

# On the bias of the Gini coefficient estimator for zero-truncated Poisson distributions

Roberto Vila<sup>1\*</sup> and Helton Saulo<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *Department of Statistics, University of Brasilia, Brasilia, Brazil*

<sup>2</sup> *Department of Economics, Federal University of Pelotas, Pelotas, Brazil*

April 8, 2025

## Abstract

This paper analyzes the Gini coefficient estimator for zero-truncated Poisson populations, revealing the presence of bias, and provides a mathematical expression for the bias, along with a bias-corrected estimator, which is evaluated using Monte Carlo simulation methods.

**Keywords.** Zero-truncated Poisson distribution, Gini coefficient estimator, biased estimator.

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2010).** MSC 60E05 · MSC 62Exx · MSC 62Fxx.

## 1 Introduction

The Gini coefficient is a well-established measure of inequality and dispersion, widely applied in areas such as economics, ecology, and epidemiology (Yao, 1999; Damgaard and Weiner, 2000; Eliazar and Cohen, 2014; Abeles and Conway, 2020; Kharazmi et al., 2023). In epidemiology, the Gini coefficient can be used to quantify heterogeneity in the offspring distribution of infectious diseases, making it particularly suitable for analyzing superspreading events, in which a small number of individuals are responsible for a substantial share of secondary infections; see Höhle (2020).

The zero-truncated Poisson (ZTP) distribution provides a natural probabilistic model for the number of secondary infections caused by an infected individual, given that at least one secondary infection has occurred. In this sense, the Gini coefficient for ZTP populations can be a useful tool for understanding epidemic dynamics and designing effective control strategies. Despite its usefulness, the Gini coefficient estimator for ZTP populations is prone to bias, particularly in small-sample scenarios common in contact tracing or cluster-based studies. This raises important methodological concerns regarding the reliability and correction of Gini-based heterogeneity measures in discrete, truncated settings.

In this paper, we analyze the bias of the sample Gini coefficient when the underlying population follows a ZTP distribution. Our contributions are twofold: (i) we derive a simple expression for

---

\*Corresponding author: Roberto Vila, email: rovig161@gmail.com

the expected value of the Gini coefficient estimator under the ZTP model, and (ii) we propose a bias-corrected version of the estimator. The performance of the corrected estimator is assessed through Monte Carlo simulation. By improving the accuracy of inequality measurement in zero-truncated count data, our findings contribute to more reliable quantification of heterogeneity in a variety of domains, particularly this work provides tools that enhance the quantitative assessment of transmission heterogeneity, which is useful in applications involving superspreading phenomena.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present the theoretical basis and key definitions. In Section 3, we obtain a closed-form expression for the expected value of the sample Gini coefficient estimator. In Section 4, we examine an illustrative Monte Carlo simulation study. Finally, in Section 5, we provide some concluding remarks.

## 2 Gini coefficient for ZTP distributions

In this section we define the zero-truncated Poisson distribution and some of its main characteristics, in order to then explicitly determine its Gini coefficient.

### 2.1 ZTP distribution

A discrete random variable  $X$  has a zero-truncated Poisson (ZTP) distribution (Johnson et al., 2005) with parameter  $\lambda > 0$ , denoted by  $X \sim \text{ZTP}(\lambda)$ , if its probability mass function is given by

$$P_\lambda(k) = \mathbb{P}(X = k) = \frac{1}{1 - \exp(-\lambda)} \frac{\exp(-\lambda)\lambda^k}{k!}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots \quad (1)$$

It is well-known that the cumulative distribution function and the expected value of  $X \sim \text{ZTP}(\lambda)$ , denoted by  $F_\lambda(x)$  and  $\mu = \mathbb{E}(X)$ , respectively, are given by

$$F_\lambda(x) = \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{1}{1 - \exp(-\lambda)} \frac{\gamma(\lfloor x \rfloor + 1, \lambda)}{\Gamma(\lfloor x \rfloor + 1)}, & x \geq 1, \\ 0, & x < 1, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu = \frac{\lambda}{1 - \exp(-\lambda)}, \quad (2)$$

where  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  is the floor function,  $\Gamma(x)$  is the (complete) gamma function and  $\gamma(x, y)$  is the lower incomplete gamma function.

