Copositive geometry of Feynman integrals

Bernd Sturmfels and Máté L. Telek

Dedicated to the memory of Victoria Powers

Abstract

Copositive matrices and copositive polynomials are objects from optimization. We connect these to the geometry of Feynman integrals in physics. The integral is guaranteed to converge if its kinematic parameters lie in the copositive cone. Pólya's method makes this manifest. We study the copositive cone for the second Symanzik polynomial of any Feynman graph. Its algebraic boundary is described by Landau discriminants.

1 Introduction

When evaluating the integral of a rational function, convergence is related to positivity properties of its denominator polynomial. For an example, consider the univariate integral

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{x(x+1)^2}{(x^2 - (2-\epsilon)x + 1)^3} dx.$$
 (1)

This integral converges if and only if ϵ is positive, so the denominator is positive for $x \ge 0$. Integrals in geometry are often written in homogeneous coordinates. This equates (1) with

$$\int_{\mathbb{P}^{1}_{>0}} \frac{x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2} (x_{1} + x_{2})^{2}}{(x_{1}^{2} - (2 - \epsilon) x_{1} x_{2} + x_{2}^{2})^{3}} \Omega \quad \text{where} \quad \Omega = \frac{dx_{1}}{x_{1}} - \frac{dx_{2}}{x_{2}}.$$
 (2)

The title of this paper is a nod to physics. Feynman integrals [27] are building blocks for scattering amplitudes [4, 17, 19]. They take the form shown in (6) below. The integral $I_G(z)$ is derived from a Feynman graph G with n edges and ℓ loops. The integrand contains the two Symanzik polynomials \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{F}_z . These are polynomials in $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, of degrees ℓ and $\ell + 1$ respectively, and \mathcal{F}_z depends linearly on a vector z of kinematic parameters.

Copositive geometry is a wordplay which connects positive geometry [25] to copositivity in optimization [13, 21, 26]. A homogeneous polynomial is *copositive* if it is nonnegative on the positive orthant. A symmetric matrix is copositive if the associated quadratic form is copositive [20]. Our object of study is the *copositive cone* C_G of a Feynman graph G. This is the set of parameter vectors z for which the second Symanzik polynomial \mathcal{F}_z is copositive.

This project started from our attempt to understand the Euclidean region of a graph G. This refers to a region in z-space where the Feynman integral $I_G(z)$ converges. Details vary across the physics literature. We opted for the definition of Henn and Raman in [17], which says that the Euclidean region is precisely the copositive cone C_G . In [17, Section 3.1], they write that "the general question of determining the Euclidean region is an interesting open question". The purpose of this article is to suggest mathematical answers to that question.

We study the copositive cone C_G in the general setting when all particles are massive. This ensures that every variable x_i occurs to the second power in $\mathcal{F}_z(x)$. It is that feature which makes our problem interesting. If one restricts to massless particles, then C_G becomes a polyhedral cone. That case is not interesting for us. In this paper, the copositive cone C_G is always nonlinear. Its boundary is given algebraically by *Landau discriminants* [14, 19].

We present a small example which illustrates the various ingredients for our discussion.

Example 1.1 (Bubble diagram). Consider the following Feynman graph G with $\ell = 1$ loop:



This describes a scattering process with four external particles and n = 2 internal particles, with masses m_1 and m_2 . The graph has two spanning trees and one spanning 2-forest:



The spanning trees yield the polynomial $\mathcal{U}(x) = x_1 + x_2$ which has degree $\ell = 1$. The second Symanzik polynomial has degree $\ell + 1 = 2$, and it depends on three kinematic parameters:

$$\mathcal{F}_{z}(x) = \mathcal{U}(x) \cdot (m_{1}x_{1} + m_{2}x_{2}) - s \cdot x_{1}x_{2} = m_{1}x_{1}^{2} + (m_{1} + m_{2} - s) \cdot x_{1}x_{2} + m_{2}x_{2}^{2}.$$
 (4)

A parameter vector $z = (m_1, m_2, s) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ satisfies $\mathcal{F}_z(x) \ge 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^2_{\ge 0}$ if and only if

$$m_1, m_2 \ge 0$$
 and $[m_1 + m_2 \ge s \text{ or } 4m_1m_2 \ge (m_1 + m_2 - s)^2].$ (5)

This condition describes a closed convex cone in \mathbb{R}^3 . This is the copositivity cone \mathcal{C}_G for (3).

The integral in (2) equals the Feynman integral $I_G(z)$ for $m_1 = m_2 = 1$ and $s = 4 - \epsilon$. The point z is in the interior of C_G if and only if $\epsilon > 0$. This can be made manifest by *Pólya's method* [23], namely we certify copositivity by showing that $\mathcal{F}_z(x)$ times $(x_1 + x_2)^N$ has positive coefficients, for $N \gg 0$. By [24, page 222], the smallest integer we can take is

$$N = 2\left\lceil \frac{2}{\epsilon} \right\rceil - 3.$$

See Figure 1 for a cross section of the copositive cone C_G . The left diagram shows the set $C_G \cap \{3m_1 + 3m_2 = 6 + s\}$. This overlapping union of a triangle and an ellipse is not a basic semi-algebraic set. Thus C_G is a spectrahedral shadow but it is not a spectrahedron.



Figure 1: The copositive cone for the bubble diagram is a cone over triangle union circle.

We now present the organization of this paper, and we highlight our main results. Section 2 gives a self-contained introduction to Feynman graphs, their kinematic parameters z, and the two Symanzik polynomials. These specify the integrand in the Feynman integral $I_G(z)$. Generalizing Example 1.1, we discuss how the convergence of $I_G(z)$ depends on z.

Most of the literature on copositive optimization centers around quadratic forms and symmetric matrices. Even in this case, copositive geometry is highly nontrivial; see e.g. [3, 12, 26]. For instance, testing membership in C_G is an NP-hard problem. In Section 3 we transfer this hardness to the physics context. In Theorem 3.2, we prove that every quadratic form arises from a Feynman graph with one loop for some choice of kinematic parameters.

Section 4 offers case studies for small Feynman graphs which are popular in the literature, notably at the interface of physics and number theory. The simplest of these are the banana graphs, which relate to Calabi-Yau varieties [27, Chapter 14]. Theorem 4.1 gives an explicit description of the copositive cone for any banana graph. We also explore the double box, non-planar double box, and the beetle, as in [11, Section IV]. For us, all particles are massive.

In Section 5 we develop copositive geometry in the general setting of Gel'fand, Kapranov and Zelevinsky [15]. For any support set \mathcal{A} , the copositive cone $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is dual to the cone over the positive toric variety, and its boundary is contained in the principal \mathcal{A} -determinant. The specialization to Feynman polynomials leads us to the theory in [14, 19]. We show that the algebraic boundary of \mathcal{C}_G is a subvariety of the principal Landau determinant. The distinction between $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and \mathcal{C}_G is worked out in detail for the parachute graph (Example 5.6).

In Section 6 we prove that Pólya certificates [23] exist for all interior points of the copositive cone C_G . This rests on the special structure of Feynman polytopes, which makes our problem amenable to *Pólya's Theorem with Zeros*, due to Castle, Powers and Reznick [9]. Theorem 6.1 states these apply to all Feynman graphs G and all kinematic parameters z. The dedication of this paper recognizes the importance of Vicki Powers' contributions [9, 24].

For any Feynman graph G and specific kinematic parameters z, one seeks to decide whether z lies in C_G or not. In either case, the outcome should be made manifest. Section 7 is devoted to an algorithm for making that decision, and for producing the desired certificates. We focus on practical tools, and we present a proof-of-concept implementation of our algorithm in the Julia package CopositiveFeynman.jl. The code is made available in the MathRepo collection at MPI-MiS via https://mathrepo.mis.mpg.de/CopositiveFeynman.

This article points to many possibilities for future research. These promise new connections between theoretical physics, polynomial optimization, and applied algebraic geometry.

2 Graphs, Polynomials, and Integrals

In this section, we review some basics on Feynman integrals, following the text book [27] and the articles [4, 5, 17, 19]. There are many equivalent ways to write a Feynman integral [27, Section 2.5]. We use the *Feynman parameter representation*, in which the integral reads

$$I_G(z) = \frac{\Gamma(\sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i - \ell D/2)}{\prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma(\nu_i)} \int_{\mathbb{P}_{>0}^{n-1}} \frac{(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{\nu_i}) \mathcal{U}(x)^{|\nu| - (\ell+1)D/2}}{\mathcal{F}_z(x)^{|\nu| - \ell D/2}} \Omega.$$
(6)

An example with $n = 2, \ell = 1, \nu = (2, 2)$ and D = 2 can be seen in equations (2) and (3).

We now explain the parts of (6). The integration domain is the *positive projective orthant*

$$\mathbb{P}_{>0}^{n-1} := \left\{ \left[x_1 \colon \dots \colon x_n \right] \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^{n-1} \mid x_1 > 0, \dots, x_n > 0 \right\},$$
(7)

and we integrate against the differential form $\Omega = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{n-i} \frac{dx_1}{x_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{dx_i}{x_i} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{dx_n}{x_n}$. The notation $\frac{dx_i}{x_i}$ indicates that $\frac{dx_i}{x_i}$ is excluded from the product of 1-forms. The exponents ν_1, \ldots, ν_n are nonnegative real numbers, and $|\nu| := \nu_1 + \cdots + \nu_n$. The integer D is the space-time dimension. The prefactor is a constant, written with Euler's Gamma function Γ .

The integrand in (6) is derived from a Feynman graph. This is a graph G with vertex set V and edge set E. The valency of a vertex $v \in V$ is the number of edges $e \in E$ incident to v. If v has valency 1, then e_v denotes the unique edge adjacent to v. We call e_v an external edge. All other edges $e \in E$ are internal edges. Given a graph G with N external edges and n internal edges, we associate momentum vectors $p_1, \ldots, p_N \in \mathbb{R}^D$ to the external edges and (squared) internal masses $m_1, \ldots, m_n \in \mathbb{R}$ to the internal edges. A Feynman graph is a connected graph G equipped with external momentum vectors and internal masses. When these are clear from the context, we may identify the Feynman graph with the graph G.

We write ℓ for the number of independent cycles in the graph G. In the context of Feynman graphs, ℓ is called the number of *loops* of G. Since G is connected, we have

$$\ell = \#E - \#V + 1.$$

It remains to define the graph polynomials $\mathcal{U}(x)$ and $\mathcal{F}_z(x)$. The variables x_1, \ldots, x_n are associated to the internal edges of G. A spanning tree of G is a connected subgraph without cycles that contains all vertices of G. We write \mathcal{T} for the set of all spanning trees of G. The first Symanzik polynomial is the following sum of squarefree monomials, all having degree ℓ :

$$\mathcal{U}(x) := \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}} \prod_{e \notin T} x_e$$

In matroid theory, we view $\mathcal{U}(x)$ as the sum over all bases of the cographic matroid of G.

