Cramér–Rao Inequalities for Several Generalized Fisher Information

Hao Wu and Lei Yu

Abstract

The de Bruijn identity states that Fisher information is the half of the derivative of Shannon differential entropy along heat flow. In the same spirit, in this paper we introduce a generalized version of Fisher information, named as the Rényi–Fisher information, which is the half of the derivative of Rényi information along heat flow. Based on this Rényi–Fisher information, we establish sharp Rényi-entropic isoperimetric inequalities, which generalize the classic entropic isoperimetric inequality to the Rényi setting. Utilizing these isoperimetric inequalities, we extend the classical Cramér–Rao inequality from Fisher information to Rényi–Fisher information. Lastly, we use these generalized Cramér–Rao inequalities to determine the signs of derivatives of entropy along heat flow, strengthening existing results on the complete monotonicity of entropy.

I. INTRODUCTION

For any random vector X in \mathbb{R}^n with the density p, the Shannon (differential) entropy, Shannon entropy power, and Fisher information are respectively defined as

$$\begin{split} h(X) &:= -\int p(x)\log p(x)dx,\\ N(X) &:= \exp\left\{\frac{2}{n}h(X)\right\} = \exp\left\{-\frac{2}{n}\int p(x)\log p(x)dx\right\},\\ I(X) &:= \int \frac{|\nabla p(x)|^2}{p(x)}dx. \end{split}$$

These three quantities admit some nice identities when X is a heat flow. Denote Z as a Gaussian vector with covariance matrix \mathbb{I}_n , where \mathbb{I}_n denotes the identity matrix of order n. Denote $Y_t = X + \sqrt{tZ}$, where X and Z_t are independent. It is known that the density p_t of Y_t is just the solution of heat equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}p_t = \frac{1}{2}\Delta p_t,\tag{1}$$

where Δ is the Laplacian operator. The de Bruijn identity states that the Shannon entropy and Fisher information of Y_t admit the following identity:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}h(Y_t) = \frac{1}{2}I(Y_t),\tag{2}$$

which implies

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}N(Y_t) = \frac{2}{n}N(Y_t)\frac{\partial}{\partial t}h(Y_t) = \frac{1}{n}N(Y_t)I(Y_t).$$

For an arbitrary random vector X, the entropic isoperimetric inequality [8] and Cramér–Rao inequality are respectively

$$N(X)I(X) \ge 2\pi en,\tag{3}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{n}\sigma_2(X) \ge \frac{n}{I(X)},\tag{4}$$

H. Wu and L. Yu are with the School of Statistics and Data Science, LPMC, KLMDASR, and LEBPS, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China (e-mails: haowu@mail.nankai.edu.cn and leiyu@nankai.edu.cn). This work was supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China under grant 2023YFA1009604, the NSFC under grant 62101286, and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities of China (Nankai University) under grant 054-63253112.

2

where $\sigma_2(X) := \sigma_2(p) := \int |x|^2 p(x) dx$ denotes the second moment of random vector $X \sim p$. These are two well known inequalities that quantify respectively the relation between Fisher information and Shannon entropy power and the relation between Fisher information and the second moment. These two inequalities are sharp and Gaussian random vectors are extremizers.

The Rényi entropy [17] is a natural generalization of Shannon entropy, which provides a finer characterization of information amount contained in a random vector. For $\alpha \in (0, 1) \cup (1, \infty)$, the Rényi entropy of order α is defined as

$$h_{\alpha}(X) := \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \log \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (p(x))^{\alpha} dx$$

For $\alpha = 0, 1, \infty$, $h_{\alpha}(X)$ is defined by continuous extension. Specifically, for $\alpha = 1$, the Rényi entropy reduces to the Shannon entropy, i.e.,

$$h_1(X) = h(X).$$

A natural extension of Shannon entropy power is the Rényi entropy power

$$N_{\alpha}(X) := \exp\left\{\frac{2}{n}h_{\alpha}(X)\right\} = \left(\int p(x)^{\alpha}dx\right)^{-\frac{2}{n(\alpha-1)}}.$$
(5)

Specifically, for $\alpha = 1$, the Rényi entropy power reduces to the Shannon entropy power, i.e., $N_1(X) = N(X)$.

Intuitively, Fisher information also has a natural extension induced by the Rényi entropy. Consider the heat equation in (1) again. Then, one can easily verify that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}h_{\alpha}(Y_t) = \frac{\alpha}{2} \frac{\int |\nabla p_t|^2 p_t^{\alpha-2} dx}{\int p_t^{\alpha} dx}.$$
(6)

Comparing this identity with the de Bruijn identity in (6) inspires us to introduce a new notion—the Rényi version of Fisher information, or shortly, Rényi–Fisher information, which is given by for $\alpha \ge 0$,

$$I_{\alpha}(X) := \alpha \frac{\int |\nabla p|^2 p^{\alpha - 2} dx}{\int p^{\alpha} dx}.$$
(7)

It is easy to find that for $\alpha = 1$, Rényi–Fisher information reduces to Fisher information, i.e., $I_1 = I$. In terms of I_{α} , (6) is rewritten as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}h_{\alpha}(Y_t) = \frac{1}{2}I_{\alpha}(Y_t),\tag{8}$$

a generalization of the de Brujin identity (2).

In the same spirit, motivated from Tsallis entropy, we can define the Tsallis–Fisher information $\hat{I}_{\alpha}(f) := \alpha \int f^{\alpha-2} |\nabla f|^2 dx$ ($\hat{\mathbf{I}}_{\alpha}(f) := \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \frac{\nabla f}{f^{\frac{3-\alpha}{2}}} (\frac{\nabla f}{f^{\frac{3-\alpha}{2}}})^T dx$ for the matrix version). In addition, distinct from I_{α} and \hat{I}_{α} discussed above, the functional $\tilde{I}_{\alpha}(f) := \frac{1}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f^{\alpha} dx} \int_{\{f>0\}} \frac{|\nabla f^{\alpha}|^2}{f} dx$, called α -weighted Rényi–Fisher information, was introduced by Giuseppe Savaré and Giuseppe Toscani [19]. The definition of \tilde{I}_{α} is motivated by an identity similar to (6) but for *nonlinear* heat flow. In this paper, we will derive the corresponding Cramér–Rao inequalities for all these types of Fisher information.

A. Our Contributions

Our contributions in this paper are as follows.

We establish the optimal n-dimensional Rényi-entropic isoperimetric inequality N_α(X)I_α(X) ≥ r_{α,n} and identify its extremizer in some specific regions of (α, n). Our results for this part are summarized in Table I. These results generalize the classic entropic isoperimetric inequality from Fisher information to Rényi–Fisher information. In Table I, for n ≥ 2, the function u appearing in the extremized density is a solution to a non-linear ordinary differential equation satisfying certain initial and boundary conditions, and M_{2/α} = ∫_{ℝⁿ} u^{2/α}(|x|)dx is a normalization constant, which will be precisely defined in the theorems presented in the text. In particular, the extremized density in the last row of Table I is sometimes referred to as the Barenblatt profile. In contrast, for α = 1, the extremers are Gaussian densities.

Table I

SUMMARY OF OUR RESULTS ON RÉNYI-ENTROPIC ISOPERIMETRIC INEQUALITY $N_lpha(X)I_lpha(X)\geq r_{lpha,n}.$ The details are provided in
Theorem 1 for dimension 1, in Theorem 2 for dimension 2, and in Theorems 3, 4, and 5 for dimension $n \ge 3$. See the
DETAILS FOR THE NOTATIONS UNDEFINED HERE IN THE CORRESPONDING THEOREMS.

Dimension n and parameter α	$r_{lpha,n}$	Extremized density
$n = 1, \alpha \in (1, \infty)$	$\frac{2\pi}{\alpha - 1} \left(\frac{2\alpha}{\alpha + 1}\right)^{\frac{\alpha + 1}{\alpha - 1}} \left(\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha + 1}{2(\alpha - 1)}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha - 1}\right)}\right)^2$	$a\left(\cos x ight)^{rac{2}{lpha-1}}1_{[-rac{\pi}{2},rac{\pi}{2}]}(x)$ with a normalization constant a
$n=1, lpha\in (0,1)$	$\frac{4\pi\alpha}{1-\alpha^2} \left(\frac{\alpha+1}{2\alpha}\right)^{\frac{2\alpha}{1-\alpha}} \left(\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha+1}{2(1-\alpha)}\right)}\right)^2$	$a \cosh(x)^{-\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}}$ with a normalization constant $a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2(1-\alpha)})}{\Gamma(\frac{\alpha}{2(1-\alpha)})}$
$n = 1, \alpha = 0$	$\lim_{\alpha \to 0} \alpha r_{\alpha,1} = 4$	$\frac{1}{2}e^{- x }$
$n = 1, \alpha = \infty$	$\lim_{\alpha \to \infty} \alpha r_{\alpha,1} = 4\pi^2$	$rac{1}{\pi} 1_{[-rac{\pi}{2},rac{\pi}{2}]}(x)$
$n=2,\alpha\in(1,2]$	$4(\alpha-1)\alpha^{\frac{2\alpha-1}{1-\alpha}}M_{\frac{2}{\alpha}}$	
$n=2,\alpha\in(0,1)$	$4(1-\alpha)\alpha^{\frac{3\alpha-2}{1-\alpha}}M_2$	$\frac{b}{M}u^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}(bx+c)$ with $b>0$ and $c\in\mathbb{R}^n$
$n \in [3, 5], \alpha \in \left(\frac{n-2}{n}, 1\right)$	$\frac{2n(1-\alpha)}{\alpha} \left(\frac{2-n(1-\alpha)}{2}\right)^{\frac{2\alpha-n(1-\alpha)}{n(1-\alpha)}} M_2^{\frac{2}{n}}$	$\frac{M}{2}$
$\frac{n \in [3, 5], \alpha \in (1, 2]}{n \in (5, \infty), \alpha \in (\frac{2(n-2)}{n+2}, 2]}$	$\frac{4n(\alpha-1)}{\alpha[n(\alpha-1)+2]} \left(\frac{2}{n(\alpha-1)+2}\right)^{\frac{2}{n(\alpha-1)}} M_{\frac{2}{\alpha}}^{\frac{2}{n}}$	
$n \in [3, \infty), \alpha = \frac{n-2}{n}$	$4\pi n^2 \left(\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(n)}\right)^{\frac{2}{n}}$	$\frac{a}{(1+b x-x_0 ^2)^n}$ with $a,b>0$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$

Table II

Summary of our results on Cramér–Rao inequality. The results are provided in Theorem 6 for I_{α} , in Theorem 8 for \tilde{I}_{α} , in Theorem 9 and 10 for \hat{I}_{α} , and in Theorem 11 for \hat{I}_{α} . See the details for the notations undefined here in the corresponding theorems.

Type of Fisher information	Dimension n and parameter α	Cramér-Rao inequality
Rényi–Fisher information I_{α}	$(lpha,n)\in$	$e^{\frac{2}{n}h_{\alpha}(K_f)}I_{\alpha}(f) \ge r_{\alpha,n}$
	$\Theta \cap \left\{ (\alpha, n) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{Z}^+ : \alpha > \frac{n}{n+2} \right\}$	
α -weighted Rényi–Fisher information \widetilde{I}_{α}	$n \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \alpha \in \left(\frac{n}{n+2}, 1\right) \cup (1, \infty)$	$\widetilde{I}_{\alpha}(f) \geq \left(\frac{n}{\sigma_2(f)}\right)^{\frac{n(\alpha-1)}{2}+1} n \frac{2\alpha}{ \alpha-1 }$ with extremizer \mathcal{B}_{α}
Tsallis–Fisher information \hat{I}_{α}	$n = 1, \alpha \in (0, +\infty)$	$\sigma_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(X)\left(\hat{I}_{\alpha}(X)\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}} \ge \sigma_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(G)\left(\hat{I}_{\alpha}(G)\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}$ with extremizer $G(x/t)/t$ for some $t > 0$
	$n \in (2, \infty), \alpha \in \left(\frac{n-2}{n}, \infty\right)$	$\left(\frac{\sigma_2(X)}{\sigma_2(G^n)}\right)^{\frac{(\alpha-1)n}{2}+1} \ge \frac{\hat{I}_{\alpha}(G^n)}{\hat{I}_{\alpha}(X)} \text{ with extremizer} \\ X = aG^n, a > 0$
Tsallis–Fisher information matrix $\hat{\mathbf{I}}_{\alpha}$	$n \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \alpha \in \left(\frac{n-2}{n+2}, \infty\right)$	$\frac{\hat{\mathbf{I}}_{\alpha}(f)}{\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}} dx} - \frac{4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}} dx}{(\alpha+1)^{2}} K^{-1} \text{ is positive}$ semidefinite, with extremizer $g_{\frac{\alpha+1}{2},K}$

- 2) We establish *n*-dimensional Cramér–Rao inequalities for several types of Fisher information and identify their extremizers (when they are sharp) in specific regions of (α, n) . The results for this part are summarized in Table II. These results generalize the classic Cramér–Rao inequality for Fisher information to generalized Fisher information.
- 3) As applications, we connect the Cramér–Rao inequality for Rényi–Fisher information to the complete monotonicity of Rényi entropy power; see Theorem 7. We then provide a nontrivial one-dimensional bound for the first two order derivatives of Rényi entropy along heat flow; see Propositions 1 and 2. Additionally, we relate the Cramér–Rao inequality for Tsallis–Fisher information to the complete monotonicity of Tsallis entropy, and also strengthen the complete monotonicity of logarithmic Tsallis entropy of order 2; see Proposition 3 and Corollaries 6, 7 and 8.

B. Organization

The organization of this paper is as follows. In Section III, we derive Cramér–Rao inequality for Rényi–Fisher information I_{α} and connect it to the complete monotonicity of Rényi entropy power. In Section IV, we derive Cramér–Rao inequality for α -weighted Rényi–Fisher information \tilde{I}_{α} . In Section V, we derive Cramér–Rao inequality for Tsallis–Fisher information \hat{I}_{α} and connect it to the complete monotonicity of Tsallis entropy. In particular, to establish the Cramér–Rao inequality for Rényi–Fisher information I_{α} , we first derive the sharp Rényi-entropic isoperimetric inequality in Section II. It is noting that the sharp Rényi-entropic isoperimetric inequality obtained in section II also holds independent significance on its own. In Section VI, we conclude the main results of this paper and discuss their related applications. Some useful lemmas in proving our results are provided in the appendix.

