

# TIME-ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY OF COMPOSITE WAVE OF VISCOUS SHOCKS AND VISCOUS CONTACT WAVE FOR NAVIER-STOKES-FOURIER EQUATIONS

XUSHAN HUANG AND HOBIN LEE

**ABSTRACT.** We investigate the nonlinear time-asymptotic stability of the composite wave consisting of two viscous shocks and a viscous contact discontinuity for the one-dimensional compressible Navier-Stokes-Fourier (NSF) equations. Specifically, we establish that if the composite wave strength and the perturbations are sufficiently small, the NSF system admits a unique global-in-time strong solution, which converges uniformly in space as time tends to infinity, towards the corresponding composite wave, up to dynamical shifts in the positions of the two viscous shocks. Notably, the strengths of the two viscous shocks can be chosen independently. Our proof relies upon the  $a$ -contraction method with time-dependent shifts and suitable weight functions.

## CONTENTS

|                                                               |    |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| 1. Introduction                                               | 2  |
| 1.1. Main result                                              | 5  |
| 1.2. Main ideas and the method of $a$ -contraction with shift | 6  |
| 2. Preliminaries                                              | 7  |
| 2.1. Viscous shock wave                                       | 7  |
| 2.2. Viscous contact wave                                     | 8  |
| 2.3. Poincaré-type inequality                                 | 9  |
| 3. A priori estimate and proof of the main theorem            | 9  |
| 3.1. Local existence of solutions                             | 10 |
| 3.2. Construction of the weight function                      | 10 |
| 3.3. Construction of shifts                                   | 11 |
| 3.4. A priori estimate                                        | 11 |
| 3.5. Conclusion                                               | 12 |
| 4. Relative Entropy Estimates                                 | 12 |
| 4.1. Wave interaction estimates                               | 12 |
| 4.2. Relative entropy method                                  | 17 |
| 4.3. Decompositions                                           | 20 |
| 4.4. Leading order estimates                                  | 23 |
| 4.5. Proof of Lemma 4.1                                       | 36 |
| 4.6. Estimate in small time                                   | 40 |
| 4.7. Proof of Lemma 4.1                                       | 41 |
| 5. Higher Order Estimates                                     | 42 |
| References                                                    | 49 |

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35Q35, 76N06.

*Key words and phrases.* compressible Navier-Stokes-Fourier equations, shock, contact discontinuity, asymptotic stability, composite wave,  $a$ -contraction with shift.

**Acknowledgment.** The work of X. Huang was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korea government (MSIT) (No. RS-2019-NR040050). H. Lee was partially supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF-2019R1C1C1009355 and NRF-2019R1A5A1028324) .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Think about the one-dimensional compressible Navier-Stokes-Fourier (NSF) Equations in Lagrangian coordinates

$$\begin{cases} v_t - u_x = 0, \\ u_t + p(v, \theta)_x = \left( \mu \frac{u_x}{v} \right)_x, \\ E_t + (p(v, \theta)u)_x = \left( \kappa \frac{\theta_x}{v} \right)_x + \left( \mu \frac{uu_x}{v} \right)_x, \end{cases} \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad t > 0 \quad (1.1)$$

where  $v = v(t, x)$ ,  $u = u(t, x)$ , and  $\theta = \theta(t, x)$  stand for the fluid specific volume, velocity, and absolute temperature respectively,  $E = e + \frac{u^2}{2}$  is the total energy function. For the ideal polytropic gas, the pressure function  $p$  and the internal energy function  $e$  are given by

$$p(v, \theta) = \frac{R\theta}{v}, \quad e(v, \theta) = \frac{R}{\gamma - 1} \theta + \text{constant},$$

with  $R > 0$ ,  $\gamma > 1$  being both constants related to the fluid, while the constants  $\mu$  and  $\kappa$  denote the viscosity and the heat-conductivity.

Consider initial data of the system (1.1) given by  $(v_0, u_0, \theta_0)$ , which connects prescribed far-field constant states:

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} (v_0(x), u_0(x), \theta_0(x)) = (v_{\pm}, u_{\pm}, \theta_{\pm}). \quad (1.2)$$

A heuristic argument (see, e.g. [21]) describes that large-time behavior of solutions  $(v, u, \theta)$  to the NSF equations (1.1) has a close relationship with the Riemann problem of the associated full compressible Euler equations:

$$\begin{cases} v_t - u_x = 0, \\ u_t + p(v, \theta)_x = 0, \\ E_t + (p(v, \theta)u)_x = 0, \end{cases} \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad t > 0, \quad (1.3)$$

subject to the Riemann initial data

$$(v(0, x), u(0, x), \theta(0, x)) = \begin{cases} (v_-, u_-, \theta_-), & x < 0, \\ (v_+, u_+, \theta_+), & x > 0. \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

First, we illustrate the well-known solution to the Riemann problem for the inviscid model (1.3)-(1.4), originally proposed and solved by Riemann [28] in the 1860s. After that, Lax generalized that in [14]. This system of conservation laws is strictly hyperbolic, meaning that the Jacobian of the flux function  $(-u, p, pu)$  with respect to the conserved variables, evaluated at a fixed state  $(v, u, E) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+$ :

$$A(U) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -\frac{p}{v} & -\frac{(\gamma-1)u}{v} & \frac{\gamma-1}{v} \\ -\frac{pu}{v} & p - \frac{(\gamma-1)u^2}{v} & \frac{(\gamma-1)u}{v} \end{pmatrix},$$

is diagonalizable with real and distinct eigenvalues. This matrix defines the wave structure generated by the linearization of the system (1.3) around this fixed state  $(v, u, \theta) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+$ . Its eigenvalues are  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_1(v, \theta) = -\sqrt{\frac{\gamma p}{v}} < 0$ ,  $\lambda_2 = 0$ , and  $\lambda_3 = \lambda_3(v, \theta) = \sqrt{\frac{\gamma p}{v}} > 0$ , which generate two genuinely nonlinear characteristic fields and one linearly degenerate characteristic field. Thus, the self-similar solution, known as the Riemann solution to the Riemann problem, is determined by a combination of at most three elementary solutions drawn from the following five families: 1-rarefaction; 3-rarefaction; 1-shock; 3-shock and 2-contact discontinuity (see, for instance [2]).

In this paper, we focus on the case where the end states  $(v_{\pm}, u_{\pm}, \theta_{\pm}) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+$  of the Riemann problem involve two shocks and a contact discontinuity. Specifically, there exist two (unique) intermediate states  $(v_*, u_*, \theta_*)$  and  $(v^*, u^*, \theta^*) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+$  such that  $(v^*, u^*, \theta^*)$  is connected to  $(v_+, u_+, \theta_+)$  by a 3-shock. Additionally,  $(v_*, u_*, \theta_*)$  lies on the second contact discontinuity curve  $CD_2(v^*, u^*, \theta^*)$  starting from  $(v^*, u^*, \theta^*)$ , and  $(v_-, u_-, \theta_-)$  is connected to  $(v_*, u_*, \theta_*)$  by a 1-shock.

We can now define the shock curves using the Rankine-Hugoniot condition, as the one-parameter family of the  $(v, u, \theta)$  such that there exists  $\sigma$  satisfying:

$$\begin{aligned} -\sigma(v_R - v) - (u_R - u) &= 0, \\ -\sigma(u_R - u) - (p(v_R, \theta_R) - p(v, \theta)) &= 0, \\ -\sigma(E_R - E) + (p(v_R, \theta_R)u_R - p(v, \theta)u) &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

The general theory shows that this condition defines two shock curves that intersect at the point  $(v_R, u_R, \theta_R)$ . One of these curves corresponds to the shock speed  $\sigma = -\sqrt{\frac{p(v_R, \theta_R) - p(v, \theta)}{v_R - v}}$ , representing the admissible 1-shock curve  $S_1(v_R, u_R, \theta_R)$  for  $v > v_R$ . The other corresponds to the shock speed  $\sigma = \sqrt{\frac{p(v_R, \theta_R) - p(v, \theta)}{v_R - v}}$ , which represents the admissible 3-shock curve for  $v < v_R$ .

Whenever  $(v_L, u_L, \theta_L) \in S_1(v_R, u_R, \theta_R) \cup S_3(v_R, u_R, \theta_R)$ , the shock solution  $(v^s, u^s, \theta^s)$  to (1.3)-(1.4) with  $(v_-, u_-, \theta_-) = (v_L, u_L, \theta_L)$ ,  $(v_+, u_+, \theta_+) = (v_R, u_R, \theta_R)$  is given by the discontinuous traveling wave defined as

$$(v^s, u^s, \theta^s)(t, x) = \begin{cases} (v_L, u_L, \theta_L), & x < \sigma t, \\ (v_R, u_R, \theta_R), & x > \sigma t. \end{cases} \quad (1.6)$$

The second characteristic field, corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda_2 = 0$ , is linearly degenerate and corresponds to the 2-contact discontinuity. For any  $(v_R, u_R, \theta_R) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+$ , the 2-contact discontinuity curve  $CD_2(v_R, u_R, \theta_R)$  can be defined by

$$CD_2(v_R, u_R, \theta_R) := \{(v, u, \theta) | u = u_R, p(v, \theta) = p(v_R, \theta_R)\}. \quad (1.7)$$

Whenever  $(v_L, u_L, \theta_L) \in CD_2(v_R, u_R, \theta_R)$ , the contact discontinuity  $(v^c, u^c, \theta^c)$  connecting  $(v_-, u_-, \theta_-) = (v_L, u_L, \theta_L)$  with  $(v_+, u_+, \theta_+) = (v_R, u_R, \theta_R)$  is uniquely determined by

$$(v^c, u^c, \theta^c) = \begin{cases} (v_L, u_L, \theta_L), & x < u_R t = u_L t, \\ (v_R, u_R, \theta_R), & x > u_R t = u_L t, \end{cases} \quad (1.8)$$

as a solution of (1.3)-(1.4), i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} v_t - u_x = 0, \\ u_t + p(v, \theta)_x = 0, \\ E_t + (p(v, \theta)u)_x = 0, \end{cases} \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad t > 0, \\ (v(0, x), u(0, x), \theta(0, x)) = \begin{cases} (v_L, u_L, \theta_L), & x < 0, \\ (v_R, u_R, \theta_R), & x > 0. \end{cases}$$

Then, the Euler equation (1.3) with initial conditions (1.4) admits a unique self-similar solution, the so-called Riemann solution  $(v, u, \theta)$ , which is represented as the composition of the three associated waves.

$$(v, u, \theta) = (v_1^s, u_1^s, \theta_1^s)(t, x) + (v^c, u^c, \theta^c)(t, x) + (v_3^s, u_3^s, \theta_3^s)(t, x) - (v_*, u_*, \theta_*) - (v^*, u^*, \theta^*).$$

The wave  $(v_1^s, u_1^s, \theta_1^s)$  is 1-shock solution to (1.6) if  $(v_-, u_-, \theta_-) \in S_1(v_*, u_*, \theta_*)$  when  $(v_L, u_L, \theta_L) = (v_-, u_-, \theta_-)$  and  $(v_R, u_R, \theta_R) = (v_*, u_*, \theta_*)$ . The wave  $(v^c, u^c, \theta^c)$  is a 2-contact discontinuity solution of (1.8) when  $(v_L, u_L, \theta_L) = (v_*, u_*, \theta_*)$  and  $(v_R, u_R, \theta_R) = (v^*, u^*, \theta^*)$ . Finally, the wave  $(v_3^s, u_3^s, \theta_3^s)$  is 3-shock solution to (1.6) if  $(v^*, u^*, \theta^*) \in S_3(v_+, u_+, \theta_+)$  when  $(v_L, u_L, \theta_L) = (v^*, u^*, \theta^*)$  and  $(v_R, u_R, \theta_R) = (v_+, u_+, \theta_+)$ .

The viscous counterpart of the Riemann solution  $(\bar{v}, \bar{u}, \bar{\theta})$  is given by the composite wave:

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{v}, \bar{u}, \bar{\theta}) = & (\tilde{v}_1, \tilde{u}_1, \tilde{\theta}_1)(x - \sigma_1 t) + (v^C, u^C, \theta^C)(t, x) \\ & + (\tilde{v}_3, \tilde{u}_3, \tilde{\theta}_3)(x - \sigma_3 t) - (v_*, u_*, \theta_*) - (v^*, u^*, \theta^*), \end{aligned} \quad (1.9)$$

which is composed of a 1-viscous shock  $(\tilde{v}_1, \tilde{u}_1, \tilde{\theta}_1)(x - \sigma_1 t)$ , a 3-viscous shock  $(\tilde{v}_3, \tilde{u}_3, \tilde{\theta}_3)(x - \sigma_3 t)$ , and the viscous contact wave  $(v^C, u^C, \theta^C)(t, x)$ .

The viscous shock wave  $(\tilde{v}_i, \tilde{u}_i, \tilde{\theta}_i)(x - \sigma_i t)$  is the traveling wave solution to (1.1) satisfying, for each  $i = 1, 3$ ,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} -\sigma_i(\tilde{v}_i)' - (\tilde{u}_i)' = 0, \\ -\sigma_i(\tilde{u}_i)' + (\tilde{p}_i)' = \left( \mu \frac{(\tilde{u}_i)'}{\tilde{v}_i} \right)', \\ -\sigma_i(\tilde{E}_i)' + (\tilde{p}_i \tilde{u}_i)' = \left( \kappa \frac{(\tilde{\theta}_i)'}{\tilde{v}_i} \right)' + \left( \mu \frac{\tilde{u}_i(\tilde{u}_i)'}{\tilde{v}_i} \right)', \\ (\tilde{v}_1, \tilde{u}_1, \tilde{\theta}_1)(-\infty) = (v_-, u_-, \theta_-), \quad (\tilde{v}_1, \tilde{u}_1, \tilde{\theta}_1)(+\infty) = (v_*, u_*, \theta_*), \\ (\tilde{v}_3, \tilde{u}_3, \tilde{\theta}_3)(-\infty) = (v^*, u^*, \theta^*), \quad (\tilde{v}_3, \tilde{u}_3, \tilde{\theta}_3)(+\infty) = (v_+, u_+, \theta_+), \end{array} \right. \quad (1.10)$$

where  $\tilde{E}_i := \frac{R}{\gamma-1} \tilde{\theta}_i + \frac{(\tilde{u}_i)^2}{2}$  and  $\tilde{p}_i := p(\tilde{v}_i, \tilde{\theta}_i)$ .

The viscous version of the inviscid contact discontinuity connecting  $(v_*, u_*, \theta_*)$  with  $(v^*, u^*, \theta^*)$ , called viscous contact wave  $(v^C, u^C, \theta^C)(t, x)$  can be defined by [9]:

$$\begin{aligned} v^C\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{1+t}}\right) &= \frac{R\Theta^{sim}}{p_*}, \\ u^C\left(t, \frac{x}{\sqrt{1+t}}\right) &= u_* + \frac{(\gamma-1)\kappa\Theta^{sim}_x}{R\gamma\Theta^{sim}}, \\ \theta^C\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{1+t}}\right) &= \Theta^{sim}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.11)$$

where  $\Theta^{sim} = \Theta^{sim}\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{1+t}}\right)$  is the unique self-similar solution to the following nonlinear diffusion equation

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Theta_t = \frac{(\gamma-1)\kappa p_*}{R^2 \gamma} \left( \frac{\Theta_x}{\Theta} \right)_x, \\ \Theta(t, -\infty) = \theta_*, \Theta(t, +\infty) = \theta^*. \end{array} \right.$$

Concerning the stability of viscous shocks, Matsumura-Nishihara [22] proved the stability of viscous shock waves for the barotropic Navier-Stokes (NS) equations, and Goodman independently gained a similar result [5] for a general system with artificial diffusion, where diffusion is added to all equations in the system. In both articles, the proof was carried out under the zero-mass condition, which is crucial for applying the so-called anti-derivative method. Subsequently, Liu [16], Szepessy-Xin [29], and Liu-Zeng [19] eliminated the need for the zero-mass condition by introducing a constant shift for the viscous shock and diffusion waves, as well as for the coupled diffusion waves in the transverse characteristic fields. However, Masica-Zumbrun [20] demonstrated the spectral stability of the viscous shock wave under weaker conditions compared to the zero-mass condition, which is called the spectral condition. Matsumura-Wang [25] investigated the asymptotic stability of viscous shocks for NS systems with degenerate viscosities, which was further generalized by Vasseur and Yao [30] to a wider range of viscosities using the BD entropy introduced by Bresch and Desjardins [1]. For the linear degenerate wave in the second characteristic field, it is known that the inviscid contact discontinuity is unstable for the Euler equations as time progresses. However, for the Euler equations with viscosities, a viscous contact wave, a viscous version of the inviscid contact discontinuity, can be constructed and proven to be time-asymptotically stable for both the 1D “artificial” viscosity systems [31, 18] and the 1D physical NS system [9, 7].

On the other hand, when the initial data of the NS equations generates rarefaction waves, the time-asymptotic stability of the rarefaction wave has been proven by Matsumura and Nishihara [23, 24]. Similar stability results have also been shown for the NSF system in [17, 27].

However, all of the aforementioned literature addressed either shocks, rarefaction waves, or contact discontinuities. For composite waves, Huang-Matsumura [8] addressed the case of superimposed shocks for the NSF system, and Huang-Li-Matsumura [7] showed the asymptotic stability of the linear combination wave of the viscous contact wave and the rarefaction waves. The case involving the superposition of a viscous shock and a rarefaction wave is more challenging [21], due to the incompatibility between the standard anti-derivative method, used to study the stability of viscous shocks, and the direct energy method applied to rarefactions. Recently, Kang-Vasseur-Wang [11] proved the time-asymptotic stability of the composition of shock and rarefaction waves for the NS equations by using the method of  $a$ -contraction with shift for the shock wave and then using the same method [12] demonstrated the stability of generic Riemann solutions consisting of a viscous shock, a rarefaction and a viscous contact wave for NSF system. Notably, Han-Kang-Kim [6] applied the  $a$ -contraction method to prove the stability of the composition of two shock waves with independently small amplitudes. That is, compared with the result in [8], they did not assume the same order of smallness for the strengths of the two shocks.

In this paper, our aim is to prove the nonlinear time-asymptotic stability of the composite wave  $(\bar{v}, \bar{u}, \bar{\theta})$ , which consists of a shifted 1-viscous shock, a 2-viscous contact discontinuity and a shifted 3-viscous shock for the one-dimensional NSF equations (1.1)-(1.2) under the assumption that the composite wave strength and the perturbations are sufficiently small.

**1.1. Main result.** We now state the main result on the global existence and large-time behavior of the Cauchy problem for NSF equations.

**Theorem 1.1.** *For a given constant state  $(v_+, u_+, \theta_+) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+$ , there exist constants  $\delta_0, \varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that the following statement holds:*

*For any  $(v_-, u_-, \theta_-) \in S_1(v_*, u_*, \theta_*)$ ,  $(v_*, u_*, \theta_*) \in CD_2(v^*, u^*, \theta^*)$ , and  $(v^*, u^*, \theta^*) \in S_3(v_+, u_+, \theta_+)$  such that*

$$|v_- - v_*| + |v_* - v^*| + |v^* - v_+| \leq \delta_0,$$

*denote  $(\tilde{v}_1, \tilde{u}_1, \tilde{\theta}_1)(x - \sigma_1 t)$  the 1-viscous shock solution of (1.10) with end states  $(v_-, u_-, \theta_-)$  and  $(v_*, u_*, \theta_*)$ ,  $(v^C, u^C, \theta^C)(\frac{x}{\sqrt{1+t}})$  the 2-viscous contact wave defined in (1.11) with end states  $(v_*, u_*, \theta_*)$  and  $(v^*, u^*, \theta^*)$ , and  $(\tilde{v}_3, \tilde{u}_3, \tilde{\theta}_3)(x - \sigma_3 t)$  the 3-viscous shock solution of (1.10) with end states  $(v^*, u^*, \theta^*)$  and  $(v_+, u_+, \theta_+)$ . Let  $(v_0, u_0, \theta_0)$  be any initial data such that*

$$\sum_{\pm} (\|(v_0 - v_{\pm}, u_0 - u_{\pm}, \theta_0 - \theta_{\pm})\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_{\pm})}) + \|(v_{0x}, u_{0x}, \theta_{0x})\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq \varepsilon_0,$$

*where  $\mathbb{R}_- =: -\mathbb{R}_+ = (-\infty, 0)$ . Then the compressible Navier-Stokes-Fourier system (3.1) (or (1.1)) admits a unique global-in-time solution  $(v, u, \theta)(t, x)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . Moreover, there exist absolutely continuous shift functions  $\mathbf{X}_1(t), \mathbf{X}_3(t)$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} v(t, x) - \left( \tilde{v}_1(x - \sigma_1 t - \mathbf{X}_1(t)) + v^C\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{1+t}}\right) + \tilde{v}_3(x - \sigma_3 t - \mathbf{X}_3(t)) - v_* - v^* \right) &\in C(0, +\infty; H^1(\mathbb{R})), \\ u(t, x) - \left( \tilde{u}_1(x - \sigma_1 t - \mathbf{X}_1(t)) + u^C\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{1+t}}\right) + \tilde{u}_3(x - \sigma_3 t - \mathbf{X}_3(t)) - u_* - u^* \right) &\in C(0, +\infty; H^1(\mathbb{R})), \\ \theta(t, x) - \left( \tilde{\theta}_1(x - \sigma_1 t - \mathbf{X}_1(t)) + \theta^C\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{1+t}}\right) + \tilde{\theta}_3(x - \sigma_3 t - \mathbf{X}_3(t)) - \theta_* - \theta^* \right) &\in C(0, +\infty; H^1(\mathbb{R})). \end{aligned} \tag{1.12}$$

Moreover, we have the large-time behavior:

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| (v, u, \theta)(t, x) - \left( \tilde{v}_1(x - \sigma_1 t - \mathbf{X}_1(t)) + v^C\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{1+t}}\right) + \tilde{v}_3(x - \sigma_3 t - \mathbf{X}_3(t)) - v_* - v^*, \right. \right. \\ \left. \tilde{u}_1(x - \sigma_1 t - \mathbf{X}_1(t)) + u^C\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{1+t}}\right) + \tilde{u}_3(x - \sigma_3 t - \mathbf{X}_3(t)) - u_* - u^*, \right. \\ \left. \tilde{\theta}_1(x - \sigma_1 t - \mathbf{X}_1(t)) + \theta^C\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{1+t}}\right) + \tilde{\theta}_3(x - \sigma_3 t - \mathbf{X}_3(t)) - \theta_* - \theta^* \right) \Big| \rightarrow 0. \quad (1.13)$$

and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} |\dot{\mathbf{X}}_i(t)| = 0, \quad \text{for } i = 1, 3. \quad (1.14)$$

Furthermore, the shifts are well-separated in the following sense:

$$\mathbf{X}_1(t) + \sigma_1 t \leq \frac{\sigma_1}{2}t < 0 < \frac{\sigma_3}{2}t \leq \mathbf{X}_3(t) + \sigma_3 t, \quad t > 0. \quad (1.15)$$

**Remark 1.1.** Theorem 1.1 states that if the two far-field states  $(v_{\pm}, u_{\pm}, \theta_{\pm})$  in (1.2) are connected by the superposition of two shocks and a contact discontinuity, then the solution to the compressible Navier-Stokes-Fourier equations (1.1) or (3.1) converges, as time progresses, to a superposed wave composed of the viscous shocks with shifts  $\mathbf{X}_i(t)$  for  $i = 1, 3$ , and the viscous contact wave.

**Remark 1.2.** The shift function  $\mathbf{X}_i(t)$  (defined in (3.8)) is shown to satisfy the time-asymptotic behavior (1.14), which implies that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbf{X}_i(t)}{t} = 0, \quad \text{for } i = 1, 3.$$

Thus, the shift functions  $\mathbf{X}_i(t)$  grow at most sub-linearly with respect to  $t$ , ensuring that the shifted viscous shock waves maintain the original traveling wave profile in the long run.

**1.2. Main ideas and the method of  $a$ -contraction with shift.** The primary tool used to achieve the desired nonlinear stability is the  $a$ -contraction method with shifts, which was developed in [10] (see also [15]) to analyze the stability of extremal shocks in the inviscid system of conservation laws, such as the Euler system (1.3). Let the entropy of the system, which corresponds to the physical energy, be defined for any state  $U = (v, u, E)$  as  $s(U)$ . We then consider the relative entropy, as defined in [3], for any two states  $U = (v, u, E)$ ,  $\bar{U} = (\bar{v}, \bar{u}, \bar{E})$ :

$$s(U|\bar{U}) := s(U) - s(\bar{U}) - \nabla s(\bar{U}) \cdot (U - \bar{U}).$$

Note that the physical entropy

$$\eta(U|\bar{U}) := -\bar{\theta}s(U|\bar{U})$$

is nonnegative and equal to zero if and only if  $U = \bar{U}$ . Therefore,  $\eta(U|\bar{U})$  can be interpreted as a quasi-distance between  $U$  and  $\bar{U}$ .

When  $\bar{U}(x - \sigma t)$  represents a single viscous shock, the method involves finding a weight function  $a : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  and a time-dependent shift function  $\mathbf{X} : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that the weighted relative entropy with shift is non-increasing over time:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a(t, x - \mathbf{X}(t)) \eta(U(t, x) | \bar{U}(t, x - \mathbf{X}(t))) dx \leq 0.$$

As mentioned in the introduction (e.g., [12]), this approach can also be applied to prove the stability of composite wave, which consist of a viscous shock, a viscous contact wave, and a rarefaction wave. However, the composition in (1.9), involving two viscous shocks and a viscous contact wave, introduces several challenges. Unlike the case of a single viscous shock, the combination of two viscous shocks and a viscous contact wave is not an exact solution to the NSF equations due to

the nonlinear terms present. Therefore, we must control error terms arising from the nonlinear interactions between the 1-shock, 2-contact wave, and 3-shock. Additionally, since the composite wave includes two viscous shock waves, we require two shift functions, say  $\mathbf{X}_1(t)$  and  $\mathbf{X}_3(t)$ , as defined in (3.8), along with two weight functions, defined in (3.4), to manage perturbations near each shock wave, using the method developed for a single wave. Furthermore, to address the localized bad terms, we introduce auxiliary localization functions, which will be defined in terms of the two shift functions as in (3.11). These functions will be constructed to ensure that the two shift functions are sufficiently well-separated, as indicated in (1.15).

