
TWISTED LOCAL G -WILD MAPPING CLASS GROUPS

by

Jean Douçot, Gabriele Rembado & Daisuke Yamakawa

Abstract. — We consider the (universal) local isomonodromic deformations of irregular-singular connections defined on principal bundles over complex curves: for any complex reductive structure group G , any pole order, and allowing for twisted/ramified formal normal forms at each pole. This covers the general case, and we particularly study the fundamental groups of the spaces of admissible deformations of irregular types/classes, in the viewpoint of (twisted/nonsplit) reflections cosets.

Contents

1. Introduction, main results, layout.....	2
2. Setup and main definitions.....	7
3. Pure setting: generic case.....	12
4. Pure setting: general case.....	13
5. Forgetting the marking.....	15
6. Full/nonpure setting: generic case.....	17
7. Full/nonpure setting: general case.....	19
Interlude.....	22
8. Some more Lie/Weyl theory.....	22
9. Some more hyperplane arrangements.....	26
10. A survey of pure type A	27
11. Pure type B/C	29
12. Pure type D	31
13. Twisted local G -wild mapping class groups.....	35
14. Outlook.....	37

J. D. is funded by the PNRR Grant CF 44/14.11.2022, ‘Cohomological Hall Algebras of Smooth Surfaces and Applications’, led by Olivier Schiffmann.

G. R. is supported by the European Commission, under the grant agreement n. 101108575 (HORIZON-MSCA project [QuantMod](#)).

D. Y. is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 24K06695.

Acknowledgements.....	37
Appendix A. Some background notion/notation.....	38
Appendix B. Exceptional types.....	42
Appendix C. Lifting Springer’s theory.....	42
Appendix D. Missing proofs.....	43
References.....	47

1. Introduction, main results, layout

1.1. Introduction. — We continue the topological study of admissible deformations of irregular types/classes on a fixed pointed curve, extending [52, 51] to the twisted/ramified setting, and [15] beyond type A.

1.1.1. — More precisely, this article deals with the deformations of *arbitrary* irregular types/classes, for any (connected) complex reductive algebraic group G . Recall that such deformations complement those of pointed curves, and behave in the same way, leading to the definition of *wild* curves [13]—which underlies all this work. The twisted version was introduced in [16], and the ‘global’ deformations of wild curves have also been considered in [15, 53].

One main motivation for this programme comes from the topological theory of isomonodromic deformations of irregular-singular connections on principal G -bundles over complex curves, in a vast generalization of the ‘generic’ setup of [61], where the leading irregular coefficient at each pole is an m -by- m diagonalizable matrix with simple spectrum (whence $G = \mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{C})$, and strictly speaking one works on trivial vector bundles over \mathbb{CP}^1). Please refer particularly to [15, § 1] for more details, and for references to the past work of many people dating back to [90, 58], passing through the aforementioned seminal contribution of Jimbo–Miwa–Ueno; here we will be brief.

What matters is that the topology of isomonodromic deformations involves the dynamics of discrete groups, the *wild* mapping class groups (= WMCGs), on the (twisted) *wild* character varieties [13, 16], generalizing the much-studied representations of surface groups.⁽¹⁾ Recall, e.g., that in the regular-singular case the finite orbits for the standard mapping class group actions on (tame) complex character varieties are intimately related with algebraic solutions of the corresponding isomonodromy equations, notably including the Schlesinger system and (as a particular case) Painlevé VI [73, 54, 60, 10, 11, 70, 65]. In the irregular-singular case, more recent work relates the dynamics of WMCGs with other Painlevé equations, cf. [86, 64].

⁽¹⁾In addition, the first-named author has been interested in the classification of irregular isomonodromy systems in genus zero [48, 50, 47, 49]; while the second- and third-named authors have been interested in their quantization [87, 88, 102, 56, 35] (cf. [3, 4] in the nonsingular case).

1.1.2. — In this paper we thus continue the study of the local pieces of the WMCGs, which complement the usual mapping class groups of pointed curves (see [53] for a precise statement involving a fibration $\mathcal{WM} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{g,n}$, for any integers $g, n \geq 0$; here we look at the fibres).

Let Σ be a nonsingular genus- g projective curve defined over \mathbb{C} . Mark a finite set $\mathbf{a} = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\} \subseteq \Sigma$ of (\mathbb{C} -)points, and consider an algebraic connection on a principal G -bundle over the open complement $\Sigma^\circ := \Sigma \setminus \mathbf{a}$. This canonically determines an *irregular class* $\Theta_i = \Theta_{a_i}$ at each point a_i [16, Def. 7].⁽²⁾ The latter encodes the irregular part of the Turritin–Levelt formal normal form of (the formal germ of) the connection there, in coordinate-independent fashion, cf. [74, 17, 14]—and see below. The triple

$$(1) \quad \Sigma = (\Sigma, \mathbf{a}, \Theta), \quad \Theta := \{\Theta_1, \dots, \Theta_n\},$$

is the corresponding wild curve, and the isomonodromic deformations of the starting connection can be phrased as in [13, Thm. 10.2]. (The proof extends verbatim to the twisted setting, cf. [15, Cor. 1.2].)

Thus, the moduli of (1) can be regarded as the intrinsic time-variables for the nonlinear isomonodromy equations. Just as in [52, 51, 15], here we consider the case where (Σ, \mathbf{a}) does *not* vary along an admissible deformation, thereby focussing on the admissible deformations of an arbitrary irregular class, i.e., the ‘wild’ isomonodromy times.

1.1.3. — In brief, we look at algebraic connections on principal G -bundles over a formal punctured disc, viewed as the formal punctured neighbourhood of a point $\mathbf{a} \in \Sigma$. The category of such connections is equivalent to that of G -local systems on the boundary circle $\partial = \partial_{\mathbf{a}} \subseteq \widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{a}}$ of the real-oriented blowup $\widehat{\Sigma} = \widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{a}} \rightarrow \Sigma$ of the pair (Σ, \mathbf{a}) , graded by the ‘exponential’ local system [16, Thm. 6]⁽³⁾ (cf. [5, 62, 78]).⁽⁴⁾ This determines an irregular (equivalence) class $\Theta = \Theta_{\mathbf{a}}$, which has a well-defined integer ramification order $r = r_{\mathbf{a}} \geq 1$: then Θ is *untwisted* if $r = 1$, else it is *twisted*.

To study the admissible deformations of Θ in the general case, we work on an r -fold cyclic covering of ∂ . Then, as in [16], we consider the corresponding—untwisted—pulled-back irregular class $\widehat{\Theta}$, and the—untwisted—irregular types \widehat{Q} with underlying irregular class $\widehat{\Theta} = \widehat{\Theta}(\widehat{Q})$. (Cf. Rmk. 2.1.5 for precise references to the ‘untwisting’ pullbacks of op. cit.) For any choice of a maximal torus $T \subseteq G$, the latter constitute an orbit for the Weyl group $W = W(G, T)$, and in turn $\widehat{\Theta}$ can (and will) be identified with this finite orbit. Moreover, if we also choose a local coordinate z on Σ , vanishing

⁽²⁾E.g., the ‘bare irregular type’ of [13, Rmk. 10.6] in the untwisted case, cf. [51].

⁽³⁾In a particular case of op. cit., we thus consider the *constant* group G , rather than a local system of groups \mathcal{G} (on ∂), and so there are no ‘interior’ twists—as in [15] in type A, cf. [16, Prop. 8].

⁽⁴⁾In type A this is due to Deligne [75, Thm. 2.3], rephrasing/strengthening the statement of [6].

at $\mathfrak{a} \in \Sigma$, and a root $w := z^{1/r}$ (viewed as a local coordinate on top of the cyclic covering), then \widehat{Q} can concretely be written as in (13).

The main point is that the admissible deformations of \widehat{Q} and $\widehat{\Theta}$ are now as in [13], but with an important caveat: the fact that \widehat{Q} and $\widehat{\Theta}$ come from r -ramified twisted irregular types/classes readily implies that they are r -Galois-closed, cf. Def. 2.1.4. Then the main objects we study are:

- the (topological) space $\mathbf{B}_r(\widehat{Q})$, of r -Galois-closed admissible deformations of \widehat{Q} ;
- the space $\mathbf{B}_r(\widehat{\Theta})$, of r -Galois-closed admissible deformations of $\widehat{\Theta}$;
- the corresponding pure (r -ramified) local G -WMCG, viz., $\Gamma_r(\widehat{Q}) := \pi_1(\mathbf{B}_r(\widehat{Q}), \widehat{Q})$;
- and the (full/nonpure) local G -WMCG, viz., $\overline{\Gamma}_r(\widehat{\Theta}) := \pi_1(\mathbf{B}_r(\widehat{\Theta}), \widehat{\Theta})$.

In this terminology, the previous work [52, 51] is already beyond the vector-bundle case, but only for $r = 1$. The difference when $r \geq 2$ is that the (twisted) exponential factors, featuring in the exponential terms of the fundamental formal horizontal sections of the irregular-singular connection at $\mathfrak{a} \in \Sigma$, are multivalued when expressed in the coordinate z —and have nontrivial (finite) monodromy. The main consequence is that the coefficients of the lifted irregular type \widehat{Q} are *not* independent of each other, as per the r -Galois-closedness. In turn, when considering admissible deformations, there are not as many ‘true’ deformation parameters as in the untwisted case. (This significantly complicates the local analysis, cf. again [15] in type A.)

1.1.4. — By fixing (and then forgetting) suitable markings for the r -Galois-closed irregular types, in the form of Weyl-group elements which govern the monodromy of the exponential factors (cf. Def. 2.2.4), we will first describe the above topological spaces and fundamental groups in abstract fashion: by (i) providing a direct-product decomposition of $\mathbf{B}_r(\widehat{Q})$ into (linear) hyperplane complements in complex vector spaces; and (ii) describing $\mathbf{B}_r(\widehat{\Theta})$ as the base of a Galois covering with total space $\mathbf{B}_r(\widehat{Q})$ (cf. below).

Afterwards, we will explicitly determine the direct factors of a finer decomposition of $\mathbf{B}_r(\widehat{Q})$, when the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} := \text{Lie}(G)$ is simple, of classical type, provided that the underlying ‘untwisted’ admissible deformations—forgetting about r -Galois-closedness—lead to crystallographic hyperplane arrangements. (Concretely, there are issues in certain type-D examples, intimately related with nontrivial twists in Howlett’s theory of normalizers of parabolic subgroups of finite Coxeter groups [59], cf. Cor.-Def. 8.3.5 and Exmp. 12.1.5.)

1.1.5. — To address the most general twisted case on principal G -bundles, our starting point is the following paragraph by Springer [97, § 5.6]:

The results of this section lead to certain complex reflection groups. [...] One can proceed [...] and derive the main results about polyhedral groups from the theory of Weyl groups, using the results of this paper. We shall not go into this matter here.

In this paper, instead, we shall use the main statements of the theory of Springer/Lehrer–Springer [97, 68, 69] to describe the above admissible deformation spaces in terms of the spectra of Weyl-group elements; or rather, their restrictions to suitable stable subspaces of the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{t} := \text{Lie}(\mathbb{T}) \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$. In particular, we will relate the eigenspaces of regular elements $g \in W$ with *quasi-generic* isomonodromic deformations, i.e., the isomonodromic deformations of irregular-singular connections whose principal part has regular-semisimple leading coefficient up to a pullback along an r -fold cyclic covering—so that $r = 1$ is the usual generic case.

Just as in [15], this leads to (more) modular interpretations of the generalized symmetric groups of the infinite Shephard–Todd series [94], which are complex reflection groups with *no* real form, and of the corresponding braid groups (cf. [32]). But importantly there are new examples: e.g., we now see that the exceptional (irreducible) complex reflection group G_{31} arises from the isomonodromic deformations of quasi-generic irregular-singular connections on principal E_8 -bundles, because G_{31} can be realized as the centralizer of a regular element in the Weyl group of type E_8 (cf. § C). Moreover, in what seems to be a unique feature of the principal-bundle case, we find *twisted/nonsplit* reflection cosets, which are easy examples of ‘spetses’ (à la Broué–Malle–Michel [31]), cf. §§ 8, 9, and A.2.⁽⁵⁾

Finally, we will phrase Bessis’ lift of Springer’s theory [7, Thm. 12.4] as the study of the quasi-generic examples of local WMCGs. The corresponding $K(\pi, 1)$ complex hyperplane complements now play the role of moduli spaces of (formal germs of) wild curves, and so in turn their (contractible) universal coverings can be interpreted as ‘wild’ Teichmüller spaces.

1.2. Main results. — Let us now summarize the main results in some more detail.

1.2.1. — As mentioned above, we first obtain a general description of the spaces of admissible deformations, for any (connected) reductive algebraic group G over \mathbb{C} :

1.2.2. Theorem (Cf. Thmm.–Deff. 4.2.2 + 7.2.2 + 13.2.1)

Fix an integer $r \geq 1$. Let Θ be an r -ramified irregular class for G , $\hat{\Theta}$ its lifted (untwisted, r -Galois-closed) irregular class, and \hat{Q} an (untwisted, r -Galois closed) irregular type with irregular class $\hat{\Theta}$ —as in (13), with leading coefficient $A_s \neq 0$. Then:

1. *The space of admissible deformations of \hat{Q} decomposes as a direct product*

$$\mathbf{B}_r(\hat{Q}) = \prod_{i=1}^s \mathbf{B}_r(\hat{Q}, i) \subseteq \mathfrak{t}^s,$$

and each factor is the complement of a (finite, linear) complex hyperplane arrangement as in (25);

⁽⁵⁾In type D, e.g., one finds the 2-twisted cosets of type BC, cf. Prop. 12.2.2.

2. if A_s is a regular vector for the Weyl group W , then the leading factor $\mathbf{B}_r(\widehat{Q}, s) \subseteq \mathfrak{t}_{\text{reg}}$ (of the above product) is the complement of Springer's complex reflection arrangement inside the eigenspace of a (regular) element $\mathfrak{g} \in W$ of order $\mathbf{d} := r \wedge s$;
3. there is a subquotient $Z_{W, \Phi}(r)$ of W , determined by the 'fission' sequence of nested (Levi) annihilators of the coefficients of \widehat{Q} , which acts freely on $\mathbf{B}_r(\widehat{Q})$;
4. the space $\mathbf{B}_r(\widehat{\Theta})$ is the corresponding topological quotient of $\mathbf{B}_r(\widehat{Q})$;
5. and if (again) A_s is regular then $Z_{W, \Phi}(r)$ is isomorphic to the centralizer $Z_W(\mathfrak{g}) \subseteq W$ of a regular element \mathfrak{g} , and $\overline{\Gamma}_r(\widehat{\Theta})$ is isomorphic to Bessis' lift of $Z_W(\mathfrak{g})$ inside the full/nonpure G -braid group $\pi_1(\mathfrak{t}_{\text{reg}}/W, W.A_s)$.

In the general case, it follows that the pure r -ramified local G -WMCG also splits as a direct product, and that the full/nonpure version is an extension thereof (cf. Thm. 13.1.3.)

1.2.3. — Finally, for the classical Lie algebras we can provide another factorization of $\mathbf{B}_r(\widehat{Q})$, which refines that of Thm. 1.2.2. We prove this as a consequence of (possibly twisted/split) Lehrer–Springer's theory, provided that the corresponding untwisted deformations of \widehat{Q} lead to crystallographic arrangements.

More precisely, just as in [52], the point is to classify the factors (25) whenever we are given an inclusion $\Phi_i \subseteq \Phi_{i+1}$ of Levi (root) subsystems of the root system $\Phi = \Phi(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{t})$. In turn, up to acting by the Weyl group, we can recursively work with 'dominant' Levi subsystem (for a suitable choice of a base of simple roots), which are controlled by Dynkin subdiagrams. Finally, for any classical type $\bullet \in \{A, B, C, D\}$, the subdiagrams split into a disjoint union of several type- A components, and then at most one component of type \bullet , and so we can summarize the classification in the following statement:

1.2.4. Theorem (Cf. Prop. 10.2.1 + 11.2.1 + 12.2.1 + 12.2.2)

Choose integers $\rho, q \geq 1$, and set (cf. [32, Lem. 3.3])

$$\mathcal{M}(\rho, q) := \left\{ (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_q) \in \mathbb{C}^q \mid \lambda_i^\rho \neq \lambda_j^\rho, \quad i \neq j \in \{1, \dots, q\} \right\},$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}^\sharp(\rho, q) := \left\{ (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_q) \in \mathbb{C}^q \mid 0 \neq \lambda_i^\rho \neq \lambda_j^\rho, \quad i \neq j \in \{1, \dots, q\} \right\}.$$

Suppose also that \mathfrak{g} is simple, of classical type; moreover, when in type D , assume that the noncrystallographic complement (50) does not arise from the fission of Φ determined by \widehat{Q} . Then there are two integer-valued functions

$$(\rho, q) \mapsto k^\sharp(\rho, q) \geq 0, \quad (\rho, q) \mapsto k(\rho, q) \geq 0,$$

with finite support, as well as a homeomorphism

$$\mathbf{B}_r(\widehat{Q}) \simeq \prod_{\rho, q \geq 1} \left(\mathcal{M}^\sharp(\rho, q)^{k^\sharp(\rho, q)} \times \mathcal{M}(\rho, q)^{k(\rho, q)} \right).$$

(This extends [15, Thm. 1.3] beyond the vector-bundle case.)

1.3. Layout. — The layout of this article is as follows.

1.3.1. — In § 2 we present the general setup and define the (universal) deformations of r -Galois-closed irregular types/classes.

In §§ 3–4 we deal with the pure case, building from the regular/generic setting, by marking r -Galois-closed irregular types; and we relate all this with Springer’s theory. (Experts might want to start from the statement of Thm.-Def. 4.2.2, and derive the rest of these two sections as a corollary, after unpacking the precise notation.)

In § 5 we show that the pure deformation spaces do *not* depend on the choice of marking.

In §§ 6–7 we deal with the full/nonpure case, starting again from the regular/generic setting. (The general setting now relates with *subregular* Springer’s theory; again, Thm.-Def. 7.2.2 is stronger than all previous statements.)

In § 8 we link the previous constructions to: (i) relative Weyl groups; (ii) subtori of $T \subseteq G$; (iii) normalizers of parabolic subgroups of (real) reflection groups; and (iv) (possibly twisted) reflection cosets.

In § 9 we introduce different reflection cosets (which are spetses), and prove a few general statements about them, aiming to describe the factors of the pure admissible deformation spaces in terms of complex reflection arrangements.

In §§ 10–12 we do describe them in such terms, for the classical Lie algebras, taking care to single out the precise obstruction to applying the general theory in type D.

Finally, in § 13 we formally introduce the local G-WMCGs, and explain how Bessis’s lift of Springer’s theory can be used to describe all the regular/generic examples thereof (cf. § C for some brief context).

1.3.2. — The appendix § A contains textbook background on complex reflection groups and classical Weyl groups/root systems.

The appendix § B summarizes the classification problem in the regular/generic exceptional cases.

The appendix § D contains the proofs of few lemmata.

The end of a remark is denoted by a \diamond .

2. Setup and main definitions

2.1. Galois-closed irregular types/classes. — Here we review the basic terminology of twisted irregular types/classes, phrased ‘from above’—i.e., after an untwisting local cyclic covering, cf. § 1.1.3.

2.1.1. — Let G be a connected complex reductive algebraic group, with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} := \text{Lie}(G)$. Choose a maximal torus $T \subseteq G$, and denote by $\mathfrak{t} := \text{Lie}(T) \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ the associated Cartan subalgebra. Consider then a formal variable w , and the field

of \mathbb{C} -valued formal Laurent series $\mathcal{L} := \mathbb{C}((w))$ in that variable. The standard (discrete) valuation $\nu: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$ determines the DVR of formal power series, i.e., $\mathcal{L}_{\geq 0} := \nu^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \cup \{\infty\}) = \mathbb{C}[[w]]$. Then the corresponding vector space of (standard)⁽⁶⁾ untwisted *irregular types* is

$$\widehat{\mathcal{J}\mathcal{T}} = \widehat{\mathcal{J}\mathcal{T}}(t, w) := t \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} (\mathcal{L} / \mathcal{L}_{\geq 0}) \simeq t((w)) / t[[w]].$$

Choose also an integer $r \geq 1$, and denote by $\sqrt{-1}$ the choice of a square root of -1 in \mathbb{C} . Then $\zeta_r := e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}/r} \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ is a primitive r -th root of 1, by which we (tacitly) identify the group of r -th roots of 1 with the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$. We let that group act on irregular types via

$$(2) \quad \widehat{Q}(w) \mapsto \widehat{Q}(\zeta_r^k w), \quad k \in \{1, \dots, r\}.$$

2.1.2. Definition (Cf. Rmk. 2.1.5). — The finite subset of $\widehat{\mathcal{J}\mathcal{T}}$ determined by (2) is the r -Galois-orbit of \widehat{Q} .

2.1.3. — Now denote by $W = W(G, T) := N_G(T)/T$ the Weyl group of (G, T) . We let it act on t^\vee and t in the standard way, whence on (the coefficients of) irregular types. The *irregular class* of $\widehat{Q} \in \widehat{\mathcal{J}\mathcal{T}}$ is the W -orbit

$$\widehat{\Theta} = \widehat{\Theta}(\widehat{Q}) := W \cdot \widehat{Q} \in \widehat{\mathcal{J}\mathcal{T}}/W.$$

The action of W commutes with (2), and so there is a well-induced cyclic action on irregular classes:

$$(3) \quad \widehat{\Theta}(\widehat{Q}(w)) \mapsto \widehat{\Theta}(\widehat{Q}(\zeta_r^k w)) \in \widehat{\mathcal{J}\mathcal{T}}/W.$$

2.1.4. Definition (Cf. § 2.1.4 of [15]). — An irregular class $\widehat{\Theta}$ is r -Galois-closed if it is invariant under (3). An irregular type \widehat{Q} is r -Galois-closed if this holds for its irregular class: the set of such irregular types is denoted by $\widehat{\mathcal{J}\mathcal{T}}_r \subseteq \widehat{\mathcal{J}\mathcal{T}}$.⁽⁷⁾

2.1.5. Remark. — Introduce the new formal variable $z := w^r$. Then w is a root of the monic polynomial

$$P := (X^r - z) \in \mathcal{K}[X], \quad \mathcal{K} := \mathbb{C}((z)),$$

and the splitting field of P —over \mathcal{K} —can be identified with \mathcal{L} . Moreover, the cyclic Galois group $\text{Gal}(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{K}) \subseteq \text{GL}_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{L})$ is generated by the automorphism of \mathcal{L} mapping $w \mapsto \zeta_r w$ [93, Chp. XIII, § 2].

Thus, an r -Galois-closed irregular type $\widehat{Q} = \widehat{Q}(w)$ yields an r -ramified *twisted* irregular type $Q = Q(z)$, as in [16, Exercise, p. 10]—cf. App. A of op. cit. In turn, this determines an r -ramified irregular class $\Theta = \Theta(Q)$. (Also denoted by \overline{Q} ;

⁽⁶⁾They are ‘standard’, because we regard w as a uniformizer for the completed local ring $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_\Sigma|_\alpha$ of a complex algebraic curve Σ , at a (nonsingular) marked point $\alpha \in \Sigma$ (cf. § 1).

