Scaling of energy delivered through an electrostatic discharge to a small series load

Claudia A. M. Schrama¹, Calvin Bavor¹, John W. Rose², P. David Flammer¹, Charles G. Durfee¹

¹Colorado School of Mines, Physics Department, 1500 Illinois St., Golden, 80401, CO, USA ²Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, 87545, NM, USA

Abstract

We study the energy delivered through a small-resistance series "victim" load during electrostatic discharge events in air. For gap lengths over 1 mm, the fraction of the stored energy delivered is mostly gap-length independent, with a slight decrease at larger gaps due to electrode geometry. The energy to the victim scales linearly with circuit capacitance and victim load resistance but does not strongly dependent on circuit inductance. This scaling leads to a simple approach to predicting the maximum energy that will be delivered to a series resistance for the case where the victim load resistance is lower than the spark resistance.

Keywords: Electrostatic Discharge, Energy Partitioning, Rompe-Weizel Model, Breakdown Voltage, Victim Load, Spark Resistance

1 Introduction

Advances in the design of electronic devices are often accompanied by an increased sensitivity to electrostatic discharge (ESD). ESD damage to a device can cause direct failure due to physical damage and/or indirect failure due to the presence of strong electric fields or transient electric fields [1, 2]. Wearable electronics have increased in popularity, with particular interest in the risk of ESD [3]. Although voltage or current thresholds are often used as a measure of risk to electronic devices, for short ESD pulses, the energy delivered from an ESD event is often a good measure of risk to the device [4].

In other settings, the risk extends beyond equipment damage: ESD can initiate combustion of energetic materials, resulting in serious consequences [5-12]. The "ESD sensitivity", the necessary energy delivered to the energetic material to cause it to ignite 50% of the time, is often used as a measure of the overall sensitivity of the material. This has shown good agreement for different experiments with many materials [10, 13]. Still, the sensitivity to ESD can also depend on several parameters, such as chemical composition, granularity and grain shape, mechanical properties, and temperature and moisture content [9].

Various studies have been performed to better understand ESD events in a variety of experimental configurations, such as a spherical-plane geometry [14–18]. Current delivery from charged humans is of particular interest [19, 20]. Some research has been conducted in transient regimes, for example, to study the effect of approach speed to a grounded electrode on peak currents and rise times [21–23] or for fast voltage rise times [24].

In this paper, we consider a scenario in which two conducting electrodes are brought to breakdown in a quasi-static fashion, causing an ESD event in the air between these electrodes. The "spark channel" formed between the electrodes is considered to be in series with a "victim" load. The victim could be a sensitive electronic component or a combustible material. From the perspective of energy dynamics, energy is expended ionizing and exciting the gas between the electrodes, forming a plasma channel, Ohmic heating of the plasma channel, hydrodynamic expansion often forming a shock wave, and radiation of electromagnetic waves. The remaining energy is delivered to the victim load. Understanding how energy is partitioned between the spark channel and victim load may help guide safety requirements set around sensitive devices and materials.

We employ a well-characterized circuit to understand the energy transfer to a victim load under different circuit parameters. Several electrode geometries and circuit capacitances, inductances, and

^{*}Email address: cschrama@mines.edu

gap lengths were tested. This allows us to gain insight into how the energy transfer scales with these parameters and to identify different physical regimes that occur for ESD events of varying stored energy. We measure current and voltage profiles over the entire discharge current pulse and use this to determine the energy delivered to the spark and victim. Building on our previous theoretical analysis [25], we find that the spark resistance model initially put forth by Rompe and Weizel [26] performs quite well in predicting the scaling of the energy transfer.

2 Material and Methods

The experimental setup is designed to mimic ESD events between conducting objects that approach each other slowly, so that the gap separation does not significantly change during the breakdown process. The circuit layout includes a charging circuit, safety circuit, and discharge circuit, shown in Figure 1. The external capacitor, C_x (TDK low-inductance UHV series), in parallel with the spark gap branch, is charged using a switching power supply (TDK-Lambda model 500 A) through a current limiting resistor, $R_L = 100 \text{ M}\Omega$. The circuit has a hardwired high-voltage probe, V (Fluke 80K-40), that indicates when the circuit is charged. The large resistance of this probe (1 G Ω) is in parallel with a $R_B = 1$ G Ω resistor to dissipate any excess charge left on the circuit when power is removed. A second high-voltage probe (Cal Test Electronics CT4028) records voltage traces for the ESD events from the high-voltage side of the spark gap to ground, the dark node in the circuit diagram. The ESD discharge circuit consists of a spark gap (SG) in series with a low-inductance current viewing resistor (CVR), R_v (SSDN- series from T&M Research Products). The victim load is considered to be the sum $R_v = R_c + R_x$.