A spanning 2-forest is a subgraph of G that contains all vertices and all external edges, does not contain a cycle, and has two connected component. We write T_1, T_2 for the two connected components of a spanning 2-forest. The set of all spanning 2-forests is denoted by \mathcal{W} . For a spanning 2-forest $\{T_1, T_2\} \in \mathcal{W}$, let $I_{T_1}, I_{T_2} \subset [N]$ be the index sets of the external edges that are attached to T_1 and T_2 respectively. The second Symanzik polynomial is

$$\mathcal{F}_{z}(x) := \sum_{\{T_{1},T_{2}\}\in\mathcal{W}} \left(\sum_{i\in I_{T_{1}}}\sum_{j\in I_{T_{2}}}k_{ij}\right) \prod_{e\notin T_{1}\sqcup T_{2}} x_{e} + \left(\sum_{e=1}^{n}m_{e}x_{e}\right)\mathcal{U}(x).$$
(8)

Here, $k_{ij} = p_i \cdot p_j = p_{i1}p_{j1} - p_{i2}p_{j2} - \cdots - p_{iD}p_{jD}$ denotes the *Minkowski scalar product*. Note that $\mathcal{F}_z(x)$ is homogeneous of degree $\ell + 1$, because each spanning 2-forest is obtained by removing $\ell + 1$ internal edges from G. The monomials that appear give two nice polytopes.

Lemma 2.1. The Newton polytopes of the two Symanzik polynomials have the following descriptions: Newt(\mathcal{U}) is the matroid polytope of the cographic matroid of G, while Newt(\mathcal{F}) is the Minkowski sum of the matroid polytope Newt(\mathcal{U}) with the simplex $\Delta_{n-1} = \text{Newt}(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i)$.

Proof. This follows from the definitions of these polytopes. See also [1, Section IV.A]. \Box

We regard $\mathcal{F}_z(x)$ as a parametrized polynomial. The variables are x_1, \ldots, x_n and the parameter vector z has entries k_{ij} and m_e . The Feynman integral $I_G(z)$ is a function of these parameters. The integral often diverges. Whether this happens or not depends on z. The following sufficient condition for convergence was given by Borinsky in [4, Theorem 3].

Theorem 2.2. Fix a Feynman graph G, and choose $D \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\nu \in \mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$ such that

$$\nu + (|\nu| - (\ell+1)D/2) \operatorname{Newt}(\mathcal{U}(x)) \subseteq \operatorname{relint}\left((|\nu| - \ell D/2) \operatorname{Newt}(\mathcal{F}_z)\right).$$
(9)

If z is in the interior of the copositive cone C_G then the Feynman integral in (6) converges.

For $\nu = (2, 2)$ and D = 2, up to a prefactor, $I_G(z)$ is the integral (2), which we discussed in the introduction. The two polytopes in (9) are conv((2, 4), (4, 2)) and conv((6, 0), (0, 6)).

The convergence in Theorem 2.2 rests on two hypothesis. First, there is combinatorics of polytopes, in the containment relation (9). We can achieve this by choosing D and ν . Second, there is copositive geometry: the denominator polynomial \mathcal{F}_z must be strictly copositive. In [4] and other sources, this is phrased as saying that \mathcal{F}_z is completely non-vanishing on $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}_{>0}$. We will see in Section 5 that this is equivalent to z being in the interior of the cone \mathcal{C}_G .

Theorem 2.2 justifies our argument that the copositive cone replaces what is called the *Euclidean region* in the physics literature; see e.g. [5, Section 2.2] and [27, Section 2.5.1]. Here the authors require that \mathcal{F}_z has positive coefficients. If this holds then z is in \mathcal{C}_G , but for trivial reasons. More interesting are points $z \in int(\mathcal{C}_G)$ for which \mathcal{F}_z has some negative coefficients. This indicates scenarios where the Feynman integral converges unexpectedly.

We now take a closer look at the parameter space in which the copositive cone C_G lives. The internal masses m_1, \ldots, m_n are nonnegative and otherwise unconstrained. The Gram matrix $K = (k_{ij})$ is symmetric and of size $N \times N$. We assume that its row sums are zero, so the matrix has $\binom{N}{2}$ independent entries. This reflects the momentum conservation assumption $p_1 + \cdots + p_N = 0$. In physics, the Gram matrix is also Lorentzian and of rank at most D. The semialgebraic constraints this would impose are studied in [8]. We here relax all inequalities and all rank constraints, and we view $z = (m_e, k_{ij})$ as an arbitrary real vector of length $\binom{N}{2} + n$. In other words, we identify our parameter space with the real vector space $\mathbb{R}^{\binom{N}{2}+n}$.

Proposition 2.3. C_G is a full-dimensional closed semi-algebraic convex cone in $\mathbb{R}^{\binom{N}{2}+n}$.

Proof. For any $u \in \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}$, the inequality $\mathcal{F}_z(u) \geq 0$ is linear in z. By definition, the set \mathcal{C}_G consists of all solutions to this infinite system of linear inequalities. It is therefore a closed convex cone. Tarski's Theorem on Quantifier Elimination implies that \mathcal{C}_G is semi-algebraic, i.e. it can be described by a finite Boolean combination of polynomial inequalities in z. Furthermore, the cone \mathcal{C}_G is full-dimensional because it contains an orthant $\mathbb{R}^{\binom{N}{2}+n}_{>0}$.

Equivalently, the polynomial in (8) is copositive when all of its coefficients are positive.

Remark 2.4. The copositive cone C_G is generally not a pointed cone. It contains a linear subspace of positive dimension. For instance, for the bubble diagram in Example 1.1, the parameter space is \mathbb{R}^8 , with two coordinates m_i and six coordinates k_{ij} . The 8-dimensional cone C_G contains the 5-dimensional linear space defined by $m_1 = m_2 = s = 0$. Here we set $s = -k_{13} - k_{14} - k_{23} - k_{24}$, as seen from (4) and (8). In practice, we work modulo the lineality space. Thus, for the bubble diagram, we regard C_G as the 3-dimensional cone over Figure 1.

3 Copositive Matrices and One-Loop Diagrams

The adjective copositive was coined by Motzkin in his 1952 paper [20]. We write $C_{n,d}$ for the copositive cone in the space $\mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]_d \simeq \mathbb{R}^{\binom{n+d-1}{d}}$ of all homogeneous polynomials of degree d in n variables. The study of $C_{n,d}$ is an active area of research in optimization; see [21, Section 9]. Most of that literature centers around the case of quadratic forms (d = 2). A symmetric $n \times n$ matrix C is called *copositive* if its associated quadratic form $f(x) = x^{\top}Cx$ is copositive [16]. Thus $C_{n,2}$ is the copositive cone in the space $\mathbb{R}^{\binom{n+1}{2}}$ of symmetric matrices.

The cone $C_{n,2}$ is a surprisingly complicated object. Deciding whether a given symmetric $n \times n$ matrix C lies in $C_{n,2}$ is an NP-hard problem. See [13, Section 4]. A wide range of combinatorial optimization problems can reduced to this membership problem. Bomze et al. [3] introduced the term *copositive programming* for optimization problems modeled on $C_{n,2}$.

The copositive cone $C_{n,2}$ has two natural subcones, namely the orthant $\mathbb{R}^{\binom{n+1}{2}}_{\geq 0}$ of matrices with nonnegative entries and the cone PSD_n of positive semidefinite matrices. We have

$$\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{\binom{n+1}{2}} + \mathrm{PSD}_n \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{n,2}.$$
 (10)

A matrix is manifestly copositive if it is the sum of a nonnegative matrix and a positive semidefinite matrix. This certificate always works for $n \leq 4$. Indeed, it is known that the equality holds in (10) for n = 2, 3, 4. However, this fails for $n \geq 5$. Here is a famous example. **Example 3.1** (Horn is manifestly copositive). Let n = 5 and consider the quadratic form $h = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 + x_5^2 - 2(x_1x_2 + x_2x_3 + x_3x_4 + x_4x_5 + x_5x_1) + 2(x_1x_3 + x_2x_4 + x_3x_5 + x_4x_1 + x_5x_2).$ The corresponding symmetric 5×5 matrix is known as the Horn matrix. It equals

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is known that H is not in the left hand side of (10). However, H is copositive, because

$$4(x_1x_2x_4 + x_2x_3x_5 + x_3x_4x_1 + x_4x_5x_2 + x_5x_1x_3) + x_1(x_1 - x_2 + x_3 + x_4 - x_5)^2 + x_2(x_2 - x_3 + x_4 + x_5 - x_1)^2 + x_3(x_3 - x_4 + x_5 + x_1 - x_2)^2 + x_4(x_4 - x_5 + x_1 + x_2 - x_3)^2 + x_5(x_5 - x_1 + x_2 + x_3 - x_4)^2.$$

factors into $h(x) \cdot (x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 + x_5)$. This formula is due to Parrilo [22, Section 5.4].

Example 3.1 suggests that copositivity of a quadratic form h can be made manifest by writing $h(x_1^2, \ldots, x_n^2) \cdot (x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2)^r$ as a sum of squares (SOS) for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$. De Klerk and Pasechnik [12] used this to compute stability numbers of graphs. Vargas [26] proved that an SOS representation always exists for n = 5, but it was shown in [18] that this no longer works for $n \ge 6$. A recent advance by Bodirsky, Kummer and Thom [2] reveals that $\mathcal{C}_{n,2}$ is not a spectrahedral shadow for $n \ge 5$. In spite of these negative results, we still seek practical tools for certifying copositivity. In Section 6 we examine Pólya's classical method [9, 23].

For the remainder of this section, we return to our physics application. In Theorem 3.2 we will show that every quadratic form can be realized by a Feynman graph with $\ell = 1$. This can be viewed as a hardness result for testing the convergence of Feynman integrals.

We now focus on *one-loop Feynman graphs* with n internal edges and n external edges:



The second Symanzik polynomial for the graph in (11) is the quadratic form

$$\mathcal{F}_{z}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_{i} x_{i}^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} \left(m_{i} + m_{j} + \sum_{a \in I_{i}^{j}} \sum_{b \in [n] \setminus I_{i}^{j}} k_{ab} \right) x_{i} x_{j},$$
(12)

where $I_i^j = \{i, \ldots, j-1\}$ for i < j. Our result states that every quadratic polynomial can be obtained as a second Symanzik polynomial for some choice of the kinematic parameters.

Theorem 3.2. For every quadratic form $f \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]_2$, the graph in (11) admits kinematic parameters $z = (k_{ij}, m_e)$ such that f(x) equals the second Symanzik polynomial $\mathcal{F}_z(x)$.