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review the known properties of viscous shock and viscous contact waves, as well as the Poincaré-type inequality. The general setup is outlined in Section 3, where we introduce the local existence of solutions, the construction of the shift functions, and an a priori estimates in Proposition 3.2. These, along with a continuation argument, lead to the proof of Theorem 1.1. The final two sections are devoted to proving Proposition 3.2, that is, establishing the main a priori estimates. In Section 4, the  $a$ -contraction argument is introduced, and the global a priori estimates are established to conclude the proof of Proposition 3.2. Section 5 is the higher order estimates for closing the estimates.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**2.1. Viscous shock wave.** The existence, uniqueness, and the properties of the viscous shock wave are now well understood. The following lemma summarizes the main properties of the viscous shock wave that will be used in the later analysis. We refer to [4, 12] for the proof of the lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** *For a given right-end state  $(v_R, u_R, \theta_R)$ , there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that the following holds. For any left-end state  $(v_L, u_L, \theta_L)$  connected with  $(v_R, u_R, \theta_R)$  via  $i$ -shock ( $i = 1, 3$ ) curve, there exists a unique solution  $(\tilde{v}_i(x - \sigma_i t), \tilde{u}_i(x - \sigma_i t), \tilde{\theta}_i(x - \sigma_i t))$  to (1.10). Let  $\delta_i$  be the strength of the  $i$ -shock defined as  $\delta_i := |u_R - u_L| \sim |v_R - v_L| \sim |\theta_R - \theta_L|$ . Then, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{v}'_1(x - \sigma_1 t) < 0, \quad \tilde{u}'_1(x - \sigma_1 t) < 0, \quad \tilde{\theta}'_1(x - \sigma_1 t) > 0, \quad \forall (x - \sigma_1 t) \in \mathbb{R}, \\
& \tilde{v}'_3(x - \sigma_3 t) > 0, \quad \tilde{u}'_3(x - \sigma_3 t) < 0, \quad \tilde{\theta}'_3(x - \sigma_3 t) < 0, \quad \forall (x - \sigma_3 t) \in \mathbb{R}, \\
& |(\tilde{v}_i(x - \sigma_i t) - v_L, \tilde{u}_i(x - \sigma_i t) - u_L, \tilde{\theta}_i(x - \sigma_i t) - \theta_L)| \leq C\delta_i e^{-C\delta_i|x - \sigma_i t|}, \quad x - \sigma_i t < 0, \\
& |(\tilde{v}_i(x - \sigma_i t) - v_R, \tilde{u}_i(x - \sigma_i t) - u_R, \tilde{\theta}_i(x - \sigma_i t) - \theta_R)| \leq C\delta_i e^{-C\delta_i|x - \sigma_i t|}, \quad x - \sigma_i t > 0, \quad (2.1) \\
& |(\tilde{v}'_i(x - \sigma_i t), \tilde{u}'_i(x - \sigma_i t), \tilde{\theta}'_i(x - \sigma_i t))| \leq C\delta_i^2 e^{-C\delta_i|x - \sigma_i t|}, \quad \forall (x - \sigma_i t) \in \mathbb{R}, \\
& |(\tilde{v}''_i(x - \sigma_i t), \tilde{u}''_i(x - \sigma_i t), \tilde{\theta}''_i(x - \sigma_i t))| \\
& \leq C\delta_i |(\tilde{v}'_i(x - \sigma_i t), \tilde{u}'_i(x - \sigma_i t), \tilde{\theta}'_i(x - \sigma_i t))|, \quad \forall (x - \sigma_i t) \in \mathbb{R}.
\end{aligned}$$

In particular,  $|\tilde{v}'_i| \sim |\tilde{u}'_i| \sim |\tilde{\theta}'_i|$  for all  $(x - \sigma_i t) \in \mathbb{R}$ , more explicitly,

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\tilde{u}'_1 - \sigma_- \tilde{v}'_1| \leq C\delta_1 |\tilde{v}'_1|, \quad \forall (x - \sigma_1 t) \in \mathbb{R}, \\
& |\tilde{u}'_3 + \sigma^* \tilde{v}'_3| \leq C\delta_3 |\tilde{v}'_3|, \quad \forall (x - \sigma_3 t) \in \mathbb{R},
\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\tilde{\theta}'_1 + \frac{(\gamma - 1)p_-}{R} \tilde{v}'_1| \leq C\delta_1 |\tilde{v}'_1|, \quad \forall (x - \sigma_1 t) \in \mathbb{R}, \\
& |\tilde{\theta}'_3 + \frac{(\gamma - 1)p^*}{R} \tilde{v}'_3| \leq C\delta_3 |\tilde{v}'_3|, \quad \forall (x - \sigma_3 t) \in \mathbb{R},
\end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

where

$$p_- := p(v_-, \theta_-) = \frac{R\theta_-}{v_-}, \quad p^* := p(v^*, \theta^*) = \frac{R\theta^*}{v^*}, \quad \text{and}$$

$$\sigma_- := \sqrt{\frac{\gamma p_-}{v_-}}, \quad \sigma^* := \sqrt{\frac{\gamma p^*}{v^*}},$$

which satisfy

$$|\sigma_1 + \sigma_-| \leq C\delta_1 \quad \text{and} \quad |\sigma_3 - \sigma^*| \leq C\delta_3. \quad (2.4)$$

**Remark 2.1.** In this paper, we will use  $(v_L, u_L, \theta_L) = (v_-, u_-, \theta_-)$  and  $(v_R, u_R, \theta_R) = (v_*, u_*, \theta_*)$  for the 1-viscous shock, while we will use  $(v_L, u_L, \theta_L) = (v^*, u^*, \theta^*)$  and  $(v_R, u_R, \theta_R) = (v_+, u_+, \theta_+)$  for the 3-viscous shock, in the subsequent.

**2.2. Viscous contact wave.** It is well known that the inviscid contact discontinuity is time-asymptotically unstable for the compressible Euler equations (1.3). However, a viscous contact wave, which is the viscous counterpart of the inviscid contact discontinuity, can be constructed and shown to be time-asymptotically stable in both the “artificial viscosity” system [18, 31] and the physical Navier-Stokes system [9, 7].

**Lemma 2.2.** ([9]) The viscous contact wave  $(v^C, u^C, \theta^C)(t, x)$  defined in (1.11) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} (v^C - v_*, u^C - u_*, \theta^C - \theta_*) &= O(1)\delta_C e^{-\frac{C_1 x^2}{1+t}}, \quad \forall x < 0; \\ (v^C - v^*, u^C - u^*, \theta^C - \theta^*) &= O(1)\delta_C e^{-\frac{C_1 x^2}{1+t}}, \quad \forall x > 0; \\ (\partial_x^n v^C, \partial_x^n \theta^C)(t, x) &= O(1)\delta_C (1+t)^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{C_1 x^2}{1+t}}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots; \\ \partial_x^n u^C(t, x) &= O(1)\delta_C (1+t)^{-\frac{1+n}{2}} e^{-\frac{C_1 x^2}{1+t}}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots; \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

where  $\delta_C = |v^* - v_*| \sim |u^* - u_*| \sim |\theta^* - \theta_*|$  is the amplitude of the viscous contact wave, and  $C_1 > 0$  is generic constant.

The viscous wave  $(v^C, u^C, \theta^C)(t, x)$  defined in (1.11) satisfies the system

$$\begin{cases} v_t^C - u_x^C = 0, \\ u_t^C + p_x^C = \mu \left( \frac{u_x^C}{v^C} \right)_x + Q_1^C, \\ \frac{R}{\gamma-1} \theta_t^C + p^C u_x^C = \kappa \left( \frac{\theta_x^C}{v^C} \right)_x + \mu \frac{(u_x^C)^2}{v^C} + Q_2^C, \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

where  $p^C = p(v^C, \theta^C)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1^C &= \frac{\kappa(\gamma-1)}{\gamma R} \left( (\ln \Theta)_{xt} - \mu \left( \frac{p^*}{R\Theta} (\ln \Theta)_{xx} \right) \right) = O(1)\delta_C (1+t)^{-\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\frac{2C_1 x^2}{1+t}}, \\ Q_2^C &= -\mu \frac{(u_x^C)^2}{v^C} = O(1)\delta_C (1+t)^{-2} e^{-\frac{2C_1 x^2}{1+t}}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

as  $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$  due to Lemma 2.2. Moreover, from (1.11) and Lemma 2.2, it holds that for  $\forall p \geq 1$ ,

$$\|(v^C, u^C, \theta^C)(t, \cdot - (v^c, u^c, \theta^c)(t, \cdot))\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} = O(1)\kappa^{\frac{1}{2p}}(1+t)^{\frac{1}{2p}},$$

which implies that viscous contact wave  $(v^C, u^C, \theta^C)(t, x)$  can converge to the inviscid contact discontinuity  $(v^c, u^c, \theta^c)(t, x)$  in  $L^p$ -norm ( $\forall p \geq 1$ ) at any finite time interval as the heat conductivity coefficient  $\kappa \rightarrow 0_+$ , however, they could be far away at large time.

**2.3. Poincaré-type inequality.** The method of  $a$ -contraction with shift in the viscous cases relies on the following Poincaré type inequality (see [13], Lemma 2.9).

**Lemma 2.3.** *For any  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfying  $\int_0^1 y(1-y)|f'|dy < \infty$*

$$\int_0^1 \left| f - \int_0^1 f dy \right|^2 dy \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 y(1-y)|f'|^2 dy.$$

### 3. A PRIORI ESTIMATE AND PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

In this section, we state a priori estimates for the  $H^1$ -perturbation between the solution and the composite wave, and based on it, we prove the large-time behavior of the solution towards the composite wave.

Equations (1.1) can be simplified into the following form, which is in non-divergence form and is equivalent to the original system (1.1).

$$\begin{cases} v_t - u_x = 0, \\ u_t + p(v, \theta)_x = \left( \mu \frac{u_x}{v} \right)_x, \\ \frac{R}{\gamma-1} \theta_t + p(v, \theta) u_x = \left( \kappa \frac{\theta_x}{v} \right)_x + \mu \frac{u_x^2}{v}. \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

We will analyze the stability of solutions to (3.1) around a superposition wave, which consists of two viscous shock waves shifted by  $\mathbf{X}_1(t)$  and  $\mathbf{X}_3(t)$  (as defined in (3.8)), along with a viscous contact wave:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \bar{v}(t, x) \\ \bar{u}(t, x) \\ \bar{\theta}(t, x) \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{v}_1(x - \sigma_1 t - \mathbf{X}_1(t)) + v^C(t, x) + \tilde{v}_3(x - \sigma_3 t - \mathbf{X}_3(t)) - v_* - v^* \\ \tilde{u}_1(x - \sigma_1 t - \mathbf{X}_1(t)) + u^C(t, x) + \tilde{u}_3(x - \sigma_3 t - \mathbf{X}_3(t)) - u_* - u^* \\ \tilde{\theta}_1(x - \sigma_1 t - \mathbf{X}_1(t)) + \theta^C(t, x) + \tilde{\theta}_3(x - \sigma_3 t - \mathbf{X}_3(t)) - \theta_* - \theta^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the superposition wave  $(\bar{v}, \bar{u}, \bar{\theta})(t, x)$  satisfies the system

$$\begin{cases} \bar{v}_t + \dot{\mathbf{X}}_1(t)(\tilde{v}_1^{\mathbf{X}_1})_x + \dot{\mathbf{X}}_3(t)(\tilde{v}_3^{\mathbf{X}_3})_x - \bar{u}_x = 0 \\ \bar{u}_t + \dot{\mathbf{X}}_1(t)(\tilde{u}_1^{\mathbf{X}_1})_x + \dot{\mathbf{X}}_3(t)(\tilde{u}_3^{\mathbf{X}_3})_x + \bar{p}_x = \left( \mu \frac{\bar{u}_x}{\bar{v}} \right)_x + Q_1, \\ \frac{R}{\gamma-1} \bar{\theta}_t + \frac{R}{\gamma-1} \dot{\mathbf{X}}_1(t)(\tilde{\theta}_1^{\mathbf{X}_1})_x + \frac{R}{\gamma-1} \dot{\mathbf{X}}_3(t)(\tilde{\theta}_3^{\mathbf{X}_3})_x + \bar{p} \bar{u}_x = \left( \kappa \frac{\bar{\theta}_x}{\bar{v}} \right)_x + \mu \frac{\bar{u}_x^2}{\bar{v}} + Q_2, \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

where  $\bar{p} = \frac{R\bar{\theta}}{\bar{v}}$  and the error terms

$$Q_i := Q_i^I + Q_i^C, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

with the wave interaction terms

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1^I &:= (\bar{p} - p_1^{\mathbf{X}_1} - p^C - p_3^{\mathbf{X}_3})_x - \mu \left( \frac{\bar{u}_x}{\bar{v}} - \frac{(\tilde{u}_1^{\mathbf{X}_1})_x}{\tilde{v}_1^{\mathbf{X}_1}} - \frac{u_x^C}{v^C} - \frac{(\tilde{u}_3^{\mathbf{X}_3})_x}{\tilde{v}_3^{\mathbf{X}_3}} \right)_x, \\ Q_2^I &:= \left( \bar{p} \bar{u}_x - p_1^{\mathbf{X}_1} (\tilde{u}_1^{\mathbf{X}_1})_x - p^C u_x^C - p_3^{\mathbf{X}_3} (\tilde{u}_3^{\mathbf{X}_3})_x \right) - \kappa \left( \frac{\bar{\theta}_x}{\bar{v}} - \frac{(\tilde{\theta}_1^{\mathbf{X}_1})_x}{\tilde{v}_1^{\mathbf{X}_1}} - \frac{\theta_x^C}{v^C} - \frac{(\tilde{\theta}_3^{\mathbf{X}_3})_x}{\tilde{v}_3^{\mathbf{X}_3}} \right)_x \\ &\quad - \mu \left( \frac{\bar{u}_x^2}{\bar{v}} - \frac{((\tilde{u}_1^{\mathbf{X}_1})_x)^2}{\tilde{v}_1^{\mathbf{X}_1}} - \frac{(u_x^C)^2}{v^C} - \frac{((\tilde{u}_3^{\mathbf{X}_3})_x)^2}{\tilde{v}_3^{\mathbf{X}_3}} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

and the error terms due to the viscous contact wave  $Q_1^C, Q_2^C$  which are given in (2.7).

**3.1. Local existence of solutions.** We first recall the local-in-time solution of (1.1) (and so for (3.1)). It can be found in [26] in the general setting.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $\underline{v}, \underline{u}$  and  $\underline{\theta}$  be smooth monotone functions such that*

$$(\underline{v}(x), \underline{u}(x), \underline{\theta}(x)) = (v_+, u_+, \theta_+), \quad \text{for } \pm x \geq 1, \quad \underline{v}(0) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{\theta}(0) > 0.$$

*For any constants  $M_0, M_1, \underline{\kappa}_0, \overline{\kappa}_0, \underline{\kappa}_1, \text{ and } \overline{\kappa}_1$  with  $0 < M_0 < M_1$  and  $0 < \underline{\kappa}_1 < \underline{\kappa}_0 < \overline{\kappa}_0 < \overline{\kappa}_1$ , there exists a constant  $T_0 > 0$  such that if*

$$\begin{aligned} \|(v_0 - \underline{v}, u_0 - \underline{u}, \theta_0 - \underline{\theta})\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} &\leq M_0, \\ 0 < \underline{\kappa}_0 &\leq \rho_0(x), \theta_0(x) \leq \overline{\kappa}_0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \end{aligned}$$

*then (1.1)-(1.2) has a unique solution  $(v, u, \theta)$  on  $[0, T_0]$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} v - \underline{v} &\in C([0, T_0]; H^1(\mathbb{R})), \\ (u - \underline{u}, \theta - \underline{\theta}) &\in C([0, T_0]; H^1(\mathbb{R})) \cap L^2(0, T_0; H^2(\mathbb{R})), \end{aligned}$$

*and*

$$\|(v - \underline{v}, u - \underline{u}, \theta - \underline{\theta})\|_{L^\infty(0, T_0; H^1(\mathbb{R}))} \leq M_1.$$

*Moreover,*

$$\underline{\kappa}_1 \leq v(t, x), \theta(t, x) \leq \overline{\kappa}_1, \quad \forall (t, x) \in [0, T_0] \times \mathbb{R}.$$

**3.2. Construction of the weight function.** To handle the two shock waves, we introduce two weight functions,  $a_1$  and  $a_3$ , associated with the 1-shock and 3-shock, respectively. For each  $i = 1, 3$ , we define the weight function as

$$\begin{aligned} a_1(x - \sigma_1 t) &:= 1 + \frac{\lambda_1(\tilde{v}_1(x - \sigma_1 t) - v_-)}{\delta_1}, \\ a_3(x - \sigma_3 t) &:= 1 + \frac{\lambda_3(\tilde{v}_3(x - \sigma_3 t) - v^*)}{\delta_3}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

where  $\delta_1 = |v_- - v_*|$  and  $\delta_3 = |v_+ - v^*|$  denote the strengths of 1-shock and 3-shock, respectively. Here,  $\lambda_i$  is a small constant chosen as  $\delta_i \ll \lambda_i < C\sqrt{\delta_i}$  for  $i = 1, 3$  so that it is large enough compared with the strengths of shocks. In addition, we define  $\lambda := \lambda_1 + \lambda_3$ .

We note that for each  $i = 1, 3$ , the weight function  $a_i$  satisfies  $\frac{1}{2} \leq 1 - \lambda_i \leq a_i \leq 1 + \lambda_i \leq \frac{3}{2}$  and

$$(a_1)_x = \frac{\lambda_1}{\delta_1}(\tilde{v}_1)_x, \quad (a_3)_x = \frac{\lambda_3}{\delta_3}(\tilde{v}_3)_x, \tag{3.5}$$

from which we have

$$|(a_i)_x| \sim \frac{\lambda_i}{\delta_i}|(\tilde{v}_i)_x|, \quad \text{and so} \quad \|(a_i)_x\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \leq \lambda_i \delta_i, \quad \|(a_i)_x\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} = \lambda_i. \tag{3.6}$$

In addition, we have

$$\sigma_i a'_i(x - \sigma_i t) > 0, \quad \text{for each } i = 1, 3.$$

To handle the shifted composite wave, we consider the following composition of shifted weight functions:

$$a^{\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_3}(t, x) := a_1^{\mathbf{X}_1}(x - \sigma_1 t) + a_3^{\mathbf{X}_3}(x - \sigma_3 t) - 1. \tag{3.7}$$

Here, for any function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , we use the abbreviated notation

$$f^{\mathbf{X}_i}(\cdot) := f(\cdot - \mathbf{X}_i(t)), \quad i = 1, 3.$$

Note that  $\frac{1}{2} \leq 1 - \lambda \leq a^{\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_3} \leq 1 + \lambda \leq \frac{3}{2}$ .

**Remark 3.1.** *In (3.4), we can choose  $\lambda_i$  any positive constant satisfying  $\delta_i \ll \lambda_i < C\sqrt{\delta_i}$ . For example, choosing  $\lambda_i = \sqrt{\delta_i}$ .*

**3.3. Construction of shifts.** As mentioned in the introduction, it is expected that the viscous shock waves should be shifted in order to obtain the stability estimate. In this section, we explicitly construct the shift functions. We define a pair of shifts,  $(\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_3)$ , as solutions to the following system of ODEs:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\mathbf{X}}_1 = -\frac{M_1}{\delta_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a^{\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_3} \left[ (\tilde{u}_1^{\mathbf{X}_1})_x (u - \bar{u}) + \frac{(\tilde{v}_1^{\mathbf{X}_1})_x \bar{p}}{\bar{v}} (v - \bar{v}) + \frac{R}{\gamma - 1} \frac{(\tilde{\theta}_1^{\mathbf{X}_1})_x}{\bar{\theta}} (\theta - \bar{\theta}) \right] dx, \\ \dot{\mathbf{X}}_3 = -\frac{M_3}{\delta_3} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a^{\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_3} \left[ (\tilde{u}_3^{\mathbf{X}_3})_x (u - \bar{u}) + \frac{(\tilde{v}_3^{\mathbf{X}_3})_x \bar{p}}{\bar{v}} (v - \bar{v}) + \frac{R}{\gamma - 1} \frac{(\tilde{\theta}_3^{\mathbf{X}_3})_x}{\bar{\theta}} (\theta - \bar{\theta}) \right] dx, \\ \mathbf{X}_1(0) = \mathbf{X}_3(0) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.8)$$

where  $a^{\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_3}$  is the shifted weight function defined in (3.7), while  $M_1 := \frac{3}{2} \frac{\alpha_1}{(\sigma_-)^2} \left( 1 + \frac{2\kappa(\gamma-1)^2}{\mu R \gamma} \right)$  and  $M_3 := \frac{3}{2} \frac{\alpha_3}{(\sigma^*)^2} \left( 1 + \frac{2\kappa(\gamma-1)^2}{\mu R \gamma} \right)$  are the specific chosen constant, which will be used in the proof of Proposition 3.2. As in [12, Lemma 3.2], we ensure the existence of a Lipschitz solution to the above ODEs (3.8) under the condition that  $v, \theta$  have positive upper and lower bounds, and  $u$  is bounded, which is guaranteed by Proposition 3.1 and the a priori assumption (3.9).

**3.4. A priori estimate.** Now, we are ready to present the main proposition on a priori estimates, which is the key estimates to obtain the large-time behaviors of the systems.

**Proposition 3.2.** *For a given  $(v_+, u_+, \theta_+) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+$ , there exist positive constants  $C_0, \delta, \varepsilon$  such that the following statement holds:*

*Suppose that  $(v, u, \theta)$  is the solution to (3.1) on  $[0, T]$  for some  $T > 0$ , and  $(\bar{v}, \bar{u}, \bar{\theta})$  is the composite wave of two shifted shocks and a contact discontinuity as defined in (4.9), where  $(\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_3)$  solves (3.8). Suppose that both the shock waves and contact discontinuity satisfy  $\delta_1, \delta_C, \delta_3 < \delta_0$  and that*

$$\begin{aligned} v - \bar{v} &\in C([0, T]; H^1(\mathbb{R})), \\ u - \bar{u}, \theta - \bar{\theta} &\in C([0, T]; H^1(\mathbb{R})) \cap L^2(0, T; H^2(\mathbb{R})), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|(v - \bar{v}, u - \bar{u}, \theta - \bar{\theta})\|_{L^\infty(0, T; H^1(\mathbb{R}))} \leq \varepsilon. \quad (3.9)$$

Then, for all  $0 \leq t \leq T$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{t \in [0, T]} [\|(v - \bar{v}, u - \bar{u}, \theta - \bar{\theta})\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}] \\ &+ \sqrt{\int_0^t \left( \sum_{i \in \{1, 3\}} \delta_i |\dot{\mathbf{X}}_i|^2 + \sum_{i \in \{1, 3\}} \mathcal{G}_i^S + \mathbf{D}_{v_1} + \mathbf{D}_{u_1} + \mathbf{D}_{\theta_1} + \mathbf{D}_{u_2} + \mathbf{D}_{\theta_2} \right) ds} \\ &\leq C_0 \|(v_0 - \bar{v}(0, \cdot), u_0 - \bar{u}(0, \cdot), \theta_0 - \bar{\theta}(0, \cdot))\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} + C_0 \delta_0^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

In particular, for all  $0 \leq t \leq T$ ,

$$|\dot{\mathbf{X}}_1(t)| + |\dot{\mathbf{X}}_3(t)| \leq C_0 \|(v - \bar{v}, u - \bar{u}, \theta - \bar{\theta})(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}.$$

Here, the constant  $C_0$  is independent of  $T$  and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{G}_i^S &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_i)_x^{\mathbf{X}_i}| |\phi_i(v - \bar{v}, u - \bar{u}, \theta - \bar{\theta})|^2 dx, \\
\mathbf{D}_{v_1} &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(v - \bar{v})_x|^2 dx, \quad \mathbf{D}_{u_1} := \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(u - \bar{u})_x|^2 dx, \quad \mathbf{D}_{\theta_1} := \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\theta - \bar{\theta})_x|^2 dx, \\
\mathbf{D}_{u_2} &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(u - \bar{u})_{xx}|^2 dx, \quad \mathbf{D}_{\theta_2} := \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\theta - \bar{\theta})_{xx}|^2 dx,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

where  $\phi_1, \phi_3$  are cutoff functions defined by

$$\phi_1(t, x) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x < \frac{\mathbf{X}_1(t) + \sigma_1 t}{2}, \\ 0 & \text{if } x > \frac{\mathbf{X}_3(t) + \sigma_3 t}{2}, \\ \text{linearly decreasing from 1 to 0} & \text{if } \frac{\mathbf{X}_1(t) + \sigma_1 t}{2} \leq x \leq \frac{\mathbf{X}_3(t) + \sigma_3 t}{2}, \end{cases} \quad \phi_3(t, x) := 1 - \phi_1(t, x). \tag{3.11}$$

We defer the proof of this key proposition to Sections 4 and 5. In the remainder of this section, we demonstrate how Proposition 3.2 implies Theorem 1.1.

**3.5. Conclusion.** Based on Proposition 3.1 and 3.2, we apply the continuation argument to prove (1.13) for the large-time behavior. These proofs follow standard procedures and rely on the same arguments as in the previous paper [11], so we omit the details and proceed to complete the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Therefore, the remainder of this paper is devoted to proving the Proposition 3.2.

#### 4. RELATIVE ENTROPY ESTIMATES

In this section, we obtain an estimate for the  $L^2$ -norms of the perturbation, by using the  $a$ -contraction with shift method. Precisely, the main goal of this section is to obtain the following lemma. This section is dedicated to the proof of the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Under the assumption of Proposition 3.2, there exists  $C > 0$ , such that for all  $t \in [0, T]$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \bar{\theta} \eta(U|\bar{U}) dx + \int_0^t \left( \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \delta_i |\dot{\mathbf{X}}_i|^2 + \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \mathcal{G}_i^S + \mathbf{D}_{u_1} + \mathbf{D}_{\theta_1} \right) ds \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \bar{\theta} \eta(U|\bar{U}) dx \Big|_{t=0} + \delta_1^{\frac{4}{3}} \left( \delta_3^{\frac{4}{3}} e^{-C\delta_3 t} + \delta_C^{\frac{4}{3}} e^{-Ct} \right) + \delta_3^{\frac{4}{3}} \left( \delta_1^{\frac{4}{3}} e^{-C\delta_1 t} + \delta_C^{\frac{4}{3}} e^{-Ct} \right) \\
& \quad + C\delta_0 \int_0^t \|(v - \bar{v})_x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 ds + C\delta_0,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

where  $\mathcal{G}_i^S$ ,  $\mathbf{D}_{u_1}$  and  $\mathbf{D}_{\theta_1}$  are the terms defined in (3.10).

**4.1. Wave interaction estimates.** We first provide useful estimates for the wave interaction terms, denoted as  $Q_i^I$  in (3.3).

First, it follows from the a priori assumption (3.9) with the Sobolev embedding that

$$\|(v - \bar{v}, u - \bar{u}, \theta - \bar{\theta})\|_{L^\infty((0,T) \times \mathbb{R})} \leq \varepsilon, \quad \text{and so} \quad v, u, \theta \in L^\infty((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}).$$

Then, the ODE (3.8) together with Lemma 2.1 yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
|\dot{\mathbf{X}}_1(t)| + |\dot{\mathbf{X}}_3(t)| & \leq \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \frac{C}{\delta_i} \|(v - \bar{v}, u - \bar{u}, \theta - \bar{\theta})\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_i)_x| dx \\
& \leq \|(v - \bar{v}, u - \bar{u}, \theta - \bar{\theta})\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} < \varepsilon.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Thus, (4.2) and the smallness of  $\varepsilon$  imply

$$\mathbf{X}_1(t) \leq -\frac{\sigma_1}{2}t, \quad \mathbf{X}_3(t) \geq -\frac{\sigma_3}{2}t, \quad t > 0,$$

or equivalently,

$$\mathbf{X}_1(t) + \sigma_1 t \leq \frac{\sigma_1}{2}t, \quad \mathbf{X}_3(t) + \sigma_3 t \geq \frac{\sigma_3}{2}t, \quad t > 0,$$

which proves (1.15).