### 2.2 Gini coefficient

The Gini coefficient assesses income inequality via the Lorenz curve, representing cumulative income share versus population percentage. It is calculated as the ratio of the area between the Lorenz curve and the line of equality to the total area under the line. Our analysis employs the conventional mean-difference-based definition

**Definition 2.1.** The Gini coefficient (Gini, 1936) of a random variable  $X$  with finite mean  $\mathbb{E}(X)$  is defined as

$$G = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathbb{E}(|X_1 - X_2|)}{\mathbb{E}(X)}, \quad (3)$$

where  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are independent copies of  $X$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** The Gini coefficient for  $X \sim \text{ZTP}(\lambda)$  is given by

$$G = 1 - \frac{2 \exp(-\lambda)}{1 - \exp(-\lambda)} \int_0^\lambda I_0(2\sqrt{\lambda t}) \exp(-t) dt + \frac{\exp(-2\lambda)}{1 - \exp(-\lambda)} I_1(2\lambda), \quad (4)$$

where  $I_\nu(z) = (z/2)^\nu \sum_{k=0}^\infty (z/2)^{2k} / [k! \Gamma(\nu + k + 1)]$  is the modified Bessel function of the first kind of order  $\nu$ .

*Proof.* Following Proposition 2.3 in [Vila and Saulo \(2025\)](#), a crucial step in our analysis involves determining

$$G = 2\mathbb{P}(X < X^*) - 1 + \mathbb{P}(X = X^*), \quad (5)$$

where  $X$  and  $X^*$  are independent, and  $X^*$  has sized-biased distribution, that is, its probability mass function is given by

$$P_\lambda^*(k) = \mathbb{P}(X^* = k) = \frac{k P_\lambda(k)}{\mu} = \frac{\exp(-\lambda) \lambda^{k-1}}{(k-1)!}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots \quad (6)$$

Given the independence of the random variables  $X$  and  $X^*$ , we can combine the results of (2) and (6) to arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(X < X^*) &= \sum_{k=1}^\infty F_\lambda(k-1) P_\lambda^*(k) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{1 - \exp(-\lambda)} \frac{\gamma(k, \lambda)}{\Gamma(k)} \right] P_\lambda^*(k) \\ &= 1 - \frac{\exp(-\lambda)}{1 - \exp(-\lambda)} \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{\gamma(k, \lambda)}{\Gamma(k)} \frac{\lambda^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \\ &= 1 - \frac{\exp(-\lambda)}{1 - \exp(-\lambda)} \int_0^\lambda I_0(2\sqrt{\lambda t}) \exp(-t) dt, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where the last equality utilizes the definitions of the lower incomplete gamma,  $\gamma(s, x) = \int_0^x t^{s-1} \exp(-t) dt$ , and the modified Bessel function of the first kind of order zero. Analogously, we obtain,

$$\mathbb{P}(X = X^*) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty P_\lambda(k) P_\lambda^*(k) = \frac{\exp(-2\lambda)}{1 - \exp(-\lambda)} \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{\lambda^{2k-1}}{(k-1)! k!} = \frac{\exp(-2\lambda)}{1 - \exp(-\lambda)} I_1(2\lambda), \quad (8)$$

where we have used the definition of the modified Bessel function of the first kind of order one. By substituting equations (7) and (8) into formula (5), the proof is readily obtained.  $\blacksquare$

### 3 Bias of the Gini coefficient estimator

The primary objective of this section is to obtain a concise closed-form representation for the expected value of the Gini coefficient estimator,  $\hat{G}$ , initially introduced in the literature by [Deltas](#)

(2003),

$$\widehat{G} = \frac{1}{n-1} \left[ \frac{\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} |X_i - X_j|}{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i} \right], \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2, \quad (9)$$

where  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  are independent, identically distributed (i.i.d.) observations from the population.

In the following, Theorem 3.1 from Vila and Saulo (2025) is reformulated for zero-truncated Poisson distributions, enabling the calculation of the Gini coefficient estimator bias (see Subsection 3.2).

