Continuous Boostlet Transform and Associated Uncertainty Principles

Owais Ahmad and Jasifa Fayaz

Department of Mathematics, National Institute of Technology, Hazratbal, Srinagar -190006, Jammu and Kashmir, India. E-mail: siawoahmad@gmail.com;jasifaitoo058@gmail.com

Abstract: The Continuous Boostlet Transform (CBT) is introduced as a powerful tool for analyzing spatiotemporal signals, particularly acoustic wavefields. Overcoming the limitations of classical wavelets, the CBT leverages the Poincaré group and isotropic dilations to capture sparse features of natural acoustic fields. This paper presents the mathematical framework of the CBT, including its definition, fundamental properties, and associated uncertainty principles, such as Heisenberg's, logarithmic, Pitt's, and Nazarov's inequalities. These results illuminate the trade-offs between time and frequency localization in the boostlet domain. Practical examples with constant and exponential functions highlight the CBT's adaptability. With applications in radar, communications, audio processing, and seismic analysis, the CBT offers flexible time-frequency resolution, making it ideal for non-stationary and transient signals, and a valuable tool for modern signal processing.

Keywords: Continuous boostlet transform; Boostlet group; Heisenberg uncertainty principle; Logarithmic uncertainty principle; Pitt's inequality; Nazarov's inequality.

AMS Subject Classification: 42C40. 42C15. 81R30. 42A38.

1. Introduction

Signal processing, a cornerstone of applied mathematics, focuses on the representation, analysis, and manipulation of signals in both continuous and discrete time [20]. Within this domain, audio signal processing plays a pivotal role, encompassing the recording, enhancement, storage, and transmission of audio content. It enables the precise adjustment of frequency ranges, noise removal, and the addition of effects to achieve desired auditory characteristics. The field gained significant traction in the 1960s with the advent of digital computers capable of applying Fourier's principles to sound recording [22]. A landmark achievement of this era was the development of the Fast Fourier Transform (FFT), which revolutionized the computation of Fourier Transforms with reduced complexity. Subsequent advancements included the image source method for predicting acoustical reflections in complex room geometries [1] and the groundbreaking introduction of acoustic holography in the 1980s [27], which allowed for the contactless characterization of vibroacoustic sources. By the late 1990s, Berkhout and colleagues extensively analyzed and extrapolated acoustic fields within rooms, drawing parallels from seismic exploration [4, 3]. Modern computational acoustics employs numerical methods such as finite elements, finite-difference-time domain methods, spectral element methods, and discontinuous Galerkin methods, transforming continuous and differential equations into algebraic forms suitable for digital computation.

The rise of multi-scale methods, particularly wavelets, has been transformative over the past few decades [25]. Despite their success, classical wavelet transforms face limitations in higher dimensions due to their isotropic nature [24]. This has spurred the development of advanced constructs like ridgelets [6], curvelets [7, 11], contourlets [12], bendlets [18], shearlets [16], grouplets [19], and wavelet packets [15]. In acoustic signal processing, curvelets [8] and wave atoms [10] have proven effective in providing sparse representations of wave propagators in free space, defined on space-time foliations that describe wave fields evolving over time with Hamiltonian flows. Demanet and Ying [10] demonstrated that these representations achieve optimal sparsity for Fourier integral operators and Green's functions of the wave equation, with anisotropic essential support scaling as $\sim 2^{-aj} \times 2^{-j/2}$, where $a \in [1/2, 1]$. However, representations in full space-time remain underexplored [21, 28, 29, 5].

While these transforms were primarily designed for image processing, addressing extended singularities in real space, they were not explicitly tailored for waves in full space-time. In 2024, Zea et al. [30] introduced the boostlet transform, a novel approach for analyzing acoustic waves in 2D space-time. This transform encodes sparse features of natural acoustic fields using the Poincaré group and isotropic dilations, offering a powerful tool for capturing the intricate dynamics of wave propagation.

Boostlet transforms are still in their infancy, and this work aims to contribute to their growing theoretical foundation. So, in this paper we establish the fundamental properties of Boostlet transforms, providing a framework for their analysis. A key contribution of this study is the derivation of a series of uncertainty inequalities associated with the Boostlet Transform, which, to the best of our knowledge, have not been explored or reported in existing literature. These new results shed light on the inherent limitations and behavior of the Boostlet Transform, offering novel insights that enhance its potential applications in fields such as signal processing and beyond. Some examples and potential applications are also presented.

This paper is structured as follows. Section 2 provides the mathematical foundation of the CBT, including its definition and key properties. Section 3 explores the associated uncertainty principles, offering insights into the transform's localization capabilities. Section 4 presents practical examples to illustrate the CBT's effectiveness in analyzing different types of signals. Finally, Section 5 discusses potential applications and future directions for research.

2. Continuous Boostlet Transform and its fundamental properties

In this section, we establish some important results related to boostlet transform and various fundamental properties of the continuous boostlet transform viz., linearity, antilinearity, translation, scaling and reflection. We first recall the definition of continuous boostlet transform in the function space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. **Definition 2.1.** [30] For $a \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ define a dilation matrix D_a , with a as the dilation parameter and boost matrix B_{θ} , with θ as boost parameter acting on a space time vector $\varsigma = (x, t)^T \in \mathbb{R}^2$ as

$$D_a = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}$$
 and $B_\theta = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh \theta & -\sinh \theta \\ -\sinh \theta & \cosh \theta \end{pmatrix}$.

On combining these two transformations, we get a single boost-dilation matrix $M_{a,\theta}$ given by

$$M_{a,\theta} = \begin{pmatrix} a \cosh \theta & -a \sinh \theta \\ -a \sinh \theta & a \cosh \theta \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now the family of boostlets w.r.t the mother boostlet $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is defined as

$$\psi_{a,\theta,\tau}(\varsigma) = a^{-1}\psi(M_{a,\theta}^{-1}(\varsigma-\tau)),$$

where $\tau = (\tau_x, \tau_t)^T \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is a translation vector in 2D space-time.

Definition 2.2. Define a set $\mathbb{B} = \{(a, \theta, \tau) : a \in \mathbb{R}^+, \theta \in \mathbb{R}, \tau \in \mathbb{R}^2\}$, equipped with a product \cdot given by

$$(a, \theta, \tau) \cdot (a', \theta', \tau') = (aa', \theta + \theta', \tau + \mathbf{B}_{\theta}\mathbf{D}_{a}\tau')$$

forms a group with identity (1,0,0) known as boostlet group. Now for a near feild mother boostlet $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, the continuous boostlet transform [30] of a spatiotemporal function $f(\varsigma) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is defined as follows :

$$\mathbf{B}_{\psi}f(a,\theta,\tau) = \left(\langle f, \psi_{a,\theta,\tau} \rangle, \langle f, \psi_{a,\theta,\tau}^* \rangle\right)_{(a,\theta,\tau)\in\mathbb{B}}.$$
(1)

Definition 2.3. A window function $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is said to be admissible in 2D space-time if Δ defined by

$$\Delta = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{0}^{\infty} |\hat{\psi}(M_{a,\theta}\xi)|^{2} \frac{dad\theta}{a} + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{0}^{\infty} |\hat{\psi}^{*}(M_{a,\theta}\xi)|^{2} \frac{dad\theta}{a}$$
$$= \Delta_{\psi}(\xi) + \Delta_{\psi^{*}}(\xi)$$

is a constant independent of ξ satisfying $0 < \Delta < \infty$.

Proposition 2.1. Let $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ be an admissible boostlet. Then for any $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, the continuous boostlet trasform (1) can be represented as

$$\mathbf{B}_{\psi}f(a,\theta,\tau) = \left(\left(f * \check{\psi}^*{}_{a,\theta,0} \right), \left(f * \check{\psi}_{a,\theta,0} \right) \right)$$
(2)

Proof. From the definition (1), we have

$$\mathbf{B}_{\psi}f(a,\theta,\tau) = \left(\langle f, \psi_{a,\theta,\tau} \rangle, \langle f, \psi_{a,\theta,\tau}^* \rangle\right).$$

Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, \psi_{a,\theta,\tau} \rangle &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(\varsigma) \psi_{a,\theta,\tau}^*(\varsigma) d\varsigma \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(\varsigma) a^{-1} \psi^*(M_{a,\theta}^{-1}(\varsigma - \tau)) d\varsigma \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} a^{-1} f(\varsigma) \check{\psi^*}(M_{a,\theta}^{-1}(\tau - \varsigma)) d\varsigma \\ &= (f * \check{\psi^*}_{a,\theta,0}) (\tau). \end{aligned}$$

where $\check{\psi}(\varsigma) = \psi(-\varsigma)$.