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $Q_i^I (i = 1, 2)$  be defined in (3.3). Under the same assumption as in Proposition 3.2, the following holds:  $\forall t \leq T$ ,  $\exists$  a constant  $C > 0$  independent of  $T, \delta_1, \delta_3$  and  $\delta_C$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \|Q_i^I\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} &\leq C\delta_1(\delta_C + \delta_3)e^{-C\delta_1 t} + C\delta_C(\delta_1 + \delta_3)e^{-Ct} + C\delta_3(\delta_1 + \delta_C)e^{-C\delta_3 t}, \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \\ \|(\tilde{v}_1^{\mathbf{X}_1})_x\|(v^C - v_*, \theta^C - \theta_*)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} &+ \|(\tilde{v}_1^{\mathbf{X}_1})_x\|(\tilde{v}_3^{\mathbf{X}_3} - v^*, \tilde{\theta}_3^{\mathbf{X}_3} - \theta^*)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\leq C\delta_1^{\frac{3}{2}}\left(\delta_3 e^{-C\delta_3 t} + \delta_C e^{-Ct}\right), \\ \|(\tilde{v}_3^{\mathbf{X}_3})_x\|(v^C - v_*, \theta^C - \theta_*)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} &+ \|(\tilde{v}_3^{\mathbf{X}_3})_x\|(\tilde{v}_1^{\mathbf{X}_1} - v_-, \tilde{\theta}_1^{\mathbf{X}_1} - \theta_-)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\leq C\delta_3^{\frac{3}{2}}\left(\delta_1 e^{-C\delta_1 t} + \delta_C e^{-Ct}\right). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* For simplicity, we estimate  $\|Q_1^I\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$ , as the proof for  $\|Q_2^I\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$  follows almost identically. Recall,

$$Q_1^I := (\bar{p} - p_1^{\mathbf{X}_1} - p^C - p_3^{\mathbf{X}_3})_x - \mu \left( \frac{\bar{u}_x}{\bar{v}} - \frac{(\tilde{u}_1^{\mathbf{X}_1})_x}{\tilde{v}_1^{\mathbf{X}_1}} - \frac{u_x^C}{v^C} - \frac{(\tilde{u}_3^{\mathbf{X}_3})_x}{\tilde{v}_3^{\mathbf{X}_3}} \right)_x.$$

Since  $\bar{p} = \frac{R\bar{\theta}}{\bar{v}}$ ,  $\bar{\theta}_x = (\tilde{\theta}_1^{\mathbf{X}_1})_x + \theta_x^C + (\tilde{\theta}_3^{\mathbf{X}_3})_x$  and  $\bar{v}_x = (\tilde{v}_1^{\mathbf{X}_1})_x + v_x^C + (\tilde{v}_3^{\mathbf{X}_3})_x$ , the first term of  $Q_1^I$  can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} &(\bar{p} - p_1^{\mathbf{X}_1} - p^C - p_3^{\mathbf{X}_3})_x \\ &= R(\tilde{\theta}_1^{\mathbf{X}_1})_x \left( \frac{1}{\bar{v}} - \frac{1}{\tilde{v}_1^{\mathbf{X}_1}} \right) + R(\theta^C)_x \left( \frac{1}{\bar{v}} - \frac{1}{v^C} \right) + R(\tilde{\theta}_3^{\mathbf{X}_3})_x \left( \frac{1}{\bar{v}} - \frac{1}{\tilde{v}_3^{\mathbf{X}_3}} \right) \\ &\quad - (\tilde{v}_1^{\mathbf{X}_1})_x \left( \frac{\bar{p}}{\bar{v}} - \frac{p_1^{\mathbf{X}_1}}{\tilde{v}_1^{\mathbf{X}_1}} \right) - (v^C)_x \left( \frac{\bar{p}}{\bar{v}} - \frac{p^C}{v^C} \right) - (\tilde{v}_3^{\mathbf{X}_3})_x \left( \frac{\bar{p}}{\bar{v}} - \frac{p_3^{\mathbf{X}_3}}{\tilde{v}_3^{\mathbf{X}_3}} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Thus, we can estimate as

$$\left| (\bar{p} - p_1^{\mathbf{X}_1} - p^C - p_3^{\mathbf{X}_3})_x \right| \leq C(R_1 + R_2 + R_3),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 &:= \left| (\tilde{v}_1^{\mathbf{X}_1}, \tilde{\theta}_1^{\mathbf{X}_1})_x \right| \left| (v^C - v_*, \theta^C - \theta_*, \tilde{v}_3^{\mathbf{X}_3} - v^*, \tilde{\theta}_3^{\mathbf{X}_3} - \theta^*) \right|, \\ R_2 &:= \left| (v^C, \theta^C)_x \right| \left| (\tilde{v}_1^{\mathbf{X}_1} - v_*, \tilde{\theta}_1^{\mathbf{X}_1} - \theta_*, \tilde{v}_3^{\mathbf{X}_3} - v^*, \tilde{\theta}_3^{\mathbf{X}_3} - \theta^*) \right|, \\ R_3 &:= \left| (\tilde{v}_3^{\mathbf{X}_3}, \tilde{\theta}_3^{\mathbf{X}_3})_x \right| \left| (\tilde{v}_1^{\mathbf{X}_1} - v_*, \tilde{\theta}_1^{\mathbf{X}_1} - \theta_*, v^C - v^*, \theta^C - \theta^*) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

First, to estimate  $R_1$ , we split it as

$$\begin{aligned} \|R_1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} &= \left[ \left( \int_{x \leq \frac{\sigma_1}{4}t} + \int_{x > \frac{\sigma_1}{4}t} \right) \left| (\tilde{v}_1^{\mathbf{X}_1}, \tilde{\theta}_1^{\mathbf{X}_1})_x \right|^2 \left| (v^C - v_*, \theta^C - \theta_*, \tilde{v}_3^{\mathbf{X}_3} - v^*, \tilde{\theta}_3^{\mathbf{X}_3} - \theta^*) \right|^2 dx \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &=: \sqrt{R_{11} + R_{12}}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$x \leq \frac{\sigma_1}{4}t \Rightarrow x - \sigma_3 t - \mathbf{X}_3(t) \leq x - \frac{\sigma_3}{2}t < \frac{\sigma_1}{4}t - \frac{\sigma_3}{2}t \leq 0,$$

and so,  $|x - \sigma_3 t - \mathbf{X}_3(t)| \geq (\frac{\sigma_3}{2} - \frac{\sigma_1}{4})t$ .

So, it follows from Lemma 2.1 that

$$|(\tilde{v}_3^{\mathbf{X}_3} - v^*, \tilde{\theta}_3^{\mathbf{X}_3} - \theta^*)| \leq C\delta_3 e^{-C\delta_3|x - \sigma_3 t - \mathbf{X}_3(t)|} \leq C\delta_3 e^{-C\delta_3 t},$$

and

$$|(\tilde{v}_1^{\mathbf{X}_1}, \tilde{\theta}_1^{\mathbf{X}_1})_x| \leq C\delta_1^2 e^{-C\delta_1|x - \sigma_1 t - \mathbf{X}_1(t)|}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{x \leq \frac{\sigma_1}{4}t} |(\tilde{v}_1^{\mathbf{X}_1}, \tilde{\theta}_1^{\mathbf{X}_1})_x|^2 |(\tilde{v}_3^{\mathbf{X}_3} - v^*, \tilde{\theta}_3^{\mathbf{X}_3} - \theta^*)|^2 dx \\ & \leq C\delta_1^4 \delta_3^2 e^{-C\delta_3 t} \int_{x \leq \frac{\sigma_1}{4}t} e^{-C\delta_1|x - \sigma_1 t - \mathbf{X}_1(t)|} dx \leq C\delta_1^3 \delta_3^2 e^{-C\delta_3 t}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

In addition, when  $x \leq \frac{\sigma_1}{4}t \leq 0$ , using Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(v^C - v_*, \theta^C - \theta_*)| & \leq C\delta_C e^{-\frac{C_1 x^2}{1+t}} \\ & \leq C\delta_C e^{-Ct}. \quad (\because x^2 \geq \left(\frac{\sigma_1 t}{4}\right)^2 \geq 0) \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{x \leq \frac{\sigma_1}{4}t} |(\tilde{v}_1^{\mathbf{X}_1}, \tilde{\theta}_1^{\mathbf{X}_1})_x|^2 |(v^C - v_*, \theta^C - \theta_*)|^2 dx \\ & \leq C\delta_1^4 \delta_C^2 e^{-Ct} \int_{x \leq \frac{\sigma_1}{4}t} e^{-C\delta_1|x - \sigma_1 t - \mathbf{X}_1(t)|} dx \leq C\delta_1^3 \delta_C^2 e^{-Ct}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Combining (4.4) and (4.5), we have

$$R_{11} \leq C\delta_1^3 \delta_3^2 e^{-C\delta_3 t} + C\delta_1^3 \delta_C^2 e^{-Ct}.$$

On the other hand, note that

$$x > \frac{\sigma_1}{4}t \Rightarrow x - \sigma_1 t - \mathbf{X}_1(t) \geq x - \frac{\sigma_1}{2}t \geq -\frac{\sigma_1}{4}t > 0,$$

which implies with Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 that

$$|(\tilde{v}_1^{\mathbf{X}_1}, \tilde{\theta}_1^{\mathbf{X}_1})_x| \leq C\delta_1^2 e^{-C\delta_1|x - \sigma_1 t - \mathbf{X}_1(t)|} \leq C\delta_1^2 e^{-\frac{C\delta_1}{2}|x - \sigma_1 t - \mathbf{X}_1(t)|} e^{-C\delta_1 t},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |(\tilde{v}_3^{\mathbf{X}_3} - v^*, \tilde{\theta}_3^{\mathbf{X}_3} - \theta^*)| & \leq C\delta_3 e^{-C\delta_3|x - \sigma_3 t - \mathbf{X}_3(t)|}, \\ |(v^C - v_*, \theta^C - \theta_*)| & \leq C\delta_C e^{-\frac{C_1 x^2}{2(1+t)}} \leq C\delta_C. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$R_{12} \leq C\delta_1^4 (\delta_C^2 + \delta_3^2) e^{-C\delta_1 t} \int_{x > \frac{\sigma_1}{4}t} e^{-C\delta_1|x - \sigma_1 t - \mathbf{X}_1(t)|} dx \leq C\delta_1^3 (\delta_C^2 + \delta_3^2) e^{-C\delta_1 t}.$$

Therefore,

$$\|R_1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C\delta_1^{\frac{3}{2}} \delta_3 e^{-C\delta_3 t} + C\delta_1^{\frac{3}{2}} \delta_C e^{-Ct} + C\delta_1^{\frac{3}{2}} (\delta_C + \delta_3) e^{-C\delta_1 t}.$$

Secondly, to estimate  $\|R_2\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$ , we split it as

$$\begin{aligned} \|R_2\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} &\leq \left[ \left( \int_{x-\sigma_1 t \leq -\frac{\sigma_1}{2}t} + \int_{x-\sigma_1 t > -\frac{\sigma_1}{2}t} \right) |(v^C, \theta^C)_x|^2 \left| (\tilde{v}_1^{\mathbf{X}_1} - v_*, \tilde{\theta}_1^{\mathbf{X}_1} - \theta_*) \right|^2 dx \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left( \int_{x-\sigma_3 t \leq -\frac{\sigma_3}{2}t} + \int_{x-\sigma_3 t > -\frac{\sigma_3}{2}t} \right) |(v^C, \theta^C)_x|^2 \left| (\tilde{v}_3^{\mathbf{X}_3} - v_*, \tilde{\theta}_3^{\mathbf{X}_3} - \theta_*) \right|^2 dx \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &=: \sqrt{R_{21} + R_{22} + R_{23} + R_{24}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $x - \sigma_1 t \leq -\frac{\sigma_1}{2}t \Rightarrow x \leq \frac{\sigma_1}{2}t < 0$ , using Lemma 2.2, we have

$$|(v^C, \theta^C)_x| \leq C\delta_C e^{-\frac{C_1 x^2}{1+t}} \leq C\delta_C e^{-\frac{C_1 x^2}{2(1+t)}} e^{-Ct}.$$

Thus,

$$R_{21} \leq C\delta_C^2 \delta_1^2 e^{-Ct} \int_{x-\sigma_1 t < -\frac{\sigma_1}{2}t} e^{-\frac{C_1 x^2}{2(1+t)}} dx \leq C\delta_C^2 \delta_1^2 e^{-Ct}.$$

On the other hand,  $x - \sigma_1 t \geq -\frac{\sigma_1}{2}t \Rightarrow x - \sigma_1 t - \mathbf{X}_1(t) \geq -\frac{\sigma_1}{2}t - \mathbf{X}_1(t) > -\frac{\sigma_1}{4}t > 0$ . It follows from Lemma 2.1 that

$$|(\tilde{v}_1^{\mathbf{X}_1} - v_*, \tilde{\theta}_1^{\mathbf{X}_1} - \theta_*)| \leq C\delta_1^2 e^{-C\delta_1|x-\sigma_1 t-\mathbf{X}_1(t)|} \leq C\delta_1^2 e^{-\frac{C\delta_1}{2}|x-\sigma_1 t-\mathbf{X}_1(t)|} e^{-c\delta_1 t}.$$

Thus,

$$R_{22} \leq C\delta_1^2 \delta_C^2 e^{-C\delta_1 t} \int_{x-\sigma_1 t < -\frac{\sigma_1}{2}t} e^{-\frac{C_1 x^2}{(1+t)}} dx \leq C\delta_1^2 \delta_C^2 e^{-C\delta_1 t}.$$

For the estimates for  $R_{23}, R_{24}$ , note that

$$x - \sigma_3 t \geq -\frac{\sigma_3}{2}t \Rightarrow x - \sigma_3 t - \mathbf{X}_3(t) \geq -\frac{\sigma_3}{2}t - \mathbf{X}_3(t) < -\frac{\sigma_3}{4}t \leq 0,$$

$$\text{and so, } |x - \sigma_3 t - \mathbf{X}_3(t)| \geq \frac{\sigma_3}{4}t.$$

Using Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(v^C, \theta^C)_x| &\leq C\delta_C e^{-\frac{C_1 x^2}{1+t}}, \\ |(\tilde{v}_3^{\mathbf{X}_3} - v_*, \tilde{\theta}_3^{\mathbf{X}_3} - \theta_*)| &\leq C\delta_3 e^{-C\delta_3|x-\sigma_3 t-\mathbf{X}_3(t)|} \leq C\delta_3 e^{-\frac{C\delta_3}{2}|x-\sigma_3 t-\mathbf{X}_3(t)|} e^{-C\delta_3 t}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$R_{23} \leq C\delta_C^2 \delta_3^2 e^{-C\delta_3 t} \int_{x-\sigma_3 t \leq -\frac{\sigma_3}{2}t} e^{-\frac{C_1 x^2}{(1+t)}} dx \leq C\delta_C^2 \delta_3^2 e^{-C\delta_3 t}.$$

On the other hand, since  $x - \sigma_3 t \geq -\frac{\sigma_3}{2}t \Rightarrow x \geq \frac{\sigma_3}{2}t \geq 0$ , using Lemma 2.2, we have

$$|(v^C, \theta^C)_x| \leq C\delta_C e^{-\frac{C_1 x^2}{1+t}} \leq C\delta_C e^{-\frac{C_1 x^2}{2(1+t)}} e^{-Ct},$$

which yields

$$R_{24} \leq C\delta_C^2 \delta_3^2 e^{-Ct} \int_{x-\sigma_3 t > -\frac{\sigma_3}{2}t} e^{-\frac{C_1 x^2}{(1+t)}} dx \leq C\delta_C^2 \delta_3^2 e^{-Ct}.$$

Therefore,

$$\|R_2\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C\delta_1 \delta_C e^{-C\delta_1 t} + C\delta_3 \delta_C e^{-C\delta_3 t} + C\delta_C \delta_3 e^{-Ct}.$$

Finally, to estimate  $R_3$ , we split it as above

$$\begin{aligned} \|R_3\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} &\leq \left[ \left( \int_{x \leq \frac{\sigma_3}{4}t} + \int_{x > \frac{\sigma_3}{4}t} \right) \left| (\tilde{v}_3^{\mathbf{X}_3}, \tilde{\theta}_3^{\mathbf{X}_3})_x \right|^2 \left| (\tilde{v}_1^{\mathbf{X}_1} - v_*, \tilde{\theta}_1^{\mathbf{X}_1} - \theta_*, v^C - v^*, \theta^C - \theta^*) \right|^2 dx \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &=: \sqrt{R_{31} + R_{32}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $x \leq \frac{\sigma_3}{4}t \Rightarrow x - \sigma_3 t - \mathbf{X}_3(t) \leq x - \frac{3\sigma_3}{4}t \leq -\frac{\sigma_3}{2}t \leq 0$ , and so  $|x - \sigma_3 t - \mathbf{X}_3(t)| \geq \frac{\sigma_3}{2}t$ , it follows from Lemma 2.1 that

$$|(\tilde{v}_3^{\mathbf{X}_3}, \tilde{\theta}_3^{\mathbf{X}_3})_x| \leq C\delta_3^2 e^{-C\delta_3|x-\sigma_3 t-\mathbf{X}_3(t)|} \leq C\delta_3^2 e^{-\frac{C\delta_3}{2}|x-\sigma_3 t-\mathbf{X}_3(t)|} e^{-C\delta_3 t}.$$

Thus,

$$R_{31} \leq C\delta_3^4(\delta_1^2 + \delta_C^2) e^{-c\delta_3 t} \int_{x \leq \frac{\sigma_3}{4}t} e^{-\frac{C\delta_3}{2}|x-\sigma_3 t-\mathbf{X}_3(t)|} dx \leq C\delta_3^3(\delta_1^2 + \delta_C^2) e^{-C\delta_3 t}.$$

On the other hand, using  $x > \frac{\sigma_3}{4}t \Rightarrow x - \sigma_1 t - \mathbf{X}_1(t) \geq x - \frac{3\sigma_1}{4}t \geq \frac{\sigma_3}{4}t - \frac{3}{4}\sigma_1 t \geq 0$  and Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(v^C - v^*, \theta^C - \theta^*)| &\leq C\delta_C e^{-\frac{C_1 x^2}{1+t}} \leq C\delta_C e^{-\frac{C_1 x^2}{2(1+t)}} e^{-Ct}, \\ |(\tilde{v}_1^{\mathbf{X}_1} - v_*, \tilde{\theta}_1^{\mathbf{X}_1} - \theta_*)| &\leq C\delta_1^2 e^{-C\delta_1|x-\sigma_1 t-\mathbf{X}_1(t)|} \leq C\delta_1^2 e^{-\frac{C\delta_1}{2}|x-\sigma_1 t-\mathbf{X}_1(t)|} e^{-C\delta_1 t}, \\ |(\tilde{v}_3^{\mathbf{X}_3}, \tilde{\theta}_3^{\mathbf{X}_3})_x| &\leq C\delta_3^2 e^{-C\delta_3|x-\sigma_3 t-\mathbf{X}_3(t)|}, \end{aligned}$$

which yield

$$R_{32} \leq C\delta_3^4(\delta_1^2 e^{-C\delta_1 t} + \delta_C^2 e^{-Ct}) \int_{x > \frac{\sigma_3}{4}t} e^{-c\delta_3|x-\sigma_3 t-\mathbf{X}_3(t)|^2} dx \leq C\delta_3^3(\delta_1^2 e^{-C\delta_1 t} + \delta_C^2 e^{-Ct}).$$

Therefore, we have

$$\|R_3\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C\delta_3^{\frac{3}{2}}(\delta_1 + \delta_C) e^{-C\delta_3 t} + C\delta_3^{\frac{3}{2}}\delta_3 e^{-C\delta_1 t} + C\delta_C\delta_3^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{-Ct}.$$

□

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_3$  be the functions defined in (3.11). There exist positive constants  $\delta_0, C$  such that for any  $\delta_1, \delta_C, \delta_3 \in (0, \delta_0)$ , the following estimates hold.*

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_3|(\tilde{v}_1^{\mathbf{X}_1})_x| &\leq C\delta_1^2 \exp(-C\delta_1 t), \quad \phi_1|(\tilde{v}_3^{\mathbf{X}_3})_x| \leq C\delta_3^2 \exp(-C\delta_3 t), \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_3|(\tilde{v}_1^{\mathbf{X}_1})_x| dx &\leq C\delta_1 \exp(-C\delta_1 t), \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_1|(\tilde{v}_3^{\mathbf{X}_3})_x| dx \leq C\delta_3 \exp(-C\delta_3 t), \quad t > 0. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We focus on the case of  $i = 1$ , as the argument for the other case follows in the same manner. From (2.1), it follows that

$$|(\tilde{v}_1^{\mathbf{X}_1})_x| = |\tilde{v}_1'(x - \sigma_1 t - \mathbf{X}_1(t))| \leq C\delta_1^2 \exp(-C\delta_1|x - \sigma_1 t - \mathbf{X}_1(t)|), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad t > 0.$$

Thus, we have

$$\phi_3|(\tilde{v}_1^{\mathbf{X}_1})_x|^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C\phi_3\delta_1 \exp(-C\delta_1|x - \sigma_1 t - \mathbf{X}_1(t)|), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad t > 0, \quad (4.6)$$

and

$$\phi_3|(\tilde{v}_1^{\mathbf{X}_1})_x| \leq C\phi_3\delta_1^2 \exp(-C\delta_1|x - \sigma_1 t - \mathbf{X}_1(t)|), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad t > 0. \quad (4.7)$$

Note that the support of  $\phi_3(t, \cdot)$  is  $\{x : x \geq \frac{\mathbf{X}_1(t) + \sigma_1 t}{2}\}$ , on which the following estimate holds:

$$x - (\sigma_1 t + \mathbf{X}_1(t)) \geq -\frac{\mathbf{X}_1(t) + \sigma_1 t}{2} \geq -\frac{\sigma_1 t}{4} > 0. \quad (4.8)$$

By virtue of  $0 \leq \phi_3 \leq 1$  and (4.7), we have

$$\phi_3 |(\tilde{v}_1^{\mathbf{X}_1})_x| \leq C\delta_1^2 \exp(-C\delta_1 t),$$

which yields the desired first estimate. Similarly, using (4.6) and (4.8) imply

$$\phi_3 |(\tilde{v}_1^{\mathbf{X}_1})_x|^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C\delta_1 \exp(-C\delta_1 |x - \sigma_1 - \mathbf{X}_1(t)|) \exp(-C\delta_1 t).$$

On the other hand, using (2.1), we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_1^{\mathbf{X}_1})_x| dx \leq C\delta_1.$$

Combining the above estimates and using Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_3 |(\tilde{v}_1^{\mathbf{X}_1})_x| dx \leq \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_3^2 |(\tilde{v}_1^{\mathbf{X}_1})_x| dx} \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_1^{\mathbf{X}_1})_x| dx} \leq C\delta_1 \exp(-C\delta_1 t).$$

Thus, we derived the second estimate. This completes the proof of Lemma 4.3.  $\square$

• Notations: In what follows, we use the following notations for simplicity.

1. We will omit the dependence on shifts:

$$a(t, x) := a^{\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_3}(t, x).$$

and for each  $i = 1, 3$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{v}_i(t, x) &:= \tilde{v}_i^{\mathbf{X}_i}(x - \sigma_i t) = \tilde{v}_i(x - \sigma_i t - \mathbf{X}_i(t)), \\ \tilde{u}_i(t, x) &:= \tilde{u}_i^{\mathbf{X}_i}(x - \sigma_i t) = \tilde{u}_i(x - \sigma_i t - \mathbf{X}_i(t)), \\ \tilde{\theta}_i(t, x) &:= \tilde{\theta}_i^{\mathbf{X}_i}(x - \sigma_i t) = \tilde{\theta}_i(x - \sigma_i t - \mathbf{X}_i(t)). \end{aligned}$$

2. Regarding the evolution of the relative entropy, we will use the notations  $U = (v, u, \theta)^t$  and  $\bar{U} = (\bar{v}, \bar{u}, \bar{\theta})^t$ , where  $U = (v, u, \theta)^t$  is the solution to the system (3.1), and  $\bar{U} = (\bar{v}, \bar{u}, \bar{\theta})^t$  the superposition of 1-viscous shock wave shifted by  $\mathbf{X}_1$ , 2-viscous contact wave, and 3-viscous shock wave shifted by  $\mathbf{X}_3$  as below

$$\bar{U}(t, x) := \begin{pmatrix} \bar{v}(t, x) \\ \bar{u}(t, x) \\ \bar{\theta}(t, x) \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{v}_1(t, x) + v^C(t, x) + \tilde{v}_3(t, x) - v_* - v^* \\ \tilde{u}_1(t, x) + u^C(t, x) + \tilde{u}_3(t, x) - u_* - u^* \\ \tilde{\theta}_1(t, x) + \theta^C(t, x) + \tilde{\theta}_3(t, x) - \theta_* - \theta^* \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.9)$$

3. We adopt the following notations to make the computations presented after subsection 4.4 more concise:

$$\begin{aligned} v^{[1]} &:= v_-, \quad \theta^{[1]} := \theta_-, \quad p^{[1]} := p_-, \quad \text{and } \sigma^{[1]} := \sigma_-, \\ v^{[3]} &:= v^*, \quad \theta^{[3]} := \theta^*, \quad p^{[3]} := p^*, \quad \text{and } \sigma^{[3]} := \sigma^*. \end{aligned}$$

**4.2. Relative entropy method.** First of all, the relative entropy of  $U$  and  $\bar{U}$  is given by

$$\eta(U|\bar{U}) = R \left( \frac{v}{\bar{v}} - 1 - \log \frac{v}{\bar{v}} \right) + \frac{R}{\gamma - 1} \left( \frac{\theta}{\bar{\theta}} - 1 - \log \frac{\theta}{\bar{\theta}} \right) + \frac{(u - \bar{u})^2}{2\bar{\theta}}.$$

Then, using the convex function  $\Phi(z) := z - 1 - \ln z$ , we have

$$\eta(U|\bar{U}) = R\Phi\left(\frac{v}{\bar{v}}\right) + \frac{R}{\gamma - 1}\Phi\left(\frac{\theta}{\bar{\theta}}\right) + \frac{(u - \bar{u})^2}{2\bar{\theta}}.$$

So, the relative entropy weighted by  $\bar{\theta}$  is given by

$$\bar{\theta}\eta(U|\bar{U}) = R\bar{\theta}\Phi\left(\frac{v}{\bar{v}}\right) + \frac{R\bar{\theta}}{\gamma-1}\Phi\left(\frac{\theta}{\bar{\theta}}\right) + \frac{(u-\bar{u})^2}{2}. \quad (4.10)$$

Next, we compute the evolution of the relative entropy of  $U$  and  $\bar{U}$  weighted by  $a(t, x)\bar{\theta}(t, x)$ :

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} a(t, x)\bar{\theta}(t, x)\eta(U(t, x)|\bar{U}(t, x)) dx.$$

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let  $a$  be the weight function defined by (3.4). Let  $U$  be a solution to (3.1), and  $\bar{U}$  the composite wave given in (4.9). Then,*

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a(t, x)\eta(U(t, x))|\bar{U}(t, x)) dx = \sum_{i \in \{1, 3\}} \dot{\mathbf{X}}_i(t) \mathbf{Y}_i(U) + \mathcal{J}^{bad}(U) - \mathcal{J}^{good}(U),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Y}_i(U) &:= - \int_{\mathbb{R}} (a_i)_x \bar{\theta} \eta(U|\bar{U}) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \left[ R(\tilde{\theta}_i)_x \Phi\left(\frac{v}{\bar{v}}\right) + \frac{R}{\gamma-1} (\tilde{\theta}_i)_x \Phi\left(\frac{\theta}{\bar{\theta}}\right) \right] dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \left[ \frac{(\tilde{v}_i)_x \bar{p}}{\bar{v}} (v - \bar{v}) + \frac{R}{\gamma-1} \frac{(\tilde{\theta}_i)_x}{\bar{\theta}} (\theta - \bar{\theta}) + (\tilde{u}_i)_x (u - \bar{u}) \right] dx, \\ \mathcal{J}^{bad}(U) &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \left[ R(-\sigma_1(\tilde{\theta}_1)_x + \theta_t^C - \sigma_3(\tilde{\theta}_3)_x) \Phi\left(\frac{v}{\bar{v}}\right) - \frac{\bar{p}\bar{u}_x}{v\bar{v}} (v - \bar{v})^2 \right] dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \left[ \frac{R}{\gamma-1} (-\sigma_1(\tilde{\theta}_1)_x + \theta_t^C - \sigma_3(\tilde{\theta}_3)_x) \Phi\left(\frac{\theta}{\bar{\theta}}\right) - \frac{\bar{u}_x}{\bar{\theta}} (\theta - \bar{\theta})(p - \bar{p}) + \bar{p}\bar{u}_x \frac{(\theta - \bar{\theta})^2}{\theta\bar{\theta}} \right] dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \left[ \mu \left( \frac{u_x}{v} - \frac{\bar{u}_x}{\bar{v}} \right) (u - \bar{u}) + \kappa \frac{\theta - \bar{\theta}}{\bar{\theta}} \left( \frac{\theta_x}{v} - \frac{\bar{\theta}_x}{\bar{v}} \right) - (p - \bar{p})(u - \bar{u}) \right]_x dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \left[ -\mu(u - \bar{u})_x \bar{u}_x \left( \frac{1}{v} - \frac{1}{\bar{v}} \right) + \kappa \frac{\theta - \bar{\theta}}{\bar{\theta}^2} \theta_x \left( \frac{\theta_x}{v} - \frac{\bar{\theta}_x}{\bar{v}} \right) - \kappa \frac{(\theta - \bar{\theta})_x}{\bar{\theta}} \bar{\theta}_x \left( \frac{1}{v} - \frac{1}{\bar{v}} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \quad \left. + \mu \frac{\theta - \bar{\theta}}{\bar{\theta}} \bar{\theta}_x \left( \frac{u_x^2}{v} - \frac{\bar{u}_x^2}{\bar{v}} \right) - (u - \bar{u}) Q_1 - \left( \frac{\theta}{\bar{\theta}} - 1 \right) Q_2 \right] dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \left[ -\kappa \frac{(\theta - \bar{\theta})^2}{\theta\bar{\theta}} \left( \frac{\bar{\theta}_x}{\bar{v}} \right)_x - \mu \frac{(\theta - \bar{\theta})^2}{\theta\bar{\theta}} \frac{\bar{u}_x^2}{\bar{v}} \right] dx, \\ \mathcal{J}^{good}(U) &:= \sum_{i \in \{1, 3\}} \sigma_i \int_{\mathbb{R}} (a_i)_x \bar{\theta} \eta(U|\bar{U}) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \left[ \frac{\mu}{v} |(u - \bar{u})_x|^2 + \frac{\kappa}{v\bar{\theta}} |(\theta - \bar{\theta})_x|^2 \right] dx. \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 4.1.** *Since  $\sigma_i a'_i > 0$ ,  $-\mathcal{J}^{good}$  consists of good terms, while  $\mathcal{J}^{bad}$  consists of bad terms.*

*Proof.* By virtue of (4.10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a(t, x)\bar{\theta}(t, x)\eta(U(t, x)|\bar{U}(t, x)) dx \\ &= - \sum_{i \in \{1, 3\}} \sigma_i \int_{\mathbb{R}} (a_i)_x \bar{\theta} \eta(U|\bar{U}) dx - \sum_{i \in \{1, 3\}} \dot{\mathbf{X}}_i(t) \int_{\mathbb{R}} (a_i)_x \bar{\theta} \eta(U|\bar{U}) dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \partial_t \left[ R\bar{\theta}\Phi\left(\frac{v}{\bar{v}}\right) + \frac{R\bar{\theta}}{\gamma-1}\Phi\left(\frac{\theta}{\bar{\theta}}\right) + \frac{(u-\bar{u})^2}{2\bar{\theta}} \right] dx. \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

First, we compute the time-derivative terms above.