II. RÉNYI-ENTROPIC ISOPERIMETRIC INEQUALITY

In this section, we generalize the entropic isoperimetric inequality to the Rényi entropy version, i.e.,

$$N_{\alpha}(X)I_{\alpha}(X) \ge r_{\alpha,n},\tag{9}$$

where $r_{\alpha,n}$ is the optimal constant. A similar generalization was considered by Bobkov and Roberto [4, 3]. In [4] and [3], they respectively focused on the following two inequalities:

$$N_{\alpha}(X)I(X) \ge c_{\alpha,n},$$

$$N_{\alpha}(X)\bar{I}_{s}(X)^{\frac{2}{s}} \ge c_{\alpha,s,n},$$

where $\bar{I}_s(X) := \int \left(\frac{|\nabla p|}{p}\right)^s p dx$, and $s \ge 1$. Due to the Rényi entropy version of the de Brujin identity in (8), our Rényi-entropic isoperimetric inequality in (9) seems a more natural generalization of the entropic isoperimetric inequality in (3).

We adopt the proof idea in [4, 3] to investigate (9), which is based on Gagliardo–Nirenberg's inequalities. Recall the definitions of Rényi entropy power and Rényi–Fisher information in (5) and (7). Using the substitution $p = \frac{f^2}{\int f^2 dx}$, we have

$$N_{\alpha}(X) = \left(\int f^2 dx\right)^{-\frac{2}{n(\alpha-1)}} \left(\int f^{\frac{2}{\alpha}} dx\right)^{\frac{2\alpha}{n(\alpha-1)}}$$
$$I_{\alpha}(X) = \alpha \frac{\int \frac{(\frac{2}{\alpha})^2 f^{\frac{4}{\alpha}-2} |\nabla f|^2}{(\int f^{\frac{2}{\alpha}} dx)^2} \frac{f^{\frac{2(\alpha-2)}{\alpha}}}{(\int f^{\frac{2}{\alpha}} dx)^{\alpha-2}} dx}{\int \frac{f^2}{(\int f^{\frac{2}{\alpha}} dx)^{\alpha}} dx}$$
$$= \frac{4}{\alpha} \frac{\int |\nabla f|^2 dx}{\int f^2 dx}.$$

Therefore, (9) can be equivalently reformulated as

$$\left(\int f^2 dx\right)^{\frac{2}{n(\alpha-1)}+1} \le \frac{4}{\alpha r_{\alpha,n}} \int |\nabla f|^2 dx \left(\int f^{\frac{2}{\alpha}} dx\right)^{\frac{2\alpha}{n(\alpha-1)}}.$$
(10)

Indeed, (10) is a special case of a class of well-known inequalities, known as Gagliardo–Nirenberg's inequalities. See Appendix A for more information on this kind of inequalities. We will leverage Gagliardo–Nirenberg's inequalities to determine the optimal constant in (9).

A. Dimension n = 1

We first focus on dimension n = 1, in which case the entropic isoperimetric inequality (9) becomes

$$N_{\alpha}(X)I_{\alpha}(X) \ge r_{\alpha,1}.$$
(11)

0

The optimal constant $r_{\alpha,1}$ is given by the following result.

Theorem 1. (i) In the case $1 < \alpha < \infty$, we have

$$r_{\alpha,1} = \frac{2\pi}{\alpha - 1} \left(\frac{2\alpha}{\alpha + 1}\right)^{\frac{\alpha + 1}{\alpha - 1}} \left(\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha + 1}{2(\alpha - 1)}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha - 1}\right)}\right)^2.$$

Moreover, the density $p(x) = a (\cos x)^{\frac{2}{\alpha-1}} \mathbb{1}_{\left[-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right]}(x)$ with a normalization constant a is an extremizer in (11). (ii) In the case $0 < \alpha < 1$,

$$r_{\alpha,1} = \frac{4\pi\alpha}{1-\alpha^2} \left(\frac{\alpha+1}{2\alpha}\right)^{\frac{2\alpha}{1-\alpha}} \left(\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha+1}{2(1-\alpha)}\right)}\right)^2.$$

Moreover, the density $p(x) = a \cosh(x)^{-\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}}$ with a normalization constant $a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2(1-\alpha)}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2(1-\alpha)}\right)}$ is an extremizer in (11).

(iii) Let $R_{\alpha,1} := \alpha r_{\alpha,1}$ for any $\alpha > 0$, that is,

$$N_{\alpha}(X)I_{\alpha}(X) \ge \frac{R_{\alpha,1}}{\alpha},\tag{12}$$

then for $\alpha = 0$ (in the sense of limits), we have

$$\lim_{\alpha \to 0} \alpha r_{\alpha,1} := R_{0,1} = 4.$$

Moreover, the density $p(x) = \frac{1}{2}e^{-|x|}$ ($x \in \mathbb{R}$) of the two sided exponential distribution is an extremizer in (12) for $\alpha = 0$.

(iv) For $\alpha = \infty$ (in the sense of limits), we have

$$\lim_{\alpha \to \infty} \alpha r_{\alpha,1} =: R_{\infty,1} = 4\pi^2.$$

Moreover, $\frac{1}{\pi} \mathbb{1}_{\left[-\frac{\pi}{\alpha}, \frac{\pi}{\alpha}\right]}(x)$, the limits of the extremizers in (11) for $\alpha \to \infty$, are extremizers in (12) for $\alpha = \infty$.

The results in Theorem 1 are sharp. Notably, the one-dimensional entropic isoperimetric inequality $N_{\alpha}(X)I(X) \ge c_{\alpha,1}$ considered in [4] attains the optimal constant $c_{\alpha,1}$ and the extremized density when $\alpha = 0, \infty$. However, for $\alpha = 0, \infty$, the one-dimensional entropic isoperimetric inequality (11) does not admit an optimal constant $r_{\alpha,1}$, except when considering inequality (12) in the limiting sense. We use continuity arguments to obtain explicit values of $r_{\alpha,1}$ for $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}, 1, 2, 3$ and limiting values $R_{0,1} = \lim_{\alpha \to 0} \alpha r_{\alpha,1}$, $R_{\infty,1} = \lim_{\alpha \to \infty} \alpha r_{\alpha,1}$ in the one dimensional entropic isoperimetric inequality arguments to obtain explicit values of $r_{\alpha,1}$ for $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}, 1, 2, 3$ and limiting values $R_{0,1} = \lim_{\alpha \to 0} \alpha r_{\alpha,1}$, $R_{\infty,1} = \lim_{\alpha \to \infty} \alpha r_{\alpha,1}$ in the one dimensional entropic isoperimetric inequality arguments to obtain explicit values of $r_{\alpha,1}$ for $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}, 1, 2, 3$ and limiting values $R_{0,1} = \lim_{\alpha \to 0} \alpha r_{\alpha,1}$, $R_{\infty,1} = \lim_{\alpha \to \infty} \alpha r_{\alpha,1}$ in the one dimensional entropic isoperimetric inequality (11). The computed results are summarized in Table III below. Note that the inequality for $\alpha = 1$ is just the classic entropic isoperimetric inequality.

Table IIISUMMARY OF RESULTS ON ONE DIMENSIONAL RÉNYI-ENTROPIC ISOPERIMETRIC INEQUALITY $N_{\alpha}(X) I_{\alpha}(X) \geq r_{\alpha,1}$.

α	$r_{\alpha,1}$	Extremized density
0	$R_{0,1} = \lim_{\alpha \to 0} \alpha r_{\alpha,1} = 4$	$rac{b}{2}e^{- bx+c }, b>0, c\in \mathbb{R}$
$\frac{1}{2}$	24	$\frac{b}{\pi\cosh(bx+c)}, b > 0, c \in \mathbb{R}$
1	$2\pi e$	Gaussian densities
2	$\frac{32}{27}\pi^2$	$\frac{2b}{\pi}\cos^2(bx+c)1_{[-\frac{\pi}{2},\frac{\pi}{2}]}(bx+c), b>0, c\in\mathbb{R}$
3	9	$\frac{b}{2}\cos(bx+c)1_{[-\frac{\pi}{2},\frac{\pi}{2}]}(bx+c), b > 0, c \in \mathbb{R}$
∞	$R_{\infty,1} = \lim_{\alpha \to \infty} \alpha r_{\alpha,1} = 4\pi^2$	$\frac{b}{\pi} \mathbb{1}_{\left[-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right]}(bx+c), b > 0, c \in \mathbb{R}$

Proof of Theorem 1: To determine the value of the best constant $r_{\alpha,1}$ in (11) and the form of the extremizers, we rely on Lemma 8 in the appendix. By Lemma 8, the extremal distributions (with densities p) in (11) are uniquely determined up to non-degenerate affine transformations of the real line. Therefore, it suffices to specify a single extremizer for each admissible parameter collection.

According to (10), one dimensional entropic isoperimetric inequality (11) takes now the form

$$\int f^2 dx \le \left(\frac{4}{\alpha r_{\alpha,1}}\right)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha+1}} \left(\int f'^2 dx\right)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha+1}} \left(\int f^{\frac{2}{\alpha}} dx\right)^{\frac{2\alpha}{\alpha+1}} \tag{13}$$

when $\alpha > 1$, and

$$\int f^{\frac{2}{\alpha}} dx \le \left(\frac{4}{\alpha r_{\alpha,1}}\right)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}} \left(\int f'^2 dx\right)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}} \left(\int f^2 dx\right)^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2\alpha}}$$
(14)

when $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

In the case (i), $1 < \alpha < \infty$, then (11) is equivalent to (13) and corresponds to (71) in the appendix with $p = 2, \gamma = \frac{2}{\alpha}, q = \frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}$ and $\beta = \frac{2(\alpha-1)}{\alpha}$. Therefore, by Lemma 8 in the appendix, $\left(\frac{4}{\alpha r_{\alpha,1}}\right)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha+1}} = \left(\frac{\alpha+1}{2\alpha}W\left(\frac{\alpha+1}{2(\alpha-1)},\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)^{\frac{2(\alpha-1)}{\alpha+1}}$, so that

$$\begin{split} r_{\alpha,1} &= \frac{4}{\alpha \cdot \frac{1}{4} \frac{(\alpha+1)^2}{\alpha^2} W\left(\frac{\alpha+1}{2(\alpha-1)}, \frac{1}{2}\right)^2} \\ &= 16 \frac{\alpha}{(\alpha+1)^2} \frac{\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{\alpha+1}{2(\alpha-1)}\right)^2 \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2}{\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{\alpha+1}{2(\alpha-1)} + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2} \left(\frac{\frac{\alpha+1}{2(\alpha-1)} + \frac{1}{2}}{\frac{\alpha+1}{2(\alpha-1)}}\right)^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha-1}} \left(\frac{\frac{\alpha+1}{2(\alpha-1)} + \frac{1}{2}}{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\ &= \frac{16\alpha}{(\alpha+1)^2} \frac{\frac{1}{4} \frac{(\alpha+1)^2}{(\alpha-1)^2} \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha+1}{2(\alpha-1)}\right)^2 \frac{\pi}{4}}{\frac{\alpha^2}{(\alpha-1)^2} \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}\right)^2} \left(\frac{2\alpha}{\alpha+1}\right)^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha-1}} \frac{2\alpha}{\alpha-1} \\ &= \frac{2\pi}{\alpha-1} \left(\frac{2\alpha}{\alpha+1}\right)^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha-1}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha+1}{2(\alpha-1)}\right)^2}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}\right)^2}, \end{split}$$

where we use the identities $\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}$ and $\Gamma(1+z) = z\Gamma(z)$. Regarding extremizers, item (ii) of Lemma 8 in the appendix applies, stating that equality in (13) is achieved,

Regarding extremizers, item (ii) of Lemma 8 in the appendix applies, stating that equality in (13) is achieved, up to numerical factors, by functions $p(x) = ay^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}(|bx + c|)$, with a a normalization constant, $b \neq 0, c \in \mathbb{R}$. Here y = y(t) is defined implicitly by the equation

$$t = \int_{y}^{1} \left(s^{\frac{2}{\alpha}} \left(1 - s^{\frac{2(\alpha-1)}{\alpha}} \right) \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} ds = \int_{y}^{1} \frac{1}{s^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \sqrt{1 - s^{\frac{2(\alpha-1)}{\alpha}}}} ds$$

for $t \le t_0 = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{s^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \sqrt{1 - s^{\frac{2(\alpha-1)}{\alpha}}}} ds$ and y(t) = 0 for $t > t_0$. Lemma 9 in the appendix asserts that $t_0 = \frac{\pi \alpha}{2(\alpha-1)}$ and

$$y(t) = \left(\cos(\frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha})t\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha - 1}} \mathbf{1}_{[0, \frac{\pi\alpha}{2(\alpha - 1)}]}(t)$$

Thus,

$$p(x) = a \left(\cos x\right)^{\frac{2}{\alpha-1}} \mathbf{1}_{\left[-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right]}(x).$$

Next, we turn to the case (ii), where $0 < \alpha < 1$. Here (11) is equivalent to (14) and corresponding to (71) in the appendix with $p = \gamma = q = 2$ and $\beta = \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{\alpha}$. Therefore, by Lemma 8 in the appendix, $\left(\frac{4}{\alpha r_{\alpha,1}}\right)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}} =$ $\left(W\left(\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha},\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}}$, so that

$$\begin{split} r_{\alpha,1} &= \frac{4}{\alpha W \left(\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}, \frac{1}{2}\right)^2} \\ &= \frac{4}{\alpha} \frac{\Gamma \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\right)^2 \Gamma \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2}{\Gamma \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2} \left(\frac{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} + \frac{1}{2}}{\frac{1-\alpha}{1-\alpha}}\right)^{\frac{2\alpha}{1-\alpha}} \left(\frac{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} + \frac{1}{2}}{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\ &= \frac{4}{\alpha} \frac{\frac{\alpha^2}{(1-\alpha)^2} \Gamma \left(\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\right)^2 \frac{\pi}{4}}{\frac{1}{4} (\frac{\alpha+1)^2}{(1-\alpha)^2} \Gamma \left(\frac{\alpha+1}{2(1-\alpha)}\right)^2} \left(\frac{\alpha+1}{2\alpha}\right)^{\frac{2\alpha}{1-\alpha}} \frac{\alpha+1}{1-\alpha} \\ &= \frac{4\pi\alpha}{1-\alpha^2} \left(\frac{\alpha+1}{2\alpha}\right)^{\frac{2\alpha}{1-\alpha}} \frac{\Gamma \left(\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\right)^2}{\Gamma \left(\frac{\alpha+1}{2(1-\alpha)}\right)^2}, \end{split}$$

similarly, where we use the identities $\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}$ and $\Gamma(1+z) = z\Gamma(z)$. Regarding extremizers, item (ii) of Lemma 8 in the appendix applies, stating that equality in (14) is achieved, up to numerical factors, by functions f(x) = y(|bx + c|), with $b \neq 0, c \in \mathbb{R}$, and $y : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ defined implicitly for $t \in [0, \infty)$ by $y = y(t), 0 \le y \le 1$, with

$$t = \int_{y}^{1} \left(s^{2} \left(1 - s^{\frac{2(1-\alpha)}{\alpha}} \right) \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} ds = \int_{y}^{1} \frac{1}{s\sqrt{1 - s^{\frac{2(1-\alpha)}{\alpha}}}} ds.$$

