

April 8, 2025

EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS FOR TIME-DEPENDENT SIGNORINI PROBLEMS IN LINEARISED VISCOELASTICITY

PAOLO PIERSANTI

ABSTRACT. In this paper we establish the existence of solutions for time-dependent linearly viscoelastic bodies confined to a specified half-space. The confinement condition under consideration is of Signorini type, and is given over the boundary of the linearly viscoelastic body under consideration. In agreement with expectations, the variational problem that solutions must satisfy is a system of hyperbolic variational inequalities, and the displacement acceleration is a vector-valued measure in the sense of Dinculeanu.

Keywords. Variational Inequalities · Penalty method · Asymptotic Analysis · Obstacle Problems

MSC 2020. 35L85, 47H07, 74B10.

1. INTRODUCTION

The contact of elastic and viscoelastic materials with rigid obstacles arises in many applicative fields, including biomedical engineering, aerospace design, and materials science. For instance, the motion of aortic heart valves - modelled as linearly elastic shells constrained within a spatial domain to avoid collisions - has inspired mathematical frameworks for obstacle problems in elasticity [24, 28, 42]. Similarly, the confinement of deformable structures like polymer films or viscoelastic membranes in prescribed half-spaces is critical for applications ranging from soft robotics to biomechanical implants [41].

The mechanical deformation of linearly viscoelastic bodies is classically modelled using energy functionals from linearized elasticity theory [8, 24]. Recent advances extend these principles to dynamic and time-dependent settings. For example, Bock and Jarušek analysed unilateral dynamic contact problems for viscoelastic Reissner-Mindlin plates [2], while [4] established existence results for thermoelastic von Kármán plates vibrating against rigid obstacles. A key challenge in such problems lies in reconciling hyperbolic variational inequalities for displacements with memory-dependent constitutive laws, as seen in quasi-static viscoelasticity with self-contact [30, 45]. The latter introduces novel solution concepts distinct from classical frameworks [5, 32], emphasising admissible forces that preclude terminal-phase collisions.

Recent studies highlight unresolved questions in viscoelastic obstacle problems, such as the interplay between memory kernel decay rates and solution regularity [14]. These align with broader inquiries into non-local integro-differential equations governing viscoelastic dynamics, where semigroup methods and spectral analysis remain pivotal [14]. Meanwhile, confinement conditions in linearized elasticity, particularly for three-dimensional bodies in half-spaces, demand sophisticated Sobolev-space analyses to ensure that suitable energy estimates hold [17].

For what concerns the study of the dynamics linearly elastic bodies subjected to remaining confined in a prescribed half-space, we refer to [38]. The existence result in this paper is established by resorting to vector-valued measures in the sense of Dinculeanu. The latter approach requires some strong assumptions.

In this paper, we formulate a three-dimensional Signorini problem for a time-dependent linearly viscoelastic body constrained to a half-space. To the best of our knowledge, the current literature does not address the study of time-dependent obstacle problems for three-dimensional viscoelastic bodies subjected to a confinement condition Signorini-type.

The main novelty of this paper is the derivation of a sound concept of solution for a time-dependent Signorini problem in linearised viscoelasticity which aligns to the classical concept of solution for obstacle problems for elliptic models. The methodology here presented, differently from [45], does not impose any restrictions on the terminal motion of the body. Additionally, our contribution takes into account a confinement condition of Signorini type rather than the Ciarlet-Nečas condition [12] considered in [45]. Besides, differently from [1, 2, 3, 4], the methodology here presented applies to obstacle problems formulated in terms

of vectorial magnitudes. Last, but not least, by contrast with the recent works [13, 38], our methodology has the advantage of applying to bodies which start their motion in contact with the obstacle.

Our approach synthesizes ideas from [45] - where forces appear to avoid terminal-phase contact - and the geometric confinement frameworks of [13]. Critical to establish the existence of solutions for the problem considered here is the compactness for the time-dependent version of the trace operator (Lemma 4.2), which appears to be a novel result to the best of our knowledge. The concept of solution for the governing model is recovered by two layer of approximations: in the first layer, we *relax* the constraint by penalising the classical energy in linearised viscoelasticity, while in the second layer we discretise the *relaxed* problem by Galerkin method. A noticeable feature that we establish is that the displacement acceleration enjoys the regularity of a vector-valued measure in the sense of Dinculeanu [15] or, equivalently, the displacement velocity is a function of bounded variation [31].

Finally, we establish the existence of solutions for a time-dependent obstacle problem for linearly elastic bodies similar to the one considered in [38]. The model we are considering in this paper differs from the model studied in [38] in relation to fact that the contact condition considered here is of Signorini type. Thanks to the new methodology we are going to propose in this paper, we are able to drop the extra assumptions made in [38], thus obtaining a sound formulation for time-dependent linearly elastic solids confined in a half-space.

The paper is divided into four sections, including this one. In section 2 we present the main notation from elasticity and differential geometry. In section 3 we formulate the Signorini problem for a three-dimensional viscoelastic body. In section 4, we recover the existence of solutions for the model under consideration by means of compactness methods.

2. GEOMETRICAL PRELIMINARIES

For details about the classical notions of differential geometry recalled in this section, see, e.g. [9] or [10].

Latin indices, except when they are used for indexing sequences, take their values in the set $\{1, 2, 3\}$, and the summation convention with respect to repeated indices is systematically used in conjunction with this rule.

For comprehensive information on the classical concepts of differential geometry referenced in this section, see, e.g., [9] or [10].

Latin indices, except when utilized for indexing sequences, take values from the set $1, 2, 3$, and the summation convention for repeated indices is consistently applied alongside this rule.

For an open subset $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, the notations $L^2(\Omega)$ and $H^1(\Omega)$ refer to the standard Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces, respectively. The notation $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ indicates the space of functions that are infinitely differentiable on Ω and have compact support within Ω . The notation $|\cdot|_X$ denotes the norm in a vector space X . Spaces of vector-valued functions are represented by boldface letters like for instance $\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)$ or $\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)$. Lebesgue-Bochner spaces (see, e.g., [31]) are represented by the notation $L^p(0, T; X)$, where $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $T > 0$ and X is a Banach space satisfying the Radon-Nikodym property. The notation X^* refers to the dual space of a vector space X , and the notation $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{X^*, X}$ denotes the duality pairing between X^* and X . The notation $\mathcal{C}^0([0, T]; X)$ designates the space of continuous X -valued functions defined over the compact interval $[0, T]$, while the special notation $\langle \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \rangle_{X^*, X}$ denotes the duality pair between $(\mathcal{C}^0([0, T]; X))^*$ and $\mathcal{C}^0([0, T]; X)$. The notation $\mathcal{M}([0, T]; X^*)$ denotes the space of X^* -valued measures defined over the compact interval $[0, T]$.

The notations $\dot{\eta}$ and $\ddot{\eta}$ represent the first weak derivative with respect to $t \in (0, T)$ and the second weak derivative with respect to $t \in (0, T)$ of a scalar function η defined over the interval $(0, T)$. The notations $\dot{\boldsymbol{\eta}}$ and $\ddot{\boldsymbol{\eta}}$ denote the first weak derivative with respect to $t \in (0, T)$ and the second weak derivative with respect to $t \in (0, T)$ of a vector field $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ defined over the interval $(0, T)$.

A *Lipschitz domain* $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is a non-empty, open, bounded, and connected subset with a Lipschitz continuous boundary Γ , where the set Ω is locally on the same side of Γ . The notation dx indicates the *volume element* in Ω , and the symbol $d\Gamma$ indicates the *area element* along Γ . Finally, let $\Gamma = \Gamma_0 \cup \Gamma_1$ be a $d\Gamma$ -measurable portion of the boundary such that $\Gamma_0 \cap \Gamma_1 = \emptyset$ and $\text{area } \Gamma_0 > 0$. We denote by ν the outer-unit normal vector field along the boundary Γ of the set Ω .

As a model of the three-dimensional “physical space” \mathbb{R}^3 , we consider a *real three-dimensional affine Euclidean space*, which is defined by selecting a point O as the *origin* and associating it with a *real three-dimensional Euclidean space*, denoted \mathbb{E}^3 . We equip \mathbb{E}^3 with an *orthonormal basis* consisting of three vectors \mathbf{e}^i . The Euclidean inner product of two elements \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{b} in \mathbb{E}^3 is denoted by $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b}$; the Euclidean norm of any $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{E}^3$ is denoted by $|\mathbf{a}|$; the Kronecker symbol is denoted by δ^{ij} .

The characterization of \mathbb{R}^3 as an affine Euclidean space implies that with each point $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ is associated a uniquely defined vector $\mathbf{Ox} \in \mathbb{E}^3$. The origin $O \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and the orthonormal vectors $\mathbf{e}^i \in \mathbb{E}^3$ together form a *Cartesian frame* in \mathbb{R}^3 , and the three components x_i of the vector \mathbf{Ox} relative to the basis \mathbf{e}^i are referred to as the *Cartesian coordinates* of $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$, or the *Cartesian components* of $\mathbf{Ox} \in \mathbb{E}^3$. Once a Cartesian frame has been established, any point $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ can be *identified* with the vector $\mathbf{Ox} = x_i \mathbf{e}^i \in \mathbb{E}^3$. We then denote $\partial_i = \partial/\partial x_i$.

The set $\overline{\Omega}$ is the *reference configuration* occupied by a *linearly elastic body* in the absence of applied body forces. We assume that $\overline{\Omega}$ is in a natural state, meaning the body is stress-free in this configuration. Following [8], we also assume that the material is *isotropic, homogeneous, and linearly elastic*. Under these conditions, the behaviour of the linearly elastic material is governed by its two *Lamé constants* $\lambda \geq 0$ and $\mu > 0$. We denote the viscosity constants by $\theta \geq 0$ and $\xi > 0$. The positive constant ρ designates the *mass density* of the linearly viscoelastic body per unit volume.

In what follows, “a.e.” stands for “almost everywhere” and “a.a.” stands for “almost all”. Define the space

$$\mathbf{V}(\Omega) := \{\mathbf{v} = (v_i) \in \mathbf{H}^1(\Omega); \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0} \text{ on } \Gamma_0\},$$

and equip it with the norm

$$\|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbf{V}(\Omega)} := \left(\sum_i \|v_i\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

We define the three-dimensional elasticity tensor in Cartesian coordinates and we denote its components by A^{ijkl} . We recall that the contravariant components of this tensor are defined by (see, e.g., [8])

$$A^{ijkl} := \lambda \delta^{ij} \delta^{kl} + \mu (\delta^{ik} \delta^{jl} + \delta^{il} \delta^{jk}),$$

and that $A^{ijkl} = A^{jikl} = A^{klij} \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$.