Proof. We start with an arbitrary symmetric $n \times n$ matrix $C = (c_{ij})$ and we write

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=i}^{n} c_{ij} x_i x_j$$

We set $m_i = c_{ii}$ for the masses. With this, the coefficients of x_i^2 in f(x) and in $\mathcal{F}_z(x)$ agree. To match the remaining coefficients, we must solve the following system of linear equations:

$$\sum_{a \in I_i^j} \sum_{b \in [n] \setminus I_i^j} k_{ab} = c_{ij} - c_{ii} - c_{jj} \quad \text{for } 1 \le i < j \le n.$$
(13)

Here $K = (k_{ij})$ is an unknown symmetric $n \times n$ matrix with zero row sums and zero column sums, since we assume momentum conservation. The space of such matrices K is the domain for the linear map $\mathbb{R}^{\binom{n}{2}} \to \mathbb{R}^{\binom{n}{2}}$ given by the left hand side of (13). Our claim states that this linear map is surjective. It suffices to show that every k_{ab} as a linear combination of

$$M_{i,j} := \sum_{u \in I_i^j} \sum_{v \in [n] \setminus I_i^j} k_{uv} \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \le i < j \le n.$$

We prove this claim by induction on a - b. For a - b = 0, momentum conservation implies

$$-M_{a,a+1} = -\sum_{d \in [n] \setminus \{a\}} k_{ad} = k_{aa}$$

Suppose each k_{ab} with $|a-b| \leq q-1$ is a linear combination of the $M_{i,j}$. In the induction step, we show that this is also true for |a-b| = q. By momentum conservation, we have

$$M_{a,a+q+1} = \sum_{c \in I_a^{a+q+1}} \sum_{d \in [n] \setminus I_a^{a+q+1}} k_{cd} = -\sum_{c \in I_a^{a+q+1}} \sum_{d \in I_a^{a+q+1}} k_{cd}$$

This implies

$$k_{a,a+q} = \frac{1}{2} \Big(-M_{a,a+q+1} - \sum_{d \in I_a^{a+q}} k_{ad} - \sum_{c \in I_{a+1}^{a+q}} \sum_{d \in I_a^{a+q+1}} k_{cd} - \sum_{d \in I_{a+1}^{a+q+1}} k_{a+q,d} \Big).$$

By induction, the right-hand side of this equation is a linear combination of the $M_{i,j}$'s. \Box Example 3.3 (Pentagon). Fix the one-loop diagram with five internal edges. Then we have

$$\mathcal{F}_{z}(x) = m_{1}x_{1}^{2} + m_{2}x_{2}^{2} + m_{3}x_{3}^{2} + m_{4}x_{4}^{2} + m_{5}x_{5}^{2} + (m_{1} + m_{2} + k_{12} + k_{13} + k_{14} + k_{15})x_{1}x_{2} \\ + (m_{2} + m_{3} + k_{12} + k_{23} + k_{24} + k_{25})x_{2}x_{3} + (m_{3} + m_{4} + k_{13} + k_{23} + k_{34} + k_{35})x_{3}x_{4} \\ + (m_{4} + m_{5} + k_{14} + k_{24} + k_{34} + k_{45})x_{4}x_{5} + (m_{1} + m_{5} + k_{15} + k_{25} + k_{35} + k_{45})x_{5}x_{1} \\ + (m_{1} + m_{3} + k_{13} + k_{14} + k_{15} + k_{23} + k_{24} + k_{25})x_{1}x_{3} + (m_{1} + m_{4} + k_{14} + k_{15} + k_{24} + k_{25} + k_{34} + k_{35})x_{1}x_{4} \\ + (m_{2} + m_{4} + k_{12} + k_{24} + k_{25} + k_{13} + k_{34} + k_{35})x_{2}x_{4} + (m_{2} + m_{5} + k_{12} + k_{25} + k_{13} + k_{34} + k_{45})x_{2}x_{5} \\ + (m_{3} + m_{5} + k_{13} + k_{23} + k_{35} + k_{14} + k_{24} + k_{45})x_{3}x_{5}.$$

Theorem 3.2 says that this covers every quadratic form. The Horn polynomial h(x) from Example 3.1 equals the second Symanzik polynomial $\mathcal{F}_z(x)$ for $m_1 = m_2 = \cdots = m_5 = 1$ and

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} k_{11} & k_{12} & k_{13} & k_{14} & k_{15} \\ k_{12} & k_{22} & k_{23} & k_{24} & k_{25} \\ k_{13} & k_{23} & k_{33} & k_{34} & k_{35} \\ k_{14} & k_{24} & k_{34} & k_{44} & k_{45} \\ k_{15} & k_{25} & k_{35} & k_{45} & k_{55} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & -4 & 2 & 2 & -4 \\ -4 & 4 & -4 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & -4 & 4 & -4 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & -4 & 4 & -4 \\ -4 & 2 & 2 & -4 & 4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

After Motzkin [20], copositivity of matrices became a popular topic. Numerous articles from the 20th century offer semialgebraic characterizations. See the references in [16]. Each characterization amounts to a non-trivial Boolean combination of inequalities on matrix entries and principal minors, and this is consistent with the 21st century complexity results.

Example 3.4 (Triangle diagram). The triangle G is (11) for n = 3. Every ternary quadric is the second Symanzik polynomial for some choice of kinematic parameters. We thus write

$$\mathcal{F}_{z}(x) = x^{\top}Cx = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{j=1}^{3} c_{ij}x_{i}x_{j}.$$

Hadeler [16, Theorem 4] tells us that the 3×3 matrix $C = (c_{ij})$ is copositive if and only if

$$c_{11} \ge 0, \ c_{22} \ge 0, \ c_{33} \ge 0, \ c_{12} \ge -\sqrt{c_{11}c_{22}}, \ c_{13} \ge -\sqrt{c_{11}c_{33}}, \ c_{23} \ge -\sqrt{c_{22}c_{33}},$$

$$and \quad \left[\det(C) \ge 0 \quad \text{or} \quad c_{12}\sqrt{c_{33}} + c_{13}\sqrt{c_{22}} + c_{23}\sqrt{c_{11}} + \sqrt{c_{11}c_{22}c_{33}} \ge 0 \right].$$

$$(14)$$

Furthermore, the algebraic boundary of C_G is the product of the seven principal minors of C. The disjunction in (14) is analogous to (5), which concerns the case n = 2. We invite our readers to extend these formulas to 4×4 matrices, where G is the *box diagram* (n = 4).

4 Bananas, Boxes and Beetles

The banana graph with n internal edges is the simplest Feynman graph of arbitrarily high genus ℓ . Namely, it has only two vertices connected by n internal edges, and thus $\ell = n-1$. In spite of this simplicity, Feynman integrals of bananas lead to deep mathematical structures. In [27, Section 14.5.3], one starts from mixed Hodge structures and derives banana motives.

The second Symanzik polynomial of the banana graph G with n internal edges is the following homogeneous polynomial of degree n in n variables x_i with parameters $z = (m_i, s)$:

$$\mathcal{F}_z(x) = x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n \cdot (f(x) \cdot g(x) - s),$$

where the factors in the parenthesis are the following linear form and reciprocal linear form:

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i x_i$$
 and $g(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{x_j}$.

The parameters m_1, \ldots, m_n and s represent the masses and momenta of the particles in a scattering process. The hypersurface defined by $\mathcal{F}_z(x) = 0$ in the projective space \mathbb{P}^{n-1} is a Calabi-Yau variety. For instance, for n = 3 this hypersurface is an elliptic curve in \mathbb{P}^2 . This curve depends on four parameters s, m_1, m_2, m_3 , and its discriminant is the quartic in (16). For n = 4, the variety $\{x \in \mathbb{P}^3 : \mathcal{F}_z(x) = 0\}$ is a K3 surface. The Feynman integrals for bananas are period integrals [27, Section 10.3] on Calabi-Yau varieties. A Calabi-Yau variety of dimension 0 consists of two points, as seen for n = 2 in Example 1.1. We here prove:

Theorem 4.1. The copositive cone for the banana graph equals

$$\mathcal{C}_G = \{ (m,s) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : m_1, \dots, m_n \ge 0 \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^n m_i + 2 \cdot \sum_{i < j} \sqrt{m_i} \sqrt{m_j} \ge s \}.$$

It follows that the algebraic boundary of C_G is the union of the *n* coordinate hyperplanes $\{m_i = 0\}$ with an irreducible hypersurface of degree 2^{n-1} .

To prove this result, we consider the polynomial optimization problem

Minimize
$$f(x) \cdot g(x)$$
 subject to $x \in \mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$. (15)

Since the Laurent polynomial f(x)g(x) is homogeneous of degree zero, we can replace the orthant $\mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$ with the open probability simplex $\operatorname{int}(\Delta)$ in the optimization problem (15). The optimal value $v^* = v^*(m_1, \ldots, m_n)$ is an algebraic function of the masses m_1, \ldots, m_n . Note that $v^*(m)$ is positive whenever the coordinates of $m = (m_1, \ldots, m_n)$ are positive. We have:

Lemma 4.2. The optimal value in (15) is given by the following expression in the masses:

$$v^*(m) = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i + 2 \cdot \sum_{i < j} \sqrt{m_i m_j}$$

Proof. The objective function f(x)g(x) is positive on the open simplex $int(\Delta)$ and it tends to infinity on the boundary. Hence the gradient of f(x)g(x) vanishes at the optimal point x^* . By the product rule from calculus, the gradient is the following row vector of length n:

$$\nabla_x(f(x)g(x)) = g(x) \cdot \nabla_x(f(x)) + f(x) \cdot \nabla_x(g(x))$$

= $g(x) \cdot [m_1 \ m_2 \ \cdots \ m_n] - f(x) \cdot [\frac{1}{x_1^2} \ \frac{1}{x_2^2} \ \cdots \ \frac{1}{x_n^2}].$

At the optimal point x^* , we have $g(x^*)m_i = f(x^*)/(x_i^*)^2$. Setting $\lambda = \sqrt{\frac{f(x^*)}{g(x^*)}} > 0$, we find

$$x_i^* = \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{m_i}}$$
 for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$

Substituting this optimal point into the objective function yields the optimal value:

$$v^*(m) = f(x^*) \cdot g(x^*) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sqrt{m_i}\right)^2$$

This completes the proof of the lemma.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. By definition, a point (m, s) lies in the copositive cone \mathcal{C}_G if and only if the Laurent polynomial $f(x) \cdot g(x) - s$ is nonnegative on the open orthant $\mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$. This happens if and only if the optimal value $v^*(m)$ in (15) is larger than or equal to s, so $\mathcal{C}_G = \{ (m, s) \in \mathbb{R}^n_{>0} \times \mathbb{R} : v^*(m) \ge s \}$. The first assertion now follows from Lemma 4.2.