Lemma 9 in the appendix asserts that $y(t) = \left(\cosh(\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha})t\right)^{-\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}}$. Therefore, the extremizers in (14) are achieved, up to numerical factors, by functions of the form

$$f(x) = \left(\cosh(\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha})|bx+c|\right)^{-\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}}, \quad b \neq 0, c \in \mathbb{R}$$

Thus, the extremizers in (11) are of the form $p = \frac{f^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}}{\int f^{\frac{2}{\alpha}} dx}$ with f an extremizer in (14). Therefore, by Lemma 9 in the appendix, with some b > 0 and $c \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$p(x) = \frac{\left(\cosh(\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha})|bx+c|\right)^{-\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}}}{\int \left(\cosh(\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha})|bx+c|\right)^{-\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}}dx} = \frac{(1-\alpha)b}{\sqrt{\pi\alpha}}\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2(1-\alpha)}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2(1-\alpha)}\right)}\left(\cosh(\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha})|bx+c|\right)^{-\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}}.$$

As for the case (iii), $R_{\alpha,1} = \alpha r_{\alpha,1}$ when $\alpha > 0$. (11) reads

$$N_{\alpha}(X)I_{\alpha}(X) \ge \frac{R_{\alpha,1}}{\alpha}.$$
(15)

Taking $p = \frac{f^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}}{\int f^{\frac{2}{\alpha}} dx}$, and $\alpha \downarrow 0$ in the case (iii), (15) becomes

$$||f||_{\infty} \le \left(\frac{4}{R_{0,1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\int |f'|^2 dx\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\int f^2 dx\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}.$$

This corresponds to (70) in the appendix with parameters $p = q = \gamma = 2$. Therefore, item (i) of Lemma 8 in the appendix applies and leads, when $\int f^2 dx = 1$, to

$$\|f\|_{\infty} \le \left(\int |f'|^2 dx\right)^{\frac{1}{4}},$$

that is, $R_{0,1} = 4$. Moreover, the extremizers in (70) in the appendix are given by

$$f(x) = ay_{2,2}(|bx+c|) = ae^{-|bx+c|}, \quad b \neq 0, a, c \in \mathbb{R}$$

Thus, $p(x) = \frac{1}{2}e^{-|x|}$ $(x \in \mathbb{R})$ represents an extremizer in (12) for $\alpha = 0$.

Finally, the limit in item (i) of Theorem 1 leads to the optimal constant

$$R_{\infty,1} = \lim_{\alpha \to \infty} \alpha r_{\alpha,1}$$

$$= \lim_{\alpha \to \infty} \frac{2\alpha \pi}{\alpha - 1} \left(\frac{2\alpha}{\alpha + 1}\right)^{\frac{\alpha + 1}{\alpha - 1}} \left(\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha + 1}{2(\alpha - 1)}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha - 1}\right)}\right)^{2}$$

$$= 2\pi \left(\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1\right)}\right)^{2}$$

$$= 4\pi^{2}.$$

Since all explicit expressions are continuous with respect to α , the limits of the extremizers in (11) for $\alpha \to \infty$ represent extremizers in (12) for $\alpha = \infty$, the conclusion follows.

Remark 1. Inspired by the proof of (3), there may be another two ways to establish (9). One method is to start from the concavity of $N_{\alpha}(Y_t)$ with respect to t for some region of α (assume $N_{\alpha}(Y_t)$ has such property), if this holds, by (8),

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{N_{\alpha}(Y_t)}{t} = \frac{d}{dt} \bigg|_{t=\infty} N_{\alpha}(Y_t) \le \frac{d}{dt} \bigg|_{t=0} N_{\alpha}(Y_t) = \frac{1}{n} N_{\alpha}(X) I_{\alpha}(X).$$

Using heat kernel estimate, we may be able to prove that $\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{N_{\alpha}(Y_t)}{t}$ is finite and find its exact value. But as far as we know, except $\alpha = 1$, the concavity or convexity of $N_{\alpha}(Y_t)$ with respect to t has not been verified yet.

The second method is to start from Rényi entropy power inequality, however, it encounters similar difficulties. Assume we could find some region of α such that $\beta \leq 1$ and

$$N_{\alpha}^{\beta}(X+Y) \ge N_{\alpha}^{\beta}(X) + N_{\alpha}^{\beta}(Y)$$
(16)

for any independent random vectors X and Y. If these hold, taking Y as \sqrt{tZ} , and note that $N_{\alpha}(\sqrt{tZ}) = 2\pi t \alpha^{\frac{1}{\alpha-1}}$, then we have

$$N_{\alpha}^{\beta}(X + \sqrt{t}Z) - N_{\alpha}^{\beta}(X) \ge (2\pi)^{\beta} \alpha^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha-1}} t^{\beta}.$$
(17)

By (8), we have

$$\frac{\beta}{n} N_{\alpha}^{\beta}(X) I_{\alpha}(X) = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{N_{\alpha}^{\beta}(X + \sqrt{t}Z) - N_{\alpha}^{\beta}(X)}{t} \ge (2\pi)^{\beta} \alpha^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha-1}}$$

where the inequality comes from $\beta \leq 1$ and (17). But as far as we know, the maximum interval of β that currently holds inequality (16) is $\left(\left(1 + \frac{1}{\log 2}\left(\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha-1}\log\frac{\alpha+1}{2\alpha} + \frac{\log\alpha}{\alpha-1}\right)\right)^{-1}, \infty\right)$ for $\alpha > 1$, which was established by Jiange Li [10]. It is easy to check that $\left(1 + \frac{1}{\log 2}\left(\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha-1}\log\frac{\alpha+1}{2\alpha} + \frac{\log\alpha}{\alpha-1}\right)\right)^{-1} > 1$ for $\alpha > 1$. Similarly, if we could find some region of α such that $c_{\alpha} \geq 1$ and

$$N_{\alpha}(X+Y) \ge c_{\alpha}(N_{\alpha}(X) + N_{\alpha}(Y)), \tag{18}$$

then we may be able to establish (9), but we only know that (18) holds if $\alpha > 1$ and $c_{\alpha} \leq \frac{1}{e}\alpha^{\frac{1}{\alpha-1}} < 1$ [2].

B. Dimension n = 2

We then consider dimension n = 2. In this case, the entropic isoperimetric inequality (9) becomes

$$N_{\alpha}(X)I_{\alpha}(X) \ge r_{\alpha,2}.$$
(19)

The optimal constant $r_{\alpha,2}$ is given by the following result, where we denote

$$M_s = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u^s(|x|) dx = 2\pi \int_0^\infty u^s(t) t dt$$

with the function u specified below.

Theorem 2. (i) For any $\alpha \in (1, 2]$, we have

$$r_{\alpha,2} = 4(\alpha - 1)\alpha^{\frac{2\alpha - 1}{1 - \alpha}} M_{\frac{2}{\alpha}}$$

where $M_{\frac{2}{\alpha}} = 2\pi \int_0^\infty u^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}(t) t dt$ with u(t) defined to be the unique positive decreasing solution to the differential equation $u''(t) + \frac{1}{t}u'(t) + u(t) = u(t)^{\frac{2-\alpha}{\alpha}}$ in 0 < t < T, satisfying u'(0) = 0, u(T) = u'(T) = 0, and u(t) = 0 for all $t \ge T$.

(ii) For any $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$r_{\alpha,2} = 4(1-\alpha)\alpha^{\frac{3\alpha-2}{1-\alpha}}M_2$$

where $M_2 = 2\pi \int_0^\infty u^2(t) t dt$ with u(t) defined to be the unique positive decreasing solution u(t) to the differential equation $u''(t) + \frac{1}{t}u'(t) + u(t)^{\frac{2-\alpha}{\alpha}} = u(t)$ in t > 0, satisfying u'(0) = 0 and $\lim_{t \to \infty} u(t) = 0$.

(iii) In both cases the extremizers in (19) have densities of the form $p(x) = \frac{b}{M_{\frac{2}{\alpha}}} u^{\frac{2}{\alpha}} (|bx+c|), x \in \mathbb{R}^2$, with b > 0and $c \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

Proof: According to (10), the family (19) takes now the form

$$\left(\int f^2 dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le \left(\frac{4}{\alpha r_{\alpha,2}}\right)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2\alpha}} \left(\int |\nabla f|^2 dx\right)^{\frac{\theta}{2}} \left(\int f^{\frac{2}{\alpha}} dx\right)^{\frac{\alpha(1-\theta)}{2}}$$
(20)

with $\theta = \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha}$ when $\alpha > 1$, and

$$\left(\int f^{\frac{2}{\alpha}} dx\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \le \left(\frac{4}{\alpha r_{\alpha,2}}\right)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} \left(\int |\nabla f|^2 dx\right)^{\frac{\theta}{2}} \left(\int f^2 dx\right)^{\frac{1-\theta}{2}}$$
(21)

with $\theta = 1 - \alpha$ when $0 < \alpha < 1$.

Both inequalities enters the framework of the special case of Gagliardo–Nirenberg's inequalities in (72) in the appendix.

Note that (20) corresponds to Gagliardo–Nirenberg's inequalities (72) in the appendix with $r = 2, s = \frac{2}{\alpha}$ and $\theta = \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha}$ for $\alpha > 1$, while (21) with $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ corresponds to (72) in the appendix with $r = \frac{2}{\alpha}, s = 2$ and $\theta = 1 - \alpha$. We therefore conclude that

$$\kappa_2(2,2,\frac{2}{\alpha}) = \left(\frac{4}{\alpha r_{\alpha,2}}\right)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2\alpha}} \quad \text{when } \alpha > 1,$$

$$\kappa_2(2,\frac{2}{\alpha},2) = \left(\frac{4}{\alpha r_{\alpha,2}}\right)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} \quad \text{when } 0 < \alpha < 1.$$

Together with Lemma 11 in the appendix, Theorem 2 follows directly.

The results in Theorem 2 are sharp for $\alpha \in (0,1) \cup (1,2]$. However, the 2-dimensional entropic isoperimetric inequality $N_{\alpha}(X)I(X) \ge c_{\alpha,2}$ considered in [4] is sharp for $\alpha \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1) \cup (1, \infty)$. Under the current framework, we cannot depict the limit case of $\alpha \to 0$ in (19). In fact, define $R_{\alpha,2}$ as $\alpha r_{\alpha,2}$, then (19) reads

$$N_{\alpha}(X)I_{\alpha}(X) \ge \frac{R_{\alpha,2}}{\alpha}.$$
(22)

Similarly, taking $p = \frac{f^{\frac{s}{\alpha}}}{\int f^{\frac{s}{\alpha}} dx}$, where p is the probability density function of 2-dimensional random vector X, then (19) and (22) can be equivalently reformulated as

$$\left(\int f^2 dx\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}} \le \frac{4}{\alpha r_{\alpha,2}} \int |\nabla f|^2 dx \left(\int f^{\frac{2}{\alpha}} dx\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}}.$$
(23)

Consider the case $\alpha = 0$ which corresponds to (23) in the limit $\alpha \to 0$, that is

$$|f||_{\infty} \le C \left(\int |\nabla f|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},\tag{24}$$

where $C = \lim_{\alpha \to 0} \frac{2}{\sqrt{\alpha r_{\alpha 2}}}$. However (24) cannot hold with any finite constant C as shown in Example 1.1.1 in [18].

C. Dimension $n \geq 3$

We now consider the case when dimension $n \ge 3$ in Rényi entropic isoperimetric inequality

$$N_{\alpha}(X)I_{\alpha}(X) \ge r_{\alpha,n},\tag{25}$$

and it exhibits some different behaviors when (α, n) belongs to special regions. As in Theorem 2, we adopt the notation

$$M_s = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u^s(|x|) dx$$

with the function u specified below. Then we have the following results.

Theorem 3. Let $3 \le n \le 5$. (i) For any $1 < \alpha \le 2$, we have

$$r_{\alpha,n} = \frac{4n(\alpha - 1)}{\alpha[n(\alpha - 1) + 2]} \left(\frac{2}{n(\alpha - 1) + 2}\right)^{\frac{2}{n(\alpha - 1)}} M_{\frac{2}{\alpha}}^{\frac{2}{n}}$$
(26)

where $M_{\frac{2}{2}}$ is defined for the unique positive decreasing solution u(t) to the equation

$$u''(t) + \frac{n-1}{t}u'(t) + u(t) = u(t)^{\frac{2-\alpha}{\alpha}}, \quad 0 < t < T,$$

satisfying u'(0) = 0, u(T) = u'(T) = 0, and u(t) = 0 for all $t \ge T$. (ii) For any $\alpha \in (\frac{n-2}{n}, 1)$,

$$r_{\alpha,n} = \frac{2n(1-\alpha)}{\alpha} \left(\frac{2-n(1-\alpha)}{2}\right)^{\frac{2\alpha-n(1-\alpha)}{n(1-\alpha)}} M_2^{\frac{2}{n}}$$

where M_2 is defined for the unique positive decreasing solution u(t) to the equation

$$u''(t) + \frac{n-1}{t}u'(t) + u(t)^{\frac{2-\alpha}{\alpha}} = u(t), \quad 0 < t < \infty.$$

satisfying u'(0) = 0 and $\lim_{t\to\infty} u(t) = 0$. (iii) In both cases, the extremizers in (25) have densities of the form $p(x) = \frac{b}{M_{\frac{2}{\alpha}}} u^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}(|bx+c|), x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, with b > 0 and $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$, where the function u for each case is the one given in the corresponding statement.

Theorem 4. Let n > 5. For any $\frac{2(n-2)}{n+2} < \alpha \le 2$, (26) still holds. Moreover, the extremizers in (25) are the densities given in Statement (iii) of Theorem 3.