 ${\rm Also},$

$$\langle f, \psi_{a,\theta,\tau}^* \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(\varsigma) \psi_{a,\theta,\tau} d\varsigma$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} a^{-1} f(\varsigma) \check{\psi} M_{a,\theta}^{-1}(\varsigma - \tau) d\varsigma$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} a^{-1} f(\varsigma) \check{\psi} M_{a,\theta}^{-1}(\tau - \varsigma) d\varsigma$$

$$= (f * \check{\psi}_{a,\theta,0})(\tau),$$

where $\check{\psi}(\varsigma) = \psi(-\varsigma)$. Therefore, we have

$$\mathbf{B}_{\psi}f(a,\theta,\tau) = \left(\langle f , \psi_{a,\theta,\tau} \rangle, \langle f , \psi_{a,\theta,\tau}^* \rangle\right) = \left(\left(f * \check{\psi}_{a,\theta,0}^*\right)(\tau), \left(f * \check{\psi}_{a,\theta,0}\right)(\tau)\right),$$

which completes the proof.

In the following theorem we state and prove the fundamental properties of continuous boostlet transform.

Theorem 2.2. If ϕ , ψ are boostlets and f, g are functions in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ then the boostlet transform satisfies the following properties:

1. Linearity : $\mathbf{B}_{\psi}(\alpha f + \beta g)(a, \theta, \tau) = \alpha \mathbf{B}_{\psi}f(a, \theta, \tau) + \beta \mathbf{B}_{\psi}g(a, \theta, \tau)$, where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{B}_{\psi}(\alpha f + \beta g)(a, \theta, \tau) &= \left(\langle \alpha f + \beta g, \psi_{a,\theta,\tau} \rangle, \langle \alpha f + \beta g, \psi_{*a,\theta,\tau} \rangle \right) \\ &= \left(\alpha \langle f, \psi_{a,\theta,\tau} \rangle + \beta \langle g, \psi_{a,\theta,\tau} \rangle, \alpha \langle f, \psi_{*a,\theta,\tau} \rangle + \beta \langle g, \psi_{*a,\theta,\tau} \rangle \right) \\ &= \alpha \left(\langle f, \psi_{a,\theta,\tau} \rangle, \langle f, \psi_{*a,\theta,\tau} \rangle \right) + \beta \left(\langle g, \psi_{a,\theta,\tau} \rangle, \langle g, \psi_{*a,\theta,\tau} \rangle \right) \\ &= \alpha \mathbf{B}_{\psi} f(a, \theta, \tau) + \beta \mathbf{B}_{\psi} g(a, \theta, \tau). \quad \Box \end{aligned}$$

2. Anti-linearity: $\mathbf{B}_{\alpha\phi+\beta\psi}f(a,\theta,\tau) = \alpha\alpha^* \mathbf{B}_{\phi}f(a,\theta,\tau) + \beta\beta^* \mathbf{B}_{\psi}f(a,\theta,\tau)$, where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{B}_{\alpha\phi+\beta\psi}f(a,\theta,\tau) &= \left(\langle f,\alpha\phi_{a,\theta,\tau} + \beta\psi_{a,\theta,\tau} \rangle, \langle f,\alpha\phi_{a,\theta,\tau} + \beta\psi_{a,\theta,\tau}^* \rangle\right) \\ &= \left(\alpha^*\langle f,\phi_{a,\theta,\tau} \rangle + \beta^*\langle f,\psi_{a,\theta,\tau} \rangle, \alpha\langle f,\phi_{a,\theta,\tau}^* \rangle + \beta\langle f,\psi_{a,\theta,\tau}^* \rangle\right) \\ &= \left(\alpha^*\langle f,\phi_{a,\theta,\tau} \rangle, \alpha\langle f,\phi_{a,\theta,\tau}^* \rangle\right) + \left(\beta^*\langle f,\psi_{a,\theta,\tau} \rangle, \beta\langle f,\psi_{a,\theta,\tau}^* \rangle\right) \\ &= \alpha\alpha^*\left(\langle f,\phi_{a,\theta,\tau} \rangle, \langle f,\phi_{a,\theta,\tau}^* \rangle\right) + \beta\beta^*\left(\langle f,\psi_{a,\theta,\tau} \rangle, \langle f,\psi_{a,\theta,\tau}^* \rangle\right) \\ &= \alpha\alpha^*\mathbf{B}_{\phi}f(a,\theta,\tau) + \beta\beta^*\mathbf{B}_{\psi}f(a,\theta,\tau). \quad \Box \end{aligned}$$

3. **Translation** : $\mathbf{B}_{\psi}T_kf(a,\theta,\tau) = \mathbf{B}_{\psi}f(a,\theta,\tau-k)$: where T_k is the translation operator defined by $T_kf(\varsigma) = f(\varsigma - k)$.

Proof. We have

$$\mathbf{B}_{\psi}T_{k}f(a,\theta,\tau) = \left(\langle T_{k}f, \psi_{a,\theta,\tau} \rangle, \langle T_{k}f, \psi_{a,\theta,\tau}^{*} \rangle \right).$$
(3)

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T_k f, \psi_{a,\theta,\tau} \rangle &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} T_k f(\varsigma) \psi_{a,\theta,\tau}^* d\varsigma \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(\varsigma - k) \psi_{a,\theta,\tau}^*(\varsigma) d\varsigma \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(\varsigma - k) a^{-1} \psi^* (M_{a,\theta}^{-1}(\varsigma - k)) d\varsigma \\ &= a^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(z) \psi^* (M_{a,\theta}^{-1}(k + z - \tau)) dz \\ &= a^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(z) \psi^* (M_{a,\theta}^{-1}(z - (\tau - k))) dz \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(z) \psi_{a,\theta,\tau-k}^* dz \\ &= \langle f, \psi_{a,\theta,\tau-k} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T_k f, \psi_{a,\theta,\tau}^* \rangle &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} T_k f(\varsigma) \psi_{a,\theta,\tau} d\varsigma \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(\varsigma - k) a^{-1} \psi(M_{a,\theta}^{-1}(\varsigma - \tau)) d\varsigma \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(z) a^{-1} \psi(M_{a,\theta}^{-1}(z + k - \tau)) dz \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(z) a^{-1} \psi(M_{a,\theta}^{-1}(z - (k - \tau))) dz \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(z) \psi_{a,\theta,\tau-k}(z) dz \\ &= \langle f, \psi_{a,\theta,\tau-k}^* \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\mathbf{B}_{\psi}T_{k}f(a,\theta,\tau) = \left(\langle\psi_{a,\theta,\tau-k}\rangle, \langle f,\psi_{a,\theta,\tau-k}^{*}\rangle\right)$$
$$= \mathbf{B}_{\psi}f(a,\theta,\tau-k). \ \Box$$

4. Scaling: $\mathbf{B}_{\psi}f(\lambda\varsigma)(a,\theta,\tau) = \frac{1}{\lambda}\mathbf{B}_{\psi}f(a,\theta,\tau\lambda)$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Proof. E

$$\mathbf{B}_{\psi}f(a,\theta,\tau) = \left(\langle f(\lambda\varsigma), \psi_{a,\theta,\tau} \rangle, \langle f(\lambda\varsigma), \psi_{a,\theta,\tau}^* \rangle \right)$$

Now,

$$\begin{split} \langle f(\lambda\varsigma), \psi_{a,\theta,\tau} \rangle &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(\lambda\varsigma) \psi_{a,\theta,\tau} d\varsigma \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(\lambda\varsigma) a^{-1} \psi^* (M_{a,\theta}^{-1}(\varsigma - \tau)) d\varsigma \\ &= \frac{a^{-1}}{\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(z) \psi^* (M_{a,\theta}^{-1}(\frac{z}{\lambda} - \tau)) dz \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(z) a^{-1} \psi'^* (M_{a,\theta}^{-1}(z - \lambda\tau)) dz \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda} \langle f, \psi'_{a,\theta,\lambda\tau} \rangle; where \quad \psi'(\varsigma) = \psi\left(\frac{\varsigma}{\lambda}\right). \end{split}$$

Also,

$$\begin{split} \langle f(\lambda\varsigma), \psi_{a,\theta,\tau}^* \rangle &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(\lambda\varsigma) \psi_{a,\theta,\tau}(\varsigma) d\varsigma \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(\lambda\varsigma) a^{-1} \psi(M_{a,\theta}^{-1}(\varsigma-\tau)) d\varsigma \\ &= \frac{a^{-1}}{\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(z) \psi(M_{a,\theta}^{-1}(\frac{z}{\lambda}-\tau)) dz \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(z) \psi'(M_{a,\theta}^{-1}(z-\lambda\tau)) dz \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda} \langle f, \psi_{a,\theta,\lambda\tau}'^* \rangle, \text{ where } \psi'(\varsigma) = \psi\left(\frac{\varsigma}{\lambda}\right). \end{split}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\mathbf{B}_{\psi}f(\lambda\varsigma)(a,\theta,\tau) = \left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\langle f,\psi_{a,\theta,\lambda\tau}'\rangle,\frac{1}{\lambda}\langle f,\psi_{a,\theta,\lambda\tau}'\rangle\right) \\
= \frac{1}{\lambda}\mathbf{B}_{\psi'}f(a,\theta,\tau), \text{ where } \psi'(\varsigma) = \psi\left(\frac{\varsigma}{\lambda}\right). \quad \Box$$