**Theorem 3.1.** (Vila and Saulo, 2025) Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  be independent copies of  $X \sim ZTP(\lambda)$  and common cumulative distribution function  $F_\lambda(x)$  and probability mass function  $P_\lambda(x)$ , given in (2) and (1), respectively. The following holds:

$$\mathbb{E}(\widehat{G}) = n\mathbb{E}(X) \left\{ 2R_1(F) - R_\infty(F) + \mathbb{E} \left[ g(X^*, X) \mathbf{1}_{\{X=X^*\}} \right] \right\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2,$$

where  $X^*$  has sized-biased distribution (6),  $R_1(F) \equiv \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 1} R_\varepsilon(F)$ ,  $R_\infty(F) \equiv \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow \infty} R_\varepsilon(F)$ ,

$$R_\varepsilon(F) \equiv \int_0^\infty \mathbb{E} [\exp(-xX^*) H(x, \varepsilon X^*)] \mathcal{L}_F^{n-2}(x) dx, \quad \varepsilon > 0, \quad (10)$$

$$H(x, x^*) = \sum_{k=1}^{x^*-1} \exp(-xk) P_\lambda(k), \quad x > 0, \quad x^* = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (11)$$

$$g(u, v) \equiv \int_0^\infty \exp[-(u+v)x] \mathcal{L}_F^{n-2}(x) dx, \quad u, v > 0, \quad (12)$$

and  $\mathcal{L}_F(y) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty \exp(-yk) P_\lambda(k)$  is the Laplace transform corresponding to distribution  $F_\lambda(x)$ . In the above, we are assuming that the improper integrals involved exist.

### 3.1 Technical results

As the determination of  $\mathbb{E}(\widehat{G})$  relies on the explicit evaluation of  $R_1(F)$ ,  $R_\infty(F)$ , and  $\mathbb{E} [g(X^*, X) \mathbf{1}_{\{X=X^*\}}]$  (see Theorem 3.1), this subsection presents several technical results that facilitate the computation of these quantities, as demonstrated in Theorems 3.6 and 3.7.

**Proposition 3.2.** The following holds:

$$H(x, x^*) = \frac{\exp(-\lambda)}{1 - \exp(-\lambda)} \left\{ \frac{\exp[\lambda \exp(-x)] \Gamma(x^*, \lambda \exp(-x))}{\Gamma(x^*)} - 1 \right\}, \quad x > 0, \quad x^* = 1, 2, \dots,$$

with  $H(x, x^*)$  being as in (11).

*Proof.* Direct calculation yields

$$\begin{aligned} H(x, x^*) &= \sum_{k=1}^{x^*-1} \exp(-xk) P_\lambda(k) = \frac{\exp(-\lambda)}{1 - \exp(-\lambda)} \sum_{k=1}^{x^*-1} \frac{[\lambda \exp(-x)]^k}{k!} \\ &= \frac{\exp(-\lambda)}{1 - \exp(-\lambda)} \left\{ \frac{\exp[\lambda \exp(-x)] \Gamma(x^*, \lambda \exp(-x))}{\Gamma(x^*)} - 1 \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

with the last equality following from the identity  $\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} a^k/k! = \exp(a)\Gamma(n, a)/\Gamma(n) - 1$ . This completes the proof.  $\blacksquare$

**Proposition 3.3.** We have:

$$\mathcal{L}_F(x) = \frac{\exp(-\lambda)}{1 - \exp(-\lambda)} \{ \exp[\lambda \exp(-x)] - 1 \}, \quad x > 0,$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_F(p)$  is the Laplace transform corresponding to distribution  $F_\lambda(x)$  given in (2).

*Proof.* The proof is a direct consequence of Proposition 3.2, as we note that the equality  $\mathcal{L}_F(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow \infty} H(x, \varepsilon x^*)$ , for  $x^* = 1, 2, \dots$ , holds.  $\blacksquare$

**Proposition 3.4.** The following statement is valid:

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}[\exp(-xX^*)H(x, \varepsilon X^*)] \\ &= \frac{\exp(-2\lambda)}{1 - \exp(-\lambda)} \exp[\lambda \exp(-x) - x] \left\{ \int_{\lambda \exp(-x)}^{\infty} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{\varepsilon(k+1)-1}}{\Gamma(\varepsilon(k+1))} \frac{[\lambda \exp(-x)]^k}{k!} \right\} \exp(-t) dt - 1 \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

with  $H(x, x^*)$ ,  $x > 0$ ,  $x^* = 1, 2, \dots$ , being as in Proposition 3.2.

