

Quartet-metric gravity and scalar graviton dark holes: supplements

Yu. F. Pirogov* and O. V. Zenin†

NRC “Kurchatov Institute” – IHEP, Protvino, Russia

Abstract

This note includes results of a study of stationary spherically symmetric “dark holes”, objects merging central black holes and peripheral scalar graviton dark haloes arising in the framework of the modified gravity – the quartet-metric, or more appropriately, multiscalar-metric gravity with spontaneously broken relativity as a gauge symmetry [1]. An exact solution to be considered as a basic one for the dark holes is presented. This solution may, in principle, be used for a qualitative description of the effect of asymptotically flat rotation curves in galaxies. To convert the basic solution into a more realistic one suitable for astrophysical applications, a family of modified solutions is considered. These solutions are studied numerically for representative set of free parameters.

These results were reported in the talk [2] but not included into the proceedings [3] due to article length limitations. The note can be considered as a supplement to this reference.

1 Introduction

The existence of (cold) dark matter (DM) is strongly motivated by cosmological and astrophysical observational data analyzed in the framework of General Relativity (GR) and the Standard Model of particle physics (SM) [4]. On the other hand, the DM phenomenon raises a number of theoretical and experimental issues. The SM contains no DM candidate, and there is no preferred path of SM extension providing it. Experimental searches for DM particles did not yield any positive signal yet. Cold DM, irrespective of its particular nature, exhibits dynamical problems at scales ≤ 1 Mpc [4].

Given the DM problems emerging in the framework of GR and (extended) SM, one can alternatively search for “dark” degrees of freedom in a modified gravity. GR, as an effective field theory (EFT) with the gauge symmetry group of diffeomorphisms (Diff), leaves only two on-shell degrees of freedom for the massless tensor graviton. Additional gravitational degrees

*Yury.Pirogov@ihep.ru

†Oleg.Zenin@ihep.ru

of freedom may originate from a spontaneous breaking of the Diff gauge symmetry, with extra polarizations of now massive tensor graviton and a physical scalar gravi-Higgs boson (scalar graviton) serving as dark energy (DE) and DM candidates. We consider an implementation of the spontaneous breaking of the modified Diff gauge symmetry, the multiscalar-metric gravity with spontaneously broken relativity (SBR) [1, 5, 6], where the emerging physical gravi-Higgs boson/scalar graviton becomes a natural DM component [7].

2 The multiscalar-metric gravity with spontaneously broken relativity

In the SBR framework, gravity is described by an EFT constructed in observer's arbitrary kinematic coordinates x^α on a dynamical metric $g_{\mu\nu}(x^\alpha)$ and a set of distinct dynamical coordinates $z^\alpha \equiv \delta_a^\alpha Z^a(x_\mu)$ where scalar fields Z^a , $a = 0, 1, 2, 3$ are transformed (piecewise in x^α) under the constant Poincaré group acting in Z^a space.¹ More specifically, the gravity theory is considered as a gauge theory corresponding to a spontaneously broken relativity symmetry, with Z^a being gravi-Higgs fields. From particle physics viewpoint, 3 combinations of Z^a components become additional components of a massive tensor graviton, while the remaining one combination of Z^a describes a scalar graviton playing the rôle of a physical gravi-Higgs boson.

Poincaré symmetry in Z space implies that Z^a enter the Lagrangian only via a derivative term

$$\zeta_{\mu\nu} \equiv \partial_\mu Z^a \partial_\nu Z^b \eta_{ab},$$

where η_{ab} is the Minkowski symbol, and the quasi-metric $\zeta_{\mu\nu}$ possesses (patchwise in x^α) an inverse $\zeta^{-1\mu\nu}$, with the determinant $\zeta \equiv \det(\zeta_{\mu\nu}) < 0$. The fields $g_{\mu\nu}$ and $\zeta_{\mu\nu}$ enter the action in the form of effective fields. The effective scalar graviton field is defined as

$$\sigma = \log(\sqrt{-g}/\sqrt{-\zeta}). \quad (1)$$

The effective metric $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$ entering the observables and the metric/quasi-metric correlator $\bar{\alpha}_\nu^\mu$ are defined, respectively, as

$$\bar{g}_{\mu\nu} \equiv e^{\bar{w}} g_{\mu\nu}, \quad \bar{\alpha}_\nu^\mu \equiv \bar{g}^{\mu\lambda} \zeta_{\lambda\nu}. \quad (2)$$

Here $\bar{w} \equiv \bar{w}(\sigma)$ is the gravitational scale factor² and $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$ possesses an inverse $\bar{g}^{\mu\nu} \equiv \bar{g}^{-1\mu\nu}$. $\bar{\alpha}_\nu^\mu$ is a kind of a dynamical DE required to implement the mechanism of spontaneous breaking of the relativity symmetry [6]. In terms of the effective fields, the action functional reads

$$S[g_{\mu\nu}, Z^a] = \int \bar{L}(\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}, \bar{\alpha}_\nu^\mu, \sigma) \sqrt{-\bar{g}} d^4x, \quad (3)$$

where \bar{L} defines a particular variant of the gravity model.

