

On one typical Einstein-Weyl equation: inverse spectral transform for the Cauchy problem, longtime behaviour of the solutions and implicit solutions

Ge Yi, Zikai Chen, Kelei Tian, Ying Xu*

School of Mathematics, Hefei University of Technology, Hefei 230601, China

* Corresponding author: xuying@hfut.edu.cn

April 3, 2025

Abstract: In this paper, we study one typical Einstein-Weyl equation. It arises from Ferapontov and Kruglikov's investigation on the integrability of several dispersionless partial differential equations and the geometry of their formal linearizations. First, by using Manakov-Santini IST (inverse spectral transform) method, we investigate its Cauchy problem including the direct problem from the initial data, the time evolution of the scattering and spectral data, and the inverse problem. Second, based on the nonlinear RH (Riemann-Hilbert) dressing, the longtime behaviour of the solutions is constructed. In addition, some implicit solutions are presented.

Keywords: inverse spectral transform, nonlinear Riemann-Hilbert dressing, longtime behaviour.

1. Introduction

Einstein-Weyl geometry, introduced by Weyl in an attempt to unify electromagnetic and gravitational fields within the framework of geometry [1], has received extensive attention in recent decades. In 1990, Ward demonstrated the existence of a special class of Einstein-Weyl spaces corresponding to solutions of the Toda field equation for the group $SU(\infty)$, which provides a perspective for studying conformal field theory [2]. In 1993, Tod investigated various differential geometric properties of three-dimensional Einstein-Weyl spaces, giving some examples of such spaces and contributing to the development of their general theory [3]. In 2001, Dunajski, Mason, and Tod explored the relationship between Einstein-Weyl geometry and the dKP (dispersionless Kadomtsev-Petviashvili) equation. They showed that Einstein-Weyl equations in $(2+1)$ -dimensional space contain the dKP equation as a special case [4]. In 2002, Dunajski and Tod showed how the solutions of the dKP equation can be used to construct certain three-dimensional Einstein-Weyl structures [5]. In 2004, Dunajski demonstrated that the solutions of a pair of quasi-linear partial differential equations can be used to construct Lorentzian Einstein-Weyl structures in $(2+1)$ -dimensional space [6].

The dispersionless integrable systems, which have attracted significant focus in mathematical physics and theoretical physics, are an important class of integrable systems. They are often equivalent to the commutation condition of vector field Lax pairs. In 1991, Krichever pointed out that the dispersionless (or semiclassical) limits of the classical KP (Kadomtsev-Petviashvili) hierarchy is the dKP hierarchy [7]. In 1992, motivated by Krichever's works, Takasaki and

Takebe proposed the SDiff(2) KP hierarchy related to the group of area-preserving diffeomorphisms on a cylinder [8]. In 1997, Guha and Takasaki established a dictionary between the twistor geometry and the method of RH problem for both the dKP and dToda (dispersionless Toda) hierarchies [9]. In 2011, Takasaki and Nakatsu showed that the solution of a Riemann-Hilbert problem associated with thermodynamic limit of random partition models is identified with a special solution of the dToda hierarchy [10]. In 2012, Takasaki studied geometric aspects of two particular types of finite-variable reductions in the dToda hierarchy [11]. In 2015, Takasaki introduced the orbifold generalizations of the ordinary and modified melting crystal models, and pointed out that the associated partition functions can be converted to tau functions of the 2D Toda hierarchy [12]. In 2019, Takasaki addressed the problems of quantum spectral curves and 4D limit for the melting crystal model of 5D SUSY U(1) Yang-Mills theory on $\mathbb{R}^4 \times S^1$ [13]. In 2014, Ferapontov and Kruglikov showed that the symbols of the formal linearizations of several classes of second-order dispersionless systems define conformal structures of Einstein-Weyl geometry in three dimensions (or self-dual in four dimensions). This implies that one can see the integrability of these dispersionless systems from the geometry of their formal linearizations [14]. In order to read the connection between the integrability of a given partial differential equation and the geometry of its formal linearization, they studied four classes of dispersionless systems in three dimensions. An important class among them,

$$(a(u))_{xx} + (b(u))_{yy} + (c(u))_{tt} + 2(p(u))_{xy} + 2(q(u))_{xt} + 2(r(u))_{yt} = 0, \quad (1)$$

has been studied in detail [5, 14]. This class of systems is integrable by the method of hydrodynamic reductions if a symmetric matrix associated with its coefficients satisfies a particular constraint [14, 15]. Under this constraint, there are five canonical forms of nonlinear integrable models, including the well-known BF (Boyer-Finley) equation and dKP equation. We concentrate on another model among the five nonlinear integrable models,

$$(u^2)_{xy} + u_{yy} + 2u_{xt} = 0, \quad (2)$$

where the potential $u(x, y, t)$, the space variables x, y and the time variable t are real. Such dispersionless integrable system can arise from the commutation of the Lax pair

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{L}_1 &= \partial_y - \lambda \partial_x + 2u_x \lambda \partial_\lambda, \\ \hat{L}_2 &= \partial_t + \left(\frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 + u\lambda\right)\partial_x - (u_x\lambda + u_y + 2uu_x)\lambda\partial_\lambda. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

For some complicated nonlinear mathematical physics systems, finding solutions is usually very difficult. In integrable systems, the IST method is one significant approach to solving these systems. It is first introduced by Gardner, Green, Kruskal and Miura in [16] to solve the Cauchy problem of distinguished KdV (Korteweg-de Vries) equation. In 1968, Lax summarized their works, making the IST method become a general method of studying classical integrable systems systematically [17]. Since then, many important classical integrable systems including their Cauchy problems and soliton solutions have been extensively studied. Around 2006, Manakov and Santini introduced a novel IST method for solving dispersionless integrable systems [18, 19]. Subsequently, using this novel IST method, they constructed the formal solution of the Cauchy problem of a hierarchy of integrable partial differential equations in $(2 + 1)$ dimensions and the dispersionless 2D Toda equation [20, 21].

Among these dispersionless integrable systems, the dKP equation has undergone relatively systematic study under this novel IST method. In [18, 22], Manakov and Santini studied the Cauchy problem, longtime behaviour, wave breaking and implicit solutions of the dKP equation.

In the study of longtime behaviour of the solutions of the dKP equation, based on the space-time region

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \tilde{x} + v_1 t, \quad y = v_2 t, \quad x + \frac{y^2}{4t} = \tilde{x}, \\ \tilde{x} - 2ut, \quad v_1, \quad v_2 &= O(1), \quad v_2 \neq 0, \quad t \gg 1, \end{aligned}$$

they obtained

$$u = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} G\left(x + \frac{y^2}{4t} - 2ut, \frac{y}{2t}\right) + o\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}\right).$$

From above equation they explored the wave breaking and obtained that the wave breaking of the dKP equation is similar to (1+1)-dimensional Hopf equation, implying that the dKP equation can be viewed as the integrable universal model in the description of the wave breaking of a localized two-dimensional wave.

