcal{P}(X', Y')$  and  $P[\bar{k} + 1 : L(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})]$  is an element of  $\mathcal{P}(X'', Y'')$ . Since  $P'$  is the first element of  $\mathcal{P}(X', Y')$ , if  $P[1 : \bar{k}] \neq P'$ , then  $P'$  appears in  $\mathcal{P}(X', Y')$  before  $P[1 : \bar{k}]$ , which implies that  $P' \circ P[\bar{k} + 1 : L(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})]$  appears in  $\mathcal{P}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})$  before  $P$ , contradicting that  $P$  is the first element of  $\mathcal{P}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})$ . Thus,  $P' = P[1 : \bar{k}]$  holds. By symmetry, we also have that  $P'' = P[\bar{k} + 1 : L(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})]$ .  $\square$

Due to the property of the recursion tree that suits our purposes, as guaranteed by Lemma 5, together with the time and space efficiency of the original Hirschberg's algorithm [7], FINDFIRSTLCS works as desired.

**Lemma 6.** *For any pair of contiguous subsequences  $\tilde{X} = X[i' : i'']$  and  $\tilde{Y} = Y[j' : j'']$ , FINDFIRSTLCS( $i', i'', j', j''$ ) implemented as Algorithm 2 returns the first element of  $\mathcal{P}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})$  in  $O(|\tilde{X}||\tilde{Y}|)$  time and  $O(L(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}))$  space.*

*Proof.* Let  $T$  be the recursion tree of FINDFIRSTLCS( $i', i'', j', j''$ ) and let any node  $v$  in  $T$  execute FINDFIRSTLCS( $i'_v, i''_v, j'_v, j''_v$ ). Let  $\tilde{X}_v$  and  $\tilde{Y}_v$  denote  $X[i'_v : i''_v]$  and  $Y[j'_v : j''_v]$ , respectively.

Lines 2 through 4 of Algorithm 2 guarantee that any leaf  $v$  of  $T$  returns the first element of  $\mathcal{P}(\tilde{X}_v, \tilde{Y}_v)$ , if  $L(\tilde{X}_v, \tilde{Y}_v) = 1$ , or the empty sequence, otherwise. Therefore, from Lemma 5, the concatenation of the sequences returned by all leaves in  $T$  constitutes the first element of  $\mathcal{P}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})$ . Hence, induction proves that FINDFIRSTLCS( $i', i'', j', j''$ ) returns the first element of  $\mathcal{P}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})$ .

Execution of FINDFIRSTLCS( $i'_v, i''_v, j'_v, j''_v$ ) for any internal node  $v$  in  $T$ , excluding line 22, is performed in  $O(|\tilde{X}_v||\tilde{Y}_v|)$  time and  $O(L(\tilde{X}_v, \tilde{Y}_v))$  space. For any node  $v$  at each depth  $d$  in  $T$ ,  $i''_v - i'_v = O(|\tilde{X}|/2^d)$ . The sum of  $|\tilde{Y}_v|$  over all such nodes is  $O(|\tilde{Y}|)$ . In addition, contiguous subsequences of the first element of  $\mathcal{P}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})$  held by nodes in  $T$  at any time do not overlap with each other. Therefore, FINDFIRSTLCS( $i', i'', j', j''$ ) runs in  $O(|\tilde{X}||\tilde{Y}|)$  time and  $O(L(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}))$  space.  $\square$

### 3.2 Procedure findBranch

For any element  $P$  in  $\mathcal{P}(X, Y)$ , FINDBRANCH searches for the branching position and element of  $P$  without knowing the element in  $\mathcal{P}(X, Y)$  just after  $P$  in advance. To design FINDBRANCH as such a procedure, we use the following relationship between elements in  $\mathcal{P}(X, Y)$  that share a prefix.

**Lemma 7.** *For any element  $P$  in  $\mathcal{P}(X, Y)$ , any index  $k$  with  $1 \leq k \leq L(X, Y)$ , and any index  $j^*$  with  $P[k] + 1 \leq j^* \leq |Y|$  such that  $Y[1 : j^*]$  is the shortest prefix of  $Y$  with  $Y[P[1 : k - 1] \circ j^*]$  as a subsequence, there exists an element in  $\mathcal{P}(X, Y)$  with  $P[1 : k - 1] \circ j^*$  as a prefix if and only if  $L(X[1 : i^*], Y[1 : j^*]) = k$*