We then define the three-dimensional viscoelasticity tensor in Cartesian coordinates and we denote its components by B^{ijkl} . We recall that the contravariant components of this tensor are defined by (see, e.g., [24])

$$B^{ijkl} := \theta \delta^{ij} \delta^{kl} + \xi (\delta^{ik} \delta^{jl} + \delta^{il} \delta^{jk}),$$

and that $B^{ijkl} = B^{jikl} = B^{klij} \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$.

For each $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)$ we consider the *linearised change of metric tensor* $\mathbf{e}(\mathbf{v})$, whose components $e_{i||j}(\mathbf{v})$ are defined by:

$$e_{i||j}(\mathbf{v}) := \frac{1}{2} (\partial_j v_i + \partial_i v_j) \in L^2(\Omega).$$

This tensor is symmetric, i.e., $e_{i||j}(\mathbf{v}) = e_{j||i}(\mathbf{v})$, for all $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)$.

We now state *Korn's inequality* in Cartesian coordinates (see, e.g., Theorem 6.3-6 of [8]).

Theorem 2.1. *Let Ω be a Lipschitz domain in \mathbb{R}^3 and let Γ_0 be a non-zero area subset of the whole boundary Γ . Then, there exists a constant $c_0 > 0$ such that*

$$c_0^{-1} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)} \leq \|\mathbf{e}(\mathbf{v})\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq c_0 \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)},$$

for all $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}(\Omega)$. □

Several proofs have been provided for this intricate inequality. Notably, see [19], [22], [26], [27], page 110 of [16], and Section 6.3 of [34]. In [44], Korn's inequality is demonstrated in the space $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ for $1 < p \leq \infty$. An elementary proof can be found in [35], along with additional details in Appendix (A) of [33].

We denote by $\mathcal{A} : \mathbf{V}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbf{V}^*(\Omega)$ the linear and continuous operator defined in a way that:

$$\langle \mathcal{A}\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_{\mathbf{V}^*(\Omega), \mathbf{V}(\Omega)} := \int_{\Omega} A^{ijkl} e_{k||\ell}(\mathbf{w}) e_{i||j}(\mathbf{v}) \, dx, \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}(\Omega).$$

Thanks to the properties of the fourth order three-dimensional elasticity tensor $\{A^{ijkl}\}$ and Korn's inequality in Cartesian coordinates (Theorem 2.1), we have that the operator \mathcal{A} is symmetric and uniformly positive-definite, in the sense that there exists a constant $C_e^{(1)} > 0$ such that:

$$\sum_{i,j} |t_{ij}|^2 \leq C_e^{(1)} A^{ijkl}(x) t_{k\ell} t_{ij},$$

for all $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ and all symmetric matrices (t_{ij}) .

In a completely similar fashion, we denote by $\mathcal{B} : \mathbf{V}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbf{V}^*(\Omega)$ the linear and continuous operator defined in a way that:

$$\langle \mathcal{B}\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_{\mathbf{V}^*(\Omega), \mathbf{V}(\Omega)} := \int_{\Omega} B^{ijkl} e_{k||\ell}(\mathbf{w}) e_{i||j}(\mathbf{v}) \, dx, \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}(\Omega).$$

Thanks to the properties of the fourth order three-dimensional elasticity tensor $\{B^{ijkl}\}$ and Korn's inequality in Cartesian coordinates (Theorem 2.1), we have that the operator \mathcal{B} is symmetric and uniformly positive-definite (cf., e.g., [24]), in the sense that there exists a constant $C_e^{(2)} > 0$ such that:

$$\sum_{i,j} |t_{ij}|^2 \leq C_e^{(2)} B^{ijkl}(x) t_{k\ell} t_{ij},$$

for all $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ and all symmetric matrices (t_{ij}) .

3. VARIATIONAL FORMULATION OF THE TIME-DEPENDENT OBSTACLE PROBLEM FOR A LINEARLY VISCOELASTIC BODY

In this section, we formulate a specific obstacle problem for a linearly viscoelastic body subjected to a confinement condition. This condition requires that any admissible displacement vector field $v_i \mathbf{e}^i$ must ensure that all points of the corresponding deformed configuration remain within a half-space of the form

$$\mathbb{H} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3; \mathbf{O}x \cdot \mathbf{q} \geq 0\},$$

where \mathbf{q} is a *unit-norm vector* given once and for all. Let us denote by \mathbf{I} the identity mapping $\mathbf{I} : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^3$ and let us assume that the *undeformed* reference configuration satisfies

$$\mathbf{I}(x) \cdot \mathbf{q} \geq 0, \quad \text{for all } x \in \overline{\Omega},$$

or, in other words, there *may be contact between the obstacle and the reference configuration* when no applied forces are acting on the reference configuration.

The general confinement condition can be thus formulated by requiring that any *admissible displacement vector field* must satisfy

$$(\mathbf{I}(x) + v_i(x) \mathbf{e}^i) \cdot \mathbf{q} \geq 0,$$

for all $x \in \Gamma$ or, possibly, only for a.a. $x \in \Gamma$ when the covariant components v_i are required to belong to the space $H^1(\Omega)$. The latter is a confinement condition of Signorini type (cf., e.g., [29]) and means that the boundary of the linearly viscoelastic body under consideration cannot cross the prescribed half-space identified by its orthonormal complement \mathbf{q} . This condition is widely accepted to imply that all the other points in the deformed reference configuration of the linearly elastic body under consideration must not cross the *given* half-space.

Let us introduce the subset $\mathbf{U}(\Omega)$ of admissible displacements takes the following form:

$$\mathbf{U}(\Omega) := \{\mathbf{v} = (v^i) \in \mathbf{V}(\Omega); (\mathbf{I} + v_i \mathbf{e}^i) \cdot \mathbf{q} \geq 0 \text{ a.e. on } \Gamma\}.$$

The linearly viscoelastic body under consideration is subjected to both applied body forces, that we denote by $\mathbf{f} = (f_i) \in L^2(0, T; \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega))$. The applied surface forces for the problem under consideration are expressed in terms of the vectors \mathbf{e}^i of the Cartesian framework. For the sake of simplicity, we do not consider the

action of surface forces, even though it is licit to consider the action of traction forces on the portion of the boundary that does not engage contact with the obstacle.

In the problem here discussed, we propose a different concept of solution that is more similar to the classical concept of solutions for variational inequalities [7, 21, 32]. In our formulation, the velocity of the linearly viscoelastic body needs not vanishing in the long run.

The variational problem $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ indicated below constitutes the point of arrival of our analysis. The aim of this paper is to show that one such problem admits a solution enjoying the regularity announced below.

Problem $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$. Find $\mathbf{u} = (u_i) : (0, T) \rightarrow \mathbf{V}(\Omega)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} &\in L^\infty(0, T; \mathbf{U}(\Omega)), \\ \dot{\mathbf{u}} &\in L^\infty(0, T; \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; \mathbf{V}(\Omega)), \\ \ddot{\mathbf{u}} &\in \mathcal{M}([0, T]; \mathbf{V}^*(\Omega)), \end{aligned}$$

that satisfies the variational inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} &2\rho \langle \ddot{\mathbf{u}}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_{\mathbf{V}^*(\Omega), \mathbf{V}(\Omega)} - 2\rho \langle \dot{\mathbf{u}}(T), \mathbf{u}(T) \rangle_{\mathbf{V}^*(\Omega), \mathbf{V}(\Omega)} + 2\rho \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{u}_1 \cdot \mathbf{u}_0 \, dx + 2\rho \|\dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2(0, T; \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega))}^2 \\ &+ \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} A^{ijkl} e_{k||\ell}(\mathbf{u}(t)) e_{i||j}(\mathbf{v}(t) - \mathbf{u}(t)) \, dx \, dt + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} B^{ijkl} e_{k||\ell}(\dot{\mathbf{u}}(t)) e_{i||j}(\mathbf{v}(t)) \, dx \, dt \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} B^{ijkl} e_{k||\ell}(\mathbf{u}(T)) e_{i||j}(\mathbf{u}(T)) \, dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} B^{ijkl} e_{k||\ell}(\mathbf{u}_0) e_{i||j}(\mathbf{u}_0) \, dx \\ &\geq \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} f^i(t) (v_i(t) - u_i(t)) \, dx \, dt, \end{aligned}$$

for all $\mathbf{v} = (v_i) \in \mathcal{C}^0([0, T]; \mathbf{U}(\Omega))$, and that satisfies the initial conditions

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{u}(0) = \mathbf{u}_0, \\ \dot{\mathbf{u}}(0) = \mathbf{u}_1, \end{cases}$$

where $\mathbf{u}_0 = (u_{i,0}) \in \mathbf{U}(\Omega)$, and $\mathbf{u}_1 = (u_{i,1}) \in \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)$ are prescribed. ■

4. EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS FOR PROBLEM $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$

The formulation of this initial boundary value problem is inspired by the classical Signorini formulation (cf., e.g., [29]). We construct solutions of Problem $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ by studying its *penalised* version first. In what follows, we denote by $\kappa > 0$ a penalty parameter that is meant to approach zero. In what follows, we denote by $\{f\}^-$ the *negative part* of a function f , which is defined as $\{f\}^- := -\min\{f, 0\}$.