¹Generally, the spacetime dimension D is arbitrary. For definiteness, here and in what follows $D = 4$ is chosen (henceforth, the term quartet-metric gravity).

²Termed Weyl form-factor in [3]. E.g., $\bar{w} = -\sigma/2$ corresponds to a spontaneously broken Weyl-transverse relativity and $\bar{w} = 0$ to a spontaneously broken GR.

The Lagrangian and field equations. Following Ref. [3], we use the minimal Lagrangian,

$$\bar{L} = \bar{L}_g + \bar{L}_s + \bar{L}_m, \quad (4)$$

with the tensor and scalar parts defined, respectively, as

$$\bar{L}_g = -\frac{1}{2}M_{\text{Pl}}^2 \bar{R}(\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}) - \bar{V}_{\mathfrak{a}}(\bar{\mathfrak{a}}_\nu^\mu), \quad (5)$$

$$\bar{L}_s = \frac{1}{2}\bar{g}^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu s \partial_\nu s - V_s(s). \quad (6)$$

Here $M_{\text{Pl}} = 1/(8\pi G_N)^{1/2}$ is the Planck mass and $\bar{R} = R(\bar{g}_{\mu\nu})$ is the Ricci scalar curvature expressed via the effective metric. The physical scalar graviton field is $s = M_s \sigma$, with the scale $M_s < M_{\text{Pl}}$. The potential $\bar{V}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ depends on traces of products of $\bar{\mathfrak{a}}_\nu^\mu$ and (along with $V_s(s)$) is responsible for a spontaneous relativity breaking [6]. As in Ref. [3], we approximate $\bar{V}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ by an effective CC, $\bar{V}_{\mathfrak{a}} = M_{\text{Pl}}^2 \bar{\Lambda}$, and neglect the tensor graviton mass. As long as pure vacuum configurations are considered, the ordinary matter term \bar{L}_m is omitted. \bar{L}_s can be conveniently expressed in terms of the dimensionless field σ , with the scale $M_s \equiv M_{\text{Pl}} \Upsilon$ factored out:

$$\bar{L}_s = \bar{L}_\sigma = M_{\text{Pl}}^2 \Upsilon^2 \left[\frac{1}{2} \bar{g}^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \sigma \partial_\nu \sigma - V_\sigma(\sigma) \right].$$

where $V_\sigma(\sigma) = V_s(M_{\text{Pl}} \Upsilon \sigma) / M_{\text{Pl}}^2 \Upsilon^2$. The dimensionless parameter Υ characterizes the coupling between scalar and tensor gravity. Variation of action (3) with Lagrangian density (4) with respect to the basic metric $g^{\mu\nu}$ gives Einstein's equations in terms of the effective metric and σ (with traceless terms explicitly separated):

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\text{Pl}}^2 \left[\bar{R}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} \bar{R} \right] &= \bar{T}_{\sigma\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} \bar{T}_\sigma + \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} \left[(1 + 2\bar{w}') (M_{\text{Pl}}^2 (\bar{R} + 4\bar{\Lambda}) + \bar{T}_\sigma) - \frac{4}{\sqrt{-\bar{g}}} \frac{\delta \sqrt{-\bar{g}} \bar{L}_\sigma}{\delta \sigma} \right], \quad (7) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{R}_{\mu\nu} &= R_{\mu\nu}(\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}), \\ \bar{T}_{\sigma\mu\nu} &= M_{\text{Pl}}^2 \Upsilon^2 \left[\partial_\mu \sigma \partial_\nu \sigma - \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} \left(\frac{1}{2} (\partial\sigma)^2 - V_\sigma \right) \right], \quad \bar{T}_\sigma = \bar{g}^{\mu\nu} \bar{T}_{\sigma\mu\nu}, \\ \frac{\delta \sqrt{-\bar{g}} \bar{L}_\sigma}{\delta \sigma} &= -M_{\text{Pl}}^2 \Upsilon^2 \left[\partial_\mu (\sqrt{-\bar{g}} \bar{g}^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu \sigma) + \sqrt{-\bar{g}} \frac{\partial V_\sigma}{\partial \sigma} \right], \\ \bar{w}' &= d\bar{w}(\sigma)/d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