Figure 1: ESD circuit diagram highlighting the charging circuit (thin, light blue), the safety circuit (thick dark gray) and the discharge circuit (black).

We constructed two different setups: an open-air system (OAS) and a symmetric vacuum chamber (SVC). The SVC spark gap electrode configuration can accommodate electrodes of different shapes: 2.00 cm diameter graphite spheres, 1.27 cm diameter brass spheres, a chrome-plated steel needle (0.787 mm tip diameter), or a 1.59 cm diameter flat steel disk. The capacitances of the electrode systems were estimated to be below 10 pF. The OAS system spark gap is modified from a commercial high-voltage spark gap (Ross Engineering SG-40-H), Figure 2a. This spark gap has two 3.75 cm diameter graphite spherical electrodes separated by a variable gap length. We inferred the inductance of the OAS system to be approximately 1.1 μ H from the frequency of the ring-down of the discharge current. This relatively large inductance results from the size of the current loop created by the return wire. Finite element simulation (using Comsol Multiphysics) of the discharge circuit gave an inductance of 0.98 μ H, in reasonable agreement with the measured inductance.

The SVC system is an in-house built vacuum chamber designed and manufactured for these ESD experiments. A cross-sectional view is shown in Figure 2b. The outside of the chamber was designed to provide a much more symmetric return to the ground to reduce the system inductance. During the design process, the finite element models predicted an inductance of 142 nH; the measured system inductance was 120 nH. Like the OAS, this system allows for a variable gap length and the ability to change electrodes. Since the chamber is sealed (brass and viewing windows), there is the capability to control the gas composition. For the data presented here, all gas compositions are in air at standard pressures for Golden, Colorado (roughly 630 torr or 0.83 atm).



Figure 2: Graphical depiction of the discharge circuit elements C_x , CVR (R_v) and spark gaps of the (a) open-air system (OAS) and the (b) symmetric vacuum chamber (SVC). For the SVC, the image is a cross-sectional view of the chamber to visualize the circuit components

The ESD events investigated here are spontaneous, naturally initiated discharges. The circuits are charged up to the breakdown voltage, and the discharge occurs when seed electrons become present to allow the initiation of avalanche ionization. For each ESD event, we recorded the transient voltage across the spark gap - CVR branch as well as the voltage from the CVR. Voltage traces were measured with a 4-channel Tektronix MSO64 2.5 GHz oscilloscope (25 giga samples per second). In these experiments, the CVR acts as the victim load, the circuit element that receives the energy from the ESD. CVR resistances ranged from 0.00514Ω to 0.25Ω .

Several circuit parameters were varied to investigate the effects on energy transferred to the victim load through an ESD: external capacitance (C_x) , electrode geometry, and inductance of the circuit (taking advantage of the different inductances of the OAS and SVC systems). On the SVC system, the external capacitance was varied from 100 to 700 pF to test how the discharge energy changes the fraction of energy delivered through the discharge channel. The SVC was also used to examine the effects of varying electrode geometry. The different geometries used were sphere-sphere, plane-sphere, and needle-plane. For asymmetric electrode geometries, the anode-cathode polarity was also switched.

2.1 Data Processing

Representative voltage and current traces collected using a high voltage probe (HVP) and a current viewing resistor (CVR) for various gap lengths are shown in Figure 3. Voltage and current data were collected for several different circuit and electrode configurations.



Figure 3: SVC example voltage and current traces with $C_x = 100$ pF, 0.0983 Ω CVR, 1.27 cm diameter brass spherical electrodes and Cal Test voltage probe. The legend in (a) applies to both plots.

Charge and energy conservation were checked on each run to validate the measurements and probe calibration. Using the measured breakdown voltage, V_b , and the circuit capacitance, C, the stored charge and energy are given by Eq. (1); this was compared to the integrated charge and energy using the measured current, I(t), given by Eq. (2).

$$Q_0 = CV_b \qquad \qquad \mathcal{E}_0 = \frac{1}{2}CV_b^2 \tag{1}$$

$$Q_T = \sum_{t=0}^t I(t) * \Delta t \qquad \qquad \mathcal{E}_T = \sum_{t=0}^t I(t)V(t) * \Delta t \qquad (2)$$

Here V(t) is the signal from the HVP and Δt is the time step. Q_0 and \mathcal{E}_0 are the initial charge and stored energy, respectively, corresponding to the measured breakdown voltage. Q_T and \mathcal{E}_T are the charge and energy obtained by integrating the current and power delivered through the spark and victim load throughout the discharge event.

Figure 4 shows the average current and integrated charge traces for 20 discharge events using the SVC with a 100 pF C_x and 0.0983 Ω CVR at a gap length of h = 4.2 mm. The dynamics show that the charge transfer peaks after the first half of the current cycle.