Problem $\mathcal{P}_\kappa(\Omega)$. Find $\mathbf{u}_\kappa = (u_{i,\kappa}) : (0, T) \rightarrow \mathbf{V}(\Omega)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}_\kappa &\in L^\infty(0, T; \mathbf{V}(\Omega)), \\ \dot{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa &\in L^\infty(0, T; \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; \mathbf{V}(\Omega)), \\ \ddot{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa &\in L^\infty(0, T; \mathbf{V}^*(\Omega)), \end{aligned}$$

that satisfies the variational equations

$$\begin{aligned} &2\rho \int_{\Omega} \ddot{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa(t) \cdot \mathbf{v} \, dx + \int_{\Omega} A^{ijkl} e_{k||\ell}(\mathbf{u}_\kappa(t)) e_{i||j}(\mathbf{v}) \, dx \, dt \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} B^{ijkl} e_{k||\ell}(\dot{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa(t)) e_{i||j}(\mathbf{v}) \, dx \, dt \\ &- \frac{1}{\kappa} \int_{\Gamma} \{[\mathbf{I} + u_{i,\kappa}(t) \mathbf{e}^i] \cdot \mathbf{q}\}^- v_i \mathbf{e}^i \cdot \mathbf{q} \, d\Gamma \\ &= \int_{\Omega} f^i(t) v_i \, dx \, dt, \end{aligned}$$

for all $\mathbf{v} = (v_i) \in \mathbf{V}(\Omega)$ in the sense of distributions in $(0, T)$, and that satisfies the initial conditions

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{u}_\kappa(0) = \mathbf{u}_0, \\ \dot{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa(0) = \mathbf{u}_1, \end{cases}$$

where $\mathbf{u}_0 = (u_{i,0}) \in \mathbf{U}(\Omega)$, and $\mathbf{u}_1 = (u_{i,1}) \in \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)$ are the same as in Problem $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$. \blacksquare

Penalised problems akin to Problem $\mathcal{P}_\kappa(\Omega)$ have been addressed in the literature by several authors; see for instance [1, 2, 4]. In [4], the solution strategy exploits the underlying regularity of the model as well as the fact that the model is posed over a two-dimensional Lipschitz domain. In [1, 2], the argument hinges on the fact that the competitors to the role of solutions are chosen among those whose weak derivative in time exists and is of class L^2 . The competitors to the role of solutions for Problem $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ are instead only of class \mathcal{C}^0 in time.

In the case treated in this paper, for a.a. $t \in (0, T)$, the displacement solving Problem $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ is, in general, of class $\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)$, where Ω is a Lipschitz domain in \mathbb{R}^3 .

Let us recall the following general result which can be found in, e.g., Lemma 3.1 in [39] or [18].

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{R}^m$, with $m \geq 1$ an integer, be an open set. The operator $-\{\cdot\}^- : L^2(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathcal{O})$ defined by*

$$f \in L^2(\mathcal{O}) \mapsto -\{f\}^- := \min\{f, 0\} \in L^2(\mathcal{O}),$$

is monotone, bounded and Lipschitz continuous with Lipschitz constant equal to 1. \square

We re-cast the penalty term, which describes the energy that has to be *paid* by the system to violate the constraint, in terms of the non-linear operator $\mathcal{N} : \mathbf{V}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbf{V}^*(\Omega)$, that we define by:

$$\langle \mathcal{N}\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_{\mathbf{V}^*(\Omega), \mathbf{V}(\Omega)} := - \int_{\Gamma} \{[\mathbf{I} + w_i \mathbf{e}^i] \cdot \mathbf{q}\}^- v_i \mathbf{e}^i \cdot \mathbf{q} \, d\Gamma,$$

for all $\mathbf{w} = (w_i) \in \mathbf{V}(\Omega)$ and all $\mathbf{v} = (v_i) \in \mathbf{V}(\Omega)$, and we observe that the latter definition makes sense being the trace of an element in $\mathbf{V}(\Omega)$ of class $\mathbf{L}^2(\Gamma)$ when Ω is a Lipschitz domain as in our case. As a result, it is straightforward to observe that also the operator \mathcal{N} is monotone, bounded and Lipschitz continuous.

We then define the non-linear operator $\tilde{\mathcal{N}} : L^2(0, T; \mathbf{V}(\Omega)) \rightarrow L^2(0, T; \mathbf{V}^*(\Omega))$ pointwise by

$$(1) \quad (\tilde{\mathcal{N}}\mathbf{w})(t) := \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w}(t)), \quad \text{for a.a. } t \in (0, T),$$

and we observe that, in light of the properties of the non-linear operator \mathcal{N} introduced beforehand, the operator $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$ is monotone, bounded and Lipschitz continuous.

We now recall the very important Gronwall inequality often used to study evolutionary problems: (see, e.g. the original paper [23] or, for instance, Theorem 1.1 in Chapter III of [25]).

Theorem 4.1. *Let $T > 0$ and suppose that the function $y : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is absolutely continuous and such that*

$$\frac{dy}{dt}(t) \leq a(t)y(t) + b(t), \quad \text{for a.a. } t \in (0, T),$$

where $a, b \in L^1(0, T)$ and $a(t), b(t) \geq 0$ for a.a. $t \in (0, T)$. Then, it results

$$y(t) \leq \left[y(0) + \int_0^t b(s) \, ds \right] \exp \left(\int_0^t a(s) \, ds \right), \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T].$$

\square

The next step consists in showing, by Galerkin method, that Problem $\mathcal{P}_\kappa(\Omega)$ admits at least one solution. Prior to establishing this result, we need a lemma on the compactness of the time-dependent version of the trace operator.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\text{tr} : \mathbf{V}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbf{L}^2(\Gamma)$ denote the classical trace operator. Then the operator $\tilde{\text{tr}} : H^1(0, T; \mathbf{V}(\Omega)) \rightarrow L^2(0, T; \mathbf{L}^2(\Gamma))$, that constitutes the time-dependent version of tr , and that is defined pointwise by*

$$(\tilde{\text{tr}}\mathbf{v})(t) := \text{tr}(\mathbf{v}(t)), \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T],$$

at each $\mathbf{v} \in H^1(0, T; \mathbf{V}(\Omega))$ is linear, continuous and compact.

Proof. The linearity and continuity properties are straightforward, and the proof closely follows the strategy for establishing the linearity and continuity of the time-dependent version of the linearised change of metric tensor (cf., e.g., page 4 in [37]).

To establish the compactness, consider a sequence $\{\mathbf{v}_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ that is bounded in $H^1(0, T; \mathbf{V}(\Omega))$. Therefore, up to passing to a subsequence, the following convergences hold:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{v}_n &\rightharpoonup \mathbf{v}, & \text{in } L^2(0, T; \mathbf{V}(\Omega)) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \\ \dot{\mathbf{v}}_n &\rightharpoonup \dot{\mathbf{v}}, & \text{in } L^2(0, T; \mathbf{V}(\Omega)) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to Theorem 18.1(iii) and Corollary 18.4 in [31], there exists two constants $c = c(\Omega) > 0$ and $\epsilon = \epsilon(\Omega) > 0$ independent of n and t such that:

$$(2) \quad \int_{\Gamma} |\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{v}_n(t)) - \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{v}(t))|^2 d\Gamma \leq \frac{c}{\epsilon} \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{v}_n(t) - \mathbf{v}(t)|^2 dx + c\epsilon \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_{i,n}(t) - \nabla v_i(t)|^2 dx,$$

for all $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon$ and for a.a. $t \in (0, T)$. Integrating (2) in $(0, T)$ gives:

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma} |(\tilde{\operatorname{tr}} \mathbf{v}_n)(t) - (\tilde{\operatorname{tr}} \mathbf{v})(t)|^2 d\Gamma dt &= \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma} |\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{v}_n(t)) - \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{v}(t))|^2 d\Gamma dt \\ &\leq \frac{c}{\epsilon} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{v}_n(t) - \mathbf{v}(t)|^2 dx dt + c\epsilon \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_{i,n}(t) - \nabla v_i(t)|^2 dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

An application of the Aubin-Lions-Simon theorem (cf., e.g., Theorem 8.62 in [31]) shows that $\mathbf{v}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{v}$ in $\mathcal{C}^0([0, T]; \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega))$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ up to a subsequence. Consequently, the first term on the right-hand side of the inequality in (3) tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Additionally, thanks to the assumed boundedness for the sequence $\{\mathbf{v}_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in $H^1(0, T; \mathbf{V}(\Omega))$, the second term on the right-hand side of the inequality in (3) is bounded independently of n . Therefore, there exists a constant $\tilde{C} > 0$ independent of t and n such that:

$$(4) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma} |(\tilde{\operatorname{tr}} \mathbf{v}_n)(t) - (\tilde{\operatorname{tr}} \mathbf{v})(t)|^2 d\Gamma dt \leq \tilde{C}\epsilon.$$

Thanks to the arbitrariness of $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon$, we obtain that:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma} |(\tilde{\operatorname{tr}} \mathbf{v}_n)(t) - (\tilde{\operatorname{tr}} \mathbf{v})(t)|^2 d\Gamma dt = 0,$$

thus showing that $\tilde{\operatorname{tr}} \mathbf{v}_n \rightarrow \tilde{\operatorname{tr}} \mathbf{v}$ in $L^2(0, T; \mathbf{L}^2(\Gamma))$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and establishing the sought compactness. Note that the subsequence for which the pre-compactness of $\{\tilde{\operatorname{tr}} \mathbf{v}_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is realised is the subsequence for which the convergence $\mathbf{v}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{v}$ in $\mathcal{C}^0([0, T]; \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega))$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ asserted by the Aubin-Lions-Simon theorem holds. \square

We are now ready to establish the existence of solutions for Problem $\mathcal{P}_\kappa(\Omega)$ via Galerkin method. We note in passing that the presence of the non-linear term associated with the extent to which the constraint is broken renders the problem challenging. The proof of the next result hinges on the compactness of the time-dependent version of the trace operator established in Lemma 4.2.

Theorem 4.2. *Problem $\mathcal{P}_\kappa(\Omega)$ admits at least one solution.*

Proof. For the sake of clarity, we break the proof into three parts, numbered (i)–(iii).

(i) *Construction of a Galerkin approximation.* Since $\mathbf{V}(\Omega)$ is an infinite dimensional separable Hilbert space which is also dense in $\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)$, we infer that there exists an orthogonal basis $\{\mathbf{w}^p\}_{p=1}^\infty$ of the space $\mathbf{V}(\Omega)$, whose elements also constitute a Hilbert basis of the space $\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)$.

The existence of such a basis is assured by the spectral theorem (Theorem 6.2-1 of [40]). For each positive integer $m \geq 1$, we denote by \mathbf{E}^m the following m -dimensional linear hull:

$$\mathbf{E}^m := \operatorname{Span} \{\mathbf{w}^p\}_{p=1}^m \subset \mathbf{V}(\Omega) \subset \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega).$$

Since each element of this Hilbert basis is independent of the variable t , we have that $\mathbf{w}^p \in L^\infty(0, T; \mathbf{V}(\Omega))$ for each integer $1 \leq p \leq m$. We now discretise Problem $\mathcal{P}_\kappa(\Omega)$.