From Eq. (7) one gets the constraint:

$$\frac{1}{4} (1 + 2\bar{w}') (M_{\text{Pl}}^2 (\bar{R} + 4\bar{\Lambda}) + \bar{T}_\sigma) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\bar{g}}} \frac{\delta \sqrt{-\bar{g}} \bar{L}_\sigma}{\delta \sigma} = 0. \quad (8)$$

Variation of the action with respect to Z^a gives

$$\partial_\nu \left[\sqrt{-\bar{g}} \zeta^{-1\mu\nu} \partial_\mu Z^a \frac{1}{4} (M_{\text{Pl}}^2 (\bar{R} + 4\bar{\Lambda}) + \bar{T}_\sigma) \right] = 0. \quad (9)$$

This equation can be immediately integrated:³

$$e^{2\bar{w}+\sigma} \frac{1}{4} (M_{\text{Pl}}^2 (\bar{R} + 4\bar{\Lambda}) + \bar{T}_\sigma) = -M_{\text{Pl}}^2 \kappa_s, \quad (10)$$

where $M_{\text{Pl}}^2 \kappa_s$ is an arbitrary integration constant.⁴

From Eqs. (7), (8), and (10) one finally gets:

$$\bar{R}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} \bar{R} = \frac{1}{M_{\text{Pl}}^2} \bar{T}_{\mu\nu}^{\text{eff}} + \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} \bar{\Lambda}, \quad (11)$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\bar{g}}} \partial_\mu (\sqrt{-\bar{g}} \bar{g}^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu \sigma) = -\frac{\partial V^{\text{eff}}}{\partial \sigma}, \quad (12)$$

with the effective energy–momentum tensor of the scalar graviton

$$\bar{T}_{\mu\nu}^{\text{eff}} = \bar{T}_{\sigma\mu\nu} + \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} M_{\text{Pl}}^2 \kappa_s e^{-2\bar{w}(\sigma)-\sigma} = M_{\text{Pl}}^2 \Upsilon^2 \left[\partial_\mu \sigma \partial_\nu \sigma - \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} \left(\frac{1}{2} (\partial\sigma)^2 - V^{\text{eff}}(\sigma) \right) \right],$$

and the effective potential

$$V^{\text{eff}}(\sigma) = V_\sigma(\sigma) + \frac{\kappa_s}{\Upsilon^2} e^{-2\bar{w}(\sigma)-\sigma}. \quad (13)$$

Note that the integration constant $\kappa_s \neq 0$ makes the scalar graviton self-interacting even in case of the Lagrangian $V_\sigma(\sigma) \equiv 0$.

Equations (11), (12) along with Eq. (9) represent the full set of field equations (FE) of the minimal SBR implementaion.

3 Vacuum stationary spherically symmetric dark holes

To study vacuum stationary spherically symmetric solutions of Eqs. (11), (12), we define the line element in polar coordinates r, θ, ϕ in the reciprocal gauge:

$$ds^2 \equiv \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu = A(r) dt^2 - C(r) r^2 (\sin^2 \theta d\phi^2 + d\theta^2) - A^{-1}(r) dr^2.$$

Eq. (11) gives two independent equations for the metric coefficients A and C [3]:

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{r^2 C} \frac{d}{dr} \left(r^2 C \frac{dA}{dr} \right) = -\Upsilon^2 V^{\text{eff}}(\sigma) - \bar{\Lambda}, \quad (14)$$

$$\frac{1}{r^2 C^{1/2}} \frac{d}{dr} \left(r^2 \frac{dC^{1/2}}{dr} \right) = -\frac{1}{2} \Upsilon^2 \left(\frac{d\sigma}{dr} \right)^2. \quad (15)$$

³Without loss of generality, choose the coordinates $x^\mu \equiv z^\mu \equiv \delta_a^\mu Z^a$ where the equation takes a simplified form. See Ref. [3] for details.

⁴ κ_s was denoted Λ_0 in [7].

Equation (12) gives:

$$\frac{1}{r^2 C} \frac{d}{dr} \left(r^2 C A \frac{d\sigma}{dr} \right) = \frac{\partial V^{\text{eff}}(\sigma)}{\partial \sigma}, \quad (16)$$

with $V^{\text{eff}}(\sigma)$ defined by (13). Following Ref. [3], we consider a simplified case with $V_\sigma \equiv 0$, $\bar{\Lambda} = 0$ and $\bar{w}(\sigma) = \bar{w}'\sigma$ with a constant $\bar{w}' \neq -1/2$.⁵ The effective potential (13) takes a simplified form:

$$V_\sigma^{\text{eff}}(\sigma) = \frac{\kappa_s}{\Upsilon^2} e^{-(1+2\bar{w}')\sigma}. \quad (17)$$

Under these assumptions, solutions of Eqs. (14)-(16) can be classified according to the sign of κ_s .