⁽⁷⁾By definition, it is W -invariant, and the set of r -Galois-closed irregular classes is the quotient $\widehat{\mathcal{J}\mathcal{T}}_r/W \subseteq \widehat{\mathcal{J}\mathcal{T}}/W$. Note that $\widehat{\mathcal{J}\mathcal{T}}_1 = \widehat{\mathcal{J}\mathcal{T}}$.

conversely, all the twisted irregular types/classes of ramification $r \geq 1$ arise in this way.) More precisely, in our setting [16, Eq. (13)] reads

$$(4) \quad \text{Ad}_{\tilde{g}'}(\widehat{Q}(w)) = \widehat{Q}(\zeta_r w), \quad \widehat{Q} \in \widehat{\mathcal{JT}},$$

for a suitable element $\tilde{g}' \in G$. (Cf. also [6, Eq. (2.9)] in type A.) Then (4) implies that \tilde{g}' normalizes the (connected, reductive) centralizer subgroup $L \subseteq G$ of \widehat{Q} (cf. (27)). It follows that an L -translated $\tilde{g} \in N_G(L)$ of \tilde{g}' also normalizes the maximal torus $T \subseteq L$, because all maximal tori of L are conjugated by inner automorphisms. Finally, the class $g \in W$ of \tilde{g} (modulo T) naturally acts on \widehat{Q} —as in (7)—and yields an r -Galois-closed irregular type as in Def. 2.1.4; this motivates Def. 2.2.4. (Cf. instead Lem.-Def. 8.1.2 for a relation with subtori of T .) \diamond

2.2. Admissible deformations: pure case. — Here we give two notions of admissible deformations for r -Galois-closed irregular types, and define the corresponding (universal) deformation spaces.

2.2.1. — Let $\Phi = \Phi(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{t}) \subseteq \mathfrak{t}^\vee$ be the root system of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{t})$. Given an irregular type $\widehat{Q} \in \widehat{\mathcal{JT}}$, and a root $\alpha \in \Phi$, we set

$$(5) \quad d_\alpha(\widehat{Q}) := \begin{cases} -v(\alpha(\widehat{Q})) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, & \alpha(\widehat{Q}) \neq 0, \\ 0, & \alpha(\widehat{Q}) = 0, \end{cases}$$

noting that the discrete valuation of \mathcal{L} induces a well-defined function on $(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\geq 0}) \setminus \{0\}$. The block structure of the (possibly multilevel) Stokes matrices associated with the exponential factors $\widehat{q}_\alpha := \alpha(\widehat{Q})$ is controlled by these pole orders, and so in turn it makes much sense to discuss isomonodromic deformations when the function

$$(6) \quad (\mathbf{d}(\widehat{Q}) : \alpha \mapsto d_\alpha(\widehat{Q})) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^\Phi,$$

defined by (5), is *constant*:

2.2.2. Definition (Cf. [13], Def. 10.1). — Two r -Galois-closed irregular types \widehat{Q} and \widehat{Q}' are *mutual admissible deformations* if their Φ -tuples (6) coincide.

2.2.3. — We also give a more immediately useful notion (which turns out to be equivalent, and cf. Rmk. 2.1.5). Namely, Def. 2.1.4 means that there exists a group element $g \in W$ such that

$$(7) \quad \widehat{Q}(\zeta_r w) = g \cdot \widehat{Q}(w) \in \widehat{\mathcal{JT}},$$

in the notation of (2). (In general g is *not* unique, cf. § 5.)

2.2.4. Definition. — Choose $g \in W$, $\widehat{Q} \in \widehat{\mathcal{JT}}$, and an integer $r \geq 1$. Then:

1. if (7) holds, we say that g *generates* the r -Galois-orbit of \widehat{Q} ;
2. we denote by $\widehat{\mathcal{JT}}_{r,g} \subseteq \widehat{\mathcal{JT}}$ the subset of irregular types whose r -Galois-orbit is *generated by* g ,⁽⁸⁾

⁽⁸⁾By definition, they are all r -Galois-closed, and so $\widehat{\mathcal{JT}}_{r,g} \subseteq \widehat{\mathcal{JT}}_r$.

3. two r -Galois-closed irregular types \widehat{Q} and \widehat{Q}' are *mutual \mathfrak{g} -admissible deformations* if their r -Galois-orbits are generated by \mathfrak{g} , and if their Φ -tuples (6) coincide.

2.2.5. — Finally, we bound the ‘irregularity’. Fix thus another integer $s \geq 1$, and denote by $\widehat{\mathcal{T}}^{\leq s}$ the subset of irregular types whose degree in w^{-1} is at most s . (If $\widehat{Q} \in \widehat{\mathcal{T}}^{\leq s}$ one then has $\max_{\Phi} \mathbf{d}(\widehat{Q}) \leq s$, and the converse holds if \mathfrak{g} is semisimple.)

Then, given any irregularity-bounded irregular type $\widehat{Q} \in \widehat{\mathcal{T}}^{\leq s}$, in [52] we have considered the admissible deformation space

$$(8) \quad \mathbf{B}^{\leq s}(\widehat{Q}) := \left\{ \widehat{Q}' \in \widehat{\mathcal{T}}^{\leq s} \mid \mathbf{d}(\widehat{Q}) = \mathbf{d}(\widehat{Q}') \right\},$$

cf. [13, Ex. 10.1]. Now Def. 2.2.2 (resp. Def. 2.2.4) amounts to intersecting (8) with the subspace of r -Galois-closed irregular types (resp. those whose r -Galois-orbit is generated by a prescribed element). Hence, for any integer $r \geq 1$ and group element $g \in W$, write also

$$\widehat{\mathcal{T}}_{r,g}^{\leq s} := \widehat{\mathcal{T}}^{\leq s} \cap \widehat{\mathcal{T}}_{r,g} \subseteq \widehat{\mathcal{T}}^{\leq s} \cap \widehat{\mathcal{T}}_r =: \widehat{\mathcal{T}}_r^{\leq s}.$$

Then set

$$(9) \quad \mathbf{B}_r^{\leq s}(\widehat{Q}) := \left\{ \widehat{Q}' \in \widehat{\mathcal{T}}_r^{\leq s} \mid \mathbf{d}(\widehat{Q}) = \mathbf{d}(\widehat{Q}') \right\} = \mathbf{B}^{\leq s}(\widehat{Q}) \cap \widehat{\mathcal{T}}_r,$$

and

$$(10) \quad \mathbf{B}_{r,g}^{\leq s}(\widehat{Q}) := \left\{ \widehat{Q}' \in \widehat{\mathcal{T}}_{r,g}^{\leq s} \mid \mathbf{d}(\widehat{Q}) = \mathbf{d}(\widehat{Q}') \right\} = \mathbf{B}^{\leq s}(\widehat{Q}) \cap \widehat{\mathcal{T}}_{r,g},$$

assuming furthermore that \widehat{Q} is r -Galois-closed in (9), and that its r -Galois-orbit is generated by $g \in W$ in (10).

2.3. Admissible deformations: full/nonpure case. — The admissible deformations of r -Galois-closed irregular classes amount to taking out the W -action:

2.3.1. Definition (Cf. Def. 2.2.2). — Two r -Galois-closed irregular classes $\widehat{\Theta}$ and $\widehat{\Theta}'$ are mutual *admissible deformations* if there exist two (r -Galois-closed) irregular types \widehat{Q} and \widehat{Q}' such that $\widehat{\Theta} = \widehat{\Theta}(\widehat{Q})$, and $\widehat{\Theta}' = \widehat{\Theta}(\widehat{Q}')$, and $\mathbf{B}^{\leq s}(\widehat{Q}) = \mathbf{B}^{\leq s}(\widehat{Q}')$. (It would be the same to ask that $\mathbf{B}_r(\widehat{Q}) = \mathbf{B}_r(\widehat{Q}')$.)

2.3.2. — In [51] we have considered the admissible deformation spaces of (irregularity-bounded) untwisted irregular classes $\widehat{\Theta} = \widehat{\Theta}(\widehat{Q}) \in \widehat{\mathcal{T}}^{\leq s}/W$, viz.,

$$(11) \quad \mathbf{B}^{\leq s}(\widehat{\Theta}) := \left\{ \widehat{\Theta}' = \widehat{\Theta}(\widehat{Q}') \in \widehat{\mathcal{T}}^{\leq s}/W \mid \mathbf{B}^{\leq s}(\widehat{Q}) = \mathbf{B}^{\leq s}(\widehat{Q}') \right\},$$

cf. [13, Rmk. 10.6]. Thus, we now consider the corresponding admissible deformation spaces in the twisted setting, as per Def. 2.3.1:

$$(12) \quad \mathbf{B}_r^{\leq s}(\widehat{\Theta}) := \left\{ \widehat{\Theta}' = \widehat{\Theta}'(\widehat{Q}') \in \widehat{\mathcal{J}}_r^{\leq s} / W \mid \mathbf{B}^{\leq s}(\widehat{Q}) = \mathbf{B}^{\leq s}(\widehat{Q}') \right\} = \mathbf{B}^{\leq s}(\widehat{\Theta}) \cap \widehat{\mathcal{J}}_r^{\leq s} / W,$$

provided that $\widehat{\Theta}$ is r -Galois-closed.

2.4. Concluding remarks. — Before moving on to the study of the admissible deformation spaces (8)–(12), we finish setting up some terminology.

2.4.1. — In a precise sense, one can assume that the ramification is ‘minimal’.

To state this, let us write as customary a nonvanishing irregular type as

$$(13) \quad \widehat{Q} = \widehat{Q}(w) = \sum_{i=1}^s A_i w^{-i}, \quad A_i \in \mathfrak{t}, \quad A_s \neq 0,$$

so that $\widehat{Q} \in \widehat{\mathcal{J}}^{\leq s}$. If (13) is r -Galois-closed, for some integer $r \geq 1$, then for any integer $\tilde{r} \geq 1$ we can set $r' := \tilde{r}r$ and consider the irregular type

$$(14) \quad \widehat{Q}' := \widehat{Q}(w^{\tilde{r}}) \in \widehat{\mathcal{J}}^{\leq s'}, \quad s' := \tilde{r}s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1},$$

which is r' -Galois-closed. There is a bijection $\mathbf{B}_r^{\leq s}(\widehat{Q}) \simeq \mathbf{B}_{r'}^{\leq s'}(\widehat{Q}')$, obtained by applying the change of variable (14) to the admissible deformations of \widehat{Q} ; ⁽⁹⁾ however, the r' -Galois-orbit of \widehat{Q}' has at most $r \leq r'$ elements. Conversely, it is possible that \widehat{Q} has an r -Galois-orbits (2) with less than r elements; e.g., if \widehat{Q} has a single nonvanishing coefficient and the ramification/irregularity are *not* coprime. To avoid considering such cases, we introduce the following:

2.4.2. Lemma-definition. — Choose an element $\widehat{Q} \in \widehat{\mathcal{J}}_r$. Then there exists an integer $\tilde{r} \geq 1$, dividing r , such that:

1. the irregular type $\widehat{Q}' := \widehat{Q}(w^{1/\tilde{r}})$ is (untwisted and) r' -Galois-closed, where r' is the quotient of the division;
2. and the r' -Galois-orbit of \widehat{Q}' contains precisely r' elements.

Irregular types satisfying the latter are said to be primitive.

Proof postponed to D.1. — □

By Lem. 2.4.2, without loss of generality, we will only (tacitly) consider *primitive* r -Galois-closed irregular types.

⁽⁹⁾And the ‘global’ slopes of the corresponding twisted irregular types then coincide.

2.4.3. — We will also (tacitly) identify $\widehat{\mathcal{JT}}^{\leq s}$ with the direct product \mathfrak{t}^s , by means of the \mathbb{C} -linear bijection $\widehat{Q} \mapsto (A_1, \dots, A_s)$, in the notation of (13). In particular, there are inclusions

$$\mathbf{B}_{r,g}^{\leq s}(\widehat{Q}) \subseteq \mathbf{B}_r^{\leq s}(\widehat{Q}) \subseteq \mathbf{B}^{\leq s}(\widehat{Q}) \subseteq \mathfrak{t}^s,$$

in the notation of (9)–(10). Analogously, the W -action on irregular types is identified with the diagonal W -action on the Cartesian power of the Cartan subalgebra, and so $\mathbf{B}_r^{\leq s}(\widehat{\Theta}) \subseteq \mathbf{B}^{\leq s}(\widehat{\Theta}) \subseteq \mathfrak{t}^s/W$, in the notation of (11)–(12).

2.4.4. — Finally, we consider the deformation spaces as topological subspaces of \mathfrak{t}^s , regarded either as a complex manifold or as a complex affine variety: all the previously considered actions (resp. bijections) are then continuous (resp. homeomorphisms).

As in [52, 51, 15, 53], all the coefficients of degree $-i < -s$ of any (g -)admissible deformation of (13) must be central elements of \mathfrak{g} (e.g., they vanish if \mathfrak{g} is semisimple). This means that the homotopy type of (9)–(10) is well-determined by \widehat{Q} and r alone, and hereafter we will remove the corresponding superscript from all notations: we set $\mathbf{B}_{r,g}(\widehat{Q}) = \mathbf{B}_{r,g}^{\leq s}(\widehat{Q})$, etc.

3. Pure setting: generic case

3.1. Single coefficient. — Here we treat the simplest case.

3.1.1. — Suppose that $\widehat{Q} = Aw^{-1} \in \widehat{\mathcal{JT}}_r^{\leq 1}$, with $A \in \mathfrak{t}$ a regular vector, i.e.,

$$(15) \quad A \in \mathfrak{t}_{\text{reg}} = \mathfrak{t} \setminus \bigcup_{\Phi} H_{\alpha}, \quad H_{\alpha} := \ker(\alpha) \subseteq \mathfrak{t}.$$

3.1.2. Proposition-definition. — Chose an element $g \in W$ generating the r -Galois-orbit of \widehat{Q} , and consider the eigenspace

$$(16) \quad \mathfrak{t}(g, \zeta_r) := \ker(g - \zeta_r \text{Id}_{\mathfrak{t}}) \subseteq \mathfrak{t},$$

in the notation of (7)—following [97, § 3.1]. Then one has

$$(17) \quad \mathbf{B}_{r,g}(\widehat{Q}) = \mathfrak{t}(g, \zeta_r) \setminus \bigcup_{\Phi} H_{\alpha}(g, \zeta_r), \quad H_{\alpha}(g, \zeta_r) := H_{\alpha} \cap \mathfrak{t}(g, \zeta_r) \subseteq \mathfrak{t}(g, \zeta_r),$$

which is the complement of a complex reflection arrangement.

Proof. — The condition that g generates the r -Galois-orbit of \widehat{Q} means precisely that A lies in (16).⁽¹⁰⁾ Now, by [43, Thm. 2.5], the intersection of (15) and (16) is a (linear) hyperplane complement within the latter vector space, which corresponds to a reflection representation of the centralizer of g (cf. § 6). The conclusion follows from (10), as $\mathbf{B}(\widehat{Q}) = \mathfrak{t}_{\text{reg}}$. \square

⁽¹⁰⁾It follows, e.g., that g is a (regular) element of order r , and that (16) has maximal dimension amongst the ζ_r -eigenspaces of the elements of W .

3.2. Several coefficients. — Here we allow for an arbitrary pole order.

3.2.1. — Suppose that $\widehat{Q} \in \widehat{\mathcal{J}}_{r,g}^{\leq s}$ is of the general form (13), with regular leading coefficient. Then, extending Prop.-Def. 3.1.2:

3.2.2. Proposition. — *There is a factorization $\mathbf{B}_{r,g}(\widehat{Q}) = V' \times \mathbf{U}$, where $V' \subseteq \mathfrak{t}^{s-1}$ is a vector subspace, and $\mathbf{U} \subseteq \mathfrak{t}(g, \zeta_r^s)$ is a hyperplane complement analogous to (17).*

Proof. — Explicitly, the condition is that all coefficients $A_1, \dots, A_s \in \mathfrak{t}$ are eigenvectors for $g \in W$, with corresponding eigenvalues $\zeta_r, \dots, \zeta_r^s \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, i.e., $A_i \in \mathfrak{t}(g, \zeta_r^i) \subseteq \mathfrak{t}$.

Now we use a particular case of the direct-product decomposition of (8), cf. [52, Prop. 2.1]. Namely, one has $\mathbf{B}(\widehat{Q}) = \prod_{i=1}^s \mathbf{B}(\widehat{Q}, i)$, where in turn $\mathbf{B}(\widehat{Q}, i) \subseteq \mathfrak{t}$ is a hyperplane complement in a vector subspace of \mathfrak{t} which only depends on the tail $(A_i, \dots, A_s) \in \mathfrak{t}^{s-i+1}$ of coefficients—and where we allow for empty hyperplane arrangements. If the leading coefficient A_s is regular one has

$$(18) \quad \mathbf{B}(\widehat{Q}, i) = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{t}_{\text{reg}}, & i = s, \\ \mathfrak{t}, & i \in \{1, \dots, s-1\}. \end{cases}$$

Hence, by letting products and intersections commute:

$$(19) \quad \mathbf{B}_{r,g}(\widehat{Q}) = \prod_{i=1}^s \mathbf{B}(\widehat{Q}, i) \cap \prod_{i=1}^s \mathfrak{t}(g, \zeta_r^i) = V' \times (\mathfrak{t}_{\text{reg}} \cap \mathfrak{t}(g, \zeta_r^s)) \subseteq \mathfrak{t}^s,$$

where $V' := \prod_{i=1}^{s-1} \mathfrak{t}(g, \zeta_r^i) \subseteq \mathfrak{t}^{s-1}$.

The conclusion follows again from [97, 43]: if we consider the GCD of the ramification and irregularity, i.e., $d := r \wedge s \geq 1$, then $\zeta_r^s \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ is a primitive d -th root of 1. (Note that we *cannot* assume that r and s are coprime, not even using Lem.-Def. 2.4.2.) \square

3.2.3. Remark. — It follows that there exists an integer $k \geq 1$, coprime with d , such that $\zeta_r^s = \zeta_d^k \in \mathbb{C}^\times$. Since W is a Weyl group, and since ζ_d and ζ_d^k are Galois-conjugate over \mathbb{Q} , one then has $\mathfrak{t}(g, \zeta_d) \neq (0)$. \diamond

4. Pure setting: general case

4.1. Single coefficient. — We move on to treating the general (possibly non-generic) case, first again in the simplest irregular-singular example.

4.1.1. — Suppose that $\widehat{Q} = Aw^{-1} \in \widehat{\mathcal{J}}_r^{\leq 1}$, where now $A \in \mathfrak{t}$ can lie on any root hyperplane. As in [52], the space $\mathbf{B}(\widehat{Q})$ is a hyperplane complement inside the flat

$$(20) \quad \mathfrak{t}_\Phi := \ker(\phi) = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Phi} \mathfrak{H}_\alpha \subseteq \mathfrak{t}, \quad \phi = \phi_A := \Phi \cap \{A\}^\perp \subseteq \Phi,$$

involving the Levi (root) subsystem corresponding to the annihilator of A . More precisely, somewhat analogously to (17), one has

$$(21) \quad \mathbf{B}(\widehat{Q}) = \mathfrak{t}_\Phi \setminus \bigcup_{\Phi \setminus \phi} H_\alpha(\phi), \quad H_\alpha(\phi) := H_\alpha \cap \mathfrak{t}_\phi \subseteq \mathfrak{t}_\phi,$$

and recall that this amounts to a (finite) stratification of \mathfrak{t} indexed by the lattice of Levi subsystems of Φ (the ‘Levi stratification’, cf. [35, Lem. 2.4.4]).

4.1.2. Proposition-definition. — *Let $g \in W$ be an element generating the r -Galois-orbit of \widehat{Q} . Then:*

1. *the subspace (20) is g -stable, i.e.,*

$$(22) \quad g \in N_W(\mathfrak{t}_\phi) := \{ g \in W \mid g(\mathfrak{t}_\phi) \subseteq \mathfrak{t}_\phi \};$$

2. *and one has*

$$(23) \quad \mathbf{B}_{r,g}(\widehat{Q}) = \mathfrak{t}_\phi(g_\phi, \zeta_r) \setminus \bigcup_{\Phi \setminus \phi} H_\alpha(g_\phi, \zeta_r), \quad g_\phi := g|_{\mathfrak{t}_\phi},$$

which is a nonempty hyperplane complement, where we extend the notation of (16), and set

$$(24) \quad H_\alpha(g_\phi, \zeta_r) := H_\alpha \cap \mathfrak{t}_\phi(g_\phi, \zeta_r) = H_\alpha(\phi) \cap \mathfrak{t}(g, \zeta_r) \subseteq \mathfrak{t}_\phi(g_\phi, \zeta_r).$$

Proof. — By hypothesis $g(A) = \zeta_r A \in \mathbf{B}(\widehat{Q})$, and the first statement follows, e.g., from [51, Lem. 2.1].

Moreover, since g acts in semisimple fashion on \mathfrak{t} , one has $\mathfrak{t}(g, \zeta_r) \cap \mathfrak{t}_\phi = \mathfrak{t}_\phi(g_\phi, \zeta_r)$, generalizing (17) from the case where $\phi = \emptyset$.

Finally, a priori, the intersections (24) are either hyperplanes of $\mathfrak{t}_\phi(g_\phi, \zeta_r)$, or they coincide with it; but since (23) is nonempty—it contains A —the former holds. \square

4.2. Several coefficients. — Finally, we consider the most general possible case.

4.2.1. — The general direct-product decomposition of $\mathbf{B}(\widehat{Q})$, already invoked in § 3.2, involves a filtration of Φ by Levi subsystems (cf. [52, § 4] and [35, Def. 3.1.2]). Namely, we let $\phi_i \subseteq \Phi$ be the intersection of Φ with the annihilators of the coefficients A_i, \dots, A_s , for $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$. Thus, ϕ_s is as in § 4.1, and then there are nested inclusions

$$\phi_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \phi_s \subseteq \phi_{s+1} := \Phi.$$

Now one has $\mathbf{B}(\widehat{Q}) = \prod_{i=1}^s \mathbf{B}(\widehat{Q}, i) \subseteq \mathfrak{t}^s$, where

$$\mathbf{B}(\widehat{Q}, i) = \mathfrak{t}_{\phi_i} \setminus \bigcup_{\phi_{i+1} \setminus \phi_i} H_\alpha(\phi_i), \quad i \in \{1, \dots, s\},$$

in the notation of (21), and generalizing (18).

Reasoning as in the proof of Prop. 3.2.2 then establishes the following:

4.2.2. Theorem-definition. — For $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$ let

$$(25) \quad \mathbf{B}_{r,g}(\widehat{Q}, i) := \mathfrak{t}_{\phi_i}(g_{\phi_i}, \zeta_r^i) \setminus \bigcup_{\Phi_{i+1} \setminus \Phi_i} H_\alpha(g_{\phi_i}, \zeta_r^i),$$

in the notation of (23)–(24). Then $\mathbf{B}_{r,g}(\widehat{Q}) = \prod_{i=1}^s \mathbf{B}_{r,g}(\widehat{Q}, i) \subseteq \mathfrak{t}^s$.

4.3. Reduction to the simple/irreducible case. — Just as in [52, § 5.2] (cf. [51, § 3.1]), it is possible to reduce the study of the deformation spaces to the case where \mathfrak{g} is a simple Lie algebra—i.e., where Φ is an irreducible root system; i.e., where W acts irreducibly, cf. §§ 10–12.