Proof of the above two Theorems: We rewrite the inequality (10) for the three natural regions separately, as follows

$$\left(\int f^2 dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le \left(\frac{4}{\alpha r_{\alpha,n}}\right)^{\frac{n(\alpha-1)}{2[n(\alpha-1)+2]}} \left(\int |\nabla f|^2 dx\right)^{\frac{\theta}{2}} \left(\int f^{\frac{2}{\alpha}} dx\right)^{\frac{\alpha(1-\theta)}{2}}$$
(27)

with $\theta = \frac{n(\alpha-1)}{n(\alpha-1)+2}$ when $\alpha > 1$,

$$\left(\int f^{\frac{2}{\alpha}} dx\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \le \left(\frac{4}{\alpha r_{\alpha,n}}\right)^{\frac{n(1-\alpha)}{4}} \left(\int |\nabla f|^2 dx\right)^{\frac{\theta}{2}} \left(\int f^2 dx\right)^{\frac{1-\theta}{2}}$$
(28)

with $\theta = \frac{n(1-\alpha)}{2}$ when $\frac{n-2}{n} \leq \alpha < 1$, and

$$\left(\int f^{\frac{2}{\alpha}} dx\right)^{\frac{\theta\alpha}{2}} \left(\int f^2 dx\right)^{\frac{1-\theta}{2}} \le \frac{2}{\sqrt{\alpha r_{\alpha,n}}} \left(\int |\nabla f|^2 dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(29)

with $\theta = \frac{2}{n(1-\alpha)}$ when $0 < \alpha < \frac{n-2}{n}$ (observe that $\theta \in (0,1)$ in this case). The inequalities in (27) and (28) both fit within the framework of Gagliardo–Nirenberg's inequalities (72) in the

appendix. Regarding (29), we will show that such an inequality cannot hold. To this end, we need to introduce the limiting case $\theta = 1$ in (28), which corresponds to $\alpha = \frac{n-2}{n}$. It amounts to the classical Sobolev inequality

$$\left(\int f^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dx\right)^{\frac{n-2}{2n}} \le C_n \left(\int |\nabla f|^2 dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},\tag{30}$$

which is known to hold true with the best constant

$$C_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi n(n-2)}} \left(\frac{\Gamma(n)}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}}$$

Moreover, the only extremizers in (30) take the form

$$f(x) = \frac{a}{(1+b|x-x_0|^2)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}}, \quad a \in \mathbb{R}, b > 0, x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$$
(31)

(sometimes called the Barrenblat profile), see [1, 20, 7]. If $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $|\nabla f| \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, (29) would imply that $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $p = \frac{2}{\alpha} > \frac{2n}{n-2}$, which contradicts the Sobolev embeddings. Consequently, (29) cannot be true, and (25) holds only for $\alpha \in [\frac{n-2}{n}, 1) \cup (1, \infty)$. Note that $n \ge 3$. When $\alpha > 1$, (27) corresponds to Gagliardo–Nirenberg's inequalities (72) in the appendix with

Note that $n \ge 5$. When $\alpha > 1$, (2r) corresponds to Caginado Threaders is inequalities (12) in the appendix interval $r = 2, s = \frac{2}{\alpha}, \theta = \frac{n(\alpha-1)}{n(\alpha-1)+2}$, and $\kappa_n(2, 2, \frac{2}{\alpha}) = \left(\frac{4}{\alpha r_{\alpha,n}}\right)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}$. Solving $1 \le s < \sigma, s < r < \sigma + 1$, where σ is defined in (74) in the appendix, gives $3 \le n \le 5, 1 < \alpha \le 2$ or $n > 5, \frac{2(n-2)}{n+2} < \alpha \le 2$. By Lemma 11 in the appendix, in the range $1 \le s < \sigma, s < r < \sigma + 1$, $\kappa_n(2, 2, \frac{2}{\alpha}) = \left(\frac{n(\alpha-1)}{n(\alpha-1)+2}\right)^{-\frac{\beta}{2}} \left(\frac{2}{n(\alpha-1)+2}\right)^{\frac{\beta}{2}-\frac{1}{2}} M_{\frac{2}{\alpha}}^{-\frac{\beta}{n}}$, then (i) of Theorem 3, the portion of (iii) of Theorem 3 concerning the extremizers in (i), as well as Theorem 4, follow. When $0 < \alpha < 1$, (28) corresponds to Gagliardo–Nirenberg's inequalities (72) in the appendix with $r = \frac{2}{\alpha}, s = 2, \theta = \frac{n(1-\alpha)}{2}$, and $\kappa_n(2, \frac{2}{\alpha}, 2) = \left(\frac{4}{\alpha r_{\alpha,n}}\right)^{\frac{\theta}{2}}$. Solving $1 \le s < \sigma, s < r < \sigma + 1$ gives $3 \le n \le 5$ and $\frac{n-2}{n} < \alpha < 1$. By Lemma 11 in the appendix, in the range $1 \le s < \sigma, s < r < \sigma + 1$, $\kappa_n(2, \frac{2}{\alpha}, 2) = \left(\frac{n(1-\alpha)}{2}\right)^{-\frac{\theta}{2}} \left(\frac{2-n(1-\alpha)}{2}\right)^{\frac{\theta}{2}-\frac{\alpha}{2}} M_2^{-\frac{\theta}{n}}$, then (ii) of Theorem 3, the portion of (iii) of Theorem 3 concerning the extremizers in (ii), follows.

For the critical value $\alpha = \frac{n-2}{n}$, the picture is more complete, but is different.

Theorem 5. Let $n \ge 3$ and $\alpha = \frac{n-2}{n}$. Then

$$r_{\alpha,n} = 4\pi n^2 \left(\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(n\right)}\right)^{\frac{2}{n}}.$$

And the extremizers in (25) exist and have the form

$$p(x) = \frac{a}{(1+b|x-x_0|^2)^n}, \quad a \in \mathbb{R}, b > 0, x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Proof: Observe that (28) can be recast for $n \ge 3$ and $\alpha = \frac{n-2}{n}$ as

$$\left(\int f^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dx\right)^{\frac{n-2}{2n}} \le \left(\frac{4}{\alpha r_{\alpha,n}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int |\nabla f|^2 dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$
(32)

By Sobolev inequality in (30), $\frac{4}{\alpha r_{\alpha,n}} = C_n^2$ from which the explicit value of $r_{\alpha,n}$ follows. To analyze the question of extremizers in (25), suppose we have an equality for a fixed probability density p on \mathbb{R}^n . In particular, we assume that the function $f = p^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ belongs to $W_1^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Rewriting (25) in terms of f, we then obtain an equality in (32), which is the same as (30). As mentioned earlier, this implies that f must be of the form (31), thus $p(x) = \frac{a}{(1+b|x-x_0|^2)^n}$, $a \in \mathbb{R}, b > 0, x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. However, whether or not this function p is integrable depends on the dimension. Using polar coordinates, one immediately realizes that

$$\int \frac{dx}{(1+b|x-x_0|^2)^n}$$

has the same behavior as $\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^{n+1}} dr$. And the latter integral is converging.

The results in Theorems 3, 4 and 5 are sharp within their respectively regions of (α, n) . Note that the entropic isoperimetric inequality $N_{\alpha}(X)I(X) \ge c_{\alpha,n}$ considered in [4] has no extremizers when n = 3, n = 4 and $\alpha = \frac{n}{n-2}$, and has extremizers when $n \ge 5$ and $\alpha = \frac{n}{n-2}$. However, the entropic isoperimetric inequality $N_{\alpha}(X)I_{\alpha}(X) \ge r_{\alpha,n}$ has extremizers when $n \ge 3$ and $\alpha = \frac{n-2}{n}$.

III. RÉNYI-FISHER INFORMATION

For $\alpha \in (0,1) \cup (1,\infty)$, recall that the Rényi–Fisher information I_{α} is defined in (7). In this section, we derive the Cramér–Rao inequalities for I_{α} and connect these inequalities to the complete monotonicity for Rényi entropy power.

A. Cramér-Rao inequality for Rényi-Fisher information

For a random vector $X \sim f$, denote K(X), K_f or K for short, as the covariance of X, i.e.,

$$K(X) := \mathbb{E}\left[(X - \mu(X))(X - \mu(X))^T \right], \tag{33}$$

where $\mu(X)$ is the expectation of X.

To generalize (4), in this subsection, we provide a lower bound for $I_{\alpha}(X)$ in terms of the covariance K(X). To this end, besides the Rényi-entropic isoperimetric inequality derived in the previous section, we also need a result of Costa, Hero, and Vignat [6], which concerns on maximizing Rényi entropy among all probability distributions with a given covariance.

Define the following constants

$$m = \begin{cases} n + \frac{2}{\alpha - 1} & \text{if } \alpha > 1\\ \frac{2}{1 - \alpha} - n & \text{if } \alpha < 1 \end{cases}, \quad C_{\alpha} = \begin{cases} (m + 2)K & \text{if } \alpha > 1\\ (m - 2)K & \text{if } \alpha < 1 \end{cases},$$

and

$$A_{\alpha} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{|\pi C_{\alpha}|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{m}{2}+1)}{\Gamma(\frac{m-n}{2}+1)} & \text{if } \alpha > 1\\ \frac{1}{|\pi C_{\alpha}|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{m+n}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{m}{2})} & \text{if } \frac{n}{n+2} < \alpha < 1 \end{cases},$$

and define the following sets

$$\Omega_{\alpha} = \begin{cases} \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} : x^{T} C_{\alpha}^{-1} x \leq 1 \right\} & \text{if } \alpha > 1 \\ \mathbb{R}^{n} & \text{if } \frac{n}{n+2} < \alpha < 1 \end{cases}.$$

Define the *n*-variate probability density f_{α} as

$$\mathfrak{f}_{\alpha}(x) = \begin{cases} A_{\alpha}(1 - x^{T}C_{\alpha}^{-1}x)^{\frac{1}{\alpha-1}} & \text{if } x \in \Omega_{\alpha} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases},$$
(34)

when $\alpha > 1$, and

$$\mathfrak{f}_{\alpha}(x) = A_{\alpha}(1 + x^T C_{\alpha}^{-1} x)^{\frac{1}{\alpha - 1}} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

when $\frac{n}{n+2} < \alpha < 1$.

Lemma 1. (Theorem 1 in [6]) For any probability density f with covariance matrix K_f and $\alpha > \frac{n}{n+2}$,

$$h_{\alpha}(f) \le h_{\alpha}(\mathfrak{f}_{\alpha}),\tag{35}$$

with equality if and only if $f = \mathfrak{f}_{\alpha}$ almost everywhere.

Lemma 1 controls $N_{\alpha}(X)$ via the covariance K(X). To ultimately obtain a lower bound on $I_{\alpha}(X)$ in terms of K(X), we need to make use of the isoperimetric inequality for Rényi entropy

$$N_{\alpha}(X)I_{\alpha}(X) \ge r_{\alpha,n},\tag{36}$$

where $r_{\alpha,n}$ is a constant dependent only on the order α of Rényi entropy and the dimension n. In Section II, we established sharp isoperimetric inequality for Rényi entropy (36) in some region of (α, n) . We can now derive a Cramér–Rao inequality for Rényi–Fisher information in the following result, where the distributions f and \mathfrak{f}_{α} share the same covariance matrix K_f . The distribution \mathfrak{f}_{α} and consequently its entropy $h_{\alpha}(\mathfrak{f}_{\alpha})$ are determined by K_f , allowing us to write $h_{\alpha}(K_f) := h_{\alpha}(\mathfrak{f}_{\alpha})$.

Theorem 6. For any region Θ of (α, n) such that (36) holds, and any probability density f with covariance matrix K_f , then for any $(\alpha, n) \in \Theta \cap \left\{ (\alpha, n) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{Z}^+ : \alpha > \frac{n}{n+2} \right\}$, we have

$$N_{\alpha}(\mathfrak{f}_{\alpha})I_{\alpha}(f) = e^{\frac{2}{n}h_{\alpha}(K_{f})}I_{\alpha}(f) \ge r_{\alpha,n}.$$
(37)

Proof: See (34) for the definition of \mathfrak{f}_{α} . Combining Lemma 1 with the isoperimetric inequality for Rényi entropy (36), the conclusion follows.

Although when $(\alpha, n) \in \Theta \cap \left\{ (\alpha, n) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{Z}^+ : \alpha > \frac{n}{n+2} \right\}$, the isoperimetric inequality for Rényi entropy in (36) and the maximum α -entropy inequality in (35) are both sharp, the Cramér–Rao inequality for Rényi entropy in (37) is not sharp, except when $\alpha = 1$. In other words, in suitable region of (α, n) , (37) is asymptotically sharp as $\alpha \to 1$ and becomes sharp when $\alpha = 1$. This is because when $\alpha \neq 1$, the extremized probability distribution of (36) and that of (35) are not the same. However, when $\alpha = 1$, (37) is sharp, since in this case, (36) and (35) reduce to the Shannon case and share the same extremized probability distribution, i.e., Gaussian distribution.

Corollary 1. For n = 1 and $\frac{1}{3} < \alpha < 1$,

$$I_{\alpha}(f) \geq \frac{4\alpha(\alpha+1)^{\frac{3\alpha-1}{1-\alpha}}(3\alpha-1)^{\frac{1+\alpha}{1-\alpha}}(2\alpha)^{\frac{-2(\alpha+1)}{1-\alpha}}}{K_{f}} \left(\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{1-\alpha}\right)}{\left(\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha+1}{2(1-\alpha)}\right)\right)^{2}}\right)^{2}.$$
(38)

For n = 1 and $\alpha > 1$,

$$I_{\alpha}(f) \geq \frac{2}{(3\alpha-1)K_{f}} \left(\frac{2\alpha}{\alpha+1}\right)^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha-1}} \frac{\left(\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha+1}{2(\alpha-1)}\right)\right)^{2} \left(\Gamma\left(\frac{3\alpha-1}{2(\alpha-1)}\right)\right)^{\frac{2\alpha}{\alpha-1}}}{\left(\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}\right)\right)^{\frac{2(2\alpha-1)}{\alpha-1}}} \left(\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{2\alpha-1}{\alpha-1}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{5\alpha-3}{2(\alpha-1)}\right)}\right)^{\frac{2}{\alpha-1}}.$$
(39)

Proof: This is a straightforward consequence of Theorem 6 and our isoperimetric inequality for Rényi entropy for dimension 1 established in Theorem 1. The explicit expressions on the right hand side of (38) and (39) are obtained by using Mathematica.

Remark 2. If we denote

$$\omega_1(\alpha) = 4\alpha(\alpha+1)^{\frac{3\alpha-1}{1-\alpha}} (3\alpha-1)^{\frac{1+\alpha}{1-\alpha}} (2\alpha)^{\frac{-2(\alpha+1)}{1-\alpha}} \left(\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{1-\alpha}\right)}{\left(\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha+1}{2(1-\alpha)}\right)\right)^2}\right)^2$$

and

$$\omega_2(\alpha) = \frac{2}{3\alpha - 1} \left(\frac{2\alpha}{\alpha + 1}\right)^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha-1}} \frac{\left(\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha+1}{2(\alpha-1)}\right)\right)^2 \left(\Gamma\left(\frac{3\alpha-1}{2(\alpha-1)}\right)\right)^{\frac{2\alpha}{\alpha-1}}}{\left(\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}\right)\right)^{\frac{2(2\alpha-1)}{\alpha-1}}} \left(\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{2\alpha-1}{\alpha-1}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{5\alpha-3}{2(\alpha-1)}\right)}\right)^{\frac{2\alpha}{\alpha-1}}$$

then (38) reduces to

$$I_{\alpha}(f) \ge \frac{\omega_1(\alpha)}{K_f},\tag{40}$$

(39) reduces to

$$I_{\alpha}(f) \ge \frac{\omega_2(\alpha)}{K_f},\tag{41}$$

and it is easy to verify that $\omega_1(1) := \lim_{\alpha \to 1} \omega_1(\alpha) = 1 = \lim_{\alpha \to 1} \omega_2(\alpha) =: \omega_2(1)$. That is to say, when dimension n = 1, and $\alpha > \frac{1}{3}$, the Cramér-Rao inequality for Rényi entropy (40) and (41) share the similar expressions as the classical Cramér-Rao inequality. And taking $\alpha \to 1$, (40) and (41) both converge to the classical Cramér-Rao inequality.