Figure 4: The average current (dashed line) and integrated charge (solid line) for 20 individual discharge events, using the SVC with a 100 pF C_x and 0.0983 Ω CVR at a 4.2 mm gap. The stored charge Q_0 is indicated by the horizontal line and marked on the Charge axis.

Due to differing propagation delays for the current and voltage traces, calculating the integrated $V \cdot I$ power using raw data results in an incorrect value for \mathcal{E}_T (i.e., not summing up to the initial stored energy). To obtain accurate integrated energies through the system that account for inductive phase shifts, it was necessary to accurately measure the cable delay difference between the respective data collection locations and the oscilloscope. These measurements were performed by measuring the transit times of fast pulses from a digital delay generator.

The importance of this phase measurement is illustrated in Figure 5, which shows the power through the discharge circuit and the integrated energy for 20 discharge events using the SVC with 100 pF C_x and 0.0983 Ω CVR at a 3.0 mm gap. Figure 5b confirms that the measured phase delay of -2.2 ns yields the correct integrated energy. It also shows that the majority of the energy is transferred within the first half cycle of the current pulse.

The stored and dissipated charge and energy as a function of the gap length are shown in Figure 6, for various external capacitors in the SVC. In the figure, the stored charge and energy $(Q_0 \text{ and } \mathcal{E}_0)$ are the solid lines, and the dissipated charge and energy $(Q_T \text{ and } \mathcal{E}_T)$ are the points. The conservation of charge and energy was verified for all data presented here.

In these experiments, we used the current-viewing resistor (CVR) as our victim load. We used several different CVR resistances (0.005140 to 0.2505 Ohms) and found that these small values did not significantly affect the discharge current. Therefore, in the following data, we normalized the energy delivered to the victim load to a victim resistance of 0.1 Ohm.

The victim energy, \mathcal{E}_v , is calculated using the CVR traces. The power through the victim load is $P = I_v^2 R_v$, and the total energy delivered to the victim is obtained by integrating the power, see Eq. (8).



Figure 5: For 20 individual discharge events, shown here is the (a) voltage (solid lines) and current trace (dashed line), and the (b) dissipated energy through the circuit, using the SVC with $C_x = 100$ pF and 0.0983 Ω CVR at a 3.0 mm gap. The analysis is shown for three different cable delays, emphasizing the importance of the time shift between the voltage and current signals. The shaded areas around the curves show the spread in signal, and the horizontal line in (b) indicates the stored energy. The legend in (a) applies to both plots.



Figure 6: Comparison between the stored (solid line) and integrated (points) charge and energy for different external capacitors in the SVC with a 0.25 Ω CVR. The legend in (b) applies to both plots.

3 Theory

To interpret the measurements of energy transferred to the victim load, we will make use of a simple model of nonlinear spark resistance originally presented by Rompe and Weizel [26]. The Rompe-Weizel (RW) model, along with several other circuit-based models, are frequently used as the starting point for ESD models today [25]. The RW model is based on the assumption that there is a certain energy cost per electron created by the breakdown process ($U_{\rm eff}$) and that the mobility (μ) of the electrons is constant.

In this simple model, we assume that the electric field is uniform within the gap so that the threshold voltage for breakdown, $V_{\rm th}$, is linearly proportional to the gap length, $V_{\rm th} = E_{\rm th}h$. Here, the electric field strength for breakdown, $E_{\rm th}$, can be obtained from measured data found in Paschen curves. The energy required to generate electrons in a low-temperature plasma is, in practice, much higher than the actual ionization energy of the background gas. For the purposes of this work, $U_{\rm eff}$ is considered an empirical parameter, as it includes a variety of energy sinks (ionization and dissociation energy, particle heating and excitation). Joule heating, $\vec{J} \cdot \vec{E}$, transfers energy from the electromagnetic field to the plasma, which is assumed to balance with the energy expended to create the electrons:

$$U_{\text{eff}} \frac{dn_e}{dt} = \vec{J} \cdot \vec{E} = \frac{J^2}{\sigma} = \frac{J^2}{e\,\mu\,n_e},\tag{3}$$

where $\sigma = e \mu n_e$ is the conductivity. This equation can be integrated to find the time dependence of the electron density. We consider the plasma channel to be a uniform cylinder of length h and cross-sectional area $A = \pi a^2$, with resistance, $R_S = h/(\sigma A)$, to obtain an expression for the nonlinear spark resistance.