5. Reflection: $\mathbf{B}_{\psi}f(-\varsigma)(a,\theta,\tau) = -\mathbf{B}_{\check{\psi}}f(a,\theta,-\tau)$ Proof.

$$\mathbf{B}_{\psi}f(-\varsigma)(a,\theta,\tau) = \left(\langle f(-\varsigma), \psi_{a,\theta,\tau}(\varsigma) \rangle, \langle f(-\varsigma), \psi_{a,\theta,\tau}^*(\varsigma) \rangle\right)$$

Now

$$\begin{split} \langle f(-\varsigma), \psi_{a,\theta,\tau}(\varsigma) \rangle &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(-\varsigma) \psi_{a,\theta,\tau}^* d\varsigma \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(-\varsigma) a^{-1} \psi^* (M_{a,\theta}^{-1}(\varsigma-\tau)) d\varsigma \\ &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(z) a^{-1} \psi^* (M_{a,\theta}^{-1}(-z-\tau)) dz \\ &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(z) \check{\psi}_{a,\theta,\tau}^*(z) dz \\ &= \langle f, \check{\psi}_{a,\theta,\tau} \rangle, \ where \quad \check{\psi}(\varsigma) = \psi(-\varsigma). \end{split}$$

Also,

$$\begin{split} \langle f(-\varsigma), \psi_{a,\theta,\tau}^* \rangle &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(-\varsigma) \psi_{a,\theta,\tau} d\varsigma \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(-\varsigma) a^{-1} \psi(M_{a,\theta}^{-1}(\varsigma-\tau)) d\varsigma \\ &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(z) a^{-1} \check{\psi}(M_{a,\theta}^{-1}(z+\tau)) dz \\ &= -\langle f, \check{\psi}^*_{a,\theta,\tau} \rangle. \end{split}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{B}_{\psi}f(-\varsigma)(a,\theta,\tau) &= \left(-\langle f,\check{\psi^*}_{a,\theta,\tau}\rangle,-\langle f,\check{\psi^*}_{a,\theta,\tau}\rangle\right) \\ &= -\mathbf{B}_{\check{\psi}}f(a,\theta,-\tau). \qquad \Box \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.3. Let $\mathbf{B}_{\psi}f(a,\theta,\tau)$ denotes the boostlet transform of the square integrable function f, then

$$\mathscr{F}\{\mathbf{B}_{\psi}f(a,\theta,\tau)\}(\xi) = \left(a\hat{f}(\xi)\hat{\psi}^*(M_{a,\theta}^T\xi), a\hat{f}(\xi)\check{\psi}(M_{a,\theta}^T\xi)\right), \tag{4}$$

where \mathscr{F} denotes the Fourier transform of spatio-temporal function $f(\varsigma)$ given by

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(\varsigma) e^{-2\pi \iota \xi^T \varsigma} d\varsigma$$

Proof. For any function $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, we have

$$\mathscr{F}\{\mathbf{B}_{\psi}f(a,\theta,\tau)\}(\xi) = \left(\mathscr{F}\langle f,\psi_{a,\theta,\tau}\rangle,\mathscr{F}\langle f,\psi_{a,\theta,\tau}^*\rangle\right).$$

The Fourier transform of $\psi_{a,\theta,\tau}$ is given by

$$\hat{\psi}_{a,\theta,\tau}(\xi) = a\hat{\psi}(M_{a,\theta}^T\xi)e^{-2\pi\iota\tau^T\xi}.$$

We have

$$\begin{split} \langle f, \psi_{a,\theta,\tau} \rangle &= \langle \hat{f}, \hat{\psi}_{a,\theta,\tau} \rangle \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \hat{f}(\xi) \hat{\psi}^*_{a,\theta,\tau}(\xi) d\xi \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \hat{f}(\xi) a e^{2\pi \iota \tau^T \xi} \hat{\psi}^*(M^T_{a,\theta} \xi) d\xi \\ &= \mathscr{F}^{-1} \{ a \hat{f}(\xi) \hat{\psi}^*(M^T_{a,\theta} \xi) \} \\ \mathscr{F} \langle f, \psi \rangle &= a \hat{f}(\xi) \hat{\psi}^*(M^T_{a,\theta} \xi). \end{split}$$

Also

$$\begin{split} \langle f, \psi^*_{a,\theta,\tau} \rangle &= \langle \hat{f}(\xi), \widehat{\psi^*_{a,\theta,\tau}}(\xi) \rangle \\ &= \langle \hat{f}(\xi), \widehat{\psi^*_{a,\theta,\tau}}(-\xi) \rangle \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \hat{f}(\xi) \widehat{\psi}_{a,\theta,\tau}(-\xi) d\xi \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \hat{f}(\xi) a e^{2\pi \iota \tau^T \xi} \widehat{\psi}(-M^T_{a,\theta}\xi) d\xi \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \hat{f}(\xi) a \check{\psi}(M^T_{A,\theta}\xi) \xi) e^{2\pi \iota \tau^T \xi} d\xi \\ &= \mathscr{F}^{-1} \{ a \hat{f}(\xi) \check{\psi}(M^T_{a,\theta}\xi) \} \\ \mathscr{F} \langle f, \psi^*_{a,\theta,\tau} \rangle &= a \hat{f}(\xi) \check{\psi}(M^T_{a,\theta}\xi). \end{split}$$

Hence,

$$\mathscr{F}\{\mathbf{B}_{\psi}f(a,\theta,\tau)\}(\xi) = \left(a\hat{f}^{*}(\xi)\hat{\psi}(M_{a,\theta}^{T}\xi), a\check{f}(\xi)\hat{\psi}(M_{a,\theta}^{T}\xi)\right). \ \Box$$

3. Uncertainity Principles Associated with Boostlet Transform

The uncertainity principle also known as duration-bandwidth principle is an elementary principle of harmonic analysis, which states that a signal which is very concentrated in time has its Fourier transform outspread and vice versa. In otherwords an arbitrary function cannot be compact both in time and frequency [13, 14, 23, 17]. In this section we shall present some uncertainity principles including Heisenberg's uncertainity principle, Lograthimic, Pitt's and Nazarov's uncertainity principles for the boostlet transform.

Theorem 3.1. If $\mathbf{B}_{\psi}f(a,\theta,\tau)$ denotes the boostlet transform of any non trivial function $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with respect to the admissible boostlet $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ then the following uncertainity inequality holds:

$$\left\{\int_{\mathbb{B}} |\tau|^2 |\mathbf{B}_{\psi} f(a,\theta,\tau)|^2 \frac{dad\theta d\tau}{a^3}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\xi|^2 |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \ge \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\Delta}||f||^2.$$
(5)

Proof. It is well known that for any non trival function $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, the classical Heisenberg-Pauli-Weyl inequality in time and frequency domain is given by [9]

$$\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |t|^2 |f(t)|^2 dt\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\xi|^2 |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \ge \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |f(t)|^2 dt.$$

Since $\mathbf{B}_{\psi}f(a,\theta,\tau) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ whenever $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, therefore replacing f by $\mathbf{B}_{\psi}f(a,\theta,\tau)$ in above equality to obtain

$$\left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\tau|^2 |\mathbf{B}_{\psi} f(a,\theta,\tau)|^2 d\tau \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\xi|^2 |\mathscr{F}\{\mathbf{B}_{\psi} f(a,\theta,\tau)\}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \ge \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\mathbf{B}_{\psi} f(a,\theta,\tau)|^2 d\tau \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} d\tau$$

Now integrate the above inequality w.r.t the measure $\frac{dad\theta}{a^3}$ so that

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{+}} \left\{ \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} |\tau|^{2} |\mathbf{B}_{\psi} f(a,\theta,\tau)|^{2} d\tau \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} |\xi|^{2} |\mathscr{F}\{\mathbf{B}_{\psi} f(a,\theta,\tau)(\xi)|^{2} d\xi \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\} \frac{dad\theta}{a^{3}} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{+}} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} |\mathbf{B}_{\psi} f(a,\theta,\tau)|^{2} d\tau \right\} \frac{dad\theta}{a^{3}}. \end{split}$$

Using Cauchy Schwartz inequality and Fubini's theorem, we have

$$\begin{split} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times R \times R^+} |\tau|^2 |\mathbf{B}_{\psi} f(a,\theta,\tau)|^2 \frac{dad\theta d\tau}{a^3} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times R \times R^+} |\xi|^2 |\mathscr{F}\{\mathbf{B}_{\psi} f(a,\theta,\tau)\}(\xi)|^2 \frac{dad\theta d\xi}{a^3} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times R \times R^+} |\mathbf{B}_{\psi} f(a,\theta,\tau)|^2 \frac{da, d\theta, d\tau}{a^3}. \end{split}$$

$$\left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times R \times R^+} |\tau|^2 |\mathbf{B}_{\psi} f(a,\theta,\tau)|^2 \frac{dad\theta d\tau}{a^3} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times R \times R^+} |\xi|^2 |\mathscr{F}\{\mathbf{B}_{\psi} f(a,\theta,\tau)\}(\xi)|^2 \frac{dad\theta d\xi}{a^3} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq \frac{1}{2} \Delta ||f||^2.$$