In this paper, using Manakov-Santini IST method, we focus on the Cauchy problem and longtime behaviour of the system (2). The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we construct the formal IST to solve the Cauchy problem with localized initial data. We also consider the time evolution of the scattering and spectral data for an associated hierarchy. In section 3, based on the nonlinear RH dressing, we construct the longtime behaviour of the solutions. In section 4, we present some implicit solutions .

2. The Cauchy problem for the equation

For the evolution systems, both the vanishing-boundary and periodic initial data have strong physical background and have been widely studied in mathematics. In this section, using Manakov-Santini IST method, we construct the formal solution with vanishing-boundary conditions, i.e., the equation (2) within the class of rapidly decreasing real potential $u(x, y, t)$,

$$u \rightarrow 0, \quad (x^2 + y^2) \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4)$$

2.1. Jost eigenfunctions and analytic eigenfunctions

Due to the localization (4) of the potential u , the eigenfunction $f(x, y, \lambda)$ of operator \hat{L}_1 exhibits the following asymptotics,

$$f(x, y, \lambda) \rightarrow f_{\pm}(\lambda, \gamma), \quad y \rightarrow \pm\infty,$$

where $\gamma = x + \lambda y$.

The Jost eigenfunctions of operator \hat{L}_1 , defined on $Im\lambda = 0$, play a basic role in the IST theory. The vector Jost eigenfunctions $\vec{\varphi}_{\pm}(x, y, \lambda)$ exhibit the following asymptotics,

$$\vec{\varphi}_{\pm}(x, y, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{\pm 1}(x, y, \lambda) \\ \varphi_{\pm 2}(x, y, \lambda) \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \vec{\xi}, \quad y \rightarrow \pm\infty, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (5)$$

where

$$\vec{\xi} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \\ \gamma \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma = x + \lambda y.$$

There is a connection between these Jost eigenfunctions and the dynamical system

$$\frac{d\vec{v}}{dy} = \begin{pmatrix} 2u_x \lambda \\ -\lambda \end{pmatrix}, \quad (6)$$

where $\vec{v}(y) = (\lambda(y), x(y))^T$, and y is interpreted as the time variable.

Assuming that the potential u is smooth and sufficiently localized function of x and y , it follows from the ODE theory that the solution

$$\vec{v} = \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda(y, x_0, y_0, \lambda_0) \\ x(y, x_0, y_0, \lambda_0) \end{pmatrix}$$

of system (6), with the initial condition $(\lambda(y_0), x(y_0))^T = (\lambda_0, x_0)^T$, exists uniquely. It is globally defined for real y , with the following asymptotic states $\tilde{\lambda}_\pm, \tilde{x}_\pm$,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_\pm(x, y, \lambda) &\rightarrow \tilde{\lambda}_\pm(x_0, y_0, \lambda_0), \quad y \rightarrow \pm\infty, \\ x_\pm(x, y, \lambda) &\rightarrow -y\tilde{\lambda}_\pm(x_0, y_0, \lambda_0) + \tilde{x}_\pm(x_0, y_0, \lambda_0), \quad y \rightarrow \pm\infty. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

The asymptotics (7) imply that when the initial point (x_0, y_0, λ_0) moves along the trajectories, these asymptotic states $\tilde{\lambda}_\pm(x_0, y_0, \lambda_0), \tilde{x}_\pm(x_0, y_0, \lambda_0)$ are constants of motion for the dynamical system (6). Therefore, $\lambda_\pm(x, y, \lambda)$ and $x_\pm(x, y, \lambda)$ are the solutions of the vector field equation

$$\hat{L}_1 \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_\pm(x, y, \lambda) \\ x_\pm(x, y, \lambda) \end{pmatrix} = \vec{0}.$$

Due to asymptotics (5) and (7), they coincide with the real Jost eigenfunctions $\vec{\varphi}_\pm(x, y, \lambda)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{\pm 1}(x, y, \lambda) &= \lambda_\pm(x, y, \lambda), \\ \varphi_{\pm 2}(x, y, \lambda) &= x_\pm(x, y, \lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Usually, the integrable systems are often associated with some RH problems by using the IST method. The vanishing-boundary problems are often associated with the RH problems with jumps along the real axis. The analytic eigenfunctions that are analytic in the upper and lower halves of complex λ plane respectively are concerned particularly. The analytic eigenfunctions $\vec{\Psi}^\pm(x, y, \lambda)$ of operator \hat{L}_1 satisfy the asymptotics

$$\vec{\Psi}^\pm(x, y, \lambda) \rightarrow \vec{\xi}, \quad (x^2 + y^2) \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $\vec{\Psi}^+$ is analytic in $Im\lambda > 0$ and continuous in $Im\lambda \geq 0$, while $\vec{\Psi}^-$ is analytic in $Im\lambda < 0$ and continuous in $Im\lambda \leq 0$.

These analytic eigenfunctions can be characterized by the integral equations

$$\vec{\Psi}^\pm(x, y, \lambda) = \vec{\xi} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dx' dy' G^\pm(x - x', y - y', \lambda) (-2u_{x'}(x', y') \lambda \vec{\Psi}_\lambda^\pm(x', y', \lambda)),$$

where

$$G^\pm(x, y, \lambda) = \mp \frac{1}{2\pi i [x + (\lambda \pm i\epsilon)y]} \quad (8)$$

are the analytic Green's functions, and the symbol $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}$ represents the double integral over \mathbb{R}^2 .

The analyticity properties of $G^\pm(x, y, \lambda)$ guarantee the analyticity and continuity of $\vec{\Psi}^\pm(x, y, \lambda)$. $\vec{\Psi}^\pm(x, y, \lambda)$ satisfy the following asymptotics for $|\lambda| \gg 1$,

$$\vec{\Psi}^\pm(x, y, \lambda) = \vec{\eta} + \frac{1}{\lambda} \vec{U}(x, y) + \vec{O}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^2}\right), \quad (9)$$

where

$$\vec{\eta} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda + 2u \\ x + \lambda y + 2uy \end{pmatrix}, \quad \vec{U}(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} 2\partial_x^{-1} u_y \\ 2\partial_x^{-1}(u_y y) + 2\partial_x^{-1} u \end{pmatrix}.$$

The analytic Green's functions (8) exhibit the following asymptotics for $y \rightarrow \pm\infty$,

$$G^\pm(x - x', y - y', \lambda) \rightarrow \mp \frac{1}{2\pi i[\gamma - \gamma' \pm i\epsilon]}, \quad y \rightarrow +\infty,$$

$$G^\pm(x - x', y - y', \lambda) \rightarrow \mp \frac{1}{2\pi i[\gamma - \gamma' \mp i\epsilon]}, \quad y \rightarrow -\infty,$$

where $\gamma = x + \lambda y$, $\gamma' = x' + \lambda y'$. The asymptotics imply that the limits of $\vec{\Psi}^+$ and $\vec{\Psi}^-$ as $y \rightarrow +\infty$ are analytic in the upper and lower halves of complex γ plane, respectively, while the the limits of $\vec{\Psi}^+$ and $\vec{\Psi}^-$ as $y \rightarrow -\infty$ are analytic in the lower and upper halves of complex γ plane, respectively.