4.3.1. Lemma. — Suppose that $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_i \mathfrak{J}_i$ is a decomposition into mutually commuting ideals $\mathfrak{J}_i \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$, and consider the Cartan subalgebras $\mathfrak{t}_i := \mathfrak{t} \cap \mathfrak{J}_i$. Then decompose the root system/Weyl group accordingly: (i) the former as a disjoint union $\Phi = \coprod_i \Phi_i$, where $\Phi_i := \Phi \cap \mathfrak{t}_i^\vee$;⁽¹¹⁾ and (ii) the latter as a direct product $W = \prod_i W_i$, where $W_i := W(\mathfrak{J}_i, \mathfrak{t}_i)$. Let also \widehat{Q} be an r -Galois-closed irregular type, whose r -Galois-orbit is generated by $g \in W$, and decompose (uniquely)

$$\widehat{Q} = \sum_i \widehat{Q}_i, \quad g = \prod_i g_i, \quad \widehat{Q}_i \in \mathfrak{t}_i \oplus_{\mathbb{C}} (\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\geq 0}), \quad g_i \in W_i.$$

Then there is a direct-product decomposition $\mathbf{B}_{r,g}(\widehat{Q}) = \prod_i \mathbf{B}_{r,g_i}(\widehat{Q}_i)$.

Proof postponed to D.2. —

□

5. Forgetting the marking

5.1. Generic case. — While it was useful to choose elements $g \in W$ generating the r -Galois-orbits of irregular types, this choice breaks the W -action needed to define irregular classes: here we prove that this is immaterial, starting from the generic case.

5.1.1. Proposition. — Consider an element $\widehat{Q} = Aw^{-1} \in \widehat{\mathcal{J}}_r^{\leq 1}$, and suppose that $A \in \mathfrak{t}_{\text{reg}}$. Then there exists a unique group element $g_A \in W$ generating the r -Galois-orbit of \widehat{Q} , and one has $\mathbf{B}_r(\widehat{Q}) = \mathbf{B}_{r,g_A}(\widehat{Q})$.

Proof. — Consider the (maximal) parabolic subgroup fixing—the line through— A , i.e.,

$$(26) \quad W_A := \{ g \in W \mid g(A) = A \}.$$

⁽¹¹⁾Identifying $\mathfrak{t}_i^\vee \subseteq \mathfrak{t}^\vee$ with the annihilator of $\mathfrak{t} \ominus \mathfrak{t}_i := \bigoplus_{j \neq i} \mathfrak{t}_j \subseteq \mathfrak{t}$.

By hypothesis, this subgroup is trivial (cf. [97, Prop. 4.1]), and if $g, g' \in W$ dilate A by the same scalar then $g^{-1}g' \in W_A$. It follows that

$$\mathbf{B}_r(\widehat{Q}) = \mathfrak{t}_{\text{reg}} \cap \bigcup_W \mathfrak{t}(g, \zeta_r) = \mathfrak{t}_{\text{reg}} \cap \mathfrak{t}(g_A, \zeta_r) = \mathbf{B}_{r, g_A}(\widehat{Q}).^{(12)} \quad \square$$

5.1.2. — If $\widehat{Q} \in \widehat{\mathcal{J}}_r^{\leq s}$ has arbitrary irregularity, but the leading coefficient A_s is regular, then reasoning as in the proof of Prop. 5.1.1 one finds $\mathbf{B}_r(\widehat{Q}) = \mathbf{B}_{r, g}(\widehat{Q})$, where $g \in W$ is the (unique) element such that $g(A_s) = \zeta_r^s A_s \in \mathfrak{t}$.

5.2. General case. — We now forget the marking in the general case.

5.2.1. — Suppose that $\widehat{Q} = Aw^{-1} \in \widehat{\mathcal{J}}_r^{\leq 1}$, with no constraint on $A \in \mathfrak{t}$.

There are a priori several elements of W fixing A . More precisely, one can prove (e.g., as in [51, Lem. 2.2]) that the parabolic subgroup (26) coincides with the Weyl group of the split reductive Lie group (L, T) , where in turn we consider the (connected, reductive) Adjoint stabilizer:

$$(27) \quad L = G^A := \{ g \in G \mid \text{Ad}_g(A) = A \}.$$

Nonetheless:

5.2.2. Proposition. — *Suppose that $g, g' \in W$ generate the r -Galois-orbit of \widehat{Q} . Then $\mathbf{B}_{r, g}(\widehat{Q}) = \mathbf{B}_{r, g'}(\widehat{Q})$, and the same holds in the general case—where $s \geq 1$ is arbitrary.*

Proof. — Lem. 5.2.3 (below) implies that $\mathfrak{t}_\phi(g_\phi, \zeta_r) = \mathfrak{t}_\phi(g'_\phi, \zeta_r) \subseteq \mathfrak{t}_\phi$, provided that g and g' generate the r -Galois-orbit of \widehat{Q}' . Then one also has

$$H_\alpha(g_\phi, \zeta_r) = H_\alpha(g'_\phi, \zeta_r), \quad \alpha \in \Phi \setminus \phi,$$

and the statement follows.

Thus, we are left with the most general elements $\widehat{Q} \in \widehat{\mathcal{J}}_r^{\leq s}$, with arbitrary coefficients. But Lem. 5.2.3 can be applied recursively, starting from the leading coefficient A_s . Namely, if $g, g' \in W$ both generate the r -Galois-orbit of \widehat{Q} , then their restrictions on the vector spaces of the kernel flag, viz., $\mathfrak{t} \supseteq \mathfrak{t}_{\phi_1} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq \mathfrak{t}_{\phi_s} \supseteq \mathfrak{t}_{\phi_{s+1}} = (0)$, coincide. It follows that

$$\mathbf{B}_{r, g}(\widehat{Q}, i) = \mathbf{B}_{r, g'}(\widehat{Q}, i), \quad i \in \{1, \dots, s\},$$

in the notation of (25), and in turn $\mathbf{B}_{r, g}(\widehat{Q}) = \mathbf{B}_{r, g'}(\widehat{Q})$ via the direct-product decomposition of Thm.-Def. 4.2.2. \square

⁽¹²⁾Incidentally, note that [97, Prop. 3.2] describes the finite union of eigenspaces for the elements of W as an intersection of algebraic hypersurfaces: the intersection of the vanishing loci of a subset of generators of the subring $\mathbb{C}[t]^W \subseteq \mathbb{C}[t]$ of W -invariant functions on \mathfrak{t} —those having degree *not* divisible by r .

5.2.3. Lemma. — Choose elements $g, g' \in W$ such that $A \in \mathfrak{t}(g, \zeta) \cap \mathfrak{t}(g', \zeta)$, for some (root of 1) $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^\times$. Then $g_\phi = g'_\phi \in \mathrm{GL}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{t}_\phi)$, in the notation of Prop.-Def. 4.1.2.

Proof postponed to D.3. — □

5.2.4. Remark. — Hence, there is a well-defined subspace $\mathfrak{t}_\phi(\mathfrak{r}) := \mathfrak{t}_\phi(g_\phi, \zeta_r) \subseteq \mathfrak{t}_\phi$, where $g \in W$ is any element such that $\mathfrak{t}(g, \zeta_r)$ intersects the Levi stratum of $\phi \subseteq \Phi$. Analogously, there are well-defined hyperplanes $H_\alpha(\phi, \mathfrak{r}) := H_\alpha(g_\phi, \zeta_r) \subseteq \mathfrak{t}_\phi(\mathfrak{r})$, in the notation of (24). ◇

6. Full/nonpure setting: generic case

6.1. Single coefficient. — We can now treat the admissible deformations of \mathfrak{r} -Galois-closed irregular classes: let $\hat{\Theta} = \hat{\Theta}(\hat{Q})$ be one such. As in the pure case, we assume first that the leading coefficient A of \hat{Q} is a regular vector, and that $s = 1$.

6.1.1. — The dense stratum $\mathbf{B}(\hat{Q}) = \mathfrak{t}_{\mathrm{reg}} \subseteq \mathfrak{t}$ is W -invariant, and the Weyl group acts freely thereon. Hence, in the untwisted case, one has a Galois covering $\mathbf{B}(\hat{Q}) \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{B}(\hat{Q})/W \simeq \mathbf{B}(\hat{\Theta})$. Here instead we are breaking down some symmetries, even in the generic case, simply because the eigenspaces of elements of W need *not* be preserved by W .⁽¹³⁾

6.1.2. Proposition-definition. — Denote by $g = g_A \in W$ the unique element generating the \mathfrak{r} -Galois-orbit of \hat{Q} , as in Prop. 5.1.1. Then:

1. the centralizer subgroup of g , i.e.,

$$Z_W(g) := \{ g' \in W \mid gg' = g'g \},$$

is naturally identified with the complex reflection group of the hyperplane arrangement of (17);

2. and there is a Galois covering

$$(28) \quad \mathbf{B}_r(\hat{Q}) \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{B}_r(\hat{Q})/Z_W(g) \simeq \mathbf{B}_r(\hat{\Theta}).$$

(If $\mathfrak{r} = 1$ then g is the identity, and the untwisted setting can be viewed as a particular example.)

Proof. — Choose an element $g' \in W$ such that $g'(A) \in \mathbf{B}_{r,g}(\hat{Q})$. In particular $g'(A) \in \mathfrak{t}(g, \zeta_r)$, and the standard Lem. 6.1.3 (below) implies that $g' \in Z_W(g) = N_W(g, \zeta_r)$. Conversely, if $g' \in Z_W(g)$ then this group element preserves the whole of $\mathbf{B}_{r,g}(\hat{Q}) = \mathfrak{t}_{\mathrm{reg}} \cap \mathfrak{t}(g, \zeta_r)$. Hence, the intersection of the W -orbit of \hat{Q} with $\mathbf{B}_{r,g}(\hat{Q})$ coincides with the $Z_W(g)$ -orbit of \hat{Q} , and the same holds for all the g -admissible deformations. Moreover, no nontrivial element of W fixes A , and so the resulting $Z_W(g)$ -action is free. Reasoning, e.g., as in the proof of [51, Prop. 2.1], we find the Galois covering in the statement.

⁽¹³⁾But the ζ_r -eigenspaces of maximal dimension are conjugated [97, Thm. 3.4].

Regarding complex reflections, recall that [97, Prop. 3.5] proves that the restriction of the linear automorphisms of (29) (below) yields a faithful representation $N_W(\mathfrak{g}, \zeta_r) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{t}(\mathfrak{g}, \zeta_r))$, whose image is a complex reflection group generated by reflections about the hyperplanes $H_{\alpha}(\mathfrak{g}, \zeta_r) \subseteq \mathfrak{t}(\mathfrak{g}, \zeta_r)$ of (17). (The equality $Z_W(\mathfrak{g}) = N_W(\mathfrak{g}, \zeta_r)$ is implicit in Thm. 4.2 (iii) of op. cit.) \square

6.1.3. Lemma (Cf. Lem. 7.1.3). — *Choose an element $\mathfrak{g} \in W$, and a regular eigenvector $A \in \mathfrak{t}(\mathfrak{g}, \zeta) \cap \mathfrak{t}_{\mathrm{reg}}$, for some (root of 1) $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$. Then, for any $\mathfrak{g}' \in W$, the following conditions are equivalent:*

1. $\mathfrak{g}' \in Z_W(\mathfrak{g})$;
2. \mathfrak{g}' lies in the setwise stabilizer of the eigenspace (16), i.e., in the subgroup

$$(29) \quad N_W(\mathfrak{g}, \zeta) = N_W(\mathfrak{t}(\mathfrak{g}, \zeta)) := \left\{ \mathfrak{g}'' \in W \mid \mathfrak{g}''(\mathfrak{t}(\mathfrak{g}, \zeta)) \subseteq \mathfrak{t}(\mathfrak{g}, \zeta) \right\};$$

3. and $\mathfrak{g}'(A) \in \mathfrak{t}(\mathfrak{g}, \zeta)$.

Proof. — This is the ‘absolute’ case, where one takes $\phi = \emptyset$ in Lem. 7.1.3. \square

6.1.4. Remark. — In general the above reflection representation of $Z_W(\mathfrak{g}) \subseteq W$ does *not* admit an \mathbb{R} -form. For example, it can happen that $Z_W(\mathfrak{g})$ acts irreducibly, and its degrees always correspond to the degrees of W which are multiple of r (still by [97, Thm. 4.2 (iii)]): so the integer 2 need not appear. One such example is [15, Exmp. 4.9], which recovers generalized symmetric groups when G is the general/special linear group, cf. § 10. \diamond

6.1.5. Remark. — The deformation space $\mathbf{B}_r(\widehat{\Theta})$ also admits a different description, based on Def. 2.1.4.

Namely, as already mentioned, the actions of the Weyl group W , and of the group $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$ of r -th roots of 1, commute. Moreover, the latter preserves the regular part of \mathfrak{t} , and so $\mathbf{B}_r(\widehat{\Theta}) \simeq \mathbf{B}(\widehat{\Theta})^{\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}}$. In this viewpoint, Prop.-Def. 6.1.2 provides a description of the cyclotomic-invariant subspace in terms of complex reflection groups, via the $Z_W(\mathfrak{g})$ -invariant continuous composition

$$\mathbf{B}_r(\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}) = \mathbf{B}_{r,\mathfrak{g}}(\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}) = \mathfrak{t}(\mathfrak{g}, \zeta_r) \cap \mathfrak{t}_{\mathrm{reg}} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{t}_{\mathrm{reg}} \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{t}_{\mathrm{reg}}/W \simeq \mathbf{B}(\widehat{\Theta}),$$

whose image lies in the invariant part. \diamond

6.2. Several coefficients. — As in the pure case, the situation is essentially the same when $\widehat{\mathcal{Q}} = \sum_{i=1}^s A_i w^{-i}$ has higher irregularity:

6.2.1. Proposition. — *Let $s \geq 1$ be arbitrary, suppose that $A_s \in \mathfrak{t}_{\mathrm{reg}}$, and denote by $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{A_s} \in W$ the element determined by $\mathfrak{g}(A_s) = \zeta_r^s A_s \in \mathfrak{t}$ (of order $\mathfrak{d} := r \wedge s \geq 1$). Then:*

1. one has $\mathbf{B}_r(\widehat{\Theta}) \simeq \mathbf{B}_{r,\mathfrak{g}}(\widehat{\mathcal{Q}})/Z_W(\mathfrak{g})$, which is the base of a Galois covering analogous to (28);
2. and $\mathbf{B}_r(\widehat{\Theta})$ has the homotopy type of $\mathbf{B}_r(\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}, s)/Z_W(\mathfrak{g})$, in the notation of (18).

Proof. — The untwisted deformation space $\mathbf{B}(\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}) = \mathfrak{t}^{s-1} \times \mathfrak{t}_{\text{reg}}$ is W -stable, and by (19) its subspace $\mathbf{B}_r(\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}) = \mathbf{B}_{r,g}(\widehat{\mathcal{Q}})$ is stabilized by the centralizer $Z_W(\mathfrak{g}) \subseteq W$. Conversely, by Lem. 6.1.3, the W -orbit of any admissible deformation of $\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}$ intersects $\mathbf{B}_r(\widehat{\mathcal{Q}})$ in a $Z_W(\mathfrak{g})$ -orbit, and the free action of the centralizer yields a Galois covering $\mathbf{B}_{r,g}(\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}) \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{B}_r(\widehat{\Theta})$ with Galois group $Z_W(\mathfrak{g})$.

For the second statement, in the notation of (18)–(19), the canonical projection $\mathbf{B}_r(\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}) \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{B}_r(\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}, s) = \mathfrak{t}_{\text{reg}}$ —along the subspace V' —is $Z_W(\mathfrak{g})$ -equivariant, and it induces a continuous map

$$(30) \quad \mathbf{B}_r(\widehat{\Theta}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{t}_{\text{reg}}/Z_W(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Then the ‘zero’ section, viz.,

$$\mathfrak{t}_{\text{reg}} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{B}_r(\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}), \quad A'_s \mapsto (\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{s-1 \text{ times}}, A'_s),$$

is also $Z_W(\mathfrak{g})$ -equivariant, and it induces a homotopy-inverse of (30). \square

7. Full/nonpure setting: general case

7.1. One coefficient. — Again we drop the regularity assumption on the leading coefficient.

7.1.1. — Suppose first as usual that $\widehat{\mathcal{Q}} = Aw^{-1}$, with arbitrary $A \in \mathfrak{t}$. Then introduce again the Levi subsystem $\phi = \phi_A \subseteq \Phi$ determined by (the annihilator of) A , as well as the kernel intersection $\mathfrak{t}_\phi \subseteq \mathfrak{t}$ of (20). The main point is that Lem. 6.1.3 admits a subregular extension, so that one can prove the following:

7.1.2. Proposition-definition. — *Consider the ‘effective’ quotient of the setwise stabilizer (22), i.e.,*

$$(31) \quad W(\phi) = N_W(\mathfrak{t}_\phi)/W_{\mathfrak{t}_\phi}, \quad W_{\mathfrak{t}_\phi} := \left\{ g \in N_W(\mathfrak{t}_\phi) \mid g_\phi = \text{Id}_{\mathfrak{t}_\phi} \right\},$$

in the notation of Prop.-Def. 4.1.2. Choose then an element $g \in W$ generating the r -Galois-orbit of $\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}$. (Hereafter we identify its restriction $g_\phi \in \text{GL}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{t}_\phi)$ with the class of g in $W(\phi)$.) Finally, introduce the centralizer subgroup

$$(32) \quad Z_{W,\phi}(g) := Z_{W(\phi)}(g_\phi) \subseteq W(\phi).$$

Then there is a Galois covering

$$\mathbf{B}_{r,g}(\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}) \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{B}_{r,g}(\widehat{\mathcal{Q}})/Z_{W,\phi}(g) \simeq \mathbf{B}_r(\widehat{\Theta}).$$

Proof. — Choose an element $g' \in W$ such that $g'(\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}) \in \mathbf{B}_{r,g}(\widehat{\mathcal{Q}})$. In particular $g'(A) \in \mathbf{B}(\widehat{\mathcal{Q}})$, and so $g' \in N_W(\mathfrak{t}_\phi)$, e.g., by [51, Lem. 2.1]. Moreover,

the same condition also implies that $g'_\phi(A) \in \mathfrak{t}_\phi(g_\phi, \zeta_r)$, and so Lem. 7.1.3 (below) implies that g'_ϕ commutes with g_ϕ . Conversely, if we denote the canonical projection by $p_\phi : N_W(\mathfrak{t}_\phi) \twoheadrightarrow W(\phi)$, then any element of the subgroup $p_\phi^{-1}(Z_{W,\phi}(g)) \subseteq N_W(\mathfrak{t}_\phi)$ preserves the g -admissible deformation space, and the quotient $Z_{W,\phi}(g) \simeq p_\phi^{-1}(Z_{W,\phi}(g))/W_{\mathfrak{t}_\phi}$ acts freely—noting that $W_{\mathfrak{t}_\phi} = P_\phi^{-1}(1)$. Finally, by Lem. [51, Lem. 2.2], any element acting trivially on $\mathfrak{t}_\phi(g_\phi, \zeta_r) \subseteq \mathfrak{t}_\phi$ must also act trivially on the whole of \mathfrak{t}_ϕ , and now reasoning as in the generic case yields the Galois covering in the statement. \square

7.1.3. Lemma (Cf. Lem. 6.1.3). — *Choose an element $g \in W$, and an eigenvector $A \in \mathfrak{t}(g, \zeta)$, for some (root of 1) $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^\times$. Then, in the notation of (31)–(32) (and extending the notation of (29)), for any $g \in W'$ preserving the Levi stratum of A (i.e., $g' \in N_W(\mathfrak{t}_\phi)$), the following conditions are equivalent:*

1. $g'_\phi \in Z_{W,\phi}(g)$;
2. $g'_\phi \in N_{W(\phi)}(g_\phi, \zeta) = N_{W(\phi)}(\mathfrak{t}_\phi(g_\phi, \zeta))$;
3. and $g'_\phi(A) \in \mathfrak{t}_\phi(g_\phi, \zeta)$.

Proof postponed to D.4. — \square

7.1.4. Remark. — In the setting of [51], the intersection of the W -orbit of A with the Levi-stratum of A is simply determined by the setwise stabilizer (22), and the subquotient of W acting freely on $\mathfrak{t}_\phi \subseteq \mathfrak{t}$ is precisely (31). More precisely, the normal subgroup $W_{\mathfrak{t}_\phi} \subseteq N_W(\mathfrak{t}_\phi)$ coincides with the parabolic subgroup (26) (cf. Lem. 2.2 of op. cit.).

Overall, in the untwisted case there is a Galois covering $\mathbf{B}(\widehat{Q}) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}(\widehat{Q})/W(\phi) \simeq \mathbf{B}(\widehat{\Theta})$, which again can be regarded as the particular case where g is trivial in Prop.-Def. 7.1.2—whence necessarily $r = 1$. \diamond

7.1.5. Remark. — By Lem. 5.2.3, the group (32) does *not* depend on the choice of the element generating the r -Galois-orbit of \widehat{Q} . We can thus write $Z_{W,\phi}(r) := Z_{W,\phi}(g)$. (Contrary to the ‘absolute’ case where $\phi = \emptyset$, one cannot immediately appeal to Springer’s theory to view $Z_{W,\phi}(r)$ as a complex reflection group, but cf. nonetheless § 9.) \diamond

7.1.6. Remark. — The discussion of Rmk. 6.1.5 extends to the present setting, noting that the $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$ -action on \mathfrak{t} preserves each Levi stratum. We can thus consider the $Z_{W,\phi}(r)$ -invariant continuous composition

$$\mathbf{B}_r(\widehat{Q}) = \mathfrak{t}_\phi(g_\phi, \zeta_r) \cap \mathbf{B}(\widehat{Q}) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{B}(\widehat{Q}) \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{B}(\widehat{Q})/W(\phi) \simeq \mathbf{B}(\widehat{\Theta}),$$

taking values in the cyclotomic-invariant part: then Prop.-Def. 7.1.2 proves in particular that the induced continuous map, i.e.,

$$(33) \quad \mathbf{B}_r(\widehat{Q})/Z_{W,\phi}(r) \longrightarrow \mathbf{B}(\widehat{\Theta})^{\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}} \simeq \mathbf{B}_r(\widehat{\Theta}),$$

is a homeomorphism. \diamond

7.2. Several coefficients. — Finally, we can recursively extend Prop.-Def. 7.1.2— and (33)—to treat the most general topology of local isomonodromic deformations.