At $\kappa_s = 0$, Eqs. (14)-(16) take Einstein – massless scalar form, with the unique two-parametric asymptotically flat Fisher–Buchdahl–Janis–Newman–Winicour (FBJNW) solution [8, 9]⁶ featuring the metric with a naked singularity at $r = r_g$ and converging to Schwarzschild metric in the $\Upsilon \rightarrow 0$ limit:

$$A(r) = \left(1 - \frac{r_g}{r}\right)^{1/\sqrt{1+2\Upsilon^2\sigma_r^2}}, \quad C(r) = \left(1 - \frac{r_g}{r}\right)^{1-1/\sqrt{1+2\Upsilon^2\sigma_r^2}}, \quad (18)$$

$$\sigma(r) = \frac{\sigma_r}{\sqrt{1+2\Upsilon^2\sigma_r^2}} \log\left(1 - \frac{r_g}{r}\right) + \sigma_0, \quad (19)$$

where r_g is the central mass parameter and σ_r parameterizes the strength of the scalar field σ (defined up to an additive constant σ_0). Limits on parameters of the FBJNW solution following from Solar system precision tests can be found, e.g., in [7]. In what follows, this solution is termed a *degenerate dark hole*.

In $\kappa_s > 0$ case, the scalar graviton mimics a dynamical DE, as studied in a cosmological context in [10]. Though it represents certain interest in a context of a localized stationary solution, we do not consider this case here.

At $\kappa_s < 0$, solutions of Eqs. (14)–(16) represent objects merging a central GR-like black hole (BH) and a peripheral scalar graviton halo [7]. As shown in [7], at $\kappa_s < 0$ all stationary spherically symmetric vacuum solutions asymptotically converge to the *exceptional* three-parametric solution featuring non-Minkowski asymptotic at $r \rightarrow \infty$, with a property of gravitational confinement resulting in an asymptotically flat rotation curve.⁷

The exceptional solution presented in the exact form in Ref. [3] reads:⁸

$$A(r) = \left(1 - \frac{r_g}{r}\right) \left(\frac{r}{r_h}\right)^{\frac{4\Upsilon^2}{(1+2\bar{w}')^2+2\Upsilon^2}}, \quad C(r) = \left(\frac{r}{r_c}\right)^{-\frac{4\Upsilon^2}{(1+2\bar{w}')^2+2\Upsilon^2}}, \quad (20)$$

⁵We assume $|1 + 2\bar{w}'| \gg \Upsilon$ as for $|1 + 2\bar{w}'| \sim \Upsilon$ the latter cannot be considered as a small parameter.

⁶The solution was originally found in Ref. [8] in the astronomical ($C = 1$) gauge. Later, it was rediscovered [9] using the reciprocal gauge admitting the explicit form given by Eqs. (18), (19).

⁷Study [7] was performed in a context of a unimodular bimode gravity with the non-dynamical scalar density in the limit of scalar/tensor gravity coupling $|\Upsilon| \ll 1$.

⁸It may be noted that the exact exceptional solution coincides with the one obtained in a different context of Einstein–Maxwell–dilaton model in [11].

$$\sigma(r) = \frac{2(1 + 2\bar{w}')}{(1 + 2\bar{w}')^2 + 2\Upsilon^2} \log \frac{r}{r_h}, \quad (21)$$

where r_g is the Schwarzschild radius of the central black hole, the scalar profile parameter $r_h = \Upsilon [-2/((1 + 2\bar{w}')^2 + 2\Upsilon^2) \cdot 1/\kappa_s]^{1/2}$ and the r_c parameter is fixed by the gauge-invariant geometric condition implying that at $r \rightarrow \infty$ the ratio of the measured circumference of a circle to its radius is 2π : $r_c = r_h [1 + 2\Upsilon^2/(1 + 2\bar{w}')^2]^{(1+2\bar{w}')^2/2\Upsilon^2}$ ($r_c \simeq r_h e$ in the $\Upsilon \rightarrow 0$ limit). The corresponding expressions for Z^a fields are given in Appendix. In the scalar graviton decoupling limit $\Upsilon \rightarrow 0$ metric (20) converges to the Schwarzschild metric.