From (3.1) and (3.2), we have

$$\begin{cases} (v - \bar{v})_t - \dot{\mathbf{X}}_1(t)(\tilde{v}_1^{\mathbf{X}_1})_x - \dot{\mathbf{X}}_3(t)(\tilde{v}_3)_x - (u - \bar{u})_x = 0, \\ (u - \bar{u})_t - \dot{\mathbf{X}}_1(t)(\tilde{u}_1)_x - \dot{\mathbf{X}}_3(t)(\tilde{u}_3)_x + (p - \bar{p})_x = \mu \left( \frac{u_x}{v} - \frac{\bar{u}_x}{\bar{v}} \right)_x - Q_1, \\ \frac{R}{\gamma-1} (\theta - \bar{\theta})_t - \frac{R}{\gamma-1} \dot{\mathbf{X}}_1(t)(\tilde{\theta}_1)_x - \frac{R}{\gamma-1} \dot{\mathbf{X}}_3(t)(\tilde{\theta}_3)_x + (pu_x - \bar{p}\bar{u}_x) \\ = \kappa \left( \frac{\theta_x}{v} - \frac{\bar{\theta}_x}{\bar{v}} \right)_x + \mu \left( \frac{u_x^2}{v} - \frac{\bar{u}_x^2}{\bar{v}} \right) - Q_2. \end{cases} \quad (4.12)$$

Using  $\Phi'(z) = 1 - \frac{1}{z}$  and (4.12)<sub>1</sub> and (3.2)<sub>1</sub>, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \left[ R\bar{\theta}\Phi \left( \frac{v}{\bar{v}} \right) \right] &= R\bar{\theta}_t\Phi \left( \frac{v}{\bar{v}} \right) + R\bar{\theta}\Phi' \left( \frac{v}{\bar{v}} \right) \left( \frac{v}{\bar{v}} \right)_t \\ &= R\bar{\theta}_t\Phi \left( \frac{v}{\bar{v}} \right) + R\bar{\theta} \left( \frac{1}{\bar{v}} - \frac{1}{v} \right) \left[ (v - \bar{v})_t + \bar{v}_t \left( 1 - \frac{v}{\bar{v}} \right) \right] \\ &= R\bar{\theta}_t\Phi \left( \frac{v}{\bar{v}} \right) + R\bar{\theta} \left( \frac{1}{\bar{v}} - \frac{1}{v} \right) \left[ \dot{\mathbf{X}}_1(t)(\tilde{v}_1)_x + \dot{\mathbf{X}}_3(t)(\tilde{v}_3)_x + (u - \bar{u})_x \right] \\ &\quad + R\bar{\theta} \left( \frac{1}{\bar{v}} - \frac{1}{v} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{v}{\bar{v}} \right) \left[ -\dot{\mathbf{X}}_1(t)(\tilde{v}_1)_x - \dot{\mathbf{X}}_3(t)(\tilde{v}_3)_x + \bar{u}_x \right] \\ &= R\bar{\theta}_t\Phi \left( \frac{v}{\bar{v}} \right) + R\bar{\theta} \left( \frac{1}{\bar{v}} - \frac{1}{v} \right) (u - \bar{u})_x \\ &\quad + \left[ \dot{\mathbf{X}}_1(t)(\tilde{v}_1)_x + \dot{\mathbf{X}}_3(t)(\tilde{v}_3)_x \right] \frac{\bar{p}}{\bar{v}} (v - \bar{v}) - \frac{\bar{p}\bar{u}_x}{v\bar{v}} (v - \bar{v})^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

As above, using (4.12)<sub>3</sub> and (3.2)<sub>3</sub>, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \left[ \frac{R\bar{\theta}}{\gamma-1} \Phi \left( \frac{\theta}{\bar{\theta}} \right) \right] &= \frac{R}{\gamma-1} \bar{\theta}_t\Phi \left( \frac{\theta}{\bar{\theta}} \right) + \frac{R}{\gamma-1} \left( \dot{\mathbf{X}}_1(t)(\theta_1)_x + \dot{\mathbf{X}}_3(t)(\theta_3)_x \right) \frac{\theta - \bar{\theta}}{\bar{\theta}} \\ &\quad - \frac{R}{v} (\theta - \bar{\theta})(u - \bar{u})_x - \frac{\bar{u}_x}{\bar{\theta}} (\theta - \bar{\theta})(p - \bar{p}) + \bar{p}\bar{u}_x \frac{(\theta - \bar{\theta})^2}{\theta\bar{\theta}} \\ &\quad + \left[ \kappa \frac{\theta - \bar{\theta}}{\bar{\theta}} \left( \frac{\theta_x}{v} - \frac{\bar{\theta}_x}{\bar{v}} \right) \right]_x - \frac{\kappa}{v\bar{\theta}} |(\theta - \bar{\theta})_x|^2 - \kappa \frac{(\theta - \bar{\theta})_x}{\bar{\theta}} \bar{\theta}_x \left( \frac{1}{v} - \frac{1}{\bar{v}} \right) \\ &\quad + \kappa \frac{\theta - \bar{\theta}}{\bar{\theta}^2} \bar{\theta}_x \left( \frac{\theta_x}{v} - \frac{\bar{\theta}_x}{\bar{v}} \right) - \kappa \frac{(\theta - \bar{\theta})^2}{\theta\bar{\theta}} \left( \frac{\bar{\theta}_x}{\bar{v}} \right)_x \\ &\quad + \mu \frac{\theta - \bar{\theta}}{\bar{\theta}} \left( \frac{u_x^2}{v} - \frac{\bar{u}_x^2}{\bar{v}} \right) - \mu \frac{(\theta - \bar{\theta})^2}{\theta\bar{\theta}} \frac{\bar{u}_x^2}{\bar{v}} - \left( \frac{\theta}{\bar{\theta}} - 1 \right) Q_2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

Using (4.12)<sub>2</sub>, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \left[ \frac{(u - \bar{u})^2}{2} \right] &= \left( \dot{\mathbf{X}}_1(t)(\tilde{u}_1)_x + \dot{\mathbf{X}}_3(t)(\tilde{u}_3)_x \right) (u - \bar{u}) - [(p - \bar{p})(u - \bar{u})]_x \\ &\quad + (p - \bar{p})(u - \bar{u})_x + \left[ \mu \left( \frac{u_x}{v} - \frac{\bar{u}_x}{\bar{v}} \right) (u - \bar{u}) \right]_x - \frac{\mu}{v} |(u - \bar{u})_x|^2 \\ &\quad - \mu (u - \bar{u})_x \bar{u}_x \left( \frac{1}{v} - \frac{1}{\bar{v}} \right) - Q_1(u - \bar{u}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

Therefore, combining (4.13), (4.14), and (4.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \partial_t \left[ R\bar{\theta}\Phi\left(\frac{v}{\bar{v}}\right) + \frac{R\bar{\theta}}{\gamma-1}\Phi\left(\frac{\theta}{\bar{\theta}}\right) + \frac{(u-\bar{u})^2}{2} \right] \\
&= \bar{\theta}_t \left[ R\Phi\left(\frac{v}{\bar{v}}\right) + \frac{R}{\gamma-1}\Phi\left(\frac{\theta}{\bar{\theta}}\right) \right] + \dot{\mathbf{X}}_1(t) \left[ \frac{(\tilde{v}_1)_x \bar{p}}{\bar{v}}(v-\bar{v}) + \frac{R}{\gamma-1} \frac{(\tilde{\theta}_1)_x}{\bar{\theta}}(\theta-\bar{\theta}) + (\tilde{u}_1)_x(u-\bar{u}) \right] \\
&+ \dot{\mathbf{X}}_3(t) \left[ \frac{(\tilde{v}_3)_x \bar{p}}{\bar{v}}(v-\bar{v}) + \frac{R}{\gamma-1} \frac{(\tilde{\theta}_3)_x}{\bar{\theta}}(\theta-\bar{\theta}) + (\tilde{u}_3)_x(u-\bar{u}) \right] - \frac{\bar{p}\bar{u}_x}{v\bar{v}}(v-\bar{v})^2 \\
&- \frac{\bar{u}_x}{\bar{\theta}}(\theta-\bar{\theta})(p-\bar{p}) + \left[ \mu \left( \frac{u_x}{v} - \frac{\bar{u}_x}{\bar{v}} \right) (u-\bar{u}) + \kappa \frac{\theta-\bar{\theta}}{\bar{\theta}} \left( \frac{\theta_x}{v} - \frac{\bar{\theta}_x}{\bar{v}} \right) - (p-\bar{p})(u-\bar{u}) \right]_x \\
&- \mu(u-\bar{u})_x \bar{u}_x \left( \frac{1}{v} - \frac{1}{\bar{v}} \right) + \kappa \frac{\theta-\bar{\theta}}{\bar{\theta}^2} \theta_x \left( \frac{\theta_x}{v} - \frac{\bar{\theta}_x}{\bar{v}} \right) - \kappa \frac{(\theta-\bar{\theta})_x}{\bar{\theta}} \bar{\theta}_x \left( \frac{1}{v} - \frac{1}{\bar{v}} \right) \\
&+ \mu \frac{\theta-\bar{\theta}}{\bar{\theta}} \left( \frac{u_x^2}{v} - \frac{\bar{u}_x^2}{\bar{v}} \right) - (u-\bar{u})Q_1 - \left( \frac{\theta}{\bar{\theta}} - 1 \right) Q_2 - \frac{\mu}{v} |(u-\bar{u})_x|^2 - \frac{\kappa}{v\bar{\theta}} |(\theta-\bar{\theta})_x|^2 \\
&- \kappa \frac{(\theta-\bar{\theta})^2}{\bar{\theta}\bar{\theta}} \left( \frac{\bar{\theta}_x}{\bar{v}} \right)_x - \mu \frac{(\theta-\bar{\theta})^2}{\bar{\theta}\bar{\theta}} \frac{\bar{u}_x^2}{\bar{v}} + \bar{p}\bar{u}_x \frac{(\theta-\bar{\theta})^2}{\bar{\theta}\bar{\theta}} \\
&+ R\bar{\theta} \left( \frac{1}{\bar{v}} - \frac{1}{v} \right) (u-\bar{u})_x - \frac{R}{v}(\theta-\bar{\theta})(u-\bar{u})_x + (p-\bar{p})(u-\bar{u})_x.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that in the last line,

$$\begin{aligned}
& R\bar{\theta} \left( \frac{1}{\bar{v}} - \frac{1}{v} \right) (u-\bar{u})_x - \frac{R}{v}(\theta-\bar{\theta})(u-\bar{u})_x + (p-\bar{p})(u-\bar{u})_x \\
&= (\bar{p}-p)(u-\bar{u})_x + (p-\bar{p})(u-\bar{u})_x = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, since

$$\bar{\theta}_t = -\sigma_1(\tilde{\theta}_1)_x - \dot{\mathbf{X}}_1(\tilde{\theta}_1)_x + \theta_t^C - \sigma_3(\tilde{\theta}_3)_x - \dot{\mathbf{X}}_3(\tilde{\theta}_3)_x,$$

we substitute this equality into (4.11) to have the desired result.  $\square$

**4.3. Decompositions.** First of all, we will decompose the first and second terms of  $\mathcal{J}^{bad}$  into the main term  $\mathbf{B}_1$  of leading order, and remaining terms.

$$\mathbf{B}_1(U) := \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a(\tilde{v}_i)_x \left[ \frac{(\gamma+1)p^{[i]}\sigma^{[i]}}{2(v^{[i]})^2} |v-\bar{v}|^2 + \frac{\sigma^{[i]}R}{2v^{[i]}\theta^{[i]}}(\theta-\bar{\theta})^2 - \frac{\sigma^{[i]}p^{[i]}}{2v^{[i]}\theta^{[i]}}(v-\bar{v})(p-\bar{\theta}) \right] dx. \quad (4.16)$$

We first handle the first term of  $\mathcal{J}^{bad}$ :

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} a \underbrace{\left[ R(-\sigma_1((\tilde{\theta}_1)_x + \theta_t^C - \sigma_3(\tilde{\theta}_3)_x)) \Phi\left(\frac{v}{\bar{v}}\right) - \frac{\bar{p}\bar{u}_x}{v\bar{v}}(v-\bar{v})^2 \right]}_{=:J_2} dx.$$

Since  $\bar{u}_x = (\tilde{u}_1)_x + u_x^C + (\tilde{u}_3)_x$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}_2 &= \underbrace{-R\sigma_1(\tilde{\theta}_1)_x \Phi\left(\frac{v}{\bar{v}}\right) - \frac{\bar{p}(\tilde{u}_1)_x}{v\bar{v}}(v-\bar{v})^2}_{=:J_{21}} \\
&+ \underbrace{R\theta_t^C \Phi\left(\frac{v}{\bar{v}}\right) - \frac{\bar{p}u_x^C}{v\bar{v}}(v-\bar{v})^2}_{=:J_{22}} - \underbrace{R\sigma_3(\tilde{\theta}_3)_x \Phi\left(\frac{v}{\bar{v}}\right) - \frac{\bar{p}(\tilde{u}_3)_x}{v\bar{v}}(v-\bar{v})^2}_{=:J_{23}}
\end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\Phi(1) = \Phi'(1) = 0$  and  $\Phi''(1) = 1$ .

Applying Taylor's Theorem to the function  $\Phi(z)$  at  $z = 1$ , we have

$$\Phi\left(\frac{v}{\bar{v}}\right) = \frac{(v - \bar{v})^2}{2\bar{v}^2} + O(|v - \bar{v}|^3). \quad (4.17)$$

Using this and (2.6)<sub>3</sub>, we have

$$J_{22} \leq C(|u_x^C| + |\theta_{xx}^C| + |\theta_x^C||v_x^C| + |Q_2^C|)|v - \bar{v}|^2,$$

which together with (2.5) and (2.7) yields

$$J_{22} \leq C\delta_C(1+t)^{-1}e^{-\frac{C_1|x|^2}{1+t}}(v - \bar{v})^2.$$

Using (2.2), (2.3), (2.4), and

$$\begin{aligned} |\bar{p} - p^{[1]}| &\leq C(|\bar{v} - v^{[1]}| + |\bar{\theta} - \theta^{[1]}|) \\ &\leq C(|\tilde{v}_1 - v_-| + |v^C - v_*| + |\tilde{v}_3 - v^*| + |\tilde{\theta}_1 - v_-| + |\theta^C - v_*| + |\tilde{\theta}_3 - v^*|), \\ &\leq C(\delta_1 + \delta_C + \delta_3) \leq C\delta_0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

together with (4.17) we have

$$J_{21} \leq \frac{(\gamma+1)p^{[1]}\sigma^{[1]}}{2(v^{[1]})^2}(\tilde{v}_1)_x|v - \bar{v}|^2 + C(\delta_0 + \varepsilon)(\tilde{v}_1)_x|v - \bar{v}|^2.$$

Likewise,

$$J_{23} \leq \frac{(\gamma+1)p^{[3]}\sigma^{[3]}}{2(v^{[3]})^2}(\tilde{v}_3)_x|v - \bar{v}|^2 + C(\delta_0 + \varepsilon)(\tilde{v}_3)_x|v - \bar{v}|^2.$$

As above, we handle the second term of  $\mathcal{J}^{bad}$ :

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} a \underbrace{\left[ \frac{R}{\gamma-1} \left( -\sigma_1(\tilde{\theta}_1)_x + \theta_t^C - \sigma_3(\tilde{\theta}_3)_x \right) \Phi\left(\frac{\theta}{\bar{\theta}}\right) - \frac{\bar{u}_x}{\bar{\theta}}(\theta - \bar{\theta})(p - \bar{p}) + \bar{p}\bar{u}_x \frac{(\theta - \bar{\theta})^2}{\theta\bar{\theta}} \right]}_{=:J_3} dx$$

Since  $p - \bar{p} = \frac{R}{v}(\theta - \bar{\theta}) - \frac{\bar{p}}{v}(v - \bar{v})$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_3 &= \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \left[ -\frac{R\sigma_i}{\gamma-1}(\tilde{\theta}_i)_x \Phi\left(\frac{\theta}{\bar{\theta}}\right) - (\tilde{u}_i)_x \frac{\theta - \bar{\theta}}{\bar{\theta}} \left( \frac{R}{v}(\theta - \bar{\theta}) - \frac{\bar{p}}{v}(v - \bar{v}) \right) + \bar{p}(\tilde{u}_i)_x \frac{(\theta - \bar{\theta})^2}{\theta\bar{\theta}} \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{R}{\gamma-1}(\theta^C)_t \Phi\left(\frac{\theta}{\bar{\theta}}\right) - (u^C)_x \frac{\theta - \bar{\theta}}{\bar{\theta}} \left( \frac{R}{v}(\theta - \bar{\theta}) - \frac{\bar{p}}{v}(v - \bar{v}) \right) + \bar{p}(u^C)_x \frac{(\theta - \bar{\theta})^2}{\theta\bar{\theta}} \\ &\leq \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \frac{\sigma^{[i]}(\tilde{v}_i)_x}{2v^{[i]}\theta^{[i]}} \left( R(\theta - \bar{\theta}) - 2p^{[i]}(v - \bar{v}) \right) (\theta - \bar{\theta}) \\ &\quad + C\delta_C(1+t)^{-1}e^{-\frac{C_1|x|^2}{1+t}}|(v - \bar{v}, \theta - \bar{\theta})|^2 + C(\delta_0 + \varepsilon)(|(\tilde{v}_1)_x| + |(\tilde{v}_3)_x|)|v - \bar{v}, \theta - \bar{\theta}|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, combining the above estimates together with  $\bar{p} = \frac{R\bar{\theta}}{\bar{v}}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}} a(J_2 + J_3) dx \\
& \leq \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a(\tilde{v}_i)_x \left[ \frac{(\gamma+1)p^{[i]}\sigma^{[i]}}{2(v^{[i]})^2} |v - \bar{v}|^2 + \frac{\sigma^{[i]}R}{2v^{[i]}\theta^{[i]}} (\theta - \bar{\theta})^2 - \frac{\sigma^{[i]}p^{[i]}}{2v^{[i]}\theta^{[i]}} (v - \bar{v})(p - \bar{\theta}) \right] dx \\
& \quad + C\delta_C(1+t)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} ae^{-\frac{C_1|x|^2}{1+t}} |(v - \bar{v}, \theta - \bar{\theta})|^2 dx \\
& \quad + C(\delta_0 + \varepsilon) \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|(\tilde{v}_1)_x| + |(\tilde{v}_3)_x|) |(v - \bar{v}, \theta - \bar{\theta})|^2 dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it follows from Lemma 4.4 using integration by part that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a\bar{\theta}\eta(U|\bar{U}) dx \\
& \leq \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \mathbf{X}_i(t) \mathbf{Y}_i(U) + \sum_{i=1}^5 \mathbf{B}_i + \mathbf{S}_1 + \mathbf{S}_2 - \mathbf{G}(U) - \mathbf{D}(U),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

where  $\mathbf{B}_1$  is as in (4.16), and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{B}_2 &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}} a_x(u - \bar{u})(p - \bar{p}) dx, \\
\mathbf{B}_3 &:= - \int_{\mathbb{R}} a_x \left[ \mu \left( \frac{u_x}{v} - \frac{\bar{u}_x}{\bar{v}} \right) (u - \bar{u}) + \kappa \frac{\theta - \bar{\theta}}{\theta} \left( \frac{\theta_x}{v} - \frac{\bar{\theta}_x}{\bar{v}} \right) \right] dx, \\
\mathbf{B}_4 &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \left[ -\mu(u - \bar{u})_x \bar{u}_x \left( \frac{1}{v} - \frac{1}{\bar{v}} \right) + \kappa \frac{\theta - \bar{\theta}}{\theta^2} \theta_x \left( \frac{\theta_x}{v} - \frac{\bar{\theta}_x}{\bar{v}} \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \kappa \frac{(\theta - \bar{\theta})_x}{\theta} \bar{\theta}_x \left( \frac{1}{v} - \frac{1}{\bar{v}} \right) + \mu \frac{\theta - \bar{\theta}}{\theta} \bar{\theta}_x \left( \frac{u_x^2}{v} - \frac{\bar{u}_x^2}{\bar{v}} \right) \right] dx, \\
\mathbf{B}_5 &:= - \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \left[ \kappa \frac{(\theta - \bar{\theta})^2}{\theta \bar{\theta}} \left( \frac{\bar{\theta}_x}{\bar{v}} \right)_x + \mu \frac{(\theta - \bar{\theta})^2}{\theta \bar{\theta}} \frac{\bar{u}_x^2}{\bar{v}} \right] dx, \\
\mathbf{B}_6 &:= C\delta_C(1+t)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} ae^{-\frac{C_1|x|^2}{1+t}} |(v - \bar{v}, \theta - \bar{\theta})|^2 dx \\
& \quad + C(\delta_0 + \varepsilon) \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|(\tilde{v}_1)_x| + |(\tilde{v}_3)_x|) |(v - \bar{v}, \theta - \bar{\theta})|^2 dx, \\
\mathbf{S}_1 &:= - \int_{\mathbb{R}} a(u - \bar{u}) Q_1 dx, \quad \mathbf{S}_2 := - \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \left( \frac{\theta}{\bar{\theta}} - 1 \right) Q_2 dx, \\
\mathbf{G}(U) &:= \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \sigma_i \int_{\mathbb{R}} (a_i)_x \bar{\theta} \eta(U|\bar{U}) dx, \\
\mathbf{D}(U) &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \left[ \frac{\mu}{v} |(u - \bar{u})_x|^2 + \frac{\kappa}{v\theta} |(\theta - \bar{\theta})_x|^2 \right] dx.
\end{aligned}$$

We decompose the functional  $\mathbf{Y}_i (i = 1, 3)$  as follows:

$$\mathbf{Y}_i := \sum_{j=1}^6 \mathbf{Y}_{ij},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{Y}_{i1} &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}} a(\tilde{u}_i)_x (u - \bar{u}) dx, \\
\mathbf{Y}_{i2} &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \frac{(\tilde{v}_i)_x \bar{p}}{\bar{v}} (v - \bar{v}) dx, \\
\mathbf{Y}_{i3} &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \frac{R}{\gamma - 1} \frac{(\tilde{\theta}_i)_x}{\bar{\theta}} (\theta - \bar{\theta}) dx, \\
\mathbf{Y}_{i4} &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}} a R (\tilde{\theta}_i)_x \Phi \left( \frac{v}{\bar{v}} \right) dx, \\
\mathbf{Y}_{i5} &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \frac{R}{\gamma - 1} (\tilde{\theta}_i)_x \Phi \left( \frac{\theta}{\bar{\theta}} \right) dx, \\
\mathbf{Y}_{i6} &:= - \int_{\mathbb{R}} (a_i)_x \bar{\theta} \eta (U(t, x) | \bar{U}(t, x)) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Notice from (3.8) that

$$\dot{\mathbf{X}}_i(t) = -\frac{M_i}{\delta_i} (\mathbf{Y}_{i1} + \mathbf{Y}_{i2} + \mathbf{Y}_{i3}), \quad (4.20)$$

which yields

$$\dot{\mathbf{X}}_i(t) \mathbf{Y}_i = -\frac{\delta_i}{M_i} |\dot{\mathbf{X}}_i|^2 + \dot{\mathbf{X}}_i \sum_{j=4}^6 \mathbf{Y}_{ij}. \quad (4.21)$$

