

Planets in Globular Clusters?

Steinn Sigurdsson

arXiv.org - (originally Lick Observatory, University of California, Santa Cruz, CA 95064)

arXiv:2504.01263v1 [astro-ph.EP] 2 Apr 2025

July 1992

This research was supported in part by NASA grant NAGW-2422.

Planets in Globular Clusters?

Steinn Sigurdsson

arXiv.org - (originally Lick Observatory, University of California, Santa Cruz, CA 95064)

appeared in *Astrophysical Journal, Letters*

ABSTRACT

The discovery of planets around PSR 1257+12 suggests that planetary systems may be detected around the recycled pulsars found in globular clusters. Planetary systems in dense clusters have lifetimes to disruption due to perturbations by passing stars comparable to or shorter than the pulsar lifetime, and observations of planets in the cores of clusters may reveal planetary systems formally dynamically unstable on time scales short compared to the characteristic age, τ_c , of the system. Planets formed around cluster pulsars will most likely be restricted to semi-major axis of $\sim 0.1 - 1.0 AU$, while “scavenged” planets may be observed in wider orbits, with no stable systems expected in the densest clusters. Observation is most probable in the cluster rich high-density pre-core collapse clusters such as 47Tuc.

July 1992

This research was supported in part by NASA grant NAGW-2422.

1. Introduction

PSR 1257+12 is a classic “recycled” millisecond pulsar (MSP), with a short (6.2 ms) period, low inferred magnetic field ($\sim 10^9$ G) and long characteristic age ($\tau_c \sim \text{few} \times 10^8$ years) (Wolszczan 1991, Wolszczan and Frail 1992). It is similar to the recycled pulsars observed in galactic globular clusters (GCs) (Phinney and Kulkarni 1992, van den Heuvel 1991), and mechanisms like those that produced the putative planets around PSR 1257+12 may generate planets around cluster pulsars. Various scenarios have been proposed to account for the presence of planets around pulsars. In clusters formation scenarios involving SNIIs (Lin et al. 1992) or HMXBs (Wijers et al. 1992, Podsiadlowski et al. 1992) can not be at work, only reaccretion from a disrupted companion or an excretion disk, or, possibly, scavenging of a companion’s planetary system during a LMXB phase, can apply (Tavani and Brookshaw, 1992, Stevens, et al. 1992, Krolik, 1991). We consider the possibility of planet formation or capture around pulsars in globular clusters, and possible parameters of observable systems, given lifetime constraints.

2. Constraints on Formation

Theories of planet formation require planetesimals to aggregate out of a thin disk of dust sedimented out of the thicker accretion disk. In the case of recycled pulsars the disk may be an “excretion” disk from an ablated companion (Krolik 1991, Nakamura and Piran 1991, Tavani and Brookshaw 1992), or the remnant of a wholly disrupted star that merged with the neutron star (or heavy white dwarf) (Podsiadlowski et al. 1991, Fabian and Podsiadlowski 1991). Such disks may have considerably higher surface densities in early stages of their evolution than the protoplanetary disks normally considered for planet formation. The presence of PSR 1957+20 in the galaxy and PSR 1744-24A in the globular cluster Ter 5 (Fruchter et al., 1988, Lyne et al., 1990) suggest that this may be a probable formation scenario. Upon reaching a critical size, the planetesimals undergo runaway growth, reaching masses of order $10M_{\oplus}$, before gas accretion commences, if the ambient

temperature is low enough (Cameron 1988). The timescale for planetesimal growth is a function of metallicity, and with cluster metallicities, Z , ranging from 10^{-1} – 10^{-3} solar, the presence of sufficient metals to grow planetesimals is a concern. At $Z = 10^{-3}$, the total mass of ice (rocky material) available for aggregation is only 6 (1.5) M_{\oplus} per M_{\odot} of disk matter, insufficient to form even a single large planet if the total mass available in the disk is much less than M_{\odot} .