2.2. Scattering and spectral data

In this subsection, based on the above Jost eigenfunctions and analytic eigenfunctions, we construct the scattering and spectral data that are used in the IST method from the initial data.

From the limit of $\vec{\varphi}_-$ as $y \rightarrow +\infty$, one can define the following scattering vector $\vec{\sigma}$,

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow +\infty} \vec{\varphi}_-(x, y, \lambda) = \vec{S}(\vec{\xi}) = \vec{\xi} + \vec{\sigma}(\vec{\xi}). \quad (10)$$

The scattering data $\vec{\sigma}(\vec{\xi})$ can be constructed from (6) and (10).

Since Lax pair (3) is made of vector fields, the space of eigenfunctions is actually a ring, i.e., if f_1 and f_2 are two solutions of $\hat{L}_1 f = 0$, then an arbitrary differentiable function $F(f_1, f_2)$ is also a solution [16]. The Jost eigenfunctions $\vec{\varphi}_+$ and $\vec{\varphi}_-$ can form a basis, respectively, and the analytic eigenfunctions $\vec{\Psi}^\pm$ can be defined by

$$\vec{\Psi}^+(x, y, \lambda) = \vec{\mathcal{K}}_-^+(\vec{\varphi}_-(x, y, \lambda)) = \vec{\mathcal{K}}_+^-(\vec{\varphi}_+(x, y, \lambda)), \quad (11)$$

$$\vec{\Psi}^-(x, y, \lambda) = \vec{\mathcal{K}}_-^-(\vec{\varphi}_-(x, y, \lambda)) = \vec{\mathcal{K}}_+^+(\vec{\varphi}_+(x, y, \lambda)), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (12)$$

where

$$\vec{\mathcal{K}}_b^a(\vec{\zeta}) = \vec{\zeta} + \vec{\chi}_b^a(\vec{\zeta}), \quad (13)$$

the superscript a and subscript b can be $+$ or $-$. $\vec{\mathcal{K}}_b^a$ is vector function with vector variables $\vec{\zeta}$. Specifically, $\vec{\zeta}$ take the values of $\vec{\varphi}_+$ or $\vec{\varphi}_-$ in (11) and (12).

From (11) and (12), it follows that

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow -\infty} \vec{\Psi}^\pm(x, y, \lambda) = \vec{\xi} + \vec{\chi}_\mp^\pm(\vec{\xi}),$$

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow +\infty} \vec{\Psi}^\pm(x, y, \lambda) = \vec{\xi} + \vec{\chi}_\pm^\mp(\vec{\xi}).$$

The analyticity properties of $\vec{\Psi}^+$ and $\vec{\Psi}^-$ imply that $\vec{\chi}_+^-(\vec{\xi})$ and $\vec{\chi}_-^-(\vec{\xi})$ are analytic in $Im \gamma > 0$ decaying at $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$ like $O(\gamma^{-1})$, while $\vec{\chi}_+^+(\vec{\xi})$ and $\vec{\chi}_-^+(\vec{\xi})$ are analytic in $Im \gamma < 0$ decaying at $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$ like $O(\gamma^{-1})$.

The above spectral vectors $\vec{\chi}_b^a$ can be constructed from the scattering vector $\vec{\sigma}$ through some linear integral equations. Manakov and Santini have previously used the Fourier transform to show this process [18–21]. In addition, the RH problem with a shift can be used to investigate this problem in [23]. The authors used the associated RH problems with a shift to construct

spectral data for the Dunajski hierarchy. Taking the limit of the second equality of (11) as $y \rightarrow +\infty$, one obtains the following equations,

$$\vec{\chi}_+^-(\vec{\xi}) - \vec{\chi}_-^+(\vec{\xi} + \vec{\sigma}(\vec{\xi})) = \vec{\sigma}(\vec{\xi}), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (14)$$

In fact, they can be viewed as two linear scalar RH problems in the variable $\vec{\xi}$, with the given shift $\vec{\sigma}(\vec{\xi})$ for the unknown $\vec{\chi}_+^-$ and $\vec{\chi}_-^+$. Using relevant theory in [24], one can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \chi_{-j}^+(\vec{\xi} + \vec{\sigma}(\vec{\xi})) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\chi_{-j}^+(\xi')}{\gamma' - (\gamma + \sigma_2(\vec{\xi}))} d\gamma' &= 0, \\ -\frac{1}{2} \chi_{+j}^-(\vec{\xi}) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\chi_{+j}^-(\xi')}{\gamma' - \gamma} d\gamma' &= 0, \quad j = 1, 2. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Combining equations (14) and (15), these two RH problems with a shift are equivalent to the linear Fredholm equations

$$\chi_{+j}^-(\vec{\xi}) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} K(\vec{\xi}, \xi') \chi_{+j}^-(\xi') d\gamma' - M_j(\vec{\xi}) = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (16)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} K(\vec{\xi}, \xi') &= \frac{\partial(S(\xi'))/\partial\gamma'}{S(\xi') - S(\vec{\xi})} - \frac{1}{\gamma' - \gamma}, \\ M_j(\vec{\xi}) &= \frac{1}{2} \sigma_j(\vec{\xi}) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial(S(\xi'))/\partial\gamma'}{S(\xi') - S(\vec{\xi})} \sigma_j(\xi') d\gamma', \quad j = 1, 2, \\ S(\vec{\xi}) &= \gamma + \sigma_2(\vec{\xi}), \quad S(\xi') = \gamma' + \sigma_2(\xi'), \\ \vec{\xi} &= (\lambda, \gamma)^T, \quad \xi' = (\lambda, \gamma')^T, \end{aligned}$$

and the integrals in (16) are understood in the sense of the principal value.

In addition, if the potential $u \in \mathbb{R}$, then the vector fields are real for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{\varphi}_{\pm} &\in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad \overline{\vec{\Psi}^-} = \vec{\Psi}^+, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \vec{\sigma} &\in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad \overline{\vec{\mathcal{K}}_a^-} = \vec{\mathcal{K}}_a^+, \quad \overline{\vec{\chi}_a^-} = \vec{\chi}_a^+. \end{aligned}$$

From above process, we analyze the direct problem, obtaining the scattering data $\vec{\sigma}(\vec{\xi})$ and the spectral data $\vec{\chi}_+^-(\vec{\xi})$. Specifically, we construct (i) the scattering data $\vec{\sigma}(\vec{\xi})$ from the initial data $u(x, y, 0)$ and Jost eigenfunctions $\vec{\varphi}_-$, and (ii) the spectral data $\vec{\chi}_+^-(\vec{\xi})$ from solving the RH problems with a shift.

2.3. Time evolution of the scattering and spectral data

An important feature of integrable systems with Lax integrability is that the time variable usually appears in only one of the two Lax operators, indicating that the time evolution of these systems is reflected in that operator. In above direct problem, we only use the first operator \hat{L}_1 which characterizes spatial variations. In this subsection, by using the second operator \hat{L}_2 , we investigate the time evolution of the scattering and spectral data.