Problem $\mathcal{P}_\kappa^m(\Omega)$. Find functions $c_{p,\kappa} : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $1 \leq p \leq m$, such that

$$\mathbf{u}_\kappa^m(t) := \sum_{p=1}^m c_{p,\kappa}(t) \mathbf{w}^p, \quad \text{for a.a. } t \in (0, T),$$

and satisfying the following variational equations

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\rho \int_{\Omega} \ddot{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa^m(t) \cdot \mathbf{w}^p \, dx + \int_{\Omega} A^{ijkl} e_{k||\ell}(\mathbf{u}_\kappa^m(t)) e_{i||j}(\mathbf{w}^p) \, dx \, dt \\ & + \int_{\Omega} B^{ijkl} e_{k||\ell}(\dot{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa^m(t)) e_{i||j}(\mathbf{w}^p) \, dx \, dt \\ & - \frac{1}{\kappa} \int_{\Gamma} \{[\mathbf{I} + u_{i,\kappa}^m(t) \mathbf{e}^i] \cdot \mathbf{q}\}^- w_i^p \mathbf{e}^i \cdot \mathbf{q} \, d\Gamma \\ & = \int_{\Omega} f^i(t) w_i^p \, dx \, dt, \end{aligned}$$

for all $1 \leq p \leq m$ in the sense of distributions in $(0, T)$, and that satisfies the following initial conditions

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{u}_\kappa^{(m)}(0) = \mathbf{u}_0^m, \\ \dot{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa^{(m)}(0) = \mathbf{u}_1^m, \end{cases}$$

where the initial conditions \mathbf{u}_0^m and \mathbf{u}_1^m are defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}_0^m &:= \sum_{p=1}^m \int_{\Omega} \{(\mathbf{u}_0 \cdot \mathbf{w}^p) \mathbf{w}^p + (\partial_i \mathbf{u}_0 \cdot \partial_i \mathbf{w}^p) \mathbf{w}^p\} \, dx, \\ \mathbf{u}_1^m &:= \sum_{p=1}^m \int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{u}_1 \cdot \mathbf{w}^p) \mathbf{w}^p \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

■

We observe that $\mathbf{u}_0^m \rightarrow \mathbf{u}_0$ in $\mathbf{V}(\Omega)$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, and that $\mathbf{u}_1^m \rightarrow \mathbf{u}_1$ in $L^2(\Omega)$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Since the coefficients $c_{p,\kappa}$ and their derivatives only depend on the time variable, we can take them outside of the integral sign, getting a $m \times m$ non-linear system of second order ordinary differential equations with respect to the variable t . Such a system can be rewritten in the form

$$\begin{aligned} (5) \quad 2\rho \ddot{\mathbf{C}}_\kappa(t) &= \left(- \int_{\Omega} A^{ijkl} e_{k||\ell}(\mathbf{w}^r) e_{i||j}(\mathbf{w}^p) \, dx \right)_{p,r=1}^m \mathbf{C}_\kappa(t) \\ &+ \left(- \int_{\Omega} B^{ijkl} e_{k||\ell}(\mathbf{w}^r) e_{i||j}(\mathbf{w}^p) \, dx \right)_{p,r=1}^m \dot{\mathbf{C}}_\kappa(t) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\kappa} \left(\int_{\Gamma} (\{[\mathbf{I} + (\mathbf{C}_\kappa(t) \cdot (w_i^1 \dots w_i^m)) \mathbf{e}^i] \cdot \mathbf{q}\}^-) (w_i^p \mathbf{e}^i \cdot \mathbf{q}) \, dx \right)_{p=1}^m \\ &+ \left(\int_{\Omega} f^i(t) w_i^p \, dx \right)_{p=1}^m \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{C}_\kappa(t) := (c_{1,\kappa}(t) \dots c_{m,\kappa}(t))$. Thanks to Lemma 4.1, the right hand side of (5) is Lipschitz continuous in \mathbb{R}^m uniformly with respect to t , since it does not explicitly depend on t . An application of Theorem 1.45 of [43] gives that for each integer $m \geq 1$ there exists a unique global solution \mathbf{u}_κ^m to Problem $\mathcal{P}_\kappa^m(\Omega)$, defined a.e. over the interval $(0, T)$, such that:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}_\kappa^m &\in L^\infty(0, T; \mathbf{E}^m), \\ \dot{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa^m &\in L^\infty(0, T; \mathbf{E}^m), \\ \ddot{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa^m &\in L^\infty(0, T; \mathbf{E}^m). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) *Energy estimates for the approximate solutions.* Let us multiply the variational equations in Problem $\mathcal{P}_\kappa^m(\Omega)$ by $\dot{c}_{p,\kappa}(t)$, with $0 < t < T$, and sum with respect to $p \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. The penalised variational equations in Problem $\mathcal{P}_\kappa^m(\Omega)$ take the form

$$\begin{aligned}
(6) \quad & \rho \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \dot{u}_{i,\kappa}^m(t) \dot{u}_i^m(t) \, dx + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} A^{ijkl} e_{k||\ell}(\mathbf{u}_\kappa^m(t)) e_{i||j}(\mathbf{u}_\kappa^m(t)) \, dx \\
& + \int_{\Omega} B^{ijkl} e_{k||\ell}(\dot{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa^m(t)) e_{i||j}(\dot{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa^m(t)) \, dx \\
& + \frac{1}{2\kappa} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\int_{\Gamma} \left(\{[\mathbf{I} + u_{i,\kappa}^m(t) \mathbf{e}^i] \cdot \mathbf{q}\}^- \right)^2 \, dx \right) \\
& = \int_{\Omega} f^i(t) \dot{u}_{i,\kappa}^m(t) \, dx,
\end{aligned}$$

and are valid in the sense of distributions in $(0, T)$. Observe that the differentiation of the negative part is obtained as a result of the same computational steps as in Stampacchia's theorem (cf., e.g., Theorem 4.4 on page 153 of [18]), together with an application of Theorem 8.28 of [31]. An integration over the interval $(0, t)$, where $0 < t \leq T$, changes (6) into:

$$\begin{aligned}
(7) \quad & \rho \int_{\Omega} \dot{u}_{i,\kappa}^m(t) \dot{u}_{i,\kappa}^m(t) \, dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} A^{ijkl} e_{k||\ell}(\mathbf{u}_\kappa^m(t)) e_{i||j}(\mathbf{u}_\kappa^m(t)) \, dx \\
& + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} B^{ijkl} e_{k||\ell}(\dot{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa^m(t)) e_{i||j}(\dot{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa^m(t)) \, dx \, dt \\
& + \frac{1}{2\kappa} \int_{\Gamma} \left(\{[\mathbf{I} + u_{i,\kappa}^m(t) \mathbf{e}^i] \cdot \mathbf{q}\}^- \right)^2 \, dx \\
& = \rho \int_{\Omega} u_{i,1}^m u_{i,1}^m \, dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} A^{ijkl} e_{k||\ell}(\mathbf{u}_0^m) e_{i||j}(\mathbf{u}_0^m) \, dx \\
& + \frac{1}{2\kappa} \int_{\Gamma} \left(\{[\mathbf{I} + u_{i,0}^m \mathbf{e}^i] \cdot \mathbf{q}\}^- \right)^2 \, dx \\
& + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} f^i(\tau) \dot{u}_{i,\kappa}^m(\tau) \, dx \, d\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

Let us observe that the third integral in the left hand side of (7) tends to zero, since $\mathbf{u}_0^m \rightarrow \mathbf{u}_0$ in $\mathbf{V}(\Omega)$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, and $\mathbf{u}_0 \in \mathbf{U}(\Omega)$. An application of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality gives that:

$$\begin{aligned}
(8) \quad & \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} f^i(\tau) \dot{u}_{i,\kappa}^m(\tau) \, dx \, d\tau \\
& \leq \left(\int_0^T \|\mathbf{f}(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 \, dt \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_0^t \|\dot{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa^m(\tau)\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 \, d\tau \right)^{1/2} \\
& \leq \frac{c_0 C_e^{(2)}}{2} \int_0^T \|\mathbf{f}(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 \, dt + \frac{1}{2c_0 C_e^{(2)}} \int_0^t \|\dot{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa^m(\tau)\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 \, d\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

By the uniform positive-definiteness of the elasticity tensors $\{A^{ijkl}\}$ and $\{B^{ijkl}\}$, Korn's inequality (Theorem 2.1), (7), and (8), the following estimate holds for m sufficiently large:

$$\begin{aligned}
(9) \quad & \rho \|\dot{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa^m(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{\|\mathbf{u}_\kappa^m(t)\|_{\mathbf{V}(\Omega)}^2}{2c_0 C_e^{(1)}} + \frac{1}{2c_0 C_e^{(2)}} \int_0^t \|\dot{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa^m(\tau)\|_{\mathbf{V}(\Omega)}^2 d\tau + \frac{1}{2\kappa} \left\| \{[\mathbf{I} + u_{i,\kappa}^m(t)\mathbf{e}^i] \cdot \mathbf{q}\}^- \right\|_{L^2(\Gamma)}^2 \\
& \leq \rho \|\mathbf{u}_1\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{c_0 C_e^{(2)}}{2} \|\mathbf{f}\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega))}^2 + \int_\Omega A^{ijkl} e_{k\|\ell}(\mathbf{u}_0) e_{i\|j}(\mathbf{u}_0) dx \\
& \quad + \rho \int_0^t \|\dot{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa^m(\tau)\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 d\tau + \frac{1}{2c_0 C_e^{(1)}} \int_0^t \|\mathbf{u}_\kappa^m(\tau)\|_{\mathbf{V}(\Omega)}^2 d\tau \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{2\kappa} \int_0^t \left\| \{[\mathbf{I} + u_{i,\kappa}^m(\tau)\mathbf{e}^i] \cdot \mathbf{q}\}^- \right\|_{L^2(\Gamma)}^2 d\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

An application of the Gronwall's inequality (Theorem 4.1) with

$$y(t) := \rho \int_0^t \|\dot{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa^m(\tau)\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 d\tau + \frac{1}{2c_0 C_e^{(1)}} \int_0^t \|\mathbf{u}_\kappa^m(\tau)\|_{\mathbf{V}(\Omega)}^2 d\tau + \frac{1}{2\kappa} \int_0^t \left\| \{[\mathbf{I} + u_{i,\kappa}^m(\tau)\mathbf{e}^i] \cdot \mathbf{q}\}^- \right\|_{L^2(\Gamma)}^2 d\tau,$$