For the second assertion we rationalize the equation $v^*(m) = s$. To do this, we multiply the expressions obtained from $v^*(m)-s$ by taking all 2^n combinations of positive and negative square roots $\pm \sqrt{m_i}$. This leads to a polynomial of degree 2^n , which is the square of a polynomial of degree 2^{n-1} , because a global sign flip leaves $v^*(m) - s$ unchanged. Using elementary Galois theory, one can show that this polynomial of degree 2^{n-1} is irreducible. \Box The boundary polynomial of degree 2^{n-1} is the Landau discriminant [19] for the banana graph. For example, for the two-loop banana (n = 3), the algebraic boundary of C_G equals

$$\prod_{i_1=0}^{1} \prod_{i_2=0}^{1} \left[s + m_1 + m_2 + m_3 + 2(-1)^{i_1+i_2} \sqrt{m_1} \sqrt{m_2} + 2(-1)^{i_1} \sqrt{m_1} \sqrt{m_3} + 2(-1)^{i_2} \sqrt{m_2} \sqrt{m_3} \right].$$

This Landau discriminant is the quartic polynomial

$$s^{4} - 4(m_{1} + m_{2} + m_{3})s^{3} + (6m_{1}^{2} + 4m_{1}m_{2} + 4m_{1}m_{3} + 6m_{2}^{2} + 4m_{2}m_{3} + 6m_{3}^{2})s^{2} - 4(m_{1}^{3} - m_{1}^{2}m_{2} - m_{1}^{2}m_{3} - m_{1}m_{2}^{2} + 10m_{1}m_{2}m_{3} - m_{1}m_{3}^{2} + m_{2}^{3} - m_{2}^{2}m_{3} - m_{2}m_{3}^{2} + m_{3}^{3})s + (m_{1}^{4} - 4m_{1}^{3}m_{2} - 4m_{1}^{3}m_{3} + 6m_{1}^{2}m_{2}^{2} + 4m_{1}^{2}m_{2}m_{3} + 6m_{1}^{2}m_{3}^{2} - 4m_{1}m_{2}^{3} + 4m_{1}m_{2}^{2}m_{3} + 4m_{1}m_{2}m_{3}^{2} - 4m_{1}m_{3}^{3} + m_{2}^{4} - 4m_{2}^{3}m_{3} + 6m_{2}^{2}m_{3}^{2} - 4m_{2}m_{3}^{3} + m_{3}^{4}).$$
(16)

Emboldened by Theorem 4.1, we next consider three Feynman graphs with $\ell = 2$, namely the *double box*, the *nonplanar double box* and the *beetle*. The three graphs are as follows:



Convergence of these Feynman integrals in the massless case was studied recently in parts B, C and D of [11, Section IV]. All three graphs have $\ell = 2$ loops, N = 4 external edges, and n = 7 internal edges. The graphs have the same parameter space of dimension $\binom{N}{2} + n = 13$. Following [19, Example 1], we consider $m_1, \ldots, m_7, M_1 := k_{11}, M_2 := k_{22}, M_3 := k_{33}, M_4 := k_{44}, s := M_1 + M_2 + k_{12}, t := M_2 + M_3 + k_{23}$ as the basis of the parameter space.

We compare the copositive cones C_{G_1} , C_{G_2} , C_{G_3} inside the shared parameter space \mathbb{R}^{13} . This uses the labeling in (17). All three Symanzik polynomials are cubic in 7 variables. The next table shows the numbers of monomials and the *f*-vector for each Newton polytope:

	double box G_1	nonplanar double box G_2	beetle G_3
# monomials	63	66	59
f-vector	(30,90,121,92,41,10)	(32, 96, 128, 96, 42, 10)	(28, 84, 115, 90, 41, 10)

Finding a semialgebraic description for the copositive cones of the graphs in (17) is difficult. We will not attempt this here. Instead, we explore how these cones are related to each other. To simplify the discussion, we assume that the masses of the particles are equal, that is, we set $m := m_1 = \cdots = m_7$ and $M := M_1 = \cdots = M_4$. Now the copositive cones live in \mathbb{R}^4 .

Suppose that Q is a face of Newt (\mathcal{F}_z) . If $\mathcal{F}_z|_Q$ is not copositive then \mathcal{F}_z is not copositive either. Thus, we obtain outer approximations of \mathcal{C}_G by intersecting the copositive cones of $\mathcal{F}_z|_Q$ for some faces Q. If $\mathcal{F}_z|_Q$ is maximally sparse (i.e. all monomials correspond to vertices of Q), then $\mathcal{F}_z|_Q$ is copositive if and only if all coefficients are positive. The edges Q for which $\mathcal{F}_z|_Q$ is not maximally sparse correspond to bubble diagrams (Example 1.1). From this we obtain the outer approximations $\mathcal{C}_{G_1} \subseteq \mathcal{E}_1$, $\mathcal{C}_{G_2} \subseteq \mathcal{E}_1$ and $\mathcal{C}_{G_3} \subseteq \mathcal{E}_2$ with the cones

$$\mathcal{E}_1 := \{4m - M \ge 0\} \cap \{4m - s \ge 0\}$$
 and $\mathcal{E}_2 := \mathcal{E}_1 \cap \{4m - t \ge 0\}$.

For each two-dimensional face Q, if $\mathcal{F}_z|_Q$ is not maximally sparse, then it either equals $(x_k + x_u) \left(m_i x_i^2 + (m_i + m_j - p) x_i x_j + m_j x_j^2 \right)$ for some $i, j, k, u \in [7], p \in \{M_1, \dots, M_4, s, t\}$, (18)

or it is the second Symanzik polynomial for one of the following three graphs:



In each case, we derive outer approximations from Theorem 4.1 or Example 3.4. For G_1 , the 2-faces Q corresponding to banana and triangle diagrams give that C_{G_1} is contained in

$$\mathcal{D}_1 := \{9m - s \ge 0\} \cap \{9m - M \ge 0\} \cap \{9m - t \ge 0\} \cap \{-8Mm^2 + 2M^2m - 2M^2s - ms^2 - 4m^2s + 8Mms + 5m^3 \ge 0 \text{ or } 7m - 2M - s \ge 0\}$$

Similarly, for the non-planar double box G_2 , we show that the copositive cone is a subset of

$$\mathcal{D}_2 := \mathcal{D}_1 \cap \{9m - 4M + s + t \ge 0\}.$$
 (20)

Using the approximations \mathcal{E}_1 , \mathcal{E}_2 , \mathcal{D}_1 , \mathcal{D}_2 , we now compare the three copositive cones for (17).

Example 4.3. We consider parameter vectors z = (m, M, s, t). The vector $z = (1, \frac{1}{4}, -6, -6)$ lies in $\mathcal{C}_{G_1} \cap \mathcal{C}_{G_3}$ because the two Symanzik polynomials have positive coefficients. But z is not in \mathcal{C}_{G_2} because it is not in \mathcal{D}_2 . The vector $\tilde{z} = (5, 4, -8, 22)$ is not in \mathcal{E}_2 and hence not in \mathcal{C}_{G_3} . But $\tilde{z} \in \mathcal{C}_{G_1} \cap \mathcal{C}_{G_2}$. We compute a certificate for this containment in Example 7.2.

Our discussion underscores the importance of having an explicit description of copositive cones for small graphs, like the triangle and bananas. These motifs serve as building blocks, allowing us to compare larger copositive cones whose full descriptions may be out of reach.

5 Polynomials With Fixed Support

This section introduces copositive geometry in the general framework of sparse polynomials developed by Gel'fand, Kapranov and Zelevinsky in [15]. We show that the copositive cone is bounded by the principal \mathcal{A} -determinant [15, Chapter 10]. Thereafter, we specialize to the Feynman scenario, where the sparse polynomial is \mathcal{F}_z , and \mathcal{A} comes from Lemma 2.1.

Let \mathcal{A} be any finite subset of \mathbb{N}^n such that each element $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{A}$ has the same coordinate sum. We also assume that the polytope $P = \operatorname{conv}(\mathcal{A})$ has dimension n - 1. We allow the possibility that \mathcal{A} is a proper subset of $P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$. Let $\mathbb{R}[\mathcal{A}]$ be the real vector space of polynomials in $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ that are supported on \mathcal{A} . Such a polynomial has the form

$$f(x) = \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{A}} c_{\mathbf{a}} x^{\mathbf{a}}, \quad \text{where } c_{\mathbf{a}} \in \mathbb{R}.$$
 (21)

We can regard f(x) as a linear function on the affine toric variety $X_{\mathcal{A}} \subset \mathbb{R}[\mathcal{A}]$. This toric variety is a cone, and we identify it with the corresponding projective toric variety. We write $X_{\mathcal{A},\geq 0}$ for the closed semialgebraic subset of all points with nonnegative coordinates.

The *copositive cone* is the set of polynomials that are nonnegative on nonnegative points:

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}} = \left\{ f \in \mathbb{R}[\mathcal{A}] : f(u) \ge 0 \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathbb{R}^n_{\ge 0} \right\} = \left\{ f \in \mathbb{R}[\mathcal{A}] : f \ge 0 \text{ on } X_{\mathcal{A},\ge 0} \right\}.$$
(22)

This is a full-dimensional closed convex cone in $\mathbb{R}[\mathcal{A}]$. Polynomials in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}}$ are called *copositive*.

Lemma 5.1. Let f be a polynomial in the copositive cone C_A . Then f lies on the boundary of C_A if and only if f has a zero in the nonnegative part $X_{A,\geq 0}$ of the toric variety X_A .

Proof. The nonnegative toric variety $X_{\mathcal{A},\geq 0}$ is a compact semialgebraic subset of the simplex which is formed by the nonnegative part of the ambient projective space. Every polynomial $f \in \mathbb{R}[\mathcal{A}]$ represents a function on that simplex, and f is copositive if and only if f is nonnegative on the subset $X_{\mathcal{A},\geq 0}$. In this case, f is strictly positive on $X_{\mathcal{A},\geq 0}$ if and only if some open neighborhood of f is contained in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}}$ if and only if f is in the interior of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}}$. \Box

Remark 5.2. The second formula in (22) says that the copositive cone $C_{\mathcal{A}}$ is the cone dual to the convex cone in $\mathbb{R}[\mathcal{A}]$ spanned by the positive toric variety $X_{\mathcal{A},\geq 0}$. In symbols, we have

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}} = \operatorname{conv}(X_{\mathcal{A},\geq 0})^{\vee}.$$
(23)

For every face Q of P we write $f|_Q$ for the subsum of all monomials $c_{\mathbf{a}}x^{\mathbf{a}}$ where $\mathbf{a} \in Q$.

Lemma 5.3. The interior of the copositive cone C_A consists of all polynomials $f \in \mathbb{R}[A]$ such that, for each face Q of P, the inequality $f|_Q(u) > 0$ holds for all u in the open orthant $\mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$.

Proof. We consider the decomposition of $X_{\mathcal{A}}$ into torus orbits. There is one orbit for each face Q of P, and this orbit is parametrized by monomials $x^{\mathbf{a}}$ where \mathbf{a} ranges over $\mathcal{A} \cap Q$. The nonnegative toric variety $X_{\mathcal{A},\geq 0}$ is the disjoint union of the sets of strictly positive points in each orbit. The interior of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}}$ thus consists of polynomials f that are strictly positive on each such positive torus orbit, and this is precisely the stated conjunction over all Q.

Theorem 5.4. The boundary of C_A is contained in the hypersurface defined by the principal A-determinant E_A , which is the product of the discriminants $\Delta_{A \cap Q}$ over all faces Q of P.