#### 4.4. Leading order estimates.

**Lemma 4.5.** *There exists  $C > 0$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \frac{\delta_i}{2M_i} |\dot{\mathbf{X}}_i|^2 + \mathbf{B}_1 + \mathbf{B}_2 - \mathbf{G} - \frac{3}{4} \mathbf{D} \\
& \leq C \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \mathcal{G}_i^S + \delta_1^{\frac{4}{3}} \left( \delta_3^{\frac{4}{3}} e^{-C\delta_3 t} + \delta_C^{\frac{4}{3}} e^{-Ct} \right) + \delta_3^{\frac{4}{3}} \left( \delta_1^{\frac{4}{3}} e^{-C\delta_1 t} + \delta_C^{\frac{4}{3}} e^{-Ct} \right) \\
& + \left( \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} C \delta_i^2 \exp(-C\delta_i t) + \frac{C}{\delta_* t^2} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta (U | \bar{U}) dx,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{G}_i^S = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_i)_x| |\phi_i(v - \bar{v}, u - \bar{u}, \theta - \bar{\theta})|^2 dx$$

*Proof.* First, for any fixed  $t \in [0, T]$ , we define new variables  $y_1, y_3$  and  $w_1, w_3$  as below:

$$y_1 := -\frac{\tilde{v}_1(x - \sigma_1 t - \mathbf{X}_1(t)) - v^{[1]}}{\delta_1} \quad \text{and} \quad y_3 := \frac{\tilde{v}_3(x - \sigma_3 t - \mathbf{X}_3(t)) - v^{[3]}}{\delta_3}.$$

In fact, for  $i = 1, 3$ ,  $y_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, 1)$  is strictly increasing in  $\xi_i = x - \sigma_i t - \mathbf{X}_i(t)$ , since

$$\frac{dy_1}{d\xi_1} = -\frac{(\tilde{v}_1)_x}{\delta_1} > 0, \quad \frac{dy_3}{d\xi_3} = \frac{(\tilde{v}_3)_x}{\delta_3} > 0, \quad (4.22)$$

and

$$\lim_{\xi_i \rightarrow -\infty} y_i = 0, \quad \lim_{\xi_i \rightarrow +\infty} y_i = 1.$$

In terms of the new variables, we will apply the Poincaré-type inequality to each perturbation  $w_i$  localized by  $\phi_i$  respectively:

$$\begin{aligned} w_1 &:= \phi_1(t, x) \left[ u(t, x) - \left( \tilde{u}_1(x - \sigma_1 t - \mathbf{X}_1(t)) + u^C(t, x) + \tilde{u}_3(x - \sigma_3 t - \mathbf{X}_3(t)) - u_* - u^* \right) \right], \\ w_3 &:= \phi_3(t, x) \left[ u(t, x) - \left( \tilde{u}_1(x - \sigma_1 t - \mathbf{X}_1(t)) + u^C(t, x) + \tilde{u}_3(x - \sigma_3 t - \mathbf{X}_3(t)) - u_* - u^* \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

□

• (Estimate on  $\mathbf{B}_2 - \mathbf{G}$ ):

First, by (4.10) and the relation  $p - \bar{p} = \frac{R}{v}(\theta - \bar{\theta}) - \frac{\bar{p}}{v}(v - \bar{v})$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (u - \bar{u})(p - \bar{p}) - \sigma_1 \bar{\theta} \eta(U|\bar{U}) \\ &= (u - \bar{u}) \left( \frac{R}{v}(\theta - \bar{\theta}) - \frac{\bar{p}}{v}(v - \bar{v}) \right) - \sigma_1 \left[ R \bar{\theta} \Phi\left(\frac{v}{\bar{v}}\right) + \frac{R \bar{\theta}}{\gamma - 1} \Phi\left(\frac{\theta}{\bar{\theta}}\right) + \frac{(u - \bar{u})^2}{2} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.4), (4.17) and (4.18), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (u - \bar{u})(p - \bar{p}) - \sigma_1 \bar{\theta} \eta(U|\bar{U}) \\ & \leq (u - \bar{u}) \left[ \frac{R}{v^{[1]}}(\theta - \bar{\theta}) - \frac{p^{[1]}}{v^{[1]}}(v - \bar{v}) \right] + \frac{R \theta^{[1]} \sigma^{[1]}}{2(v^{[1]})^2} |v - \bar{v}|^2 + \frac{R \sigma^{[1]}}{2(\gamma - 1) \theta^{[1]}} (\theta - \bar{\theta})^2 \\ & \quad + \frac{\sigma^{[1]}}{2} (u - \bar{u})^2 + C \left( |v - \bar{v}| + |\bar{v} - v^{[1]}| + |\bar{\theta} - \theta^{[1]}| \right) \cdot |(v - \bar{v}, u - \bar{u}, \theta - \bar{\theta})|^2 \\ & = \frac{R \theta^{[1]} \sigma^{[1]}}{2(v^{[1]})^2} \left[ (v - \bar{v}) - \frac{u - \bar{u}}{\sigma^{[1]}} \right]^2 + \frac{R \sigma^{[1]}}{2(\gamma - 1) \theta^{[1]}} \left[ (\theta - \bar{\theta}) + \frac{(\gamma - 1) \theta^{[1]}}{\sigma^{[1]} v^{[1]}} (u - \bar{u}) \right]^2 \\ & \quad + C \left( |v - \bar{v}| + \delta_1 + |(\tilde{v}_3 - v^{[3]}, \tilde{\theta}_3 - \theta^{[3]})| + |(v^C - v_*, \theta^C - \theta_*)| \right) \cdot |(v - \bar{v}, u - \bar{u}, \theta - \bar{\theta})|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is obtained by using

$$\frac{R \theta^{[1]} \sigma^{[1]}}{2(v^{[1]})^2} + \frac{R \sigma^{[1]}}{2(\gamma - 1) \theta^{[1]}} = \frac{R \gamma \theta^{[1]}}{2 \sigma^{[1]} (v^{[1]})^2} = \frac{\sigma^{[1]}}{2} \quad \text{by} \quad \sigma^{[1]} = \frac{\sqrt{\gamma R \theta^{[1]}}}{v^{[1]}}.$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (u - \bar{u})(p - \bar{p}) - \sigma_3 \bar{\theta} \eta(U|\bar{U}) \\ & \leq \frac{R \theta^{[3]} \sigma^{[3]}}{2(v^{[3]})^2} \left[ (v - \bar{v}) - \frac{u - \bar{u}}{\sigma^{[3]}} \right]^2 + \frac{R \sigma^{[3]}}{2(\gamma - 1) \theta^{[3]}} \left[ (\theta - \bar{\theta}) + \frac{(\gamma - 1) \theta^{[3]}}{\sigma^{[3]} v^{[3]}} (u - \bar{u}) \right]^2 \\ & \quad + C \left( |v - \bar{v}| + \delta_3 + |(\tilde{v}_1 - v^{[1]}, \tilde{\theta}_1 - \theta^{[1]})| + |(v^C - v^*, \theta^C - \theta^*)| \right) \cdot |(v - \bar{v}, u - \bar{u}, \theta - \bar{\theta})|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{B}_2(U) - \mathbf{G}(U) & \leq - \sum_{i \in \{1, 3\}} \mathbf{G}_{1i}(U) - \sum_{i \in \{1, 3\}} \mathbf{G}_{2i}(U) + \mathbf{B}_{new}(U) \\ & \quad + C \sum_{i \in \{1, 3\}} \lambda_i \delta_i \exp(-C \delta_i t) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\bar{U}) dx, \end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{G}_{1i}(U) &:= \frac{R\theta^{[i]}\sigma^{[i]}}{2(v^{[i]})^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(a_i)_x| \phi_i \left[ (v - \bar{v}) - \frac{u - \bar{u}}{\sigma^{[i]}} \right]^2 dx, \\
\mathbf{G}_{2i}(U) &:= \frac{R\sigma^{[i]}}{2(\gamma - 1)\theta^{[i]}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(a_i)_x| \phi_i \left[ (\theta - \bar{\theta}) + \frac{(\gamma - 1)\theta^{[i]}}{\sigma^{[i]}v^{[i]}}(u - \bar{u}) \right]^2 dx, \\
\mathbf{B}_{new} &= \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \delta_i \int_{\mathbb{R}} (a_i)_x |(v - \bar{v}, u - \bar{u}, \theta - \bar{\theta})|^2 dx + \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (a_i)_x |(v - \bar{v}, u - \bar{u}, \theta - \bar{\theta})|^3 dx \\
&\quad + C \int_{\mathbb{R}} (a_1)_x \left( |(\tilde{v}_3 - v^{[3]}, \tilde{\theta}_3 - \theta^{[3]})| + |(v^C - v_*, \theta^C - \theta_*)| \right) \cdot |(v - \bar{v}, u - \bar{u}, \theta - \bar{\theta})|^2 dx \\
&\quad + C \int_{\mathbb{R}} (a_3)_x \left( |(\tilde{v}_1 - v^{[1]}, \tilde{\theta}_1 - \theta^{[1]})| + |(v^C - v^*, \theta^C - \theta^*)| \right) \cdot |(v - \bar{v}, u - \bar{u}, \theta - \bar{\theta})|^2 dx.
\end{aligned}$$

For the above estimate (4.23), we have used the techniques below, we just pick up one of them as an example since the other terms can be estimated in a similar way. Using the relation  $\phi_1 + \phi_3 = 1$  and Lemma 4.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{R\theta^{[i]}\sigma^{[i]}}{2(v^{[i]})^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(a_i)_x| \left[ (v - \bar{v}) - \frac{u - \bar{u}}{\sigma^{[i]}} \right]^2 dx \\
&= \frac{R\theta^{[i]}\sigma^{[i]}}{2(v^{[i]})^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(a_i)_x| \phi_i \left[ (v - \bar{v}) - \frac{u - \bar{u}}{\sigma^{[i]}} \right]^2 dx + \frac{R\theta^{[i]}\sigma^{[i]}}{2(v^{[i]})^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(a_i)_x| (1 - \phi_i) \left[ (v - \bar{v}) - \frac{u - \bar{u}}{\sigma^{[i]}} \right]^2 dx, \\
&\leq \frac{R\theta^{[i]}\sigma^{[i]}}{2(v^{[i]})^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(a_i)_x| \phi_i \left[ (v - \bar{v}) - \frac{u - \bar{u}}{\sigma^{[i]}} \right]^2 dx + C\lambda_i \delta_i \exp(-C\delta_i t) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\bar{U}) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

The four good terms  $\mathbf{G}_{11}, \mathbf{G}_{12}, \mathbf{G}_{21}, \mathbf{G}_{22}$  will be used in the remaining estimates, while the bad term  $\mathbf{B}_{new}$  can be controlled by the five good terms  $\mathbf{G}_{11}, \mathbf{G}_{12}, \mathbf{G}_{21}, \mathbf{G}_{22}, \mathbf{D}$  and  $\mathcal{G}_i^S$ , as follows.

First, using (3.5) and Lemma 4.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\delta_i \int_{\mathbb{R}} (a_i)_x |(v - \bar{v}, u - \bar{u}, \theta - \bar{\theta})|^2 dx \\
&\leq \lambda_i \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_i)_x| \phi_i |(v - \bar{v}, u - \bar{u}, \theta - \bar{\theta})|^2 dx + \lambda_i \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_i)_x| (1 - \phi_i) |(v - \bar{v}, u - \bar{u}, \theta - \bar{\theta})|^2 dx \\
&\leq \lambda_i \mathcal{G}_i^S + C\lambda_i \delta_i^2 \exp(-C\delta_i t) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\bar{U}) dx,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{G}_i^S := \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\tilde{v}_i)_x \phi_i |(v - \bar{v}, u - \bar{u}, \theta - \bar{\theta})|^2 dx.$$

Using Young's inequality and the relation  $\phi_1 + \phi_3 = 1$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}} (a_1)_x |v - \bar{v}, u - \bar{u}, \theta - \bar{\theta}|^3 dx \\
& \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(a_1)_x| \left| (v - \bar{v}) - \frac{u - \bar{u}}{\sigma^{[1]}} \right|^3 dx + C \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(a_1)_x| |u - \bar{u}|^3 dx \\
& \quad + C \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(a_1)_x| \left| (\theta - \bar{\theta}) + \frac{(\gamma - 1)\theta^{[1]}}{\sigma^{[1]}v^{[1]}}(u - \bar{u}) \right|^3 dx \\
& \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(a_1)_x| \phi_1 \left| (v - \bar{v}) - \frac{u - \bar{u}}{\sigma^{[1]}} \right|^3 dx + C \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(a_1)_x| (1 - \phi_1) \left| (v - \bar{v}) - \frac{u - \bar{u}}{\sigma^{[1]}} \right|^3 dx \quad (4.24) \\
& \quad + C \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(a_1)_x| \phi_1 \left| (\theta - \bar{\theta}) + \frac{(\gamma - 1)\theta^{[1]}}{\sigma^{[1]}v^{[1]}}(u - \bar{u}) \right|^3 dx \\
& \quad + C \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(a_1)_x| (1 - \phi_1) \left| (\theta - \bar{\theta}) + \frac{(\gamma - 1)\theta^{[1]}}{\sigma^{[1]}v^{[1]}}(u - \bar{u}) \right|^3 dx \\
& \quad + C \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(a_1)_x| \phi_1 |u - \bar{u}|^3 dx + C \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(a_1)_x| (1 - \phi_1) |u - \bar{u}|^3 dx.
\end{aligned}$$

By virtue of (3.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(a_1)_x| \phi_1 \left| (v - \bar{v}) - \frac{u - \bar{u}}{\sigma^{[1]}} \right|^3 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(a_1)_x| \phi_1 \left| (\theta - \bar{\theta}) + \frac{(\gamma - 1)\theta^{[1]}}{\sigma^{[1]}v^{[1]}}(u - \bar{u}) \right|^3 dx \\
& \leq C\varepsilon(\mathbf{G}_{11} + \mathbf{G}_{21}).
\end{aligned}$$

Using Young's inequality, (3.9) and Lemma 4.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(a_1)_x| (1 - \phi_1) \left| (v - \bar{v}) - \frac{u - \bar{u}}{\sigma^{[1]}} \right|^3 dx \leq C\varepsilon \frac{\lambda_1}{\delta_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_1)_x| \phi_3 (|v - \bar{v}|^2 + |u - \bar{u}|^2) dx \\
& \leq C\varepsilon \frac{\lambda_1}{\delta_1} \sup_{t,x} (|(\tilde{v}_1)_x| \phi_3) \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|v - \bar{v}|^2 + |u - \bar{u}|^2) dx \\
& \leq C\varepsilon \lambda_1 \delta_1 \exp(-C\delta_1 t) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\bar{U}) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(a_1)_x| (1 - \phi_1) \left| (\theta - \bar{\theta}) + \frac{(\gamma - 1)\theta^{[1]}}{\sigma^{[1]}v^{[1]}}(u - \bar{u}) \right|^3 dx \leq C\varepsilon \lambda_1 \delta_1 \exp(-C\delta_1 t) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\bar{U}) dx, \\
& \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(a_1)_x| (1 - \phi_1) |u - \bar{u}|^3 dx \leq C\varepsilon \lambda_1 \delta_1 \exp(-C\delta_1 t) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\bar{U}) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Using (3.5), Sobolev inequality, and  $\lambda_1 \leq C\sqrt{\delta_1}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(a_1)_x| \phi_1 |u - \bar{u}|^3 dx \\
& \leq C \frac{\lambda_1}{\delta_1} \|u - \bar{u}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_1)_x| \phi_1 |u - \bar{u}| dx \\
& \leq C \frac{\lambda_1}{\delta_1} \| (u - \bar{u})_x \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \|u - \bar{u}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_1)_x| \phi_1 (u - \bar{u})^2 dx} \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_1)_x| dx} \\
& \leq C\varepsilon \| (u - \bar{u})_x \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_1)_x| \phi_1 (u - \bar{u})^2 dx} \\
& \leq C\varepsilon \| (u - \bar{u})_x \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + C\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_1)_x| \phi_1 (u - \bar{u})^2 dx \leq C\varepsilon (\mathbf{D}_{u_1} + \mathcal{G}_1^S).
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting the above estimates into (4.24), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}} (a_1)_x |(v - \bar{v}, u - \bar{u}, \theta - \bar{\theta})|^3 dx \\
& \leq C\varepsilon (\mathbf{G}_{11} + \mathbf{G}_{21} + \mathbf{D}_{u_1} + \mathcal{G}_1^S) + C\varepsilon \lambda_1 \delta_1 \exp(-C\delta_1 t) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta (U|\bar{U}) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}} (a_3)_x |(v - \bar{v}, u - \bar{u}, \theta - \bar{\theta})|^3 dx \\
& \leq C\varepsilon (\mathbf{G}_{21} + \mathbf{G}_{23} + \mathbf{D}_{u_1} + \mathcal{G}_3^S) + C\varepsilon \lambda_3 \delta_3 \exp(-C\delta_3 t) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta (U|\bar{U}) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Likewise, using the interpolation inequality, Lemmas 4.2, and 4.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}} (a_1)_x \left( |(\tilde{v}_3 - v^{[3]}, \tilde{\theta}_3 - \theta^{[3]})| + |(v^C - v_*, \theta^C - \theta_*)| \right) \cdot |(v - \bar{v}, u - \bar{u}, \theta - \bar{\theta})|^2 dx \\
& \leq C(\delta_C + \delta_3)(\mathbf{G}_{11} + \mathbf{G}_{21}) + C\varepsilon \lambda_1 \delta_1 \exp(-C\delta_1 t) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta (U|\bar{U}) dx \\
& \quad + C \frac{\lambda_1}{\delta_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\tilde{v}_1)_x \left( |(\tilde{v}_3 - v^{[3]}, \tilde{\theta}_3 - \theta^{[3]})| + |(v^C - v_*, \theta^C - \theta_*)| \right) |u - \bar{u}|^2 dx \\
& \leq C(\delta_C + \delta_3)(\mathbf{G}_{11} + \mathbf{G}_{21}) + C\varepsilon \lambda_1 \delta_1 \exp(-C\delta_1 t) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta (U|\bar{U}) dx \\
& \quad + C \frac{\lambda_1}{\delta_1} \|u - \bar{u}\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R})}^2 \left[ \left\| (\tilde{v}_1)_x (v^C - v_*, \theta^C - \theta_*) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \left\| (\tilde{v}_1)_x (\tilde{v}_3 - v^{[3]}, \tilde{\theta}_3 - \theta^{[3]}) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \right] \\
& \leq C\delta_0 (\mathbf{G}_{11} + \mathbf{G}_{21}) + C\varepsilon \lambda_1 \delta_1 \exp(-C\delta_1 t) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta (U|\bar{U}) dx \\
& \quad + C \frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta_1}} \| (u - \bar{u})_x \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u - \bar{u}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^{\frac{3}{2}} \delta_1^{\frac{3}{2}} \left( \delta_3 e^{-C\delta_3 t} + \delta_C e^{-Ct} \right) \\
& \leq C\delta_0 (\mathbf{G}_{11} + \mathbf{G}_{21}) + C\varepsilon \lambda_1 \delta_1 \exp(-C\delta_1 t) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta (U|\bar{U}) dx + C \mathbf{D}_{u_1}^{\frac{1}{4}} \frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\sqrt{\delta_1}} \delta_1^{\frac{3}{2}} \left( \delta_3 e^{-C\delta_3 t} + \delta_C e^{-Ct} \right) \\
& \leq C\delta_0 (\mathbf{G}_{11} + \mathbf{G}_{21}) + C\varepsilon \lambda_1 \delta_1 \exp(-C\delta_1 t) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta (U|\bar{U}) dx + C\varepsilon \mathbf{D}_{u_1} + \delta_1^{\frac{4}{3}} \left( \delta_3^{\frac{4}{3}} e^{-C\delta_3 t} + \delta_C^{\frac{4}{3}} e^{-Ct} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}} (a_3)_x \left( |(\tilde{v}_1 - v^{[1]}, \tilde{\theta}_1 - \theta^{[1]})| + |(v^C - v^*, \theta^C - \theta^*)| \right) \cdot |(v - \bar{v}, u - \bar{u}, \theta - \bar{\theta})|^2 dx \\
& \leq C\delta_0(\mathbf{G}_{13} + \mathbf{G}_{23}) + C\varepsilon\lambda_3\delta_3 \exp(-C\delta_3 t) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\bar{U}) dx \\
& \quad + C\varepsilon\mathbf{D}_{u_1} + \delta_3^{\frac{4}{3}} \left( \delta_1^{\frac{4}{3}} e^{-C\delta_1 t} + \delta_C^{\frac{4}{3}} e^{-Ct} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{B}_{new} & \leq C(\lambda + \varepsilon + \delta_0) \left( \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \mathbf{G}_{1i} + \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \mathbf{G}_{2i} + \mathbf{D}_{u_1} + \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \mathcal{G}_i^S \right) \\
& \quad + C \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \varepsilon \lambda_i \delta_i \exp(-C\delta_i t) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\bar{U}) dx \\
& \quad + \delta_1^{\frac{4}{3}} \left( \delta_3^{\frac{4}{3}} e^{-C\delta_3 t} + \delta_C^{\frac{4}{3}} e^{-Ct} \right) + \delta_3^{\frac{4}{3}} \left( \delta_1^{\frac{4}{3}} e^{-C\delta_1 t} + \delta_C^{\frac{4}{3}} e^{-Ct} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

- (Estimate on  $-\frac{\delta_i}{2M_i}|\dot{\mathbf{X}}_i|^2$ ): To estimate the term  $-\frac{\delta_i}{2M_i}|\dot{\mathbf{X}}_i|^2$ , we will estimate  $\mathbf{Y}_{ij}$  due to (4.20). Using the relation  $\phi_1 + \phi_3 = 1$  and the change of variables for  $y_1, w_1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{Y}_{11} & = \int_{\mathbb{R}} a(\tilde{u}_1)_x (u - \bar{u}) dx = -\sigma_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}} a(\tilde{v}_1)_x \phi_1 (u - \bar{u}) dx - \sigma_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}} a(\tilde{v}_1)_x \phi_3 (u - \bar{u}) dx \\
& = -\sigma_1 \delta_1 \int_0^1 a w_1 dy_1 - \sigma_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}} a(\tilde{v}_1)_x \phi_3 (u - \bar{u}) dx,
\end{aligned}$$

Using (2.4) and  $|a - 1| \leq \lambda$ , we have

$$\left| \mathbf{Y}_{11} - \delta_1 \sigma^{[1]} \int_0^1 w_1 dy_1 \right| \leq C\delta_1(\lambda + \delta_0) \int_0^1 w_1 dy_1 + C \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_1)_x| \phi_3 (u - \bar{u}) dx. \quad (4.25)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{Y}_{12} & = \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \frac{(\tilde{v}_1)_x \bar{p}}{\bar{v}} (v - \bar{v}) dx \\
& = \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \frac{(\tilde{v}_1)_x \bar{p}}{\bar{v}} \phi_1 (v - \bar{v}) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \frac{(\tilde{v}_1)_x \bar{p}}{\bar{v}} \phi_3 (v - \bar{v}) dx \\
& = \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \frac{(\tilde{v}_1)_x \bar{p}}{\sigma^{[1]} \bar{v}} \phi_1 (u - \bar{u}) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \frac{(\tilde{v}_1)_x \bar{p}}{\bar{v}} \phi_1 \left( v - \bar{v} - \frac{u - \bar{u}}{\sigma^{[1]}} \right) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \frac{(\tilde{v}_1)_x \bar{p}}{\bar{v}} \phi_3 (v - \bar{v}) dx \\
& = \int_0^1 a \frac{\bar{p} \delta_1}{\sigma^{[1]} \bar{v}} w_1 dy_1 + \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \frac{(\tilde{v}_1)_x \bar{p}}{\bar{v}} \phi_1 \left( v - \bar{v} - \frac{u - \bar{u}}{\sigma^{[1]}} \right) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \frac{(\tilde{v}_1)_x \bar{p}}{\bar{v}} \phi_3 (v - \bar{v}) dx,
\end{aligned}$$

using (4.18) and (3.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \mathbf{Y}_{12} - \frac{p^{[1]} \delta_1}{\sigma^{[1]} v^{[1]}} \int_0^1 w_1 dy_1 \right| \\
& \leq C\delta_1(\delta_0 + \lambda) \int_{\mathbb{R}} w_1 dy_1 + C \frac{\delta_1}{\lambda_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(a_1)_x| \phi_1 \left| v - \bar{v} - \frac{u - \bar{u}}{\sigma^{[1]}} \right| dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \frac{(\tilde{v}_1)_x \bar{p}}{\bar{v}} \phi_3 (v - \bar{v}) dx.
\end{aligned} \quad (4.26)$$

Likewise, since

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{Y}_{13} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \frac{R}{\gamma-1} \frac{(\tilde{\theta}_1)_x}{\bar{\theta}} (\theta - \bar{\theta}) dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \frac{R}{\gamma-1} \frac{(\tilde{\theta}_1)_x}{\bar{\theta}} \phi_1 (\theta - \bar{\theta}) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \frac{R}{\gamma-1} \phi_3 \frac{(\tilde{\theta}_1)_x}{\bar{\theta}} (\theta - \bar{\theta}) dx \\
&= -\frac{R\theta^{[1]}}{\sigma^{[1]}v^{[1]}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \frac{(\tilde{\theta}_1)_x}{\bar{\theta}} \phi_1 (u - \bar{u}) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \frac{R}{\gamma-1} \frac{(\tilde{\theta}_1)_x}{\bar{\theta}} \phi_1 \left| (\theta - \bar{\theta}) + \frac{(\gamma-1)\theta^{[1]}}{\sigma^{[1]}v^{[1]}} (u - \bar{u}) \right| dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \frac{R}{\gamma-1} \phi_3 \frac{(\tilde{\theta}_1)_x}{\bar{\theta}} (\theta - \bar{\theta}) dx,
\end{aligned}$$

using  $|\theta - \theta^{[1]}| \leq C(\delta_0 + \varepsilon)$ , (2.3), and (3.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \mathbf{Y}_{13} - \frac{(\gamma-1)p^{[1]}\delta_1}{\sigma^{[1]}v^{[1]}} \int_0^1 w_1 dy_1 \right| \\
&\leq C\delta_1(\delta_0 + \lambda + \varepsilon) \int_0^1 |w_1| dy_1 + C \frac{\delta_1}{\lambda_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(a_1)_x| \phi_1 \left[ (\theta - \bar{\theta}) + \frac{(\gamma-1)\theta^{[1]}}{\sigma^{[1]}v^{[1]}} (u - \bar{u}) \right] dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \frac{R}{\gamma-1} \phi_3 \frac{(\tilde{\theta}_1)_x}{\bar{\theta}} (\theta - \bar{\theta}) dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.27}$$