Corollary 2. (i) For n = 2 and $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < 1$,

$$I_{\alpha}(f) \ge 4(1-\alpha)\alpha^{\frac{3\alpha-2}{1-\alpha}}M_2e^{-2h_{\alpha}(K_f)}$$

where $M_2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u^2(|x|) dx = 2\pi \int_0^\infty u^2(t) t dt$ is defined for the unique positive decreasing solution u(t) to the equation $u''(t) + \frac{1}{t}u'(t) + u(t)^{\frac{2-\alpha}{\alpha}} = u(t)$ in t > 0, satisfying u'(0) = 0 and $\lim_{t\to\infty} u(t) = 0$.

(ii) For n = 2 and $1 < \alpha \le 2$,

$$I_{\alpha}(f) \ge 4(\alpha - 1)\alpha^{\frac{2\alpha - 1}{1 - \alpha}} M_{\frac{2}{\alpha}} e^{-2h_{\alpha}(K_f)},$$

where $M_{\frac{2}{\alpha}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}(|x|) dx = 2\pi \int_0^\infty u^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}(t) t dt$ is defined for the unique positive decreasing solution u(t) to the equation $u''(t) + \frac{1}{t}u'(t) + u(t) = u(t)^{\frac{2-\alpha}{\alpha}}$ in 0 < t < T, satisfying u'(0) = 0, u(T) = u'(T) = 0, and u(t) = 0 for all $t \ge T$.

Proof: This is a straightforward consequence of Theorem 6 and our isoperimetric inequality for Rényi entropy for dimension 2 established in Theorem 2.

Corollary 3. For $3 \le n \le 5$, the following hold.

(i) For $\frac{n}{n+2} < \alpha < 1$,

$$I_{\alpha}(f) \geq \frac{2n(1-\alpha)}{\alpha} \left(\frac{2-n(1-\alpha)}{2}\right)^{\frac{2\alpha-n(1-\alpha)}{n(1-\alpha)}} M_2^{\frac{2}{n}} e^{-2h_{\alpha}(K_f)},$$

where $M_2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u^2(|x|) dx$ is defined for the unique positive decreasing solution u(t) to the equation $u''(t) + \frac{n-1}{t}u'(t) + u(t)^{\frac{2-\alpha}{\alpha}} = u(t)$ in t > 0, satisfying u'(0) = 0 and $\lim_{t\to\infty} u(t) = 0$. (ii) For $1 < \alpha \le 2$,

$$I_{\alpha}(f) \ge \frac{4n(\alpha - 1)}{\alpha[n(\alpha - 1) + 2]} \left(\frac{2}{n(\alpha - 1) + 2}\right)^{\frac{2}{n(\alpha - 1)}} M_{\frac{2}{\alpha}}^{\frac{2}{n}} e^{-2h_{\alpha}(K_f)},\tag{42}$$

where $M_{\frac{2}{\alpha}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}(|x|) dx$ is defined for the unique positive decreasing solution u(t) to the equation $u''(t) + \frac{n-1}{t}u'(t) + u(t) = u(t)^{\frac{2-\alpha}{\alpha}}$ in 0 < t < T, satisfying u'(0) = 0, u(T) = u'(T) = 0, and u(t) = 0 for all $t \ge T$.

Proof: This is a straightforward consequence of Theorem 6 and our isoperimetric inequality for Rényi entropy for dimension $n \in \{3, 4, 5\}$ established in Theorem 3.

Corollary 4. For n > 5 and $\frac{2(n-2)}{n+2} < \alpha \le 2$, (42) still holds.

Proof: This is a straightforward consequence of Theorem 6 and our isoperimetric inequality for Rényi entropy for dimension n > 5 and $\frac{2(n-2)}{n+2} < \alpha \le 2$ established in Theorem 4.

B. Connections to the complete monotonicity for Rényi entropy power

For t > 0, recall $X_t = X + Z_t$, where X and Z_t are independent, and Z_t is the Gaussian random vector with covariance $t\mathbb{I}_n$. The completely monotone conjecture for differential entropy [5] states that the signs of the time-derivatives of the differential entropy along heat flow alternates as the order of the derivative increases, i.e.,

$$(-1)^{k+1}\frac{d^k}{dt^k}h(X_t) \ge 0 \tag{43}$$

for any $k \ge 1$. The complete monotonicity for Rényi entropy power corresponds to replacing the differential entropy h in (43) with the Rényi entropy power N_{α} , for some suitbale range of α . In the following our Cramér-Rao inequality for Rényi entropy (37) is applied to investigate the complete monotonicity for Rényi entropy power, and the proof steps are similar to the ones in [21]. Recall Θ is any region of (α, n) such that (36) holds.

Theorem 7. For any $(\alpha, n) \in \Theta \cap \left\{ (\alpha, n) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{Z}^+ : \alpha > \frac{n}{n+2} \right\}$, and for any $J \in \mathbb{N}^+$, $(-1)^{j-1} \frac{d^j}{dt^j} N_\alpha(X_t) \ge 0$ for all $1 \le j \le J$ implies $(-1)^{j-1} \frac{d^j}{dt^j} h_\alpha(X_t) \ge \frac{(j-1)! r_{\alpha,n}^j}{n^j} e^{-\frac{2j}{n} h_\alpha(K(X) + t\mathbb{I}_n)}$ for all $1 \le j \le J$.

Proof: Denote $y(t) = \frac{2}{n}h_{\alpha}(X_t)$. Denote $B_m(x_1, \ldots, x_m)$ or $B_m(x_1, x_2, \ldots)$ the complete exponential Bell polynomials. By Faa di Bruno's formula, for any $j \ge 1$,

$$\frac{d^j}{dt^j} N_{\alpha}(X_t) = \frac{d^j}{dt^j} e^{y(t)} = e^{y(t)} B_j(\dot{y}, y^{(2)}, y^{(3)}, \dots)$$

where $y^{(2)} = \frac{d}{dt}\dot{y}, y^{(3)} = \frac{d}{dt}y^{(2)}$, etc. By property of the Bell polynomials,

$$\forall m, \forall \beta \in \mathbb{R}, \ B_m(\beta x_1, \beta^2 x_2, \beta^3 x_3, \dots) = \beta^m B_m(x_1, x_2, \dots).$$

Letting $\beta = -1$, and $Y_j = (-1)^j y^{(j)}$ for all $j \ge 1$ yields that

$$(-1)^{j-1} \frac{d^{j}}{dt^{j}} N_{\alpha}(X_{t}) = (-1)^{j-1} e^{y(t)} B_{j}(\dot{y}, y^{(2)}, y^{(3)}, \dots)$$
$$= -e^{y(t)} B_{j}(-\dot{y}, y^{(2)}, -y^{(3)}, \dots)$$
$$= -e^{y(t)} B_{j}(Y_{1}, Y_{2}, Y_{3}, \dots).$$

Fix $J \in \mathbb{N}$ and suppose that $(-1)^{j-1} \frac{d^j}{dt^j} N_{\alpha}(X_t) \ge 0$ for all $j \le J$, i.e., $B_j(Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, \dots) \le 0$ for all $j \le J$. Then by Lemma 2 in [21],

 $Y_j \leq -(j-1)!(-Y_1)^j \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq j \leq J,$

i.e.,

$$(-1)^{j-1}y^{(j)} \ge (j-1)!\dot{y}^j.$$

By Theorem 6,

$$\dot{y} = \frac{1}{n} I_{\alpha}(X_t) \ge \frac{r_{\alpha,n}}{n} e^{-\frac{2}{n} h_{\alpha}(K(X) + t\mathbb{I}_n)},\tag{44}$$

thus,

$$(-1)^{j-1}y^{(j)} \ge \frac{(j-1)!r_{\alpha,n}^j}{n^j}e^{-\frac{2j}{n}h_{\alpha}(K(X)+t\mathbb{I}_n)}.$$

Note that when $\alpha = 1$, Theorem 7 reduces to the main result in [21], that is, the entropy power conjecture implies the McKean conjecture, since when $\alpha = 1$, (44) used in the proof of Theorem 7 is the standard Cramér-Rao inequality, which is used in the proving that the entropy power conjecture implies the McKean conjecture in [21]. However, when $\alpha \neq 1$, (44) is not sharp, as pointed out below Theorem 6. Nevertheless, Theorem 7 still provides a strengthening non-trivial characterization of the complete monotonicity of the Rényi entropy power.

In particular, combining Theorem 7 and Corollary 1, we have the following characterization for dimension 1.

Corollary 5. (i) For n = 1 and $\frac{1}{3} < \alpha < 1$, and for any $J \in \mathbb{N}^+$, $(-1)^{j-1} \frac{d^j}{dt^j} N_{\alpha}(X_t) \ge 0$ for all $1 \le j \le J$ implies

$$(-1)^{j-1} \frac{d^j}{dt^j} h_\alpha(X_t) \ge (j-1)! \left(\frac{4\alpha(\alpha+1)^{\frac{3\alpha-1}{1-\alpha}} (3\alpha-1)^{\frac{1+\alpha}{1-\alpha}} (2\alpha)^{\frac{-2(\alpha+1)}{1-\alpha}}}{K(X)+t} \right)^j$$
$$\times \left(\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{1-\alpha}\right)}{\left(\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha+1}{2(1-\alpha)}\right)\right)^2} \right)^{2j}$$
$$=: A_{\alpha,j,t}(K(X))$$

for all $1 \leq j \leq J$.

(ii) For n = 1 and $\alpha > 1$, and for any $J \in \mathbb{N}^+$, $(-1)^{j-1} \frac{d^j}{dt^j} N_{\alpha}(X_t) \ge 0$ for all $1 \le j \le J$ implies

$$(-1)^{j-1} \frac{d^j}{dt^j} h_{\alpha}(X_t) \ge (j-1)! \left(\frac{2}{(3\alpha-1)(K(X)+t)}\right)^j \left(\frac{2\alpha}{\alpha+1}\right)^{\frac{(\alpha+1)j}{\alpha-1}} \\ \times \frac{\left(\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha+1}{2(\alpha-1)}\right)\right)^{2j} \left(\Gamma\left(\frac{3\alpha-1}{2(\alpha-1)}\right)\right)^{\frac{2\alpha j}{\alpha-1}}}{\left(\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}\right)\right)^{\frac{2(\alpha-1)j}{\alpha-1}}} \left(\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{2\alpha-1}{\alpha-1}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{5\alpha-3}{2(\alpha-1)}\right)}\right)^{\frac{2j}{\alpha-1}} \\ =: B_{\alpha,j,t}(K(X))$$

for all $1 \leq j \leq J$.

As far as we know, the complete monotonicity of Rényi entropy power seems intangible, however, in [22], we have verified that $N_{\alpha}^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}}(X_t)$ is concave in $t \in (0, +\infty)$ when dimension n = 1 and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $N_{\alpha}^{\frac{1}{2}}(X_t)$ is concave in $t \in (0, +\infty)$ when dimension n = 1 and $\alpha \in (0, \frac{3}{2} + \sqrt{2}]$. Then we have the following conclusion, and the proof is similar to Theorem 7.

Proposition 1. (i) For n = 1, $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $1 \le j \le 2$, it holds that $(-1)^{j-1} \frac{d^j}{dt^j} N_{\alpha}^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}}(X_t) \ge 0$. (ii) For n = 1, $\frac{1}{3} < \alpha < 1$ and $1 \le j \le 2$, it holds that $(-1)^{j-1} \frac{d^j}{dt^j} h_{\alpha}(X_t) \ge (\frac{\alpha+1}{2})^{j-1} A_{\alpha,j,t}(K(X))$.

Proof: In [22], we have proven the statement (i) about Rényi entropy power. The proof of statement (ii) about Rényi entropy is similar to that of Corollary 5, with some modification of replacing $N_{\alpha}(X_t)$ with $N_{\alpha}^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}}(X_t)$.

Proposition 2. (i) For n = 1, $0 < \alpha \le \frac{3}{2} + \sqrt{2}$ and $1 \le j \le 2$, it holds that $(-1)^{j-1} \frac{d^j}{dt^j} N_{\alpha}^{\frac{1}{2}}(X_t) \ge 0$. (ii) For n = 1, $\frac{1}{3} < \alpha < 1$ and $1 \le j \le 2$, it holds that $(-1)^{j-1} \frac{d^j}{dt^j} h_{\alpha}(X_t) \ge (\frac{1}{2})^{j-1} A_{\alpha,j,t}(K(X))$. (iii) For n = 1, $1 < \alpha \le \frac{3}{2} + \sqrt{2}$ and $1 \le j \le 2$, it holds that $(-1)^{j-1} \frac{d^j}{dt^j} h_{\alpha}(X_t) \ge (\frac{1}{2})^{j-1} B_{\alpha,j,t}(K(X))$.

Proof: In [22], we have proven the statement (i) about Rényi entropy power. The proofs of statement (ii) and (iii) about Rényi entropy are similar to that of Corollary 5, with some modification of replacing $N_{\alpha}(X_t)$ with $N_{\alpha}^{\frac{1}{2}}(X_t)$.

Inspired by the concavity of $N_{\alpha}^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}}(X_t)$ when dimension n = 1, we pose the following question.