The current density, in terms of the current, is J = I/A. The resulting expression for the resistance is independent of A:

$$R_S(t) = \left(\frac{2a_R}{h^2} \int_0^t I(t')^2 dt\right)^{-1/2},$$
(4)

where we define the Rompe-Weizel constant, $a_R \equiv e\mu/U_{\text{eff}}$. Since U_{eff} and μ are parameters that are difficult to measure independently, a_R is customarily treated as the empirical parameter of interest. In earlier work, a_R has been measured in air to be between 0.5 and 2 cm²/sV² [27].

For this research, we are interested in the energy delivered to the victim load. In the case of uniform electric field, the initial stored energy ideally increases quadratically with h:

$$\mathcal{E}_0 = \frac{1}{2} C V_{\rm th}^2 = \frac{1}{2} C E_{\rm th}^2 h^2.$$
(5)

Departures from this simple relation will be discussed below. In the context of the RW model, the energy deposited into the spark is $\mathcal{E}_S = N_{\text{ef}}U_{\text{eff}}$, where N_{eff} is the final number of electrons produced in the spark. So, the final spark resistance, after the arc, is a function of the energy delivered to the spark:

$$R_{\rm SF} = \frac{h^2}{N_{\rm ef} e \,\mu} = \frac{h^2}{\mathcal{E}_S \,a_R}.\tag{6}$$

It is convenient to define a minimum spark resistance R_{SFmin} for the case where all of the stored energy is used to produce electrons ($\mathcal{E}_S = \mathcal{E}_0$):

$$R_{\rm SFmin} = \frac{2}{a_R C E_{\rm th}^2}.$$
(7)

In this limit, the final resistance is independent of the spark gap separation, h.

The energy delivered to the victim load, \mathcal{E}_v , is obtained by integrating the power:

$$\mathcal{E}_v = R_v \int_0^\infty I^2 \mathrm{d}t. \tag{8}$$

We can evaluate the nonlinear spark resistance to $t = \infty$, Eq. (4), to represent the integral over the current in terms of the final spark resistance to obtain

$$\mathcal{E}_v = R_v \frac{h^2}{2 a_R R_{\rm SF}^2}.$$
(9)

In the simple limit where the stored energy follows Eq. (5), we obtain:

$$\mathcal{E}_v = \frac{R_v}{R_{\rm SF}^2} \frac{\mathcal{E}_0}{a_R C E_{\rm th}^2}.$$
(10)

In the limit of small victim load resistance (R_v) , most of the energy is delivered to the spark, and $R_{\rm SF} = R_{\rm SFmin}$, so $\mathcal{E}_v = \frac{1}{2} \frac{R_v}{R_{\rm SFmin}} \mathcal{E}_0$ and the fraction of stored energy delivered to the victim load is:

$$\eta_v = \frac{\mathcal{E}_v}{\mathcal{E}_0} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{R_v}{R_{\rm SFmin}} = \frac{1}{4} a_R C R_v E_{\rm th}^2.$$
(11)

When looking at the fractional energy, we can move all circuit parameters to one side to obtain

$$\overline{\eta}_v = \frac{\eta_v}{CR_v} = \frac{a_R E_{\rm th}^2}{4}.$$
(12)

This expression shows that if the fraction of stored energy is scaled by R_v and C, the result will be independent of the gap length. We will test this result in Section 4 below.

This analysis using the RW model provides a baseline for what to expect for the energy transfer dynamics during the spark discharge. There are several important assumptions. First is making use of the relation $V_{\rm th} = E_{\rm th}h$. We find experimentally that there is an offset voltage at h = 0 and that there are departures from linearity that arise from the geometry of the electrodes. The second assumption is that there are fixed values for the electron mobility μ and the energy cost for creating an electron $U_{\rm eff}$. We will solve for the parameter $a_R = e\mu/U_{\rm eff}$ to test this assumption. Any departures from the RW model predictions may shed light on the collisional dynamics in the plasma that are outside the bounds of the simple model.

4 Results and Discussion

For our experiments, we measured the current and voltage profiles for different values of the capacitance, inductance, electrode geometry, and gap length.

4.1 Gap length dependence of breakdown voltage

Since the primary objective of this project is to understand what fraction of the stored energy is delivered to a victim load, we made measurements of the breakdown voltage as a function of the electrode gap for all of the discharge conditions. Figure 7a shows the measured voltage just before the start of the discharge as a function of gap separation for the SVC system that had external capacitance values of $C_x = 100, 200$ and 700 pF (shown in shades of blue) and 1.27 cm diameter brass spherical electrodes, as well as the OAS system where the capacitance was 700 pF (orange circles) and 3.75 cm diameter graphite spherical electrodes. The trends are close to linear in h as expected: Figure 7b shows the breakdown field calculated by fitting the h < 2 mm section of the data to a line. In fitting the data, we find voltage offsets of approximately $V_0 = 1.45$ kV at h = 0. Similar offsets have previously been observed in the literature [28, 29] and likely result from a dielectric layer on the electrodes, such as an oxide layer [30]. The breakdown fields range from 28-34 kV/cm. The breakdown voltage is expected to vary with electrode geometry and material but can also depend on ambient air pressure and humidity, which was not controlled in our experiment.