$a \equiv 1 > 0$ and

$$b \equiv \max \left\{ 1, \rho, \frac{c_0 C_e^{(2)}}{2} \right\} \left(\|\mathbf{u}_1\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\mathbf{f}\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega))}^2 + \int_\Omega A^{ijkl} e_{k\|\ell}(\mathbf{u}_0) e_{i\|j}(\mathbf{u}_0) dx \right) \geq 0,$$

gives the following uniform upper bound

$$\begin{aligned}
(10) \quad & \rho \int_0^t \|\dot{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa^m(\tau)\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 d\tau + \frac{1}{2c_0 C_e^{(1)}} \int_0^t \|\mathbf{u}_\kappa^m(\tau)\|_{\mathbf{V}(\Omega)}^2 d\tau \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{2\kappa} \int_0^t \left\| \{[\mathbf{I} + u_{i,\kappa}^m(\tau)\mathbf{e}^i] \cdot \mathbf{q}\}^- \right\|_{L^2(\Gamma)}^2 d\tau \\
& \leq T \max \left\{ 1, \rho, \frac{c_0 C_e^{(2)}}{2} \right\} \left(\|\mathbf{u}_1\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\mathbf{f}\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega))}^2 + \int_\Omega A^{ijkl} e_{k\|\ell}(\mathbf{u}_0) e_{i\|j}(\mathbf{u}_0) dx \right) e^T,
\end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$. Therefore, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
(11) \quad & \{\mathbf{u}_\kappa^m\}_{m=1}^\infty \text{ is bounded in } L^\infty(0, T; \mathbf{V}(\Omega)) \text{ independently of } m \text{ and } \kappa, \\
& \{\dot{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa^m\}_{m=1}^\infty \text{ is bounded in } L^\infty(0, T; \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; \mathbf{V}(\Omega)) \text{ independently of } m \text{ and } \kappa,
\end{aligned}$$

and, moreover, by (9), there exists a positive uniform constant L such that

$$(12) \quad 0 \leq \left\| \{[\mathbf{I} + u_{i,\kappa}^m \mathbf{e}^i] \cdot \mathbf{q}\}^- \right\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbf{L}^2(\Gamma))} \leq \sqrt{\kappa T b e^T}.$$

Since the following direct sum decomposition holds

$$\mathbf{V}(\Omega) = \mathbf{E}^m \oplus (\mathbf{E}^m)^\perp,$$

we get that for any $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}(\Omega)$ with $\|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbf{V}(\Omega)} = 1$, and a.a. $t \in (0, T)$, the variational equations in Problem $\mathcal{P}_\kappa^m(\Omega)$ give

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\langle \dot{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa^m(t), \mathbf{v} \rangle_{\mathbf{V}^*(\Omega), \mathbf{V}(\Omega)}| \leq \|\mathbf{f}(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)} + \left(\max_\Omega |A^{ijkl}| \right) \|\mathbf{u}_\kappa^m\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\mathbf{V}(\Omega))} \\
& \quad + \left(\max_\Omega |B^{ijkl}| \right) \|\dot{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa^m(t)\|_{\mathbf{V}(\Omega)} + \frac{1}{\kappa} \left\| \{[\mathbf{I} + u_{i,\kappa}^m(t)\mathbf{e}^i] \cdot \mathbf{q}\}^- \right\|_{L^2(\Gamma)},
\end{aligned}$$

and, by (11) and (12), we thus infer that there exists a constant $C > 0$, independent of m , t and κ , such that:

$$(13) \quad \|\ddot{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa^m\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbf{V}^*(\Omega))} \leq C \left(1 + \frac{1}{\kappa} \right).$$

(iii) *Passage to the limit as $m \rightarrow \infty$ and completion of the proof.* By (11), (12), and (13) we can infer that there exist subsequences, still denoted $\{\mathbf{u}^m\}_{m=1}^\infty$, $\{\dot{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa^m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ and $\{\ddot{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa^m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ such that the following convergences hold:

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}_\kappa^m &\rightharpoonup^* \mathbf{u}_\kappa, & \text{in } L^\infty(0, T; \mathbf{V}(\Omega)) \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty, \\ \dot{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa^m &\rightharpoonup^* \dot{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa, & \text{in } L^\infty(0, T; \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; \mathbf{V}(\Omega)) \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty, \\ \ddot{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa^m &\rightharpoonup^* \ddot{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa, & \text{in } L^2(0, T; \mathbf{V}^*(\Omega)) \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty, \\ \kappa^{-1} \{[\mathbf{I} + u_{i,\kappa}^m \mathbf{e}^i] \cdot \mathbf{q}\}^- &\rightarrow \chi_\kappa, & \text{in } L^2(0, T; L^2(\Gamma)) \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

By the Sobolev embedding theorem (cf., e.g., Theorem 10.1.20 of [36]), we obtain

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}_\kappa^m &\rightarrow \mathbf{u}_\kappa, & \text{in } \mathcal{C}^0([0, T]; \mathbf{V}(\Omega)) \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty, \\ \dot{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa^m &\rightarrow \dot{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa, & \text{in } \mathcal{C}^0([0, T]; \mathbf{V}^*(\Omega)) \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

An application of Theorem 8.28 of [31] to the fourth convergence of the process (14) gives:

$$(16) \quad \kappa^{-1} \{[\mathbf{I} + u_{i,\kappa}^m \mathbf{e}^i] \cdot \mathbf{q}\}^- \rightarrow \chi_\kappa, \quad \text{in } L^2((0, T) \times \Gamma) \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

Combining (16) with Lemma 4.2 gives:

$$(17) \quad \frac{1}{\kappa} \int_0^T \langle \mathcal{N} \mathbf{u}_\kappa^m(t), \mathbf{u}_\kappa^m(t) \rangle_{\mathbf{V}^*(\Omega), \mathbf{V}(\Omega)} dt \rightarrow \int_0^T \int_\Gamma \chi_\kappa(t) (u_{i,\kappa}(t) \mathbf{e}^i \cdot \mathbf{q}) d\Gamma dt, \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thanks to Lemma 4.1, the first convergence of (15) and the weak convergence (16), Theorem 8.28 of [31], Theorem 8.62 of [31] and the monotonicity of the operator $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$ defined in (1) and (17), we are in a position to apply Theorem 9.13-2 of [11] and, so, to obtain:

$$(18) \quad \chi_\kappa = \kappa^{-1} \{[\mathbf{I} + u_{i,\kappa} \mathbf{e}^i] \cdot \mathbf{q}\}^- \in L^2((0, T) \times \Gamma).$$

We now verify that \mathbf{u}_κ is a solution of the variational equations in Problem $\mathcal{P}_\kappa^m(\Omega)$. Let $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(0, T)$ and let $\tilde{m} \geq 1$ be any integer. For each $m \geq \tilde{m}$, we have

$$(19) \quad \begin{aligned} &2\rho \int_0^T \int_\Omega \ddot{u}_{i,\kappa}^m(t) v_i dx \psi(t) dt + \int_0^T \int_\Omega A^{ijkl} e_{k||\ell}(\mathbf{u}_\kappa^m(t)) e_{i||j}(\mathbf{v}) dx \psi(t) dt \\ &+ \int_0^T \int_\Omega B^{ijkl} e_{k||\ell}(\dot{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa^m(t)) e_{i||j}(\mathbf{v}) dx \psi(t) dt \\ &- \frac{1}{\kappa} \int_0^T \int_\Omega \left(\{[\mathbf{I} + u_{i,\kappa}^m(t) \mathbf{e}^i] \cdot \mathbf{q}\}^- \right) (v_i \mathbf{e}^i \cdot \mathbf{q}) dx \psi(t) dt \\ &= \int_0^T \int_\Omega f^i(t) v_i dx \psi(t) dt, \end{aligned}$$

for all $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{E}^{\tilde{m}}$. Thanks to Lemma 4.1, the convergence process (14), (18), the arbitrariness of $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(0, T)$, as well as the fact that

$$\overline{\bigcup_{\tilde{m} \geq 1} \mathbf{E}^{\tilde{m}}}^{\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{V}(\Omega)}} = \mathbf{V}(\Omega),$$

a passage to the limit as $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (19) shows that \mathbf{u}_κ is a solution to the penalised variational equations in Problem $\mathcal{P}_\kappa(\Omega)$.

The last property that we have to check is the validity of the initial conditions for \mathbf{u}_κ . Let us introduce the operator $\mathbf{L}_0 : \mathcal{C}^0([0, T]; \mathbf{V}(\Omega)) \rightarrow \mathbf{V}(\Omega)$ defined in a way such that $\mathbf{L}_0(\mathbf{v}) := \mathbf{v}(0)$. Such an operator \mathbf{L}_0 turns out to be linear and continuous and, therefore, by the first convergence of (15), we immediately obtain:

$$\mathbf{u}_\kappa(0) = \mathbf{u}_0, \quad \text{in } \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega).$$

Similarly, let us introduce the operator $\mathbf{L}_1 : \mathcal{C}^0([0, T]; \mathbf{V}^*(\Omega)) \rightarrow \mathbf{V}^*(\Omega)$ defined in a way such that $\mathbf{L}_1(\mathbf{v}) := \mathbf{v}(0)$. Such an operator \mathbf{L}_1 turns out to be linear and continuous and since \mathbf{u}_1^m is the projection of \mathbf{u}_1 onto \mathbf{E}^m , the second convergence of (15) gives:

$$\mathbf{u}_1^m \rightharpoonup \dot{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa(0) = \mathbf{u}_1, \quad \text{in } \mathbf{V}^*(\Omega) \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

We thus obtain that \mathbf{u}_κ is a solution of Problem $\mathcal{P}_\kappa^m(\Omega)$, and the proof is complete. \square

The next step consists in showing that the sequence of solutions $\{\mathbf{u}_\kappa\}_{\kappa>0}$ of Problem $\mathcal{P}_\kappa(\Omega)$ converges in some suitable sense to a solution of Problem $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$. Before establishing this result, that constitutes the main contribution of this paper, we need to establish some preparatory lemmas.

Lemma 4.3. *The operator $\mathcal{A} : \mathbf{V}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbf{V}^*(\Omega)$ defined by*

$$\langle \mathcal{A}\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_{\mathbf{V}^*(\Omega), \mathbf{V}(\Omega)} := \int_{\Omega} A^{ijkl} e_{k||\ell}(\mathbf{w}) e_{i||j}(\mathbf{v}) \, dx,$$

is linear and continuous. Moreover, also the corresponding time-dependent version $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} : L^\infty(0, T; \mathbf{V}(\Omega)) \rightarrow L^\infty(0, T; \mathbf{V}^(\Omega))$ defined pointwise by*

$$(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{w})(t) := \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{w}(t)), \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{w} \in L^\infty(0, T; \mathbf{V}(\Omega)),$$

for a.a. $t \in (0, T)$ is linear and continuous.