Proof. Let $f \in \mathbb{R}[\mathcal{A}]$ and suppose that f is in the boundary of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}}$. By Lemma 5.1, there exists a face Q of the Newton polytope P such that $f|_Q(u) = 0$ for some $u \in \mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$. Since f is copositive, u is a local minimum of $f|_Q$. This implies that all n partial derivatives of $f|_Q$ also vanish at the point u. Therefore, f lies on the hypersurface defined by $\Delta_{\mathcal{A}\cap Q}$. \Box

Theorem 5.4 says that the algebraic boundary of $C_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a hypersurface contained in the hypersurface defined by the principal \mathcal{A} -determinant $E_{\mathcal{A}}$. Generally, they are not equal.

Example 5.5. The algebraic boundary of $C_{\mathcal{A}}$ can be strictly contained in the principal \mathcal{A} -determinant. This is the case for the square $\mathcal{A} = \{(0,0,2), (1,0,1), (0,1,1), (1,1,0)\}$. Indeed, the quadratic form $f(x) = c_1 x_3^2 + c_2 x_1 x_3 + c_3 x_2 x_3 + c_4 x_1 x_2$ is copositive if and only if all coefficients c_i are nonnegative, because \mathcal{A} is the set of vertices of conv(\mathcal{A}). In symbols,

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}} = \{ c \in \mathbb{R}^4 \mid c_1 \ge 0, \, c_2 \ge 0, \, c_3 \ge 0, \, c_4 \ge 0 \}.$$

The algebraic boundary of $C_{\mathcal{A}}$ is the reducible hypersurface defined by the polynomial $c_1c_2c_3c_4$. On the other hand, the principal \mathcal{A} -determinant equals $E_{\mathcal{A}} = c_1c_2c_3c_4(c_1c_4 - c_2c_3)$.

We now return to Feynman integrals. Fix a Feynman graph G with n internal edges. As before, \mathcal{T} denotes the set of all spanning trees of the graph G. These are the bases of the graphic matroid of G. By definition, the support of $\mathcal{F}_z(x)$ equals $\mathcal{A}_G = \mathcal{A}_G^1 \cup \mathcal{A}_G^2$, where

$$\mathcal{A}_{G}^{1} = \left\{ e_{k} + \sum_{j \in [n] \setminus T} e_{j} \mid T \in \mathcal{T}, k \in T \right\}, \quad \mathcal{A}_{G}^{2} = \left\{ 2e_{q} + \sum_{j \in [n] \setminus (T \cup \{q\})} e_{j} \mid T \in \mathcal{T}, q \notin T \right\}.$$
(24)

Example 5.6 (Parachute). We discuss this for the following graph with n = 4 and $\ell = 2$:



The set of spanning trees equals $\mathcal{T} = \{\{2, 4\}, \{2, 3\}, \{1, 4\}, \{1, 3\}, \{1, 2\}\}$. In pictures,



The support set $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_G$ consists of 14 = 4 + 10 lattice points in \mathbb{N}^4 . It is the union of

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_{G}^{1} &= \{(1,1,1,0), (1,1,0,1), (1,0,1,1), (0,1,1,1)\} & \text{and} \\
\mathcal{A}_{G}^{2} &= \{(2,0,1,0), (1,0,2,0), (2,0,0,1), (1,0,0,2), (0,2,1,0), \\
& (0,1,2,0), (0,2,0,1), (0,1,0,2), (0,0,1,2), (0,0,2,1)\}.
\end{aligned}$$
(26)



Figure 2: The Newton polytope for the parachute graph G, with \mathcal{A}_G^1 in blue and \mathcal{A}_G^2 in red.

This configuration is shown in Figure 2. The general polynomial with support \mathcal{A}_G equals

$$f(x) = c_1 x_1^2 x_3 + c_2 x_1 x_3^2 + c_3 x_1^2 x_4 + c_4 x_1 x_4^2 + c_5 x_2^2 x_3 + c_6 x_2 x_3^2 + c_7 x_2^2 x_4 + c_8 x_2 x_4^2 + c_9 x_3^2 x_4 + c_{10} x_3 x_4^2 + c_{11} x_1 x_2 x_3 + c_{12} x_1 x_2 x_4 + c_{13} x_1 x_3 x_4 + c_{14} x_2 x_3 x_4.$$

The algebraic boundary of the copositive cone $C_{\mathcal{A}} \subset \mathbb{R}^{14}$ is in the hypersurface defined by the principal \mathcal{A} -determinant $E_{\mathcal{A}}$. To study this, we use that the toric threefold $X_{\mathcal{A}}$ is smooth and has degree 18 in \mathbb{P}^{13} . This implies that $E_{\mathcal{A}}$ has degree $4 \cdot 18 = 72$. The irreducible factors correspond to faces of $P = \operatorname{conv}(\mathcal{A})$. The largest factor, of degree 24, is the \mathcal{A} -discriminant. The two hexagonal facets contribute discriminants of degree 12. The green rectangle facet contributes the resultant of two binary quadrics, which has degree 4. The two trapezoid facets contribute cubic factors $c_2^2c_5 + c_1c_6^2 - c_2c_6c_{11}$ and $c_4^2c_7 + c_3c_8^2 - c_4c_8c_{12}$. The two long edges of the green rectangle have discriminants of degree 2, and the ten vertices give $c_1c_2c_3c_4c_5c_6c_7c_8c_9c_{10}$. The degrees of all factors add up to $24 + (2 \cdot 12 + 4 + 2 \cdot 3) + 2 \cdot 2 + 10 \cdot 1 = 72$.

The specialization of f(x) arising from the Feynman graph depends on only 7 parameters:

$$\mathcal{F}_{z} = ax_{1}x_{2}(x_{3}+x_{4}) + bx_{2}x_{3}x_{4} + cx_{1}x_{3}x_{4} + ((x_{1}+x_{2})(x_{3}+x_{4}) + x_{3}x_{4})(m_{1}x_{1}+m_{2}x_{2}+m_{3}x_{3}+m_{4}x_{4})$$

The discriminant of \mathcal{F}_z is much smaller than the \mathcal{A} -discriminant. It has 64 terms of degree 6:

$$L = 16m_1^2m_2^2m_3^2 - 32m_1^2m_2^2m_3m_4 - 32m_1^2m_2m_3^2m_4 - \dots + 8m_2m_3m_4ac^2 + 2m_2m_3a^2c^2 + 2m_2m_4a^2c^2 - 4m_3m_4a^2c^2 + 2m_1m_2b^2c^2 + a^2b^2c^2 - 2m_2abc^3 + m_2^2c^4.$$
(27)

This is the Landau discriminant of the parachute G. We find that $z = (m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4, a, b, c)$ is in the interior of the copositive cone C_G provided L < 0 and $a + m_1 + m_2 + 2(m_1m_2)^{1/2} > 0$,

$$b + m_2 + m_3 + m_4 + 2(m_2m_3)^{1/2} + 2(m_2m_4)^{1/2} + 2(m_3m_4)^{1/2} > 0,$$

and $c + m_1 + m_3 + m_4 + 2(m_1m_3)^{1/2} + 2(m_1m_4)^{1/2} + 2(m_3m_4)^{1/2} > 0.$

For a numerical instance let $m_1 = 5, m_2 = 7, m_3 = 7, m_4 = 2, a = -16, b = -36, c = -31$. The minimum of $\mathcal{F}_z(x)$ on the tetrahedron $\{x \ge 0 : x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 = 1\}$ equals 0.01389365. This is attained at $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = (0.211294, 0.1870297, 0.208148, 0.393528)$. For a certificate, note that all 1823248 monomials in $\mathcal{F}_z(x) \cdot (x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4)^{217}$ have positive coefficients.

We now return to the general setting, where $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_G$. The principal \mathcal{A} -determinant $E_{\mathcal{A}}$ describes the copositive cone $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}}$ algebraically. In our application to physics, we are interested in the smaller cone \mathcal{C}_G , which is the intersection with the kinematic subspace in $\mathbb{R}[\mathcal{A}]$. In other words, \mathcal{C}_G is obtained from $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}}$ by replacing (21) with the polynomial in (8). For $\ell \geq 2$, this restriction usually leads to a considerable simplification in the copositive cone. We saw this in Example 5.6. The situation for $\ell = 1$ is different, thanks to Theorem 3.2.

We conclude this section by transferring Remark 5.2 and Theorem 5.4 from the larger cone $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}}$ to the smaller cone \mathcal{C}_{G} . Fix a Feynman graph G, and write M for the number of kinematic parameters in z. Their inclusion into the space of coefficients $c_{\mathbf{a}}$ is dual to a linear projection $\pi : \mathbb{R}[\mathcal{A}] \to \mathbb{R}^{M}$. The image of the toric variety $X_{\mathcal{A}}$ under the linear projection π is a rational variety $\pi(X_{\mathcal{A}})$ inside \mathbb{R}^{M} . This is the cone over a projective variety in \mathbb{P}^{M-1} .

Example 5.7 (Parachute). When equating f(x) with $\mathcal{F}_z(x)$ in Example 5.6, each of the 14 coefficients c_i is an N-linear combination of $a, b, c, m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4$. This defines the linear map $\pi : \mathbb{R}^{14} \to \mathbb{R}^7$. The image of the toric threefold X_A is the threefold in \mathbb{P}^6 parametrized by

$$\begin{aligned} a &= x_1 x_2 x_3 + x_1 x_2 x_4 \,, \ b = x_2 x_3 x_4 \,, \ c &= x_1 x_3 x_4 \,, \\ m_1 &= x_1^2 x_3 + x_1^2 x_4 + x_1 x_2 x_3 + x_1 x_2 x_4 + x_1 x_3 x_4 \,, \\ m_2 &= x_1 x_2 x_3 + x_1 x_2 x_4 + x_2^2 x_3 + x_2^2 x_4 + x_2^2 x_3 + x_2^2 x_4 + x_2 x_3 x_4 \,, \\ m_3 &= x_1 x_3^2 + x_1 x_3 x_4 + x_2 x_3^2 + x_2 x_3 x_4 + x_3^2 x_4 \,, \\ m_4 &= x_1 x_3 x_4 + x_1 x_4^2 + x_2 x_3 x_4 + x_2 x_4^2 + x_3 x_4^2 \,, \end{aligned}$$

The threefold $\pi(X_A)$ has degree 7, and its prime ideal equals $\langle bm_1 - cm_2, am_1 + am_2 + cm_2 - m_1m_2, ab + ac + bc - cm_2, cm_2m_3 + cm_2m_4 - am_3m_4, bm_2m_3 + bm_2m_4 + am_3m_4 + bm_3m_4 - m_2m_3m_4, cm_1m_3 + cm_1m_4 + am_3m_4 + cm_3m_4 - m_1m_3m_4 \rangle$. The variety projectively dual to $\pi(X_A)$ is the sextic hypersurface defined by the Landau discriminant L displayed in (27).

We write $\pi(X_{\mathcal{A},\geq 0})$ for the nonnegative part of the variety $\pi(X_{\mathcal{A}})$, now viewed as an affine cone in \mathbb{R}^{M} . This is the closure of all points given by some positive parameter point $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}_{\geq 0}$.