At $\Upsilon \neq 0$, metric (20) is not asymptotically flat and leads to gravitational confinement. For a test particle orbiting the center at a constant radius r one obtains the visible gauge-invariant rotation velocity [3],

$$v_{\text{rot}}(r) = \left[\left(1 + \frac{2\Upsilon^2}{(1 + 2\bar{w}')^2} \right) \frac{1}{2} \frac{r_g}{r - r_g} + \frac{2\Upsilon^2}{(1 + 2\bar{w}')^2} \right]^{1/2}, \quad (22)$$

approaching a constant in the $r \rightarrow \infty$ limit:⁹

$$v_\infty = \frac{\sqrt{2}\Upsilon}{|1 + 2\bar{w}'|}. \quad (23)$$

This is similar to the phenomenon of asymptotically flat rotation curves, observed in galaxies [12] and conventionally ascribed to presence of some DM with a properly chosen density profile [13]. As long as vacuum solutions are considered, they should be compared with DM dominated dwarf galaxies, with typical asymptotic rotation velocities $v_\infty \sim 30$ km/s [13]. For the scalar graviton halo, the asymptotic rotation velocity (23) depends only on the Lagrangian parameters Υ and \bar{w}' . If the scalar graviton is the only DM component, one may obtain a realistic v_∞ estimate with $\Upsilon \sim 10^{-4}$ (assuming $|1 + 2\bar{w}'| \gg \Upsilon$). However, if a more general case of larger galaxies with a lower relative DM content¹⁰ is considered, Υ values can be extended to $\sim 10^{-3}$ in order to obtain $v_\infty \sim 300$ km/s typical for large spiral galaxies [13]. This results in the scalar graviton's kinetic term scale $M_s = \Upsilon M_{\text{Pl}} \sim 10^{14} - 10^{15}$ GeV.¹¹ On the other hand, metric (20) with Υ values in the $10^{-4} - 10^{-3}$ range may result in observationally unacceptable effects at finite r . As will be shown below, the exceptional solution can be modified to improve the small distance behavior while preserving the asymptotic one.

Modified exceptional solution. Before constructing a more general solution of Eqs. (14)-(16), its general properties¹² are to be recapitulated:

⁹ v_∞ grows as $1 + 2\bar{w}'$ approaches 0. The limiting case $\bar{w}' = -1/2$ requires special study. Safely, our present consideration is limited to $\Upsilon \ll |1 + 2\bar{w}'|$ corresponding to $v_\infty \ll 1$.

¹⁰As large galaxies are not dominated by DM, their rotation curves result from an interplay between distributions of baryonic and dark matter, which makes the comparison with purely vacuum solutions inapplicable.

¹¹Is M_s close to GUT scale by coincidence?

¹²Not exposed in Ref. [3].

(i) Setting $d\sigma(r)/dr = 0$ at some r in (16) one finds:

$$A(r)\frac{d^2\sigma}{dr^2} = -\frac{\kappa_s(1+2\bar{w}')}{\Upsilon^2}e^{-(1+2\bar{w}')\sigma}. \quad (24)$$

Given $\kappa_s < 0$ and $A(r) > 0$, this implies that if $\sigma(r)$ has an extremum at some r , then it is a minimum for $1+2\bar{w}' > 0$ and a maximum for $1+2\bar{w}' < 0$, respectively.¹³ Thus, $\sigma(r)$ either has a single extremum or no extrema at all. In what follows, we assume $1+2\bar{w}' > 0$ for definiteness.¹⁴

(ii) As shown in [7], any stationary spherically symmetric solution asymptotically converges to the exceptional one at $r \rightarrow \infty$.

(iii) Any solution is defined by 4 parameters.¹⁵

Let us note that $V^{\text{eff}}(\sigma)$ vanishes at $\sigma \rightarrow +\infty$ and consider a modified solution with a $\sigma \rightarrow +\infty$ singularity at $r \rightarrow r_g$. As in this limit $V_{\text{eff}}(\sigma)$ can be neglected, the modified solution behaves like the degenerate one (18), (19) at $r \rightarrow r_g$:

$$\sigma(r) \sim \sigma_r \log\left(1 - \frac{r_g}{r}\right) + \sigma_0, \quad (25)$$

where $\sigma_r < 0$, $\sigma(r) > 0$ and $d\sigma(r)/dr < 0$. On the other hand, at $r \rightarrow \infty$ the solution must converge to the exceptional one with $d\sigma/dr > 0$. Thus, at some $r > r_g$ $\sigma(r)$ reaches its minimum.¹⁶

It is convenient to define a ‘‘Yukawa charge’’ as a (distributed) source of the σ field:

$$Y_\sigma(r) \equiv 4\pi r^2 C A \frac{d\sigma}{dr}. \quad (26)$$

The motivation is that for the degenerate solution (18), (19) $Y_\sigma(r)$ does not depend on r and can be indeed considered as a charge of the central source of σ field:

$$Y_\sigma = \frac{4\pi r_g \sigma_r}{\sqrt{1+2\Upsilon^2\sigma_r^2}}. \quad (27)$$

For the exceptional solution (20), (21) $Y_\sigma(r)$ vanishes in the $r \rightarrow r_g$ limit. The modified solution interpolating between the degenerate and exceptional ones has $Y_\sigma(r)|_{r \rightarrow r_g} < 0$.