*Proof.* By using Proposition 3.2 and the definition (6) of the probability mass function of  $X^*$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\exp(-xX^*)H(x, \varepsilon X^*)] &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \exp(-kx) H(x, \varepsilon k) P_\lambda^*(k) \\ &= \frac{\exp(-2\lambda)}{1 - \exp(-\lambda)} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \exp(-kx) \left\{ \frac{\exp[\lambda \exp(-x)] \Gamma(\varepsilon k, \lambda \exp(-x))}{\Gamma(\varepsilon k)} - 1 \right\} \frac{\lambda^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \\ &= \frac{\exp(-2\lambda)}{1 - \exp(-\lambda)} \exp[\lambda \exp(-x) - x] \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\varepsilon k, \lambda \exp(-x))}{\Gamma(\varepsilon k)} \frac{[\lambda \exp(-x)]^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} - 1 \right\}, \quad (13) \end{aligned}$$

where in the last step, we applied a change of variable and utilized the fact that  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \exp[-(k+1)x] \lambda^k/k! = \exp[\lambda \exp(-x) - x]$ . Upon invoking the definition  $\Gamma(s, x) = \int_x^{\infty} t^{s-1} \exp(-t) dt$  of upper incomplete gamma function, the above expression can be written as

$$= \frac{\exp(-2\lambda)}{1 - \exp(-\lambda)} \exp[\lambda \exp(-x) - x] \left\{ \int_{\lambda \exp(-x)}^{\infty} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{\varepsilon(k+1)-1}}{\Gamma(\varepsilon(k+1))} \frac{[\lambda \exp(-x)]^k}{k!} \right\} \exp(-t) dt - 1 \right\}.$$

The proof is now finished.  $\blacksquare$

**Lemma 3.5.** It holds that:

1.

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 1} \mathbb{E}[\exp(-xX^*)H(x, \varepsilon X^*)] \\ &= \frac{\exp(-2\lambda)}{1 - \exp(-\lambda)} \exp[\lambda \exp(-x) - x] \left\{ \exp[\lambda \exp(-x)] Q_1 \left( \sqrt{2\lambda \exp(-x)}, \sqrt{2\lambda \exp(-x)} \right) - 1 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

2.

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[\exp(-xX^*)H(x, \varepsilon X^*)] = \frac{\exp(-2\lambda)}{1 - \exp(-\lambda)} \exp[\lambda \exp(-x) - x] \{ \exp[\lambda \exp(-x)] - 1 \}.$$

In the above,  $Q_\nu(a, b) = a^{1-\nu} \int_b^\infty x^\nu \exp[-(x^2 + a^2)/2] I_{\nu-1}(ax) dx$  denotes the generalized Marcum Q-function of order  $\nu$ .

*Proof.* Taking the limit as  $\varepsilon$  approaches 1 in Proposition 3.4, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 1} \mathbb{E}[\exp(-xX^*)H(x, \varepsilon X^*)] \\ &= \frac{\exp(-2\lambda)}{1 - \exp(-\lambda)} \exp[\lambda \exp(-x) - x] \left\{ \int_{\lambda \exp(-x)}^\infty \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{[\lambda \exp(-x)t]^k}{(k!)^2} \right\} \exp(-t) dt - 1 \right\} \\ &= \frac{\exp(-2\lambda)}{1 - \exp(-\lambda)} \exp[\lambda \exp(-x) - x] \left\{ \int_{\lambda \exp(-x)}^\infty I_0(2\sqrt{\lambda \exp(-x)t}) \exp(-t) dt - 1 \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality utilizes the definition of the modified Bessel function of the first kind of order zero. Taking the change of variable  $s = \sqrt{2t}$ , the above expression becomes

$$= \frac{\exp(-2\lambda)}{1 - \exp(-\lambda)} \exp[\lambda \exp(-x) - x] \left\{ \int_{\sqrt{2\lambda \exp(-x)}}^\infty I_0(\sqrt{2\lambda \exp(-x)} s) \exp(-s^2/2) s ds - 1 \right\}.$$

By using the definition of generalized Marcum Q-function of order one, the proof of statement in Item 1 follows.