7.2.1. — Given an element $\widehat{Q} \in \widehat{\mathcal{T}}_r^{\leq s}$ with arbitrary coefficients $A_1, \dots, A_s \in \mathfrak{t}$, denote by $\Phi := (\phi_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \phi_s \subseteq \phi_{s+1} = \Phi)$ the corresponding Levi filtration of Φ (cf. again [35, Def. 3.1.2]). As in the proof of Prop. 5.2.2, there is an associated kernel-flag:

$$(34) \quad \mathfrak{t}_\Phi := ((0) = \mathfrak{t}_{\phi_{s+1}} \subseteq \mathfrak{t}_{\phi_s} \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathfrak{t}_{\phi_1} \subseteq \mathfrak{t}).$$

Then we can state the:

7.2.2. Theorem-definition. — Consider the parabolic subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{t})$ preserving (34), i.e.,

$$\mathbf{P}_\Phi := \{ g \in \mathrm{GL}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{t}) \mid g(\mathfrak{t}_{\phi_i}) \subseteq \mathfrak{t}_{\phi_i} \text{ for } i \in \{1, \dots, s\} \},$$

and let $\mathbf{N}_W(\mathfrak{t}_\Phi) := W \cap \mathbf{P}_\Phi \subseteq W$. Introduce also the pointwise stabilizers $W_{\mathfrak{t}_{\phi_i}} \subseteq \mathbf{N}_W(\mathfrak{t}_{\phi_i})$ of (31), and denote by $\mathfrak{p}_{\phi_i} : \mathbf{N}_W(\mathfrak{t}_{\phi_i}) \rightarrow W(\phi_i)$ the canonical projections, for $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$. Finally, let

$$(35) \quad \mathfrak{p}_\Phi^{-1}(r) := \bigcap_{i=1}^s \mathfrak{p}_{\phi_i}^{-1}(Z_{W, \phi_i}(r)) \subseteq \mathbf{N}_W(\mathfrak{t}_\Phi),$$

in the notation of Rmk. 7.1.5. Then there is a Galois covering

$$\mathbf{B}_r(\widehat{Q}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{B}_r(\widehat{Q})/Z_{W, \Phi}(r) \simeq \mathbf{B}_r(\widehat{\Theta}), \quad Z_{W, \Phi}(r) := \mathfrak{p}_\Phi^{-1}(r)/W_{\mathfrak{t}_{\phi_1}}.$$

Proof. — First, by [51, Lem. 2.3], the subgroup $\mathbf{N}_W(\mathfrak{t}_\Phi) \subseteq W$ determines the intersection of the r -Galois-orbit of \widehat{Q} with its root-valuation stratum $\mathbf{B}(\widehat{Q}) \subseteq \mathfrak{t}^s$, and one has $\mathbf{N}_W(\mathfrak{t}_\Phi) = \bigcap_{i=1}^s \mathbf{N}_W(\mathfrak{t}_{\phi_i})$ —so that the inclusion of (35) make sense. Moreover, Lem. 2.4 of op. cit. shows that the (normal) subgroup $W_{\mathfrak{t}_{\phi_1}} \subseteq \mathbf{N}_W(\mathfrak{t}_\Phi)$ consists of the elements acting trivially on the stratum, and so in the untwisted case there is a Galois covering

$$(36) \quad \mathbf{B}(\widehat{Q}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{B}(\widehat{Q})/W(\Phi), \quad W(\Phi) := \mathbf{N}_W(\mathfrak{t}_\Phi)/W_{\mathfrak{t}_{\phi_1}}.$$

In the twisted setting instead, reasoning in recursive fashion, any element g generating the r -Galois-orbit of \widehat{Q} necessarily lies $\mathbf{N}_W(\mathfrak{t}_\Phi) \subseteq W$. Analogously, if $g' \in W$ is such that $g'(\widehat{Q}) \subseteq \mathbf{B}_{r, g'}(\widehat{Q})$, then $g' \in \mathbf{N}_W(\mathfrak{t}_\Phi)$; but moreover, since the Weyl group acts diagonally on the direct product \mathfrak{t}^s , the restrictions $g_{\phi_i}, g'_{\phi_i} \in W(\phi_i)$ must commute for $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$, using Lem. 7.1.3 at each step. Hence, the subgroup (35) controls the intersection of the g -admissible deformation space with the W -orbit of any element $\widehat{Q}' \in \mathbf{B}_{r, g'}(\widehat{Q})$, and once more $W_{\mathfrak{t}_{\phi_1}} \subseteq \mathbf{N}_W(\mathfrak{t}_\Phi)$ consists precisely of the elements which fix $\mathbf{B}_{r, g'}(\widehat{Q})$ pointwise. \square

7.2.3. Remark. — One actually has

$$W_{\mathfrak{t}_{\phi_i}} = W_{A_i} \cap \dots \cap W_{A_s} \subseteq \mathbf{N}_W(\mathfrak{t}_{\phi_i}), \quad i \in \{1, \dots, s\},$$

in the notation of (26). In particular, $W_{\mathfrak{t}_\phi} \subseteq N_W(\mathfrak{t}_\phi)$ is the subgroup stabilizing the irregular type, i.e., the Weyl group of $(G^{\widehat{Q}}, T)$, involving the (connected, reductive) subgroup $G^{\widehat{Q}} := G^{\Lambda_1} \cap \dots \cap G^{\Lambda_s} \subseteq G$ obtained from the ‘ \widehat{Q} -fission’ of G , cf. [12, 13]. \diamond

7.2.4. Remark. — Contrary to the pure case of 4.3.1, the deformation spaces of r -Galois-closed irregular classes do *not* split into direct products along decompositions of \mathfrak{g} in commuting ideals. As in the untwisted case, the point is that the subgroup $Z_{W, \phi}(r) \subseteq N_W(\mathfrak{t}_\phi)/W_{\mathfrak{t}_\phi}$ of (36) depends on the overall structure of irregular type—because this is the case for $N_W(\mathfrak{t}_\phi)$. The latter is best understood in terms of decorated fission trees, which in type A have been considered in [51, 15], cf. § 14. \diamond

Interlude

After obtaining such general descriptions of the admissible deformation spaces, our next aim is to prove Thm. 1.2.4. To this end, §§ 8–9 are preliminary, while the separate cases are treated in §§ 10–12.

(The proof of Thm. 1.2.2, instead, will be concluded in § 13.)

8. Some more Lie/Weyl theory

8.1. Relative Weyl groups and subtori. — The short exact group sequence $1 \rightarrow W_{\mathfrak{t}_\phi} \rightarrow N_W(\mathfrak{t}_\phi) \rightarrow W(\phi) \rightarrow 1$ defined by (31) was immediately helpful to describe the deformation spaces of irregular classes—be them r -Galois-closed, or not. Let us first relate it with standard Lie-theoretic objects.

8.1.1. — Choose a Levi subsystem $\phi \subseteq \Phi$. For any root $\alpha \in \Phi$ denote by $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ the corresponding root line, i.e.,

$$\mathfrak{g}_\alpha := \{ X \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \text{ad}_A(X) = \langle \alpha \mid A \rangle X \text{ for } X \in \mathfrak{t} \}.$$

Consider then the (reductive) Lie subalgebra associated with ϕ :

$$(37) \quad \mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{l}_\phi := \mathfrak{t} \oplus \bigoplus_{\phi} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \subseteq \mathfrak{g}.$$

If $\phi = \phi_A$ as in § 4.1.1, then \mathfrak{l} is the Lie algebra of the subgroup $L = L_\phi = G^A$ in (27), i.e., it coincides with the adjoint stabilizer

$$\mathfrak{g}^A := \ker(\text{ad}_A) = \{ X \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \text{ad}_X(A) = 0 \}.$$

The subspace $\mathfrak{t}_\phi \subseteq \mathfrak{t}$ of (20) is precisely the centre of \mathfrak{l} , and it integrates to a subtorus $T_\phi \subseteq T$ such that $L = Z_G(T_\phi)$ —so that T_ϕ is the identity component of the centre of L . Then:

8.1.2. Lemma-definition. — *There are group isomorphisms*

$$W_L := N_G(L)/L \simeq W(\phi) \simeq N_G(T_\phi)/Z_G(T_\phi).$$

We refer to these isomorphic quotients as the relative Weyl group of (G, L) .⁽¹⁴⁾

Postponed to D.5. — □

8.1.3. — With an irregular type $\widehat{Q} = \sum_{i=1}^s A_i w^{-i}$ we thus associate: (i) a sequence

$$W_{A_i} = N_{L_i}(T)/T = (L_i \cap N_G(T))/T \subseteq W, \quad L_i := L_{\phi_i} \subseteq G,$$

of parabolic subgroups of W ; and (ii) a sequence W_{L_i} of relative Weyl groups.

8.2. Normalizers of parabolic subgroups and reflection cosets. — Furthermore, in the twisted case, we have also considered an element $g \in W$ (generating the r -Galois-orbit of \widehat{Q}) such that $g \in N_W(\mathfrak{t}_{\phi_i})$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$.

8.2.1. — It will be useful to view such Weyl-group elements as ‘outer’ automorphisms of parabolic subgroups of W , leading to (possibly twisted/nonsplit) reflection cosets, as follows:

8.2.2. Lemma. — *Let $\phi \subseteq \Phi$ be a Levi subsystem. The setwise stabilizer (22) coincides with the normalizer (in W) of the parabolic subgroup $W_{\mathfrak{t}_\phi} \subseteq W$.*⁽¹⁵⁾

Postponed to D.6. — □

8.2.3. — Now choose integers $r, s \geq 1$, and let $\widehat{Q} \in \widehat{\mathcal{T}}_r^{\leq s}$ be an irregularity-bounded r -Galois-closed irregular type. By (the easy inclusion of) Lem. 8.2.2, the Levi filtration ϕ determined by \widehat{Q} corresponds to a nested sequence of untwisted Levi subcosets $\mathbb{L}(\phi_i) := (\mathfrak{t}, W_{\mathfrak{t}_{\phi_i}})$ of the rational reflection coset $\mathbb{G} = (\mathfrak{t}, W)$: cf. § A.2.

In the twisted setting, instead:

8.2.4. Corollary-definition. — *Denote by $g \in W$ an element generating the r -Galois-orbit of \widehat{Q} , and suppose that $r \geq 2$. Then $\mathbb{L}_r(\phi_i) := (\mathfrak{t}, gW_{\mathfrak{t}_{\phi_i}})$ is a Levi subcoset of \mathbb{G} , which is necessarily twisted/nonsplit for some $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$.*

Proof. — For if not, the r -Galois-orbit of \widehat{Q} would be trivial, contradicting the (implicit) assumption that \widehat{Q} is primitive, cf. Lem.-Def. 2.4.2. □

8.2.5. Remark. — In conclusion, twisted isomonodromic deformations can be phrased in terms of sequences of rational reflection cosets, which are easy examples of *spetses* [76, Prop. 3.10], cf. [28, 34, 29, 30, 77, 31].

Here (and in § 9) we just borrow a few basic notions to streamline the rest of the exposition; but recall that spetses were (also) introduced to construct Lie-theoretic objects underlying arbitrary complex reflection groups—rather than just the Weyl groups. Very naively, to relate with isomonodromic deformations it seems natural to

⁽¹⁴⁾Or, equivalently, of (G, T, ϕ) ; cf. [18, 19] in a more general context. (It is well-known that this yields Coxeter groups, cf. [72, Thm. 9.2].)

⁽¹⁵⁾This retrospectively justifies the notation $N_W(\mathfrak{t}_\phi) = N_W(W_{\mathfrak{t}_\phi}) \subseteq W$.

work over the algebraic closure of a finite field, and to consider the moduli of (formal germs of) twisted/ramified irregular-singular connections, defined on principle bundles with split reductive structure groups.⁽¹⁶⁾

Furthermore, it is reasonable to expect relations with [100], but in the current setup we do not immediately seem to get cyclic gradings on \mathfrak{g} (or, perhaps better, on the nonreductive truncated-current Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_s := \mathfrak{g}[[w]]/z^s \mathfrak{g}[[w]]$), since everything is phrased within a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{t} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$. \diamond

8.3. Howlett’s reflection cosets. — We will now recall the construction of a different—closely related—family of real reflection subcosets of (\mathfrak{t}, W) . (Then, in § 9, we will consider yet a third one; and later explain if/when they match up for the classical Lie algebras.)

Namely, as far as this paper is concerned, we will summarize the theory of normalizers of parabolic subgroups of finite Coxeter groups [59] (cf. [71, 45])⁽¹⁷⁾ in the statement of Prop.-Def. 8.3.4.

8.3.1. — To set this up we first state the following easy:

8.3.2. Lemma-Definition. — *Choose a W -invariant inner product $(\cdot | \cdot) : \mathfrak{t} \times \mathfrak{t} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, and denote by the same symbol its restriction to $\mathfrak{t}_\phi \subseteq \mathfrak{t}$. Let also α_ϕ^\vee be the orthogonal projection of the coroot $\alpha^\vee \in \mathfrak{t}$, for $\alpha \in \Phi \setminus \phi$, onto \mathfrak{t}_ϕ . Then the hyperplane arrangement obtained by taking the orthogonal complements (in \mathfrak{t}_ϕ) of the elements of the finite set $\{\alpha_\phi^\vee \mid \alpha \in \Phi \setminus \phi\} \subseteq \mathfrak{t}_\phi$ coincides with (21).*

Proof postponed to D.7. — \square

8.3.3. — By Lem.-Def. 8.3.2, there is a well-defined order-2 reflection

$$(38) \quad \sigma_\alpha(\phi) \in \mathrm{GL}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{t}_\phi), \quad \sigma_\alpha(\phi) : \alpha_\phi^\vee \mapsto -\alpha_\phi^\vee,$$

about the hyperplane $H_\alpha(\phi) \subseteq \mathfrak{t}_\phi$. Furthermore, if the reflection $\sigma_\alpha \in W$ associated with α preserves \mathfrak{t}_ϕ , then (38) is just the restriction of σ_α —thereon.

8.3.4. Proposition-definition. — *Let $\Delta \subseteq \Phi$ be a base of simple roots such that $\Delta_\phi := \Delta \cap \phi \subseteq \phi$ is a base of ϕ .*⁽¹⁸⁾ *Then:*

1. *there is a set of involutions $\sigma_\alpha(\Delta_\phi) \in W(\phi)$ (the ‘R-elements’), which correspond bijectively to a subset $\phi' \subseteq \Phi \setminus \phi$;*

⁽¹⁶⁾Incidentally, the setup of § 2 generalizes essentially verbatim if one replaces the Weyl group (\mathfrak{t}, W) with any complex reflection group (V, W') : only the tuple (6) of root valuations is missing; but one can instead choose a hyperplane H of the reflection arrangement, and consider the pole order of the first coefficient of the irregular type which does *not* lie on H —starting from the leading one.

⁽¹⁷⁾This was later extended to arbitrary Coxeter groups [20, 24], cf. [44, 81, 1]; and more recently to finite complex reflection groups [79].

⁽¹⁸⁾I.e., the element $A \in \mathfrak{t}$ is ‘ Δ -dominant’, cf. [39, § 5.2] and [38, § 2.2].

2. the subgroup $W'(\phi) \subseteq W(\phi)$ generated by such involutions is normal, and it acts on \mathfrak{t}_ϕ as the real reflection group generated by the set $\{\sigma_\alpha(\phi) \mid \alpha \in \phi'\}$, in the notation of (38);
3. and the corresponding short exact group sequence splits:

$$(39) \quad 1 \longrightarrow W'(\phi) \longrightarrow W(\phi) \longrightarrow \widetilde{W}(\phi) \longrightarrow 1, \quad \widetilde{W}(\phi) := W(\phi)/W'(\phi).$$

We will say that $W'(\phi)$ is Howlett's relative reflection group, and that $\widetilde{W}(\phi)$ is Howlett's twist.

Proof postponed to D.8. — \square

Overall, a Levi subsystem yields the following 'crossing' of split group extensions:

$$(40) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & 1 & & \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \\ & & & & W'(\phi) & & \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & W_{\mathfrak{t}_\phi} & \longrightarrow & N_W(\mathfrak{t}_\phi) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & W(\phi) \longrightarrow 1. \\ & & & & \dashleftarrow & & \downarrow \dashrightarrow \\ & & & & & & \widetilde{W}(\phi) \\ & & & & & & \downarrow \\ & & & & & & 1 \end{array}$$

8.3.5. Corollary-definition. — Choose an integer $r \geq 1$ and a vector $A \in \mathfrak{t}$. Let also $\phi \subseteq \Phi$ be the Levi subsystem determined by A . (So that the groups of the diagram (40) are defined.) Then:

1. there is a real reflection subcoset of $\mathbb{G} = (\mathfrak{t}, W)$, defined by $\mathbb{G}'_r(\phi) := (\mathfrak{t}_\phi, \mathfrak{g}_\phi W'_\phi)$, where $\mathfrak{g} \in W$ is any element such that $\mathfrak{g}(A) = \zeta_r(A)$;
2. if W is irreducible, of type A, BC, or F, then \mathbb{G}'_r is untwisted;
3. if W is irreducible, of type D, and if ϕ contains a (unique) irreducible component of type D, then \mathbb{G}'_r is untwisted;
4. and if W is irreducible, of type E, then $\delta_{\mathbb{G}'_r} \leq 2$.

Proof. — The previous discussion implies that $\mathfrak{g}_\phi W'_\phi \subseteq N_W(\mathfrak{t}_\phi)$ is indeed a reflection coset of Howlett's relative reflection group (39), and a subcoset of (\mathfrak{t}, W) , which splits if and only if the class of \mathfrak{g}_ϕ vanishes in Howlett's twist. The other statements follow from the case-by-case discussion of [59]—which is consistent with [52]. \square

8.3.6. Remark. — In type D, the crux of the matter is that the complement (21) need *not* come from a root-hyperplane arrangement, cf. [89] and § 12. Rather, it involves 'generalized' root systems [46], which all arise upon restrictions/projections of root systems, relative to a Levi subsystem [40]. \diamond

9. Some more hyperplane arrangements

9.1. An application of Lehrer–Springer theory. — By Lem. 7.1.3, the twisted (wild) local isomonodromic deformations of meromorphic connections lead to subregular Springer’s theory, cf. [97, Lem. 4.12]. To treat that, we now relate with § 6 of op. cit., a.k.a. Lehrer–Springer’s theory [66, 68, 69] (cf. [33]), which can still be phrased in terms of reflection cosets (cf. § A.2).

Throughout all this section, let $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ be a root of 1.

9.1.1. — Ideally, in order to leverage § 8, the reflection arrangement of Howlett’s relative reflection group $W'(\phi) \subseteq W(\phi)$ (from Cor.-Def. 8.3.5) would coincide with the hyperplane arrangement of (21). In that case the group elements generating the r -Galois-orbit could be regarded as Howlett’s twists.

However, there are simple examples—in type A—where $W'(\phi)$ is trivial, while the hyperplanes $H_\alpha(\phi) \subseteq \mathfrak{t}_\phi$ generate a nontrivial reflection group. Thus, we also introduce the following more naive object:

9.1.2. Definition. — Let $\phi \subseteq \Phi$ be a Levi subsystem. The *relative reflection group* of (\mathfrak{t}, W, ϕ) is

$$(41) \quad G(\phi) := \langle \sigma_\alpha(\phi) \mid \alpha \in \Phi \setminus \phi \rangle \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{t}_\phi),$$

in the notation of (38).⁽¹⁹⁾

(This group is ‘spetsial’, as it is generated by elements of order 2.)

9.1.3. — By construction, the reflection arrangement of (41) contains the hyperplanes $H_\alpha(\phi) \subseteq \mathfrak{t}_\phi$, possibly properly. The main point is that whenever they coincide then we can use Lehrer–Springer’s theory to characterize the pure factors (23) as complements of complex reflection arrangements: most directly via Cor. 9.1.6, which works in type A and BC, where we also prove that the relative reflection group is the same as Howlett’s (cf. §§ 10–11). (And then actually $W'(\phi) = G(\phi)$ is a classical Weyl group, rather than just a spetsial reflection group, cf. Rmkk. 10.2.3–11.2.3.)

In type D instead, as already mentioned, one finds nontrivial twists, and so we first provide a more general statement:

9.1.4. Lemma. — Choose an element $g \in N_W(\mathfrak{t}_\phi) \subseteq W$, and suppose that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{t}_\phi(g_\phi, \zeta)) \geq \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{t}_\phi(g_\phi g', \zeta)), \quad g' \in G(\phi).$$

Write also

$$(42) \quad N := \left\{ g' \in G(\phi) \mid g'(\mathfrak{t}_\phi(g_\phi, \zeta)) \subseteq \mathfrak{t}_\phi(g_\phi, \zeta) \right\},$$

and

$$(43) \quad Z := \left\{ g' \in N \mid g'(X) = X \text{ for all } X \in \mathfrak{t}_\phi(g_\phi, \zeta) \right\}.$$

⁽¹⁹⁾Hereafter we tacitly assume (as in § A) that $G(\phi)$ is finite. Beware that in general $W(\phi) \not\subseteq G(\phi)$ and (as mentioned above) $G(\phi) \not\subseteq W(\phi)$.

Then:

1. the quotient $\overline{N} = N/Z$ acts as a complex reflection group on $\mathfrak{t}_\phi(\mathfrak{g}_\phi, \zeta)$, whose reflecting hyperplanes are the intersections of those of $G(\phi)$ with $\mathfrak{t}_\phi(\mathfrak{g}_\phi, \zeta)$;
2. and if $(\mathfrak{t}_\phi, G(\phi))$ is irreducible, so is $(\mathfrak{t}_\phi(\mathfrak{g}_\phi, \zeta), \overline{N})$.

Proof postponed to D.9. — □

The proof D.9 shows in particular that $(\mathfrak{t}_\phi, \mathfrak{g}_\phi G(\phi))$ is a (possibly twisted) spets, so in particular a reflection coset, which is a subcoset of (\mathfrak{t}, W) if $G(\phi) \subseteq W(\phi)$.

9.1.5. Corollary. — Choose an element $\mathfrak{g} \in N_W(\mathfrak{t}_\phi)$ such that \mathfrak{g}_ϕ admits a $G(\phi)$ -regular eigenvector⁽²⁰⁾ of eigenvalue $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^\times$. Then the conclusions of Lem. 9.1.4 hold for the eigenspace $\mathfrak{t}_\phi(\mathfrak{g}_\phi, \zeta) \subseteq \mathfrak{t}_\phi$, and moreover:

1. the pointwise stabilizer (43) is trivial;
2. and the setwise stabilizer (42) coincides with the ‘centralizer’ of \mathfrak{g}_ϕ in $G(\phi)$, i.e., with the subgroup

$$(44) \quad Z_{G(\phi)}(\mathfrak{g}_\phi) := \{ \mathfrak{g}' \in G(\phi) \mid \mathfrak{g}_\phi \mathfrak{g}' = \mathfrak{g}' \mathfrak{g}_\phi \in \mathrm{GL}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{t}_\phi) \}.$$

Proof. — This follows from [97, Prop. 6.3 + Thm. 6.4]. □

9.1.6. Corollary. — Choose: (i) an integer $r \geq 1$; (ii) an element $\mathfrak{g} \in W$; and (iii) an eigenvector $A \in \mathfrak{t}(\mathfrak{g}, \zeta_r)$. Let also $\phi \subseteq \Phi$ be the Levi subsystem determined by (the annihilator of) A , and suppose that the set of hyperplanes

$$\{ H_\alpha(\phi) \mid \alpha \in \Phi \setminus \phi \} \subseteq \mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{t}_\phi^\vee),$$

of (21), exhausts the reflection arrangement of $G(\phi)$. Then the conclusions of Cor. 9.1.5 hold for the eigenspace $\mathfrak{t}_\phi(r) \subseteq \mathfrak{t}_\phi$ (cf. Rmk. 5.2.4), and moreover the hyperplane complement (23) coincides with the regular part of $\mathfrak{t}_\phi(r)$ for the action of the complex reflection group (44).