The time scales for planet formation have been studied mostly in the context of the pre-solar nebula. Following Nakano (Nakano 1987) we estimate a conservative timescale, t_{pf} , for planet formation, assuming a surface density profile, $\Sigma(r) = fr^k$, $r \leq r_0$, where f is a normalising constant, canonically $k = -3/2$. For total disk mass m_d , $f = (k + 2)m_d/2\pi r_0^{k+2}$, $k \neq -2$. Assuming the planet formation timescale is dominated by the planetesimal aggregation time scale, $t_{pf} \approx 10^3 \frac{r}{\Sigma_s(r)}$, where Σ_s is the surface density of solids. $\Sigma_s(r) \approx 1.8 \times 10^{-2}(4.2 \times 10^{-3}) Z \Sigma(r)$ for ice and C–Si–Fe grains respectively, for r such that the local temperature is low enough for the respective solids to condense out. Hence, for a total disk mass $m_d = M_{-2}10^{-2}M_{\odot}$,

$$t_{pf} \approx 4(16) \times 10^6 \frac{1}{Z} \frac{1}{(k+2)M_{-2}} r_{AU}^{1-k} \text{ years}, \quad (2.1)$$

for nucleation from ice or C–Si–Fe grains respectively, and r_{AU} is the radius in AU at which planetesimal growth is taking place. Grain formation can not take place if the ambient temperature is greater than the vapourisation temperature of the grains, approximately 2000K for C–Si–Fe grains, about 200K for ice grains, providing a lower limit of $r_{min} = 0.02(2.0)(L_{PSR}/L_{\odot})^{1/2} AU$ for C–Si–Fe and ice grains respectively. It is clear that, except possibly for the lowest metallicity clusters, $t_{pf} \lesssim \tau_c$ for $r \gtrsim r_{min}$, for C–Si–Fe nucleated planets, assuming efficient absorption of the pulsar flux, and pulsar luminosities characteristic of recycled pulsars. The effects of metallicity on the surface density profile of solids in disks are not known, it is possible that the low opacity of metal poor accretion disks increases the timescale for disk evolution by turbulent viscosity, leading to higher Σ_s

and enhanced planet formation efficiency. Formation scenarios involving accretion induced collapse (Grindaly and Bailyn, 1988) or white dwarf collisions (with another white dwarf or a neutron star), provide cases in GCs in which the accreting matter may have a very high metallicity and consequently a short timescale for planetesimal aggregation. Simulations suggest that in neutron star–binary interactions up to half the tidal interactions may be with white dwarfs, assuming a reasonable initial mass function and an evolved binary population, with the heavier C–O white dwarfs having a proportionately larger fractional cross–section for tidal encounters (Sigurdsson and Phinney 1992). For $L_{PSR} \sim L_{Edd}$ expected during pulsar spin–up, $r_{min} = 6 AU$ for C–Si–Fe nucleated planetesimals, so any planetary formation only commences after spin–up. It is possible that any scavenged planets present with semi–major axis $a_p \lesssim 1 AU$ will be ablated completely during spin–up. Most of the accretion disk may be ejected during spin–up, but if the metals from order $10^{-2}M_{\odot}$ or greater are retained, in an annulus from r_{min} to $r_0 \lesssim 2 AU$ enough matter is present for planet formation to be possible. It is not necessary to retain the bulk of the gas, as long as the metals have opportunity to condense out into a thin disk. If PSR 1257+12 scavenged its planets from a companion main–sequence star with a normal planetary system, circularising the orbits during the accretion phase, a similar mechanism may also operate in clusters, with pulsars retaining primordial planets after disrupting the companion. With the time scales assumed here, primordial planetary formation around main–sequence stars is possible in GCs, and although the encounter between a neutron star and a main–sequence primary may disrupt the planetary system, friction in the envelope of the merged remnant would circularise and shrink the orbits of any surviving planets. This scenario permits the presence of planets in eccentric orbits with $a_p \gg 1 AU$ around cluster pulsars in low density clusters, whereas the timescales for reaccretion would predict maximum $a_p \lesssim 1 AU$ and small eccentricities.