We denote these data containing t by $\vec{S}(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, t)$, $\vec{\mathcal{K}}_b^a(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, t)$, where

$$\vec{S}(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, 0) = \vec{S}(\zeta_1, \zeta_2), \quad \vec{\mathcal{K}}_b^a(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, 0) = \vec{\mathcal{K}}_b^a(\zeta_1, \zeta_2).$$

These data can be described by the equations

$$\begin{aligned}(\partial_t + \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2\partial_{\zeta_2})f_1 &= 0, \\(\partial_t + \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2\partial_{\zeta_2})f_2 &= \frac{1}{2}f_1^2,\end{aligned}\tag{17}$$

where $f_1(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, t)$ and $f_2(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, t)$ are the two components of $\vec{f}(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, t)$. The solutions f_1 and f_2 can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}f_1(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, t) &= f_1(\zeta_1, \zeta_2 - \frac{1}{2}t\zeta_1^2, 0), \\f_2(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, t) &= f_2(\zeta_1, \zeta_2 - \frac{1}{2}t\zeta_1^2, 0) + \frac{1}{2}t(f_1(\zeta_1, \zeta_2 - \frac{1}{2}t\zeta_1^2, 0))^2.\end{aligned}\tag{18}$$

In fact,

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{-1}(x, y, t, \lambda) &= \varphi_{-1}(x, y, t, \lambda), \\ \phi_{-2}(x, y, t, \lambda) &= \varphi_{-2}(x, y, t, \lambda) - \frac{1}{2}t(\varphi_{-1}(x, y, t, \lambda))^2\end{aligned}\tag{19}$$

are common Jost eigenfunctions of \hat{L}_1 , \hat{L}_2 , and they are a basis of the space of eigenfunctions. The limit of equation $\hat{L}_2\phi_{-1} = 0$ as $y \rightarrow +\infty$ yields $(\partial_t + \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2\partial_\gamma)\tilde{S}_1(\lambda, \gamma, t) = 0$, while the limit of equation $\hat{L}_2\phi_{-2} = 0$ as $y \rightarrow +\infty$ yields $(\partial_t + \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2\partial_\gamma)(\tilde{S}_2(\lambda, \gamma, t) - \frac{1}{2}t(\tilde{S}_1(\lambda, \gamma, t))^2) = 0$, implying that \tilde{S}_1 and \tilde{S}_2 can be described by (17).

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_1^\pm(x, y, t, \lambda) &= \Psi_1^\pm(x, y, t, \lambda), \\ \pi_2^\pm(x, y, t, \lambda) &= \Psi_2^\pm(x, y, t, \lambda) - \frac{1}{2}t(\Psi_1^\pm(x, y, t, \lambda))^2\end{aligned}\tag{20}$$

are common analytic eigenfunctions of \hat{L}_1 and \hat{L}_2 . ϕ_{-1} and ϕ_{-2} are a basis of the space of eigenfunctions, and

$$\pi_1^\pm = \kappa_{-1}^\pm(\phi_{-1}, \phi_{-2}), \quad \pi_2^\pm = \kappa_{-2}^\pm(\phi_{-1}, \phi_{-2}), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R},\tag{21}$$

where κ_{-1}^+ and κ_{-2}^+ are two components of $\vec{\kappa}_-^+$, while κ_{-1}^- and κ_{-2}^- are two components of $\vec{\kappa}_-^-$, and they don't depend explicitly on t . At $t = 0$,

$$\vec{\mathcal{K}}_-^\pm(\varphi_{-1}(x, y, 0, \lambda), \varphi_{-2}(x, y, 0, \lambda), 0) = \vec{\kappa}_-^\pm(\varphi_{-1}(x, y, 0, \lambda), \varphi_{-2}(x, y, 0, \lambda)), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}.\tag{22}$$

From (19), (21) and (22), it follows that

$$\vec{\pi}^\pm(x, y, t, \lambda) = \vec{\mathcal{K}}_-^\pm(\varphi_{-1}(x, y, t, \lambda), \varphi_{-2}(x, y, t, \lambda) - \frac{1}{2}t(\varphi_{-1}(x, y, t, \lambda))^2, 0), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}.\tag{23}$$

According to (11), (12), (20) and (23), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{-1}^\pm(\varphi_{-1}, \varphi_{-2}, t) &= \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{-1}^\pm(\varphi_{-1}, \varphi_{-2} - \frac{1}{2}t(\varphi_{-1})^2, 0), \\ \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{-2}^\pm(\varphi_{-1}, \varphi_{-2}, t) &= \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{-2}^\pm(\varphi_{-1}, \varphi_{-2} - \frac{1}{2}t(\varphi_{-1})^2, 0) + \frac{1}{2}t(\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{-1}^\pm(\varphi_{-1}, \varphi_{-2} - \frac{1}{2}t(\varphi_{-1})^2, 0))^2,\end{aligned}\tag{24}$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{-1}^\pm$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{-2}^\pm$ two components of $\vec{\mathcal{K}}_-^\pm$. It implies that $\vec{\mathcal{K}}_-^\pm$ can be described by (18). Similarly, it holds for $\vec{\mathcal{K}}_+^\pm$.

2.4. Inverse problem

In the investigation of the dispersionless integrable systems by using Manakov-Santini IST method, the inverse problem can be completed by solving an associated nonlinear RH problem. In this subsection, we concentrate on the construction of the inverse problem.

It follows from (19) and (23) that

$$\vec{\pi}^+(x, y, t, \lambda) = \vec{\mathcal{K}}_-^+(\vec{\phi}_-(x, y, t, \lambda), 0), \quad (25)$$

$$\vec{\pi}^-(x, y, t, \lambda) = \vec{\mathcal{K}}_-^-(\vec{\phi}_-(x, y, t, \lambda), 0), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (26)$$

From (25) and (26), one can construct the following nonlinear RH problem on the real axis,

$$\vec{\pi}^+(\lambda) = \vec{\mathcal{R}}(\vec{\pi}^-(\lambda)) = \vec{\pi}^-(\lambda) + \vec{R}(\vec{\pi}^-(\lambda)), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (27)$$

where $\vec{\pi}^\pm(\lambda) = (\pi_1^\pm(\lambda), \pi_2^\pm(\lambda))^T \in \mathbb{C}^2$, and the the RH data $\vec{\mathcal{R}}$ are constructed from the given spectral data $\vec{\mathcal{K}}_-^\pm$. The solutions $\vec{\pi}^\pm(\lambda)$ are analytic in the upper and lower halves of the complex λ plane, respectively, with the normalizations

$$\vec{\psi}^\pm(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda + 2u \\ -\frac{t}{2}\lambda^2 + (y - 2ut)\lambda + x - 2(u^2 + \partial_x^{-1}u_y)t + 2uy \end{pmatrix} + \vec{O}(\lambda^{-1}), \quad |\lambda| \gg 1. \quad (28)$$