Conversely, taking the limit as  $\varepsilon$  approaches infinity in (13) and using the fact that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma(x, y)/\Gamma(x) = 1$ , we derive

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[\exp(-xX^*)H(x, \varepsilon X^*)] = \frac{\exp(-2\lambda)}{1 - \exp(-\lambda)} \exp[\lambda \exp(-x) - x] \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{[\lambda \exp(-x)]^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} - 1 \right\},$$

from which the proof of Item 2 follows.

This concludes the proof of lemma. ■

Having established Lemma 3.5, we can now proceed to state and prove the following key theorem.

**Theorem 3.6.** The functions  $R_1(F)$  and  $R_\infty(F)$ , defined in Theorem 3.1, satisfy the following identities:

1.

$$R_1(F) = \frac{\exp(-n\lambda)}{2[1 - \exp(-\lambda)]^{n-1}} \int_0^1 I_0(2\lambda y) [\exp(\lambda y) - 1]^{n-2} dy + \frac{\exp(-\lambda) + n - 1}{2n(n-1)\lambda} - \frac{\exp(-\lambda)}{(n-1)\lambda}.$$

2.

$$R_\infty(F) = \frac{1 - \exp(-\lambda)}{n\lambda}.$$

In the above,  $I_0(z)$  is the modified Bessel function of the first kind of order zero.

*Proof.* Utilizing the definition (10) of  $R_1(F)$  and the result of Lemma 3.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} R_1(F) &= \int_0^\infty \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 1} \mathbb{E} [\exp(-xX^*) H(x, \varepsilon X^*)] \mathcal{L}_F^{n-2}(x) dx \\ &= \frac{\exp(-n\lambda)}{[1 - \exp(-\lambda)]^{n-1}} \int_0^\infty \exp[\lambda \exp(-x) - x] \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \exp[\lambda \exp(-x)] Q_1 \left( \sqrt{2\lambda \exp(-x)}, \sqrt{2\lambda \exp(-x)} \right) - 1 \right\} \{ \exp[\lambda \exp(-x)] - 1 \}^{n-2} dx \\ &= \frac{\exp(-n\lambda)}{[1 - \exp(-\lambda)]^{n-1}} \int_0^1 \exp(2\lambda y) Q_1 \left( \sqrt{2\lambda y}, \sqrt{2\lambda y} \right) [\exp(\lambda y) - 1]^{n-2} dy - \frac{\exp(-\lambda)}{(n-1)\lambda}, \end{aligned}$$

where, in the last line, the change of variable  $y = \exp(-x)$  was made. By using the identity (see [Brychkov, 2012](#)):  $Q_1(a, a) = [\exp(-a^2)I_0(a^2) + 1]/2$ , the last expression becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{\exp(-n\lambda)}{2[1 - \exp(-\lambda)]^{n-1}} \int_0^1 I_0(2\lambda y) [\exp(\lambda y) - 1]^{n-2} dy \\ &\quad + \frac{\exp(-n\lambda)}{2[1 - \exp(-\lambda)]^{n-1}} \int_0^1 \exp(2\lambda y) [\exp(\lambda y) - 1]^{n-2} dy - \frac{\exp(-\lambda)}{(n-1)\lambda} \\ &= \frac{\exp(-n\lambda)}{2[1 - \exp(-\lambda)]^{n-1}} \int_0^1 I_0(2\lambda y) [\exp(\lambda y) - 1]^{n-2} dy \\ &\quad + \frac{\exp(-n\lambda)}{2[1 - \exp(-\lambda)]^{n-1}} \frac{[\exp(\lambda) - 1]^{n-1} [(n-1)\exp(\lambda) + 1]}{n(n-1)\lambda} - \frac{\exp(-\lambda)}{(n-1)\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof of Item 1.