Proof. The operator \mathcal{A} is the classical operator in linearised elasticity; its linearity and boundedness are straightforward to establish (cf., e.g., [8]). For what concerns the time-dependent version of this operator, the linearity and boundedness follow, in the same spirit as in [37], from the uniform boundedness of the components A^{ijkl} of the fourth order three-dimensional elasticity tensor. \square

In a similar fashion, the following preparatory result can be established.

Lemma 4.4. *The operator $\mathcal{B} : \mathbf{V}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbf{V}^*(\Omega)$ defined by*

$$\langle \mathcal{B}\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_{\mathbf{V}^*(\Omega), \mathbf{V}(\Omega)} := \int_{\Omega} B^{ijkl} e_{k||\ell}(\mathbf{w}) e_{i||j}(\mathbf{v}) \, dx,$$

is linear and continuous. Moreover, also the corresponding time-dependent version $\tilde{\mathcal{B}} : L^2(0, T; \mathbf{V}(\Omega)) \rightarrow L^2(0, T; \mathbf{V}^(\Omega))$ defined pointwise by*

$$(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}\mathbf{w})(t) := \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{w}(t)), \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{w} \in L^2(0, T; \mathbf{V}(\Omega)),$$

for a.a. $t \in (0, T)$ is linear and continuous. \square

Lemma 4.5. *Consider the sequence $\{\mathbf{u}_\kappa\}_{\kappa>0}$, where each \mathbf{u}_κ is a solution of Problem $\mathcal{P}_\kappa(\Omega)$. Up to passing to a subsequence, the following convergences hold:*

$$(20) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}_\kappa &\overset{*}{\rightharpoonup} \mathbf{u}, && \text{in } L^\infty(0, T; \mathbf{V}(\Omega)) \text{ as } \kappa \rightarrow 0, \\ \dot{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa &\overset{*}{\rightharpoonup} \dot{\mathbf{u}}, && \text{in } L^\infty(0, T; \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)) \text{ as } \kappa \rightarrow 0, \\ \dot{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa &\rightharpoonup \dot{\mathbf{u}}, && \text{in } L^2(0, T; \mathbf{V}(\Omega)) \text{ as } \kappa \rightarrow 0, \\ \{[\mathbf{I} + u_{i,\kappa} \mathbf{e}^i] \cdot \mathbf{q}\}^- &\rightarrow \{[\mathbf{I} + u_i \mathbf{e}^i] \cdot \mathbf{q}\} = 0, && \text{in } L^2(0, T; \mathbf{L}^2(\Gamma)) \text{ as } \kappa \rightarrow 0, \\ \mathbf{u}_\kappa &\rightharpoonup \mathbf{u}, && \text{in } \mathcal{C}^0([0, T]; \mathbf{V}(\Omega)) \text{ as } \kappa \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we obtain that $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{C}^0([0, T]; \mathbf{U}(\Omega))$ and $\mathbf{u}(0) = \mathbf{u}_0 \in \mathbf{U}(\Omega)$.

Proof. Since the right-hand sides of the estimates in (10) and (12) are independent of m and κ , we obtain that the following convergences hold up to passing to a subsequence:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}_\kappa &\overset{*}{\rightharpoonup} \mathbf{u}, && \text{in } L^\infty(0, T; \mathbf{V}(\Omega)) \text{ as } \kappa \rightarrow 0, \\ \dot{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa &\overset{*}{\rightharpoonup} \dot{\mathbf{u}}, && \text{in } L^\infty(0, T; \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)) \text{ as } \kappa \rightarrow 0, \\ \dot{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa &\rightharpoonup \dot{\mathbf{u}}, && \text{in } L^2(0, T; \mathbf{V}(\Omega)) \text{ as } \kappa \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

The convergence $\mathbf{u}_\kappa \rightharpoonup \mathbf{u}$ in $\mathcal{C}^0([0, T]; \mathbf{V}(\Omega))$ as $\kappa \rightarrow 0$ holds thanks to Theorem 10.1.20 in [36] (see also [20]). The convergence $\mathbf{u}_\kappa \rightarrow \mathbf{u}$ in $\mathcal{C}^0([0, T]; \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega))$ as $\kappa \rightarrow 0$ holds thanks to the Aubin-Lions-Simon theorem (cf., e.g., Theorem 8.62 in [31]). An application of (12) gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \langle \mathcal{N}\mathbf{u}_\kappa(t), \mathbf{u}_\kappa(t) \rangle_{\mathbf{V}^*(\Omega), \mathbf{V}(\Omega)} dt \\ &= \int_0^T \int_\Gamma |[\mathbf{I} + u_{i,\kappa} \mathbf{e}^i] \cdot \mathbf{q}]^-|^2 d\Gamma dt + \int_0^T \int_\Gamma \{[\mathbf{I} + u_{i,\kappa} \mathbf{e}^i] \cdot \mathbf{q}\}^- (\mathbf{I} \cdot \mathbf{q}) d\Gamma dt \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

as $\kappa \rightarrow 0$. The Lipschitz continuity and monotonicity of the operator $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$ introduced in (1) put us in position to apply Theorem 9.13-2 in [11] so as to infer that

$$\{[\mathbf{I} + u_i \mathbf{e}^i] \cdot \mathbf{q}\}^- = 0, \quad \text{in } L^2(0, T; \mathbf{V}^*(\Omega)),$$

as well as the validity of the fourth convergence in (20). In particular, the latter implies that $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{C}^0([0, T]; \mathbf{U}(\Omega))$. This completes the proof. \square

Let us also recall a result on vector-valued measures (cf., e.g., page 380 of [15]).

Theorem 4.3 (Dinculeanu-Zinger). *Let ω be a compact Hausdorff space and let X be a Banach space satisfying the Radon-Nikodym property. Let \mathcal{F} be the collection of Borel sets of ω .*

There exists an isomorphism between $(\mathcal{C}^0(\omega; X))^$ and the space of the regular Borel measures with finite variation taking values in X^* . In particular, for each $F \in (\mathcal{C}^0(\omega; X))^*$, there exists a unique regular Borel measure $\mu : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow X^*$ in $\mathcal{M}(\omega; X^*)$ with finite variation such that*

$$\langle \langle \alpha, F \rangle \rangle_{X^*, X} = \int_\omega \langle d\mu(t), \alpha(t) \rangle_{X^*, X} dt, \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in \mathcal{C}^0(\omega; X).$$

\square

The next lemma aims to verify that the limit \mathbf{u} introduced in Lemma 4.5 satisfies the initial condition for the velocity. This property hinges on the fact that the confinement condition we are here employing is of Signorini type.

Lemma 4.6. *The limit \mathbf{u} recovered in (20) satisfies the following properties:*

- (i) $\dot{\mathbf{u}}(0) = \mathbf{u}_1$;
- (ii) $\ddot{\mathbf{u}} \in \mathcal{M}([0, T]; \mathbf{V}^*(\Omega))$.

Proof. For a.a. $t \in (0, T)$, and for all $\kappa > 0$, any solution \mathbf{u}_κ for Problem $\mathcal{P}_\kappa(\Omega)$ satisfies the following equation:

$$(21) \quad \ddot{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa(t) + (\tilde{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{u}_\kappa)(t) + (\tilde{\mathcal{B}}\dot{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa)(t) + \frac{1}{\kappa}(\tilde{\mathcal{N}}\mathbf{u}_\kappa)(t) = \mathbf{f}(t), \quad \text{in } \mathbf{V}^*(\Omega).$$

Since $\mathbf{V}^*(\Omega) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{H}^{-1}(\Omega)$, we can test (21) along any element $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{H}_0^1(\Omega)$. Thanks to (1), we obtain that the penalty term vanishes if, for a.a. $t \in (0, T)$, the linear operator $\mathcal{N}\mathbf{u}_\kappa(t)$ is tested along an element $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{H}_0^1(\Omega)$. Therefore, the function \mathbf{u}_κ solves the following equation

$$(22) \quad \ddot{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa(t) + (\tilde{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{u}_\kappa)(t) + (\tilde{\mathcal{B}}\dot{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa)(t) = \mathbf{f}(t), \quad \text{in } \mathbf{H}^{-1}(\Omega),$$

in the sense of distributions in $(0, T)$. Note that (22) is a linear equation; this implies that:

$$\{\ddot{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa\}_{\kappa>0} \text{ is bounded in } L^2(0, T; \mathbf{H}^{-1}(\Omega)) \text{ independently of } \kappa.$$

Combining (20) with the Aubin-Lions-Simon theorem (cf., e.g., Theorem 8.62 in [31]) gives:

$$\dot{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa \rightarrow \dot{\mathbf{u}}, \quad \text{in } \mathcal{C}^0([0, T]; \mathbf{V}^*(\Omega)) \text{ as } \kappa \rightarrow 0,$$

thus showing that $\dot{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa(T) \rightarrow \dot{\mathbf{u}}(T)$ in $\mathbf{V}^*(\Omega)$ as $\kappa \rightarrow 0$. Property (i) immediately follows from the latter convergence.