Subtracting $\vec{\xi}$ from (25) and (26), and applying the operators \hat{P}_- and \hat{P}_+ , defined by

$$\hat{P}_\pm f(\lambda) = \pm \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{f(\lambda')}{\lambda' - (\lambda \pm i\epsilon)} d\lambda', \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (29)$$

to the resultant equalities, respectively, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi i} PV \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(\vec{\phi}_- - \vec{\xi})}{\lambda' - \lambda} d\lambda' + \frac{1}{2}(\vec{\phi}_- - \vec{\xi}) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\vec{\chi}_-^+(\vec{\phi}_-)}{\lambda' - (\lambda + i\epsilon)} d\lambda' &= 0, \\ -\frac{1}{2\pi i} PV \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(\vec{\phi}_- - \vec{\xi})}{\lambda' - \lambda} d\lambda' + \frac{1}{2}(\vec{\phi}_- - \vec{\xi}) - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\vec{\chi}_-^-(\vec{\phi}_-)}{\lambda' - (\lambda - i\epsilon)} d\lambda' &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $PV \int_{\mathbb{R}}$ represents the Cauchy principal value integral. It follows that

$$\vec{\phi}_- + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\vec{\chi}_-^+(\vec{\phi}_-)}{\lambda' - (\lambda + i\epsilon)} d\lambda' - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\vec{\chi}_-^-(\vec{\phi}_-)}{\lambda' - (\lambda - i\epsilon)} d\lambda' = \vec{\xi}. \quad (30)$$

With given spectral data, $\vec{\phi}_-$ can be reconstructed from (30). Then, the RH data $\vec{\mathcal{R}}$ is obtained from equations (25)-(27). It follows from (28) that

$$\partial_x^{-1}u_y = \frac{1}{2} \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(\psi_1^\pm(\lambda) - \lambda - 2u). \quad (31)$$

From equations (27) and (31), one can construct the potential u .

2.5. Time evolution of the scattering and spectral data for an associated hierarchy

In fact, the integrable hierarchy formed by the infinite symmetries of (2) has been established in [25]. The hierarchy reflects the commutation between \hat{L}_1 and higher-order time operators, implying that the direct and inverse problems of the hierarchy are similar with above subsections. In this subsection, we concentrate on the time evolution of the scattering and spectral data related to higher-order time operators.

In [25], the hamiltonian vector field Lax operators for the hierarchy is defined as

$$\hat{L}_n = \partial_{t_n} - \{H_n, \cdot\}, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \quad (32)$$

where

$$H_n = \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n^2} (\Phi^n)_{\geq 0},$$

$(\cdot)_{\geq 0}$ represents that the non-negative powers of λ are retained in the expansion of a function with respect to λ , the symbol $\{, \}$ stands for the special Poisson bracket in two-dimensional phase space (λ, x) ,

$$\{f, g\} = \lambda \frac{\partial f}{\partial \lambda} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x} - \lambda \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \lambda},$$

and Φ is the solution of $\hat{L}_1 f = 0$ with the formal Laurent expansion

$$\Phi = \lambda + \sum_{k \leq 0} f_k \lambda^k.$$

Similar to (17), the time dependence of the two components of the associated data $\vec{S}(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, t_n)$ and $\vec{K}_b^a(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, t_n)$ can be described as

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_{t_n} + \frac{(-1)^n}{n} \lambda^n \partial_{\zeta_2}) D_1 &= 0, \\ (\partial_{t_n} + \frac{(-1)^n}{n} \lambda^n \partial_{\zeta_2}) D_2 &= \frac{(-1)^n}{n} D_1^n, \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

whose explicit solutions can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} D_1(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, t_n) &= D_1(\zeta_1, \zeta_2 - \frac{(-1)^n}{n} t_n \zeta_1^n, 0), \\ D_2(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, t_n) &= D_2(\zeta_1, \zeta_2 - \frac{(-1)^n}{n} t_n \zeta_1^n, 0) + \frac{(-1)^n}{n} t_n (D_1(\zeta_1, \zeta_2 - \frac{(-1)^n}{n} t_n \zeta_1^n, 0))^n. \end{aligned}$$

Equations (33) follow from the common Jost eigenfunctions $\vec{\phi}_-(x, y, t_n, \lambda)$ and the common analytic eigenfunctions $\vec{\pi}^\pm(x, y, t_n, \lambda)$ of both \hat{L}_1 and \hat{L}_n , $n \geq 2$, that are constructed from the Jost eigenfunctions $\vec{\varphi}_-(x, y, t_n, \lambda)$ and the analytic eigenfunctions $\vec{\Psi}^\pm(x, y, t_n, \lambda)$ of \hat{L}_1 , respectively, via the formulae

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{-1}(x, y, t_n, \lambda) &= \varphi_{-1}(x, y, t_n, \lambda), \\ \phi_{-2}(x, y, t_n, \lambda) &= \varphi_{-2}(x, y, t_n, \lambda) - \frac{(-1)^n}{n} t_n (\varphi_{-1}(x, y, t_n, \lambda))^n, \\ \pi_1^\pm(x, y, t_n, \lambda) &= \Psi_1^\pm(x, y, t_n, \lambda), \\ \pi_2^\pm(x, y, t_n, \lambda) &= \Psi_2^\pm(x, y, t_n, \lambda) - \frac{(-1)^n}{n} t_n (\Psi_1^\pm(x, y, t_n, \lambda))^n. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

3. The longtime behaviour of the solutions

In the research of evolution systems, the longtime behaviour, particularly the asymptotic properties of the solutions as time tends to infinity, is often a significant focus. An associated nonlinear RH dressing has been presented in [25], and it can provide some integral equations to construct the longtime behaviour. In this section, we concentrate on the investigation of the longtime behaviour of the solutions.

In [25], the authors considered a nonlinear RH dressing with specific normalizations and a reality constraint, allowing the real potential u to be reconstructed. The nonlinear RH dressing is defined as

$$\vec{\pi}^+(\lambda) = \vec{\mathcal{R}}(\pi_1^-(\lambda), \pi_2^-(\lambda)) = \vec{\pi}^-(\lambda) + \vec{R}(\pi_1^-(\lambda), \pi_2^-(\lambda)), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (35)$$

where $\vec{\mathcal{R}}(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2$, $(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ is the RH data and the solutions $\vec{\pi}^\pm(\lambda) = (\pi_1^\pm, \pi_2^\pm)^T \in \mathbb{C}^2$ are analytic in the upper and lower halves of the complex λ plane, respectively, with the normalizations

$$\vec{\pi}^\pm(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda + 2u \\ -\frac{t}{2}\lambda^2 + (y - 2ut)\lambda + x - 2(u^2 + \partial_x^{-1}u_y)t + 2uy \end{pmatrix} + \vec{O}(\lambda^{-1}), \quad |\lambda| \gg 1, \quad (36)$$

where u satisfies

$$\partial_x^{-1}u_y = \frac{1}{2} \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(\pi_1^\pm(\lambda) - \lambda - 2u). \quad (37)$$

Supposing above inverse problem and its linearized problem are uniquely solvable, the solutions of (35) are common eigenfunctions of \hat{L}_j , $j = 1, 2$. Then the solutions of (2) can be reconstructed from (37). In addition, supposing the spectral data satisfies the reality constraint

$$\vec{\mathcal{R}}(\vec{\mathcal{R}}(\vec{\zeta})) = \vec{\zeta}, \quad (38)$$

where $\vec{\zeta} = (\zeta_1, \zeta_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2$, u is real.