The second integral on L.H.S of above inequality can be evaluated as

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2} \times R \times R^{+}} |\xi|^{2} |\mathscr{F} \{ \mathbf{B}_{\psi} f(a, \theta, \tau) \}(\xi)|^{2} \frac{dad\theta d\xi}{a^{3}} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2} \times R \times R^{+}} |\xi|^{2} \Big\{ |a|^{2} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^{2} |\hat{\psi}^{*}(M_{a,\theta}^{T}\xi)|^{2} + |a|^{2} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^{2} |\check{\psi}(M_{a,\theta}^{T}\xi)|^{2} \Big\} \frac{dad\theta d\xi}{a^{3}} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2} \times R \times R^{+}} |\xi|^{2} |a|^{2} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^{2} \{ |\hat{\psi}^{*}(M_{a,\theta}^{T}\xi)|^{2} + |\check{\psi}(M_{a,\theta}^{T}(\xi))|^{2} \} \frac{dad\theta d\tau}{a^{3}} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} |\xi|^{2} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^{2} d\xi \int_{\mathbb{R} \times R^{+}} \{ |\hat{\psi}^{*}(M_{a,\theta}^{T}\xi)|^{2} + |\check{\psi}(M_{a,\theta}^{T}(\xi))|^{2} |\} \frac{dad\theta}{a} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} |\xi|^{2} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^{2} d\xi \times [\Delta_{\psi}^{*} + \Delta_{\psi}] \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} |\xi|^{2} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^{2} d\xi \times \Delta. \end{split}$$

Using this in above inequality to obtain

$$\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}\times R\times R^{+}} |\tau|^{2} |\mathbf{B}_{\psi}f(a,\theta,\tau)|^{2} \frac{dad\theta d\tau}{a^{3}}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} |\xi|^{2} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^{2} d\xi\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \times \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \ge \frac{1}{2} \Delta ||f||^{2},$$

which implies,

$$\left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times R \times R^+} |\tau|^2 |\mathbf{B}_{\psi} f(a,\theta,\tau)|^2 \frac{dad\theta d\tau}{a^3} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\xi|^2 |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \ge \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\Delta} ||f||^2. \square$$

In the next theorem we shall derive a generalization of theorem 3.1 for the space $L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $1 \le p \le 2$ and $p \ge 2$ as follows.

Theorem 3.2. Let $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ be an admissible boostlet function, then for any non trival function $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, we have

$$\left\{\int_{\mathbb{B}} |\tau \mathbf{B}_{\psi} f(a,\theta,\tau)|^p \frac{dad\theta d\tau}{a^3}\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\xi \hat{f}(\xi)|^p d\xi\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \ge \frac{\sqrt{\Delta}}{2} ||f||^2 \text{ for } 1 \le p \le 2.$$
(6)

(ii)

$$\left\{\int_{\mathbb{B}} |\tau|^p |\mathbf{B}_{\psi}f(a,\theta,\tau)|^2 \frac{dad\theta d\tau}{a^3}\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\xi|^p |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \ge \Delta^{\frac{1}{p}} ||f||^{\frac{4}{p}} \text{ for } p \ge 2$$
(7)

Proof (i) For any non trival function $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ the dispersion in time and frequency satisfies the following inequality [9]

$$\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |tf(t)|^p dt\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\xi\hat{f}(\xi)|^p d\xi\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \ge \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |f(t)|^2 dt.$$

Since $\mathbf{B}_{\psi}f(a,\theta,\tau) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ whenever $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, therefore replacing f by $\mathbf{B}_{\psi}f(a,\theta,\tau)$ in above equality to obtain

$$\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\tau \mathbf{B}_{\psi} f(a,\theta,\tau)|^p d\tau\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\xi \mathscr{F}\{\mathbf{B}_{\psi} f(a,\theta,\tau)\}(\xi)|^p d\xi\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \ge \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\mathbf{B}_{\psi} f(a,\theta,\tau)|^2 d\tau.$$

Integrating w.r.t measure $\frac{dad\theta}{a^3}$ so that

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{+}} \left\{ \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} |\tau \mathbf{B}_{\psi} f(a,\theta,\tau)|^{p} d\tau \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} |\xi \mathscr{F} \{ \mathbf{B}_{\psi} f(a,\theta,\tau) \}(\xi)|^{p} d\xi \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\} \frac{dad\theta}{a^{3}} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{+}} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} |\mathbf{B}_{\psi} f(a,\theta,\tau)|^{2} d\tau \right\} \frac{dad\theta}{a^{3}}. \end{split}$$

Using Cauchy Schwartz inequality and Fubini's theorem we get

$$\begin{split} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times R \times R^+} |\tau \mathbf{B}_{\psi} f(a, \theta, \tau)|^p \frac{dad\theta d\tau}{a^3} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times R \times R^+} |\xi \mathscr{F} \{ \mathbf{B}_{\psi} f(a, \theta, \tau) \}(\xi)|^p \frac{dad\theta d\xi}{a^3} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ & \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times R \times R^+} |\mathbf{B}_{\psi} f(a, \theta, \tau)|^2 \frac{dad\theta d\tau}{a^3}. \end{split}$$

Which implies,

$$\left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times R \times R^+} |\tau \mathbf{B}_{\psi} f(a, \theta, \tau)|^p \frac{dad\theta d\tau}{a^3} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times R \times R^+} |\xi \mathscr{F} \{ \mathbf{B}_{\psi} f(a, \theta, \tau) \}(\xi)|^p \frac{dad\theta d\xi}{a^3} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ \geq \frac{1}{2} \Delta ||f||^2.$$

The second integral on L.H.S of above inequality can be evaluated as

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times R \times R^+} |\xi \mathscr{F} \{ \mathbf{B}_{\psi} f(a, \theta, \tau) \}(\xi)|^p \frac{dad\theta d\xi}{a^3} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times R \times R^+} |\xi|^p |a|^p |\hat{f}(\xi)|^p \{ |\hat{\psi}^*(M^T a, \theta\xi)|^p + |\check{\psi}(M^T a, \theta\xi)|^p \} \frac{da, d\theta d\tau}{a^3}. \end{split}$$

By invoking Fubini theorem we obtain,

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times R \times R^+} |\xi \mathscr{F} \{ \mathbf{B}_{\psi} f(a, \theta, \tau) \}(\xi)|^p \frac{dad\theta d\xi}{a^3} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\xi|^p |\hat{f}(\xi)|^p d\xi \int_{\mathbb{R} \times R^+} |a|^p \{ |\hat{\psi}^*(M^T a, \theta\xi)|^p + |\check{\psi}(M^T a, \theta\xi)|^p \} \frac{da, d\theta d\tau}{a^3} \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\xi|^p |\hat{f}(\xi)|^p d\xi \int_{\mathbb{R} \times R^+} |a|^2 \{ \{ |\hat{\psi}^*(M^T a, \theta\xi)|^2 + |\check{\psi}(M^T a, \theta\xi)| \} \frac{da, d\theta d\tau}{a^3} \}^{\frac{p}{2}}. \end{split}$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times R \times R^+} |\xi \mathscr{F}\{\mathbf{B}_{\psi} f(a,\theta,\tau)\}(\xi)|^p \frac{dad\theta d\xi}{a^3} \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\xi|^p |\hat{f}(\xi)|^p d\xi \times \Delta^{\frac{p}{2}}.$$
(8)

Using (4) and (5) and Cauchy Schwartz inequality to obtain,

$$\begin{split} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2} \times R \times R^{+}} |\tau \mathbf{B}_{\psi} f(a, \theta, \tau)|^{p} \frac{dad\theta d\tau}{a^{3}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} |\xi|^{p} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^{p} d\xi \times \Delta^{\frac{p}{2}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ & \geq \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2} \times R \times R^{+}} |\tau \mathbf{B}_{\psi} f(a, \theta, \tau)|^{p} \frac{dad\theta d\tau}{a^{3}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2} \times R \times R^{+}} |\xi \mathscr{F} \{ \mathbf{B}_{\psi} f(a, \theta, \tau) \}(\xi)|^{p} \frac{dad\theta d\xi}{a^{3}} \\ & \geq \frac{1}{2} \Delta ||f||^{2}. \end{split}$$

Hence,

$$\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times R \times R^+} |\tau \mathbf{B}_{\psi} f(a,\theta,\tau)|^p \frac{dad\theta d\tau}{a^3}\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\xi|^p |\hat{f}(\xi)|^p d\xi \times \Delta^{\frac{p}{2}}\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \ge \frac{\sqrt{\Delta}}{2} ||f||^2.$$