Corollary 5.8. The copositive cone C_G of a Feynman graph G is the convex cone which is dual to the convex cone spanned by the positive variety $\pi(X_{\mathcal{A},\geq 0})$. In symbols, we have

$$\mathcal{C}_G = \operatorname{conv}(\pi(X_{\mathcal{A},\geq 0}))^{\vee} \subset \mathbb{R}^M$$

We now turn to the principal Landau determinant which was introduced by Fevola, Mizera and Telen in [14, Definition 3.5]. This is the squarefree polynomial $PLD_G(z)$ which takes over the role of the principal \mathcal{A} -determinant after the toric variety $X_{\mathcal{A}}$ has been replaced by its image under the projection π . Namely, the principal Landau determinant $PLD_G(z)$ vanishes whenever the hypersurface given by the second Symanzik polynomial \mathcal{F}_z , or one of its facial restrictions $\mathcal{F}_z|_Q$, is singular at some point $x \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$. Using the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 5.4, we can derive the following result for any Feynman graph G.

Corollary 5.9. The boundary of the copositive cone C_G is contained in the hypersurface defined by the principal Landau determinant PLD_G . Hence, the algebraic boundary of C_G is the product of some of the Landau discriminants that appear in the factorization of PLD_G .

We conclude that the algorithms in [14, Section 5] will be important ingredients in future methods for computing semialgebraic descriptions of copositive cones for Feynman integrals.

6 Pólya's Method for Feynman Graphs

In this section we apply Pólya's classical representation [23, 24] to the second Symanzik polynomial (8). We assume throughout that G is a Feynman graph without bridges, that is, G cannot be disconnected by removing a single edge. We establish the following result:

Theorem 6.1. A parameter vector z lies in the interior of the copositive cone C_G if and only if $(x_1 + \cdots + x_n)^N \mathcal{F}_z$ has only positive coefficients, for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$, and $m_1, \ldots, m_n > 0$.

Our proof is based on work of Castle, Powers, and Reznick in [9]. To recall this, we introduce some notation. We write Δ for the standard (n-1)-simplex in \mathbb{R}^n . Every $I \subseteq [n]$ determines a face $\Delta_I = \{x \in \Delta \mid x_i = 0 \text{ for } i \in I\}$. For a polynomial $f = \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{A}} c_{\mathbf{a}} x^{\mathbf{a}}$, we set

$$\mathcal{A}^+ := \{ \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}^n \mid c_{\mathbf{a}} > 0 \} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{A}^- := \{ \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}^n \mid c_{\mathbf{a}} < 0 \}$$

We now define a partial order on \mathbb{N}^n that depends on I. For $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{N}^n$, we set $\mathbf{a} \preceq_I \mathbf{b}$ if $\mathbf{a}_i \leq \mathbf{b}_i$ for $i \in I$. If one of these inequalities is strict, then we write $\mathbf{a} \prec_I \mathbf{b}$. An element $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{A}^+$ is minimal for I if there is no $\mathbf{a}' \in \mathcal{A}^+$ such that $\mathbf{a}' \prec_I \mathbf{a}$. If this holds then we define

$$\Gamma_{I,\mathbf{a}} := \{ \mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{A} \mid \mathbf{b}_i = \mathbf{a}_i \text{ for } i \in I \}.$$
(28)

We next state *Pólya's Theorem with Zeros*. This was established in [9, Theorem 2].

Theorem 6.2. Let $f = \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{A}} c_{\mathbf{a}} x^{\mathbf{a}}$ be a copositive polynomial such that $V(f) \cap \Delta$ is a union of faces of Δ . There exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(x_1 + \cdots + x_n)^N f(x)$ has positive coefficients if and only if, for every face Δ_I in the hypersurface V(f), the following two conditions hold:

- (1) For each **b** in the negative support \mathcal{A}^- , there exists $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{A}^+$ such that $\mathbf{a} \preceq_I \mathbf{b}$.
- (2) If $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{A}^+$ is minimal for I, then the polynomial $f|_{\Gamma_{I,\mathbf{a}}}$ is strictly positive on $\mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$.

The hypothesis $\Delta_I \subseteq V(f)$ means that every $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies $\mathbf{a}_i > 0$ for some $i \in I$. If $(x_1 + \cdots + x_n)^N f(x)$ has positive coefficients, then f lies in the interior of the copositive cone $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}}$. The converse does not hold for arbitrary polynomials (see Example 6.6). However, it is true for Symanzik polynomials, as we will show by applying Theorem 6.2 to \mathcal{F}_z . We begin by assuming $m_1 > 0, \ldots, m_n > 0$. This ensures that $\mathcal{A}_G^2 \subseteq \mathcal{A}_G^+$ and $\mathcal{A}_G^- \subseteq \mathcal{A}_G^1$, where \mathcal{A}_G^+ , \mathcal{A}_G^- is the positive and negative support of \mathcal{F}_z respectively, with \mathcal{A}_G^1 , \mathcal{A}_G^2 as in (24).

Lemma 6.3. For every $I \subsetneq [n]$ and every $\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{A}_G^1$, there exists $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{A}_G^2$ such that $\mathbf{a} \preceq_I \mathbf{b}$. In particular, the second Symanzik polynomial \mathcal{F}_z satisfies condition (1) in Theorem 6.2.

Proof. We write $\mathbf{b} = e_k + \sum_{j \in [n] \setminus T} e_j$ where $T \in \mathcal{T}$ and $k \in T$. If $q \in [n] \setminus (T \cup I)$, then $\mathbf{a} := 2e_q + \sum_{j \in [n] \setminus (T \cup \{q\})} e_j$ lies in \mathcal{A}_G^2 and it satisfies $\mathbf{a} \preceq_I \mathbf{b}$. If $T \cup I = [n]$, then we pick an element $q \in T \setminus I$. Since q is not a bridge in G, there exists $T_2 \in \mathcal{T}$ such that $q \notin T_2$. From the basis exchange axiom for matroids, it follows that there exists $j' \in [n] \setminus T$ such that $T_3 := (T \cup \{j'\}) \setminus \{q\} \in \mathcal{T}$. Thus, for $\mathbf{a} := 2e_q + \sum_{j \in [n] \setminus (T_3 \cup \{q\})} e_j \in \mathcal{A}_G^2$ we have $\mathbf{a} \preceq_I \mathbf{b}$. \Box

In Lemma 6.5, we show that the minimal sets in (28) correspond to faces of $conv(\mathcal{A}_G)$. To warm up for this, we compute some minimal exponent vectors of \mathcal{A}_G for the parachute.

Example 6.4. Fix the parachute diagram from Example 5.6 and $I = \{3, 4\}$. We seek the vectors in (26) that are minimal for \leq_I . An exponent vector in \mathcal{A}_G is minimal if and only if its last two coordinates are either (1, 0) or (0, 1). Thus, we have two minimal sets

$$\Gamma_{I,\mathbf{a}}^{(1)} = \left\{ (2,0,1,0), (1,1,1,0), (0,2,1,0) \right\}, \quad \Gamma_{I,\mathbf{a}}^{(2)} = \left\{ (2,0,0,1), (1,1,0,1), (0,2,0,1) \right\}. \tag{29}$$

These correspond to two green edges of the Feynman polytope $\operatorname{conv}(\mathcal{A}_G)$ in Figure 2.

We now show that the same property holds for any Feynman graph G without bridges.

Lemma 6.5. Consider any subset $I \subsetneq [n]$ and any point $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{A}_G^+$ that is minimal for I. Then $\Gamma_{I,\mathbf{a}} = \mathcal{A}_G \cap Q$ for some face Q of the Feynman polytope conv (\mathcal{A}_G) .

Proof. We fix the subset I. If $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{A}_G^1$, then Lemma 6.3 tells us that there exists $\mathbf{a}' \in \mathcal{A}_G^2$, which is minimal for I and satisfies $\mathbf{a}'_i = \mathbf{a}_i$ for $i \in I$. Thus, we can assume $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{A}_G^2$. There exists a spanning tree $T \in \mathcal{T}$ and $q \in [n] \setminus T$ such that $\mathbf{a} = 2e_q + \sum_{j \in [n] \setminus (T \cup \{q\})} e_j$.

In the first part of the proof, we show by contradiction that $q \notin I$. Assume $q \in I$. If there exists $p \in [n] \setminus (T \cup I)$, then $2e_p + \sum_{j \in [n] \setminus (T \cup \{p\})} e_j \prec_I \mathbf{a}$. This is a contradiction to **a** being minimal. Hence $T \cup I = [n]$. By the basis exchange axiom applied to $p \in T \setminus I$, there exists $j' \in [n] \setminus T$ such that $T_2 := (T \cup \{j'\}) \setminus \{p\} \in \mathcal{T}$. By construction we have $2e_p + \sum_{j \in [n] \setminus (T_2 \cup \{p\})} e_j \prec_I \mathbf{a}$. This is again a contradiction, and we conclude that $q \notin I$. Our goal is to identify a face Q of the polytope $\operatorname{conv}(\mathcal{A}_G)$. To this end, we now set

$$v := \sum_{j \in I \setminus T} e_j + \sum_{i \in I \cap T} (\#(I \setminus T) + 1) e_i.$$

Let Q be the face with inner normal vector v. By construction, $\langle v, \mathbf{b} \rangle = \#(I \setminus T)$ for $\mathbf{b} \in \Gamma_{I,\mathbf{a}}$. The intersection of \mathcal{A}_G with Q is the set $\Gamma_{I,\mathbf{a}}$ if and only if $\langle v, \mathbf{b} \rangle > \#(I \setminus T)$ for $\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{A}_G \setminus \Gamma_{I,\mathbf{a}}$. To prove this, we show that for every $\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{A}_G$ such that $\langle v, \mathbf{b} \rangle \leq \#(I \setminus T)$, we have $\mathbf{b} \in \Gamma_{I,\mathbf{a}}$. By the choice of v, the inequality $\langle v, \mathbf{b} \rangle \leq \#(I \setminus T)$ ensures that $\mathbf{b}_i = 0$ for $i \in I \cap T$.

First assume that $\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{A}_G^2$. We choose a spanning three $T' \in \mathcal{T}$ and $p \in [n] \setminus T'$ such that $\mathbf{b} = 2e_p + \sum_{j \in [n] \setminus (T' \cup \{p\})} e_j$. Since $\mathbf{b}_i = 0$ for $i \in I \cap T$, we have $I \setminus T' \subseteq I \setminus T$. If equality holds then $\mathbf{b} \in \Gamma_{I,\mathbf{a}}$. We consider the case $I \setminus T' \subsetneq I \setminus T$. Since $T', T \in \mathcal{T}$, we cannot have $[n] \setminus T' \subseteq I$. Thus, there exists $p' \in [n] \setminus (T' \cup I)$, and we have $2e_{p'} + \sum_{j \in [n] \setminus (T' \cup \{p'\})} e_j \prec_I \mathbf{a}$. But, recall that \mathbf{a} is minimal for I. We conclude that the case $I \setminus T' \subsetneq I \setminus T$ cannot happen.