Proof. — Under the given hypotheses we have $\mathfrak{g} \in N_W(\mathfrak{t}_\phi)$, as well as $\mathfrak{g}_\phi(A) = \mathfrak{g}(A) = \zeta_r A \in \mathfrak{t}_\phi$. Furthermore, now (21) coincides with the $G(\phi)$ -regular part of \mathfrak{t}_ϕ , and the intersections of the reflecting hyperplanes of $G(\phi)$ with $\mathfrak{t}_\phi(r)$ are precisely the hyperplanes (24). □

10. A survey of pure type A

10.1. Reduction to the split generic case. — The twisted examples where $\mathfrak{g} \in \{ \mathfrak{gl}_m(\mathbb{C}), \mathfrak{sl}_m(\mathbb{C}) \}$, for an integer $m \geq 1$, were treated in [15]. Here we will review the pure case, in the viewpoint of § 9.

⁽²⁰⁾Beware that it is *not* enough to assume that \mathfrak{g} is regular, cf. Exmp. 12.1.5 in type D.

10.1.1. — Without loss of generality, let us work in the general linear case. (Cf. § A.3.1 for background/notation.)

As explained in §§ 4–5, in the pure case one must describe the direct factors (23), for any choice of: (i) integers $r, m \geq 1$; (ii) an m -by- m diagonal matrix $A \in \mathfrak{t} \simeq V_m^+$, corresponding to a root subsystem $\phi \subseteq \Phi_m(A)$ (they are all Levi); and (iii) an element $g \in W_m(A) \simeq \mathfrak{S}_m^+$ such that $g(A) = \zeta_r A$ for the standard reflection representation.

10.1.2. Lemma. — *The conclusions of Cor. 9.1.6 hold for the eigenspace $\mathfrak{t}_\phi(r) \subseteq \mathfrak{t}_\phi$, and moreover:*

1. *the relative reflection group $G(\phi)$ of (41) is isomorphic to the type-A Weyl group $W_{m_\phi}(A)$, where $m_\phi := \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{t}_\phi)$;⁽²¹⁾*
2. *and $g_\phi \in G(\phi)$.*

Postponed to § D.10. — □

10.2. Generic classification. — The upshot is that, up to lowering the rank, it is enough to classify the *generic* pure factors, which we do in the following:

10.2.1. Proposition. — *Choose integers $m \geq 1$ and $r \geq 2$ (to focus on the twisted case). Let also g be a regular element of $(V_m^+, W_m(A))$ of order r , and set $\mathbf{B}_{r,\text{reg}}(A_m) := V_m^+(g, \zeta_r) \cap V_{m,\text{reg}}^+$. Then:*

1. *the integer r divides either m or $m - 1$, and if $q \geq 1$ is the quotient of the division then there is an identification $\mathbf{B}_{r,\text{reg}}(A_m) \simeq \mathcal{M}^\sharp(r, q)$, where in turn*

$$(45) \quad \mathcal{M}^\sharp(r, q) := \left\{ (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_q) \in \mathbb{C}^q \mid 0 \neq \lambda_i^r \neq \lambda_j^r, \quad i \neq j \in \underline{q}^+ \right\}; \quad (22)$$

2. *and the corresponding complex reflection group is the generalized symmetric group $G(r, 1, q) \simeq \mathfrak{S}_q \wr (\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z})$ of the infinite Shephard–Todd family [94].*

Proof. — By [97, § 5.1], the regular elements split into disjoint cycles of one and the same length, and fix at most one element of \underline{m}^+ . (They are all powers of Coxeter elements, i.e., of m -cycles.) Hence, the length of all cycles in the unique factorization of g equals r .

Suppose first that g fixes no element: then we need r to divide m . Consider the integer $q \geq 1$ defined by $m = qr$, so that g is the product of q disjoint r -cycles. Up to conjugation, one can assume that

$$(46) \quad g = c_1^+ \cdots c_q^+, \quad c_j^+ := ((j-1)r+1 \mid (j-1)r+2 \mid \cdots \mid jr-1 \mid jr),$$

in the notation of (61). Then g acts on V_m^+ with spectrum $\{1, \zeta_r, \dots, \zeta_r^{r-1}\} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^\times$, and

$$(47) \quad V_m^+(g, \zeta_r) = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \{ A_1, \dots, A_q \}, \quad A_i := {}^t \left(\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{(i-1)r \text{ times}}, \quad 1, \zeta_r^{r-1}, \dots, \zeta_r, \quad \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{(q-i)r \text{ times}} \right).$$

⁽²¹⁾This is (a shift of) the rank of ϕ within the lattice of Levi subsystems of Φ , cf. [35, § 2.3].

⁽²²⁾Close to the notation of [32, Lem. 3.3 (1)].

Hence, the regular eigenvectors are of the form

$$(48) \quad A = \sum_{i=1}^q \lambda_i A_i, \quad 0 \neq \zeta_r^k \lambda_i \neq \zeta_r^l \lambda_j, \quad i \neq j \in \underline{q}^+, \quad k, l \in \{1, \dots, r\},$$

and indeed they correspond to the elements of (45).

If instead \mathfrak{g} fixes one element, then we need that r divides $m-1$. Moreover, by hypothesis $\zeta_r \neq 1$, and so a fixed coordinate in any eigenvector must vanish. Hence, the above construction applies verbatim inside $V_{m-1}^+ \simeq \mathbb{C}^{m-1} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^m \simeq V_m^+$.

For the second statement, it follows, e.g., from [63, Lem. 1], that

$$(49) \quad Z_{W_m(A)}(g) = \left\{ (c_1^+)^{k_1} \cdots (c_q^+)^{k_q} \cdot g' \mid k_1, \dots, k_q \in \{1, \dots, r\}, \quad g' \in W_q(A) \right\},$$

with tacit use of the block-permutation embedding $\mathfrak{S}_q^+ \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{S}_m^+$ (cf. [51, § 4]). \square

10.2.2. Remark. — Some properties of $\mathcal{M}^\sharp(r, q)$ and $Z_{W_m(A)}(g)$ can be immediately deduced from the integer parameters $r, q, m \geq 1$, in nonconstructive fashion.

For example, the complex dimension of $V_m^+(\mathfrak{g}, \zeta_r) \subseteq V_m^+$ is equal to the number of degrees of the reflection group $W_m(A)$ which are multiples of r : this coincides with q , as the degrees are the integers $1, \dots, m$, in accordance with (47).⁽²³⁾ Moreover, the degrees of the reflection group (49) are precisely those of W which are multiples of r , i.e., the integers $r, 2r, \dots, (q-1)r, qr = m$, and indeed $q! \cdot r^q = |\mathfrak{S}_q^+ \ltimes (\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z})^q|$. \diamond

10.2.3. Remark. — In the context of Prop. 10.2.1, where the regular elements correspond to partitions of \underline{m}^+ or $\underline{m-1}^+$ with parts of the same cardinality, one actually finds $W'(\phi) = W(\phi) = G(\phi)$: in particular the centralizers (32) and (44) coincide, and all the descriptions of the full twisted deformation space match up. \diamond

11. Pure type B/C

11.1. Reduction to the split generic case. — We will now extend the classification beyond vector bundles.

11.1.1. — Suppose that $\mathfrak{g} \in \{\mathfrak{so}_{2m+1}(\mathbb{C}), \mathfrak{sp}_{2m}(\mathbb{C})\}$, for an integer $m \geq 2$ (to avoid repetitions), and refer to § A.3.2 for background/notation: in particular, there is a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{t} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ whose underlying vector space is identified with (64), and the Weyl group is (62).

Now fix again a ramification $r \geq 1$, a vector $A \in \mathfrak{t} \simeq \tilde{V}_m$ which determines a Levi subsystem $\phi \subseteq \Phi_m(B/C)$, and an element $g \in W_m(BC)$ such that $g(A) = \zeta_r A$.

11.1.2. Lemma. — *The conclusions of Cor. 9.1.6 hold for the eigenspace $\mathfrak{t}_\phi(r) \subseteq \mathfrak{t}_\phi$, and moreover:*

⁽²³⁾The degree 1 would *not* be there in the special linear case, i.e., if we restrict to the subspace of vectors whose coordinates have vanishing sum.

1. the relative reflection group $G(\phi)$ of (41) is isomorphic to the type-BC Weyl group $W_{\mathfrak{m}_\phi}(\text{BC})$, where $\mathfrak{m}_\phi := \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{t}_\phi)$;
2. and $\mathfrak{g}_\phi \in G(\phi)$.

Postponed to D.11. — □

11.2. Generic classification. — Again, it is now enough to classify the *generic* twisted hyperplane complements:

11.2.1. Proposition. — Choose integers $r, m \geq 2$, and consider a regular element g of $(\tilde{V}_m, W_m(\text{BC}))$ of order r . Set also $\mathbf{B}_{r, \text{reg}}(\text{BC}_m) := \tilde{V}_m(g, \zeta_r) \cap \tilde{V}_{m, \text{reg}}$. Then one of the two following (mutually-exclusive) situations happen:

1. (i) the integer r is odd and divides m ; and (ii) if $q \geq 1$ is the quotient of the division then there is an identification $\mathbf{B}_{r, \text{reg}}(\text{BC}_m) \simeq \mathcal{M}^\sharp(2r, q)$, in the notation of (45);
2. or (i) r is even and divides $2m$; and (ii) if again $q \geq 1$ is the quotient then $\mathbf{B}_{r, \text{reg}}(\text{BC}_m) \simeq \mathcal{M}^\sharp(r, q)$.

(So the corresponding complex reflection group is still a generalized symmetric group.)

Proof. — By [97, § 5.2], a regular element of order r falls into two (mutually-exclusive) classes:

1. (i) r is odd and divides m —say $m = rq$ as in the statement; and (ii) g is a product of q positive disjoint r -cycles;
2. or (i) r is even and divides $2m$ —say $r = 2r'$ and $m = r'q$; and (ii) g is a product of q negative disjoint r' -cycles.

(Both yield powers of Coxeter elements, i.e., of negative m -cycles.)

Up to conjugation, in the first case it is enough to consider the element

$$g = c_1^+ c_1^- \cdots c_q^+ c_q^-, \quad c_j^- := ((1-j)r - 1 \mid (1-j)r - 2 \mid \cdots \mid 1 - jr \mid -jr),$$

with c_j^+ as in (46) (cf. (65)). The degrees of $W_m(\text{BC})$ are the even integers $2, 4, \dots, 2(m-1), 2m$, and since r is odd we expect—by [97, Thm. 4.2]—that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\tilde{V}_m(g, \zeta_r)) = q$. Indeed, one has $\tilde{V}_m(g, \zeta_r) = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{A_1, \dots, A_q\}$, where

$$A_i := {}^t \left(\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{(i-1)r \text{ times}}, 1, \zeta_r^{r-1}, \dots, \zeta_r, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0, 0, \dots, 0}_{r(q-1) \text{ times}}, -1, -\zeta_r^{r-1}, \dots, -\zeta_r, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{(q-i)r \text{ times}} \right),$$

cf. (47). Hence, the regular eigenvectors are of the form

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^q \lambda_i A_i, \quad 0 \neq \zeta_r^k \lambda_i \neq \pm \zeta_r^l \lambda_j, \quad i \neq j \in \underline{q}^+, \quad k, l \in \{1, \dots, r\},$$

cf. (48). We conclude by the first elementary observation of Lem. 11.2.2 (below).

Analogously, up to conjugation, in the second case it is enough to consider the element $g = \tilde{c}_1 \cdots \tilde{c}_q$, where

$$\tilde{c}_j := ((j-1)r' + 1 \mid \cdots \mid jr' - 1 \mid jr' \mid (1-j)r' - 1 \mid \cdots \mid 1 - jr' \mid -jr'),$$

cf. (66). Again we find a q -dimensional eigenspace, with basis

$$\tilde{A}_i = {}^t \left(\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{(i-1)r \text{ times}}, 1, \zeta_r^{r-1}, \dots, \zeta_r^{r'+1}, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0, 0, \dots, 0}_{r(q-1) \text{ times}}, \zeta_r^{r'}, \zeta_r^{r'-1}, \dots, \zeta_r, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{(q-i)r \text{ times}} \right),$$

for $i \in \{1, \dots, q\}$. This expression makes sense, in view of the second elementary observation of Lem. 11.2.2, and the regular eigenvalues are of the form

$$\tilde{A} = \sum_{i=1}^q \lambda_i \tilde{A}_i, \quad \lambda_i^r \neq \lambda_j^r \in \mathbb{C}^\times.$$

(Note that $r = 2$ just yields the sign-swapping permutation $g : i \mapsto -i$, in which case $\tilde{V}_m(g, \zeta_r) = \tilde{V}_m(g, -1) = \tilde{V}_m$, and we just find the $W_m(\text{BC})$ -regular part.) \square

11.2.2. Lemma. — *Let $r \geq 1$ be an integer. Then:*

1. *If r is odd, the set $\{\pm \zeta_r, \pm \zeta_r^2, \dots, \pm \zeta_r^{r-1}, \pm 1\} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^\times$ consists of all the $(2r)$ -th roots of 1;*
2. *and if $r = 2r'$ is even, then $\zeta_r^k + \zeta_r^{k-r'} = 0$ for $k \in \{r', \dots, r\}$.*

Proof omitted. — \square

11.2.3. Remark. — Let simply $W = W_m(\text{BC})$, and suppose (without loss of generality here) that $\phi \subseteq \Phi_m(\text{B/C})$ has no component of type B/C. The proof D.11 also shows that $N_W(\mathfrak{t}_\phi) \subseteq W$ splits into a direct product of wreath products, where each direct factor is of the form $\mathfrak{S}_q^+ \wr (\mathfrak{S}_k^+ \times \mathbb{Z}^\times)$, for suitable integers $q, k \geq 1$. (It corresponds to the case where ϕ has q rank- k components.) In turn, the parabolic subgroup $W_{\mathfrak{t}_\phi}$ decomposes into the direct product of the factors $(\mathfrak{S}_k^+)^q$, and the relative Weyl group into the direct product of the factors $\mathfrak{S}_q^+ \wr \mathbb{Z}^\times$. This showcases Howlett's splitting $W(\phi) \hookrightarrow N_W(\mathfrak{t}_\phi)$, but more importantly in the regular context of Prop. 11.2.1 we can recognize $W(\phi)$ as a Weyl group of type BC_{m_ϕ} : it follows that $W'(\phi) = W(\phi) = G(\phi)$. (Thus, we are effectively replacing the 2-element group \mathbb{Z}^\times with the image of the group morphism

$$\mathbb{Z}^\times \times \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times, \quad (\pm 1, \zeta_r^k) \longmapsto \pm \zeta_r^k, \quad k \in \{1, \dots, r\}.) \quad \diamond$$

12. Pure type D

12.1. Reduction to the generic case: possibly nonsplit. — Consider finally the simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} := \mathfrak{so}_{2m}(\mathbb{C})$, for an integer $m \geq 4$, and cf. again § A.3.2 for background/notation. Once more, there is a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{t} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ identified with (64), and the Weyl group with (63).

12.1.1. — As usual, we let $r \geq 1$ be an integer, $A \in \mathfrak{t} \simeq \tilde{V}_m$ any vector with Levi annihilator $\phi \subseteq \Phi_m(\text{D})$, and $g \in W_m(\text{D})$ a group element with $g(A) = \zeta_r A$.

We will spread the positive results across Lemm. 12.1.2–12.1.4, and showcase the main obstacle to apply the general statements—of § 9—in Exmp. 12.1.5.

12.1.2. Lemma. — Suppose that ϕ has (exactly) one irreducible component of type D (cf. Cor.-Def. 8.3.5). Then the conclusions of Cor. 9.1.6 hold for the eigenspace $\mathfrak{t}_\phi(\mathfrak{r}) \subseteq \mathfrak{t}_\phi$, and moreover:

1. the relative reflection group $G(\phi)$ of (41) is isomorphic to a Weyl group of type $W_{\mathfrak{m}_\phi}(\text{BC})$, where $\mathfrak{m}_\phi := \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{t}_\phi)$;
2. and $\mathfrak{g}_\phi \in G(\phi)$.

Postponed to D.12. — □

12.1.3. Lemma. — For any Levi subsystem $\phi \subseteq \Phi_{\mathfrak{m}}(\text{D})$, the relative reflection group $G(\phi)$ is isomorphic to a Weyl group of type $D_{\mathfrak{m}_\phi}$ or $\text{BC}_{\mathfrak{m}_\phi}$.

Proof. — In [52, § 8] it is also shown that the restricted hyperplane arrangement $\{\text{H}_\alpha(\phi) \mid \alpha \in \Phi \setminus \phi\} \subseteq \mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{t}_\phi^\vee)$ ‘interpolates’ between types D and BC. More precisely, there exist integers $\mathfrak{p} \geq 0$ and $\mathfrak{p}' \geq 1$ such that $\mathfrak{m}_\phi = \mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{p}'$, and if one writes $(\lambda, \mu) := (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_{\mathfrak{p}'}) \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathfrak{p}} \times \mathbb{C}^{\mathfrak{p}'}$ then the hyperplane complement (21) can be identified with

$$(50) \quad \mathcal{M}^\times(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}') := \left\{ (\lambda, \mu) \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathfrak{m}_\phi} \mid 0 \neq \lambda_i^2 \neq \lambda_j^2 \neq \mu_k^2 \neq \mu_l^2, \quad i \neq j \in \underline{\mathfrak{p}}^+, k \neq l \in \underline{\mathfrak{p}'}^+ \right\}. \quad (24)$$

(So one can have $\mu_k = 0$.)

The conclusion follows. Indeed, $G(\phi)$ is a Weyl group of type D if $\mathfrak{p} = 0$; else, starting from $W_{\mathfrak{m}_\phi}(\text{D})$ and adding any single reflection about a coordinate hyperplane of $\mathfrak{t}_\phi \simeq \mathbb{C}^{\mathfrak{m}_\phi}$ makes it possible to generate the whole of $W_{\mathfrak{m}_\phi}(\text{BC})$, and conversely $G(\phi)$ is contained within the group of signed permutations of the coordinates. □

12.1.4. Lemma. — Keep all notation from § 12.1, and let again $\mathfrak{m}_\phi := \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{t}_\phi)$. Suppose that ϕ has no component of type D, but that its stratum is a crystallographic complement—of type $D_{\mathfrak{m}_\phi}$, i.e., $\mathfrak{p} = 0$ and $\mathfrak{p}' = \mathfrak{m}_\phi$ in (50). Then the conclusions of Cor. 9.1.6 hold for the eigenspace $\mathfrak{t}_\phi(\mathfrak{r}) \subseteq \mathfrak{t}_\phi$, and moreover the complex reflection group (44) is isomorphic to the ‘centralizer’—inside $W_{\mathfrak{m}_\phi}(\text{D})$ —of an arbitrary element of $W_{\mathfrak{m}_\phi}(\text{BC})$.

Postponed to § D.13. — □

12.1.5. Example. — When $\mathfrak{p} > 0$, the space (50) is *not* the $G(\phi)$ -regular part of \mathfrak{t}_ϕ , precisely because we are removing a proper subset of its reflection arrangement. Moreover, it is possible to construct an element $\mathfrak{g} \in N_W(\mathfrak{t}_\phi)$ such that: (i) $\mathfrak{g}(A) = \zeta_{\mathfrak{r}} A$; and (ii) $\mathfrak{g}_\phi \in W(\phi)$ does *not* have a $G(\phi)$ -regular eigenvector. Thus, one cannot use Cor. 9.1.5 either.

The simplest case seems to be as follows. Let $W := W_5(\text{D})$, and consider the (non-generic) vector

$$A := (0, 0, 0, 1, 2, 0, 0, 0, -1, -2) \in \tilde{V}_5.$$

⁽²⁴⁾For $\mathfrak{p}' = 0$ it is a copy of $\mathcal{M}^\sharp(2, \mathfrak{p}) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{\mathfrak{p}}$, while for $\mathfrak{p} = 0$ it is a copy of $\mathcal{M}(2, \mathfrak{p}') \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{\mathfrak{p}'}$, in the notation of [32, Lem. 3.3 (2)].

Then $\phi \subseteq \Phi_5(\mathbb{D})$ consists of a single copy of $\Phi_3(\mathbb{A})$, and if $\widehat{Q} := Aw^{-1}$ the corresponding stratum is

(51)

$$\mathbf{B}(\widehat{Q}) = \left\{ A' = (a, a, a, b, c, -a - a - a, -b, -c) \in \widetilde{V}_5 \mid 0 \neq a^2 \neq b^2 \neq c^2 \neq a^2 \right\},$$

which is a copy of $\mathcal{M}^*(1, 2)$. Finally, consider the (regular) element

$$g := (1 \mid -1)(2 \mid -2)(3 \mid -3)(4 \mid -4) \in W.$$

It satisfies $g(\mathbb{A}) = -\mathbb{A}$, and now $A' \in V(g, -1)$ implies $c = 0$ in (51).

12.2. Crystallographic classification. — Let us conclude by classifying all the examples where the issue of Exmp. 12.1.5 does *not* arise. We start from the split ones:

12.2.1. Proposition. — *Choose integers $r \geq 2$ and $m \geq 4$, and consider a regular element g of $(\widetilde{V}_m, W_m(\mathbb{D}))$ of order r . Set also $\mathbf{B}_{r,\text{reg}}(\mathbb{D}_m) := \widetilde{V}_m(g, \zeta_r) \cap \widetilde{V}_{m,\text{reg}}$. Then one of the following (mutually-exclusive) situations happens:*

1. (i) the integer r is odd and divides either m or $m - 1$; and (ii) if $q \geq 1$ is the quotient of the division then there is an identification $\mathbf{B}_{r,\text{reg}}(\mathbb{D}_m) \simeq \mathcal{M}^\sharp(2r, q)$;
2. or (i) $r \geq 4$ is even and divides m ; and (ii) if $q \geq 1$ is the quotient then there is an identification $\mathbf{B}_{r,\text{reg}}(\mathbb{D}_m) \simeq \mathcal{M}^\sharp(r, 2q)$;
3. or (i) $r \geq 4$ is even and divides $2(m - 1)$; and (ii) if $q \geq 1$ is the quotient then $\mathbf{B}_{r,\text{reg}}(\mathbb{D}_m) \simeq \mathcal{M}^\sharp(r, q)$;
4. or (i) $r = 2$; and (ii) $\widetilde{V}_m(g, \zeta_r) \cap \widetilde{V}_{m,\text{reg}} \simeq \mathcal{M}(r, m)$, where more generally we set

$$(52) \quad \mathcal{M}(r, q) := \left\{ (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_q) \in \mathbb{C}^q \mid \lambda_i^r \neq \lambda_j^r, \quad i \neq j \in \underline{q}^+ \right\}.^{(25)}$$

Proof. — By [97, § 5.3], one the following (non-mutually-exclusive) situations happen:

1. (i) the integer r is odd and divides m —say $m = rq$ for an integer $q \geq 1$; and (ii) g is a product of q positive disjoint r -cycles;
2. or (i) r is odd and divides $m - 1$ —say $m - 1 = rq$ for an integer $q \geq 1$; (ii) g fixes each element of an opposite pair; and (iii) g is a product of q positive disjoint r -cycles;
3. or (i) r is even and divides m —say $r = 2r'$ and $m = 2qr'$, for integers $r', q \geq 1$; and (ii) g is a product of $2q$ negative disjoint r' -cycles;
4. or (i) r is even and divides $2(m - 1)$ —say $r = 2r'$ and $m - 1 = r'q$ for integers $r', q \geq 1$; (ii) g stabilizes an opposite pair; (iii) g is a product of q negative disjoint r' -cycles; and (iv) g fixes each element of that opposite pair if and only if q is even.