(ii) By the application of Holder's inequality we can write

$$\begin{split} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2} \times R \times R^{+}} |\tau|^{p} |\mathbf{B}_{\psi} f(a, \theta, \tau)|^{2} \frac{dad\theta d\tau}{a^{3}} \right\}^{\frac{2}{p}} \times \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2} \times R \times R^{+}} |\mathbf{B}_{\psi} f(a, \theta, \tau)|^{2} \frac{dad\theta d\tau}{a^{3}} \right\}^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \\ &= \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2} \times R \times R^{+}} \{ |\tau|^{2} |\mathbf{B}_{\psi} f(a, \theta, \tau)|^{\frac{4}{p}} \}^{\frac{p}{2}} \frac{dad\theta d\tau}{a^{3}} \right\}^{\frac{2}{p}} \times \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2} \times R \times R^{+}} \{ |\mathbf{B}_{\psi} f(a, \theta, \tau)|^{2-\frac{4}{p}} \}^{\frac{1-\frac{2}{p}}{1-\frac{p}{p}}} \frac{dad\theta d\tau}{a^{3}} \right\}^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \\ &\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2} \times R \times R^{+}} |\tau|^{2} |\mathbf{B}_{\psi} f(a, \theta, \tau)|^{\frac{4}{p}} |\mathbf{B}_{\psi} f(a, \theta, \tau)|^{2-\frac{4}{p}} \frac{dad\theta d\tau}{a^{3}} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2} \times R \times R^{+}} |\tau|^{2} |\mathbf{B}_{\psi} f(a, \theta, \tau)|^{2} \frac{dad\theta d\tau}{a^{3}}. \end{split}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}\times R\times R^{+}}|\tau|^{p}|\mathbf{B}_{\psi}f(a,\theta,\tau)|^{2}\frac{dad\theta d\tau}{a^{3}}\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \geq \frac{\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}\times R\times R^{+}}|\tau|^{2}|\mathbf{B}_{\psi}f(a,\theta,\tau)|^{2}\frac{dad\theta d\tau}{a^{3}}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}\times R\times R^{+}}|\mathbf{B}_{\psi}f(a,\theta,\tau)|^{2}\frac{dad\theta d\tau}{a^{3}}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}}}.$$
 (9)

In the similar lines as above and by virtue by Plancheral's formula, we have

$$\left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} |\xi|^{p} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^{2} d\xi \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \geq \frac{\left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} |\xi|^{2} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^{2} d\xi \right\}^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}}}{\left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} |\xi|^{2} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^{2} d\xi \right\}^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}}} \qquad (10)$$

$$= \frac{\left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} |\xi|^{2} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^{2} d\xi \right\}^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}}}{\left\{ \Delta \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^{2} d\xi \right\}^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}}} \qquad (11)$$

$$= \Delta^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}} \times \frac{\left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} |\xi|^{2} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^{2} d\xi \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2} \times R \times R^{+}} |\mathbf{B}_{\psi} f(a, \theta, \tau)|^{2} \frac{dad\theta d\tau}{a^{3}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}}}.$$

$$(10)$$

Multiplying inequalities (9) and (12) to obtain

$$\begin{split} & \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2} \times R \times R^{+}} |\tau|^{p} |\mathbf{B}_{\psi} f(a, \theta, \tau)|^{2} \frac{dad\theta d\tau}{a^{3}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \times \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} |\xi|^{p} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^{2} d\xi \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ & \geq \frac{\left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2} \times R \times R^{+}} |\tau|^{2} |\mathbf{B}_{\psi} f(a, \theta, \tau)|^{2} \frac{dad\theta d\tau}{a^{3}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}} \times \Delta^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}} \times \frac{\left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2} \times R \times R^{+}} |\mathbf{B}_{\psi} f(a, \theta, \tau)|^{2} \frac{dad\theta d\tau}{a^{3}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}}}{\left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2} \times R \times R^{+}} |\mathbf{B}_{\psi} f(a, \theta, \tau)|^{2} \frac{dad\theta d\tau}{a^{3}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}}} \times \Delta^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}} \times \frac{\left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2} \times R \times R^{+}} |\mathbf{B}_{\psi} f(a, \theta, \tau)|^{2} \frac{dad\theta d\tau}{a^{3}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}}}{\left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2} \times R \times R^{+}} |\tau|^{2} |\mathbf{B}_{\psi} f(a, \theta, \tau)|^{2} \frac{dad\theta d\tau}{a^{3}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \times \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} |\xi|^{2} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^{2} d\xi \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}}{\left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2} \times R \times R^{+}} |\mathbf{B}_{\psi} f(a, \theta, \tau)|^{2} \frac{dad\theta d\tau}{a^{3}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}}} \\ & \geq \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Delta^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}} \times \sqrt{\Delta} ||f||^{2}}{\Delta ||f||^{2}}^{1 - \frac{2}{p}}} \\ & = \frac{1}{2} \Delta^{\frac{1}{p}} ||f||^{\frac{p}{p}}. \quad \Box \end{split}$$

Remark 3.2. If we put p = 2 into inequalities 6 and 7 theorem (4.2) transforms into the classical Heisenberg-type uncertainity principle for the boostlet transform, that is

$$\left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times R \times R^+} |\tau|^2 |\mathbf{B}_{\psi} f(a,\theta,\tau)|^2 \frac{dad\theta d\tau}{a^3} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\xi|^2 |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \ge \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\Delta} ||f||^2$$

Before establishing the logarithmic uncertainty inequality, we need to define the Schwartz space in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ denoted by $\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, as the domain of functions for which the inequality holds. The elements of this space are infinitely differentiable functions that, along with all their derivatives, decay faster than any inverse power of |x| as $|x| \to \infty$ and can be defined as:

$$\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{R}^2) = \left\{ f \in \mathbb{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2) : \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^2} \left| x^{\alpha} \partial_x^{\beta} f(x) \right| \right\}$$

where $\mathbb{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is the class of infinitely differentiable functions, α, β denote multi-indices and ∂_x denotes the usual partial differential operator. Now, we are in a position to derive the logarithmic uncertainty principle for the continuous boostlet transform. **Theorem 3.3.** (Logarithmic Inequality) Let $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ be an admissible boostlet and $\mathbf{B}_{\psi}f(a, \theta, \tau)$ denotes the boostlet transform of any non-trivial function $f \in \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{R}^2) \subseteq L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, then the following inequality holds:

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}} \ln |\tau| |\mathbf{B}_{\psi} f(a,\theta,\tau)|^2 \frac{dad\theta d\tau}{a^3} + \Delta \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \ln |\xi| |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \ge \Delta ||f||^2 \left[\frac{\Gamma'\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)} - \ln \pi \right].$$

Proof. For a non trivial function $f \in \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, the classical Logarithmic inequality in time and frequency domain is given by [2]

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \ln|t| |f(t)|^2 dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \ln|\xi| |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \ge \left[\frac{\Gamma'\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)} - \ln\pi\right] \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |f(t)|^2 dt$$

Replacing f by $\mathbf{B}_{\psi}f(a,\theta,\tau)$ so that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \ln |\tau| |\mathbf{B}_{\psi} f(a, \theta, \tau)|^2 d\tau + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \ln |\xi| |\mathscr{F} \{\mathbf{B}_{\psi} f(a, \theta, \tau)\}(\xi)|^2 d\xi$$
$$\geq \left[\frac{\Gamma'(\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} - \ln \pi \right] \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\mathbf{B}_{\psi} f(a, \theta, \tau)|^2 d\tau$$

Integrating w.r.t the measure $\frac{dad\theta}{a^3}$ and using Planchelar's formula ,we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}\times R^{+}} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \ln|\tau| |\mathbf{B}_{\psi}f(a,\theta,\tau)|^{2} d\tau + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \ln|\xi| |\mathscr{F}\{\mathbf{B}_{\psi}f(a,\theta,\tau)\}(\xi)|^{2} d\xi \right\} \frac{dad\theta}{a^{3}}$$
$$\geq \left[\frac{\Gamma'(\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} - \ln\pi \right] \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}\times R\times R^{+}} |\mathbf{B}_{\psi}f(a,\theta,\tau)|^{2} d\tau$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2} \times R \times R^{+}} \ln |\tau| |\mathbf{B}_{\psi} f(a, \theta, \tau)|^{2} \frac{dad\theta d\tau}{a^{3}} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2} \times R \times R^{+}} \ln |\xi| |\mathscr{F} \{\mathbf{B}_{\psi} f(a, \theta, \tau)\}(\xi)|^{2} \frac{dad\theta d\tau}{a^{3}} \tag{13}$$

$$\geq \left[\frac{\Gamma'(\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} - \ln \pi \right] \Delta ||f||^{2} \tag{14}$$

The second integral on L.H.S of above inequality can be evaluated as

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times R \times R^+} \ln |\xi| |\mathscr{F} \{ \mathbf{B}_{\psi} f(a, \theta, \tau) \}(\xi) |^2 \frac{dad\theta d\tau}{a^3} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times R \times R^+} \ln |\xi| |a|^2 |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 \Big[|\hat{\psi}^* (M_{a,\theta}^T \xi)|^2 + |\check{\psi}(M_{a,\theta}^T \xi)|^2 \Big] \frac{dad\theta d\tau}{a^3} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \ln |\xi| |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \int_{\mathbb{R} \times R^+} \Big[|\hat{\psi}^* (M_{a,\theta}^T \xi)|^2 + |\check{\psi}(M_{a,\theta}^T \xi)|^2 \Big] \frac{dad\theta}{a} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \ln |\xi| |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \Big[\Delta_{\psi}^* (\xi) + \Delta_{\psi}(\xi) \Big] \\ &= \Delta \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \ln |\xi| |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \end{split}$$

Using this in inequality (14) we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}} \ln |\tau| |\mathbf{B}_{\psi} f(a,\theta,\tau)|^2 \frac{dad\theta d\tau}{a^3} + \Delta \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \ln |\xi| |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \ge \Delta ||f||^2 \left[\frac{\Gamma'\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)} - \ln \pi \right]. \quad \Box$$

This completes the proof.