Problem 1. For any dimension $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, is there any nonempty interval $(a, b) \subset (0, +\infty)$, such that for any $j \in \mathbb{N}^+, \alpha \in (a, b)$ and $t \in (0, +\infty)$,

$$(-1)^{j-1} \frac{d^j}{dt^j} N_{\alpha}^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}}(X_t) \ge 0?$$

If the answer to this question is yes and $\alpha = 1 \in (a, b)$, then the entropy power conjecture holds. By Theorem 7 with the modification of replacing $N_{\alpha}(X_t)$ with $N_{\alpha}^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}}(X_t)$, if the answer to Problem 1 is yes, then there exists an interval $(a, b) \subset (0, +\infty)$, such that for any $(\alpha, n) \in \Theta \cap \left\{ (\alpha, n) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{Z}^+ : \alpha > \frac{n}{n+2}, \alpha \in (a, b) \right\}$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}^+$,

$$(-1)^{j-1}\frac{d^{j}}{dt^{j}}h_{\alpha}(X_{t}) \geq \left(\frac{\alpha+1}{2}\right)^{j-1}\frac{(j-1)!r_{\alpha,n}^{j}}{n^{j}}e^{-\frac{2j}{n}h_{\alpha}(K(X)+t\mathbb{I}_{n})}.$$

IV. α -weighted Rényi–Fisher information

In this section, we provide a generalized Cramér–Rao inequality with respect to the α -weighted Rényi–Fisher information

$$\widetilde{I}_{\alpha}(f) := \frac{1}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f^{\alpha} dx} \int_{\{f>0\}} \frac{|\nabla f^{\alpha}|^2}{f} dx,$$
(45)

introduced in [19], which reduces to the Fisher information as $\alpha \rightarrow 1$, i.e.,

$$I(f) = \widetilde{I}_1(f) = \int_{\{f>0\}} \frac{|\nabla f|^2}{f} dx.$$

The motivation for introducing this new kind of Fisher information is as follows. In [19], Savaré and Toscani considered the α -th Rényi entropy power $\widetilde{N}_{\alpha}(f)$, which differs slightly from $N_{\alpha}(f)$, defined as

$$\widetilde{N}_{\alpha}(f) := \exp\left(\left(\frac{2}{n} + \alpha - 1\right)h_{\alpha}(f)\right)$$

They showed that the α -th Rényi entropy power $\widetilde{N}_{\alpha}(f)$ of general probability densities f solving the α -nonlinear heat equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}f = \Delta f^{\alpha} \tag{46}$$

is always a concave function of time when $\alpha > 1 - \frac{1}{n}$. This result extends Costa's concavity inequality for Shannon entropy power to Rényi entropy power. Indeed, when taking the time derivative of $\tilde{N}_{\alpha}(f)$ along solutions to the α -nonlinear heat equation (46), we find that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\widetilde{N}_{\alpha}(f) = \left(\frac{2}{n} + \alpha - 1\right)\widetilde{N}_{\alpha}(f)\widetilde{I}_{\alpha}(f).$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}h_{\alpha}(f) = \widetilde{I}_{\alpha}(f),$$
(47)

Equivalently,

where \tilde{I}_{α} is just the α -weighted Rényi–Fisher information defined by (45). As $\alpha \rightarrow 1$, (47) reduces to the classic de Bruijn identity.

Define the Barenblatt profile

$$\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}(x) := \begin{cases} (C_{\alpha} - |x|^2)_{+}^{\frac{1}{\alpha-1}} & \text{if } \alpha > 1, \\ (C_{\alpha} + |x|^2)^{\frac{1}{\alpha-1}} & \text{if } \alpha < 1. \end{cases}$$

Here $(s)_+ = \max\{s, 0\}$ and, for $\alpha > \frac{n}{n+2}$, the constant C_{α} is chosen so that $\int \mathcal{B}_{\alpha}(x) dx = 1$. Then they established the Rényi-entropic isoperimetric inequality for the α -weighted Rényi-Fisher information.

Lemma 2. [19] If $\alpha > \frac{n}{n+2}$, then every smooth, strictly positive and rapidly decaying probability density f satisfies

$$N_{\alpha}(f)I_{\alpha}(f) \ge N_{\alpha}(\mathcal{B}_{\alpha})I_{\alpha}(\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}) = \gamma_{n,\alpha},$$
(48)

where the value of the strictly positive constant $\gamma_{n,\alpha}$ is given by

$$\gamma_{n,\alpha} = n\pi \frac{2\alpha}{\alpha - 1} \left(\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha - 1}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2} + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha - 1}\right)} \right)^{\frac{2}{n}} \left(\frac{(n+2)\alpha - n}{2\alpha} \right)^{\frac{2+n(\alpha-1)}{n(\alpha-1)}}$$

when $\alpha > 1$ and by

$$\gamma_{n,\alpha} = n\pi \frac{2\alpha}{1-\alpha} \left(\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{1-\alpha} - \frac{n}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{1-\alpha}\right)} \right)^{\frac{2}{n}} \left(\frac{(n+2)\alpha - n}{2\alpha} \right)^{\frac{2+n(\alpha-1)}{n(\alpha-1)}}$$

if $\frac{n}{n+2} < \alpha < 1$.

Recall $\sigma_2(f) = \int |x|^2 f(x) dx$ is the second moment of probability density function f. Then the results in [12] (see also [14, 15]) imply the following.

Lemma 3. If
$$\alpha > \frac{n}{n+2}$$
, then

$$\frac{\sigma_2(f)}{\sigma_2(\mathcal{B}_{\alpha})} \ge \frac{N_{\alpha}(f)}{N_{\alpha}(\mathcal{B}_{\alpha})} \tag{49}$$

with equality if the probability density function f is the generalized Gaussian \mathbb{B}_{α} .

Indeed, in [12], the authors provided a more generalized version of the relation between moments and Rényi entropy, by replacing σ_2 with σ_p , whenever p > 0 and $\alpha > \frac{n}{n+p}$. However, for our purpose, the above lemma is sufficient. Note that, the Rényi entropy power $\tilde{N}_{\alpha}(f)$ in Lemma 2 and $N_{\alpha}(f)$ in Lemma 3 have a little difference. And they have the relation

$$N_{\alpha}(f) = \left(\widetilde{N}_{\alpha}(f)\right)^{\frac{2}{n(\alpha-1)+2}},$$
(50)

then substituting (50) into (49), we have the following.

Lemma 4. If $\alpha > \frac{n}{n+2}$, then

$$\left(\frac{\sigma_2(f)}{\sigma_2(\mathcal{B}_{\alpha})}\right)^{\frac{n(\alpha-1)}{2}+1} \ge \frac{\widetilde{N}_{\alpha}(f)}{\widetilde{N}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{B}_{\alpha})}$$
(51)

with equality if the probability density function f is the generalized Gaussian \mathbb{B}_{α} .

Combing Lemma 2 and Lemma 4, we obtain a sharp Cramér–Rao inequality for α -weighted Rényi–Fisher information.

Theorem 8. If $\alpha > \frac{n}{n+2}$ but $\alpha \neq 1$, then

$$\widetilde{I}_{\alpha}(f) \ge \left(\frac{n}{\sigma_2(f)}\right)^{\frac{n(\alpha-1)}{2}+1} n \frac{2\alpha}{|\alpha-1|},\tag{52}$$

and the equality holds if the probability density function f is the generalized Gaussian \mathbb{B}_{α} .

Proof: By (48) and (51), we immediately have

$$\widetilde{I}_{\alpha}(f) \geq \left(\frac{\sigma_2(\mathfrak{B}_{\alpha})}{\sigma_2(f)}\right)^{\frac{n(\alpha-1)}{2}+1} \widetilde{I}_{\alpha}(\mathfrak{B}_{\alpha}).$$

It is straightforward to calculate that $\sigma_2(\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}) = n$ and $\widetilde{I}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}) = n \frac{2\alpha}{|\alpha-1|}$. Hence, (52) follows. Since (48) and (51) share the same extremizer \mathcal{B}_{α} , the conclusion follows.

V. TSALLIS-FISHER INFORMATION

The α -order Tsallis entropy of *n*-dimensional random vector $X \sim f$ is defined as

$$\hat{h}_{\alpha}(X) = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} (\int f^{\alpha} dx - 1)$$

for $\alpha \in (0, +\infty) \setminus \{1\}$. When $\alpha = 0, 1, +\infty$, the α -order Tsallis entropy is defined by continuation. Recall that for $t \ge 0, X_t = X + \sqrt{tZ}$ with probability density function f_t , where Z is a standard Gaussian random vector, and independent of X. Define the Tsallis–Fisher information $\hat{I}_{\alpha}(X)$ as

$$\hat{I}_{\alpha}(X) := \alpha \int f^{\alpha-2} |\nabla f|^2 dx,$$
(53)

it is easy to compute that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\hat{h}_{\alpha}(X_t) = \frac{1}{2}\hat{I}_{\alpha}(X_t).$$

In this section, we derive the Cramér–Rao inequalities for \hat{I}_{α} and strengthen the complete monotonicity for \hat{I}_2 to the logarithmic version, that is, for any $k \ge 0$, we provide a nontrivial, strictly positive bound for $(-1)^k \frac{d^k}{dt^k} \left(\frac{d}{dt} \log \hat{h}_2(X_t) \right)$ in some suitable region.

A. Cramér-Rao inequality for Tsallis-Fisher information

Recall $\sigma_2(f) = \int |x|^2 f(x) dx$, and the functions G and G^n are defined in (75) and (76) in the appendix, respectively. Then we obtain the following sharp Cramér–Rao inequality for \hat{I}_{α} , $\alpha \in (0, +\infty)$.

Theorem 9. For any random variable $X \sim f$, and $\alpha \in (0, +\infty)$,

$$\sigma_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(X)\left(\hat{I}_{\alpha}(X)\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}} \ge \sigma_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(G)\left(\hat{I}_{\alpha}(G)\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}},\tag{54}$$

equality holds if and only if f(x) = G(x/t)/t for some t > 0.

Proof: Taking

$$\lambda = \frac{\alpha + 1}{2} \tag{55}$$

into (77) in the appendix, and by (53), it is easy to check that

$$\phi_{2,\frac{\alpha+1}{2}}(f) = \left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\hat{I}_{\alpha}(f)\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}},\tag{56}$$

similarly,

$$\phi_{2,\frac{\alpha+1}{2}}(G) = \left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\hat{I}_{\alpha}(G)\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}.$$
(57)

Motivated by this observation, then the conclusion follows from substituting (55), (56) and (57) into the Cramér–Rao inequality (78) in the appendix.

With this understanding, it is natural to depict the corresponding Cramér–Rao inequality with respect to $\hat{I}_{\alpha}(f)$ for dimension $n \ge 2$. In fact, the analogue of Lemma 12 in the appendix has been established for dimension $n \ge 2$. In [15], the authors introduced a generalized Fisher information $\Phi_{p,\lambda}(f)$

$$\Phi_{p,\lambda}(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f^{\lambda-2}\nabla f|^p f dx.$$
(58)

They derived the sharp Cramér–Rao inequality for $\Phi_{q,\lambda}, q \in (1,n), \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, \lambda \in (\frac{n-1}{n}, +\infty)$. When n > 2, we could also establish the sharp Cramér–Rao inequality for $\hat{I}_{\alpha}, \alpha \in (0, +\infty)$.

Theorem 10. For any *n*-dimensional random vector $X \sim f$, n > 2, and $\alpha > \frac{n-2}{n}$,

$$\left(\frac{\sigma_2(X)}{\sigma_2(G^n)}\right)^{\frac{(\alpha-1)n}{2}+1} \ge \frac{\hat{I}_{\alpha}(G^n)}{\hat{I}_{\alpha}(X)}$$
(59)

with equality if $X = aG^n, a > 0$.

Proof: Taking (55) into (58), and by (53),

$$\Phi_{2,\frac{\alpha+1}{2}}(f) = \frac{1}{\alpha}\hat{I}_{\alpha}(f),\tag{60}$$

similarly,

$$\Phi_{2,\frac{\alpha+1}{2}}(G^n) = \frac{1}{\alpha}\hat{I}_{\alpha}(G^n).$$
(61)

Similar to the proof steps of Theorem 9, then the conclusion follows from substituting (55), (60) and (61) in the Cramér–Rao inequality established in Theorem 8.3 in [15].

Not only to cover the case when dimension $n \ge 2$, but also we could establish more refined matrix version of the Cramér–Rao inequality.

Definition 1. For $\frac{n}{n+2} < \lambda$ and $\lambda \neq 1$, define the *n*-dimensional probability density as

$$g_{\lambda,K}(x) = A_{\lambda} (1 - (\lambda - 1)\beta_{\lambda} x^T K^{-1} x)_+^{\frac{1}{\lambda - 1}}$$

with $\beta_{\lambda} = \frac{1}{2\lambda - n(1-\lambda)}$, and normalization constants

$$A_{\lambda} = \begin{cases} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{1-\lambda}\right)\left(\beta_{\lambda}(1-\lambda)\right)^{\frac{n}{2}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{1-\lambda}-\frac{n}{2}\right)\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}|K|^{\frac{1}{2}}} & \text{if } \frac{n}{n+2} < \lambda < 1\\ \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda-1}+\frac{n}{2}\right)\left(\beta_{\lambda}(\lambda-1)\right)^{\frac{n}{2}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda-1}\right)\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}|K|^{\frac{1}{2}}} & \text{if } \lambda > 1. \end{cases}$$

Define the matrix version of Tsallis-Fisher information

$$\hat{\mathbf{I}}_{\alpha}(f) := \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \frac{\nabla f}{f^{\frac{3-\alpha}{2}}} \left(\frac{\nabla f}{f^{\frac{3-\alpha}{2}}}\right)^T dx,$$

it is easy to observe that $\hat{\mathbf{I}}_{\alpha}$ is the matrix version of Tsallis–Fisher information \hat{I}_{α} and reduces to the Fisher information matrix as $\alpha \to 1$. Indeed, the matrix version of Tsallis–Fisher information $\hat{\mathbf{I}}_{\alpha}$ defined here is a special case of λ -Fisher information matrix $\hat{\mathbf{J}}_{\lambda}(f)$ defined in [9]. This Tsallis–Fisher information arises when taking the time derivative of Rényi entropy of order λ along the solutions to the heat equation, referred to as the λ -heat equation in [9], which is formally similar to a weighted version of the nonlinear heat equation (46), where α is replaced by λ . In other words, it appears in the λ -heat equation version of de Bruijn identity, just as the α -weighted Rényi–Fisher information \tilde{I}_{α} appears in the generalized de Bruijn identity (47). The λ -Fisher information matrix is defined as

$$\hat{\mathbf{J}}_{\lambda}(f) = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \frac{\nabla f}{f^{2-\lambda}} \left(\frac{\nabla f}{f^{2-\lambda}}\right)^T dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f^{\lambda} dx}$$

The authors in [9] established the following sharp Cramér-Rao inequality.

Lemma 5. For the Fisher information $\hat{\mathbf{J}}_{\lambda}$ defined above, $\lambda > \frac{n}{n+2}$ and $\lambda \neq 1$, given a random vector with density f and covariance K then

$$\hat{\mathbf{J}}_{\lambda}(f) - \frac{\int f^{\lambda} dx}{\lambda^2} K^{-1}$$
(62)

is positive semidefinite, with equality if and only if $f = g_{\lambda,K}$ everywhere.

Then we could establish the following sharp Cramér-Rao inequality analogue.

Theorem 11. For $\alpha > \frac{n-2}{n+2}$, given a random vector with density f and covariance K then

$$\frac{\hat{\mathbf{I}}_{\alpha}(f)}{\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}} dx} - \frac{4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}} dx}{(\alpha+1)^{2}} K^{-1}$$
(63)

is positive semidefinite, with equality if and only if $f = g_{\frac{\alpha+1}{2},K}$ everywhere.

Proof: Taking (55) into (62), the conclusion follows.