If the cluster formation rate is dominated by neutron star–binary star interactions (Phinney and Kulkarni 1992, Sigurdsson 1991), and planets do not form in main–sequence

binaries, then scavenging is less likely in GCs, leaving reaccretion from a remnant as the dominant channel for planetary formation in clusters. Scavenging is still possible in the case where the neutron star is in a binary, and encounters a single main–sequence star with a planetary system. If the single star has spent a large fraction of the cluster history outside the cluster core, it may possess an extended planetary system, even in the denser clusters. If pulsar recycling in GCs is dominated by binary encounters, a companion of mass m_c may remain in an eccentric orbit, semi–major axis a_c , about the accreting system (Sigurdsson 1991). The effects on planet formation of a stellar companion in a highly eccentric orbit about a protoplanetary disk are unknown; perturbations from the companion may disrupt planet formation, or may enhance planetary formation by providing density enhancements in the disk and perturbing planetesimal orbits. Even if a stellar companion enhances planetary formation for wide orbit companions, close companions will prevent any planet formation and recycled MSPs with stellar mass companions of periods $\lesssim 1$ year would not be expected to form planets.

3. Stability of Planetary Systems in Globular Clusters

Most cluster pulsars are found in the cores of clusters, where the stellar density, $n_4 = n/10^4 \text{ pc}^{-3}$, may be as high as 10^2 for post–core–collapse (PCC) clusters. At such densities close stellar encounters are frequent, and it becomes necessary to consider the lifetime of planetary systems to disruption. The disruption mechanism may be usefully considered in two parts, direct ionisation of the planet by encounters with field stars with pericenters $p \lesssim a_p$, and the perturbation of the outer planets of a system by more distant encounters, leading to chaotic disruption of the planetary system through dynamical evolution of the orbits. The cross-section for a field star of mass $M_* \sim 0.7M_\odot$, to approach to within p_{AU} from a neutron star is $\sigma \approx \pi p_{AU}^2 (1 + 36/(p_{AU} v_{10}^2)) AU^2$, for a relative velocity at infinity of $v_{10} = v_\infty/10 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. The mean time between encounters, T , is given by

$$T = \frac{3 \times 10^9}{\langle n_4 \sigma_{AU} v_{10} \rangle} \text{ years}, \quad (3.1)$$

where $\sigma_{AU} = \sigma/\sigma(p = 1 AU)$. Thus a system like PSR 1257+12 would be expected to be disrupted on time scales short compared to τ_c in PCC clusters like M15, but would not experience ionising encounters in lower density clusters such as M13 or M53. Interestingly, the time scales for direct disruption, in the core of the cluster, of a planet in a $\sim 1 AU$ orbit in a medium density cluster like 47Tuc are comparable to τ_c . For encounters with pericenter $> a_p$, the planet’s orbit is perturbed by the encounter, with the eccentricity, e , and inclination being sensitive to encounters with pericenters of order $3a_p$, for wider encounters the perturbation is exponentially small and can be neglected.

The effective cross-section for ionisation and strong perturbations was evaluated by direct integration of 25000 encounters between a $1.4M_\odot$ (M_{PSR}) primary with a $10M_\oplus$ (m_p) secondary in a $a_p = 1 AU$, $e = 0$ orbit and a $0.7M_\odot$ (m_c) field star, with $v_\infty = 5-10 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. The encounters were drawn from a uniform distribution in phase space. Defining a critical velocity v_c , such that for $v_\infty = v_c$ the total energy of the system is zero in the center-of-mass frame,

$$v_c = \sqrt{G \frac{M_{PSR} m_p}{m_c} \frac{(M_{PSR} + m_p + m_c)}{(M_{PSR} + m_p)} \frac{1}{a_p}}, \quad (3.2)$$

we find for parameters relevant for cluster encounters that $v_\infty/v_c \sim 16 - 32$. The induced perturbations in semi-major axis, eccentricity and inclination are not sensitive to the exact mass-ratio or relative velocity. The cross-section for direct ionisation was dominated by encounters with pericenter $p < a_p$, with approximately one quarter of those encounters ionising the system. An additional quarter of such close encounters led to an increase in the planetary semi-major axis by a factor of two or greater, leaving a system likely to experience a second close encounter on a timescale short compared to the timescale for the initial encounter.

If there are several planets in the system, perturbations in e or i of the outer planets greater than order 10^{-2} may induce instability in the planetary system, with time scales of order $10^3 P$ or greater, where P is the period of the outermost planet (Quinlan 1992). As

Figure 1 shows, encounters with $p/a_p \lesssim 3$ are effective in inducing perturbations of that order, with the inclination more sensitive to distant perturbations. More effort is needed to understand the effect of perturbations of inclination of outer planets on planetary system stability. The transition to chaotic internal dynamics may then lead to ejections of planets or collisions between planets on time scales short compared to τ_c . Colliding planets may remain in a (high e) orbit, or may disintegrate. In case of disintegration, the debris may reaccumulate into a planet in a near circular orbit on time scales short compared to τ_c .