On the other hand, using the definition of  $R_\infty(F)$  (see Theorem 3.1) and the result of Lemma 3.5, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} R_\infty(F) &= \int_0^\infty \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} [\exp(-xX^*) H(x, \varepsilon X^*)] \mathcal{L}_F^{n-2}(x) dx \\ &= \frac{\exp(-n\lambda)}{[1 - \exp(-\lambda)]^{n-1}} \int_0^\infty \exp[\lambda \exp(-x) - x] \{ \exp[\lambda \exp(-x)] - 1 \}^{n-1} dx \\ &= \frac{\exp(-n\lambda)}{[1 - \exp(-\lambda)]^{n-1}} \frac{[\exp(\lambda) - 1]^n}{n\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the proof of Item 2 is readily obtained. ■

**Theorem 3.7.** The following holds:

$$\mathbb{E} [g(X^*, X) \mathbf{1}_{\{X=X^*\}}] = \frac{\exp(-n\lambda)}{[1 - \exp(-\lambda)]^{n-1}} \int_0^1 I_1(2\lambda y) [\exp(\lambda y) - 1]^{n-2} dy,$$

where  $g(u, v)$  is as given in (12) and  $I_1(z)$  is the modified Bessel function of the first kind of order one.

*Proof.* By using Proposition 3.3 and then by introducing the change of variable  $y = \exp(-x)$ , we obtain an alternative representation of  $g(k, k)$  in (12) as

$$\begin{aligned} g(k, k) &= \int_0^\infty \exp(-2kx) \mathcal{L}_F^{n-2}(x) dx = \frac{\exp[-(n-2)\lambda]}{[1 - \exp(-\lambda)]^{n-2}} \int_0^\infty \exp(-2kx) \{\exp[\lambda \exp(-x)] - 1\}^{n-2} dx \\ &= \frac{\exp[-(n-2)\lambda]}{[1 - \exp(-\lambda)]^{n-2}} \int_0^1 y^{2k-1} [\exp(\lambda y) - 1]^{n-2} dy. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, by using the above representation of  $g(k, k)$ , we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} [g(X^*, X) \mathbf{1}_{\{X=X^*\}}] &= \sum_{k=1}^\infty g(k, k) P_\lambda(k) P_\lambda^*(k) \\ &= \frac{\exp(-n\lambda)}{[1 - \exp(-\lambda)]^{n-1}} \int_0^1 [\exp(\lambda y) - 1]^{n-2} \left[ \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{(\lambda y)^{2k-1}}{(k-1)!k!} \right] dy \\ &= \frac{\exp(-n\lambda)}{[1 - \exp(-\lambda)]^{n-1}} \int_0^1 I_1(2\lambda y) [\exp(\lambda y) - 1]^{n-2} dy, \end{aligned}$$

where the last step uses the definition of the modified Bessel function of the first kind of order one.

This concludes the proof.  $\blacksquare$

### 3.2 The Gini coefficient estimator bias

As  $\mu = \mathbb{E}(X) = \lambda/[1 - \exp(-\lambda)]$  for  $X \sim \text{ZTP}(\lambda)$ , by applying Theorem 3.1 of Vila and Saulo (2025), we have

$$\mathbb{E}(\hat{G}) = n\mu \{2R_1(F) - R_\infty(F) + \mathbb{E} [g(X^*, X) \mathbf{1}_{\{X=X^*\}}]\},$$

where  $R_1(F)$ ,  $R_\infty(F)$  and  $g(u, v)$  are defined in Theorem 3.1. Hence, from Theorems 3.6 and 3.7 it follows that

$$\mathbb{E}(\hat{G}) = \frac{n\lambda \exp(-n\lambda)}{[1 - \exp(-\lambda)]^n} \int_0^1 [I_0(2\lambda y) + I_1(2\lambda y)] [\exp(\lambda y) - 1]^{n-2} dy - \frac{n \exp(-\lambda)}{(n-1)[1 - \exp(-\lambda)]}. \quad (14)$$

Therefore, by (14) and Proposition 2.1, the bias of  $\hat{G}$  relative to  $G$ , denoted by  $\text{Bias}(\hat{G}, G)$ , can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Bias}(\hat{G}, G) &= \frac{n\lambda \exp(-n\lambda)}{[1 - \exp(-\lambda)]^n} \int_0^1 [I_0(2\lambda y) + I_1(2\lambda y)] [\exp(\lambda y) - 1]^{n-2} dy - \frac{n \exp(-\lambda)}{(n-1)[1 - \exp(-\lambda)]} \\ &\quad + \frac{2 \exp(-\lambda)}{1 - \exp(-\lambda)} \int_0^\lambda I_0(2\sqrt{\lambda t}) \exp(-t) dt - \frac{\exp(-2\lambda)}{1 - \exp(-\lambda)} I_1(2\lambda) - 1. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$



**Remark 3.8.** It is worth noting that the integrals in (14) and (15) cannot be expressed in terms of standard mathematical functions, but they can be easily evaluated numerically.