To establish that the acceleration $\ddot{\mathbf{u}}$ enjoys the regularity of a vector-valued measure in the sense of Dinculeanu (cf., e.g., [4, 15]), we first observe that if we test the equation (21) at an arbitrary $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}(\Omega)$ such that $\|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbf{V}(\Omega)} = 1$ and we integrate between 0 and T , we obtain that:

$$(23) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\kappa} \left| \left\langle \int_0^T \mathcal{N}\mathbf{u}_\kappa(t) dt, \mathbf{v} \right\rangle_{\mathbf{V}^*(\Omega), \mathbf{V}(\Omega)} \right| \\ & \frac{1}{\kappa} \left| \int_0^T \langle \mathcal{N}\mathbf{u}_\kappa(t), \mathbf{v} \rangle_{\mathbf{V}^*(\Omega), \mathbf{V}(\Omega)} dt \right| \\ & \leq \int_0^T \|\mathcal{A}\mathbf{u}_\kappa(t)\|_{\mathbf{V}^*(\Omega)} + \|\mathcal{B}\dot{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa(t)\|_{\mathbf{V}^*(\Omega)} dt + \|\dot{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa(T) - \mathbf{u}_1\|_{\mathbf{V}^*(\Omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

We observe that the right-hand side of (23) is bounded independently of κ in light of Lemmas 4.3–4.5, thus showing that:

$$\left\{ \left\| \frac{1}{\kappa} \int_0^T \mathcal{N}\mathbf{u}_\kappa(t) dt \right\|_{\mathbf{V}^*(\Omega)} \right\}_{\kappa > 0} \quad \text{is bounded independently of } \kappa.$$

By definition of supremum, for a.a. $t \in (0, T)$, we can find a sequence $\{\mathbf{v}_t^{(k)}\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset \mathbf{V}(\Omega)$ such that $\|\mathbf{v}_t^{(k)}\|_{\mathbf{V}(\Omega)} = 1$, for which,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left| \langle \mathcal{N}\mathbf{u}_\kappa(t), \mathbf{v}_t^{(k)} \rangle_{\mathbf{V}^*(\Omega), \mathbf{V}(\Omega)} \right| = \sup_{\substack{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}(\Omega) \\ \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbf{V}(\Omega)} = 1}} \left| \langle \mathcal{N}\mathbf{u}_\kappa(t), \mathbf{v} \rangle_{\mathbf{V}^*(\Omega), \mathbf{V}(\Omega)} \right|,$$

and the supremum on the right-hand side of the latter is realised by the vector fields $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}(\Omega)$ such that $\|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbf{V}(\Omega)} = 1$ such that $(v_i \mathbf{e}^i \cdot \mathbf{q})$ has negative sign a.e. in Ω . In correspondence of such elements, we can drop the absolute value. Keeping the latter statement in mind, and applying the Fatou lemma, we obtain that:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \|\mathcal{N}\mathbf{u}_\kappa(t)\|_{\mathbf{V}^*(\Omega)} dt \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \left| \langle \mathcal{N}\mathbf{u}_\kappa(t), \mathbf{v}_t^{(k)} \rangle_{\mathbf{V}^*(\Omega), \mathbf{V}(\Omega)} \right| dt \\ & \leq \sup_{\substack{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}(\Omega) \\ \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbf{V}(\Omega)} = 1}} \int_0^T \langle \mathcal{N}\mathbf{u}_\kappa(t), \mathbf{v} \rangle_{\mathbf{V}^*(\Omega), \mathbf{V}(\Omega)} dt \\ & \leq \sup_{\substack{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}(\Omega) \\ \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbf{V}(\Omega)} = 1}} \left| \left\langle \int_0^T \mathcal{N}\mathbf{u}_\kappa(t) dt, \mathbf{v} \right\rangle_{\mathbf{V}^*(\Omega), \mathbf{V}(\Omega)} \right| = \left\| \int_0^T \mathcal{N}\mathbf{u}_\kappa(t) dt \right\|_{\mathbf{V}^*(\Omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

In conclusion, we have obtained that

$$\int_0^T \|\mathcal{N}\mathbf{u}_\kappa(t)\|_{\mathbf{V}^*(\Omega)} dt = \left\| \int_0^T \mathcal{N}\mathbf{u}_\kappa(t) dt \right\|_{\mathbf{V}^*(\Omega)},$$

and that:

$$(24) \quad \left\{ \frac{1}{\kappa} \tilde{\mathcal{N}}\mathbf{u}_\kappa \right\}_{\kappa > 0} \quad \text{is bounded in } L^1(0, T; \mathbf{V}^*(\Omega)) \text{ independently of } \kappa.$$

In light of Lemmas 4.3–4.5 (in particular, here we need the boundedness of the sequence $\{\dot{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa\}_{\kappa > 0}$ in $L^2(0, T; \mathbf{V}(\Omega))$ independent of κ) and (24), we obtain that:

$$\{\ddot{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa\}_{\kappa > 0} \text{ is bounded in } L^1(0, T; \mathbf{V}^*(\Omega)) \text{ independently of } \kappa.$$

The latter statement puts us in position to apply Theorem 4.3 so that, up to passing to subsequences, we obtain:

$$\ddot{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa \xrightarrow{*} \boldsymbol{\mu}, \quad \text{in } \mathcal{M}([0, T]; \mathbf{V}^*(\Omega)) \text{ as } \kappa \rightarrow 0.$$

Note that the vector-valued measure $\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathcal{M}([0, T]; \mathbf{V}^*(\Omega))$ can be interpreted as the *acceleration* of \mathbf{u} . This understanding comes from the classical definition of the weak derivative, which asserts that:

$$\int_0^T \dot{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa(t) \varphi'(t) dt = - \int_0^T \ddot{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa(t) \varphi(t) dt, \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(0, T).$$

For all $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}(\Omega)$ and all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(0, T)$, it results

$$\int_\Omega \int_0^T \dot{u}_{i,\kappa}(t) (\varphi'(t) v_i) dt dx = - \int_\Omega \int_0^T \ddot{u}_{i,\kappa}(t) (\varphi(t) v_i) dt dx,$$

so that, letting $\kappa \rightarrow 0$ (viz., e.g., Comment 3 of Chapter 4 of [6]) gives

$$\int_\Omega \left(\int_0^T \dot{u}_i(t) \varphi'(t) dt \right) v_i dx = \int_0^T \left(\int_\Omega \dot{u}_i(t) v_i dx \right) \varphi'(t) dt = - \int_0^T \int_\Omega d\mu_i(t) (v_i \varphi(t)) dt,$$

where the first equality holds by Fubini's theorem (cf., e.g., [31]). To summarise, we have obtained that

$$\int_0^T \int_\Omega \dot{u}_i(t) v_i dx \varphi'(t) dt = - \int_0^T \int_\Omega d\mu_i(t) v_i \varphi(t) dt,$$

for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(0, T)$ and all $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}(\Omega)$. The vector-valued measure $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ can be thus regarded as the acceleration \mathbf{u} . This allows us to adopt the notation $\boldsymbol{\mu} = \ddot{\mathbf{u}}$, and the proof of (ii) is thus complete. \square

We now observe that further compactness can be extracted in light of Lemma 4.5 and Lemma 4.6.

Lemma 4.7. *Consider the sequence $\{\mathbf{u}_\kappa\}_{\kappa>0}$, where each \mathbf{u}_κ is a solution of Problem $\mathcal{P}_\kappa(\Omega)$. Up to passing to a subsequence, the following convergences hold:*

$$\dot{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa \rightarrow \dot{\mathbf{u}}, \quad \text{in } L^2(0, T; \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)) \text{ as } \kappa \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. Recall that in Lemma 4.6, we have shown that $\{\ddot{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa\}_{\kappa>0}$ is bounded in $L^1(0, T; \mathbf{V}^*(\Omega))$ independently of κ . Thanks to (11) and (20), we are allowed to apply the Aubin-Lions-Simon theorem (cf., e.g., Theorem 8.62 in [31]) gives that there exists a subsequence, still denoted $\{\dot{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa\}_{\kappa>0}$ such that

$$\dot{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa \rightarrow \dot{\mathbf{u}}, \quad \text{in } L^2(0, T; \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)) \text{ as } \kappa \rightarrow 0,$$

as it had to be proved. \square

We are now ready to establish the existence of solutions for Problem $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$.

Theorem 4.4. *Problem $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ admits at least one solution.*

Proof. Let $\mathbf{v} \in C^0([0, T]; \mathbf{U}(\Omega))$. For any given $t \in [0, T]$, let us test equation (21) at the element $(\mathbf{v}(t) - \mathbf{u}_\kappa(t)) \in \mathbf{V}(\Omega)$. In light of Lemma 4.6, it is straightforward to see that:

$$(25) \quad \int_0^T \langle \ddot{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa(t), \mathbf{v}(t) \rangle_{\mathbf{V}^*(\Omega), \mathbf{V}(\Omega)} dt \rightarrow \langle \ddot{\mathbf{u}}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_{\mathbf{V}^*(\Omega), \mathbf{V}(\Omega)}, \quad \text{as } \kappa \rightarrow 0.$$

An application of Lemmas 4.6 and 4.7 gives:

$$(26) \quad \begin{aligned} & \limsup_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} \left(- \int_0^T \langle \ddot{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa(t), \mathbf{u}_\kappa(t) \rangle_{\mathbf{V}^*(\Omega), \mathbf{V}(\Omega)} dt \right) \\ &= - \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} \langle \dot{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa(T), \mathbf{u}_\kappa(T) \rangle_{\mathbf{V}^*(\Omega), \mathbf{V}(\Omega)} + \int_\Omega \mathbf{u}_1 \cdot \mathbf{u}_0 dx + \limsup_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} \|\dot{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa\|_{L^2(0, T; \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega))}^2 \\ &= - \langle \dot{\mathbf{u}}(T), \mathbf{u}(T) \rangle_{\mathbf{V}^*(\Omega), \mathbf{V}(\Omega)} + \int_\Omega \mathbf{u}_1 \cdot \mathbf{u}_0 dx + \|\dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2(0, T; \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega))}^2. \end{aligned}$$

The convergence $\mathbf{u}_\kappa(T) \rightharpoonup \mathbf{u}(T)$ in $\mathbf{V}^*(\Omega)$ as $\kappa \rightarrow 0$ established in Lemma 4.5 gives:

$$(27) \quad \limsup_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} \left(- \int_0^T \langle \mathcal{B}\dot{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa(t), \mathbf{u}_\kappa(t) \rangle_{\mathbf{V}^*(\Omega), \mathbf{V}(\Omega)} dt \right) \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega B^{ijkl} e_{k||\ell}(\mathbf{u}_0) e_{i||j}(\mathbf{u}_0) dx \\ - \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega B^{ijkl} e_{k||\ell}(\mathbf{u}(T)) e_{i||j}(\mathbf{u}(T)) dx.$$

In a similar way, we obtain that the convergence $\mathbf{u}_\kappa \rightharpoonup \mathbf{u}$ in $L^2(0, T; \mathbf{V}(\Omega))$ as $\kappa \rightarrow 0$ implies that:

$$(28) \quad \limsup_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} \left(- \int_0^T \langle \mathcal{A}\mathbf{u}_\kappa(t), \mathbf{u}_\kappa(t) \rangle_{\mathbf{V}^*(\Omega), \mathbf{V}(\Omega)} dt \right) \leq - \int_0^T \int_\Omega A^{ijkl} e_{k||\ell}(\mathbf{u}(t)) e_{i||j}(\mathbf{u}(t)) dx dt.$$

For what concerns the penalty term, we observe that

$$(29) \quad - \frac{1}{\kappa} \int_0^T \int_\Gamma \{ [\mathbf{I} + u_{i,\kappa}(t)\mathbf{e}^i] \cdot \mathbf{q} \}^- ([\mathbf{I} + v_i(t)\mathbf{e}^i] \cdot \mathbf{q} - [\mathbf{I} + u_{i,\kappa}(t)\mathbf{e}^i] \cdot \mathbf{q}) d\Gamma dt \leq 0,$$

for all $\kappa > 0$.