Therefore, using (4.20), (4.25), (4.26) and (4.27) together with  $\sigma^{[1]} = \sqrt{\frac{\gamma p^{[1]}}{v^{[1]}}}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \dot{\mathbf{X}}_1 + 2\sigma^{[1]}M_1 \int_0^1 |w_1| dy_1 \right| \\
&\leq (\delta_0 + \lambda + \varepsilon) \int_0^1 |w_1| dy_1 + C \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (a_1)_x \phi_1 \left( v - \bar{v} - \frac{u - \bar{u}}{\sigma^{[1]}} \right) dx \\
&\quad + C \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(a_1)_x| \left[ (\theta - \bar{\theta}) + \frac{(\gamma-1)\theta^{[1]}}{\sigma^{[1]}v^{[1]}} (u - \bar{u}) \right] dx \\
&\quad + \frac{C}{\delta_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_1)_x \phi_1| |u - \bar{u}| dx + \frac{C}{\delta_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_1)_x \phi_1| |v - \bar{v}| dx + \frac{C}{\delta_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\phi_1 (\tilde{\theta}_1)_x| |\theta - \bar{\theta}| dx,
\end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| 2\sigma^{[1]}M_1 \int_0^1 |w_1| dy_1 - (-\dot{\mathbf{X}}_1) \right|^2 \\
&\leq (\delta_0 + \lambda + \varepsilon)^2 \int_0^1 |w_1|^2 dy_1 + C \frac{1}{\lambda_1^2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(a_1)_x| \phi_1 \left( v - \bar{v} - \frac{u - \bar{u}}{\sigma^{[1]}} \right) dx \right)^2 \\
&\quad + C \frac{1}{\lambda_1^2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(a_1)_x| \phi_1 \left[ (\theta - \bar{\theta}) + \frac{(\gamma-1)\theta^{[1]}}{\sigma^{[1]}v^{[1]}} (u - \bar{u}) \right] dx \right)^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{C}{\delta_1^2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_1)_x| \phi_3 |u - \bar{u}| dx \right)^2 + \frac{C}{\delta_1^2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_1)_x| \phi_3 |v - \bar{v}| dx \right)^2 + \frac{C}{\delta_1^2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{\theta}_1)_x| \phi_3 |\theta - \bar{\theta}| dx \right)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

This result and the algebraic inequality  $\frac{p^2}{2} - q^2 \leq (p - q)^2$  for all  $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$  imply

$$2(\sigma^{[1]})^2 M_1^2 \left( \int_0^1 |w_1| dy_1 \right)^2 - |\dot{\mathbf{X}}_1|^2 \leq (\delta_0 + \lambda + \varepsilon)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} |w_1|^2 dy_1 \\ + \frac{C}{\lambda_1} (\mathbf{G}_{11} + \mathbf{G}_{21}) + C\delta_1 \exp(-C\delta_1 t) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\bar{U}) dx.$$

Thus,

$$-\frac{\delta_1}{2M_1} |\dot{\mathbf{X}}_1|^2 \leq -(\sigma^{[1]})^2 M_1 \delta_1 \left( \int_0^1 w_1 dy_1 \right)^2 + C\delta_1 (\delta_0 + \lambda + \varepsilon)^2 \int_0^1 |w_1|^2 dy_1 \\ + \frac{C\delta_1}{\lambda_1} (\mathbf{G}_{11} + \mathbf{G}_{21}) + C\delta_1^2 \exp(-C\delta_1 t) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\bar{U}) dx. \quad (4.28)$$

Similarly, we have

$$-\frac{\delta_3}{2M_3} |\dot{\mathbf{X}}_3|^2 \leq -(\sigma^{[3]})^2 M_3 \delta_3 \left( \int_0^1 w_3 dy_3 \right)^2 + C\delta_3 (\delta_0 + \lambda + \varepsilon)^2 \int_0^1 |w_3|^2 dy_3 \\ + \frac{C\delta_3}{\lambda_3} (\mathbf{G}_{13} + \mathbf{G}_{23}) + C\delta_3^2 \exp(-C\delta_3 t) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\bar{U}) dx. \quad (4.29)$$

• (Estimate on  $\mathbf{B}_1$ ): Set

$$\mathbf{B}_1(U) = \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a(\tilde{v}_i)_x \left[ \frac{(\gamma+1)p^{[i]}\sigma^{[i]}}{2(v^{[i]})^2} |v - \bar{v}|^2 + \frac{\sigma^{[i]}R}{2v^{[i]}\theta^{[i]}} (\theta - \bar{\theta})^2 - \frac{\sigma^{[i]}p^{[i]}}{2v^{[i]}\theta^{[i]}} (v - \bar{v})(p - \bar{\theta}) \right] dx \\ := \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} (\mathbf{B}_{11}^i + \mathbf{B}_{12}^i + \mathbf{B}_{13}^i). \quad (4.30)$$

First, note that

$$\mathbf{B}_{11}^1 = \frac{(\gamma+1)p^{[1]}\sigma^{[1]}}{2(v^{[1]})^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a(\tilde{v}_1)_x \phi_1^2 |v - \bar{v}|^2 dx + \frac{(\gamma+1)p^{[1]}\sigma^{[1]}}{2(v^{[1]})^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a(\tilde{v}_1)_x (1 - \phi_1^2) |v - \bar{v}|^2 dx$$

For the first term, using Young's inequality and (3.5), we have

$$\frac{(\gamma+1)p^{[1]}\sigma^{[1]}}{2(v^{[1]})^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a(\tilde{v}_1)_x \phi_1^2 |v - \bar{v}|^2 dx \\ = \frac{(\gamma+1)p^{[1]}\sigma^{[1]}}{2(v^{[1]})^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a(\tilde{v}_1)_x \phi_1^2 \left| \left( v - \bar{v} - \frac{u - \bar{u}}{\sigma^{[1]}} \right) + \frac{u - \bar{u}}{\sigma^{[1]}} \right|^2 dx \\ \leq \frac{(\gamma+1)p^{[1]}\sigma^{[1]}}{2(v^{[1]})^2} \left[ 1 + \lambda + \left( \frac{\delta_1}{\lambda_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_1)_x| \left| \frac{\phi_1(u - \bar{u})}{\sigma^{[1]}} \right|^2 dx \\ + C \left( \frac{\delta_1}{\lambda_1} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_1)_x| \left| \phi_1 \left( v - \bar{v} - \frac{u - \bar{u}}{\sigma^{[1]}} \right) \right|^2 dx \\ \leq \frac{(\gamma+1)p^{[1]}}{2(v^{[1]})^2 \sigma^{[1]}} \left[ 1 + \lambda + \left( \frac{\delta_1}{\lambda_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \delta_1 \int_0^1 |w_1|^2 dy_1 + C \left( \frac{\delta_1}{\lambda_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{G}_{11}.$$

Again, the second term of  $\mathbf{B}_{11}^1$  can be estimated as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(\gamma+1)p^{[1]}\sigma^{[1]}}{2(v^{[1]})^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a|(\tilde{v}_1)_x|(1+\phi_1)\phi_3|v-\bar{v}|^2 dx &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_1)_x|\phi_3|v-\bar{v}|^2 dx \\ &\leq C\delta_1^2 \exp(-C\delta_1 t) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\bar{U}) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{B}_{11}^1 &\leq \frac{(\gamma+1)p^{[1]}}{2(v^{[1]})^2\sigma^{[1]}} \left[ 1 + \lambda + \left( \frac{\delta_1}{\lambda_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \delta_1 \int_0^1 |w_1|^2 dy_1 + C \left( \frac{\delta_1}{\lambda_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{G}_{11} \\ &\quad + C\delta_1^2 \exp(-C\delta_1 t) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\bar{U}) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (4.31)$$

Similarly, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{B}_{12}^1 &= \frac{\sigma^{[1]}R}{2v^{[1]}\theta^{[1]}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a(\tilde{v}_1)_x \left| \theta - \bar{\theta} + \frac{(\gamma-1)\theta^{[1]}}{\sigma^{[1]}v^{[1]}}(u-\bar{u}) - \frac{(\gamma-1)\theta^{[1]}}{\sigma^{[1]}v^{[1]}}(u-\bar{u}) \right|^2 dx \\ &\leq \frac{(\gamma-1)^2 p^{[1]}}{2\sigma^{[1]}(v^{[1]})^2} \left[ 1 + \lambda + \left( \frac{\delta_1}{\lambda_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \delta_1 \int_0^1 |w_1|^2 dy_1 + C \left( \frac{\delta_1}{\lambda_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{G}_{21} \\ &\quad + C\delta_1^2 \exp(-C\delta_1 t) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\bar{U}) dx, \end{aligned} \quad (4.32)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{B}_{13}^1 &= -\frac{\sigma^{[1]}p^{[1]}}{2v^{[1]}\theta^{[1]}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a(\tilde{v}_1)_x(v-\bar{v})(\theta-\bar{\theta}) dx \\ &\leq \frac{\sigma^{[1]}p^{[1]}}{2v^{[1]}\theta^{[1]}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a|(\tilde{v}_1)_x|\phi_1^2 \left| \left( v - \bar{v} - \frac{u-\bar{u}}{\sigma^{[1]}} \right) + \frac{u-\bar{u}}{\sigma^{[1]}} \right| \\ &\quad \cdot \left| \left( \theta - \bar{\theta} + \frac{(\gamma-1)\theta^{[1]}}{\sigma^{[1]}v^{[1]}}(u-\bar{u}) - \frac{(\gamma-1)\theta^{[1]}}{\sigma^{[1]}v^{[1]}}(u-\bar{u}) \right) \right| dx \\ &\quad + \frac{\sigma^{[1]}p^{[1]}}{2v^{[1]}\theta^{[1]}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a|(\tilde{v}_1)_x| (1-\phi_1^2) |v-\bar{v}| |\theta-\bar{\theta}| dx, \end{aligned}$$

the first term can be estimated as

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\sigma^{[1]}p^{[1]}}{2v^{[1]}\theta^{[1]}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a|(\tilde{v}_1)_x|(\phi_1)^2 \left| \left( v - \bar{v} - \frac{u-\bar{u}}{\sigma^{[1]}} \right) + \frac{u-\bar{u}}{\sigma^{[1]}} \right| \\ &\quad \cdot \left| \left( \theta - \bar{\theta} + \frac{(\gamma-1)\theta^{[1]}}{\sigma^{[1]}v^{[1]}}(u-\bar{u}) - \frac{(\gamma-1)\theta^{[1]}}{\sigma^{[1]}v^{[1]}}(u-\bar{u}) \right) \right| dx \\ &\leq \frac{\sigma^{[1]}p^{[1]}}{2v^{[1]}\theta^{[1]}} \left[ 1 + \lambda + \left( \frac{\delta_1}{\lambda_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \delta_1 \int_0^1 |w_1|^2 dy_1 + C \left( \frac{\delta_1}{\lambda_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{G}_{11} + C \left( \frac{\delta_1}{\lambda_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{G}_{21}, \end{aligned}$$

the second term can be estimated as

$$\frac{\sigma^{[1]}p^{[1]}}{2v^{[1]}\theta^{[1]}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a|(\tilde{v}_1)_x| (1-\phi_1^2) |v-\bar{v}| |\theta-\bar{\theta}| dx \leq C\delta_1^2 \exp(-C\delta_1 t) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\bar{U}) dx.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{B}_{13}^1 &\leq \frac{\sigma^{[1]}p^{[1]}}{2v^{[1]}\theta^{[1]}} \left[ 1 + \lambda + \left( \frac{\delta_1}{\lambda_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \delta_1 \int_0^1 |w_1|^2 dy_1 + C \left( \frac{\delta_1}{\lambda_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{G}_{11} + C \left( \frac{\delta_1}{\lambda_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{G}_{21} \\ &\quad + C\delta_1^2 \exp(-C\delta_1 t) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\bar{U}) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (4.33)$$

Let  $\alpha_1, \alpha_3$  be the  $O(1)$ -constant defined by

$$\alpha_1 := \frac{\gamma(\gamma+1)p^{[1]}}{2\sigma^{[1]}(v^{[1]})^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_3 := \frac{\gamma(\gamma+1)p^{[3]}}{2\sigma^{[3]}(v^{[3]})^2}$$

Substituting (4.31), (4.32) and (4.33) into (4.30), and applying same method to remaining case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{B}_1 &\leq \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \frac{\sigma^{[i]}p^{[i]}}{2v^{[i]}\theta^{[i]}} \left[ 1 + \lambda + \left( \frac{\delta_i}{\lambda_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \delta_i \int_0^1 |w_i|^2 dy_i \\ &\quad + C \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \left( \frac{\delta_i}{\lambda_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\mathbf{G}_{1i} + \mathbf{G}_{2i}) + C \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \delta_i^2 \exp(-C\delta_i t) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\bar{U}) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (4.34)$$

• (Estimate on  $\mathbf{D}$ ): Set

$$\mathbf{D}(U) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \left[ \frac{\mu}{v} |(u - \bar{u})_x|^2 + \frac{\kappa}{v\theta} |(\theta - \bar{\theta})_x|^2 \right] dx := \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{u_1}(U) + \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{\theta_1}(U). \quad (4.35)$$

Note that  $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{u_1}(U) \sim \mathbf{D}_{u_1}(U)$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{\theta_1}(U) \sim \mathbf{D}_{\theta_1}(U)$  which are defined in (3.10).

First, using the fact that  $1 \geq \phi_i \geq \phi_i^2$  for any  $i$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{u_1}(U) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} a(\phi_1 + \phi_3) \frac{\mu}{v} |(u - \bar{u})_x|^2 dx \\ &\geq \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a\phi_i^2 \frac{\mu}{v} |(u - \bar{u})_x|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

By virtue of Young's inequality, for any  $\delta_* > 0$  small enough,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}} a \frac{\mu}{v} |\partial_x(\phi_i(u - \bar{u}))|^2 dx \\ &\leq (1 + \delta_*) \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \frac{\mu}{v} \phi_i^2 |(u - \bar{u})_x|^2 dx + \frac{C}{\delta_*} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \frac{\mu}{v} (\partial_x \phi_i)^2 |(u - \bar{u})|^2 dx, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{u_1}(U) &\leq -\frac{1}{1 + \delta_*} \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \frac{\mu}{v} |\partial_x(\phi_i(u - \bar{u}))|^2 dx + \frac{C}{\delta_*} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \frac{\mu}{v} (\partial_x \phi_i)^2 |(u - \bar{u})|^2 dx \\ &:= J_1 + J_2. \end{aligned}$$

To write  $J_1$  in terms of the variables  $y_i, w_i$ , we use the following estimate: there exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$\left| \frac{1}{y_i(1 - y_i)} \frac{\mu}{\tilde{v}_i} \frac{dy_i}{dx} - \delta_i \alpha_i \frac{\mu R \gamma}{\mu R \gamma + \kappa(\gamma - 1)^2} \right| \leq C\delta_i^2. \quad (4.36)$$

We refer to [12, Appendix B] for the proof of (4.36). With  $\left\| a \frac{\tilde{v}_i}{v} - 1 \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \leq C(\delta_0 + \varepsilon + \lambda)$ , this yields

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &= -\frac{1}{1+\delta_*} \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \int_0^1 a \frac{\tilde{v}_i}{v} \frac{\mu}{\tilde{v}_i} \left( \frac{dy_i}{dx} \right) |\partial_{y_i} w_i|^2 dy_i \\ &\leq - \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} (1 - C(\delta_0 + \varepsilon + \lambda + \delta_*)) \left( \delta_i \alpha_i \frac{\mu R \gamma}{\mu R \gamma + \kappa(\gamma - 1)^2} - C \delta_i^2 \right) \int_0^1 y_i (1 - y_i) |\partial_{y_i} w_i|^2 dy_i \\ &\leq - \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \alpha_i \frac{\mu R \gamma}{\mu R \gamma + \kappa(\gamma - 1)^2} (1 - C(\delta_0 + \varepsilon + \lambda + \delta_*)) \delta_i \int_0^1 y_i (1 - y_i) |\partial_{y_i} w_i|^2 dy_i. \end{aligned}$$

To estimate  $J_2$ , we use the following estimate: for each  $i = 1, 3$ ,

$$|\partial_x(\phi_i(t, x))| \leq \frac{4}{\sigma_3 - \sigma_1} \frac{1}{t}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad t \in (0, T].$$

Thus,

$$J_2 \leq \frac{C}{\delta_* t^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\bar{U}) dx,$$

which implies that for any  $0 < \delta_* < 1$  small enough,

$$\begin{aligned} -\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{u_1} &\leq - \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \alpha_i \frac{\mu R \gamma}{\mu R \gamma + \kappa(\gamma - 1)^2} (1 - C(\delta_0 + \varepsilon + \lambda + \delta_*)) \delta_i \int_0^1 y_i (1 - y_i) |\partial_{y_i} w_i|^2 dy_i \\ &\quad + \frac{C}{\delta_* t^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\bar{U}) dx. \end{aligned} \tag{4.37}$$

Likewise, using the change of variable, we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{\theta_1} &\leq - \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \alpha_i \frac{\mu R \gamma}{\mu R \gamma + \kappa(\gamma - 1)^2} \frac{\kappa}{\mu \theta^{[i]}} (1 - C(\delta_0 + \varepsilon + \lambda + \delta_*)) \delta_i \int_0^1 y_i (1 - y_i) |\partial_{y_i}(\phi_i(\theta - \bar{\theta}))|^2 dy_i \\ &\quad + \frac{C}{\delta_* t^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\bar{U}) dx. \end{aligned} \tag{4.38}$$

Our intention is to use the Poincaré-type inequality of Lemma 2.3 to absorb the main bad term  $\mathbf{B}_1$  by the diffusion term  $\mathbf{D}$  with (4.28). However, since

$$1 > \frac{\mu R \gamma}{\mu R \gamma + \kappa(\gamma - 1)^2} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \gamma \rightarrow \infty,$$

it follows from (4.34) and (4.37) that  $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{u_1}$  is not enough to control  $\mathbf{B}_1$ . Thus, we need to extract an additional good term on  $w_i$  from  $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{\theta_1}$ , as follows. First, using Lemma 2.3 and

$$\int_0^1 |w_i - \bar{w}_i|^2 dy_i = \int_0^1 w_i^2 dy_i - \bar{w}_i^2, \quad \bar{w}_i := \int_0^1 w_i dy_i,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
-\mathbf{D} \leq & - \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} 2\alpha_i \frac{\mu R \gamma}{\mu R \gamma + \kappa(\gamma - 1)^2} (1 - C(\delta_0 + \varepsilon + \lambda + \delta_*)) \delta_i \left[ \int_0^1 w_i^2 dy_i - \bar{w}_i^2 \right] \\
& - \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} 2\alpha_i \frac{\mu R \gamma}{\mu R \gamma + \kappa(\gamma - 1)^2} \frac{\kappa}{\mu \theta^{[i]}} (1 - C(\delta_0 + \varepsilon + \lambda + \delta_*)) \delta_i \\
& \quad \cdot \left[ \int_0^1 (\phi_i(\theta - \bar{\theta}))^2 dy_i - \left( \int_0^1 \phi_i(\theta - \bar{\theta}) dy_i \right)^2 \right] \\
& + \frac{C}{\delta_* t^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\bar{U}) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Observe that Young's inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^1 |\phi_i(\theta - \bar{\theta})|^2 dy_i &= \int_0^1 \left| \phi_i \left( \theta - \bar{\theta} + \frac{(\gamma - 1)\theta^{[i]}}{\sigma^{[i]}v^{[i]}}(u - \bar{u}) \right) - \frac{(\gamma - 1)\theta^{[i]}}{\sigma^{[i]}v^{[i]}}(u - \bar{u}) \right|^2 dy_i \\
&\geq \left( \frac{(\gamma - 1)\theta^{[i]}}{\sigma^{[i]}v^{[i]}} \right)^2 \left( 1 - \left( \frac{\delta_i}{\lambda_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \int_0^1 |w_i|^2 dy_i \\
&\quad - C \left( \frac{\delta_i}{\lambda_i} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^1 \left[ \phi_i \left( \theta - \bar{\theta} + \frac{(\gamma - 1)\theta^{[i]}}{\sigma^{[i]}v^{[i]}}(u - \bar{u}) \right) \right]^2 dy_i,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\left( \int_0^1 \phi_i(\theta - \bar{\theta}) dy_i \right)^2 &\leq 2 \left[ \int_0^1 \frac{(\gamma - 1)\theta^{[i]}}{\sigma^{[i]}v^{[i]}} \phi_i(u - \bar{u}) dy_i \right]^2 \\
&\quad + 2 \left[ \int_0^1 \phi_i \left( (\theta - \bar{\theta}) + \frac{(\gamma - 1)\theta^{[i]}}{\sigma^{[i]}v^{[i]}} \phi_i(u - \bar{u}) \right) dy_i \right]^2,
\end{aligned}$$

which together with  $\sigma^{[i]} = \frac{\sqrt{\gamma R \theta^{[i]}}}{v^{[i]}}$ , (3.5) and (4.22) implies

$$\begin{aligned}
-\mathbf{D} \leq & - \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} 2\alpha_i (1 - C(\delta_0 + \varepsilon + \lambda + \delta_*)) \delta_i \frac{\mu R \gamma}{\mu R \gamma + \kappa(\gamma - 1)^2} \left( 1 + \frac{\kappa(\gamma - 1)^2}{\mu R \gamma} \right) \cdot \left( 1 - \left( \frac{\delta_i}{\lambda_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \int_0^1 w_i^2 dy_i \\
& + \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} 2\alpha_i (1 - C(\delta_0 + \varepsilon + \lambda + \delta_*)) \delta_i \left[ 1 + 2 \frac{\kappa}{\mu \theta^{[i]}} \left( \frac{(\gamma - 1)\theta^{[i]}}{\sigma^{[i]}v^{[i]}} \right)^2 \right] \bar{w}_i^2 \\
& + \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} 4\alpha_i (1 - C(\delta_0 + \varepsilon + \lambda + \delta_*)) \delta_i \frac{\kappa}{\mu \theta^{[i]}} \int_0^1 \left[ \phi_i \left( \theta - \bar{\theta} + \frac{(\gamma - 1)\theta^{[i]}}{\sigma^{[i]}v^{[i]}}(u - \bar{u}) \right) \right]^2 dy_i \\
& + \frac{C}{\delta_* t^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\bar{U}) dx \\
\leq & - \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} 2\alpha_i \left( 1 - C(\delta_0 + \varepsilon + \lambda + \delta_*) - \left( \frac{\delta_i}{\lambda_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \delta_i \int_0^1 |w_i|^2 dy_i \\
& + \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} 2\alpha_i \delta_i \left( 1 + \frac{2\kappa(\gamma - 1)^2}{\mu R \gamma} \right) \bar{w}_i^2 + C \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \left( \frac{\delta_i}{\lambda_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{G}_{2i} + \frac{C}{\delta_* t^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\bar{U}) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

- (Conclusion): Using the smallness of  $\frac{\delta_i}{\lambda_i}$ ,  $\lambda$ ,  $\delta_0$ , and  $\varepsilon$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \frac{\delta_i}{2M_i} |\dot{\mathbf{X}}_i|^2 + \mathbf{B}_1 + \mathbf{B}_2 - \mathbf{G} - \frac{3}{4} \mathbf{D} \\
& \leq C(\delta_0 + \varepsilon + \lambda) \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \mathcal{G}_i^S + \delta_1^{\frac{4}{3}} \left( \delta_3^{\frac{4}{3}} e^{-C\delta_3 t} + \delta_C^{\frac{4}{3}} e^{-Ct} \right) + \delta_3^{\frac{4}{3}} \left( \delta_1^{\frac{4}{3}} e^{-C\delta_1 t} + \delta_C^{\frac{4}{3}} e^{-Ct} \right) \\
& - \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \frac{1}{4} \alpha_i \delta_i \int_0^1 |w_i|^2 dy_i - \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{G}_{1i} + \mathbf{G}_{2i}) \\
& - \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} (\sigma^{[i]})^2 M_i \delta_i \bar{w}_i^2 + \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \frac{3}{2} \alpha_i \delta_i \left( 1 + \frac{2\kappa(\gamma-1)^2}{\mu R \gamma} \right) \bar{w}_i^2 \\
& + \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} C \delta_i^2 \exp(-C\delta_i t) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\bar{U}) dx + \frac{C}{\delta_* t^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\bar{U}) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that the third line of r.h.s. At this time, for each  $i = 1, 3$ , choosing

$$M_i = \frac{3}{2} \frac{\alpha_i}{(\sigma^{[i]})^2} \left( 1 + \frac{2\kappa(\gamma-1)^2}{\mu R \gamma} \right),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \frac{\delta_i}{2M_i} |\dot{\mathbf{X}}_i|^2 + \mathbf{B}_1 + \mathbf{B}_2 - \mathbf{G} - \frac{3}{4} \mathbf{D} \\
& \leq C(\delta_0 + \varepsilon + \lambda) \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \mathcal{G}_i^S + \delta_1^{\frac{4}{3}} \left( \delta_3^{\frac{4}{3}} e^{-C\delta_3 t} + \delta_C^{\frac{4}{3}} e^{-Ct} \right) + \delta_3^{\frac{4}{3}} \left( \delta_1^{\frac{4}{3}} e^{-C\delta_1 t} + \delta_C^{\frac{4}{3}} e^{-Ct} \right) \\
& - \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \frac{1}{4} \alpha_i \delta_i \int_0^1 |w_i|^2 dy_i - \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{G}_{1i} + \mathbf{G}_{2i}) \\
& + \left( \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} C \delta_i^2 \exp(-C\delta_i t) + \frac{C}{\delta_* t^2} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\bar{U}) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, using

$$\delta_i \int_0^1 w_i^2 dy_i = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_i)_x| |\phi_i(u - \bar{u})|^2 dx$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_i)_x| |\phi_i(v - \bar{v})|^2 dx \\
& \leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_i)_x| \left| \phi_i \left( u - \bar{u} - \frac{u - \bar{u}}{\sigma^{[i]}} \right) \right|^2 dx + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_i)_x| \left| \phi_i \frac{u - \bar{u}}{\sigma^{[i]}} \right|^2 dx \\
& \leq C \frac{\delta_i}{\lambda_i} \mathbf{G}_{1i} + C \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_i)_x| |\phi_i(u - \bar{u})|^2 dx,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_i)_x| |\phi_i(\theta - \bar{\theta})|^2 dx \\
& \leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_i)_x| \left| \phi_i \left( \theta - \bar{\theta} - \frac{(\gamma - 1)\sigma^{[i]}}{\sigma^{[i]}v^{[i]}}(u - \bar{u}) \right) \right|^2 dx + C \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_i)_x| |\phi_i(u - \bar{u})|^2 dx \\
& \leq C \frac{\delta_i}{\lambda_i} \mathbf{G}_{2i} + C \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_i)_x| |\phi_i(u - \bar{u})|^2 dx,
\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \frac{\delta_i}{2M_i} |\dot{\mathbf{X}}_i|^2 + \mathbf{B}_1 + \mathbf{B}_2 - \mathbf{G} - \frac{3}{4} \mathbf{D} \\
& \leq C \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_i)_x| |\phi_i(v - \bar{v}, u - \bar{u}, \theta - \bar{\theta})|^2 dx + \delta_1^{\frac{4}{3}} \left( \delta_3^{\frac{4}{3}} e^{-C\delta_3 t} + \delta_C^{\frac{4}{3}} e^{-Ct} \right) \\
& \quad + \delta_3^{\frac{4}{3}} \left( \delta_1^{\frac{4}{3}} e^{-C\delta_1 t} + \delta_C^{\frac{4}{3}} e^{-Ct} \right) + \left( \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} C\delta_i^2 \exp(-C\delta_i t) + \frac{C}{\delta_* t^2} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\bar{U}) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

**4.5. Proof of Lemma 4.1.** First of all, it follows from (4.19) and (4.21) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a\bar{\theta}\eta(U|\bar{U}) dx & \leq - \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \frac{\delta_i}{2M_i} |\dot{\mathbf{X}}_i|^2 + \mathbf{B}_1 + \mathbf{B}_2 - \mathbf{G} - \frac{3}{4} \mathbf{D} \\
& \quad - \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \frac{\delta_i}{2M_i} |\dot{\mathbf{X}}_i|^2 + \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \dot{\mathbf{X}}_i \sum_{j=4}^6 \mathbf{Y}_{ij} + \sum_{i=3}^6 \mathbf{B}_i + \mathbf{S}_1 + \mathbf{S}_2 - \frac{1}{4} \mathbf{D}(U),
\end{aligned}$$