---

**Algorithm 3:** FINDBRANCH

---

```
1  $Q \leftarrow$  the empty sequence;  $k \leftarrow 1$ 
2 foreach  $i$  from 1 to  $|X|$  do
3   if  $k \leq |P|$  and  $X[i] = Y[P[k]]$  then
4      $\quad$  append  $i$  to  $Q$ ;  $k \leftarrow k + 1$ 
5  $i^* \leftarrow |X|$ ;  $J \leftarrow$  the empty sequence;  $\kappa \leftarrow$  a dummy index
6 foreach  $k$  from  $|P|$  down to 1 do
7   while  $i^* \geq Q[k]$  do DECI
8   foreach  $j^*$  from  $P[k] + 1$  to  $|Y|$  do
9      $i \leftarrow Q[k - 1]$ 
10    repeat  $i \leftarrow i + 1$  until  $i = i^* + 1$  or  $X[i] = Y[j^*]$ 
11    if  $i \leq i^*$  then
12      while  $i^* \geq i + 1$  do DECI
13      if  $|J| \geq |P| - k$  and  $j^* + 1 \leq J[|P| - k]$  then
14         $\quad \kappa \leftarrow k$ ;  $P[\kappa] \leftarrow j^*$ ; halt
15      else
16         $\quad$  DECI
17 procedure DECI
18    $i^* \leftarrow i^* - 1$ ;  $l \leftarrow |J|$ 
19   foreach  $j$  from 1 to  $|Y|$  do
20     if  $l > 0$  and  $J[l] = j$  then  $l \leftarrow l - 1$ 
21     if  $X[i^* + 1] = Y[j]$  then  $J[l + 1] \leftarrow j$ 
```

---

and  $L(X[i^* + 1 : |X|], Y[j^* + 1 : |Y|]) = L(X, Y) - k$ , where  $X[1 : i^*]$  is the shortest prefix of  $X$  with  $Y[P[1 : k - 1] \circ j^*]$  as a subsequence.

**Corollary 1.** For any element  $P$  in  $\mathcal{P}(X, Y)$  other than the last one, the branching position and element of  $P$  are respectively the greatest possible  $k$  and the least possible  $j^*$  for this  $k$  in Lemma 7 such that there exists an element in  $\mathcal{P}(X, Y)$  with  $P[1 : k - 1] \circ j^*$  as a prefix.

To determine the branching position of  $P$  based on Corollary 1, FINDBRANCH searches for the least index  $j^*$  with  $j^* \geq P[k] + 1$  such that  $\mathcal{P}(X, Y)$  has an element with  $P[1 : k - 1] \circ j^*$  as a prefix for each index  $k$  from  $L(X, Y)$  to 1 in descending order. The procedure executes the process for each  $k$  using variables  $j^*$  and  $i^*$  as follows. Let  $Q$  be the sequence of  $L(X, Y)$  indices such that  $X[1 : Q[k]]$  is the shortest prefix of  $X$  with  $Y[P[1 : k]]$  as a subsequence. After initializing  $i^*$  to  $Q[k] - 1$ , for each  $j^*$  from  $P[k] + 1$  to  $|Y|$ , if there exists an index  $i$  with  $Q[k - 1] + 1 \leq i \leq i^*$  such that  $X[i] = Y[j^*]$ , then  $i^*$  is updated to the least such  $i$ . Furthermore, after this update of  $i^*$ , if  $L(X[i^* + 1 : |X|], Y[j^* + 1 : |Y|]) = L(X, Y) - k$ , then FINDBRANCH sets  $\kappa$  and  $P[k]$  to  $k$  and  $j^*$ , respectively, and terminates its execution; otherwise,  $i^*$  is increased by

one. The reason for updating  $i^*$  in this way is that induction guarantees that whether  $L(X[i^* + 1 : |X|], Y[j^* + 1 : |Y|]) = L(X, Y) - k$  is examined for all pairs of  $j^*$  and  $i^*$  that satisfy the following three conditions:

- $Y[1 : j^*]$  is the shortest prefix of  $Y$  with  $Y[P[1 : k-1] \circ j^*]$  as a subsequence;
- $X[1 : i^*]$  is the shortest prefix of  $X$  with  $Y[P[1 : k-1] \circ j^*]$  as a subsequence;
- $L(X[Q[k-1] + 1 : i^*], Y[P[k-1] + 1 : j^*]) = 1$ .

The third condition is adopted because if  $L(X[Q[k-1] + 1 : i^*], Y[P[k-1] + 1 : j^*]) \geq 2$ , then  $L(X[i^* + 1 : |X|], Y[j^* + 1 : |Y|]) = L(X, Y) - k$  never holds. Therefore,  $P$  has the branching position and element if and only if  $\kappa$  and  $P[k]$  are set to  $k$  and  $j^*$  by `FINDBRANCH`, respectively, due to Corollary 1.