⁽²⁵⁾ Again, in the notation of [32, Lem. 3.3 (2)].

(These are *not* all powers of Coxeter elements; Coxeter elements correspond to taking $r = 2(m-1)$ in the last class.)

The first case is equivalent to Prop. 11.2.1 (1.), and since $\zeta_r \neq 1$ the second case reduces to it—inside $\tilde{V}_{m-1} \simeq \mathbb{C}^{m-1} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^m \simeq \tilde{V}_m$ —when looking for eigenvectors. Analogously, the third case is equivalent to Prop. 11.2.1 (2.), but we get twice as many negative cycles, and the fourth case reduces to it if q is even. Moreover, the fourth case reduces to the third one if q is odd and $r \geq 4$, since then $-\zeta_r \neq 1$. Finally, if q is odd and $r = 2$ then the fourth case is conjugated to the sign-swapping $g : A \mapsto -A$, whence $\tilde{V}_m(g, \zeta_r) = \tilde{V}_m(g, -1) = \tilde{V}_m$, and we find the whole of the $W_m(D)$ -regular part. \square

Finally, we treat the *twisted* reflection cosets of the form $G = (\tilde{V}_m, gW_m(D))$, where $g \in W_m(BC)$ has nontrivial class in $W_m(BC)/W_m(D) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^\times$ (so that $\delta_G = 2$).
12.2.2. Proposition. — *Choose integers $r \geq 2$ and $m \geq 4$, and denote by $\tilde{V}_{m,\text{reg}}(D) \subseteq \tilde{V}_m$ the $W_m(D)$ -regular part.⁽²⁶⁾ Let also $g \in (\tilde{V}_m, W_m(BC))$ be an element such that $\tilde{B}_{r,\text{reg}}(D_m) := \tilde{V}_m(g, \zeta_r) \cap \tilde{V}_{m,\text{reg}}(D) \neq \emptyset$. Then one of the following (mutually-exclusive) situations happen:*

1. (i) the integer r is odd and divides $m-1$; and (ii) if $q \geq 1$ is the quotient of the division then there is an identification $\tilde{B}_{r,\text{reg}}(D_m) \simeq \mathcal{M}^\sharp(2r, q)$;
2. or (i) $r \geq 4$ is even and divides $2m$; (ii) the quotient $q \geq 1$ is odd; and (iii) there is an identification $\tilde{B}_{r,\text{reg}}(D_m) \simeq \mathcal{M}^\sharp(r, q)$;
3. or (i) $r \geq 4$ is even and divides $2(m-1)$; and (ii) if q is the quotient then there is an identification $\tilde{B}_{r,\text{reg}}(D_m) \simeq \mathcal{M}^\sharp(r, 2q)$;
4. or (i) $r = 2$; and (ii) $\tilde{B}_{r,\text{reg}}(D_m) \simeq \mathcal{M}(r, m)$, in the notation of (52).

Proof. — The Weyl group $W_m(BC)$ preserves the root subsystem $\Phi_m(D) = \Phi_m(B) \cap \Phi_m(C)$, and so we are in the context of [97, Lem. 6.8]. Then we can appeal to the classification of § 6.11 of op. cit. (cf. [99]), i.e., one of the following holds:

1. (i) the integer r is odd and divides $m-1$ —say $m-1 = rq$ for an integer $q \geq 1$; and (ii) g is a product of q positive disjoint r -cycles and one negative transposition;
2. or (i) $r \geq 4$ is even and divides $2m$; (ii) the quotient of the division is odd—say $r = 2r'$ and $m = qr'$ for an integer $r' \geq 1$ and an odd integer $q \geq 1$; and (iii) g is a product of q negative disjoint r' -cycles;
3. or (i) r is even and divides $2(m-1)$ —say $r = 2r'$ and $m-1 = qr'$ for integers $q, r' \geq 1$; and (ii) g is a product of $2q$ negative disjoint r' -cycles and one negative transposition.⁽²⁷⁾

⁽²⁶⁾This is a copy of $\mathcal{M}(2, m) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^m$, and if we define $\tilde{V}_{m,\text{reg}}(BC)$ as the $W_m(BC)$ -regular part then $\mathcal{M}^\sharp(2, q) \simeq \tilde{V}_{m,\text{reg}}(BC) \subseteq \tilde{V}_{m,\text{reg}}(D)$.

⁽²⁷⁾This seems to correct a misprint in [97, § 6.11 (b)].

The first case reduces to Prop. 11.2.1 (1.), in codimension 1, because $-\zeta_r \neq 1$. Moreover, the second case literally matches up with (2.) of that proposition. Analogously, if $r \geq 4$ then the third case still reduces to the one mentioned just above, because $-\zeta_r \neq 1$, but we get twice as many negative cycles. Finally, if $r = 2$ in the third case then g is conjugated to the overall sign-swap $A \mapsto -A$. \square

13. Twisted local G-wild mapping class groups

13.1. Main statement. — Here we will generalize the definitions of local wild mapping class groups (= WMCGs) in [52, 51, 15].

13.1.1. Definition. — Let $r, s \geq 1$ be integers. Choose an irregularity-bounded r -Galois-closed irregular type $\widehat{Q} \in \widehat{\mathcal{J}}_r^{\leq s}$, and let $\widehat{\Theta} := \widehat{\Theta}(\widehat{Q}) \in \widehat{\mathcal{J}}_r^{\leq s}/W$ be the associated (r -Galois-closed) irregular class. Then:

1. the *pure r -ramified local WMCG of \widehat{Q}* is the fundamental group

$$(53) \quad \Gamma_r(\widehat{Q}) := \pi_1(\mathbf{B}_r(\widehat{Q}), \widehat{Q});$$

2. and the (*full/nonpure*) *r -ramified local WMCG of $\widehat{\Theta}$* is the fundamental group

$$(54) \quad \overline{\Gamma}_r(\widehat{\Theta}) := \pi_1(\mathbf{B}_r(\widehat{\Theta}), \widehat{\Theta}).$$

13.1.2. Remark. — By § 5, in the pure case it would be the same to also fix an element $g \in W$ generating the r -Galois-orbit of \widehat{Q} , and set $\Gamma_{r,g}(\widehat{Q}) := \pi_1(\mathbf{B}_{r,g}(\widehat{Q}), \widehat{Q})$.

Moreover, a priori (53)–(54) depend also on the integer s , but as observed in § 2.4.4 their isomorphism class is well-determined by \widehat{Q} and r alone: this is the rationale behind the (abusive) notation.

Finally, by §§ 3–7, the topological spaces $\mathbf{B}_{r,g}(\widehat{Q}) = \mathbf{B}_r(\widehat{Q})$ and $\mathbf{B}_r(\widehat{\Theta})$ are path-connected, and so changing the base irregular type/class does *not* affect the isomorphism class of the pure/nonpure WMCGs. Just as for the moduli spaces/stacks $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ of n -pointed genus- g (nonsingular) complex projective curves (cf. [42]), there is actually a discrete set of parameters governing the topology of the admissible deformation spaces, analogous to the integers $g, n \geq 0$: in addition to the ramification $r \geq 1$, this is precisely the root-valuation tuple (6).

One might turn this around, choosing data $(r, s, \mathbf{d}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \times \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\Phi}$, and proving that the (fine) moduli space $\mathbf{B}_r^{\leq s}(\mathbf{d})$ of \mathbf{d} -admissible r -Galois irregular types of irregularity bounded above by s can be identified with $\mathbf{B}_r(\widehat{Q})$, for any irregular type \widehat{Q} such that $\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{d}(\widehat{Q})$, cf. [52, Rmk. 2.3] and [15, Cor. 3.34]; this is the viewpoint of [53], but note that only finitely many functions \mathbf{d} lead to nonempty root-valuation strata. Indeed, the nonempty strata are naturally parameterized by the Levi filtrations of the root system Φ which were used above (and which feature in the statement just below); moreover, in some example these are equivalent to fission trees: cf. § 14, as well as the topological ‘skeleta’ of [15, § 3.7]. \diamond

13.1.3. Theorem. — Write $\widehat{Q} = \sum_{i=1}^s A_i w^{-i}$, and consider the (increasing, exhaustive) filtration of nested Levi annihilators of the coefficients $A_i \in \mathfrak{t}$:

$$\Phi = \Phi(\widehat{Q}) = (\Phi_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \Phi_s \subseteq \Phi_{s+1} := \Phi), \quad \Phi_i := \Phi \cap \mathfrak{g}^{A_i} \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{g}^{A_s}.$$

Define also the groups $W_{\mathfrak{t}_{\Phi_1}} \subseteq N_W(\mathfrak{t}_{\Phi}) \subseteq W$ and $Z_{W, \Phi}(r) \subseteq N_W(\mathfrak{t}_{\Phi})/W_{\mathfrak{t}_{\Phi_1}}$ as in Thm.-Def. 7.2.2. Then:

1. there is a direct-product decomposition

$$\Gamma_r(\widehat{Q}) = \prod_{i=1}^s \Gamma_r(\widehat{Q}, i), \quad \Gamma_r(\widehat{Q}, i) = \pi_1(\mathbf{B}_r(\widehat{Q}, i), A_i),$$

where in turn

$$\mathbf{B}_r(\widehat{Q}, i) := \mathfrak{t}_{\Phi_i}(r) \setminus \bigcup_{\Phi_{i+1} \setminus \Phi_i} H_\alpha(\Phi_i, r) \subseteq \mathfrak{t}_{\Phi_i},$$

in the notation of (25) and Rmk. 5.2.4;

2. and there is a (typically nonsplit) short exact group sequence

$$(55) \quad 1 \longrightarrow \Gamma_r(\widehat{Q}) \longrightarrow \overline{\Gamma}_r(\widehat{\Theta}) \longrightarrow Z_{W, \Phi}(r) \longrightarrow 1.$$

Proof. — The former statement follows from the direct-product decomposition of Thm.-Def. 4.2.2, and the latter from the Galois covering of Thm.-Def. 7.2.2. (The ‘augmentation’ surjection in the sequence (55) corresponds to the monodromy action of $\mathbf{B}_r(\widehat{Q}) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_r(\widehat{\Theta})$.) \square

13.1.4. Remark. — Suppose that $s = 1$, and write as usual $\widehat{Q} = Aw^{-1}$. In this case $\Gamma_1(\widehat{Q})$ is the fundamental group of the hyperplane complement (21), and if A is regular then it is the pure G -braid group $\text{PBr}_{\mathfrak{g}} := \pi_1(\mathfrak{t}_{\text{reg}}, A)$. In turn, the sequence (55) becomes

$$(56) \quad 1 \longrightarrow \text{PBr}_{\mathfrak{g}} \longrightarrow \text{Br}_{\mathfrak{g}} \xrightarrow{\pi} W \longrightarrow 1, \quad \text{Br}_{\mathfrak{g}} := \pi_1(\mathfrak{t}_{\text{reg}}/W, W.A),$$

and it is centred around the (full/nonpure) G -braid group [22, 41]—which one can view as $\overline{\Gamma}_1(\widehat{\Theta})$, where $\widehat{\Theta} := \widehat{\Theta}(\widehat{Q})$ is the underlying irregular class. \diamond

13.2. Braid Springer theory. — The generic examples of r -ramified WMCGs are (incidentally) studied in Bessis’ seminal work [7], cf. [95, 33, 8]—and see § C for some brief context.

13.2.1. Theorem-definition. — Choose an integer $r \geq 1$, a regular vector $A \in \mathfrak{t}_{\text{reg}}$, and a group element $g \in W$. Suppose that g generates the r -Galois-orbit of the irregular type $\widehat{Q} := Aw^{-1}$, and let also $\beta \in \text{Br}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ be a G -braid such $\pi(\beta) = g$, in the notation of (56). Then:

1. the ‘full twist’ $\tau := \beta^r \in \text{PBr}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ generates the (cyclic) centre of the pure G -braid group, and it corresponds to the homotopy class of the loop

$$\mathfrak{t} \longmapsto e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t}} A : [0, 1] \longrightarrow \mathfrak{t}_{\text{reg}};$$

- 2. all the r -th roots of τ are conjugate in $\mathrm{Br}_{\mathfrak{g}}$;⁽²⁸⁾
- 3. and there is a group isomorphism

$$(57) \quad \overline{\Gamma}_r(\widehat{\Theta}) \simeq Z_{\mathrm{Br}_{\mathfrak{g}}}(\beta) \subseteq \mathrm{Br}_{\mathfrak{g}}, \quad \widehat{\Theta} := \widehat{\Theta}(\widehat{Q}) \in \widehat{\mathcal{J}}_r^{\leq 1} / W.$$

Proof. — This follows from [7, Thm. 12.4]. □

13.2.2. Remark. — By the second statement of Thm.-Def. 13.2.1, as usual, the isomorphism class of the local WMCG does *not* depend on the choice of β —but only on the integer r . ◇

13.2.3. — By Prop. 6.2.1, an analogous group isomorphism as in (57) holds for all the r -Galois-closed irregular types with regular leading coefficient—up to replacing r with the GCD of the ramification/irregularity.

Finally, in the viewpoint of §§ 4 and 7, studying more general r -ramified local WMCGs relates with ‘lifts’ of subregular Springer’s theory; while in the viewpoint of §§ 8–9 it relates with ‘lifts’ of twisted/nonsplit Lehrer–Springer’s theory. Stated in full generality, these seem to be hard problems: but cf. nonetheless, e.g., [32, Prop. 2.29] (about parabolic braid subgroups) and [2] (about restrictions of $K(\pi, 1)$ arrangements).

14. Outlook

14.1. Fission trees and global case. — It is possible to generalize the definition of the fission trees of [52, 51, 15, 9], covering the twisted/ramified case for any classical Lie algebra. It should also be possible to generalize the setup of [53], in the definition of (stratified) vector bundles of r -Galois-closed irregular types: we plan to consider both these extensions.

Moreover, the ‘interior’ twists of [16] might also be amenable to explicit descriptions in terms of twisted/nonsplit reflection cosets—perhaps twisting the Weyl group by an outer automorphism of G , *before* starting to (recursively) break/fission it.

Finally, in the future we wish to study in more detail the Poisson/symplectic actions of WMCGs on the wild character varieties (cf. [52, Exmp. 9.1]), relating in particular with the approach of [86, 64].

Acknowledgements

Besides P. Boalch and M. Tamiozzo, who have collaborated on this project since its very inception, we also thank—in alphabetical order—the following mathematicians for helpful discussions and for listening/answering to some of our questions: C. Bonnafé, C. Dupont, R. Fioresi, D. Schwein, and V. Toledano Laredo.

⁽²⁸⁾Thus, β is conjugate to the standard r -th root of τ , which corresponds to $\mathfrak{t} \mapsto e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t}/r} \mathfrak{A}$.

Appendix A. Some background notion/notation

A.1. Complex reflection groups. — Let V be a finite-dimensional complex vector space.

A.1.1. — A (*complex*) *reflection* in V is a nontrivial finite-order element $g \in \mathrm{GL}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$ which acts as the identity on a—*reflecting*—hyperplane $H \subseteq V$.⁽²⁹⁾ A subgroup $W' \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$ generated by reflections is a *complex reflection group*: in this paper we always tacitly assume that W' is finite. A complex reflection group (V, W') is *essential* if $0 \in V$ is the only W' -invariant vector, and it is more generally *irreducible* if there are no W' -invariant subspaces: a quotient of V always carry an essential reflection representation of W' , which in turn splits into finitely-many irreducible components. If (V, W') is irreducible, its *rank* is $m := \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$.

The (finite) set of the hyperplanes of V which are fixed by some reflection contained in W' is the *reflection arrangement* of (V, W') . The complement (in V) of the union of the reflecting hyperplanes is the W' -*regular part* of V , denoted by $V_{\mathrm{reg}} = V_{\mathrm{reg}, W'} \subseteq V$. Every *regular* vector $A \in V_{\mathrm{reg}}$ has trivial stabilizer in W' (cf. [97, Prop. 4.1]), and an element $g \in W'$ is said to be *regular* if it admits a regular eigenvector.

Let $V' \subseteq V$ be a vector subspace. The *parabolic subgroup* of V' (in (V, W')) is

$$(58) \quad W'_{V'} := \left\{ g \in W' \mid g|_{V'} = \mathrm{Id}_{V'} \right\},$$

and it is generated by the reflections (of W') about the reflecting hyperplanes $H \subseteq V$ such that $V' \subseteq H$ [98], cf. [67]. The *parabolic subgroups* of (V, W') are the subgroups of the form $W'_{V'} \subseteq W'$, as V' ranges amongst the vector subspaces of V . The group (58) is normalized by the *setwise stabilizer*

$$(59) \quad N_{W'}(V') \subseteq \left\{ g \in W' \mid g(V') \subseteq V' \right\}.$$

A complex reflection group (V, W') is a *real reflection group* if V admits a W' -invariant \mathbb{R} -form, i.e., if there exists a vector subspace $V' \subseteq V$ over \mathbb{R} such that

$$(60) \quad V' \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \simeq V, \quad g(V') \subseteq V', \quad g \in W'.$$

Then W' is generated by reflections of order 2, and it is a (finite) Coxeter group. (Beware that there are complex reflection groups generated by reflections of order 2 which do *not* admit an \mathbb{R} -form.)

A real reflection group (V, W') is a *Weyl group* if V admits a W' -invariant \mathbb{Q} -form, analogously to (60): in this case, we will denote it by W . Then there exists a split reductive Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{t})$ over \mathbb{C} such that: (i) one can take $V := \mathfrak{t}$; and (ii) the group $W = W(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{t})$ is generated by the reflections about the kernels of the roots

⁽²⁹⁾These are a.k.a. ‘pseudoreflections’, to distinguish them from (order-2) reflections in real vector spaces. But also as ‘unitary’ reflections, up to choosing a \mathfrak{g} -stable inner product on V .

$\alpha \in \Phi = \Phi(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{t}) \subseteq \mathfrak{t}^\vee$, which negate the corresponding coroot $\alpha^\vee \in \Phi^\vee \subseteq \mathfrak{V}$.⁽³⁰⁾ It follows that \mathfrak{g} is semisimple (resp. simple) if and only if (\mathfrak{V}, W) is essential (resp. irreducible).

A.1.2. — Let again (\mathfrak{V}, W') be a complex reflection group, and denote by $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{V}] := \text{Sym } \mathfrak{V}^\vee$ the \mathbb{C} -algebra of polynomial functions on \mathfrak{V} —viewed as a complex affine space. It is well-known [94, 37, 21] that the subring $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{V}]^{W'} \subseteq \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{V}]$, of W' -invariant polynomial functions, is itself a polynomial ring generated by k algebraically independent homogeneous functions $f_1, \dots, f_k \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{V}]^{W'}$, whose degrees $d_1, \dots, d_k \geq 1$ —ordered in increasing fashion—are intrinsically determined by (\mathfrak{V}, W') : these are the *degrees* of (\mathfrak{V}, W') , and one has $|W'| = \prod_{i=1}^k d_i$.

Let us assume for simplicity that (\mathfrak{V}, W') is irreducible. Then: (i) the number of reflections contained in W' equals the sum of the degrees minus the rank; (ii) there exists a W' -invariant \mathbb{R} -form of \mathfrak{V} if and only if 2 is a degree; (iii) the centre of (\mathfrak{V}, W') is cyclic, of order equal to the GCD of the degrees; and (iv) the number of reflecting hyperplanes of (\mathfrak{V}, W') equals the sum of the *codegrees* $d_1^*, \dots, d_k^* \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ plus the rank. In turn, the latter are a shift of the *coexponents* [32, § 1.A] (cf. [82]), and in the setting of regular Springer theory these are determined—from those of W' —in [43, Thm. 2.8]. (This is helpful when computing the number of generators of the corresponding braid groups.)

A.2. Reflection cosets. — Let again \mathfrak{V} be a finite-dimensional complex vector space, and cf. [30, Def. 3.1 + Lem. 3.2] and [31, Deff. 1.6 + 1.7]. (For our purposes, much of this could be rephrased over the algebraic number field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r) \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, for a fixed ramification $r \geq 1$ as above.)

A *complex reflection coset* (resp. a *real/rational reflection coset*) is a pair $\mathbb{G} = (\mathfrak{V}, \mathfrak{g}W')$,⁽³¹⁾ where: (i) (\mathfrak{V}, W') is a complex reflection group (resp. a Coxeter/Weyl group); and (ii) $\mathfrak{g}W'$ is a coset of W' through a finite-order element $\mathfrak{g} \in \text{GL}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{V})$ normalizing W' . (But \mathfrak{g} is *not* part of the data.) If $W' = \mathfrak{g}W'$ we say that the coset is *untwisted/split*, else it is *twisted/nonsplit*. The class of $\mathfrak{g}W'$ in the quotient group $N_{\text{GL}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{V})}(W')/W'$ is the *twist* of \mathbb{G} , whose order is denoted by $\delta_{\mathbb{G}} \geq 1$.

A *reflection subcoset* of \mathbb{G} is a reflection coset of the form $\mathbb{G}' = (\mathfrak{V}', (gg')|_{\mathfrak{V}'}, W'')$, where: (i) $\mathfrak{V}' \subseteq \mathfrak{V}$ is a vector subspace; (ii) W'' is a subgroup of $N_{W'}(\mathfrak{V}')/W'_{\mathfrak{V}'}$, acting on \mathfrak{V}' as a reflection group, where $W_{\mathfrak{V}'} \subseteq N_{W'}(\mathfrak{V}')$ are the pointwise/setwise stabilizers of \mathfrak{V}' (cf. (58)–(59)); and (iii) $\mathfrak{g}' \in W'$ is an element such that $gg' \in \mathfrak{g}W'$ has finite order, stabilizes \mathfrak{V}' , and normalizes W'' .

⁽³⁰⁾The action on \mathfrak{t} is more immediately relevant for us, and the notation will *not* distinguish the W -actions on \mathfrak{t} and \mathfrak{t}^\vee ; recall that they are related as mutually contragredient (= inverse-transpose) representations [21].

⁽³¹⁾Note that op. cit. uses right cosets, and that the irreducible reflection cosets are classified in [30, Prop. 3.13].

A *Levi subcoset* of \mathbb{G} is a reflection subcoset of the form $\mathbb{L} = (V, \mathbb{g}\mathbb{g}'W'')$, where: (i) $W'' \subseteq W'$ is a parabolic subgroup; and (ii) $\mathbb{g}' \in W'$ is an element such that $\mathbb{g}\mathbb{g}' \in \mathbb{g}W'$ has finite order and normalizes W'' .

A.3. Classical Weyl groups. — It is useful to base all the classical Weyl groups on type A, as follows.

A.3.1. — For an integer $m \geq 1$, consider the complex vector space $V_m^+ := \mathbb{C}^m$, equipped with the canonical basis (e_1, \dots, e_m) indexed by the set $\underline{m}^+ := \{1, \dots, m\}$. The type-A Weyl group $W_m(A)$ can (and will) be identified with the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_m^+ of \underline{m}^+ , acting on V_m^+ by permuting the coordinates in the given basis. This reflection representation is *not* essential, and it corresponds to the (reductive, non-semisimple) general linear Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_m(\mathbb{C})$, identifying V_m^+ with the standard Cartan subalgebra. Furthermore, in this identification, acting on traceless matrices yields an irreducible reflection group of rank $m - 1$, abusively denoted the same: it corresponds to the special linear Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{sl}_m(\mathbb{C}) \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$.