Then, using Lemma 4.5 and Young's inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a\bar{\theta}\eta(U|\bar{U}) dx & \leq C \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \mathcal{G}_i^S + \delta_1^{\frac{4}{3}} \left( \delta_3^{\frac{4}{3}} e^{-C\delta_3 t} + \delta_C^{\frac{4}{3}} e^{-Ct} \right) + \delta_3^{\frac{4}{3}} \left( \delta_1^{\frac{4}{3}} e^{-C\delta_1 t} + \delta_C^{\frac{4}{3}} e^{-Ct} \right) \\
& \quad + \left( \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} C\delta_i^2 \exp(-C\delta_i t) + \frac{C}{\delta_* t^2} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\bar{U}) dx - \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \frac{\delta_i}{4M_i} |\dot{\mathbf{X}}_i|^2 \quad (4.39) \\
& \quad + \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \frac{C}{\delta_i} \sum_{j=4}^6 \mathbf{Y}_{ij}^2 + \sum_{i=3}^6 \mathbf{B}_i + \mathbf{S}_1 + \mathbf{S}_2 - \frac{1}{4} \mathbf{D}(U),
\end{aligned}$$

- (Estimates on the terms  $\mathbf{Y}_{ij}$  ( $j = 4, 5, 6$ ): Using (4.17) and (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|(\mathbf{Y}_{i4}, \mathbf{Y}_{i5})| & \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_i)_x| |(v - \bar{v}, \theta - \bar{\theta})|^2 dx \\
& \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_i)_x| |\phi_i(v - \bar{v}, \theta - \bar{\theta})|^2 dx + C \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_i)_x| |(1 - \phi_i^2)(v - \bar{v}, \theta - \bar{\theta})|^2 dx \\
& \leq C \left( \mathcal{G}_i^S + \delta_i^2 \exp(-C\delta_i t) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\bar{U}) dx \right).
\end{aligned}$$

In addition, since Lemma 2.1 and (3.9) yields

$$|(\mathbf{Y}_{i4}, \mathbf{Y}_{i5})| \leq C\delta_i^2 \varepsilon^2,$$

we have

$$\frac{C}{\delta_i} |(\mathbf{Y}_{i4}, \mathbf{Y}_{i5})|^2 \leq C \delta_i \varepsilon^2 \left( \mathcal{G}_i^S + \delta_i^2 \exp(-C \delta_i t) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\bar{U}) dx \right).$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{C}{\delta_i} |\mathbf{Y}_{i6}|^2 &\leq \frac{C}{\delta_i} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(a_i)_x| |(v - \bar{v}, u - \bar{u}, \theta - \bar{\theta})|^2 dx \right)^2 \\ &\leq \frac{C \lambda_i^2}{\delta_i^3} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_i)_x| |(v - \bar{v}, u - \bar{u}, \theta - \bar{\theta})|^2 dx \right)^2 \\ &\leq \frac{C \lambda_i^2}{\delta_i^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_i)_x| |(v - \bar{v}, u - \bar{u}, \theta - \bar{\theta})|^2 dx \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_i)_x| |(v - \bar{v}, u - \bar{u}, \theta - \bar{\theta})|^2 dx \\ &\leq \frac{C \lambda_i^2}{\delta_i} \|(v - \bar{v}, u - \bar{u}, \theta - \bar{\theta})\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_i)_x| |(v - \bar{v}, u - \bar{u}, \theta - \bar{\theta})|^2 dx \\ &\leq C \varepsilon^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_i)_x| |\phi_i(v - \bar{v}, u - \bar{u}, \theta - \bar{\theta})|^2 dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_i)_x| (1 + \phi_i) \phi_j |(v - \bar{v}, u - \bar{u}, \theta - \bar{\theta})|^2 dx \\ &\leq C \varepsilon^2 \left( \mathcal{G}_i^S + \delta_i^2 \exp(-C \delta_i t) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\bar{U}) dx \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\frac{C}{\delta_i} \sum_{j=4}^6 |\mathbf{Y}_{ij}|^2 \leq C \varepsilon \left( \mathcal{G}_i^S + \delta_i^2 \exp(-C \delta_i t) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\bar{U}) dx \right). \quad (4.40)$$

- (Estimates on the terms  $\mathbf{B}_i$  ( $i = 3, 4, 5, 6$ )):

First, we split  $\mathbf{B}_3$  as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{B}_3 &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}} a_x \left[ \mu \left( \frac{u_x}{v} - \frac{\bar{u}_x}{\bar{v}_x} \right) (u - \bar{u}) + \kappa \frac{\theta - \bar{\theta}}{\theta} \left( \frac{\theta_x}{v} - \frac{\bar{\theta}_x}{\bar{v}} \right) \right] dx \\ &= - \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (a_i)_x \left[ \mu \left( \frac{u_x}{v} - \frac{\bar{u}_x}{\bar{v}_x} \right) (u - \bar{u}) + \kappa \frac{\theta - \bar{\theta}}{\theta} \left( \frac{\theta_x}{v} - \frac{\bar{\theta}_x}{\bar{v}} \right) \right] dx := \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \mathbf{B}_{3i}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{B}_{3i} &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(a_i)_x| |u - \bar{u}| (|(u - \bar{u})_x| + |\bar{u}_x| |v - \bar{v}|) dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(a_i)_x| |\theta - \bar{\theta}| (|(\theta - \bar{\theta})_x| + |\bar{\theta}_x| |v - \bar{v}|) dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{80} \mathbf{D}_{u_1} + \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(a_i)_x|^2 (|u - \bar{u}|^2 + |\theta - \bar{\theta}|^2) dx + C \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|\bar{u}_x|^2 + |\bar{\theta}_x|^2) |v - \bar{v}|^2 dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{80} \mathbf{D}_{u_1} + C \lambda_i^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{v}_i)_x| (|u - \bar{u}|^2 + |\theta - \bar{\theta}|^2) dx + C \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|\bar{u}_x|^2 + |\bar{\theta}_x|^2) |v - \bar{v}|^2 dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{80} \mathbf{D}_{u_1} + C \lambda_i^2 \left( \mathcal{G}_i^S + \delta_i^2 \exp(-C \delta_i t) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\bar{U}) dx \right) + C \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|\bar{u}_x|^2 + |\bar{\theta}_x|^2) |v - \bar{v}|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.5) and (2.1) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|\bar{u}_x|^2 + |\bar{\theta}_x|^2) |v - \bar{v}|^2 dx \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( |(\tilde{u}_1)_x|^2 + |u_x^C|^2 + |(\tilde{u}_3)_x|^2 + |(\tilde{\theta}_1)_x|^2 + |\theta_x^C|^2 + |(\tilde{\theta}_3)_x|^2 \right) |v - \bar{v}|^2 dx \\
& \leq C \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \left( \delta_i^2 \mathcal{G}_i^S + \delta_i^2 \exp(-C\delta_i t) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\bar{U}) dx \right) + C\delta_C(1+t)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{2C_1|x|^2}{1+t}} |v - \bar{v}|^2 dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{B}_3 & \leq \frac{1}{40} \mathbf{D}_{u_1} + C \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} (\lambda_i^2 + \delta_i^2) \mathcal{G}_i^S + C \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \delta_i^2 \exp(-C\delta_i t) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\bar{U}) dx \\
& \quad + C\delta_C(1+t)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{2C_1|x|^2}{1+t}} |v - \bar{v}|^2 dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Likewise, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{B}_4 & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} [|\bar{u}_x| |v - \bar{v}| |(u - \bar{u})_x| + |\theta - \bar{\theta}| (|(\theta - \bar{\theta})_x| + |\bar{\theta}_x|) (|(\theta - \bar{\theta})_x| + |\bar{\theta}_x|) |v - \bar{v}|] dx \\
& \quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}} [ |(\theta - \bar{\theta})_x| |\bar{\theta}_x| |v - \bar{v}| + |\theta - \bar{\theta}| (|(u - \bar{u})_x|^2 + |\bar{u}_x| |(u - \bar{u})_x| + |\bar{u}_x|^2 |v - \bar{v}|) ] dx, \\
& \leq \frac{1}{80} \mathbf{D}_{u_1} + C \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \delta_i^2 \mathcal{G}_i^S + \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \delta_i^2 \exp(-C\delta_i t) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\bar{U}) dx \\
& \quad + C\delta_C(1+t)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{2C_1|x|^2}{1+t}} |(v - \bar{v}, \theta - \bar{\theta})|^2 dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Lastly, to estimate  $\mathbf{B}_5$  and  $\mathbf{B}_6$ , we first show  $\mathbf{B}_5 \leq C\mathbf{B}_6$ .

Note that from Lemma 2.2 and 2.1,

$$\left| \left( \frac{\bar{\theta}_x}{\bar{v}} \right)_x \right|, |\bar{u}_x^2| \leq C\delta_0 (|(\tilde{v}_1)_x| + |(\tilde{v}_3)_x|) + C\delta_C(1+t)^{-1} e^{-\frac{C_1 x^2}{1+t}}.$$

Then

$$\mathbf{B}_5 \leq C\delta_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\theta - \bar{\theta}|^2 dx + C\delta_C(1+t)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a e^{-\frac{C_1|x|^2}{1+t}} |\theta - \bar{\theta}|^2 dx \leq C\mathbf{B}_6.$$

So we enough to estimate  $\mathbf{B}_6$ .

Using (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{B}_6 & = C\delta_C(1+t)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a e^{-\frac{C_1|x|^2}{1+t}} |(v - \bar{v}, \theta - \bar{\theta})|^2 dx \\
& \quad + C(\delta_0 + \varepsilon) \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|(\tilde{v}_1)_x| + |(\tilde{v}_3)_x|) |(v - \bar{v}, \theta - \bar{\theta})|^2 dx \\
& \leq C(\delta_0 + \varepsilon) \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \mathcal{G}_i^S + \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \delta_i^2 \exp(-C\delta_i t) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\bar{U}) dx \\
& \quad + C\delta_C(1+t)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{2C_1|x|^2}{1+t}} |(v - \bar{v}, \theta - \bar{\theta})|^2 dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=3}^6 \mathbf{B}_i &\leq \frac{1}{20} \mathbf{D}_{u_1} + C(\delta_0 + \lambda + \varepsilon) \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \mathcal{G}_i^S + \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \delta_i^2 \exp(-C\delta_i t) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(U|\bar{U}) dx \\ &\quad + C\delta_C(1+t)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{2C_1|x|^2}{1+t}} |(v - \bar{v}, \theta - \bar{\theta})|^2 dx. \end{aligned} \quad (4.41)$$

- (Estimates on the terms  $\mathbf{S}_i (i = 1, 2)$ :  
By (??), it holds that

$$\|Q_1^C\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C\delta_C(1+t)^{-\frac{5}{4}}, \quad \|Q_2^C\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C\delta_C(1+t)^{-\frac{7}{4}}. \quad (4.42)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{S}_1 + \mathbf{S}_2 &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}} aQ_1(u - \bar{u})dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}} a\left(\frac{\theta}{\bar{\theta}} - 1\right) Q_2 dx \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|Q_1^I| + |Q_1^C|)|u - \bar{u}|dx + C \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|Q_2^I| + |Q_2^C|)|\theta - \bar{\theta}|dx \\ &\leq C(\|Q_1^I\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \|Q_1^C\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})})\|u - \bar{u}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + C(\|Q_2^I\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \|Q_2^C\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})})\|\theta - \bar{\theta}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}. \end{aligned}$$

which together with Lemma 4.2 and (4.42) imply

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{S}_1 + \mathbf{S}_2 &\leq C \left[ \delta_1(\delta_C + \delta_3)e^{-C\delta_1 t} + \delta_3(\delta_1 + \delta_C)e^{-C\delta_3 t} \right] \|(u - \bar{u}, \theta - \bar{\theta})\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\quad + C\delta_C \left[ (\delta_1 + \delta_3)e^{-Ct} + (1+t)^{-\frac{5}{4}} \right] \|(u - \bar{u}, \theta - \bar{\theta})\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.43)$$

- (Conclusion): From (4.39), (4.40), (4.41), and (4.43), with the smallness of  $\delta_0, \lambda, \delta_i, \varepsilon$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a\bar{\theta}\eta(U|\bar{U}) dx \\ &\leq - \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \frac{\delta_i}{4M_i} |\dot{\mathbf{X}}_i|^2 - \frac{C}{2} \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \mathcal{G}_i^S - \frac{1}{8} \mathbf{D}(U) + C\delta_C(1+t)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{2C_1|x|^2}{1+t}} |(v - \bar{v}, \theta - \bar{\theta})|^2 dx \\ &\quad + \delta_1^{\frac{4}{3}} \left( \delta_3^{\frac{4}{3}} e^{-C\delta_3 t} + \delta_C^{\frac{4}{3}} e^{-Ct} \right) + \delta_3^{\frac{4}{3}} \left( \delta_1^{\frac{4}{3}} e^{-C\delta_1 t} + \delta_C^{\frac{4}{3}} e^{-Ct} \right) \\ &\quad + \left( \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} C\delta_i^2 \exp(-C\delta_i t) + \frac{C}{t^2} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} a\bar{\theta}\eta(U|\bar{U}) dx \\ &\quad + C \left[ \delta_1(\delta_C + \delta_3)e^{-C\delta_1 t} + \delta_3(\delta_1 + \delta_C)e^{-C\delta_3 t} \right] \|(u - \bar{u}, \theta - \bar{\theta})\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\quad + C\delta_C \left[ (\delta_1 + \delta_3)e^{-Ct} + (1+t)^{-\frac{5}{4}} \right] \|(u - \bar{u}, \theta - \bar{\theta})\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we use Grönwall inequality to conclude that for all  $t \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}} a\bar{\theta}\eta(U|\bar{U}) dx + \int_1^t \left( \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \frac{\delta_i}{4M_i} |\dot{\mathbf{X}}_i|^2 + \frac{C}{2} \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \mathcal{G}_i^S + \frac{1}{8} \mathbf{D} \right) \\
& \leq \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} a\bar{\theta}\eta(U|\bar{U}) dx \Big|_{t=1} + C\delta_C \int_1^t (1+s)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{2C_1|x|^2}{1+s}} |(v-\bar{v}, \theta-\bar{\theta})|^2 dx ds \right. \\
& \quad + C(\delta_1 + \delta_C + \delta_3) \|(u-\bar{u}, \theta-\bar{\theta})\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}))} \\
& \quad + \delta_1^{\frac{4}{3}} \left( \delta_3^{\frac{4}{3}} e^{-C\delta_3 t} + \delta_C^{\frac{4}{3}} e^{-Ct} \right) + \delta_3^{\frac{4}{3}} \left( \delta_1^{\frac{4}{3}} e^{-C\delta_1 t} + \delta_C^{\frac{4}{3}} e^{-Ct} \right) \Big] \\
& \quad \cdot \exp \left( \int_1^t \left( \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} C\delta_i^2 \exp(-C\delta_i s) + \frac{C}{s^2} \right) ds \right) \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} a\bar{\theta}\eta(U|\bar{U}) dx \Big|_{t=1} + C\delta_C \int_1^t (1+s)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{2C_1|x|^2}{1+s}} |(v-\bar{v}, \theta-\bar{\theta})|^2 dx ds \\
& \quad + C(\delta_1 + \delta_C + \delta_3) \|(u-\bar{u}, \theta-\bar{\theta})\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}))} \\
& \quad + \delta_1^{\frac{4}{3}} \left( \delta_3^{\frac{4}{3}} e^{-C\delta_3 t} + \delta_C^{\frac{4}{3}} e^{-Ct} \right) + \delta_3^{\frac{4}{3}} \left( \delta_1^{\frac{4}{3}} e^{-C\delta_1 t} + \delta_C^{\frac{4}{3}} e^{-Ct} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Then, using the fact that

$$\|U - \bar{U}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \sim \int_{\mathbb{R}} a\bar{\theta}\eta(U|\bar{U}) dx, \quad \forall t \in [0, T],$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}} a\bar{\theta}\eta(U|\bar{U}) dx + \int_1^t \left( \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \frac{\delta_i}{4M_i} |\dot{\mathbf{X}}_i|^2 + \frac{C}{2} \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \mathcal{G}_i^S + \frac{1}{8} \mathbf{D} \right) \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} a\bar{\theta}\eta(U|\bar{U}) dx \Big|_{t=1} + C\delta_C \int_1^t (1+s)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{2C_1|x|^2}{1+s}} |(v-\bar{v}, \theta-\bar{\theta})|^2 dx ds \\
& \quad + \delta_1^{\frac{4}{3}} \left( \delta_3^{\frac{4}{3}} e^{-C\delta_3 t} + \delta_C^{\frac{4}{3}} e^{-Ct} \right) + \delta_3^{\frac{4}{3}} \left( \delta_1^{\frac{4}{3}} e^{-C\delta_1 t} + \delta_C^{\frac{4}{3}} e^{-Ct} \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.44}$$

**4.6. Estimate in small time.** By virtue of (4.19) and (4.21), we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a\bar{\theta}\eta(U|\bar{U}) dx \leq \mathcal{R} \tag{4.45}$$

where

$$\mathcal{R} = - \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \frac{\delta_i}{M_i} |\dot{\mathbf{X}}_i|^2 + \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \left( \dot{\mathbf{X}}_i \sum_{j=4}^6 \mathbf{Y}_{ij} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^6 \mathbf{B}_i + \mathbf{S}_1 + \mathbf{S}_2 - \mathbf{G} - \mathbf{D},$$

By Young's inequality, we first have

$$\mathcal{R} + \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \frac{\delta_i}{4M_i} |\dot{\mathbf{X}}_i|^2 + \mathbf{D} + \mathcal{G}^S \leq \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \left( \frac{C}{\delta_i} \sum_{j=4}^6 |\mathbf{Y}_{ij}|^2 \right) + \sum_{i=1}^6 \mathbf{B}_i + \mathbf{S}_1 + \mathbf{S}_2,$$

Using (3.9), Lemma 2.1, and (3.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=4}^6 |\mathbf{Y}_{ij}| &\leq C \|(\tilde{\theta}_i)_x\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} (\|v - \bar{v}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \|\theta - \bar{\theta}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2) \\ &\quad + C \|(a_i)_x\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} (\|v - \bar{v}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \|u - \bar{u}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \|\theta - \bar{\theta}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2) \\ &\leq C \varepsilon^2 \delta_i^2, \end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \left( \frac{C}{\delta_i} \sum_{j=4}^6 |\mathbf{Y}_{ij}|^2 \right) \leq C \varepsilon^4 \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \delta_i^3.$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^6 \mathbf{B}_i &\leq C \left( \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \|(a_i)_x\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} + \|(\tilde{v})_x\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} + \|(\bar{u})_x\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} + \|(\bar{\theta})_x\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \right) \\ &\quad \cdot \left( \|v - \bar{v}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \|u - \bar{u}\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \|\theta - \bar{\theta}\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}^2 \right) + \frac{1}{80} \mathbf{D} \\ &\leq C \varepsilon^2 \left( \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \delta_i^2 + \delta_C \right) + \frac{1}{80} \mathbf{D}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{S}_1 + \mathbf{S}_2 &\leq C (\|Q_1^I\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \|Q_1^C\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}) \|u - \bar{u}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + C (\|Q_2^I\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \|Q_2^C\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}) \|\theta - \bar{\theta}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\leq C (\delta_1 + \delta_3 + \delta_C) \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{G}_i^S \leq C \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \|(\tilde{v}_i)_x\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} (\|v - \bar{v}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \|u - \bar{u}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \|\theta - \bar{\theta}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2) \leq C \varepsilon^2 \delta_i^2.$$

Hence, the above estimates provide a rough bound: for any  $\delta_1, \delta_C, \delta_3 \in (0, \delta_0)$ ,

$$\mathcal{R} + \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \frac{\delta_i}{4M} |\dot{\mathbf{X}}_i|^2 + \mathbf{D} + \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \mathcal{G}_i^S \leq C \delta_0, \quad t > 0. \quad (4.46)$$

**4.7. Proof of Lemma 4.1.** First of all, from (4.45) and (4.46), we have a rough estimate for a short time  $t \leq 1$  as follows:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \bar{\theta} \eta(U|\bar{U}) dx + \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \frac{\delta_i}{4M_i} |\dot{\mathbf{X}}_i|^2 + \mathbf{D} + \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \mathcal{G}_i^S \leq C \delta_0,$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \bar{\theta} \eta(U|\bar{U}) dx \right|_{t=1} + \int_0^1 \left( \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \frac{\delta_i}{4M_i} |\dot{\mathbf{X}}_i|^2 + \mathbf{D} + \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \mathcal{G}_i^S \right) \\ &\leq \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \bar{\theta} \eta(U|\bar{U}) dx \right|_{t=0} + C \delta_0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.47)$$

Combining the estimates (4.44) and (4.47) to conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}} a\bar{\theta}\eta(U|\bar{U}) dx + \int_0^t \left( \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \delta_i |\dot{\mathbf{X}}_i|^2 + \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \mathcal{G}_i^S + \mathbf{D} \right) \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} a\bar{\theta}\eta(U|\bar{U}) dx|_{t=0} + C\delta_0 \int_1^t (1+s)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{2C_1|x|^2}{1+s}} |(v-\bar{v}, \theta-\bar{\theta})|^2 dx ds \\
& \quad + \delta_1^{\frac{4}{3}} \left( \delta_3^{\frac{4}{3}} e^{-C\delta_3 t} + \delta_C^{\frac{4}{3}} e^{-Ct} \right) + \delta_3^{\frac{4}{3}} \left( \delta_1^{\frac{4}{3}} e^{-C\delta_1 t} + \delta_C^{\frac{4}{3}} e^{-Ct} \right) + C\delta_0.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.48}$$

Finally, using the following Lemma 4.6 with  $\delta_0 \ll 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}} a\bar{\theta}\eta(U|\bar{U}) dx + \int_0^t \left( \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \delta_i |\dot{\mathbf{X}}_i|^2 + \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \mathcal{G}_i^S + \mathbf{D} \right) ds \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} a\bar{\theta}\eta(U|\bar{U}) dx|_{t=0} + \delta_1^{\frac{4}{3}} \left( \delta_3^{\frac{4}{3}} e^{-C\delta_3 t} + \delta_C^{\frac{4}{3}} e^{-Ct} \right) + \delta_3^{\frac{4}{3}} \left( \delta_1^{\frac{4}{3}} e^{-C\delta_1 t} + \delta_C^{\frac{4}{3}} e^{-Ct} \right) \\
& \quad + C\delta_0 \int_0^t \|(v-\bar{v})_x\|^2 ds + C\delta_0.
\end{aligned}$$

The following Lemma is used to control the second term on the right hand side of (4.48), and its proof can be found in [12].

**Lemma 4.6.** *It holds that*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^t (1+s)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{2C_1|x|^2}{1+s}} |(v-\bar{v}, u-\bar{u}, \theta-\bar{\theta})|^2 dx ds \\
& \leq C \sup_{[0,T]} \|U - \bar{U}\|^2 + C \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \delta_i \int_0^t |\dot{\mathbf{X}}_i(s)|^2 ds + C \int_0^t (\mathcal{G}_1^S + \mathcal{G}_3^S + \mathbf{D}(U)) ds \\
& \quad + C \int_0^t \|(v-\bar{v})_x\|^2 ds + C\delta_0.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.49}$$

## 5. HIGHER ORDER ESTIMATES

**Lemma 5.1.** *Under the hypothesis of Proposition 3.2, there exists  $C > 0$  (independent of  $\delta_0, \varepsilon, T$ ) such that for all  $t \in (0, T]$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|(v-\bar{v}, u-\bar{u}, \theta-\bar{\theta})(t, \cdot)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \int_0^t \left( \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \delta_i |\dot{\mathbf{X}}_i|^2 + \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \mathcal{G}_i^S \right) \\
& \quad + \int_0^t \|(v-\bar{v}, u-\bar{u}, \theta-\bar{\theta})_x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 ds + \int_0^t \|(u-\bar{u}, \theta-\bar{\theta})_{xx}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 ds \\
& \leq \|(v-\bar{v}, u-\bar{u}, \theta-\bar{\theta})(0, \cdot)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}^2 + C\delta_0.
\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We define the following notations

$$\phi(t, x) := v(t, x) - \bar{v}(t, x), \quad \psi(t, x) := u(t, x) - \bar{u}(t, x), \quad \vartheta(t, x) := \theta(t, x) - \bar{\theta}(t, x),$$

and

$$W(t, x) := (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{\beta x^2}{1+t}}, \quad \beta = \frac{C_1}{2}.$$

First, it follows from (1.1) and (3.2) that

$$\phi_t - \psi_x - \dot{\mathbf{X}}_1(t)(\tilde{v}_1)_x - \dot{\mathbf{X}}_3(t)(\tilde{v}_3)_x = 0, \tag{5.1}$$

and

$$\psi_t - \dot{\mathbf{X}}_1(t)(\tilde{u}_1)_x - \dot{\mathbf{X}}_3(t)(\tilde{u}_3)_x + (p - \bar{p})_x = \mu \left( \frac{u_x}{v} - \frac{\bar{u}_x}{\bar{v}} \right)_x - Q_1^I - Q_1^C. \quad (5.2)$$

Differentiating (5.1) w.r.t.  $x$  and multiplying by  $\mu\phi_x$ , we have

$$\mu \left( \frac{\phi_x^2}{2} \right)_t - \dot{\mathbf{X}}_1(t)(\tilde{v}_1)_{xx}\mu\phi_x - \dot{\mathbf{X}}_3(t)(\tilde{v}_3)_{xx}\mu\phi_x = \mu\phi_x\psi_{xx}. \quad (5.3)$$

Multiplying (5.2) by  $-v\phi_x$  yields that

$$\begin{aligned} & -v\phi_x\psi_t + v\phi_x\dot{\mathbf{X}}_1(t)(\tilde{u}_1)_x + v\phi_x\dot{\mathbf{X}}_3(t)(\tilde{u}_3)_x \\ & = v\phi_x(p - \bar{p})_x - v\phi_x\mu \left( \frac{u_x}{v} + v\phi_x\frac{\bar{u}_x}{\bar{v}} \right)_x + v\phi_x(Q_1^I + Q_1^C). \end{aligned}$$

Then, using the above equation and (5.3) together with

$$(p - \bar{p})_x = \frac{R\vartheta_x}{v} - \frac{R\theta\phi_x}{v^2} + R\bar{\theta}_x \left( \frac{1}{v} - \frac{1}{\bar{v}} \right) + R\bar{v}_x \left( \frac{\bar{\theta}}{\bar{v}^2} - \frac{\theta}{v^2} \right), \quad (5.4)$$

and

$$\left( \frac{u_x}{v} - \frac{\bar{u}_x}{\bar{v}} \right) = \frac{\psi_{xx}}{v} - \frac{\psi_x v_x}{v^2} + \bar{u}_{xx} \left( \frac{1}{v} - \frac{1}{\bar{v}} \right) + \bar{u}_x \left( \frac{\bar{v}_x}{\bar{v}^2} - \frac{v_x}{v^2} \right), \quad (5.5)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \frac{\mu\phi_x^2}{2} - v\psi\phi_x \right)_t + v_t\phi_x\psi + \frac{R\theta\phi_x^2}{v} + v_t\psi_x\phi + (v\phi_t\psi)_x - (v_x\psi + v\psi_x)\phi_t \\ & + \dot{\mathbf{X}}_1(t)\phi_x((\tilde{u}_1)_x - \mu(\tilde{v}_1)_{xx}) + \dot{\mathbf{X}}_3(t)\phi_x((\tilde{u}_3)_x - \mu(\tilde{v}_3)_{xx}) \\ & = R\phi_x\vartheta_x + Rv\phi_x\bar{\theta}_x \left( \frac{1}{v} - \frac{1}{\bar{v}} \right) + R\phi_x\bar{v}_x \left( \frac{\bar{\theta}}{\bar{v}^2} - \frac{\theta}{v^2} \right) \\ & + \frac{\mu\phi_x\psi_x v_x}{v} - \mu v\phi_x\bar{u}_{xx} \left( \frac{1}{v} - \frac{1}{\bar{v}} \right) - \mu v\phi_x\bar{u}_x \left( \frac{\bar{v}_x}{\bar{v}^2} - \frac{v_x}{v^2} \right) + v\phi_x(Q_1^I + Q_1^C). \end{aligned}$$