The probability of finding planets around recycled pulsars in PCC clusters such as M15 is small. In low density clusters such as M13, planets may be found with semi-major axis as large as $10 AU$, with e increasing with a_p . A metal rich, medium density cluster, such as 47Tuc or Ter 5, offers the most interesting prospect for planet detection, with $a_p \lesssim 1 AU$ possible, and e increasing with a_p . Observations of planets about pulsars in 47Tuc type clusters could constrain planet formation mechanisms and determine the presence of primordial planets in GCs. It is possible that formally dynamically unstable planetary systems may be observed, allowing direct comparison with numerical models. With 10 MSPs now reported in 47Tuc (Manchester et al. 1991), detection of planets is highly probable, *if* planet formation or scavenging mechanisms operate in GCs. Failure to detect planets around any MSP in low or medium density GCs would strongly suggest that the formation mechanisms possible in GCs are not at work, and that low metallicity inhibits planetary formation in disks around pulsars and pre-main-sequence stars.

I would like to thank E.S. Phinney, D. Lin, P. Bodenheimer, P. Artymowicz and N. Murray for helpful discussion.




Figure 1

Distribution of mean induced eccentricity (crosses), and inclination (triangles) relative to original binary axis, for 25000 encounters, as function of ratio of pericenter, r , to planet semi-major axis, a . For r/a greater than those shown perturbations in e and $\sin i$ are exponentially small.

References

- Cameron, A.G.W., 1988, *ARA&A*, **26**, 441.
- Fabian, A.C. and Podsiadlowski, Ph., 1991, *Nature*, **353**, 801.
- Fruchter, A.S., Stinebring, D.R. and Taylor, J.H., 1988, *Nature*, **333**, 237.
- Grindlay, J.E. and Bailyn, C.D., 1988, *Nature*, **336**, 48.
- Krolik, J.H., 1991, *Nature*, **353**, 829.
- Lin, D.N.C., Woosley, S.E. and Bodenheimer, P.H., 1991,, *Nature*, **353**, 827.
- Lyne, A.G., Manchester, R.N., D'amico, N., Staveleymith, L. and Johnston, S., 1990, *Nature*, **347**, 650.
- Manchester, R.N., Lyne, A.G., D'Amico, N., Bailes, M. and Lim, J., 1991, *Nature*, **352**, 219.
- Michel, F.C., 1987, *Nature*, **329**, 310.
- Nakano, T., 1987, *MNRAS*, **224**, 107.
- Nakamura, T. and Piran, T., 1991, *ApJ*, **382**, L81.
- Phinney, E.S. and Kulkarni, S.R., *Nature*, 1992, submitted.
- Podsiadlowski, Ph., Pringle, J.E. and Rees, M.J., 1991, *Nature*, **352**, 783.
- Quinlan, G.D. 1992 in *Chaos, Resonance and Collective Dynamical Phenomena in the Solar System*, ed. Ferraz-Mello, S.
- Sigurdsson, S. 1991, *Ph. D. Thesis*, Caltech
- Sigurdsson, S. and Phinney, E.S., 1992, in preparation.
- Stevens, I.R., Rees, M.J. and Podsiadlowski, Ph., 1992, *MNRAS*, **254**, 19p.
- Tavani, M. and Brookshaw, L., 1992, *Nature*, **356**, 320.
- Thorsett, S.E. and Nice, D.J., 1991, *Nature*, **353**, 731.

van den Heuvel, E.P.J. 1991, in *Neutron Stars: Theory and Observation*, eds. Ventura, J. and Pines, D., Dordrecht: Reidel, 99.

Wijers, R.A.M.J., van den Heuvel, E.P.J., van Kerkwuk, M.H. and Bhattacharya, D., 1992, *Nature*, **355**, 593.

Wolszczan, A. and Frail, D.A., 1992, *Nature*, **355**, 145.

Wolszczan, A., 1991, *Nature*, **350**, 688.