For an integer $d \geq 2$, a *d-cycle* is an element $c^+ \in W_m(A)$ —of order d —with a single nontrivial orbit in \underline{m}^+ , of cardinality d , which is called its *support*. We will write

$$(61) \quad c^+ = (\alpha_1 \mid \cdots \mid \alpha_d), \quad \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d \in \underline{m}^+ \text{ distinct,}$$

to denote the d -cycle mapping $\alpha_i \mapsto \alpha_{i+1}$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, d-1\}$ —with support $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d\} \subseteq \underline{m}^+$. The 2-cycles are also called *transpositions*, and generate $W_m(A)$.

Any element $g \in W_m(A)$ can be uniquely decomposed into a product of cycles with pairwise disjoint supports, and this decomposition is unique up to reordering the (commuting) factors. Two elements of $W_m(A)$ are conjugated if and only if they have the same *cycle-type*, i.e. the same number of d -cycles in their unique factorization, for all $d \geq 2$ (this means looking at partitions/Young diagrams, cf. [36, Prop. 23] and the classical work [92, 103]).

A.3.2. — Consider now a second copy V_m^- of \mathbb{C}^m , with basis (e_{-1}, \dots, e_{-m}) . Again, the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_m^\pm \simeq W_{2m}(A)$ of permutations of the set $\underline{m}^\pm := \{\pm 1, \dots, \pm m\}$ acts on $V_m^\pm := V_m^+ \oplus V_m^- \simeq \mathbb{C}^{2m}$. The two other classical (irreducible) Weyl groups are subgroups thereof, consisting of ‘signed’ permutations. Namely:

1. the rank- m Weyl group of type BC, i.e., the (hyperoctahedral) group of symmetries of an m -(hyper)cube, can be defined as

$$(62) \quad W_m(\text{BC}) := \left\{ g \in \mathfrak{S}_m^\pm \mid g(i) + g(-i) = 0 \text{ for } i \in \underline{m}^\pm \right\};$$

2. and the rank- m Weyl group of type D, i.e., the group of symmetries of an m -demi(hyper)cube, as the index-2 subgroup

$$(63) \quad W_m(\text{D}) := \left\{ g \in W(\text{BC}_m) \mid \prod_{i=1}^m g(i) > 0 \right\}.$$

Then the groups (62) and (63) act irreducibly on the subspace

$$(64) \quad \tilde{V}_m := \left\{ \sum_{i \in \underline{m}^\pm} \lambda_i e_i \in V_m^\pm \mid \lambda_i + \lambda_{-i} = 0 \text{ for } i \in \underline{m}^\pm \right\} \simeq \mathbb{C}^m,$$

which can (and will) be identified with the Cartan subalgebras of diagonal matrices inside the classical Lie algebras of type B_m , C_m , and D_m , viz., respectively, $\mathfrak{so}_{2m+1}(\mathbb{C})$, $\mathfrak{sp}_{2m}(\mathbb{C})$, and $\mathfrak{so}_{2m}(\mathbb{C})$ —cf. [91].

For an integer $d \geq 2$, a *positive d-cycle* is an element $\tilde{c} \in \mathfrak{S}_m^\pm$ —of order d —of the form

$$(65) \quad \tilde{c} = c^+ c^-, \quad c^+ = (\mathbf{a}_1 \mid \cdots \mid \mathbf{a}_d), \quad c^- = (-\mathbf{a}_1 \mid \cdots \mid -\mathbf{a}_d),$$

for distinct elements $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_d \in \underline{m}^+$, extending the notation of (61). A *negative d-cycle* instead is an element—of order $2d$ —of the form

$$(66) \quad \tilde{c} = (\mathbf{a}_1 \mid \cdots \mid \mathbf{a}_d \mid -\mathbf{a}_1 \mid \cdots \mid -\mathbf{a}_d).$$

In both cases, the *support* of \tilde{c} is the subset $\{\pm \mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \pm \mathbf{a}_d\} \subseteq \underline{m}^\pm$. The positive 2-cycles (resp. negative 1-cycles) are also called *positive transpositions* (resp. *negative transpositions*); the positive transpositions generate a copy of $W_m(A) \simeq \mathfrak{S}_m^+ \subseteq \mathfrak{S}_m^\pm$.

Any element $g \in W_m(BC)$ can be uniquely decomposed into a product of disjoint positive/negative cycles, and two elements are conjugated if and only if they have the same *signed cycle-type*, i.e., the same number of positive/negative d -cycles in their unique factorization, for all $d \geq 2$ [36, Prop. 24] (cf. the classical work [96], as well as Young's, for the representation theory).

Finally, any element $g \in W_m(D)$ can be uniquely decomposed into disjoint positive/negative cycles, having an even number of negative ones. In this case, the signed cycle-type classifies conjugacy classes which do *not* contain an even product of positive cycles; conversely, there are two conjugacy classes of elements of this type [36, Prop. 25]—and cf. again Young's work [103] for the irreducible representations.

A.4. Classical root systems. — Finally, we also make reference to the roots corresponding to the above reflection groups.

A.4.1. — In the notation of §§ A.3.1–A.3.2, for $i \in \underline{m}^+$ denote by $\alpha_i := e_i^\vee \in (V_m^+)^{\vee} \simeq \mathbb{C}^m$ the (co)vectors of the canonical dual basis. Then the root system of type A_{m-1} (of rank $m-1$) is the finite set

$$(67) \quad \Phi_m(A) := \{ \alpha_{ij} \mid i \neq j \in \underline{m}^+ \} \subseteq (V_m^+)^{\vee}, \quad \alpha_{ij} := \alpha_i - \alpha_j.$$

Let us extend this notation by $\alpha_i := e_i^\vee \in (V_m^\pm)^{\vee} \simeq \mathbb{C}^{2m}$, for $i \in \underline{m}^\pm$. Upon restriction to (64), one has the identities $\alpha_i + \alpha_{-i} = 0$ for $i \in \underline{m}^\pm$. Then the (nonreduced) root system of type BC_m is

$$(68) \quad \Phi_m(BC) := \{ \alpha_{ij}, \alpha_i, 2\alpha_i \mid i \neq j \in \underline{m}^\pm \} \subseteq (\tilde{V}_m)^{\vee}.$$

It has the same Weyl group as the (reduced) root subsystems of type B_m/C_m :

$$\Phi_m(B) := \{ \alpha_{ij}, \alpha_i \}_{i \neq j}, \Phi_m(C) := \{ \alpha_{ij}, 2\alpha_i \}_{i \neq j} \subseteq \Phi_m(BC).$$

Finally, the root system of type D_m is

$$\Phi_m(D) := \{ \alpha_{ij} \mid i \neq j \in \underline{m}^\pm \} = \Phi_m(B) \cap \Phi_m(C).$$

The Weyl-group action on the roots now amounts to the permutation action on the indices, i.e., e.g., for $g \in \mathfrak{S}_m^\pm$ one has

$$(69) \quad g(\alpha_{ij}) = \alpha_{kl}, \quad i \neq j, k \neq l \in \underline{m}^\pm, \quad g(i) = k, \quad g(j) = l.$$

The same holds for the inverse-transpose action on the coroots, viz., the vectors $e_i, 2e_i, e_{ij} := e_i - e_j \in \check{V}_m$.

A.4.2. Remark. — If one insists in using positive indices: compared to type A , in type D we add the roots of the form $\pm(\alpha_i + \alpha_j)$, and in type BC those of the form $\pm\alpha_i, \pm 2\alpha_i$, for $i \neq j \in \underline{m}^+$. \diamond

Appendix B. Exceptional types

B.1. Generic case. — Here we gather some general observations about the generic admissible deformations of types $E/F/G$, where more case-by-case descriptions are required.

B.1.1. — The tables of [97, § 5.4] provide the degrees of the—irreducible [43, Cor. 2.9]— complex reflection groups which arise from centralizers of regular elements $g \in W$, which now have a modular interpretation in twisted/ramified meromorphic gauge theory. Importantly, the isomorphism classes of the groups $Z_W(g) \subseteq W$ are uniquely determined by the order $r \geq 1$ of g , which must divide one of degrees of W . Neglecting Coxeter elements, whose centralizer is always cyclic of order equal to the Coxeter number of g , this yields at most 30 additional isomorphism classes amongst all exceptional types: 2 in type G_2 , 5 in type F_4 , 6 in type E_6 , 6 in type E_7 , and 11 in type E_8 . (According to Carter [36], the conjugacy classes of the Weyl group of type F_4 can be extracted from [101], and those of type E from [57].)

Appendix C. Lifting Springer's theory

C.1. Finite complex reflection arrangements are $K(\pi, 1)$. — The article [7] proves that the universal cover of $V_{\text{reg}, W'} \subseteq V$ is contractible, for any (finite, irreducible) complex reflection group (V, W') . This had been a long-standing conjecture [23, 84], previously proven in all but six exceptional cases (cf. [55, 41, 80, 83]; and [85] in the affine case). More precisely, the point was to treat the examples of

$$W' \in \{ G_{24}, G_{27}, G_{29}, G_{31}, G_{33}, G_{34} \},$$

in Shephard–Todd’s classification. It turns out that all of them, but G_{31} , are well-generated,⁽³²⁾ and [7] first establishes the result under this hypothesis.

As far as § 13.2 is concerned, the important facts are that: (i) G_{31} can be realized as the centralizer of a regular element in G_{37} , i.e., the (well-generated) Weyl group of type E_8 ; (ii) Thm. 0.3 of op. cit. proves that the $K(\pi, 1)$ property is inherited under this operation; and (iii) Thm. 12.4 of op. cit. establishes properties of the braid group of the corresponding reflection arrangement, which can be seen as a lift of Springer’s theory through the augmentation group morphism, cf. [25, Chp. II], [26, Chp. III § 18], and [27, § 5.3.3].

Appendix D. Missing proofs

D.1. Proof of Lem. 2.4.2. — Consider the subset

$$A(\widehat{Q}) := \{ i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \mid A_i \neq 0 \} \subseteq \{ 1, \dots, s \},$$

of degrees corresponding to the nonvanishing coefficients of (13). It follows that the number r' of elements in the Galois-orbit (2) equals the LCM of the integers $r/(r \wedge i)$, for $i \in A(\widehat{Q})$ —where in turn $r \wedge i \geq 1$ is the GCD of r and i . Moreover, r is a multiple of r' , and the integer $\tilde{r} := r/r'$ divides the GCD of the elements of $A(\widehat{Q})$. Hence, the irregular type $\widehat{Q}' := \sum_{A(\widehat{Q})} A_i w^{-i/\tilde{r}}$ is: (i) well-defined; (ii) r' -Galois-closed; and (iii) primitive.

D.2. Proof of Lem. 4.3.1. — In addition to the proof of [52, Prop. 5.1], observe that

$$\mathfrak{t}(g, \zeta) = \bigoplus_i \mathfrak{t}_i(g_i, \zeta) \subseteq \mathfrak{t}, \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{C}^\times,$$

and so the result follows from the factorization of Thm.-Def. 4.2.2.

D.3. Proof of Lem. 5.2.3. — Reasoning, e.g., as in [51, Lem. 2.1], the kernel of ϕ is a stable subspace for both g and g' , i.e., $g, g' \in N_W(\mathfrak{t}_\phi)$. Moreover, by hypothesis, one also has $g^{-1}g'(A) = A$: and Lem. 2.2 of op. cit. proves that (26) coincides with the pointwise stabilizer of \mathfrak{t}_ϕ —a normal subgroup of $N_W(\mathfrak{t}_\phi)$, cf. (31).

D.4. Proof of Lem. 7.1.3. — It is enough to prove that the last condition implies the first one. To this end, denote by $h := g^{-1}(g')^{-1}gg' \in W$ the commutator of g and g' , which preserves $\mathfrak{t}_\phi \subseteq \mathfrak{t}$. Moreover, its restriction h_ϕ —thereon—coincides with the commutator of $g_\phi, g'_\phi \in W(\phi)$. Then one has

$$h_\phi(A) = \zeta g_\phi^{-1}(g'_\phi)^{-1}g'_\phi(A) = \zeta g_\phi^{-1}(A) = A,$$

⁽³²⁾I.e., they admit a number of generating reflections equal to their rank.

and the conclusion follows, e.g., from [51, Lem. 2.2]—which implies that h_ϕ is the identity element of $W(\phi)$.

D.5. Proof of Lem. 8.1.2. — We first construct the isomorphism $W(\phi) \xrightarrow{\cong} W_L$. Any element $g \in N_W(\mathfrak{t}_\phi)$ preserves $\phi \subseteq \Phi$ —in its action on \mathfrak{t}^\vee —, e.g., by [51, Lem. 2.1]. If we let $\tilde{g} \in N_G(T)$ be a lift of g to G , it follows that $\text{Ad}_{\tilde{g}}(\mathfrak{l}) \subseteq \mathfrak{l}$, in the notation of (37). Thus, if $C_{\tilde{g}} : G \rightarrow G$ is the conjugation action of \tilde{g} , one has $C_{\tilde{g}}(\mathfrak{e}^l) \subseteq L$, whence $C_{\tilde{g}}(L) \subseteq L$ since L is connected—and thus generated by $\mathfrak{e}^l \subseteq L$. Moreover, the coset $g_L := \tilde{g}L \in W_L$ does *not* depend on the choice of the lift, because $T \subseteq L$. This yields a well-defined function $F = F_\phi : N_W(\mathfrak{t}_\phi) \rightarrow W_L$, which is tautologically a group morphism. Now suppose that $g \in W_{\mathfrak{t}_\phi} \subseteq N_W(\mathfrak{t}_\phi)$. Then $\tilde{g} \in N_L(T) \subseteq L$, e.g., by [51, Lem. 2.2], and so $g_L \in W_L$ is trivial. Conversely, if $g \in N_W(\mathfrak{t}_\phi)$ is such that the coset g_L is trivial, then \tilde{g} actually lies in $L = G^A$, and so $g(A) = A$; loc. cit. then also implies that $g \in W_{\mathfrak{t}_\phi}$. Overall, there is an exact group sequence $1 \rightarrow W_{\mathfrak{t}_\phi} \rightarrow N_W(\mathfrak{t}_\phi) \xrightarrow{F} W_L$. To prove surjectivity, choose any element $g \in W_L$, and lift it to an element $\tilde{g} \in N_G(L)$. It follows that $\text{Ad}_{\tilde{g}} \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ restricts to an automorphism of \mathfrak{l} , and so it maps the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{t} \subseteq \mathfrak{l}$ to another Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{t}' := \text{Ad}_{\tilde{g}}(\mathfrak{t})$. But all the Cartan subalgebras of \mathfrak{l} are conjugated, since \mathfrak{l} is reductive [38, Thm. 2.1.11];⁽³³⁾ thus there exists an element $\tilde{g}' \in L$ such that $\text{Ad}_{\tilde{g}'}(\mathfrak{t}') = \mathfrak{t}$, and in turn

$$\text{Ad}_{\tilde{g}''}(\mathfrak{t}) = \mathfrak{t}, \quad \tilde{g}'' := \tilde{g}\tilde{g}' \in N_G(L).$$

Again one has $C_{\tilde{g}''}(T) \subseteq T$, and so the element $g'' := \tilde{g}''T \in W$ is well-defined. The corresponding permutation action on the roots now preserves $\phi \subseteq \Phi$, and in the end $F(g'') = g \in W_L$.

As for the other group isomorphism, choose again an element $g \in N_W(\mathfrak{t}_\phi) \subseteq W$. Reasoning as above proves that any lift \tilde{g} of g lies in $N_G(T) \cap N_G(T_\phi) \subseteq G$, and so up to the identification $W(\phi) \simeq W_L$ (which was just established) there is an inclusion $W_L \subseteq N_G(T_\phi)/L = N_G(T_\phi)/Z_G(T_\phi)$. The converse follows from the inclusion $N_G(T_\phi) \subseteq N_G(L)$, which is true for abstract reasons: if $\tilde{g} \in G$ normalizes a subgroup of G , then it also normalizes the centralizer of that subgroup.

D.6. Proof of Lem. 8.2.2. — For all $g \in N_W(\mathfrak{t}_\phi)$ one has $gW_{\mathfrak{t}_\phi}g^{-1} \subseteq W_{\mathfrak{t}_\phi}$ (which is implicit in (31)), and the point is proving the opposite inclusion.

Let thus $g \in W$ be an element such that $gW_{\mathfrak{t}_\phi}g^{-1} \subseteq W_{\mathfrak{t}_\phi}$. A priori $W_{\mathfrak{t}_\phi}$ is generated by the reflections of W which act as the identity on \mathfrak{t}_ϕ , but here we rather identify it with the Weyl group of $(\mathfrak{l}_\phi, \mathfrak{t})$: hence $W_{\mathfrak{t}_\phi}$ is generated by the reflections $\sigma_\alpha \in W$ which correspond to the roots $\alpha \in \phi$. If we choose such a root, by hypothesis

⁽³³⁾Loc. cit. is phrased for the Adjoint group of \mathfrak{l} , i.e., for the projectification $P(L) := L/Z(L)$. Incidentally, note that its Lie algebra can be identified with the quotient $\mathfrak{l}/\mathfrak{t}_\phi$.

$g\sigma_\alpha g^{-1} = \sigma_{g(\alpha)} \in W_{\mathfrak{t}_\phi}$, and it follows that $\beta := g(\alpha) \in \phi$. Indeed, if (by contradiction) $\beta \in \Phi \setminus \phi$, then $\mathfrak{t}_\phi \subsetneq H_\beta$, because ϕ is Levi. Thus, one has $g(\phi) \subseteq \phi$ —and $g(\Phi \setminus \phi) \subseteq \Phi \setminus \phi$ —, and so g preserves the kernel of ϕ (and also its stratum, cf. once more [51, Lem. 2.1]).

D.7. Proof of Lem. 8.3.2. — First, since $\mathfrak{t}_\phi \not\subseteq \bigcup_{\Phi \setminus \phi} H_\alpha$ (which would fail for nonlevi subsystems), one has $\alpha_\phi^\vee \neq 0$. Then, by construction, there is a number $c = c_\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ such that

$$(\alpha_\phi^\vee | X) = (\alpha^\vee | X) = c \langle \alpha | X \rangle, \quad \alpha \in \Phi \setminus \phi, \quad X \in \mathfrak{t}_\phi.$$

D.8. Proof of Prop.-Def. 8.3.4. — First, the group extension $1 \rightarrow W_{\mathfrak{t}_\phi} \rightarrow N_W(\mathfrak{t}_\phi) \rightarrow W(\phi) \rightarrow 1$ splits. More precisely, by [59, Cor. 3], one has

$$N_W(\mathfrak{t}_\phi) \simeq W(\Delta_\phi) \rtimes W_{\mathfrak{t}_\phi}, \quad W(\Delta_\phi) := \{ g \in W \mid g(\Delta_\phi) \subseteq \Delta_\phi \}.$$

Now the conclusions follow from Thm. 6 and Cor. 7 of op. cit., identifying $W(\phi) \simeq W(\Delta_\phi)$ as groups. (The definition of the involutions $\sigma_\alpha(\Delta_\phi)$ can be extracted from the paragraphs below Cor. 3 and above Thm. 6 of op. cit.)

D.9. Proof of Lem. 9.1.4. — We use [69, Thm. 1.1 and Thm. A], by showing that g_ϕ normalizes $G(\phi)$. (Cf. also [43], which applies in the split case where $g_\phi \in G(\phi)$.)

To this end, note that if $g \in N_W(\mathfrak{t}_\phi)$ then one has $g(\phi) \subseteq \phi$ and $g(\Phi \setminus \phi) \subseteq \Phi \setminus \phi$, cf. [51, Lem 2.2] (this is the W -action on \mathfrak{t}^\vee). Moreover, for $\alpha \in \Phi \setminus \phi$ one has $g_\phi(\alpha_\phi^\vee) = (g(\alpha^\vee))_\phi \in \mathfrak{t}_\phi$, because the inner product of \mathfrak{t} is W -invariant, and the subspace $\mathfrak{t}_\phi \subseteq \mathfrak{t}$ is g -stable. Finally, g_ϕ acts on \mathfrak{t}_ϕ by preserving the restricted inner product, and so

$$g_\phi \sigma_\alpha(\phi) g_\phi^{-1} = \sigma_\beta(\phi), \quad \beta := g(\alpha) \in \Phi \setminus \phi.$$

The conclusion follows, since g_ϕ permutes the generators of (41).

D.10. Proof of Lem. 10.1.2. — Up to choosing a suitable base $\Delta \subseteq \Phi_m(\mathcal{A})$ of simple roots (namely, such that $\Delta \cap \phi \subseteq \phi$ is a base of simple roots for ϕ , cf. Prop. Def. 8.3.4), looking at the Dynkin diagram of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{t}, \Delta)$ shows that ϕ splits into a disjoint union of irreducible type-A root systems.⁽³⁴⁾ Moreover, the restricted set of roots $\{ \alpha_\phi \mid \alpha \in \Phi_m(\mathcal{A}) \setminus \phi \} \subseteq \mathfrak{t}_\phi^\vee$ is a root system of type A, cf., e.g., [52, § 6]: it follows that $G(\phi)$ is the symmetric group generated by the reflections about the diagonals of \mathfrak{t}_ϕ , and by hypothesis the eigenvector \mathcal{A} is out of them all.

⁽³⁴⁾Beware however that in general *not* all the Levi subsystems can be described in terms of sub-diagrams of the Dynkin diagram in a single chosen base of Φ . For example, if we choose the standard one $\Delta = \{ \theta_1, \theta_2 \} := \{ \alpha_{12}, \alpha_{23} \}$ for $\Phi_3(\mathcal{A})$ (in the notation of (67)), then we miss the ‘non-block-diagonal’ Levi subsystem $\phi = \{ \pm \alpha_{13} \} \subseteq \Phi_3(\mathcal{A})$, corresponding to matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} * & 0 & * \\ 0 & * & 0 \\ * & 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{gl}_3(\mathbb{C}).$$

The second statement follows from the fact that $W(\phi) \subseteq G(\phi)$. In turn, this is a consequence of the description of $N_W(\mathfrak{t}_\phi)$ as a wreath product of symmetric groups, cf. [51, § 4] and the classification at the end of [59].

D.11. Proof of Lem. 11.1.2. — Looking again at Dynkin diagrams, any Levi subsystem $\phi \subseteq \Phi_m(\mathbb{B}/\mathbb{C})$ has at most one component isomorphic to a root system of type \mathbb{B}/\mathbb{C} , and then several components of type \mathbb{A} (cf. also [89, § 9]). Moreover, it is shown, e.g., in [52, § 7], that the hyperplane complement (21) is always a complete arrangement of type \mathbb{BC} ,⁽³⁵⁾ and so the first statement follows.