π_1^- and π_2^- can be characterized as

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1^-(\lambda) &= \lambda + 2u + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\lambda'}{\lambda' - (\lambda - i\epsilon)} R_1(\pi_1^-(\lambda'), \pi_2^-(\lambda')), \\ \pi_2^-(\lambda) &= -\frac{t}{2}\lambda^2 + (y - 2ut)\lambda + x - 2(u^2 + \partial_x^{-1}u_y)t + 2uy \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\lambda'}{\lambda' - (\lambda - i\epsilon)} R_2(\pi_1^-(\lambda'), \pi_2^-(\lambda')). \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

From (37), one can get the following integral equation,

$$\partial_x^{-1}u_y = -\frac{1}{4\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\lambda R_1(\pi_1^-(\lambda), \pi_2^-(\lambda)). \quad (40)$$

In fact, by (39), equation (40) can be expressed as the following form,

$$\partial_x^{-1}u_y = F(x - 2(u^2 + \partial_x^{-1}u_y)t + 2uy, y - 2ut, t), \quad (41)$$

where

$$F(X, Y, t) = -\frac{1}{4\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\lambda R_1(\pi_1^-(\lambda; X, Y, t), \pi_2^-(\lambda; X, Y, t)). \quad (42)$$

Consider the longtime behaviour in the space-time region

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \tilde{x} + v_1 t, \quad y = \tilde{y} + v_2 t, \\ \tilde{x} - 2(u^2 + \partial_x^{-1}u_y)t, \quad \tilde{y} - 2ut, \quad v_1, v_2 &= O(1), \quad t \gg 1. \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

We define

$$\phi_j(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\lambda'}{\lambda' - (\lambda - i\epsilon)} R_j(\pi_1^-(\lambda'), \pi_2^-(\lambda')), \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (44)$$

Then π_1^- and π_2^- can be rewritten as the following form,

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_1^-(\lambda) &= \phi_1(\lambda) + \lambda + 2u, \\ \pi_2^-(\lambda) &= \phi_2(\lambda) - \frac{t}{2}\lambda^2 + (y - 2ut)\lambda + x - 2(u^2 + \partial_x^{-1}u_y)t + 2uy.\end{aligned}\tag{45}$$

Substituting (45) into (44) and then according to (43), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_j(\lambda) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\lambda'}{\lambda' - (\lambda - i\epsilon)} R_j(\lambda' + 2u + \phi_1(\lambda'), -\frac{1}{2}(\lambda' - \lambda_+)(\lambda' - \lambda_-)t \\ &+ (\tilde{y} - 2ut)(\lambda' - v_2) + \tilde{x} - 2(u^2 + \partial_x^{-1}u_y)t + \tilde{y}(2u + v_2) + \phi_2(\lambda')), \quad j = 1, 2,\end{aligned}\tag{46}$$

where

$$\lambda_{\pm} = v_2 \pm \sqrt{2v_1 + v_2^2}.$$

The fast decay is partially contrasted if $\lambda_+ = \lambda_-$ for $t \gg 1$, and it is equivalent to the parabola

$$x + \frac{(y - \tilde{y})^2}{2t} = \tilde{x} \quad (2v_1 + v_2^2 = 0)\tag{47}$$

of the (x, y) -plane.

Thus, on such a parabola the integral equations read as

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_j(\lambda) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\lambda'}{\lambda' - (\lambda - i\epsilon)} R_j(\lambda' + 2u + \phi_1(\lambda'), -\frac{1}{2}(\lambda' - v_2)^2t + (\tilde{y} - 2ut)(\lambda' - v_2) \\ &+ \tilde{x} - 2(u^2 + \partial_x^{-1}u_y)t + \tilde{y}(2u + v_2) + \phi_2(\lambda')), \quad j = 1, 2.\end{aligned}$$

Notice that the main contribution to the integral equations occurs when $\lambda' \sim v_2$, thus one can make the change of variables $\lambda' = v_2 + \frac{\mu'}{\sqrt{t}}$, obtaining

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_j(\lambda) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i\sqrt{t}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\mu'}{\frac{\mu'}{\sqrt{t}} - (\lambda - v_2 - i\epsilon)} R_j(v_2 + \frac{\mu'}{\sqrt{t}} + 2u + \phi_1(v_2 + \frac{\mu'}{\sqrt{t}}), -\frac{1}{2}\mu'^2 \\ &+ (\tilde{y} - 2ut)\frac{\mu'}{\sqrt{t}} + \tilde{x} - 2(u^2 + \partial_x^{-1}u_y)t + \tilde{y}(2u + v_2) + \phi_2(v_2 + \frac{\mu'}{\sqrt{t}})), \quad j = 1, 2.\end{aligned}\tag{48}$$

If $|\lambda - v_2| \gg t^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, according to (48), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_j(\lambda) &\sim -\frac{1}{2\pi i\sqrt{t}(\lambda - v_2 - i\epsilon)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\mu' R_j(v_2 + 2u + \phi_1(v_2 + \frac{\mu'}{\sqrt{t}}), -\frac{1}{2}\mu'^2 \\ &+ \tilde{x} - 2(u^2 + \partial_x^{-1}u_y)t + \tilde{y}(2u + v_2) + \phi_2(v_2 + \frac{\mu'}{\sqrt{t}})), \quad j = 1, 2.\end{aligned}$$

It implies that $\phi_j(\lambda) = O(t^{-\frac{1}{2}})$, $j = 1, 2$, and by (40), that

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_x^{-1}u_y &= -\frac{1}{4\pi i\sqrt{t}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\mu R_1(v_2 + 2u + \phi_1(v_2 + \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{t}}), -\frac{1}{2}\mu^2 + \tilde{x} \\ &- 2(u^2 + \partial_x^{-1}u_y)t + \tilde{y}(2u + v_2) + \phi_2(v_2 + \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{t}})) + o(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}).\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, if $\lambda - v_2 = \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{t}}$, according to (48), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_j(v_2 + \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{t}}) &\sim \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\mu'}{\mu' - (\mu - i\epsilon)} R_j(v_2 + 2u + \phi_1(v_2 + \frac{\mu'}{\sqrt{t}}), -\frac{1}{2}\mu'^2 \\ &\quad + \tilde{x} - 2(u^2 + \partial_x^{-1}u_y)t + \tilde{y}(2u + v_2) + \phi_2(v_2 + \frac{\mu'}{\sqrt{t}})), \quad j = 1, 2. \end{aligned}$$

It implies that $\phi_j(\lambda) = O(1)$, $j = 1, 2$. Therefore, one must take ϕ_j of R_j into consideration, implying that these integral equations remain nonlinear in the longtime regime.