Theorem 11 indeed covers all dimension $n \ge 1$, even slightly extend the feasible domain of α when n > 2, since $\frac{n-2}{n+2} < \frac{n-2}{n}$. All inequalities (54), (59) and (63) are sharp in their respective feasible domain of parameter. And all of them converge to the classical Cramér–Rao inequality as $\alpha \to 1$.

B. Strengthening complete monotonicity of logarithmic Tsallis entropy of order 2

We have demonstrated in [22] that $h_2(X_t)$ is completely monotone with respect to time t in one dimension. Similarly, it is straightforward to show that this property holds for the n-dimensional case as well. More strictly speaking, $\hat{I}_2(X_t)$ is completely monotone, that is,

$$(-1)^k \frac{d^k}{dt^k} \hat{I}_2(X_t) \ge 0$$
(64)

for any $k \ge 1$. Here $\hat{I}_2(X_t)$ is two times the first-order time derivative of $\hat{h}_2(X_t)$. More generally, we consider the first-order time derivative of logarithmic $\hat{h}_2(X_t)$. In the following, we depict the complete monotonicity for $\log \hat{h}_2(X_t)$, i.e.

$$(-1)^k \frac{d^k}{dt^k} \left(\frac{d}{dt} \log \hat{h}_2(X_t) \right) \ge 0,$$

as the strengthen of (64). Moreover, we actually provide a strictly positive lower bound for $(-1)^k \frac{d^k}{dt^k} \left(\frac{d}{dt} \log \hat{h}_2(X_t)\right)$. Hereafter, we will only consider the random vector $X \sim f$ such that $\int f^2 dx < 1$, it follows that $\int f_t^2 dx < 1$ for any t > 0. And we define the Fisher information for the logarithmic 2-order Tsallis entropy

$$\mathcal{I}_2(X) := 2\frac{d}{dt} \bigg|_{t=0} \log \hat{h}_2(X_t),$$

It holds that $\mathcal{I}_2(X) \ge 0$, since $\int f^2 dx < 1$. Clearly, $\mathcal{I}_2(X) = \frac{\hat{I}_2(X)}{\hat{h}_2(X)}$, while, $I_2(X) = \frac{\hat{I}_2(X)}{1 - \hat{h}_2(X)}$. Denote K(X), K_f or K for short, as the covariance of $X \sim f$, see (33).

In Section II, we have obtained the entropic isoperimetric inequality $N_{\alpha}(X)I_{\alpha}(X) \ge r_{\alpha,n}$. Here we only consider the case of $\alpha = 2$. Denote $\Theta_{2,n}$ as the region of the dimension n such that sharp inequality

$$N_2(X)I_2(X) \ge r_{2,n}$$

holds. And it follows from Theorems 1, 2, 3 and 4 that $\Theta_{2,n} = \mathbb{N}^+$ for any $n \ge 1$. Refer to (34) for the definition of \mathfrak{f}_{α} . Since \mathfrak{f}_2 is determined by the covariance matrix K_f of probability density function f, we write $h_2(K_f) := h_2(\mathfrak{f}_2), \hat{h}_2(K_f) := \hat{h}_2(\mathfrak{f}_2)$. Then we have

Lemma 6. For any probability density f with covariance matrix K_f

$$V_2(\mathfrak{f}_2)I_2(f) = e^{\frac{2}{n}h_2(K_f)}I_2(f) \ge r_{2,n}.$$
(65)

It follows from Lemma 6 and applying $\alpha = 2$ to Lemma 1 that

Lemma 7. For any probability density f with covariance matrix K_f .

$$\frac{2\int |\nabla f|^2 dx}{1 - \int f^2 dx} \ge \frac{r_{2,n} \left(\int \mathfrak{f}_2^2 dx\right)^{\frac{2}{n} + 1}}{1 - \int \mathfrak{f}_2^2 dx},$$

$$\mathcal{I}_2(f) \ge \frac{r_{2,n} (1 - \hat{h}_2(K_f))^{\frac{2}{n} + 1}}{\hat{h}_2(K_f)}.$$
(66)

that is,

Combining the complete monotonicity for 2-order Tsallis entropy with similar steps outlined in [21], and noting that an analogous construction was used in the proof of Theorem 7, we obtain the following result.

Proposition 3. For any n-dimensional random vector $X \sim f$, $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, such that $\int f^2 dx < 1$, and any $j \ge 1$,

$$\frac{(-1)^{j-1}}{2} \frac{d^{j-1}}{dt^{j-1}} \mathcal{I}_2(X_t) \ge (j-1)! \left(\frac{r_{2,n}(1-\hat{h}_2(K+t\mathbb{I}_n))^{\frac{2}{n}+1}}{2\hat{h}_2(K+t\mathbb{I}_n)}\right)^j.$$
(67)

Proof: From the complete monotonicity for 2-order Tsallis entropy,

$$(-1)^{j-1} \frac{d^j}{dt^j} e^{\log \hat{h}_2(X_t)} \ge 0$$

Denote $y(t) = \log \hat{h}_2(X_t)$. Denote $B_m(x_1, \ldots, x_m)$ or $B_m(x_1, x_2, \ldots)$ the complete exponential Bell polynomials. By Faa di Bruno's formula, for any $j \ge 1$,

$$\frac{d^{j}}{dt^{j}}e^{\log\hat{h}_{2}(X_{t})} = \frac{d^{j}}{dt^{j}}e^{y(t)} = e^{y(t)}B_{j}(\dot{y}, y^{(2)}, y^{(3)}, \dots)$$

where $\dot{y} = \frac{d}{dt}y, y^{(2)} = \frac{d^2}{dt^2}y, y^{(3)} = \frac{d^3}{dt^3}y$, etc. By property of the Bell polynomials,

$$\forall m, \forall \beta \in \mathbb{R}, \ B_m(\beta x_1, \beta^2 x_2, \beta^3 x_3, \dots) = \beta^m B_m(x_1, x_2, \dots)$$

Letting $\beta = -1$ and $Y_j = (-1)^j y^{(j)}$ for all $j \ge 1$ yields that

$$(-1)^{j-1} \frac{d^{j}}{dt^{j}} e^{\log \hat{h}_{2}(X_{t})} = (-1)^{j-1} e^{y(t)} B_{j}(\dot{y}, y^{(2)}, y^{(3)}, \dots)$$
$$= -e^{y(t)} B_{j}(-\dot{y}, y^{(2)}, -y^{(3)}, \dots)$$
$$= -e^{y(t)} B_{j}(Y_{1}, Y_{2}, Y_{3}, \dots).$$

For any $J \in \mathbb{N}$, $(-1)^{j-1} \frac{d^j}{dt^j} e^{\log \hat{h}_2(X_t)} \ge 0$ for all $j \le J$, i.e., $B_j(Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, ...) \le 0$ for all $j \le J$. Then by Lemma 2 in [21],

$$Y_j \le -(j-1)!(-Y_1)^j$$
 for all $1 \le j \le J$,

i.e.,

$$(-1)^{j-1}y^{(j)} \ge (j-1)!\dot{y}^j.$$

Note that $\dot{y} = \frac{d}{dt} \log \hat{h}_2(X_t) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\hat{I}_2(X_t)}{\hat{h}_2(X_t)}$, and by Lemma 7,

$$\dot{y} \ge \frac{r_{2,n}(1 - \hat{h}_2(K + t\mathbb{I}_n))^{\frac{2}{n} + 1}}{2\hat{h}_2(K + t\mathbb{I}_n)}$$

Since J is arbitrary,

$$(-1)^{j-1}\frac{d^j}{dt^j}\log\hat{h}_2(X_t) \ge (j-1)! \left(\frac{r_{2,n}(1-\hat{h}_2(K+t\mathbb{I}_n))^{\frac{2}{n}+1}}{2\hat{h}_2(K+t\mathbb{I}_n)}\right)^j,$$

that is,

$$\frac{(-1)^{j-1}}{2} \frac{d^{j-1}}{dt^{j-1}} \frac{\hat{I}_2(X_t)}{\hat{h}_2(X_t)} \ge (j-1)! \left(\frac{r_{2,n}(1-\hat{h}_2(K+t\mathbb{I}_n))^{\frac{2}{n}+1}}{2\hat{h}_2(K+t\mathbb{I}_n)}\right)^j$$

for any $j \ge 1$.

Note that (66) and thus (67) are not sharp, since the extremizers of (65) and (67), thus (66) and (67) are not the same, though (65), (66) and (67) are all sharp. Still, (66) and (67) indeed provide nontrivial bound about $\mathcal{I}_2(X_t)$ and its every order derivative with respect to time t.

Based the fact that $h_2(X)$ and $\hat{h}_2(X)$ share some important properties, and (64) holds for $\hat{I}_{\alpha}(X_t)$, one might guess that (64) is also true for $I_2(X_t)$, i.e., it is natural to speculate that

$$(-1)^k \frac{d^k}{dt^k} I_2(X_t) \ge 0.$$
(68)

However, with the help of Mathematica, we have verified in [22] that (68) is not always true. In other words, (68) could break for some k and t. This seems to indicate that there are indeed some different properties between $h_2(X)$ and $\hat{h}_2(X)$. Nevertheless, note that

$$\mathcal{I}_{2}(X) = \frac{I_{2}(X)}{\hat{h}_{2}(X)},$$
$$I_{2}(X) = \frac{\hat{I}_{2}(X)}{1 - \hat{h}_{2}(X)},$$

thus, $\mathcal{I}_2(X)$ seems like some modified $I_2(X)$. Therefore, Proposition 3 implies that, with some modification of I_2 , we could establish the analogy of (68). That is, $\mathcal{I}_2(X_t)$, as a modification of the Rényi–Fisher information $I_2(X_t)$, satisfies the completely monotone property similar to $\hat{I}_2(X_t)$. The inequality in (67) tells us that this property can be further strengthened in the sense that the derivatives are bounded away from zero.

As applications of Proposition 3, we have the following corollaries.

Corollary 6. For any random variable $X \sim f$, such that $\int f^2 dx < 1$, and any $j \ge 1$,

$$\frac{(-1)^{j-1}}{2} \frac{d^{j-1}}{dt^{j-1}} \mathcal{I}_2(X_t) \ge (j-1)! \left(\frac{512\left(\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)\right)^2 \left(\Gamma\left(\frac{5}{2}\right)\right)^6}{135(K+t)\left(\Gamma\left(\frac{7}{2}\right)\right)^2 \left(\sqrt{5\pi(K+t)}\Gamma\left(\frac{7}{2}\right) - 2\left(\Gamma\left(\frac{5}{2}\right)\right)^2\right)} \right)^j, \quad (69)$$

and the condition $\int f^2 dx < 1$ is equivalent to $\sqrt{5\pi K} \Gamma\left(\frac{7}{2}\right) - 2\left(\Gamma\left(\frac{5}{2}\right)\right)^2 \ge 0$, and it guarantees the right hand side of (69) is positive for any t > 0.

Proof: By Theorem 1, $r_{2,1} = \frac{128\pi}{27} \left(\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) \right)^2$, and it is straightforward to compute that

$$\hat{h}_2(K+t) = 1 - \frac{2\left(\Gamma\left(\frac{5}{2}\right)\right)^2}{\sqrt{5\pi(K+t)}\Gamma\left(\frac{7}{2}\right)}.$$

Thus,

$$\frac{r_{2,1}(1-\hat{h}_2(K+t))^3}{\hat{h}_2(K+t)} = \frac{128\pi \left(\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)\right)^2 8 \left(\Gamma\left(\frac{5}{2}\right)\right)^6}{27(5\pi(K+t))^{\frac{3}{2}} \left(\Gamma\left(\frac{7}{2}\right)\right)^3} \frac{\sqrt{5\pi(K+t)}\Gamma\left(\frac{7}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{5\pi(K+t)}\Gamma\left(\frac{7}{2}\right) - 2 \left(\Gamma\left(\frac{5}{2}\right)\right)^2} \\ = \frac{1024 \left(\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)\right)^2 \left(\Gamma\left(\frac{5}{2}\right)\right)^6}{135(K+t) \left(\Gamma\left(\frac{7}{2}\right)\right)^2 \left(\sqrt{5\pi(K+t)}\Gamma\left(\frac{7}{2}\right) - 2 \left(\Gamma\left(\frac{5}{2}\right)\right)^2\right)},$$

by Proposition 3, the conclusion follows.

Corollary 7. For any 2-dimensional random vector $X \sim f$, such that $\int f^2 dx < 1$, and any $j \ge 1$,

$$\frac{(-1)^{j-1}}{2} \frac{d^{j-1}}{dt^{j-1}} \mathcal{I}_2(X_t) \ge (j-1)! \left(\frac{M_1(1-\hat{h}_2(K+t\mathbb{I}_2))^2}{4\hat{h}_2(K+t\mathbb{I}_2)}\right)^j,$$

where $M_1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u(|x|) dx = 2\pi \int_0^\infty u(t) t dt$ is defined for the unique positive decreasing solution u(t) to the equation $u''(t) + \frac{1}{t}u'(t) + u(t) = 1$ in 0 < t < T, satisfying u'(0) = 0, u(T) = u'(T) = 0, and u(t) = 0 for all $t \ge T$.

Proof: By Theorem 2, $r_{2,2} = \frac{M_1}{2}$, hence the conclusion follows.

Corollary 8. For any n-dimensional random vector $X \sim f$, $n \geq 3$, such that $\int f^2 dx < 1$, and any $j \geq 1$,

$$\frac{(-1)^{j-1}}{2}\frac{d^{j-1}}{dt^{j-1}}\mathcal{I}_2(X_t) \ge (j-1)! \left(\left(\frac{2}{n+2}\right)^{\frac{2}{n}+1} \frac{nM_1^{\frac{2}{n}}(1-\hat{h}_2(K+t\mathbb{I}_n))^{\frac{2}{n}+1}}{2\hat{h}_2(K+t\mathbb{I}_n)} \right)^j,$$

where $M_1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(|x|) dx$ is defined for the unique positive decreasing solution u(t) to the equation $u''(t) + \frac{n-1}{t}u'(t) + u(t) = 1$ in 0 < t < T, satisfying u'(0) = 0, u(T) = u'(T) = 0, and u(t) = 0 for all $t \ge T$.

Proof: By Theorem 3 and Theorem 4, for $n \ge 3$, $r_{2,n} = n\left(\frac{2}{n+2}\right)^{\frac{2}{n}+1} M_1^{\frac{2}{n}}$, hence the conclusion follows.

VI. CONCLUDING REMARKS AND APPLICATIONS

In this paper, we establish a new Rényi-entropic isoperimetric inequality as a generalization of the classical entropic isoperimetric inequality. We also derive Cramér–Rao inequalities for Rényi–Fisher information, α -weighted Rényi–Fisher information, and Tsallis–Fisher information, respectively. Interestingly, we connect the Cramér–Rao inequalities for both Rényi–Fisher information and Tsallis–Fisher information to the complete monotonicity of entropy along the heat flow. We provide a nontrivial one-dimensional bound for the first two time derivatives of Rényi entropy along heat flow, and strengthen the complete monotonicity of logarithmic Tsallis entropy of order 2.