A pseudo-code of `FINDBRANCH` is presented as Algorithm 3. To provide quick access to  $L(X[i^* + 1 : |X|], Y[j^* + 1 : |Y|])$ , a technique similar to `FINDFIRSTLCS` is adopted. That is, `FINDBRANCH` maintains variable  $J$  so that  $|J| = L(X[i^* + 1 : |X|], Y)$  and for any index  $l$  with  $1 \leq l \leq |J|$ ,  $J[l]$  is the greatest index  $j$  with  $L(X[i^* + 1 : |X|], Y[j : |Y|]) = l$ . Procedure `DECI` (lines 17 through 21) is used to decrease  $i^*$  by one and update  $J$  accordingly. Lines 1 through 4 construct  $Q$  in a straightforward way. The process for each  $k$  is executed from  $L(X, Y)$  to 1 in descending order in the manner mentioned earlier by lines 7 through 16.

Due to the above design of `FINDBRANCH`, we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 8.** *For any element  $P$  in  $\mathcal{P}(X, Y)$ , `FINDBRANCH` implemented as Algorithm 3 sets  $\kappa$  and  $P[\kappa]$  to the branching position and element of  $P$ , respectively, if  $P$  is not the last element in  $\mathcal{P}(X, Y)$ , or sets  $\kappa$  to a dummy index, otherwise, in  $O(|X||Y|)$  time and  $O(L(X, Y))$  space.*

*Proof.* Lines 1 through 4 are executed in  $O(|X|)$  time. Each execution of `DECI` is done in  $O(|Y|)$  time. Since  $i^*$  is decreased by one at most  $|X|$  time, `DECI` is also executed at most  $|X|$  time. The process for each  $k$  (lines 7 through 16) is executed in  $O((Q[k] - Q[k-1])|Y|)$  time, excluding the waiting time for execution of `DECI`. Thus, `FINDBRANCH` runs in  $O(|X||Y|)$  time. It is easy to verify that the required space for executing `FINDBRANCH` is  $O(L(X, Y))$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] Amir Abboud, Arturs Backurs, and Virginia Vassilevska Williams. Tight hardness results for lcs and other sequence similarity measures. In *2015 IEEE 56th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, pages 59–78. IEEE, 2015.
- [2] Alberto Apostolico. Improving the worst-case performance of the hunt-szymanski strategy for the longest common subsequence of two strings. *Information Processing Letters*, 23(2):63–69, 1986.

- [3] Alberto Apostolico and Concettina Guerra. The longest common subsequence problem revisited. *Algorithmica*, 2:315–336, 1987.
- [4] Karl Bringmann and Marvin Künnemann. Quadratic conditional lower bounds for string problems and dynamic time warping. In *2015 IEEE 56th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, pages 79–97. IEEE, 2015.
- [5] Yo-lun Chin, Chung-kwong Poon, et al. *A fast algorithm for computing longest common subsequences of small alphabet size*. University of Hong Kong, Department of Computer Science, 1990.
- [6] Jun-Yi Guo and Frank K Hwang. An almost-linear time and linear space algorithm for the longest common subsequence problem. *Information processing letters*, 94(3):131–135, 2005.
- [7] Daniel S. Hirschberg. A linear space algorithm for computing maximal common subsequences. *Communications of the ACM*, 18(6):341–343, 1975.
- [8] James W Hunt and Thomas G Szymanski. A fast algorithm for computing longest common subsequences. *Communications of the ACM*, 20(5):350–353, 1977.
- [9] Costas S Iliopoulos and M Sohel Rahman. A new efficient algorithm for computing the longest common subsequence. *Theory of Computing Systems*, 45(2):355–371, 2009.
- [10] William J Masek and Michael S Paterson. A faster algorithm computing string edit distances. *Journal of Computer and System sciences*, 20(1):18–31, 1980.
- [11] Narao Nakatsu, Yahiko Kambayashi, and Shuzo Yajima. A longest common subsequence algorithm suitable for similar text strings. *Acta Informatica*, 18:171–179, 1982.
- [12] Yoshifumi Sakai. Linear-space LCS enumeration for two strings. *LIPICs, Volume 331, CPM 2025 (to appear)*, 2025.
- [13] Robert A Wagner and Michael J Fischer. The string-to-string correction problem. *Journal of the ACM (JACM)*, 21(1):168–173, 1974.