The conclusions derived from above process can be summarized as the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *In the space-time region*

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \tilde{x} + v_1 t, \quad y = \tilde{y} + v_2 t, \quad x + \frac{(y - \tilde{y})^2}{2t} = \tilde{x}, \\ \tilde{x} - 2(u^2 + \partial_x^{-1}u_y)t, \quad \tilde{y} - 2ut, \quad v_1, \quad v_2 &= O(1), \quad t \gg 1, \end{aligned}$$

the longtime behaviour of the solutions reads

$$w = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} G\left(\frac{y - \tilde{y}}{t} + 2u, x + \frac{(y - \tilde{y})^2}{2t} - 2(u^2 + \partial_x^{-1}u_y)t + \tilde{y}(2u + \frac{y - \tilde{y}}{t})\right) + o\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}\right), \quad (49)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} w_x(x, y, t) &= u_y(x, y, t), \\ G(\alpha, \beta) &= -\frac{1}{4\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\mu R_1(\alpha + a_1(\mu; \alpha, \beta), -\frac{1}{2}\mu^2 + \beta + a_2(\mu; \alpha, \beta)), \end{aligned}$$

R_1 is the first component of the RH data \vec{R} , and $a_1(\mu; \alpha, \beta)$, $a_2(\mu; \alpha, \beta)$ are unique solutions of the following nonlinear integral equations, respectively,

$$\begin{aligned} a_j(\mu; \alpha, \beta) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\mu'}{\mu' - (\mu - i\epsilon)} R_j(\alpha + a_1(\mu'; \alpha, \beta), -\frac{1}{2}\mu'^2 + \beta + a_2(\mu'; \alpha, \beta)), \\ &\quad j = 1, 2. \end{aligned}$$

The longtime behaviour of w is influenced by both u and the nonlinearity of G , and it is possible to obtain (49). In addition, G can be determined by the RH data constructed in Cauchy problem. The presence of u in G implies that there might occur a wave breaking, and our future work is to study the possible wave breaking.

4. The implicit solutions

In this section, we present two examples of the nonlinear RH dressing referred to in section 3, and construct the corresponding implicit solutions of (2).

Example 1. Suppose that the components of the RH data in (35) are

$$\mathcal{R}_j(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) = \zeta_j e^{i(-1)^{j+1} f_1(\zeta_1 \zeta_2)}, \quad j = 1, 2,$$

where f_1 is an arbitrary real function of a single variable. Thus, the nonlinear RH problem becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1^+ &= \pi_1^- e^{i f_1(\pi_1^- \pi_2^-)}, \\ \pi_2^+ &= \pi_2^- e^{-i f_1(\pi_1^- \pi_2^-)}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

From the associated theory of solvable vector nonlinear RH problems developed in [26], the problem satisfies the following properties.

(i) The reality constraint (38) holds.

(ii) $\pi_1^+ \pi_2^+ = \pi_1^- \pi_2^-$. Therefore, $\pi_1^+ \pi_2^+$ is a polynomial in λ , and we denote it by $W_1(\lambda)$. Then, from (36),

$$W_1(\lambda) = -\frac{t}{2}\lambda^3 + (y - 3ut)\lambda^2 + (x - 3t\partial_x^{-1}u_y + 4uy - 6u^2t)\lambda - 3t\partial_x^{-1}u_{yy} - 8ut\partial_x^{-1}u_y + 4y\partial_x^{-1}u_y + 2t\partial_x^{-1}(u_x\partial_x^{-1}u_y) - 4t\partial_x^{-1}(uu_y) + 2\partial_x^{-1}u + 2ux - 4u^3t + 4u^2y. \quad (51)$$

The problem (50) becomes

$$\pi_1^+ = \pi_1^- e^{if_1(W_1(\lambda))}, \quad \pi_2^+ = \pi_2^- e^{-if_1(W_1(\lambda))}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (52)$$

(iii) Using the operators (29), from (52), one obtains

$$\pi_j^+ e^{i(-1)^j f_1^+(\lambda)} = \pi_j^- e^{i(-1)^j f_1^-(\lambda)}, \quad j = 1, 2, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (53)$$

where

$$f_1^\pm(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{f_1(W_1(\lambda'))}{\lambda' - (\lambda \pm i\epsilon)} d\lambda', \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (54)$$

implying that $\pi_j^\pm e^{i(-1)^j f_1^\pm(\lambda)}$, $j = 1, 2$, are also polynomials in λ . For the convenience of subsequent writing, we introduce the notation

$$\langle \lambda^n f_1 \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \lambda^n f_1(W_1(\lambda)) d\lambda, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (55)$$

One can expand $f_1^+(\lambda)$ and $\pi_j^\pm e^{i(-1)^j f_1^\pm(\lambda)}$ in powers of λ , obtaining the positive power expansion

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1^+ e^{-if_1^+(\lambda)} &= \pi_1^- e^{-if_1^-(\lambda)} = \lambda + 2u + \langle f_1 \rangle, \\ \pi_2^+ e^{if_1^+(\lambda)} &= \pi_2^- e^{if_1^-(\lambda)} = -\frac{t}{2}\lambda^2 + \left(\frac{t \langle f_1 \rangle}{2} + y - 2ut\right)\lambda \\ &\quad - \left(y - 2ut + \frac{t}{4}\right) \langle f_1 \rangle + \frac{t \langle \lambda f_1 \rangle}{2} + x - 2(u^2 + \partial_x^{-1}u_y)t + 2uy. \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

Therefore, from (56), one obtains the following explicit solutions of the RH problem (50),

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1^\pm &= (\lambda + 2u + \langle f_1 \rangle) e^{if_1^\pm(\lambda)}, \\ \pi_2^\pm &= \left(-\frac{t}{2}\lambda^2 + \left(\frac{t \langle f_1 \rangle}{2} + y - 2ut\right)\lambda - \left(y - 2ut + \frac{t}{4}\right) \langle f_1 \rangle \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{t \langle \lambda f_1 \rangle}{2} + x - 2(u^2 + \partial_x^{-1}u_y)t + 2uy\right) e^{-if_1^\pm(\lambda)} \end{aligned}$$

The coefficient of the λ^{-1} term of (56) must be zero, and one can obtain the following implicit solution of (2),

$$\partial_x^{-1}u_y = -u \langle f_1 \rangle - \frac{\langle \lambda f_1 \rangle}{2} - \frac{\langle f_1 \rangle^2}{4}.$$