For the second case, consider any point $\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{A}_G^1$ such that $\langle v, \mathbf{b} \rangle \leq \#(I \setminus T)$. By Lemma 6.3, there exists $\mathbf{b}' \in \mathcal{A}_G^2$ with $\mathbf{b}' \preceq_I \mathbf{b}$. This implies $\langle v, \mathbf{b}' \rangle \leq \langle v, \mathbf{b} \rangle \leq \#(I \setminus T)$. Since $\mathbf{b}' \in \mathcal{A}_G^2$, the argument in the previous paragraph shows that $\mathbf{b}' \in \Gamma_{I,\mathbf{a}}$. Thus, we have $\#(I \setminus T) = \langle v, \mathbf{b}' \rangle \leq \langle v, \mathbf{b} \rangle \leq \#(I \setminus T)$, and therefore $\mathbf{b} \in \Gamma_{I,\mathbf{a}}$. This completes the proof. \Box

Using Lemma 6.3 and 6.5, we prove that Pólya's certificate works whenever the second Symanzik polynomial of a Feynman graph G lies in the interior of the copositive cone C_G .

Proof of Theorem 6.1. The copositive cone C_G is the intersection of the cone $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}_G}$ from Section 5 with the kinematic subspace $\mathcal{K} \cong \mathbb{R}^{\binom{N}{2}+n}$ described in Section 2. For fixed k_{ij} and large enough masses m_e , the polynomial \mathcal{F}_z in (8) has only positive coefficients. Thus, $\mathcal{K} \cap \operatorname{int}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}_G}) \neq \emptyset$, which, in turn, implies that $\operatorname{relint}(\mathcal{C}_G) = \operatorname{int}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}_G}) \cap \mathcal{K}$.

Suppose $(x_1 + \cdots + x_n)^N \cdot \mathcal{F}_z$ has only positive coefficients for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$, and assume $m_1 > 0, \ldots, m_n > 0$. This latter hypothesis implies that $\operatorname{Newt}(\mathcal{F}_z) = \operatorname{conv}(\mathcal{A}_G)$. Consider any face Q of this polytope. The initial form of the above product in direction Q equals $(\sum_{i \in J} x_i)^N \cdot \mathcal{F}_z|_Q(x)$ for some $J \subset [n]$. Since all coefficients of this product are positive, we have $\mathcal{F}_z|_Q(x) > 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$. This is equivalent to $z \in \operatorname{relint}(\mathcal{C}_G)$ by Lemma 5.3.

Conversely, assume that $\mathcal{F}_z|_Q(x) > 0$ for all faces $Q \subseteq \operatorname{conv}(\mathcal{A}_G)$ and all points $x \in \mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$. This implies that $m_1 > 0, \ldots, m_n > 0$, $\mathcal{F}_z(x) \ge 0$ for all $x \in \Delta$, and $V(\mathcal{F}_z) \cap \Delta$ is a union of faces of Δ . By Lemma 6.3, \mathcal{F}_z satisfies condition (1) in Theorem 6.2. Using our assumption and Lemma 6.5, we conclude that condition (2) in Theorem 6.2 is also satisfied. Thus, Pólya's Theorem with Zeros (Theorem 6.2) shows that the desired integer $N \in \mathbb{N}$ exists. \Box

We conclude this section by showing that condition (1) in Theorem 6.2 can fail for arbitrary polynomials f(x). Polynomials from Feynman graphs are special. The point is that the minimal sets in (28) do not always correspond to faces of the Newton polytope.

Example 6.6. Consider the ternary quintic

$$f(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1^3 x_2 x_3 - x_1^2 x_2^2 x_3 + x_1 x_2^3 x_3 + x_2^5 = x_1 x_2 x_3 ((x_1 - x_2)^2 + x_1 x_2) + x_2^5$$

The Newton polytope Newt(f) is a triangle. For each of the faces Q of Newt(f), one checks that $f|_Q(x) > 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^3_{>0}$. Nevertheless, for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$, the product $(x_1 + x_2 + x_3)^N f(x)$

has both positive and negative coefficients. To see this, we apply the only-direction in Theorem 6.2 to the edge $\Delta_{\{1,2\}}$, which is contained in V(f). The positive and negative support of f are $\mathcal{A}^+ = \{(3,1,1), (1,3,1), (0,5,0)\}$ and $\mathcal{A}^- = \{(2,2,1)\}$. For $\mathbf{b} = (2,2,1)$ there is no $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{A}^+$ with $\mathbf{a} \preceq_{\{1,2\}} \mathbf{b}$. Condition (1) in Theorem 6.2 is violated and therefore $(x_1 + x_2 + x_3)^N f(x)$ does not have only positive coefficients for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

Example 6.7. The minimal sets in (28) do not always correspond to faces of the Newton polytope. To illustrate this, we consider ternary forms of degree 10 which have support sets

$$\mathcal{A}^+ = \{(1, 2, 7), (2, 5, 3), (5, 4, 1), (4, 4, 2)\} \text{ and } \mathcal{A}^- = \{(2, 3, 5)\}.$$

Every element in the positive support \mathcal{A}^+ is minimal for $I = \{1, 3\}$. For $\mathbf{a} = (4, 4, 2)$, the minimal set is $\Gamma_{I,\mathbf{a}} = \{\mathbf{a}\}$, and this is contained in the interior of the triangle conv (\mathcal{A}^+) .

7 Computing Certificates

Given a Feynman graph G and a choice of kinematic parameters z, we wish to decide whether $\mathcal{F}_z(x)$ is copositive. The answer should be made manifest with a certificate. If z is in the interior of \mathcal{C}_G then this can be certified with Pólya's method, as shown in Theorem 6.1. If z lies outside the cone \mathcal{C}_G then the certificate consists of a positive point $u \in \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}$ such that $\mathcal{F}_z(u) < 0$. The boundary of \mathcal{C}_G is the decision boundary. The probability for random data z to lie in this boundary is zero. We thus ignore this case for our discussion in this section.

We present an algorithm for making that decision and for computing the certificates. We also offer a proof-of-concept implementation in the programming language Julia. Our software is called CopositiveFeynman.jl. The implementation rests on the computer algebra system OSCAR.jl [10], and it calls the packages Landau.jl for Landau discriminants [19] and HomotopyContinuation.jl for numerical algebraic geometry [6]. The code is posted at

https://mathrepo.mis.mpg.de/CopositiveFeynman.

The input for our software is the graph G and a vector z of kinematic parameters. The decision whether z lies in C_G or not amounts to solving the following optimization problem:

Minimize
$$\mathcal{F}_z(u)$$
 subject to $u \in \Delta$. (30)

Here Δ denotes the (n-1)-simplex $\{u \in \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0} : u_1 + u_2 + \cdots + u_n = 1\}$. We compute the minimum in (30) algebraically. Namely we replace Δ with the Feynman polytope P, and we solve the critical equations on each face Q of P. The details for this will be described below.

If the objective function value in (30) is positive then we invoke Pólya's method to certify that \mathcal{F}_z lies in the interior of \mathcal{C}_G . By Theorem 6.1, there exists a positive integer N such that $(x_1 + \cdots + x_n)^N \mathcal{F}_z(x)$ has only positive coefficients. Our function find_Polya_exponent() finds the smallest integer N with this property. An upper bound for that N was given by Castle, Powers and Reznick in [9, Theorem 3]. Their bound depends on the degree, the size of the coefficients, and the minimal values the polynomial attains on Δ . In a nutshell, the closer to zero the minimal value in (30) happens to be, the larger will be the bound on N. We illustrate the growth of N near the boundary of C_G for the banana diagrams in Section 4. The Symanzik polynomial \mathcal{F}_z has n+1 kinematic parameters $z = (m_1, \ldots, m_n, s)$. Setting $m_1 = 1, \ldots, m_n = 1$, the parameter z lies in the copositive cone if and only if $s/n^2 \leq 1$, by Theorem 4.1. Figure 3 features n = 2, 3, 4. It shows several choices of s/n^2 along with the smallest N such that $(x_1 + \cdots + x_n)^N \mathcal{F}_z(x)$ has only positive coefficients.



Figure 3: Illustration of Pólya's Theorem for banana diagrams with n internal edges and $z = (1, \ldots, 1, s)$. The smallest certifying exponent N is a function of s/n^2 . It blows up when $s/n^2 \to 1$.

Our approach to the optimization problem (30) rests on the affine critical equations

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_z}{\partial x_1}(x_1,\dots,x_{n-1},1) = \dots = \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_z}{\partial x_{n-1}}(x_1,\dots,x_{n-1},1) = 0.$$
(31)

These are called *Landau equations* in physics. We solve the equations (31) using the software HomotopyContinuation.jl [6]. The number of solutions is finite, possibly after perturbing the coefficients. We extract all solutions u with positive real coordinates, and we evaluate \mathcal{F}_z at these solutions. If $\mathcal{F}_z(u) < 0$ for some u then we are done: \mathcal{F}_z is manifestly not copositive.

Otherwise, we examine the facets Q of the Feynman polytope $P = \text{Newt}(\mathcal{F}_z)$. For each facet Q, we form the restriction $\mathcal{F}_z|_Q$, and we consider the affine Landau equations for $\mathcal{F}_z|_Q$. Again, if one critical point $u \in \mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$ satisfies $\mathcal{F}_z|_Q(u) < 0$ then we are done: a certificate for $z \notin \mathcal{C}_G$ has been found. Otherwise, we proceed to facets of Q. In this manner we examine all faces of P. If no face Q has a positive critical point u at which the facial polynomial is negative then we are convinced that \mathcal{F}_z is completely non-vanishing, in the language of [4]. But we still need a certificate. For that, our software invokes the function \mathcal{F}_z .

Example 7.1. We revisit the parachute diagram (Example 5.6) and show how to use the package CopositiveFeynman.jl. To compute the Symanzik polynomials, we rely on the package Landau.jl by Mizera and Telen [19]. Here, a graph is represented by a list of edges, where each edge is given by its pair of vertices, and a list of nodes, which specify the vertices to which the external edges are attached. For the parachute diagram (25), we write:

using CopositiveFeynman edges = [[1,2],[1,3],[2,3],[2,3]]; nodes = [1,1,3,2]; Fz, U, x, k, mm = getF(edges, nodes); Fz,s,t,M,m = substitute4legs(Fz,k,mm);

The function substitute4legs() takes $m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4, M_1 := k_{11}, M_2 := k_{22}, M_3 := k_{33}, M_4 := k_{44}, s := M_1 + M_2 + k_{12}, t := M_2 + M_3 + k_{23}$ as the basis of the parameter space (cf. Section 4). We choose numerical values for these parameters so that \mathcal{F}_z is copositive.