Our Cramér–Rao inequalities have potential application in several practical problems. For instance, in deep learning models such as convolutional neural networks (CNNs) or recurrent neural networks (RNNs), the Cramér–Rao lower bound (CRLB) can be used to assess how accurately the model can estimate parameters from noisy data in tasks like parameter estimation in generative models. In parameter optimization, understanding efficient estimation principles can help design better algorithms. Algorithms that approach the CRLB could potentially be used to enhance gradient-based optimization techniques by improving convergence rates. Additionally, in model selection and hyperparameter tuning, the CRLB provides a theoretical tool to evaluate the performance of different estimators, particularly when comparing models with varying numbers of parameters.

APPENDIX A Useful lemmas in proofs

We introduce some useful notations and existing conclusions that are instrumental in proving our results.

To address the one-dimensional Rényi-entropic isoperimetric inequality $N_{\alpha}(X)I_{\alpha}(X) \ge r_{\alpha,1}$, we define the functions $y_{p,\gamma} = y_{p,\gamma}(t)$ for $t \ge 0$ by

$$y_{p,\gamma}(t) = \begin{cases} (1+t)^{\frac{p}{p-\gamma}} & \text{if } p < \gamma, \\ e^{-t} & \text{if } p = \gamma, \\ (1-t)^{\frac{p}{p-\gamma}} \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}(t) & \text{if } p > \gamma. \end{cases}$$

Define $y_{p,\gamma,\beta}$ implicitly as follows. Put $y_{p,\gamma,\beta}(t) = u, 0 \le u \le 1$, with

$$t = \int_{u}^{1} \left(s^{\gamma} (1 - s^{\beta}) \right)^{-\frac{1}{p}} ds$$

if $p \leq \gamma$. If $p > \gamma$, then $y_{p,\gamma,\beta}(t) = u, 0 \leq u \leq 1$, is the solution of the above equation for

$$t \le t_0 = \int_0^1 \left(s^{\gamma} (1 - s^{\beta}) \right)^{-\frac{1}{p}} ds$$

and $y_{p,\gamma,\beta}(t) = 0$ for all $t > t_0$. With these notations, Nagy [16] established the following result.

Lemma 8. [16] Under the constraint

$$p > 1, \quad \beta, \gamma > 0, \quad q = 1 + \frac{\gamma(p-1)}{p},$$

for any (locally) absolutely continuous function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, (i)

$$\|f\|_{\infty} \le \left(\frac{q}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int |f'|^p dx\right)^{\frac{1}{pq}} \left(\int |f|^\gamma dx\right)^{\frac{p-1}{pq}}.$$
(70)

Moreover, the extremizers take the form $f(x) = ay_{p,\gamma}(|bx + c|)$ with a, b, c constants $(b \neq 0)$. (ii)

$$\int |f|^{\beta+\gamma} dx \le \left(\frac{q}{2}W\left(\frac{q}{\beta}, \frac{p-1}{p}\right)\right)^{\frac{\beta}{q}} \left(\int |f'|^p dx\right)^{\frac{\beta}{pq}} \left(\int |f|^\gamma dx\right)^{1+\frac{\beta(p-1)}{pq}},\tag{71}$$

where

$$W(u,v) = \frac{\Gamma(1+u+v)}{\Gamma(1+u)\Gamma(1+v)} \left(\frac{u}{u+v}\right)^u \left(\frac{v}{u+v}\right)^v, \quad u,v \ge 0.$$

Moreover, the extremizers take the form $f(x) = ay_{p,\gamma,\beta}(|bx + c|)$ with a, b, c constants $(b \neq 0)$.

Here, Γ represents the classical Gamma functions, and we adopt the convention that W(u,0) = W(0,v) = 1 for $u, v \ge 0$. Nagy noted that W is monotone in each variable. Moreover, since $W(u,1) = (1 + \frac{1}{u})^{-u}$ is between 1 and $\frac{1}{e}$, one has $1 > W(u,v) > (1 + \frac{1}{u})^{-u} > \frac{1}{e}$ for all 0 < v < 1. This gives a two-sided bound

$$1 \ge W(\frac{q}{\beta}, \frac{p-1}{p}) > \left(1 + \frac{\beta}{q}\right)^{-\frac{q}{\beta}} > \frac{1}{e}.$$

We will also require the following lemma.

Lemma 9. (Lemma 3.2 in [4])

(i) Given a > 0 and $t \ge 0$, the unique solution $y \in (0, 1]$ to the equation $\int_{y}^{1} \frac{ds}{s\sqrt{1-s^{a}}} = t$ is given by

$$y = \left[\cosh\left(\frac{at}{2}\right)\right]^{-\frac{2}{a}}.$$

(ii) Given a, b > 0 and $c \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cosh(|bx+c|)^{-a} dx = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{b} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{a+1}{2}\right)}$$

(iii) Given $a \in (0, 1)$ and $u \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$\int_{u}^{1} \frac{ds}{s^{a}\sqrt{1-s^{2(1-a)}}} = \frac{1}{1-a}\arccos(u^{1-a}).$$

To address Rényi-entropic isoperimetric inequality $N_{\alpha}(X)I_{\alpha}(X) \ge r_{\alpha,n}$ for dimension $n \ge 2$, we first consider a special case of the Gagliardo–Nirenberg's inequalities, as stated in the following lemma. Furthermore, Lemma 11 provides sufficient conditions for the validity of (72).

Lemma 10. For a suitable range of r, s, θ and n, there exists $\kappa_n(2, r, s)$ such that the following special case of the Gagliardo–Nirenberg's inequalities holds:

$$\left(\int |f|^r dx\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \le \kappa_n(2, r, s) \left(\int |\nabla f|^2 dx\right)^{\frac{\theta}{2}} \left(\int |f|^s dx\right)^{\frac{1-\theta}{s}},\tag{72}$$

provided that the parameters satisfying $1 \le r, s \le \infty, 0 \le \theta \le 1$, and $\frac{1}{r} = \theta(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n}) + (1 - \theta)\frac{1}{s}$.

The following statement links the optimal constant in (72) to the solution of the ordinary non-linear equation

$$u''(t) + \frac{n-1}{t}u'(t) + u(t)^{r-1} = u(t)^{s-1}$$
(73)

on the positive half-axis. Put

$$\sigma = \begin{cases} \frac{n+2}{n-2} & \text{if } n \ge 3, \\ \infty & \text{if } n = 2. \end{cases}$$
(74)

Denote |x| as the Euclidean norm of a vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Lemma 11. [1] In the range $1 \le s < \sigma, s < r < \sigma + 1$,

$$\kappa_n(2,r,s) = \theta^{-\frac{\theta}{2}}(1-\theta)^{\frac{\theta}{2}-\frac{1}{r}}M_s^{-\frac{\theta}{n}}, \quad M_s = \int_{\mathbb{R}_n} u_{r,s}^s(|x|)dx,$$

where the functions $u_{r,s} = u_{r,s}(t)$ are defined for $t \ge 0$ as follows.

(i) If s < 2, then $u_{r,s}$ is the unique positive decreasing solution to the equation (73) in 0 < t < T (for some T), satisfying u'(0) = 0, u(T) = u'(T) = 0, and u(t) = 0 for all $t \ge T$.

(ii) If $s \ge 2$, then $u_{r,s}$ is the unique positive decreasing solution to (73) in t > 0, satisfying u'(0) = 0 and $\lim_{t\to\infty} u(t) = 0$.

Moreover, the extremizers in (72) exist and have the form $f(x) = au_{r,s}(|bx + c|)$ with $a \in \mathbb{R}, b \neq 0, c \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

To derive Cramér–Rao inequalities for Rényi–Fisher information and Tsallis–Fisher information and connect them to the complete monotonicity of entropy along heat flow, we introduce the following notations and conclusions. For dimension n = 1, define

$$G(x) := \begin{cases} a_{2,\alpha} \left(1 + \frac{1-\alpha}{2} |x|^2\right)_+^{\frac{2}{\alpha-1}}, & \text{if } \alpha \neq 1, \\ a_{2,1} e^{-|x|^2}, & \text{if } \alpha = 1, \end{cases}$$
(75)

where

$$a_{2,\alpha} = \begin{cases} \frac{\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\beta\left(\frac{1}{2},\frac{3+\alpha}{2(1-\alpha)}\right)}, & \text{if } \alpha < 1, \\ \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}, & \text{if } \alpha = 1, \\ \frac{\left(\frac{\alpha-1}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\beta\left(\frac{1}{2},\frac{2}{1-\alpha}\right)}, & \text{if } \alpha > 1. \end{cases}$$

For dimension $n \ge 2$, define

$$G^{n}(x) := \begin{cases} b_{2,\alpha} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha - 1}{(n+2)(\alpha + 1) - 2n} |x|^{2} \right)_{+}^{\frac{2}{\alpha - 1}}, & \text{if } \alpha \neq 1, \\ b_{2,1} e^{-\frac{1}{2} |x|^{2}}, & \text{if } \alpha = 1, \end{cases}$$
(76)

where

$$b_{2,\alpha} = \begin{cases} \frac{\frac{2}{n} |\frac{\alpha-1}{(n+2)(\alpha+1)-2n}|^{\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}+1\right)}{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}} B\left(\frac{n}{2}, 1-\frac{(n+2)(\alpha+1)-2n}{2(\alpha-1)}\right)}, & \text{if } \frac{n-2}{n+2} < \alpha < 1, \\ \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}+1\right)}{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}} 2^{\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}+1\right)}, & \text{if } \alpha = 1, \\ \frac{\frac{2}{n} \left(\frac{\alpha-1}{(n+2)(\alpha+1)-2n}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}+1\right)}{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}} B\left(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{(n+2)(\alpha+1)-2n}{2(\alpha-1)}-\frac{n}{2}\right)}, & \text{if } \alpha < \frac{n-2}{n+2} \text{ or } \alpha > 1 \end{cases}$$

Recall $\sigma_2(f) = \int |x|^2 f(x) dx$ for any probability density function f. In [13], for $p \in (1, +\infty)$, $q \in (1, +\infty)$, such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, the authors introduced a generalized Fisher information

$$\phi_{p,\lambda}(f) = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f^{\lambda-2}f'|^q f dx\right)^{\frac{1}{q\lambda}}.$$
(77)

They derived the Cramér–Rao inequality for $\phi_{p,\lambda}$, $p \in [1, +\infty]$, $\lambda \in (\frac{1}{1+p}, +\infty)$. However, for our purpose, we only need the following lemma.

Lemma 12. (Direct corollary of Theorem 5 in [13]) Let $\lambda \in (\frac{1}{3}, +\infty)$, and f be a density. And f is assumed to be absolutely continuous. If $\sigma_2(f), \phi_{2,\lambda}(f) < +\infty$, then

$$(\sigma_2(f))^{\frac{1}{2}} \phi_{2,\lambda}(f) \ge (\sigma_2(G))^{\frac{1}{2}} \phi_{2,\lambda}(G).$$
(78)

Equality holds if and only if f = G(x/t)/t.

Note that our definition of σ_2 here is one square different from their original definition, it follows that the power $\frac{1}{2}$ of σ_2 appears in (78).

REFERENCES

- [1] Thierry Aubin. Problemes isopérimétriques et espaces de Sobolev. *Journal of differential geometry*, 11(4): 573–598, 1976.
- [2] S. G. Bobkov and G. P. Chistyakov. Entropy power inequality for the Rényi entropy. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 61(2):708—-714, 2015.
- [3] Sergey G Bobkov and Cyril Roberto. Entropic isoperimetric inequalities for generalized Fisher information. 2022.
- [4] Sergey G Bobkov and Cyril Roberto. Entropic isoperimetric inequalities. In *High Dimensional Probability IX: The Ethereal Volume*, pages 97–121. Springer, 2023.
- [5] F. Cheng and Y. Geng. Higher Order Derivatives in Costa's Entropy Power Inequality. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 61:5892–5905, 2015.
- [6] J. Costa, A. Hero, and C. Vignat. On solutions to multivariate maximum α-entropy problems. In *International Workshop on Energy Minimization Methods in Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 211–226. Springer, 2003.
- [7] Manuel Del Pino and Jean Dolbeault. Best constants for Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities and applications to nonlinear diffusions. *Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées*, 81(9):847–875, 2002.
- [8] Amir Dembo and Thomas M. Cover. Information theoretic inequalities. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 37(6):1501–1518, 1991.
- [9] Oliver Johnson and Christophe Vignat. Some results concerning maximum Rényi entropy distributions. In *Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré (B) Probability and Statistics*, volume 43, pages 339–351. Elsevier, 2007.
- [10] Jiange Li. Rényi entropy power inequality and a reverse. *Studia Mathematica*, 242(3):303–319, 2018.
- [11] Jian-Guo Liu and Jinhuan Wang. On the best constant for Gagliardo-Nirenberg interpolation inequalities. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1712.10208*, 2017.
- [12] Erwin Lutwak, Deane Yang, and Gaoyong Zhang. Moment-entropy inequalities. *The Annals of Probability*, 32(1B):757–774, 2004.
- [13] Erwin Lutwak, Deane Yang, and Gaoyong Zhang. Cramér-rao and moment-entropy inequalities for Rényi entropy and generalized Fisher information. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 51(2):473–478, 2005.

- [14] Erwin Lutwak, Deane Yang, and Gaoyong Zhang. Moment-entropy inequalities for a random vector. *IEEE transactions on information theory*, 53(4):1603–1607, 2007.
- [15] Erwin Lutwak, Songjun Lv, Deane Yang, and Gaoyong Zhang. Extensions of Fisher information and Stam's inequality. *IEEE transactions on information theory*, 58(3):1319–1327, 2012.
- [16] B. Nagy. Über Integralungleichungen zwischen einer Funktion und ihrer Ableitung. Acta Univ. Szeged. Sect. Sci. Math., 10:64–74, 1941.
- [17] A. Rényi. On measures of entropy and information. In Proceedings of the Fourth Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability, Volume 1: Contributions to the Theory of Statistics, pages 547–561, 1961.
- [18] Laurent Saloff-Coste. Aspects of Sobolev-type inequalities, volume 289. Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- [19] G. Savaré and G. Toscani. The concavity of Rényi entropy power. *IEEE Transactions on Information theory*, 60(5):2687–2693, 2014.
- [20] Giorgio Talenti. Best constant in Sobolev inequality. *Annali di Matematica pura ed Applicata*, 110:353–372, 1976.
- [21] G. Wang. The entropy power conjecture implies the McKean conjecture. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2408.07275*, 2024.
- [22] H. Wu, L. Yu, and L. Guo. On the completely monotone conjecture for Rényi entropy. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.01819v2*, 2025.