Figure 7: Demonstrating the effect of varying capacitance on (a) breakdown voltage, and (b) breakdown field strength for the SVC with $C_x = 100, 200, \text{ and } 700 \text{ pF}, R_v = 0.25 \Omega$, and brass 1.27 cm diameter electrodes. The OAS data ($C_x = 700 \text{ pF}, R_v = 0.00514 \Omega, 3.75 \text{ cm}$ graphite sphere electrodes) is overlaid for comparison with the SVC 700 pF trace to observe the effects of circuit inductance. All data points are averaged over 10 discharge events per gap length and normalized to the victim load size of 0.1 Ω . The legend in (b) applies to both plots.

In Figure 8, we show similar data for the breakdown voltage vs gap length for various electrode geometries over a broader range of gap lengths. The SVC system was used with $C_x = 100$ pF and 0.10 Ω CVR. The legend for the different electrode geometries follows the notation anode-cathode; for example, "needle-plane" indicates a needle-shaped anode and a planar cathode.

For the non-needle electrodes, there is a linear increase in breakdown voltage of approximately 26 kV/cm at smaller gap lengths. The breakdown voltage is lower than the linear trend for larger gap distances. We interpret this as due to the fact that for large gap lengths, the field is less uniform and concentrated near the electrodes. The needle-shaped electrode, either as the anode or cathode, emphasizes this effect, significantly reducing the breakdown voltage; this effect reduces the necessary potentials to initiate discharge events in gaps with strongly non-uniform electric field distributions [31].

4.2 Varying circuit capacitance

For a given gap separation, an increase in capacitance increases the initial stored energy and charge. The energy transferred to the victim load \mathcal{E}_v is shown in Figure 9a. As expected, \mathcal{E}_v increases with h and C_x . As detailed in Section 3, much of the variation shown can be accounted for in the parameter $\overline{\eta}_v$ that normalizes \mathcal{E}_v to the \mathcal{E}_0 , R_V and C_x (see Eq. (12)). Figure 9b, shows that for h > 1 mm,



Figure 8: Demonstrating the effect of varying electrode geometries on (a) breakdown voltage, and (b) breakdown field strength for the SVC with $C_x = 100$ pF and $R_v = 0.1 \Omega$. All data points are averaged over 10 discharge events per gap length. Similar V_0 offsets were found with a fit on the $V_{\rm th}$ data with a range between 1.3 and 1.8 kV. The legend in (b) detailing anode-cathode electrode pairings applies to both plots.

 $\bar{\eta}_v \approx 0.4 \ \Omega^{-1} n F^{-1}$ for all of the tested capacitances. The fraction of stored energy transferred to the victim load is quite small: even for C = 700 pF, η_v is only 2.8%. This confirms the utility of the Rompe-Weizel model. There is, however, a rise in $\bar{\eta}_v$ at lower gaps for the lower stored energy cases. This deviation from a constant results from the voltage offset at h = 0 giving a stored energy that is larger than expected at small gaps.

The rise in $\overline{\eta}_v$ with smaller gaps seen in Figure 9b is related to the observed breakdown voltage offset, V_0 : the stored energy has a component $\mathcal{E}_0 = \frac{1}{2}CV_0^2$ that is independent of h. When we account for this voltage offset, we can use a different approach to plotting the data. Eq.(11) can be adjusted to replace $E_{\rm th}$ with $(V_0 + E_{\rm th}h)/h = V_{\rm th}/h$, where $V_{\rm th}$ is the observed breakdown threshold voltage. Making use of this relation, we can instead plot a calculated $a_R(h)$:

$$a_R = \frac{4\,\eta_V \,h^2}{R_v C_x V_{\rm th}^2}.$$
(13)

Figure 9c shows this calculated value of $a_R(h)$. The values are fairly constant at larger gap lengths, with some slight decrease at smaller gaps. The a_R values are in a range similar to those observed by Jobava et al. [27] that are indicated in the shaded region. We believe that the decrease in a_R for small h in these plots is related to a small additional passive resistance in series with the CVR. We will address this issue in a later paper.

4.3 Varying circuit inductance

The influence of circuit inductance on discharge dynamics was investigated by operating the SVC (124 nH, 1.27 cm diameter brass spherical electrodes) and OAS (1.1 μ H, 3.75 cm diameter graphite spherical electrodes) systems with the same 700 pF external capacitor. The data for the OAS system is shown in orange circles in Figure 7. The breakdown voltage is seen to be similar, with a slightly higher offset voltage, likely resulting from the different electrode materials. Furthermore, the energy dissipated by the victim load was comparable between the SVC and OAS configurations, Figure 9a.