## 4 Illustrative simulation study

Note that a bias-corrected Gini estimator can then be proposed from (9) and (15) as

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{G}_{bc} &= \hat{G} - \text{Bias}(\hat{G}, G) \\ &= \frac{1}{n-1} \left[ \frac{\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} |X_i - X_j|}{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i} \right] \\ &\quad - \left\{ \frac{n\hat{\lambda} \exp(-n\hat{\lambda})}{[1 - \exp(-\hat{\lambda})]^n} \int_0^1 [I_0(2\hat{\lambda}y) + I_1(2\hat{\lambda}y)] [\exp(\hat{\lambda}y) - 1]^{n-2} dy - \frac{n \exp(-\hat{\lambda})}{(n-1)[1 - \exp(-\hat{\lambda})]} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{2 \exp(-\hat{\lambda})}{1 - \exp(-\hat{\lambda})} \int_0^{\hat{\lambda}} I_0(2\sqrt{\hat{\lambda}t}) \exp(-t) dt - \frac{\exp(-2\hat{\lambda})}{1 - \exp(-\hat{\lambda})} I_1(2\hat{\lambda}) - 1 \right\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2, \quad (16)\end{aligned}$$

where hat notation on  $\lambda$  denotes the maximum likelihood estimator. We evaluate the performance of the standard (9) and bias-corrected (16) Gini coefficient estimators in the context of ZTP populations through a Monte Carlo simulation study. The study considers four values of the ZTP parameter  $\lambda \in \{0.1, 0.5, 1.0, 2.0\}$  and four different sample sizes  $n \in \{5, 10, 30, 50\}$ . For each configuration, we perform 1,000 replications. In each simulation, data is generated from a  $ZTP(\lambda)$  distribution, the parameter  $\lambda$  is estimated via maximum likelihood, and both the standard and bias-corrected Gini coefficient estimators are computed. The steps of the Monte Carlo simulation study are described in Algorithm 1.

Figures 1-2 present the Monte Carlo simulation results of the standard and bias-corrected Gini estimators across different combinations of the ZTP parameter  $\lambda$  and sample sizes  $n$ . Figure 1 presents the relative bias for both estimators. From this figure, we observe that the standard estimator  $\hat{G}$  exhibits relative bias that is particularly pronounced for small sample sizes and smaller values of  $\lambda$ . In contrast, the bias-corrected estimator  $\hat{G}_{bc}$  significantly reduces the relative bias in all scenarios, thereby demonstrating the effectiveness of the proposed correction. Figure 2 presents the mean squared error (MSE) for both estimators. In general, both estimators exhibit similar MSE values across the configurations considered.

## 5 Concluding remarks

In this paper, we analyzed the statistical properties of the Gini coefficient estimator under zero-truncated Poisson populations. Although the Gini coefficient is a widely used inequality measure, its sample estimator exhibits bias in discrete and truncated settings such as the zero-truncated Poisson distribution. We derived a simple expression for the bias and proposed a bias-corrected

---

**Algorithm 1** Monte Carlo simulation for bias-corrected Gini estimator under the ZTP model.

---

- 1: **Input:** Number of simulations  $N_{\text{sim}} = 1000$ ; sample sizes  $n \in \{5, 10, 30, 50\}$ ; ZTP parameter  $\lambda \in \{0.1, 0.5, 1.0, 2.0\}$ .
- 2: **Output:** Estimates of the Gini coefficient  $\hat{G}$  and its bias-corrected version  $\hat{G}_{\text{bc}}$ .
- 3: **for** each  $\lambda \in \{0.1, 0.5, 1.0, 2.0\}$  **do**
- 4:     Compute the true Gini coefficient  $G$  for ZTP( $\lambda$ ) using the formula (4).
- 5:     **for** each sample size  $n \in \{5, 10, 30, 50\}$  **do**
- 6:         **for** each simulation run  $s = 1, \dots, N_{\text{sim}}$  **do**
- 7:             **Step 1: Generate data**
- 8:             Simulate  $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim \text{ZTP}(\lambda)$ .
- 9:             Estimate  $\hat{\lambda}$  via maximum likelihood.
- 10:            **Step 2: Compute Gini estimates**
- 11:            Compute empirical Gini coefficient  $\hat{G}$  using (9).
- 12:            Compute the bias-corrected estimator using (16), that is,