Combining (25)–(29), we obtain that the limit \mathbf{u} satisfies the following hyperbolic variational inequalities:

$$2\rho \langle \ddot{\mathbf{u}}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_{\mathbf{V}^*(\Omega), \mathbf{V}(\Omega)} - 2\rho \langle \dot{\mathbf{u}}(T), \mathbf{u}(T) \rangle_{\mathbf{V}^*(\Omega), \mathbf{V}(\Omega)} + 2\rho \int_\Omega \mathbf{u}_1 \cdot \mathbf{u}_0 dx + 2\rho \|\dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))}^2 \\ + \int_0^T \int_\Omega A^{ijkl} e_{k||\ell}(\mathbf{u}(t)) e_{i||j}(\mathbf{v}(t) - \mathbf{u}(t)) dx dt + \int_0^T \int_\Omega B^{ijkl} e_{k||\ell}(\dot{\mathbf{u}}(t)) e_{i||j}(\mathbf{v}(t)) dx dt \\ - \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega B^{ijkl} e_{k||\ell}(\mathbf{u}(T)) e_{i||j}(\mathbf{u}(T)) dx dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega B^{ijkl} e_{k||\ell}(\mathbf{u}_0) e_{i||j}(\mathbf{u}_0) dx \geq \int_0^T \int_\Omega f^i(t)(v_i(t) - u_i(t)) dx dt,$$

for all $\mathbf{v} = (v_i) \in \mathcal{C}^0([0, T]; \mathbf{U}(\Omega))$ or, equivalently, the limit \mathbf{u} is a solution of Problem $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$. This completes the proof. \square

CONCLUSION AND FINAL REMARKS

In this paper, we demonstrated the existence of solutions for a model that describes the motion of a three-dimensional viscoelastic body constrained within a specific half-space. We proposed and justified a concept of solution for this model by studying the asymptotic behaviour of the minimisers of the classical energy of three-dimensional linearly viscoelastic bodies with a relaxation term reflecting the degree to which a particular deformation violates the given constraint. We showed that the solutions for the penalized model converge to the solutions of a system of hyperbolic variational inequalities, where the acceleration term exhibits the regularity of a vector-valued measure as defined by Dinculeanu. Essential for establishing the obtained existence result is Lemma 4.2, which asserts the compactness of the time-dependent version of the trace operator.

DECLARATIONS

Authors' Contribution. Not applicable.

Acknowledgements. Not applicable.

Ethical Approval. Not applicable.

Availability of Supporting Data. Not applicable.

Competing Interests. All authors certify that they have no affiliations with or involvement in any organisation or entity with any competing interests in the subject matter or materials discussed in this manuscript.

Funding. This research was partly supported by the University Development Fund of The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shenzhen.

REFERENCES

- [1] I. Bock and J. Jarušek. Dynamic contact problem for a bridge modeled by a viscoelastic full von Kármán system. *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.*, 61(5):865–876, 2010.
- [2] I. Bock and J. Jarušek. Unilateral dynamic contact problem for viscoelastic Reissner-Mindlin plates. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 74(12):4192–4202, 2011.
- [3] I. Bock and J. Jarušek. A vibrating thermoelastic plate in a contact with an obstacle. *Tatra Mt. Math. Publ.*, 63:39–52, 2015.
- [4] I. Bock, J. Jarušek, and M. Šilhavý. On the solutions of a dynamic contact problem for a thermoelastic von Kármán plate. *Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl.*, 32:111–135, 2016.
- [5] H. Brézis. Problèmes unilatéraux. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, 51:1–168, 1972.
- [6] H. Brézis. *Functional Analysis, Sobolev Spaces and Partial Differential Equations*. Springer, New York, 2011.
- [7] H. Brézis and G. Stampacchia. Sur la régularité de la solution d’inéquations elliptiques. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 96:153–180, 1968.
- [8] P. G. Ciarlet. *Mathematical Elasticity. Vol. I: Three-Dimensional Elasticity*. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1988.
- [9] P. G. Ciarlet. *Mathematical Elasticity. Vol. III: Theory of Shells*. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2000.
- [10] P. G. Ciarlet. *An Introduction to Differential Geometry with Applications to Elasticity*. Springer, Dordrecht, 2005.
- [11] P. G. Ciarlet. *Linear and Nonlinear Functional Analysis with Applications*. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Philadelphia, 2013.
- [12] P. G. Ciarlet and J. Nečas. Injectivity and self-contact in nonlinear elasticity. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 97(3):171–188, 1987.
- [13] P. G. Ciarlet and P. Piersanti. Obstacle problems for Koiter’s shells. *Math. Mech. Solids*, 24:3061–3079, 2019.
- [14] M. Conti, F. Dell’Oro, and V. Pata. Some unexplored questions arising in linear viscoelasticity. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 282(10):109422, 2022.
- [15] N. Dinculeanu. *Vector measures*. Pergamon Press, Oxford-New York-Toronto, Ont.; VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin, 1967.
- [16] G. Duvaut and J. L. Lions. *Inequalities in Mechanics and Physics*. Springer, Berlin, 1976.
- [17] C. Eck, J. Jarušek, and M. Krbec. *Unilateral contact problems*, volume 270 of *Pure and Applied Mathematics (Boca Raton)*. Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2005. Variational methods and existence theorems.
- [18] L. C. Evans and R. F. Gariepy. *Measure theory and fine properties of functions*. Textbooks in Mathematics. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, revised edition, 2015.
- [19] K. O. Friedrichs. On the boundary-value problems of the theory of elasticity and Korn’s inequality. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 48:441–471, 1947.
- [20] L. Gasiński and N. S. Papageorgiou. *Nonlinear Analysis*, volume 9 of *Series in Mathematical Analysis and Applications*. Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, 2006.
- [21] R. Glowinski, J. L. Lions, and R. Trémolières. *Numerical Analysis of Variational Inequalities*. North-Holland, Amsterdam-New York, 1981.
- [22] J. Gobert. Une inégalité fondamentale de la théorie de l’élasticité. *Bull. Soc. Roy. Sci. Liège*, 31:182–191, 1962.
- [23] T. H. Gronwall. Note on the derivatives with respect to a parameter of the solutions of a system of differential equations. *Ann. of Math.*, 20(4):292–296, 1919.
- [24] W. Han and M. Sofonea. *Quasistatic contact problems in viscoelasticity and viscoplasticity*, volume 30 of *AMS/IP Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI; International Press, Somerville, MA, 2002.
- [25] P. Hartman. *Ordinary differential equations*, volume 38 of *Classics in Applied Mathematics*. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, 2002. Corrected reprint of the second

- (1982) edition [Birkhäuser, Boston, MA; MR0658490 (83e:34002)], With a foreword by Peter Bates.
- [26] I. Hlaváček and J. Nečas. On inequalities of Korn's type. I. Boundary-value problems for elliptic system of partial differential equations. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 36:305–311, 1970.
 - [27] I. Hlaváček and J. Nečas. On inequalities of Korn's type. II. Applications to linear elasticity. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 36:312–334, 1970.
 - [28] I. Hlaváček, J. Haslinger, J. Nečas, and J. Lovíšek. *Solution of Variational Inequalities in Mechanics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1988.
 - [29] N. Kikuchi and J. T. Oden. *Contact problems in elasticity: a study of variational inequalities and finite element methods*, volume 8. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, 1988.
 - [30] S. Krömer and T. Roubíček. Quasistatic viscoelasticity with self-contact at large strains. *J. Elasticity*, 142(2):433–445, 2020.
 - [31] G. Leoni. *A first course in Sobolev spaces*, volume 181 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, second edition, 2017.
 - [32] J. L. Lions. *Quelques méthodes de résolution des problèmes aux limites non linéaires*. Dunod; Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1969.
 - [33] T. Miyoshi. *Foundations of the numerical analysis of plasticity*, volume 107 of *North-Holland Mathematics Studies*. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam; Kinokuniya Company Ltd., Tokyo, 1985. Lecture Notes in Numerical and Applied Analysis, 7.
 - [34] J. Nečas and I. Hlaváček. *Mathematical theory of elastic and elasto-plastic bodies: an introduction*, volume 3 of *Studies in Applied Mechanics*. Elsevier Scientific Publishing Co., Amsterdam-New York, 1980.
 - [35] J. A. Nitsche. On Korn's second inequality. *RAIRO Anal. Numér.*, 15(3):237–248, 1981.
 - [36] N. S. Papageorgiou and S. T. Kyritsi-Yiallourou. *Handbook of applied analysis*, volume 19 of *Advances in Mechanics and Mathematics*. Springer, New York, 2009.
 - [37] P. Piersanti. An existence and uniqueness theorem for the dynamics of flexural shells. *Math. Mech. Solids*, 25(2):317–336, 2020.
 - [38] P. Piersanti. A time-dependent obstacle problem in linearised elasticity. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 192:111660, 17, 2020.
 - [39] P. Piersanti and R. Temam. On the dynamics of grounded shallow ice sheets: Modelling and analysis. *Adv. Nonlinear Anal.*, 12(1):40 pp., 2023.
 - [40] P.-A. Raviart and J.-M. Thomas. *Introduction à l'analyse numérique des équations aux dérivées partielles*. Collection Mathématiques Appliquées pour la Maîtrise. [Collection of Applied Mathematics for the Master's Degree]. Masson, Paris, 1983.
 - [41] J. N. Reddy. Linearized viscoelasticity. In *An Introduction to Continuum Mechanics*, chapter 9. Cambridge University Press, 2013. URL <https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/an-introduction-to-continuum-mechanics/linearized-viscoelasticity>
 - [42] A. Rodríguez-Arós. Mathematical justification of the obstacle problem for elastic elliptic membrane shells. *Applicable Anal.*, 97:1261–1280, 2018.
 - [43] T. Roubíček. *Nonlinear partial differential equations with applications*, volume 153 of *International Series of Numerical Mathematics*. Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, second edition, 2013.
 - [44] R. Temam. *Problèmes mathématiques en plasticité*, volume 12 of *Méthodes Mathématiques de l'Informatique [Mathematical Methods of Information Science]*. Gauthier-Villars, Montrouge, 1983.
 - [45] A. Češík, G. Gravina, and M. Kampschulte. Inertial evolution of non-linear viscoelastic solids in the face of (self-)collision. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 63(2):Paper No. 55, 48, 2024.