Figure 9b displays the normalized energy transfer to the external capacitor and victim load, calculated using Eq. (12). For both the 700 pF SVC and OAS datasets, the normalized energy remained relatively constant across the gap lengths tested. This agreement in discharge dynamics between the two systems indicates that a tenfold increase in inductance does not significantly impact the energy delivered to the victim load. Since most of the stored energy is dissipated into the spark in the early phase of the discharge, the spark resistance during the latter phase of the discharge is constant. In this case, where the dynamics are in a linear regime, η_v would be expected to be independent of the inductance.



Figure 9: Demonstrating the effect of varying capacitance on (a) energy dissipated by R_v , (b) $\overline{\eta}_v$, and (c) a_R for the SVC with $C_x = 100$, 200, and 700 pF, $R_v = 0.25 \Omega$, and 1.27 cm diameter brass sphere electrodes. The OAS data ($C_x = 700$ pF, CVR = 0.00514 Ω , 3.75 graphite sphere electrodes) is overlaid for comparison with the SVC 700 pF trace to observe the effects of circuit inductance. All data points are averaged over 10 discharge events per gap length and normalized to the victim load size of 0.1 Ω . The legend in (b) applies to all three plots.

4.4 Varying electrode geometry

The spherical-electrode geometry for small gaps leads to relatively uniform fields between the electrodes. When we increase the gap length and vary the electrode geometry, the shape and finite size of the electrodes result in non-uniformity of the field, as we saw in the breakdown voltages in Figure 8. The consequence of these effects can be seen in Figure 10a, which shows the energy delivered to the victim load as a function of gap length for several combinations of electrodes and polarities. The electrodes featuring a needle-shaped electrode and the orientation plane-sphere have lower absolute energy transfer and peak current to the victim. In the plane-sphere geometry, we see lower energy transfer when the electrode is spherical: while this difference is not currently fully understood, it should be noted that in this case the electrodes are made of different materials.



Figure 10: (a) Energy dissipated by R_v , (b) $\overline{\eta}_v$, and (c) a_R for the SVC with $C_x = 100$ pF and $R_v = 0.1 \Omega$, demonstrating the effect of varying electrode geometries. All data points are averaged over 10 discharge events per gap length. The legend in (b) detailing anode-cathode electrode pairings applies to all plots. In (c), the data in blue triangles involving a needle electrode have been divided by a factor of 2.

Figure 10b is the counterpart to Figure 9b, showing how the fraction of stored energy transferred to the victim load scaled by R_v and C varies with gap length. The figure clearly shows that even here, the $\bar{\eta}_v$ scaling results in a reasonably constant value for h > 2 mm, in spite of the different discharge dynamics. Interestingly, while the RW model predicts $\bar{\eta}_v$ to be a constant with no gap length dependence, each

geometry shows an initial steep decrease for gaps below 1 mm, followed by a more gradually down-sloping linear relationship at longer gap lengths. The variability in the curve, which differs from a straight line, indicates that more interesting physics is present than the RW model represents, especially at the smaller gap lengths.

Plotting $a_R(h)$, Figure 10c, using Eq. (13), shows values similar to those shown earlier for the geometries with a spherical electrode, but the calculated values of a_R are somewhat larger with the needle geometry (in the figure these values have been divided by 2). Since $a_R = e\mu/U_{\text{eff}}$, a larger a_R would result from a larger mobility or smaller energy cost for producing an electron.

5 Conclusions

In this work, we considered quasi-static discharge events in air, with a series victim load of relatively small resistance (0.1-0.25 Ω). By measuring both current and voltage profiles as functions of time, we characterized the fraction of stored energy being delivered to the victim load. These measurements were made with several capacitances and gap lengths (which varied the stored energy), two different values of inductance, and several electrode geometries.

With the spherical electrode geometry, varying the capacitance, gap length, and inductance for gap lengths larger than 1 mm produced remarkably consistent results: the fraction of stored energy delivered to the victim load was approximately proportional to both the load resistance and the storage capacitance, and was independent of the gap length (Figure 7). The simple theory Rompe-Weizel model led to a_R values between 0.8 - 1.5 cm²/sV², consistent with what has been reported elsewhere in the literature.

At low gap lengths, there is a significant departure from the scaling described above, in that the ratio of stored energy delivered to the victim load was larger than expected. Seeing that there is an offset in the breakdown voltage at zero gap length, the stored energy does not trend to zero as the gap length goes to zero. We suspect that this breakdown voltage offset may be due to dielectric layers and/or surface texture on the electrodes.