$$\hat{G}_{\text{bc}} = \hat{G} - \text{Bias}(\hat{G}, G).$$

- 13:         **end for**
- 14:         **Step 3: Compute Monte Carlo summaries**
- 15:         Compute relative bias and mean squared error (MSE) for  $\hat{G}$  (similarly for  $\hat{G}_{\text{bc}}$ ) using:

$$\widehat{\text{Relative Bias}} = \left| \frac{\frac{1}{N_{\text{sim}}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{\text{sim}}} \hat{G}^{(k)} - G}{G} \right|, \quad \widehat{\text{MSE}} = \frac{1}{N_{\text{sim}}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{\text{sim}}} [\hat{G}^{(k)} - G]^2,$$

where  $N_{\text{sim}}$  is the number of Monte Carlo replications and  $G$  is the true Gini coefficient given in (4).

- 16:         **end for**
  - 17:         **end for**
  - 18: **Return:** Summary tables with relative bias and MSE for all configurations.
- 

estimator that accounts for both the truncation and the finite-sample behavior. The effectiveness of the proposed correction was demonstrated through a Monte Carlo simulation study, covering a wide range of parameter values and sample sizes. The results showed that the proposed bias-corrected estimator  $\hat{G}_{\text{bc}}$  significantly reduces this bias. These findings are particularly relevant in fields such as epidemiology, where the Gini coefficient for zero-truncated Poisson populations can be used to quantify heterogeneity in the offspring distribution of infectious diseases. As part of future work, we may explore bias correction methods for other truncated or discrete distributions, as well as extensions to multivariate Gini measures and regression frameworks involving inequality indices. Work on these problems is currently in progress and we hope to report these findings in future.




Figure 1: Relative bias of Gini estimators vs. sample size  $n$ , for different values of  $\lambda$ .




Figure 2: Mean squared error of Gini estimators vs. sample size  $n$ , for different values of  $\lambda$ .

**Acknowledgements** The research was supported in part by CNPq and CAPES grants from the Brazilian government.

**Disclosure statement** There are no conflicts of interest to disclose.

## References

- Abeles, J. and Conway, D. J. (2020). The gini coefficient as a useful measure of malaria inequality among populations. *Malaria Journal*, 19(1):444.
- Brychkov, Y. A. (2012). On some properties of the marcum q function. *Integral Transforms and Special Functions*, 23(3):177–182.
- Damgaard, C. and Weiner, J. (2000). Describing inequality in plant size or fecundity. *Ecology*, 81:1139–1142.
- Deltas, G. (2003). The small-sample bias of the gini coefficient: results and implications for empirical research. *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 85:226–234.
- Eliazar, I. and Cohen, M. H. (2014). On social inequality: Analyzing the rich–poor disparity. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, 401:148–158.
- Gini, C. (1936). On the measure of concentration with special reference to income and statistics. *Colorado College Publication, General Series No. 208*, pages 73–79.
- Höhle, M. (2020). Superspreading and the gini coefficient. <https://staff.math.su.se/hoehle/blog/2020/05/31/superspreader.html>. Accessed: 2025-04-02.
- Johnson, N. L., Kemp, A. W., and Kotz, S. (2005). *Univariate Discrete Distributions*. Wiley-Interscience, Hoboken, NJ, 3 edition.
- Kharazmi, E., Bordbar, N., and Bordbar, S. (2023). Distribution of nursing workforce in the world using gini coefficient. *BMC Nursing*, 22:151.
- Vila, R. and Saulo, H. (2025). Bias in gini coefficient estimation for gamma mixture populations. <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2503.00690>. Manuscript submitted for publication. Preprint.
- Yao, S. (1999). On the decomposition of gini coefficients by population class and income source: a spreadsheet approach and application. *Applied Economics*, 31(10):1249–1264.