F = subs(Fz,m[1]=>1,m[2]=>1,m[3]=>1,m[4]=>1,s=>3.9,t=>1,M[3]=>1,M[4]=>1); find_Polya_exponent(F);

The code returns N = 37. This means that $(x_1 + \cdots + x_n)^N \mathcal{F}_z(x)$ has positive coefficients, certifying that \mathcal{F}_z is copositive. We next modify the parameters so that \mathcal{F}_z is not copositive:

F = subs(Fz,m[1]=>1,m[2]=>1,m[3]=>1,m[4]=>1,s=>4.1,t=>1,M[3]=>1,M[4]=>1); preclude_copositivity(F,edges);

This returns the edge $Q_1 = \text{conv}((2, 0, 1, 0), (0, 2, 1, 0))$ of the Feynman polytope in Figure 2, and also the evaluation $\mathcal{F}_z|_{Q_1}(1.05, 1, 1, 1) = -0.1025$. Hence \mathcal{F}_z is manifestly not copositive.

The polytope in Figure 2 has seven facets and 15 edges. Not all faces Q are needed for solving the affine critical equations (31). For instance, for the green rectangle, we observe

$$\mathcal{F}_{z}|_{Q} = (x_{3} + x_{4}) \left(m_{1} x_{1}^{2} + (m_{1} + m_{2} - s) x_{1} x_{2} + m_{2} x_{2}^{2} \right).$$
(32)

If this fails to be copositive then so does $\mathcal{F}_z|_{Q_1}(x)$, where $Q_1 = \operatorname{conv}((2,0,1,0), (0,2,1,0))$. The same holds for the edge $Q_2 = \operatorname{conv}((2,0,0,1), (0,2,0,1))$. Thus, instead of minimizing each of $\mathcal{F}_z|_Q$, $\mathcal{F}_z|_{Q_1}$, $\mathcal{F}_z|_{Q_2}$ individually, it suffices to do so for $\mathcal{F}_z|_{Q_1}$. Note that in (32), $x_3 + x_4$ is the first Symanzik polynomial of the subgraph γ with edges 3 and 4, while $m_1x_1^2 + (m_1 + m_2 - s)x_1x_2 + m_2x_2^2$ is the second Symanzik polynomial of the contraction G/γ .

The observation above is true for any Feynman graph. Facets Q of Newt(\mathcal{F}_z) correspond to one-vertex irreducible subgraphs γ of G. These are subgraphs that cannot be disconnected by removing a single vertex. From [1, Section IV] and [7, Theorem 2.7], we learn that

$$\mathcal{F}_{z}|_{Q}(x) = \mathcal{U}_{\gamma}(x) \cdot \mathcal{F}_{G/\gamma}(x).$$
(33)

In this formula, \mathcal{U}_{γ} is the first Symanzik polynomial of the subgraph γ , and $\mathcal{F}_{G/\gamma}$ is the second Symanzik polynomial of the contraction G/γ . Thus, when we examine the facet Q corresponding to γ , it suffices to solve the affine Landau equations for G/γ . Indeed, $\mathcal{F}_{z|Q}(x)$ fails to be strictly copositive if and only if $\mathcal{F}_{G/\gamma}$ does. We now go down in dimension. If Q_1 is a facet of Q, then $\mathcal{F}_{z|Q_1}(x) = (\mathcal{U}_{\gamma})|_{Q_U}(x) \cdot (\mathcal{F}_{G/\gamma})|_{Q_F}(x)$ where Q_U and Q_F are faces of Newt(\mathcal{U}_{γ}) and Newt($\mathcal{F}_{G/\gamma}$) respectively, with the same inner normal vector as Q_1 . Thus, to find points where $\mathcal{F}_{z|Q_1}$ attains negative values, it suffices to explore the faces of Newt($\mathcal{F}_{G/\gamma}$).

The facets of Newt($\mathcal{F}_{G/\gamma}$) are given by one-vertex irreducible subgraphs γ_2 of G/γ . For these, we solve the affine Landau equations for $(G/\gamma)/\gamma_2$. We continue this reduction until the contracted graph has one vertex and no edges. We summarize this procedure in Algorithm 1.

Algorithm 1 preclude_copositivity

Input: (G, \mathcal{F}_z) , a Feynman graph and its second Symanzik polynomial Output: true if \mathcal{F}_z is not copositive, false otherwise 1: $X \leftarrow$ positive solutions of (31) for \mathcal{F}_z 2: if $\mathcal{F}_z(x) < 0$ for some $x \in X$ then return true 3: subgraphs $\leftarrow (\gamma, \mathcal{F}_{G/\gamma})$ for all one-vertex irreducible subgraphs γ of G4: for $(\gamma, \mathcal{F}_{G/\gamma}) \in$ subgraphs do 5: if preclude_copositivity $(\gamma, \mathcal{F}_{G/\gamma})$ then return true return false

Our software CopositiveFeynman.jl offers a test implementation of Algorithm 1. We conclude by running it on the Feynman graphs in (17), for parameters z we saw in Section 4.

Example 7.2. Fix the double box G_1 , nonplanar double box G_2 and beetle graph G_3 , with four kinematic parameters z = (m, M, s, t). We run our code on all three graphs for $z = (1, \frac{1}{4}, -6, -6)$ and for $\tilde{z} = (5, 4, -8, 22)$. These are the parameters from Example 4.3.

For z, the smallest Pólya exponent for G_1 and G_3 is N = 0. Indeed, for these two graphs, \mathcal{F}_z has only positive coefficients. For G_2 , the function preclude_copositivity() gives that

$$\mathcal{F}_{z}|_{Q}(x) = x_{2}x_{6}^{2} + x_{2}^{2}x_{6} + x_{2}^{2}x_{5} + x_{6}^{2}x_{5} + x_{2}x_{5}^{2} + x_{5}^{2}x_{6} - 10x_{2}x_{5}x_{6} \approx -13.7588$$

for x = (1, 2.5351, 1, 1, 2.5352, 1, 1), and it exhibits the relevant two-dimensional face Q of the Feynman polytope. For \tilde{z} , the second Symanzik polynomial of G_3 is not copositive since

$$\mathcal{F}_{\tilde{z}}|_Q(x) = 5x_2^2x_4 - 12x_2x_4x_7 + 5x_4x_7^2 \approx -2.2$$

for x = (1, 1.2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1) and the face $Q = \text{conv}\{(0, 2, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1), (0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 2)\}$. For G_1 and G_2 , the product $(x_1 + \dots + x_7)\mathcal{F}_{\tilde{z}}(x)$ has only positive coefficients, certifying copositivity.

Acknowledgement: Supported by the ERC (UNIVERSE PLUS, 101118787). Views and opinions expressed are however those of the authors only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Research Council Executive Agency. Neither the European Union nor the granting authority can be held responsible for them.

References

- N. Arkani-Hamed, A. Hillman and S. Mizera: Feynman polytopes and the tropical geometry of UV and IR divergences, Physical Review D 105 (2022) 125013.
- [2] M. Bodirsky, M. Kummer and A. Thom: Spectrahedral shadows and completely positive maps on real closed fields, Journal of the European Mathematical Society (2025).
- [3] I. Bomze, M. Dür, E. de Klerk, C. Roos, A. Quist, and T. Terlaky: On copositive programming and standard quadratic optimization problems, J. Global Optimization 18 (2000) 301–320.
- [4] M. Borinsky: Tropical Monte Carlo quadrature for Feynman integrals, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Comb. Phys. Interact. 10 (2023) 635–685.
- [5] M. Borinsky, H. J. Munch, and F. Tellander: Tropical Feynman integration in the Minkowski regime, Computer Physics Communications 292 (2023) 108874.
- [6] P. Breiding and S. Timme: HomotopyContinuation.jl: A Package for Homotopy Continuation in Julia, in: Mathematical Software – ICMS 2018. ICMS 2018 (eds. J. Davenport, M. Kauers, G. Labahn and J. Urban), (2018), 458–465.
- [7] F. Brown: Feynman amplitudes, coaction principle, and cosmic Galois group, Communications in Number Theory and Physics 11 (2017) 453–556.
- [8] V. Calvo Cortes, H. Frost and B. Sturmfels: Kinematic stratifications, arXiv:2503.09571.
- [9] M. Castle, V. Powers, and B. Reznick: Pólya's theorem with zeros, Journal of Symbolic Computation 46 (2011) 1039–1048.
- [10] W. Decker, C. Eder, C. Fieker, M. Horn, and M. Joswig: The Computer Algebra System OSCAR: Algorithms and Examples, Springer, 2025.
- [11] L. de la Cruz, D. Kosower and P. Novichkov: *Finite integrals from Feynman polytopes*, arXiv:2410.18014.
- [12] E. de Klerk and D. V. Pasechnik: Approximation of the stability number of a graph via copositive programming, SIAM J. Optimization 12 (2002) 875–892.
- [13] M. Dür: Copositive programming a survey, in: Recent Advances in Optimization and its Applications in Engineering (eds. M. Diehl, F. Glineur, E. Jarlebring, W.Michiels), 2010, 3–20.
- [14] C. Fevola, S. Mizera, and S. Telen: *Principal Landau determinants*, Computer Physics Communications **303** (2024), art. 109278.
- [15] I. Gel'fand, M. Kapranov, and A. Zelevinsky: Discriminants, Resultants, and Multidimensional Determinants, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1994.
- [16] K. P. Hadeler: On copositive matrices, Linear Algebra and its Applications 49 (1983) 79–89.
- [17] J. Henn and P. Raman: Positivity properties of scattering amplitudes, arXiv:2407.05755.
- [18] M. Laurent and L. Vargas: Exactness of Parrilo's conic approximations for copositive matrices and associated low order bounds for the stability number of a graph, Mathematics of Operations Research 48 (2022) 1017–1043.
- [19] S. Mizera and S. Telen: Landau discriminants, J. High Energ. Phys. (2022), no. 8, art. 200.
- [20] T. S. Motzkin: Copositive quadratic forms, Report 1818, National Bureau of Standards, 1952.
- [21] J. Nie: Moment and Polynomial Optimization, Society for Industrial and Applied Math., 2023.

- [22] P. Parrilo: Structured Semidefinite Programs and Semi-algebraic Geometry Methods in Robustness and Optimization, Ph.D. thesis, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, 2000.
- [23] G. Pólya: Über positive Darstellung von Polynomen, Naturforsch. Ges. Zürich 73 (1928) 141– 145; in Collected Papers 7 (1974), MIT Press, 309–313.
- [24] V. Powers and B. Reznick: A new bound for Pólya's theorem with applications to polynomials positive on polyhedra, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra 164 (2001) 221–229.
- [25] K. Ranestad, B. Sturmfels and S. Telen: What is positive geometry?, arXiv:2502.12815.
- [26] L. Vargas: Sum-of-Squares Representations for Copositive Matrices and Independent Sets in Graphs, Ph.D. thesis, Tilburg University, 2023.
- [27] S. Weinzierl: *Feynman Integrals*, Springer Verlag, 2022.

Authors' addresses:

Bernd Sturmfels, MPI-MiS Leipzig Máté L. Telek, MPI-MiS Leipzig

bernd@mis.mpg.de
mate.telek@mis.mpg.de