The small distance behavior of the modified solution can be parameterized by the two free parameters, r_g and $Y_\sigma(r)|_{r \rightarrow r_g}$. The asymptotic behavior is characterized by the halo

¹³Recall that we assumed $\bar{w}' = \text{const}$.

¹⁴The $1+2\bar{w}' < 0$ case can be considered by changing sign of $\sigma(r)$.

¹⁵The solution is fully determined by κ_s and A , dA/dr , C , dC/dr , σ , $d\sigma/dr$ values at some $r = r_0$. The potential (17) is invariant under simultaneous transformations: $\sigma \rightarrow \sigma + \Delta\sigma$, $\kappa_s \rightarrow \kappa_s e^{(1+2\bar{w}')\Delta\sigma}$, where $\Delta\sigma$ is an arbitrary constant shift. This reduces the number of free parameters by one. Fixing $A(r_0)$ by linear scaling of t (and r , to preserve the reciprocal gauge) eliminates one more free parameter. Finally, $C(r_0)$ can be fixed by the asymptotic circumference/radius requirement. So far, only 4 independent parameters remain.

¹⁶In the special case $r_g = 0$ studied in [7] the minimum is located at $r = 0$.

profile parameter r_h and the intercept radius r_{int} , i.e. the minimum r where the modified $\sigma(r)$ crosses the asymptote given by Eq. (21) with the same r_h . The r_{int} can be considered as the distance of the onset of gravitational confinement.

Given qualitative considerations above, we constructed modified solutions for representative set of free parameters by integrating Eqs. (14)-(16) numerically. The examples are shown in Figs. 1-4. The plots show the metric component g_{00} , the strength of the scalar graviton field σ , the effective gravitating mass¹⁷ M_g normalized to the mass of the central black hole $M_{\text{BH}} = r_g c^2 / 2G_N$, and the corresponding circular rotation velocity v_{rot} as functions of r . On all plots, the Lagrangian parameters are fixed under $\Upsilon = 10^{-3}$, $\bar{w}' = 0$.¹⁸ The central black hole mass is chosen as $M_{\text{BH}} = 2 \cdot 10^{11} M_{\odot}$, with the corresponding Schwarzschild radius $r_g \simeq 0.02$ pc. The halo profile parameter is $r_h = 10^5 r_g \simeq 2$ kpc. Variable parameters are the central Yukawa charge Y_{σ} and the intercept radius r_{int} . The g_{00} and v_{rot} plots demonstrate relatively slight deviation of the modified solution from Schwarzschild behavior up to $r \sim r_{\text{int}}$, compared to sizable deviation of the exceptional solution. This allows to satisfy possible observational constraints at short distances $r < r_{\text{int}}$. Unfortunately, this makes the predictions for dark holes less unique at small distances.

On the other hand, the family of modified solutions possesses essentially non-flat common asymptotic given by the exceptional solution (20), (21). This limits the applicability of the considered solutions for modeling realistic finite DM haloes. Possible paths to resolve this problem are discussed below.

4 Discussion

Can dark holes be modified to model realistic cosmic objects with finite DM haloes? The desirable features are Minkowski (or de Sitter) asymptotic at $r \rightarrow \infty$ and, simultaneously, an approximately flat rotation curve at intermediate r , up to some cutoff distance r_{cut} . For the stationary spherically symmetric configuration, an immediate possibility is to introduce previously neglected effective CC approximating the DE potential term in Eq. (5), $\bar{V}_{\text{ae}} = M_{\text{pl}}^2 \bar{\Lambda}$. From Eqs. (14)-(16) one obtains for the $A(r)$ metric coefficient, up to terms linear in Υ^2 , $\bar{\Lambda}$ and r_g :

$$A(r) = 1 - \frac{r_g}{r} + \frac{4\Upsilon^2}{(1 + 2\bar{w}')^2} \log \frac{r}{r_h} - \frac{\bar{\Lambda}}{3} r^2 + \dots, \quad (28)$$

which for $\bar{\Lambda} > 0$ implies the cutoff at $r_{\text{cut}} \simeq \sqrt{6/(1 + 2\bar{w}')\Upsilon\bar{\Lambda}^{-1/2}}$. With $\bar{\Lambda}^{-1/2}$ of an order of the cosmological horizon scale and $\Upsilon \sim 10^{-4}$ – 10^{-3} , one obtains $r_{\text{cut}} \sim 1$ – 10 Mpc, a scale relevant to galaxy clusters rather than to individual galaxies. A more general approximation for the DE potential can be considered as well.

¹⁷Defined as $M_g = 2M_{\text{pl}}^2 \int R_0^0 \sqrt{-\bar{g}} d^3x$, following Ref. [3]. In GR, this definition coincides with Tolman's mass-energy of a spatially localized system [14]. Note that M_g is gauge dependent.