Theorem 3.4. (Pitt's Inequality) Let $f \in \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ be such that $\mathbf{B}_{\psi}f(a, \theta, \tau) \in \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, where ψ is an admissible boostlet. Then the following inequality holds:

$$\Delta \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\xi|^{-\lambda} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \le C_\lambda \int_{\mathbb{B}} |\tau|^\lambda |\mathbf{B}_{\psi} f(a,\theta,\tau)|^2 \frac{dad\theta d\tau}{a^3},$$

Where,

$$C_{\lambda} = \pi^{\lambda} \left[\Gamma\left(\frac{2-\lambda}{4}\right) / \Gamma\left(\frac{2+\lambda}{4}\right) \right]^{2}.$$

Proof. For any $f \in \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{R}^2) \subseteq L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ the classical Pitt's inequality is given as [2]

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\xi|^{-\lambda} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \le C_\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x|^\lambda |f(x)|^2 dx; 0 \le \lambda < 2,$$

where,

$$C_{\lambda} = \pi^{\lambda} \left[\Gamma\left(\frac{2-\lambda}{4}\right) / \Gamma\left(\frac{2+\lambda}{4}\right) \right]^{2}.$$

Replacing f by $\mathbf{B}_{\psi}f(a,\theta,\tau)$ so that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\xi|^{-\lambda} |\mathscr{F}\{\mathbf{B}_{\psi}f(a,\theta,\tau)\}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \le C_{\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\tau|^{\lambda} |\mathbf{B}_{\psi}f(a,\theta,\tau)|^2 d\tau.$$

Integrating w.r.t the measure $\frac{dad\theta}{a^3}$ and using Fubini's theorem, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times R \times R^+} |\xi|^{-\lambda} |\mathscr{F}\{\mathbf{B}_{\psi}f(a,\theta,\tau)\}(\xi)|^2 \frac{dad\theta d\xi}{a^3}$$
(15)

$$\leq C_{\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times R \times R^+} |\tau|^{\lambda} |\mathbf{B}_{\psi} f(a, \theta, \tau)|^2 \frac{dad\theta d\tau}{a^3}$$
(16)

The integral on L.H.S of above inequality can be evaluated as

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times R \times R^+} |\xi|^{-\lambda} |\mathscr{F} \{ \mathbf{B}_{\psi} f(a, \theta, \tau) \}(\xi) |^2 \frac{dad\theta d\xi}{a^3} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times R \times R^+} |\xi|^{-\lambda} |a|^2 |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 \Big[|\hat{\psi}^*(M_{a,\theta}^T \xi)|^2 + |\check{\psi}(M_{a,\theta}^T \xi)|^2 \Big] \frac{dad\theta d\tau}{a^3} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\xi|^{-\lambda} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \int_{\mathbb{R} \times R^+} \Big[|\hat{\psi}^*(M_{a,\theta}^T \xi)|^2 + |\check{\psi}(M_{a,\theta}^T \xi)|^2 \Big] \frac{dad\theta}{a} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\xi|^{-\lambda} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \Big[\Delta_{\psi}^*(\xi) + \Delta_{\psi}(\xi) \Big] \\ &= \Delta \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\xi|^{-\lambda} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \end{split}$$

Using this in (16), we get

$$\Delta \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\xi|^{-\lambda} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \le C_\lambda \int_{\mathbb{B}} |\tau|^\lambda |\mathbf{B}_{\psi} f(a,\theta,\tau)|^2 \frac{dad\theta d\tau}{a^3}.$$

Thus the proof is completed. \Box

Theorem 3.5. (Nazarov's Inequality) Let $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ be an admissible boostlet and E_1, E_2 be two measurable finite subsets of \mathbb{R}^2 . Then for every function $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ such that $\mathbf{B}_{\psi}f(a, \theta, \tau) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, we have

$$\Delta ||f||^2 \le K e^{K(E_1, E_2)} \left\{ \Delta ||f||^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times E_1} |\mathbf{B}_{\psi} f(a, \theta, \tau)|^2 \frac{dad\theta d\tau}{a^3} \right\}$$
(17)

$$+\Delta \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\hat{f}(\xi)^2 - \Delta \int_{E_2} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \bigg\},$$
 (18)

where,

$$Ke^{K(E_1,E_2)} = K\min\left(|E_1||E_2|, |E_1|^{\frac{1}{n}}w(E_2), w(E_1)|E_2|\right);$$

 $w(E_i), i = 1, 2$ is the mean width of E_i and $|E_i|, i = 1, 2$ is the Lebesgue measure of E_i

Proof. From Nazarov's uncertainity principle for the FT we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |f(t)|^2 dt \le K e^{K(E_1, E_2)} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus E_1} |f(t)|^2 dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus E_2} |\hat{f}(\xi)^2 d\xi \right\}$$
(19)

Where

$$Ke^{K(E_1,E_2)} = Kmin(|E_1||E_2|, |E_1|^{\frac{1}{n}}w(E_2), w(E_1)|E_2|),$$

 $w(E_i), i = 1, 2$ is the mean width of E_i and $|E_i|, i = 1, 2$ is the Lebesgue measure of E_i . Replacing f by $\mathbf{B}_{\psi}f(a, \theta, \tau)$ we see that

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\mathbf{B}_{\psi} f(a,\theta,\tau)|^2 dt &\leq K e^{K(E_1,E_2)} \Biggl\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus E_1} |\mathbf{B}_{\psi} f(a,\theta,\tau)|^2 dt \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus E_2} |\mathscr{F} \{ \mathbf{B}_{\psi} f(a,\theta,\tau) \} (\xi)|^2 d\xi \Biggr\}. \end{split}$$

Integrating w.r.t the measure $\frac{dad\theta}{a^3}$ and using Plancheral's formula ,we find that

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^{+}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} |\mathbf{B}_{\psi}f(a,\theta,\tau)|^{2} d\tau \frac{dad\theta}{a^{3}} &\leq Ke^{K(E_{1},E_{2})} \bigg\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^{+}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}\setminus E_{1}} |\mathbf{B}_{\psi}f(a,\theta,\tau)|^{2} dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}\setminus E_{2}} |\mathscr{F}\{\mathbf{B}_{\psi}f(a,\theta,\tau)\}(\xi)|^{2} d\xi \right) \frac{dad\theta}{a^{3}} \bigg\}, \end{split}$$

which implies,

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^{+}}|\mathbf{B}_{\psi}f(a,\theta,\tau)|^{2}\frac{dad\theta d\tau}{a^{3}}\\ &\leq Ke^{K(E_{1},E_{2})}\Bigg\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^{+}}|\mathbf{B}_{\psi}f(a,\theta,\tau)|^{2}\frac{dad\theta d\tau}{a^{3}}-\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^{+}\times E_{1}}|\mathbf{B}_{\psi}f(a,\theta,\tau)|^{2}\frac{dad\theta d\tau}{a^{3}}\\ &+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^{+}}|\mathscr{F}\{\mathbf{B}_{\psi}f(a,\theta,\tau)\}(\xi)|^{2}\frac{dad\theta d\xi}{a^{3}}-\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^{+}\times E_{2}}|\mathscr{F}\{\mathbf{B}_{\psi}f(a,\theta,\tau)\}(\xi)|^{2}\frac{dad\theta d\xi}{a^{3}}\Bigg\}. \end{split}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{split} \Delta ||f||^2 &\leq K e^{K(E_1, E_2)} \left\{ \Delta ||f||^2 - \int_{\times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times E_1} |\mathbf{B}_{\psi} f(a, \theta, \tau)|^2 \frac{dad\theta d\tau}{a^3} \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+} |a|^2 |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 \Big[|\hat{\psi}^* (M_{a, \theta}^T \xi)|^2 + |\check{\psi}(M_{a, \theta}^T \xi)|^2 \Big] \frac{dad\theta d\tau}{a^3} \\ &- \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times E_2} |\mathscr{F} \{ \mathbf{B}_{\psi} f(a, \theta, \tau) \} (\xi)|^2 \frac{dad\theta d\xi}{a^3} \right\} \end{split}$$

$$\leq K e^{K(E_1,E_2)} \left\{ \Delta ||f||^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times E_1} |\mathbf{B}_{\psi} f(a,\theta,\tau)|^2 \frac{dad\theta d\tau}{a^3} + \Delta \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right\}$$

$$-\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^+\times E_2} |a|^2 |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 \left[|\hat{\psi}^*(M_{a,\theta}^T\xi)|^2 + |\check{\psi}(M_{a,\theta}^T\xi)|^2 \frac{dad\theta d\xi}{a^3} \right]$$

$$\leq Ke^{K(E_1,E_2)} \left\{ \Delta ||f||^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^+\times E_1} |\mathbf{B}_{\psi}f(a,\theta,\tau)|^2 \frac{dad\theta d\tau}{a^3} + \Delta \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi - \int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^+} \left(|\hat{\psi}^*(M_{a,\theta}^T\xi)|^2 + |\check{\psi}(M_{a,\theta}^T\xi)|^2 \right) \frac{dad\theta}{a} \int_{E_2} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 \right\}$$

Thus we have

$$\Delta ||f||^{2} \leq K e^{K(E_{1},E_{2})} \left\{ \Delta ||f||^{2} - \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{+} \times E_{1}} |\mathbf{B}_{\psi}f(a,\theta,\tau)|^{2} \frac{dad\theta d\tau}{a^{3}} + \Delta \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^{2} d\xi - \Delta \int_{E_{2}} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^{2} d\xi \right\}$$

This completes the proof.