Varying the geometry produces more deviation from simple theory. In particular, the sharp features in the needle-plane/plane-needle geometries significantly decrease the breakdown voltage and energy delivered to the victim. The field concentration at the sharp electrode allows the field to reach breakdown levels at lower potentials. We find that the a_R parameter is substantially higher for this geometry. In ongoing work, we see that the channel radius is likely smaller for these ESD events. The deposition of energy into a smaller channel volume likely leads to significantly higher mobility or lower energy cost to generate electrons.

Our results here provide simple scaling for energy delivery: the fraction of the stored energy delivered to the victim is $\eta_v < 0.5 \ (\Omega n F)^{-1} C R_v$, where C is the capacitance of the system, and R_v is the resistance of the victim. As an example, on the high end of the parameters studied here, a 700 pF capacitance and a 0.25 Ω resistance result in approximately 8% of the total stored energy to be delivered to the victim, independent of gap lengths studied. While the risk that a given absolute energy that is delivered to a sensitive component must be assessed in each situation, we can say that when the resistance of that component is small compared to the resistance of the spark, the vast majority of the stored energy will be deposited into the spark rather than the victim load. Even so, increases in the capacitance and gap distance will generally lead to larger amounts of stored energy, resulting in an increase in the absolute energy delivered to the victim load and, therefore, an increase in the risk to components. In this work, we present investigations of energy transfer in the limit where the victim load resistance is small. In ongoing work, we are investigating the scenarios for arbitrary victim load resistances, where the energy partition can skew towards much higher fractions of stored energy delivered to the victim load. For the small victim loads studied here, the upper bound of energy delivery can provide guidance for energy risk tolerances.

6 Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge financial support for this work from Los Alamos National Laboratory. We also acknowledge very useful conversations with Jonathan Mace, Dan Borovina, Travis Peery and Francis Martinez at Los Alamos National Laboratory. We would also like to thank Forrest Doherty for his modeling of the inductance of our discharge systems during his time at Mines.

References

- [1] J. M. Smallwood, The ESD Control Program Handbook. John Wiley & Sons Ltd, 11 2020.
- [2] W. D. Greason and G. S. Peter Castle, "The Effects of Electrostatic Discharge on Microelectronic Devices—A Review," *IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications*, vol. IA-20, no. 2, pp. 247–252, 1984.
- [3] J. Zhou, K. Ghosh, S. Xiang, X. Yan, A. Hosseinbeig, J. Lee, and D. Pommerenke, "Characterization of ESD Risk for Wearable Devices," *IEEE Transactions on Electromagnetic Compatibility*, vol. 60, no. 5, pp. 1313–1321, 2018.
- [4] J. Paasi, "Assessment of esd threats to electronic components," *Journal of Electrostatics*, vol. 63, pp. 589–596, 6 2005.
- [5] K. Lövstrand, "The ignition power of brush discharges experimental work on the critical charge density," *Journal of Electrostatics*, vol. 10, pp. 161–168, 5 1981.
- [6] L. Guoxiang and W. Changying, "Comprehensive study on electric spark sensitivity of ignitable gases and explosive powders," *Journal of Electrostatics*, vol. 11, pp. 319–332, 2 1982.
- [7] S. Rizvi and P. Smy, "Characteristics of incendive and non-incendive spark discharges from the surface of a charged insulator," *Journal of Electrostatics*, vol. 27, pp. 267–282, 3 1992.
- [8] G. Hearn, "Electrostatic ignition hazards from flexible intermediate bulk containers (fibcs) with materials of minimum ignition energies down to 0.12 mj," *IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications*, vol. 37, pp. 730–734, 5 2001.
- [9] M. Talawar, A. Agrawal, M. Anniyappan, D. Wani, M. Bansode, and G. Gore, "Primary explosives: Electrostatic discharge initiation, additive effect and its relation to thermal and explosive characteristics," *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, vol. 137, no. 2, pp. 1074–1078, 2006.
- [10] T. E. Larson, P. Dimas, and C. E. Hannaford, "Electrostatic sensitivity testing of explosives at los alamos," Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL), Los Alamos, NM (United States), 01 1989.
- [11] A. Ohsawa, "Statistical analysis of fires and explosions attributed to static electricity over the last 50 years in japanese industry," *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, vol. 301, p. 012033, 6 2011.
- [12] C. Weir, M. Pantoya, G. Ramachandran, T. Dallas, D. Prentice, and M. Daniels, "Electrostatic discharge sensitivity and electrical conductivity of composite energetic materials," *Journal of Elec*trostatics, vol. 71, pp. 77–83, 2013.
- [13] D. Skinner, D. Olson, and A. Block-Bolten, "Electrostatic discharge ignition of energetic materials," *Propellants, Explosives, Pyrotechnics*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 34–42, 1998.
- [14] R. Zaridze, D. Karkashadze, R. G. Djobava, D. Pommerenke, and M. Aidam, "Numerical calculation and measurement of transient fields from electrostatic discharges," *IEEE transactions on components, packaging and manufacturing technology. Part C. Manufacturing*, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 178–183, 1996.
- [15] S. Bach, A. Kasprzyk, and L. Ptasiński, "Investigations of spark discharges from surfaces of charged dielectrics," *Journal of Electrostatics*, vol. 10, pp. 153–160, 5 1981.
- [16] G. Hearn and J. Smallwood, "Comparison of ESD from metal sphere electrodes and tribocharged insulators of both polarities using two ESD probes," *Journal of Electrostatics*, vol. 63, pp. 577–582, 6 2005.
- [17] I. Mori, O. Fujiwara, S. Ishigami, and Y. Yamanaka, "Characteristic measurement of discharge current injected by the air discharge of an ESD gun onto a ground," *Electrical Engineering in Japan*, vol. 158, pp. 51–59, 3 2007.
- [18] J. Chubb and G. Butterworth, "Charge transfer and current flow measurements in electrostatic discharges," *Journal of Electrostatics*, vol. 13, pp. 209–214, 10 1982.