The second statement essentially follows from the classification of [59], but we provide a complete argument here: set simply $W := W_m(\mathbb{BC})$. The point is showing that any signed permutation of $\underline{\mathfrak{m}}^\pm$ preserving the subset $\phi \subseteq \Phi_m(\mathbb{B}/\mathbb{C})$ (for the action (69)), restricts on $\mathfrak{t}_\phi \simeq \tilde{V}_{\mathfrak{m},\phi}$ to a signed permutation of $\underline{\mathfrak{m}}_\phi^\pm$. To this end, one can assume that ϕ has no irreducible component of type \mathbb{B}/\mathbb{C} , since that would be preserved by \mathfrak{g} and the corresponding factor of the reduced permutation be the identity. Then \mathfrak{g} can permute the irreducible type- \mathbb{A} components of ϕ of equal rank,⁽³⁶⁾ and furthermore it can act by a signed permutation within each of them: the former block-permutation operation corresponds to a standard (positive) permutation on the coordinates of vectors of \mathfrak{t}_ϕ , which by the above lies in $G(\phi)$; thus, to conclude we prove that the latter action also corresponds to a signed permutation after restriction. Up to conjugation by W , one can consider a type- \mathbb{A} root subsystem of the form $\phi_k := \{\alpha_{ij} \mid i, j \in \underline{k}^+\} \subseteq \Phi_m(\mathbb{B}/\mathbb{C})$, for an integer $k \leq m$, in the notation of (67). Then a signed permutation $g \in W_k(\mathbb{BC}) \subseteq W$ preserves ϕ_k if and only if $g(i)g(j) > 0$ for all $i \neq j \in \underline{k}^+$, viz., if and only if $g(\underline{k}^+) \subseteq \pm \underline{k}^+$. The subgroup $W_k^\pm \subseteq \mathfrak{S}_k^\pm$ of such permutations fits into a short exact sequence

$$(70) \quad 1 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{S}_k^+ \longrightarrow W_k^\pm \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^\times \longrightarrow 1,$$

where the surjection is obtained by mapping $g \mapsto \text{sgn}(g(1))$. The sequence (70) splits by mapping $\pm 1 \mapsto (g : i \mapsto \pm i)$, and the image of this section is a central subgroup of W_k^\pm . Hence, there is a group isomorphism $W_k^\pm \simeq \mathfrak{S}_k^+ \times \mathbb{Z}^\times$, and the leftmost factor corresponds to the Weyl group of the type- \mathbb{A} component, which acts trivially on \mathfrak{t}_ϕ as in the previous section. Finally, the sign-swapping permutation corresponds precisely to inverting the sign of a coordinate, which is an element of the Weyl group $G(\phi)$ —of type $\mathbb{BC}_{\mathfrak{m},\phi}$.

⁽³⁵⁾In this case, however, the restricted set of complementary roots is *not* always a root system, cf. Rmk. 9.1.1. Rather, it ‘interpolates’ between $\Phi_{\mathfrak{m},\phi}(\mathbb{B})$ and $\Phi_{\mathfrak{m},\phi}(\mathbb{BC})$, in the notation of (68), cf. [52, Thm. 7.1].

⁽³⁶⁾Including the ‘trivial components’: cf. [52, 89], and recall that these components yield a \mathbb{C} -basis of \mathfrak{t}_ϕ .

D.12. Proof of Lem. 12.1.2. — Looking one last time at Dynkin diagrams, all Levi subsystems $\phi \subseteq \Phi_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbb{D})$ have at most one irreducible type-D component, and several type-A ones: let us suppose that a type-D component appears. Then it is shown in [52, § 8] that (21) is the complement of a ‘complete’ reflection arrangement of type BC,⁽³⁷⁾ and now the proof D.11 applies verbatim. (It does not matter whether there are constraints on the signed permutation *before* restriction, since $G(\phi)$ consists precisely of all the signed permutations in dimension \mathfrak{m}_{ϕ} .)

D.13. Proof of Lem. 12.1.4. — The point is that when $\mathfrak{p} = 0$ in (50) then it might happen that $\mathfrak{g}_{\phi} \notin G(\phi)$. Namely, the proof D.11 can be adapted to construct group elements $g \in W_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbb{D})$ such that $\mathfrak{g}_{\phi} \in \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{m}_{\phi}}^{\pm}$ is a signed permutation with an odd number of negative cycles, and so $W(\phi) \subseteq W_{\mathfrak{m}}(\text{BC})$; and there can be equality, as follows.

Suppose that \mathfrak{m} is even, say $\mathfrak{m} = 2\mathfrak{p}'$ for an integer $\mathfrak{p}' \geq 1$, and then consider the (nongeneric) vector

$$\mathbf{A} := (1, 1, 2, 2, \dots, \mathfrak{p}', \mathfrak{p}', -1, -1, -2, -2, \dots, -\mathfrak{p}', -\mathfrak{p}') \in \widetilde{V}_{\mathfrak{m}}.$$

Its Levi annihilator is isomorphic to $\Phi_2(\mathbf{A})^{\oplus \mathfrak{p}'} \subseteq \Phi_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbb{D})$, and its stratum is a copy of $\mathcal{M}^*(0, \mathfrak{p}') \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{\mathfrak{p}'} \simeq \mathfrak{t}_{\phi}$, in the notation of (50). Now permuting the components of ϕ induces the whole of the action of $W_{\mathfrak{p}'}(\mathbf{A}) \simeq \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{p}'}^+$ on $\mathfrak{t}_{\phi} \simeq \mathbb{C}^{\mathfrak{p}'}$. Moreover, the following elements restrict to the corresponding sign-swap for each coordinate of the (canonical) basis of \mathfrak{t}_{ϕ} :

$$\mathfrak{g}_i := (2i - 1 \mid 1 - 2i)(2i \mid -2i) \in W_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbb{D}), \quad i \in \underline{\mathfrak{p}'}^+.$$

In conclusion, one has $(\phi) \simeq \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{p}'}^+ \wr \mathbb{Z}^{\times} \simeq W_{\mathfrak{p}'}(\text{BC})$, and $G(\phi) \simeq W_{\mathfrak{p}'}(\mathbb{D})$ is now a proper (normal) subgroup.

References

- [1] D. Allcock, *Normalizers of parabolic subgroups of Coxeter groups*, *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* **12** (2012), no. 2, 1137–1143.
- [2] N. Amend, P. Deligne, and G. Röhrle, *On the $K(\pi, 1)$ -problem for restrictions of complex reflection arrangements*, *Compos. Math.* **156** (2020), no. 3, 526–532.
- [3] J. E. Andersen, A. Malusà, and G. Rembado, *Genus-one complex quantum Chern–Simons theory*, *J. Symplectic Geom.* **20** (2022), no. 6, 1215–1253.
- [4] ———, *$Sp(1)$ -symmetric hyperkähler quantisation*, *Pacific J. Math.* **329** (2024), no. 1, 1–38.
- [5] D. G. Babbitt and V. S. Varadarajan, *Formal reduction theory of meromorphic differential equations: a group theoretic view*, *Pacific J. Math.* **109** (1983), no. 1, 1–80.
- [6] W. Balsler, W. B. Jurkat, and D. A. Lutz, *A general theory of invariants for meromorphic differential equations. I. Formal invariants*, *Funkcial. Ekvac.* **22** (1979), no. 2, 197–221.

⁽³⁷⁾Again, the set of restricted roots is *not* in general a root system, cf. [52, Thm. 8.1].

- [7] D. Bessis, *Finite complex reflection arrangements are $K(\pi, 1)$* , Ann. of Math. (2) **181** (2015), no. 3, 809–904.
- [8] D. Bessis, F. Digne, and J. Michel, *Springer theory in braid groups and the Birman–Ko–Lee monoid*, Pacific J. Math. **205** (2002), no. 2, 287–309.
- [9] P. P. Boalch, *Counting the fission trees and nonabelian Hodge graphs*, [arXiv:2410.23358](https://arxiv.org/abs/2410.23358), 23 pp.
- [10] ———, *From Klein to Painlevé via Fourier, Laplace and Jimbo*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **90** (2005), no. 1, 167–208.
- [11] ———, *List of the known algebraic solutions of Painlevé VI*, 2006, p.18 of the slides [I–II](#) (71pp., talks [I–II](#) on ‘Algebraic solutions of the Painlevé equations’, Isaac Newton Institute) and p.24 of [arXiv:0707.3375](https://arxiv.org/abs/0707.3375) (28pp.).
- [12] ———, *Through the analytic Halo: fission via irregular singularities*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **59** (2009), no. 7, 2669–2684.
- [13] ———, *Geometry and braiding of Stokes data; fission and wild character varieties*, Ann. of Math. (2) **179** (2014), no. 1, 301–365.
- [14] ———, *Topology of the Stokes phenomenon*, Integrability, quantization, and geometry. I. Integrable systems, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 103.1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2021, pp. 55–100.
- [15] P. P. Boalch, J. Douçot, and G. Rembado, *Twisted local wild mapping class groups: configuration spaces, fission trees and complex braids*, Publications of the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences (in press).
- [16] P. P. Boalch and D. Yamakawa, *Twisted wild character varieties*, [arXiv:1512.08091](https://arxiv.org/abs/1512.08091), 26 pp.
- [17] ———, *Diagrams for nonabelian Hodge spaces on the affine line*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **358** (2020), no. 1, 59–65.
- [18] C. Bonnafé, *Actions of relative Weyl groups. I*, J. Group Theory **7** (2004), no. 1, 1–37.
- [19] ———, *Actions of relative Weyl groups. II*, J. Group Theory **8** (2005), no. 3, 351–387.
- [20] R. E. Borcherds, *Coxeter groups, Lorentzian lattices, and K3 surfaces*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices (1998), no. 19, 1011–1031.
- [21] N. Bourbaki, *Éléments de mathématique. Fasc. XXXVII. Groupes et algèbres de Lie. Chapitres IV–VI*, Hermann, Paris, 1968.
- [22] E. Brieskorn, *Die Fundamentalgruppe des Raumes der regulären Orbits einer endlichen komplexen Spiegelungsgruppe*, Invent. Math. **12** (1971), 57–61.
- [23] ———, *Sur les groupes de tresses [d’après V. I. Arnol’d]*, Séminaire Bourbaki, Exp. No. 401, 1973, pp. 21–44. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 317.
- [24] B. Brink and R. B. Howlett, *Normalizers of parabolic subgroups in Coxeter groups*, Invent. Math. **136** (1999), no. 2, 323–351.
- [25] M. Broué, *Reflection groups, braid groups, Hecke algebras, finite reductive groups*, Current developments in mathematics, 2000, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2001, pp. 1–107.
- [26] ———, *Introduction to complex reflection groups and their braid groups*, 2008, A course at UC Berkeley, available [here](#).
- [27] ———, *Introduction to complex reflection groups and their braid groups*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1988, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2010.
- [28] M. Broué and G. Malle, *Théorèmes de Sylow génériques pour les groupes réductifs sur les corps finis*, Math. Ann. **292** (1992), no. 2, 241–262.

- [29] M. Broué, G. Malle, and J. Michel, *Generic blocks of finite reductive groups*, no. 212, 1993, Représentations unipotentes génériques et blocs des groupes réductifs finis, pp. 7–92.
- [30] ———, *Towards spetses. I*, vol. 4, 1999, Dedicated to the memory of Claude Chevalley, pp. 157–218.
- [31] ———, *Split spetses for primitive reflection groups*, Astérisque (2014), no. 359, vi+146, With an erratum to [MR1712862].
- [32] M. Broué, G. Malle, and R. Rouquier, *Complex reflection groups, braid groups, Hecke algebras*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **500** (1998), 127–190.
- [33] M. Broué and J. Michel, *Sur certains éléments réguliers des groupes de Weyl et les variétés de Deligne–Lusztig associées*, Finite reductive groups (Luminy, 1994), Progr. Math., vol. 141, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1997, pp. 73–139.
- [34] Michel Broué and Gunter Malle, *Zyklotomische Heckealgebren*, no. 212, 1993, Représentations unipotentes génériques et blocs des groupes réductifs finis, pp. 119–189.
- [35] D. Calaque, G. Felder, G. Rembado, and R. A. Wentworth, *Wild orbits and generalised singularity modules: stratifications and quantisation*, [arXiv:2402.03278](https://arxiv.org/abs/2402.03278), 112pp.
- [36] R. W. Carter, *Conjugacy classes in the Weyl group*, Compositio Math. **25** (1972), 1–59.
- [37] C. Chevalley, *Invariants of finite groups generated by reflections*, Amer. J. Math. **77** (1955), 778–782.
- [38] D. H. Collingwood and W. M. McGovern, *Nilpotent orbits in semisimple Lie algebras*, Van Nostrand Reinhold Mathematics Series, Van Nostrand Reinhold Co., New York, 1993.
- [39] P. Crooks, *Complex adjoint orbits in Lie theory and geometry*, Expo. Math. **37** (2019), no. 2, 104–144.
- [40] M. Cuntz and B. Mühlherr, *A classification of generalized root systems*, [arXiv:2402.00278](https://arxiv.org/abs/2402.00278), 11 pp.
- [41] P. Deligne, *Les immeubles des groupes de tresses généralisés*, Invent. Math. **17** (1972), 273–302.
- [42] P. Deligne and D. Mumford, *The irreducibility of the space of curves of given genus*, Inst. Hautes études Sci. Publ. Math. (1969), no. 36, 75–109.
- [43] J. Denef and F. Loeser, *Regular elements and monodromy of discriminants of finite reflection groups*, Indag. Math. (N.S.) **6** (1995), no. 2, 129–143.
- [44] V. V. Deodhar, *On the root system of a Coxeter group*, Comm. Algebra **10** (1982), no. 6, 611–630.
- [45] D. I. Deriziotis, *The Brauer complex and its applications to the Chevalley groups*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Warwick, 1977.
- [46] I. Dimitrov and R. Fiorenza, *Generalized root systems*, [arXiv:2308.0685](https://arxiv.org/abs/2308.0685), 40 pp.
- [47] J. Douçot, *Basic representations of genus zero nonabelian Hodge spaces*, [arXiv:2409.12864](https://arxiv.org/abs/2409.12864), 36 pp.
- [48] ———, *Diagrams and irregular connections on the Riemann sphere*, [arXiv:2107.02516](https://arxiv.org/abs/2107.02516), 42 pp.
- [49] ———, *Simplification of exponential factors of irregular connections on \mathbb{P}^1* , [arXiv:2503.16102](https://arxiv.org/abs/2503.16102), 19 pp.
- [50] J. Douçot and A. Hohl, *A topological algorithm for the Fourier transform of Stokes data at infinity*, [arXiv:2402.05108](https://arxiv.org/abs/2402.05108), 33 pp.

- [51] J. Douçot and G. Rembado, *Topology of irregular isomonodromy times on a fixed pointed curve*, [Transformation Groups](#) (2023), 33pp.
- [52] J. Douçot, G. Rembado, and M. Tamiozzo, *Local wild mapping class groups and cabled braids*, *Annales de l'Institut Fourier* (in press).
- [53] ———, *Moduli spaces of untwisted wild Riemann surfaces*, [arXiv:2403.18505](#), 15pp.
- [54] B. Dubrovin and M. Mazzocco, *Monodromy of certain Painlevé-VI transcendents and reflection groups*, *Invent. Math.* **141** (2000), no. 1, 55–147.
- [55] E. Fadell and L. Neuwirth, *Configuration spaces*, *Math. Scand.* **10** (1962), 111–118.
- [56] G. Felder and G. Rembado, *Singular modules for affine Lie algebras, and applications to irregular WZNW conformal blocks*, *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* **29** (2023), no. 1, Paper No. 15.
- [57] J. S. Frame, *The classes and representations of the groups of 27 lines and 28 bitangents*, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)* **32** (1951), 83–119.
- [58] R. Garnier, *Sur des équations différentielles du troisième ordre dont l'intégrale générale est uniforme et sur une classe d'équations nouvelles d'ordre supérieur dont l'intégrale générale a ses points critiques fixes*, *Ann. Sci. école Norm. Sup. (3)* **29** (1912), 1–126.
- [59] R. B. Howlett, *Normalizers of parabolic subgroups of reflection groups*, *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* **21** (1980), no. 1, 62–80.
- [60] K. Iwasaki, *A modular group action on cubic surfaces and the monodromy of the Painlevé VI equation*, *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.* **78** (2002), no. 7, 131–135.
- [61] M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, and K. Ueno, *Monodromy preserving deformation of linear ordinary differential equations with rational coefficients. I. General theory and τ -function*, *Phys. D* **2** (1981), no. 2, 306–352.
- [62] N. M. Katz, *On the calculation of some differential Galois groups*, *Invent. Math.* **87** (1987), no. 1, 13–61.
- [63] T. P. Kezlan and N. H. Rhee, *A characterization of the centralizer of a permutation*, *Missouri J. Math. Sci.* **11** (1999), no. 3, 158–163.
- [64] M. Klimeš, *Wild monodromy of the Fifth Painlevé equation and its action on wild character variety: an approach of confluence*, *Annales de l'Institut Fourier*, vol. 74, 2024, pp. 121–192.
- [65] A. Landesman and D. Litt, *Canonical representations of surface groups*, *Annals of Mathematics* **199** (2024), no. 2, 823–897.
- [66] G. I. Lehrer, *Poincaré polynomials for unitary reflection groups*, *Invent. Math.* **120** (1995), no. 3, 411–425.
- [67] ———, *A new proof of Steinberg's fixed-point theorem*, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* (2004), no. 28, 1407–1411.
- [68] G. I. Lehrer and T. A. Springer, *Intersection multiplicities and reflection subquotients of unitary reflection groups. I*, *Geometric group theory down under* (Canberra, 1996), de Gruyter, Berlin, 1999, pp. 181–193.
- [69] ———, *Reflection subquotients of unitary reflection groups*, *Canad. J. Math.* **51** (1999), no. 6, 1175–1193.
- [70] O. Lisovyy and Y. Tykhyy, *Algebraic solutions of the sixth painlevé equation*, *Journal of Geometry and Physics* **85** (2014), 124–163.
- [71] G. Lusztig, *Coxeter orbits and eigenspaces of Frobenius*, *Invent. Math.* **38** (1976/77), no. 2, 101–159.

- [72] ———, *Intersection cohomology complexes on a reductive group*, *Invent. Math.* **75** (1984), no. 2, 205–272.
- [73] B. Malgrange, *Sur les déformations isomonodromiques. I. Singularités régulières*, *Cours de l'institut Fourier*, no. 17, pp. 1–26, Institut des Mathématiques Pures - Université Scientifique et Médicale de Grenoble, 1982.
- [74] ———, *La classification des connexions irrégulières à une variable*, *Mathematics and physics* (Paris, 1979/1982), *Progr. Math.*, vol. 37, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1983, pp. 381–399.
- [75] ———, *Équations différentielles à coefficients polynomiaux*, *Progress in Mathematics*, vol. 96, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1991.
- [76] G. Malle, *Spetses*, *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. II* (Berlin, 1998), 1998, pp. 87–96.
- [77] ———, *On the generic degrees of cyclotomic algebras*, *Represent. Theory* **4** (2000), 342–369.
- [78] J. Martinet and J.-P. Ramis, *Elementary acceleration and multisummability. I*, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Phys. Théor.* **54** (1991), no. 4, 331–401.
- [79] K. Muraleedaran and D. E. Taylor, *Normalisers of parabolic subgroups in finite unitary reflection groups*, *J. Algebra* **504** (2018), 479–505.
- [80] T. Nakamura, *A note on the $K(\pi, 1)$ property of the orbit space of the unitary reflection group $G(m, l, n)$* , *Sci. Papers College Arts Sci. Univ. Tokyo* **33** (1983), no. 1, 1–6.
- [81] K. Nuida, *On centralizers of parabolic subgroups in Coxeter groups*, *J. Group Theory* **14** (2011), no. 6, 891–930.
- [82] P. Orlik and L. Solomon, *Unitary reflection groups and cohomology*, *Invent. Math.* **59** (1980), no. 1, 77–94.
- [83] ———, *Discriminants in the invariant theory of reflection groups*, *Nagoya Math. J.* **109** (1988), 23–45.
- [84] P. Orlik and H. Terao, *Arrangements of hyperplanes*, *Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*, vol. 300, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
- [85] G. Paolini and M. Salvetti, *Proof of the $K(\pi, 1)$ conjecture for affine Artin groups*, *Invent. Math.* **224** (2021), no. 2, 487–572.
- [86] E. Paul and J.-P. Ramis, *Dynamics on wild character varieties*, *SIGMA. Symmetry, Integrability and Geometry: Methods and Applications* **11** (2015), 068.
- [87] G. Rembado, *Simply-laced quantum connections generalising KZ*, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **368** (2019), no. 1, 1–54.
- [88] ———, *Symmetries of the simply-laced quantum connections and quantisation of quiver varieties*, *SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl.* **16** (2020), Paper No. 103, 44 pages.
- [89] ———, *A colourful classification of (quasi) root systems and hyperplane arrangements*, *J. Lie Theory* **34** (2024), no. 2, 385–422.
- [90] L. Schlesinger, *Über eine Klasse von Differentialsystemen beliebiger Ordnung mit festen kritischen Punkten*, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **141** (1912), 96–145.
- [91] T. Schoenenberg, *Answer to Mathematics Stack Exchange question 3968855*, 2021.
- [92] J. Schur, *über die Darstellung der endlichen Gruppen durch gebrochen lineare Substitutionen*, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **127** (1904), 20–50.
- [93] J.-P. Serre, *Local fields*, *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, vol. 67, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1979, Translated from the French by Marvin Jay Greenberg.

- [94] G. C. Shephard and J. A. Todd, *Finite unitary reflection groups*, *Canad. J. Math.* **6** (1954), 274–304.
- [95] O. V. Shvartsman, *Torsion in the quotient group of the Artin–Brieskorn braid group with respect to the center, and regular Springer numbers*, *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.* **30** (1996), no. 1, 39–46, 96.
- [96] W. Specht, *Darstellungstheorie der Hyperoktaedergruppe*, *Math. Z.* **42** (1937), no. 1, 629–640.
- [97] T. A. Springer, *Regular elements of finite reflection groups*, *Invent. Math.* **25** (1974), 159–198.
- [98] R. Steinberg, *Differential equations invariant under finite reflection groups*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **112** (1964), 392–400.
- [99] ———, *Endomorphisms of linear algebraic groups*, *Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. No. 80, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1968.
- [100] È.Ā. Vinberg, *The Weyl group of a graded Lie algebra*, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* **40** (1976), no. 3, 488–526, 709.
- [101] G. E. Wall, *On the conjugacy classes in the unitary, symplectic and orthogonal groups*, *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* **3** (1963), 1–62.
- [102] D. Yamakawa, *Quantization of simply-laced isomonodromy systems by the quantum spectral curve method*, *SUT J. Math.* **58** (2022), no. 1, 23–50.
- [103] A. Young, *On Quantitative Substitutional Analysis*, *Proc. London Math. Soc. (2)* **31** (1930), no. 7, 556.

J. DOUÇOT, ‘Simion Stoilow’ Institute of Mathematics of the Romanian Academy, Calea Griviței 21, 010702-Bucharest, Sector 1, Romania • *E-mail* : jeandoucot@gmail.com

G. REMBADO, Institut Montpellierain Alexander Grothendieck, University of Montpellier, Place Eugène Bataillon, 34090 Montpellier, France • *E-mail* : gabriele.rembado@umontpellier.fr

D. YAMAKAWA, Department of Mathematics, Tokyo University of Science, Faculty of Science Division I, 1-3 Kagurazaka, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 162-8601, Japan • *E-mail* : yamakawa@rs.tus.ac.jp