¹⁸ $\bar{w}' = 0$ corresponds to the spontaneously broken GR. $\Upsilon = 10^{-3}$ corresponding to $v_{\infty} \sim 300$ km/s is chosen to amplify expected deviations from GR-like behavior. However, in reality these deviations are smaller for $\Upsilon \sim 10^{-4}$.

Another possibility is a massive scalar graviton. The minimal Lagrangian (4)-(6) can be modified by introducing the potential V_σ in (13), such that the effective potential $V^{\text{eff}}(\sigma)$ has a local minimum at some finite $\sigma = \sigma_{\text{min}}$ but retains the exponential asymptotic at $\sigma \rightarrow -\infty$.¹⁹ For illustration purposes, let us choose the quadratic potential,

$$V_\sigma(\sigma) = V_0 + \frac{m^2}{2}(\sigma - \sigma_0)^2. \quad (29)$$

At $\kappa_s < 0$, $V^{\text{eff}}(\sigma)$ possesses a local minimum under the condition:

$$m^2 > \left(-\frac{\kappa_s}{\Upsilon^2}\right) (1 + 2\bar{w}')^2 e^{1-(1+2\bar{w}')\sigma_0}. \quad (30)$$

The presence of the minimum allows, particularly, for a trivial stationary solution with $\sigma = \sigma_{\text{min}}$ and the spacetime with a constant spatial curvature. Solutions behaving like the (modified) exceptional solution at finite distances and asymptotically converging to the trivial one were studied numerically for representative values of the m and σ_0 parameters. For definiteness, the V_0 parameter was adjusted to obtain asymptotically Minkowski space.²⁰ The examples are shown in Figs. 5, 6.

Further Lagrangian modifications may include a non-minimal kinetic term of the scalar graviton and an account for a dynamical DE via $V_{\mathfrak{x}}(\bar{\mathfrak{x}}_\nu^\mu)$ making the tensor graviton massive [6]. A deeper understanding of the underlying theory requires to study an influence of the ordinary matter on vacuum solutions, an aspect neglected so far. Additional DM components (possibly coupled to the scalar graviton) can be considered as well.

More radical modifications concerning the definition of the effective metric (2) include a non-linear or matter dependent Weyl form-factor $\bar{w}(\sigma)$.²¹ An important special case is $\bar{w} = -\sigma/2$, corresponding to the spontaneously broken Weyl-transverse relativity opening a possibility to resolve the CC problem [6].

The proposed modifications require further extensive studies.

5 Conclusion

As an entrance point to a more general problem of merging a modified gravity, DM and DE through the multiscalar-metric gravity with spontaneously broken relativity (SBR), we considered basic vacuum stationary spherically symmetric solutions arising in the SBR framework – *dark holes*, which merge a GR-like central black hole with an extending scalar graviton halo. The manifestation of the latter is the effect of asymptotically flat rotation curves, similar to the one observed in galaxies and generally attributed to the presence of some conventional DM. Possibilities of further modification of basic dark holes are discussed, with a prospect to describe realistic astrophysical objects. The dark holes may be considered as a distinctive feature of the multiscalar-metric paradigm.

¹⁹ $V_\sigma \neq \text{const}$ explicitly violates the invariance under constant σ shifts.

²⁰Minkowski asymptotic allows to consistently define a finite effective mass of the system.

²¹E.g., $\bar{w}'(\sigma) \rightarrow -1/2$ at $\sigma \rightarrow \pm\infty$ and $-1/2 < \bar{w}'(\sigma) < 0$ at finite σ may result in (anti)de Sitter asymptotic at $r \rightarrow \infty$, a GR-like solution at small distances and a gravitational confinement at intermediate r .

Appendix

The Z^a fields corresponding to the exceptional solution (20), (21) are conveniently expressed in internal spherical coordinates (up to global Lorentz transformations and shifts in the internal $\{Z^a\}$ space):


$$Z^0 = C_0 t, \quad Z^\theta = \theta, \quad Z^\phi = \phi,$$

$$Z^r = r_h \left[\frac{3}{C_0} \cdot \frac{(1 + 2\bar{w}')^2 + 2\Upsilon^2}{(1 + 2\bar{w}')(1 + 6\bar{w}') + 2\Upsilon^2} \left(\frac{r_c}{r_h} \right)^{\frac{4\Upsilon^2}{(1+2\bar{w}')^2+2\Upsilon^2}} \left(\frac{r}{r_h} \right)^{\frac{(1+2\bar{w}')(1+6\bar{w}')+2\Upsilon^2}{(1+2\bar{w}')^2+2\Upsilon^2}} + C_1 \right]^{1/3}, \quad (31)$$


where C_0 and C_1 are arbitrary constants, and the parameters r_h, r_c are defined in Section 3.