Example 2. Suppose that the components of the RH data in (35) are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_1(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) &= \zeta_1 + if_2(c(\zeta_1)^n + \zeta_2), \\ \mathcal{R}_2(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) &= \zeta_2 + c(\zeta_1)^n - c(\zeta_1 + if_2(c(\zeta_1)^n + \zeta_2))^n, \end{aligned}$$

where n is a positive integer, c is a real parameter, and f_2 is an arbitrary real function of a single variable. Thus, the nonlinear RH problem becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_1^+ &= \pi_1^- + if_2(c(\pi_1^-)^n + \pi_2^-), \\ \pi_2^+ &= \pi_2^- + c(\pi_1^-)^n - c(\pi_1^- + if_2(c(\pi_1^-)^n + \pi_2^-))^n, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}.\end{aligned}\quad (57)$$

They satisfy the reality constraint (38), and from (57), $c(\pi_1^+)^n + \pi_2^+ = c(\pi_1^-)^n + \pi_2^-$. Therefore, $c(\pi_1^+)^n + \pi_2^+$ is a polynomial in λ , and we denote it by $W_3(\lambda)$. Then, from (36),

$$W_2(\lambda) = c((\pi_1^+)^n)_{\geq 0} - \frac{t}{2}\lambda^2 + (y - 2ut)\lambda + x - 2(u^2 + \partial_x^{-1}u_y)t + 2uy, \quad (58)$$

where $(\)_{\geq 0}$ represents the non-negative powers of λ terms. Then the first equation of (57) becomes

$$\pi_1^+ - if_2^+(\lambda) = \pi_1^- - if_2^-(\lambda), \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (59)$$

where

$$f_2^\pm(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{f_2(W_2(\lambda'))}{\lambda' - (\lambda \pm i\epsilon)} d\lambda', \lambda \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (60)$$

We denote

$$\langle \lambda^n f_2 \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \lambda^n f_2(W_2(\lambda)) d\lambda, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (61)$$

From equations (58)-(61), one obtains the following explicit solutions of the RH problem (57),

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_1^\pm &= \lambda + 2u + if_2^\pm(\lambda), \\ \pi_2^\pm &= W_2(\lambda) - c(\pi_1^\pm)^n.\end{aligned}\quad (62)$$

Comparing the coefficients of the λ terms on both sides of the first equation of (62), one can obtain the following implicit solution of (2),

$$\partial_x^{-1}u_y = -\frac{\langle f_2 \rangle}{2}.$$

Acknowledgements: This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant Nos. 12271136, 12171133, 12171132.

References

- [1] H. Weyl. Gravitation und elektrizität. *Sitzungsber. Preuss. Akad. Wiss*, 26:465–478, 1918.
- [2] R. S. Ward. Einstein-Weyl spaces and $SU(\infty)$ Toda fields. *Class. Quantum Grav.*, 7(4):L95, 1990.
- [3] H. Pedersen and K. P. Tod. Three dimensional Einstein-Weyl geometry. *Adv. Math.*, 97(1):74–109, 1993.
- [4] M. Dunajski, L. J. Mason, and P. Tod. Einstein-Weyl geometry, the dKP equation and twistor theory. *J. Geom. Phys.*, 37(1-2):63–93, 2001.
- [5] M. Dunajski and P. Tod. Einstein-Weyl spaces and dispersionless Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation from Painlevé I and II. *Phys. Lett. A*, 303(4):253–264, 2002.
- [6] M. Dunajski. A class of Einstein-Weyl spaces associated to an integrable system of hydrodynamic type. *J. Geom. Phys.*, 51(1):126–137, 2004.
- [7] I. Krichever. The dispersionless Lax equations and topological minimal models. *Commun. Theor. Phys.*, 143:415–429, 1992.
- [8] K. Takasaki and T. Takebe. SDiff (2) KP hierarchy. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A*, 7(supp01b):889–922, 1992.
- [9] P. Guha and K. Takasaki. Dispersionless hierarchies, Hamilton-Jacobi theory and twistor correspondences. *J. Geom. Phys.*, 25(3-4):326–340, 1998.
- [10] K. Takasaki and T. Nakatsu. Thermodynamic limit of random partitions and dispersionless Toda hierarchy. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.*, 45(2):025403, 2011.
- [11] K. Takasaki. Old and new reductions of dispersionless Toda hierarchy. *SIGMA.*, 8:102, 2012.

- [12] K. Takasaki. Orbifold melting crystal models and reductions of Toda hierarchy. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.*, 48(21):215201, 2015.
- [13] K. Takasaki. 4D limit of melting crystal model and its integrable structure. *J. Geom. Phys.*, 137:184–203, 2019.
- [14] E. V. Ferapontov and B. S. Kruglikov. Dispersionless integrable systems in 3D and Einstein-Weyl geometry. *J. Differ. Equ.*, 97(2):215–254, 2014.
- [15] E. V. Ferapontov, B. Huard, and A. Zhang. On the central quadric ansatz: integrable models and Painlevé reductions. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.*, 45(19):195204, 2012.
- [16] C. S. Gardner, J. M. Greene, M. D. Kruskal, and R. M. Miura. Method for solving the Korteweg-deVries equation. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 19(19):1095, 1967.
- [17] P. D. Lax. Integrals of nonlinear equations of evolution and solitary waves. *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.*, 21:467–490, 1968.
- [18] S. V. Manakov and P. M. Santini. Cauchy problem on the plane for the dispersionless Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation. *JETP Lett.*, 83:462–466, 2006.
- [19] S. V. Manakov and P. M. Santini. Inverse scattering problem for vector fields and the Cauchy problem for the heavenly equation. *Phys. Lett. A*, 359(6):613–619, 2006.
- [20] S. V. Manakov and P. M. Santini. A hierarchy of integrable PDEs in 2+1 dimensions associated with 2-dimensional vector fields. *Theor. Math. Phys.*, 152:1004–1011, 2007.
- [21] S. V. Manakov and P. M. Santini. The dispersionless 2D Toda equation: dressing, Cauchy problem, longtime behaviour, implicit solutions and wave breaking. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.*, 42(9):095203, 2009.
- [22] S. V. Manakov and P. M. Santini. On the solutions of the dKP equation: the nonlinear Riemann Hilbert problem, longtime behaviour, implicit solutions and wave breaking. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.*, 41(5):055204, 2008.
- [23] G. Yi and P. M. Santini. The inverse spectral transform for the Dunajski hierarchy and some of its reductions: I. Cauchy problem and longtime behavior of solutions. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.*, 48(21):215203, 2015.
- [24] F. D. Gakhov. Boundary value problems. New York: Dover, 1990.
- [25] G. Yi, T. Lv, K. Tian, and Y. Xu. The integrable hierarchy and the nonlinear Riemann-Hilbert problem associated with one typical Einstein-Weyl physico-geometric dispersionless system. *arXiv:2407.11515*, 2024.
- [26] S. V. Manakov and P. M. Santini. Solvable vector nonlinear Riemann problems, exact implicit solutions of dispersionless PDEs and wave breaking. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.*, 44(34):345203, 2011.
- [27] G. Yi. On the dispersionless Davey-Stewartson system: Hamiltonian vector field Lax pair and relevant nonlinear Riemann-Hilbert problem for dDS-II system. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 110(3):445–463, 2020.