Integrating the above equation over  $\mathbb{R} \times [0, t]$  w.r.t.  $x$  and  $t$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\mu}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_x^2 dx + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{R\theta\phi_x^2}{v} dx ds \\ & \leq C \|\phi_{0x}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \int_{\mathbb{R}} v\psi\phi_x dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}} v_0\psi_0\phi_{0x} dx \\ & + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} |v_t\phi_x\psi| dx ds + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(v_x\psi + v\psi_x)\phi_t| dx ds + \int_0^t \left| \dot{\mathbf{X}}_1(s) \right| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_x((\tilde{u}_1)_x - \mu(\tilde{v}_1)_{xx}) dx ds \\ & + \int_0^t \left| \dot{\mathbf{X}}_3(s) \right| \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\phi_x((\tilde{u}_3)_x - \mu(\tilde{v}_3)_{xx})| dx ds + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} |R\phi_x\vartheta_x| dx ds \\ & + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| Rv\phi_x\bar{\theta}_x \left( \frac{1}{v} - \frac{1}{\bar{v}} \right) + Rv\phi_x\bar{v}_x \left( \frac{\bar{\theta}}{\bar{v}^2} - \frac{\theta}{v^2} \right) - \mu v\phi_x\bar{u}_{xx} \left( \frac{1}{v} - \frac{1}{\bar{v}} \right) - \mu v\phi_x\bar{u}_x \left( \frac{\bar{v}_x}{\bar{v}^2} - \frac{v_x}{v^2} \right) \right| dx ds \\ & + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{\mu\phi_x\psi_x v_x}{v} \right| dx ds + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} |v\phi_x(Q_1^I + Q_1^C)| dx ds. \end{aligned}$$

In addition, using

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} v\psi\phi_x dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}} v_0\psi_0\phi_{0x} dx &\leq C (\|\psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\|\phi_x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \|\psi_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\|\phi_{0x}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}) \\ &\leq \frac{\mu}{4}\|\phi_x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + C\|\psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \|(\psi_0, \phi_{0x})\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\|\phi_x(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \int_0^t \|\phi_x(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 ds \leq C \left( \|(\psi_0, \phi_{0x})\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \|\psi(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \right) + \sum_{j=1}^8 L_j,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 &:= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} |v_t \phi_x \psi| dx ds, \\ L_2 &:= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(v_x \psi + v \psi_x) \phi_t| dx ds, \\ L_3 &:= \int_0^t \left| \dot{\mathbf{X}}_1(s) \right| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_x ((\tilde{u}_1)_x - \mu(\tilde{v}_1)_{xx}) dx ds, \\ L_4 &:= \int_0^t \left| \dot{\mathbf{X}}_3(s) \right| \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\phi_x ((\tilde{u}_3)_x - \mu(\tilde{v}_3)_{xx})| dx ds, \\ L_5 &:= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} |R \phi_x \vartheta_x| dx ds, \\ L_6 &:= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| R v \phi_x \bar{\theta}_x \left( \frac{1}{v} - \frac{1}{\bar{v}} \right) + R v \phi_x \bar{v}_x \left( \frac{\bar{\theta}}{\bar{v}^2} - \frac{\theta}{v^2} \right) - \mu v \phi_x \bar{u}_{xx} \left( \frac{1}{v} - \frac{1}{\bar{v}} \right) - \mu v \phi_x \bar{u}_x \left( \frac{\bar{v}_x}{v^2} - \frac{v_x}{\bar{v}^2} \right) \right| dx ds, \\ L_7 &:= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{\mu \phi_x \psi_x v_x}{v} \right| dx ds, \\ L_8 &:= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} |v \phi_x (Q_1^I + Q_1^C)| dx ds. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we estimate  $L_j$ , for each  $j = 1, 2, \dots, 8$ .

First, using (1.1)

$$\begin{aligned} |L_1| &\leq C \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} |v_t \phi_x \psi| dx ds \leq C \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|\psi_x| + |\bar{u}_x|) |\phi_x| |\psi| dx ds \\ &\leq C \int_0^t \|\psi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \|\psi_x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \|\phi_x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} ds + \frac{1}{8} \int_0^t \|\phi_x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 ds + C \int_0^t |\bar{u}_x|^2 |\psi|^2 ds \\ &\leq C\varepsilon \int_0^t \left( \|\phi_x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \|\psi_x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \right) ds + \frac{1}{8} \int_0^t \|\phi_x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 ds + C\delta_0 \int_0^t (\mathcal{G}_1^S + \mathcal{G}_3^S) ds \\ &\quad + C\delta_C^2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} W^2 \psi^2 dx ds, \end{aligned}$$

where the last term is obtained by  $(1+t)^{-1} e^{-\frac{2C_1 x^2}{1+t}} \leq W^2$ .

Likewise, we use (5.1) and  $v_x = \phi_x + \bar{v}_x$  to have

$$\begin{aligned}
L_2 &\leq C \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(v_x \psi + v \psi_x) \phi_t| dx ds \\
&\leq C \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} ((|\phi_x| + |\bar{v}_x|)|\psi| + |\psi_x|) \left| \psi_x + \dot{\mathbf{X}}_1(t)(\tilde{v}_1)_x + \dot{\mathbf{X}}_3(t)(\tilde{v}_3)_x \right| dx ds \\
&\leq C\varepsilon \int_0^t \|\phi_x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 ds + C \int_0^t \|\psi_x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 ds + C\delta_0 \int_0^t \left( |\dot{\mathbf{X}}_1(s)|^2 + |\dot{\mathbf{X}}_3(s)|^2 \right) ds \\
&\quad + C\delta_0 \int_0^t (\mathcal{G}_1^S + \mathcal{G}_3^S) ds + C\delta_0 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} W^2 \psi^2 dx ds,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
|L_6| &\leq C \int_0^t |\phi_x| |(\bar{\theta}_x, \bar{v}_x, \bar{u}_{xx}, \bar{u}_x \bar{v}_x)| |(\phi, \vartheta)| dx ds \\
&\quad + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\bar{u}_x| |\phi_x| (\phi_x + |\bar{v}_x| |\phi|) dx ds \\
&\leq \frac{1}{8} \int_0^t \|\phi_x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 ds + C\delta_0 \int_0^t (\mathcal{G}_1^S + \mathcal{G}_3^S) ds + C\delta_0 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} W^2 |(\phi, \vartheta)|^2 dx ds.
\end{aligned}$$

We easily have

$$\begin{aligned}
|L_3| &\leq C \int_0^t |\dot{\mathbf{X}}_1(s)| \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\phi_x| ((\tilde{u}_1)_x - \mu(\tilde{v}_1)_{xx}) dx ds \\
&\leq C\delta_0 \int_0^t |\dot{\mathbf{X}}_1(s)|^2 ds + C\delta_0 \int_0^t \|\phi_x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 ds,
\end{aligned}$$

$$|L_4| \leq C\delta_0 \int_0^t |\dot{\mathbf{X}}_3(s)|^2 ds + C\delta_0 \int_0^t \|\phi_x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 ds,$$

and

$$|L_5| \leq C \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} |R\phi_x \vartheta_x| dx ds \leq \frac{1}{8} \int_0^t \|\phi_x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 ds + C \int_0^t \|\vartheta_x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 ds.$$

For  $L_7$ , we use the interpolation inequality to have

$$\begin{aligned}
|L_7| &\leq \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{\mu \phi_x \psi_x v_x}{v} \right| dx ds \\
&\leq C \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\phi_x| |\psi_x| |\phi_x + \bar{v}_x| dx ds \\
&\leq C \int_0^t \|\psi_x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\psi_{xx}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\phi_x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 ds + C \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\phi_x| |\psi_x| |\bar{v}_x| dx ds \\
&\leq C\varepsilon^2 \int_0^t \|\psi_{xx}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 ds + C(\delta_0 + \varepsilon^{\frac{2}{3}}) \int_0^t \|(\phi_x, \psi_x)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 ds,
\end{aligned}$$

we use Lemma 4.2 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
|L_8| &\leq C \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\phi_x| |Q_1^I + Q_1^C| dx ds \\
&\leq \frac{1}{8} \int_0^t \|\phi_x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 ds + C \int_0^t \|Q_1^I\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 ds + \int_0^t \|Q_1^C\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 ds \\
&\leq \frac{1}{8} \int_0^t \|\phi_x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 ds + C\delta_0.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, combining all the above estimates, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\phi_x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \int_0^t \|\phi_x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 ds \\
&\leq C\|(\psi_0, \phi_{0x})\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + C\|\psi(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + C \int_0^t \|(\psi_x, \vartheta_x)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 ds + C\varepsilon^2 \int_0^t \|\psi_{xx}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 ds \\
&\quad + C\delta_0 \int_0^t \left( \left| \dot{\mathbf{X}}_1(s) \right|^2 + \left| \dot{\mathbf{X}}_3(s) \right|^2 \right) ds + C\delta_0 \underbrace{\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} W^2 |(\phi, \psi, \vartheta)|^2 dx ds}_{=:\mathbf{W}} + c\delta_0.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 4.6 to the above term  $\mathbf{W}$  that is the same as the left-hand side of (4.49), and using  $\mathbf{D} \leq C\|(\psi_x, \vartheta_x)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\phi_x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \int_0^t \|\phi_x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 ds \\
&\leq C\|(\psi_0, \phi_{0x})\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + C\|(\phi, \psi, \vartheta)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + C \int_0^t \|(\psi_x, \vartheta_x)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 ds + C\varepsilon^2 \int_0^t \|\psi_{xx}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 ds \quad (5.6) \\
&\quad + C\delta_0 \int_0^t \left( \left| \dot{\mathbf{X}}_1(s) \right|^2 + \left| \dot{\mathbf{X}}_3(s) \right|^2 \right) ds + c\delta_0.
\end{aligned}$$

To estimate  $\psi_x$ , multiplying the equation (5.2) by  $\psi_{xx}$  and integrating the result w.r.t.  $x$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|\psi_x|^2}{2} dx &= -\dot{\mathbf{X}}_1(t) \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\tilde{u}_1)_x \psi_{xx} dx - \dot{\mathbf{X}}_3(t) \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\tilde{u}_3)_x \psi_{xx} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (p - \bar{p})_x \psi_{xx} dx \\
&\quad - \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \frac{u_x}{v} - \frac{\bar{u}_x}{\bar{v}} \right)_x \psi_{xx} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (Q_1^I + Q_1^C) \psi_{xx} dx \\
&:= K_1 + K_2 + K_3 + K_4 + K_5.
\end{aligned}$$

First, we have a good a term

$$\mathbf{D}_\psi := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\mu}{v} |\psi_{xx}|^2 dx$$

from  $K_4$  as follows: (using (5.5))

$$\begin{aligned}
K_4 &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\mu}{v} |\psi_{xx}|^2 dx - \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \frac{1}{v} \right)_x \psi_x \psi_{xx} dx - \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{u}_{xx} \left( \frac{1}{v} - \frac{1}{\bar{v}} \right) \psi_{xx} dx \\
&\quad - \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{u}_x \left( \frac{1}{v} - \frac{1}{\bar{v}} \right)_x \psi_{xx} dx := \mathbf{D}_\psi + K_{41} + K_{42} + K_{43}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using  $v_x = \psi_x + \bar{v}_x$  and the interpolation inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
K_{41} &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\phi_x \psi_x \psi_{xx}| dx + C \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\bar{v}_x \psi_x \psi_{xx}| dx \\
&\leq C \|\phi_x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \|\psi_x\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \|\psi_{xx}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + C \|\bar{v}_x\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \|\psi_x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \|\psi_{xx}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\
&\leq C\varepsilon \|\psi_x\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\psi_{xx}\|^{\frac{3}{2}} + C\delta_0 \|\psi_x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \|\psi_{xx}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\
&\leq C\varepsilon (\|\psi_x\|^2 + \|\psi_{xx}\|^2) + C\delta_0 (\|\psi_x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \|\psi_{xx}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{8} \mathbf{D}_\psi + C(\varepsilon + \delta_0) \mathbf{D}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using  $|\bar{u}_{xx}| \leq C|\bar{u}_x|$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
K_{42} &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{u}_1)_{xx} + (u^C)_{xx} + (\tilde{u}_3)_{xx}| |\phi| |\psi_{xx}| dx \\
&\leq \frac{1}{8} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\psi_{xx}|^2 dx + C \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{u}_1)_{xx} + (u^C)_{xx} + (\tilde{u}_3)_{xx}|^2 |\phi|^2 dx \\
&\leq \frac{1}{8} \mathbf{D}_\psi + C\delta_0 \left( \mathcal{G}_1^S + \mathcal{G}_3^S + \int_{\mathbb{R}} W^2 \phi^2 dx \right),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
K_{43} &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\bar{u}_x| (|\phi_x| + |\bar{v}_x| |\phi|) |\psi_{xx}| dx \\
&\leq \frac{1}{8} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\psi_{xx}|^2 dx + |\bar{u}_x|^2 (|\phi_x| + |\bar{v}_x| |\phi|)^2 \\
&\leq \frac{1}{8} \mathbf{D}_\psi + C\delta_0 \|\phi_x\|^2 + C\delta_0 \left( \mathcal{G}_1^S + \mathcal{G}_3^S + \int_{\mathbb{R}} W^2 \phi^2 dx \right).
\end{aligned}$$

We easily have

$$\begin{aligned}
K_1 &\leq |\dot{\mathbf{X}}_1(t)| \|(\tilde{u}_1)_x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \|\psi_{xx}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\
&\leq C \|(\tilde{u}_1)_x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} |\dot{\mathbf{X}}_1(t)|^2 + C \|(\tilde{u}_1)_x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \|\psi_{xx}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \\
&\leq \delta_1 |\dot{\mathbf{X}}_1(t)|^2 + C\delta_1 \|\psi_{xx}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \leq \delta_1 |\dot{\mathbf{X}}_1(t)|^2 + \frac{1}{8} \mathbf{D}_\psi, \\
K_2 &\leq \delta_3 |\dot{\mathbf{X}}_3(t)|^2 + \frac{1}{8} \mathbf{D}_\psi,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
K_5 &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |Q_1^I + Q_1^C| |\psi_{xx}| dx \\
&\leq \|Q_1^I + Q_1^C\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \|\psi_{xx}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq \frac{1}{8} \mathbf{D}_\psi + C \|Q_1^I + Q_1^C\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Using (5.4),

$$\begin{aligned}
K_3 &\leq \frac{1}{8} \mathbf{D}_\psi + \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(p - \bar{p})_x|^2 dx \\
&\leq \frac{1}{8} \mathbf{D}_\psi + C(\|\psi_x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \mathbf{D}) + C\delta_0 \left( \mathcal{G}_1^S + \mathcal{G}_3^S + \int_{\mathbb{R}} W^2 \phi^2 dx \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|\psi_x|^2}{2} dx + \frac{1}{8} \mathbf{D}_\psi \leq & \delta_1 |\dot{\mathbf{X}}_1(t)|^2 + \delta_3 |\dot{\mathbf{X}}_3(t)|^2 + C(\|\phi_x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \mathbf{D}) \\ & + C(\|Q_1^I\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \|Q_1^C\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2) + C\delta_0 \left( \mathcal{G}_1^S + \mathcal{G}_3^S + \int_{\mathbb{R}} W^2 \phi^2 dx \right) \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

Similarly, we estimate  $\vartheta_x$ . From (1.1)<sub>3</sub> and (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{R}{\gamma-1} \vartheta_t + pu_x - \bar{p}\bar{u}_x + \frac{R}{\gamma-1} \dot{\mathbf{X}}_1(t)(\tilde{\theta}_1)_x + \frac{R}{\gamma-1} \dot{\mathbf{X}}_3(t)(\tilde{\theta}_3)_x \\ & = \kappa \left( \frac{\theta_x}{v} - \frac{\bar{\theta}_x}{\bar{v}} \right) + \mu \left( \frac{u_x^2}{v} - \frac{\bar{u}_x^2}{\bar{v}} \right) - Q_2^I - Q_2^C, \end{aligned}$$

multiplying the above equation by  $\vartheta_{xx}$  and integrating the result w.r.t.  $x$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{R}{\gamma-1} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|\vartheta_x|^2}{2} dx = & \frac{R}{\gamma-1} \dot{\mathbf{X}}_1(t) \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\tilde{\theta}_1)_x (\vartheta)_{xx} dx + \frac{R}{\gamma-1} \dot{\mathbf{X}}_3(t) \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\tilde{\theta}_3)_x (\vartheta)_{xx} dx \\ & + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (pu_x - \bar{p}\bar{u}_x) \vartheta_{xx} dx - \underbrace{\kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \frac{\theta_x}{v} - \frac{\bar{\theta}_x}{\bar{v}} \right) \vartheta_{xx} dx}_{=: K_6} \\ & + \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \frac{u_x^2}{v} - \frac{\bar{u}_x^2}{\bar{v}} \right) \vartheta_{xx} dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}} (Q_2^I + Q_2^C) \vartheta_{xx} dx. \end{aligned}$$

As above, we find a good term,

$$\mathbf{D}_\vartheta := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\kappa}{v} |\vartheta_{xx}|^2 dx$$

from  $K_6$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} K_6 = & - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\kappa}{v} |\vartheta_{xx}|^2 dx - \kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \frac{1}{v} \right)_x \vartheta_x \vartheta_{xx} dx \\ & - \kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{\theta}_{xx} \left( \frac{1}{v} - \frac{1}{\bar{v}} \right) \vartheta_{xx} dx - \kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{\theta}_x \left( \frac{1}{v} - \frac{1}{\bar{v}} \right)_x \vartheta_{xx} dx. \end{aligned}$$

The all terms above can be estimated as before.

Therefore, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|\vartheta_x|^2}{2} dx + \frac{1}{8} \mathbf{D}_\vartheta \leq & \delta_1 |\dot{\mathbf{X}}_1(t)|^2 + \delta_3 |\dot{\mathbf{X}}_3(t)|^2 + C(\|\phi_x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \mathbf{D}) \\ & + C(\|Q_2^I\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \|Q_2^C\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2) + C\delta_0 \left( \mathcal{G}_1^S + \mathcal{G}_3^S + \int_{\mathbb{R}} W^2 \phi^2 dx \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

Adding (5.7) and (5.8) and then integrating the result over  $[0, t]$ , together with using (4.49) as in (5.6), we find that for some constants  $C_*, C > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(\psi_x, \vartheta_x)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \int_0^t \|(\psi_{xx}, \vartheta_{xx})\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 ds \\ \leq & C \|(\psi_{0x}, \vartheta_{0x})\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \delta_1 |\dot{\mathbf{X}}_1(t)|^2 + \delta_3 |\dot{\mathbf{X}}_3(t)|^2 \\ & + C_* \int_0^t \|\phi_x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 ds + \int_0^t \|(\psi_x, \vartheta_x)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 ds + C \int_0^t (\|Q_1^I\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \|Q_1^C\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2) ds \\ & + C \int_0^t (\|Q_2^I\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \|Q_2^C\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2) ds + C\delta_0 \int_0^t (\mathcal{G}_1^S + \mathcal{G}_3^S) + C\delta_0 \|(\phi, \psi, \vartheta)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + C\delta_0. \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

Notice that by Lemma 4.2 and (4.42), we have

$$\int_0^t (\|Q_1^I\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \|Q_1^C\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2) ds + C \int_0^t (\|Q_2^I\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \|Q_2^C\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2) ds \leq C\delta_0.$$

Multiplying (5.9) by  $\frac{1}{2C_*}$  and then adding the result and (5.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(\phi_x, \psi_x, \vartheta_x)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \int_0^t \|\phi_x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} ds + \int_0^t \|(\psi_{xx}, \vartheta_{xx})\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 ds \\ & \leq C\|(\phi_{0x}, \psi_{0x}, \vartheta_{0x})\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + C_{**}\|(\phi, \psi, \vartheta)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + C_{**} \int_0^t \|(\psi_x, \vartheta_x)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 ds \\ & \quad + C_{**}\delta_1|\dot{\mathbf{X}}_1(t)|^2 + C_{**}\delta_3|\dot{\mathbf{X}}_3(t)|^2 + C\delta_0 \int_0^t (\mathcal{G}_1^S + \mathcal{G}_3^S) ds + C\delta_0. \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

Finally, multiplying (5.10) by  $\frac{1}{2C_{**}}$  and then adding the result with (4.1), we have the desired estimates.  $\square$

**Acknowledgment.** The authors thank Professor Moon-Jin Kang for his suggestion of this problem and the beneficial discussions with him.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Didier Bresch and Benoît Desjardins. On the construction of approximate solutions for the 2D viscous shallow water model and for compressible Navier-Stokes models. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, 86(4):362–368, 2006.
- [2] C. M. Dafermos. The second law of thermodynamics and stability. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 70(2):167–179, 1979.
- [3] C. M. Dafermos. Entropy and the stability of classical solutions of hyperbolic systems of conservation laws. In *Recent mathematical methods in nonlinear wave propagation (Montecatini Terme, 1994)*, volume 1640 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 48–69. Springer, Berlin, 1996.
- [4] Saehoon Eo, Namhyun Eun, Moon-Jin Kang, and HyeonSeop Oh. Traveling wave solutions to brenner-navier-stokes-fourier system, 2024.
- [5] Jonathan Goodman. Nonlinear asymptotic stability of viscous shock profiles for conservation laws. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 95(4):325–344, 1986.
- [6] Sunggho Han, Moon-Jin Kang, and Jeongho Kim. Large-time behavior of composite waves of viscous shocks for the barotropic Navier-Stokes equations. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 55(5):5526–5574, 2023.
- [7] Feimin Huang, Jing Li, and Akitaka Matsumura. Asymptotic stability of combination of viscous contact wave with rarefaction waves for one-dimensional compressible Navier-Stokes system. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 197(1):89–116, 2010.
- [8] Feimin Huang and Akitaka Matsumura. Stability of a composite wave of two viscous shock waves for the full compressible Navier-Stokes equation. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 289(3):841–861, 2009.
- [9] Feimin Huang, Zhouping Xin, and Tong Yang. Contact discontinuity with general perturbations for gas motions. *Adv. Math.*, 219(4):1246–1297, 2008.
- [10] M.-J. Kang and A. F. Vasseur. Criteria on contractions for entropic discontinuities of systems of conservation laws. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 222(1):343–391, 2016.
- [11] M.-J. Kang, A. F. Vasseur, and Y. Wang. Time-asymptotic stability of composite waves of viscous shock and rarefaction for barotropic Navier-Stokes equations. *Adv. Math.*, 419:Paper No. 108963, 66, 2023.
- [12] M.-J. Kang, A. F. Vasseur, and Y. Wang. Time-asymptotic stability of generic riemann solutions for compressible Navier-Stokes-Fourier equations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.05604*, 2023.
- [13] Moon-Jin Kang and Alexis Vasseur. Contraction property for large perturbations of shocks of the barotropic Navier-Stokes system. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 23(2):585–638, 2021.
- [14] P. D. Lax. Hyperbolic systems of conservation laws. II. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 10:537–566, 1957.
- [15] Nicholas Leger and Alexis Vasseur. Relative entropy and the stability of shocks and contact discontinuities for systems of conservation laws with non-BV perturbations. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 201(1):271–302, 2011.
- [16] Tai-Ping Liu. Nonlinear stability of shock waves for viscous conservation laws. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 56(328):v+108, 1985.
- [17] Tai-Ping Liu and Zhou Ping Xin. Nonlinear stability of rarefaction waves for compressible Navier-Stokes equations. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 118(3):451–465, 1988.

- [18] Tai-Ping Liu and Zhouping Xin. Pointwise decay to contact discontinuities for systems of viscous conservation laws. *Asian J. Math.*, 1(1):34–84, 1997.
- [19] Tai-Ping Liu and Yanni Zeng. Shock waves in conservation laws with physical viscosity. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 234(1105):vi+168, 2015.
- [20] C. Mascia and K. Zumbrun. Stability of small-amplitude shock profiles of symmetric hyperbolic-parabolic systems. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 57(7):841–876, 2004.
- [21] Akitaka Matsumura. Waves in compressible fluids: viscous shock, rarefaction, and contact waves. In *Handbook of mathematical analysis in mechanics of viscous fluids*, pages 2495–2548. Springer, Cham, 2018.
- [22] Akitaka Matsumura and Kenji Nishihara. On the stability of travelling wave solutions of a one-dimensional model system for compressible viscous gas. *Japan J. Appl. Math.*, 2(1):17–25, 1985.
- [23] Akitaka Matsumura and Kenji Nishihara. Asymptotics toward the rarefaction waves of the solutions of a one-dimensional model system for compressible viscous gas. *Japan J. Appl. Math.*, 3(1):1–13, 1986.
- [24] Akitaka Matsumura and Kenji Nishihara. Global stability of the rarefaction wave of a one-dimensional model system for compressible viscous gas. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 144(2):325–335, 1992.
- [25] Akitaka Matsumura and Yang Wang. Asymptotic stability of viscous shock wave for a one-dimensional isentropic model of viscous gas with density dependent viscosity. *Methods Appl. Anal.*, 17(3):279–290, 2010.
- [26] John Nash. Le problème de Cauchy pour les équations différentielles d’un fluide général. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 90:487–497, 1962.
- [27] Kenji Nishihara, Tong Yang, and Huijiang Zhao. Nonlinear stability of strong rarefaction waves for compressible Navier-Stokes equations. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 35(6):1561–1597, 2004.
- [28] B. Riemann. The propagation of planar air waves of finite amplitude [Abh. Ges. Wiss. Göttingen **8** (1860), 43–65]. In *Classic papers in shock compression science*, High-press. Shock Compression Condens. Matter, pages 109–128. Springer, New York, 1998.
- [29] Anders Szepessy and Zhou Ping Xin. Nonlinear stability of viscous shock waves. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 122(1):53–103, 1993.
- [30] Alexis F. Vasseur and Lei Yao. Nonlinear stability of viscous shock wave to one-dimensional compressible isentropic Navier-Stokes equations with density dependent viscous coefficient. *Commun. Math. Sci.*, 14(8):2215–2228, 2016.
- [31] Zhouping Xin. On nonlinear stability of contact discontinuities. In *Hyperbolic problems: theory, numerics, applications (Stony Brook, NY, 1994)*, pages 249–257. World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1996.

(Xushan Huang)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES

KOREA ADVANCED INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, DAEJEON 34141, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

*Email address:* xushanhuang@kaist.ac.kr

(Hobin Lee)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES

KOREA ADVANCED INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, DAEJEON 34141, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

*Email address:* lcu11@kaist.ac.kr