- [19] P. S. Katsivelis, I. F. Gonos, and I. A. Stathopulos, "Human-to-metal electrostatic discharge current measurements – Notes on the ESD current waveform," *Journal of Electrostatics*, vol. 77, pp. 182–190, 10 2015.
- [20] Y. Taka and O. Fujiwara, "Further validation of spark-resistance formula applied for human esd," in 2009 20th International Zurich Symposium on Electromagnetic Compatibility, vol. 1, pp. 393–396, IEEE, 1 2009.
- [21] T. Yoshida and N. Masui, "Influence of approach speed of grounded electrode on ESD from charged metal," in 2012 Asia-Pacific Symposium on Electromagnetic Compatibility, pp. 61–64, IEEE, 5 2012.
- [22] B. Daout and H. Ryser, "The correlation of rising slope and speed of approach in esd tests," in Proceedings of Electromagnetic Compatibility 1987. 7th International Zurich Symposium and Technical Exhibition on Electromagnetic Compatibility, pp. 461–466, 1987.
- [23] H. Tomita, "Effect of Moving Speed of Charged Metallic Spherical Electrode on Electrostatic Discharge," *Electrical Engineering in Japan*, vol. 190, pp. 1–8, 2 2015.
- [24] E. V. Parkevich, M. A. Medvedev, G. V. Ivanenkov, A. I. Khirianova, A. S. Selyukov, A. V. Agafonov, P. A. Korneev, S. Y. Gus'kov, and A. R. Mingaleev, "Fast fine-scale spark filamentation and its effect on the spark resistance," *Plasma Sources Science and Technology*, vol. 28, p. 095003, 9 2019.
- [25] J. W. Rose, M. W. Coffey, P. D. Flammer, T. B. Peery, J. L. Mace, and C. Durfee, "Nonlinear spark resistance and capacitive circuit models of electrostatic discharge," *IEEE Transactions on Plasma Science*, vol. 48, no. 2, pp. 462–470, 2020.
- [26] R. Rompe and W. Weizel, "Über das Toeplersche Funkengesetz," Zeitschrift für Physik, vol. 122, pp. 636–639, 9 1944.
- [27] R. Jobava, D. Pommerenke, D. Karkashadze, P. Shubitidze, R. Zaridze, S. Frei, and M. Aidam, "Computer simulation of esd from voluminous objects compared to transient fields of humans," in *IEEE Transactions on Electromagnetic Compatibility*, vol. 42, pp. 54–65, 2000.
- [28] M. G. Hogg, I. V. Timoshkin, S. J. Mcgregor, M. P. Wilson, and M. J. Given, "Polarity effects on breakdown of short gaps in a point-plane topology in air," *IEEE Transactions on Dielectrics and Electrical Insulation*, vol. 22, no. 4, pp. 1815–1822, 2015.
- [29] G. Meng and Y. Cheng, "Electrical Breakdown Behaviors in Microgaps," in *Electrostatic Discharge: From Electrical breakdown in Micro-gaps to Nano-generators* (S. Voldman, ed.), BoD Books on Demand, 2019.
- [30] R. Färber, Y. Lu, M. Balmelli, O. Sefl, and C. M. Franck, "Static breakdown threshold modeling of quasi-uniform gas gaps with a focus on the PDIV of contacting enameled wire pairs," *Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics*, vol. 56, no. 43, p. 435204, 2023.
- [31] E. Bazelyan and Y. Raizer, Spark Discharge. Taylor & Francis Group, 1998.