4. Examples

This section presents the outcomes of applying the Boostlet Transform to two distinct function types: a constant function and an exponential function. These functions are analyzed across various ranges and scale parameters to examine how the Boostlet Transform responds to differing input characteristics. Particular attention is given to the influence of the scale parameter a and the temporal parameters τ_x and τ_t . The findings are visualized as 3D surface plots, depicting the real part of the Boostlet Transform for different parameter configurations in each case.

Example 4.1. Let us consider the constant function $f(\varsigma) = C$ and let $\psi(\varsigma) = Ke^{-\frac{\varsigma^2}{2}}, \varsigma =$

 $(x,t)^T \in \mathbb{R}^2, K \in \mathbb{R}^+$. We shall first construct the family $\psi_{a,\theta,\tau}$ as follows :

$$M_{a,\theta}^{-1}(\varsigma - \tau) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{a}\cosh\theta & \frac{1}{a}\sinh\theta\\ \frac{1}{a}\sinh\theta & \frac{1}{a}\cosh\theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x - \tau_x\\ t - \tau_t \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{a}\cosh\theta(x - \tau_x) & \frac{1}{a}\sinh\theta(t - \tau_t)\\ \frac{1}{a}\sinh\theta(x - \tau_x) & \frac{1}{a}\cosh\theta(t - \tau_t) \end{pmatrix}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\psi_{a,\theta,\tau}(\varsigma) = a^{-1}\psi(M_{a,\theta}^{-1}(\varsigma-\tau))$$

= $a^{-1}K\exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2a^2}\left(\cosh\theta(x-\tau_x)+\sinh\theta(t-\tau_t)\right)^2+\left(\sinh\theta(x-\tau_x)+\cosh\theta(t-\tau_t)\right)^2\right\}.$

For the ease of computation we shall compute the boostlet transform of constant function $f(\varsigma) = C$ with respect to the window function $\psi(\varsigma) = Ke^{-\frac{\varsigma^2}{2}}$ about an orientation $\theta = 0$ as follows:

$$\mathbf{B}_{\psi}f(a,\theta,\tau) = \left(\langle f, \psi_{a,\theta,\tau} \rangle, \langle f, \psi_{a,\theta,\tau}^* \rangle\right).$$

Now, we have

$$\langle f, \psi_{a,\theta,\tau} \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(\varsigma) \psi_{a,\theta,\tau}^* d\varsigma = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} C a^{-1} K \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2a^2} \left((x-\tau_x)^2 + (t-\tau_t)^2\right)\right\} dx dt = K a^{-1} C \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2a^2} (x-\tau_x)^2\right\} dx \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2a^2} (t-\tau_t)^2\right\} dt$$

On putting $\frac{1}{2a^2}(x-\tau_x)^2 = z$ so that $dx = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}az^{-\frac{1}{2}}dz$, this gives

$$\langle f, \psi_{a,\theta,\tau} \rangle = Ka^{-1}C \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-z} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} az^{-\frac{1}{2}} dz \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-z} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} az^{-\frac{1}{2}} dz$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} Ka^{-1}Ca^{2}\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$$

$$= KaC\frac{\pi}{2}.$$

Similarly we have

$$\langle f, \psi^*_{a,\theta,\tau} \rangle = a K C \frac{\pi}{2}, \ at \ \theta = 0$$

Therefore we get

$$\mathbf{B}_{\psi}f(a,0,\tau) = \left(aKC\frac{\pi}{2}, aKC\frac{\pi}{2}\right). \quad \Box$$

Example 4.2. We now consider a function $f(\varsigma) = e^{|\varsigma|^2}$ and the window function $\psi(\varsigma)$ same as in example 4.1. Then the boostlet transform of the function $f(\varsigma) = e^{|\varsigma|^2}$ at $\theta = 0$ is calculated as follows :

$$\mathbf{B}_{\psi}f(a,0,\tau) = \left(\langle f, \psi_{a,0,\tau} \rangle, \langle f, \psi_{a,0,\tau}^* \rangle\right),\,$$

We have

$$\begin{split} \langle f, \psi_{a,0,\tau} \rangle &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(\varsigma) \psi_{a,0,\tau}^* d\varsigma \\ &= a^{-1} K \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \exp\left\{x^2 + t^2\right\} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2a^2} \left((x - \tau_x)^2 + (t - \tau_t)^2\right)\right\} dx dt \\ &= a^{-1} K \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp\left\{x^2 - \frac{1}{2a^2} (x - \tau_x)^2\right\} dx \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp\left\{t^2 - \frac{1}{2a^2} (t - \tau_t)^2\right\} dt \\ &= a^{-1} K \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp\left\{\frac{(2a^2 - 1)}{2a^2} x^2 + \frac{\tau_x}{a^2} x - \frac{\tau_x^2}{a^2}\right\} dx \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp\left\{\frac{(2a^2 - 1)}{2a^2} t^2 + \frac{\tau_x}{a^2} t - \frac{\tau_t^2}{a^2}\right\} dt \\ &= a^{-1} K \exp\left\{-\frac{\tau_x^2}{2a^2} - \frac{\tau_t^2}{2a^2}\right\} \int_{\mathbb{R}} exp\left\{\frac{(2a^2 - 1)}{2a^2} x^2 + \frac{\tau_x}{a^2} x\right\} dx \int_{\mathbb{R}} exp\left\{\frac{(2a^2 - 1)}{2a^2} t^2 + \frac{\tau_x}{a^2} t\right\} dt \\ &= \frac{aK}{2a^2 - 1} \exp\left\{-\frac{\tau_x^2 + \tau_t^2}{2a^2}\right\} \exp\left\{\frac{\tau_x^2}{2a^2(2a^2 - 1)} + \frac{\tau_x^2}{2a^2(2a^2 - 1)}\right\} \end{split}$$

Similarly, we can get

$$\langle f, \psi_{a,0,\tau}^* \rangle = \frac{aK}{2a^2 - 1} \exp\left\{-\frac{\tau_x^2 + \tau_t^2}{2a^2}\right\} \exp\left\{\frac{\tau_x^2}{2a^2(2a^2 - 1)} + \frac{\tau_x^2}{2a^2(2a^2 - 1)}\right\}$$

Therefore we get

$$\mathbf{B}_{\psi}f(a,0,\tau) = \left(\frac{aK}{2a^2 - 1}\exp\left\{-\frac{\tau_x^2 + \tau_t^2}{2a^2}\right\}\exp\left\{\frac{\tau_x^2}{2a^2(2a^2 - 1)} + \frac{\tau_x^2}{2a^2(2a^2 - 1)}\right\},\\\\\frac{aK}{2a^2 - 1}\exp\left\{-\frac{\tau_x^2 + \tau_t^2}{2a^2}\right\}\exp\left\{\frac{\tau_x^2}{2a^2(2a^2 - 1)} + \frac{\tau_x^2}{2a^2(2a^2 - 1)}\right\}\right).$$



Figure 1: (a) Constant Function (Left), (b) Exponential Function (Right). Parameters used: a = 3, $\theta = 0$, K = 1, C = 1, and $\tau_x, \tau_t \in [-9, 5]$.



Figure 2: (a) Constant Function (Left), (b) Exponential Function (Right). Parameters used: a = 7, $\theta = 0$, K = 1, C = 1, and $\tau_x, \tau_t \in [-9, 5]$.



Figure 3: (a) Constant Function (Left), (b) Exponential Function (Right). Parameters used: a = -5, $\theta = 0$, K = 1, C = 1, and $\tau_x, \tau_t \in [-3, 7]$.



Figure 4: (a) Constant Function (Left), (b) Exponential Function (Right). Parameters used: a = -9, $\theta = 0, K = 1, C = 1$, and $\tau_x, \tau_t \in [-7, 7]$.

The presented figures clearly illustrate the Boostlet Transform's response to different input functions and varying parameter values. When applied to a constant function, the transform yields flat, uniform surfaces, reflecting the absence of variation under such conditions. On the other hand, the exponential function generates surfaces with more intricate variations, exhibiting either smooth decay or sharp transitions depending on the value of the scale parameter a.