Note that Z^a (and, consequently, σ) do not depend on the central mass parameter r_g .


Acknowledgements. The authors are grateful to V. V. Bryzgalov for discussions.




(a)



(c)




(b)




(d)


Figure 1: The modified exceptional solution (blue curves): (a) g_{00} metric component, (b) $\sigma(r)$, (c) circular rotation velocity $v_{\text{rot}}(r)/c$, (d) the effective gravitating mass $M_g(r)$ normalized to the central black hole mass. The same quantities are shown in red for the exceptional solution with the same r_g and r_h . g_{00} and $v_{\text{rot}}(r)$ for Schwarzschild metric with the same r_g are shown in green. Central Yukawa charge and the intercept radius of the modified solution are $Y_\sigma/r_g = -0.75$ and $r_{\text{int}}/0.02\text{pc} = 10^5$, respectively (see the explanation of the parameters in the text).




(a)



(c)




(b)




(d)


Figure 2: Same as in Fig. 1 with $Y_\sigma/r_g = -0.997 \cdot 10^5$, $\log_{10}(r_{\text{int}}/0.02\text{pc}) = 5.43$.




(a)



(c)




(b)




(d)


Figure 3: Same as in Figs. 1, 2 with $Y_\sigma/r_g = -0.93 \cdot 10^2$, $\log_{10}(r_{\text{int}}/0.02\text{pc}) = 6.95$.




(a)



(c)



(b)



(d)

Figure 4: Same as in Figs. 1-3 with $Y_\sigma/r_g = -3$, $\log_{10}(r_{\text{int}}/0.02\text{pc}) = 3.91$.







Figure 5: Same as in Fig. 4, with the additional quadratic V_σ potential defined by Eq. 29. The V_σ parameters are $\sigma_0 = 7.55$ and $1/m = 38.8$ kpc, V_0 is fixed by the Minkowski asymptotic requirement. The other parameters of the solution are as in Fig. 4. Note the cutoff of the gravitational confinement regime at $r_{\text{cut}} \simeq 20$ kpc. The effective gravitating mass (d) of the system is finite, contrary to the divergent mass in the exceptional solution.




(a)



(c)



(b)



(d)

Figure 6: Same as in Fig. 5 with $\sigma_0 = 5.55$, $1/m = 14.4$ kpc. The other parameters are as in Fig. 4. Note the cutoff of the gravitational confinement regime at $r_{\text{cut}} \simeq 8$ kpc. The effective gravitating mass (d) of the system is finite, contrary to the divergent mass in the exceptional solution.

References

- [1] Yu. F. Pirogov, Eur. Phys. J. C **76**, 215 (2016).
- [2] Yu. F. Pirogov and O. V. Zenin, “Quartet-metric gravity and scalar graviton dark holes”, talk at the 7th Int. Conf. on Particle Physics and Astrophysics (ICPPA-2024), Moscow, 22-25 October 2024, <https://indico.particle.mephi.ru/event/436/contributions/4166/>.
- [3] Yu. F. Pirogov and O. V. Zenin, “Quartet-metric gravity and scalar graviton dark holes”, Phys. Atom. Nucl. **88**, vol. 2 (2025), in press.
- [4] S. Navas et al. (Particle Data Group), Phys. Rev. D **110**, 030001 (2024).
- [5] Yu. F. Pirogov, Int. J. Mod. Phys. Conf. Ser. **47**, 1860101 (2018).
- [6] Yu. F. Pirogov, Grav. Cosmol. **28**, 263 (2022) [arXiv:2105.09897 [gr-qc]].
- [7] Yu. F. Pirogov, Eur. Phys. J. C **72**, 2017 (2012).
- [8] I. Z. Fisher, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **18**, 668 (1948).
- [9] H. A. Buchdahl, Phys. Rev. **115**, 1325 (1959); A. I. Janis, E. T. Newman, and J. Winicour, Phys. Rev. Lett. **20**, 878 (1968).
- [10] Yu. F. Pirogov, JCAP **01**, 055 (2019).
- [11] A. Sheykhi, N. Riazi, and M. H. Mahzoon, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 044025 (2006).
- [12] V. C. Rubin, and W. K. Ford, Jr., Astrophys. J. **159**, 379 (1970); V. C. Rubin, N. Thonnard, and W. K. Ford, Jr., Astrophys. J. **238**, 471 (1980); A. Bosma, Astron. J. **86**, 1791 (1981); *ibid.* **86**, 1825 (1981).
- [13] P. Salucci, Astron. Astrophys. Rev. **27**, 2 (2019) [arXiv:1811.0884 [astro-ph.GA]].
- [14] R. C. Tolman, Phys. Rev. **35**, 875 (1930).