As the scale parameter a is adjusted—either increased or decreased—the graphs exhibit changes in the smoothness of decay, the localization of the transform, and the mapping of the input function's variations in the transformed space. These findings underscore the significance of selecting parameters carefully when employing the Boostlet

Transform, particularly in applications such as signal processing, where the characteristics of functions may vary across time or space.

5. Potential Applications

The Boostlet Transform offers a highly adaptable framework for time-frequency analysis, making it particularly well-suited for applications where signals exhibit time-varying, transient, or non-stationary behaviors. By providing flexible time-frequency resolution, the Boostlet Transform is capable of optimizing the analysis of complex signals with varying spectral content. Below are several key potential applications where the Boostlet Transform can be effectively utilized:

5.1. Radar and Sonar Systems

In radar and sonar signal processing, signals often undergo rapid frequency modulations, Doppler shifts, and transient behaviors due to environmental factors, moving targets, or changes in signal propagation. The Boostlet Transform can provide high-resolution time-frequency representations of these modulated signals, enabling improved detection, tracking, and classification of targets. Its ability to adapt the time-frequency resolution based on the signal's local characteristics makes it particularly valuable for analyzing chirp signals, frequency-hopping radar, and other systems where frequency changes over time.

5.2. Communications Systems

In modern communication systems, especially in frequency-hopping and spread-spectrum communications, signals often change frequency in a non-linear and time-varying manner. The Boostlet Transform is well-suited to track these variations with improved precision compared to traditional methods like the Short-Time Fourier Transform (STFT). It can be used for analyzing signals in systems such as OFDM (Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing), CDMA (Code Division Multiple Access), and adaptive modulation schemes, where the frequency content dynamically shifts in response to changing channel conditions. This enables better optimization of channel usage and improved detection and decoding of modulated signals.

5.3. Speech and Audio Processing

Speech and audio signals are highly non-stationary, with rapidly changing frequency content during phoneme transitions or musical note changes. The Boostlet Transform can be applied to speech recognition, music analysis, and audio compression to achieve better time-frequency resolution during transient events, such as plosives or musical articulation. Its ability to adapt to the local frequency characteristics of a signal allows for more accurate representation of these non-stationary features, which is critical in improving the performance of speech-to-text systems, sound source separation, and other audio processing tasks.

5.4. Seismic and Geophysical Signal Processing

Seismic signals, such as those recorded in oil exploration, earthquake monitoring, and mining, often exhibit non-stationary behavior, with abrupt changes in frequency content corresponding to different geological layers or seismic events. The Boostlet Transform can be employed to analyze seismic data by adapting the time-frequency window to the specific characteristics of the signal, providing improved detection of transient seismic events and enhancing the interpretation of seismic waves. Its flexibility can help identify important features such as fault lines, underground cavities, or other geophysical phenomena that require precise time-frequency analysis.

5.5. Time-Frequency Imaging and Signal Detection

In imaging applications, such as medical ultrasound, optical coherence tomography, and non-destructive testing, time-varying signals are often used to probe materials or tissues. The Boostlet Transform can improve the resolution and accuracy of these imaging systems by providing better time-frequency analysis of the reflected signals, enhancing feature extraction and localization of key structures or anomalies. This leads to better-quality images and more precise diagnostics.

5.6. Non-Stationary Signal Classification

In signal classification, particularly for signals that are non-stationary or exhibit complex temporal behavior, the Boostlet Transform can be used to better capture the evolving characteristics of the signal. For instance, in biometric signal analysis (e.g., gait analysis, heart rate variability), gesture recognition, or motion detection, the Boostlet Transform's adaptability enables more precise feature extraction, leading to improved classification accuracy. This is crucial in applications like security systems, human-computer interaction, and health monitoring.

Declarations

Data Availability As no datasets were created or examined for this research, data sharing is not applicable.

Conflict of Interest The author declares that there are no competing interests.

References

- [1] J. Allen, D. Berkley, Image method for efficiently simulating small-room acoustics, The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 943–950 (1979).
- [2] W. Beckner, Pitt's inequality and the uncertainity principle. *Proc Amer. Maths.* Soc., 123, 1897-1905 (1995).
- [3] A. J. Berkhout, D. de Vries, J. Baan, B. W. van den Oetelaar, A wave field extrapolation approach to acoustical modeling in enclosed spaces, *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 105 (3) 1725–1733 (1999).

- [4] A. J. Berkhout, D. de Vries, J. J. Sonke, Array technology for acoustic wave field analysis in enclosures, *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 102 (5) 2757–2770 (1997).
- [5] T. A. Bubba, G. Easley, T. Heikkilä, D. Labate, J. P. R. Ayllon, Efficient representation of spatio-temporal data using cylindrical shearlets, *Journal of Computational* and Applied Mathematics, 429, 115206 (2023).
- [6] E. J. Candès, D. L. Donoho. Ridgelets: the key to high dimensional intermittency, Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London A, 357 2495–2509 (1999).
- [7] E. J. Candès, and D. L. Donoho. Curvelets– a surprisingly effective nonadaptive representation for objects with edges, *Vanderbilt University Press* (2000).
- [8] E. J. Candès, L. Demanet, The curvelet representation of wave propagators is optimally sparse, *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 58 (11) 1472–1528 (2005). doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/cpa.20078.
- [9] M. G. Cowling, J. F. Price, Bandwidth verses time concentration: the Heisenberg-Pauli-Weyl inequality, SIAM J Math Anal., 15, 151-165 (1994).
- [10] L. Demanet, L. Ying, Wave atoms and time upscaling of wave equations, Nu merische Mathematik 113 (1) (2009) 1–71.
- [11] D. L. Donoho, M. R. Duncan, Digital curvelet transform: strategy, implementation and experiments, SPIE, 4056, 12–29 (2000).
- [12] M.N. Do, M. Vetterli, The contourlet transform: An efficient directional multiresolution image representation, *IEEE Trans. Image Process*, 14, 2091–2106 (2005).
- [13] G. B. Folland and A. Sitaram, The uncertainty principle: a mathematical survey, Journal of Fourier Analysis and Applications, 3, 207–238 (1997).
- [14] G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, G. Polya, Inequalities, Cambridge University Press, 2nd edition, 1951.
- [15] N.G. Kingsbury, The dual-tree complex wavelet transform: a new efficient tool for image restoration and enhancement, In European Signal Processing Conference, 319–322 (1998).
- [16] D. Labate, W-Q. Lim, G. Kutyniok, G. Weiss. Sparse multidimensional representation using shearlets, SPIE, 5914, 254–262 (2005).
- [17] P. J. Loughlin, L. Cohen, The uncertainty principle: global, local, or both?, *IEEE Signal Processing Society— Transactions on Signal Processing*, 52 (5) 1218–1227 (2004).
- [18] S. Mallat, G. Peyre, A review of bandlet methods for geometrical image representation, Numerical Algorithms, 44(3) 205–234 (2007).
- [19] S. Mallat. Geometrical grouplets. Applied and Computational Harmonic Analysis (submitted), (2007).
- [20] A. Oppenheim and A. Willsky, Signals and Systems. Prentice Hall, 1997.
- [21] F. Pinto, M. Vetterli, Space-time-frequency processing of acoustic wave fields: Theory, algorithms, and applications, *Trans. Sig. Proc.*, 58 (9) 4608–4620 (2010). doi:10.1109/TSP.2010.2052045.
- [22] M. R. Schroeder, B. S. Atal, C. Bird, Digital computers in room acoustics, Proc. 4th ICA, Copenhagen M, 21, M21 (1962).

- [23] S. Shinde and V. M. Gadre, An uncertainty principle for real signals in the fractional fourier transform domain, *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, 49 (11), 2545 2548 (2001).
- [24] J.-L. Starck, M. J. Fadili. Numerical issues when using wavelets, In Encyclopedia of Complexity and Systems Science (to appear). Springer, (2007).
- [25] J.-L. Starck, F. Murtagh, A. Bijaoui. Image Processing and Data Analysis: The Multiscale Approach, *Cambridge University Press*, (1998).
- [26] V. Velisavljevic, B. Beferull-Lozano, M. Vetterli, P.L. Dragotti. Directionlets: Anisotropic multi-directional representation with separable filtering, *ITIP*, 15 (7), 1916–1933 (2006).
- [27] E. G. Williams, J. D. Maynard, Holographic imaging without the wavelength resolution limit, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 45 554–557 (1980). doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett. 45.554.
- [28] C. E. Yarman, Sampling for approximating R-limited functions, Sampling Theory in Signal and Image Processing, 19 (1) 1–48(2020).
- [29] E. Zea, M. Laudato, On the representation of wavefronts localized in space-time and wavenumber-frequency domains, JASA Express Letters, 1 (5) 054801 (2021). doi:10.1121/10.0004852.
- [30] E. Zea, M. Laudato, J. Andén, A continuous boostlet transform for acoustic waves in space-time, arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.11362, 2024 - arxiv.org