Physics Prospects for a near-term Proton-Proton Collider

Viviana Cavaliere¹, Monica Dunford², Heather M. Gray^{3,4}, Elliot Lipeles⁵, Alison Lister⁶, and Clara Nellist^{7,8}

> ¹Brookhaven National Laboratory ²Kirchhoff-Institut für Physik, Universität Heidelberg ³Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory ⁴University of California, Berkeley ⁵University of Pennsylvania ⁶University of British Columbia ⁷University of Amsterdam ⁸Nikhef

> > April 2, 2025

Abstract

Hadron colliders at the energy frontier offer significant discovery potential through precise measurements of Standard Model processes and direct searches for new particles and interactions. A future hadron collider would enhance the exploration of particle physics at the electroweak scale and beyond, potentially uniting the community around a common project. The LHC has already demonstrated precision measurement and new physics search capabilities well beyond its original design goals and the HL-LHC will continue to usher in new advancements. This document highlights the physics potential of an FCC-hh machine to directly follow the HL-LHC. In order to reduce the timeline and costs, the physics impact of lower collider energies, down to ~ 50 TeV, is evaluated. Lower centreof-mass energy could leverage advanced magnet technology to reduce both the cost and time to the next hadron collider. Such a machine offers a breadth of physics potential and would make key advancements in Higgs measurements, direct particle production searches, and high-energy tests of Standard Model processes. Most projected results from such a hadron-hadron collider are superior to or competitive with other proposed accelerator projects and this option offers unparalleled physics breadth. The FCC program should lay out a decision-making process that evaluates in detail options for proceeding directly to a hadron collider, including the possibility of reducing energy targets and staging the magnet installation to spread out the cost profile.

1 Introduction

This document proposes an FCC-hh machine to directly follow the HL-LHC. In order to reduce the timeline and costs, the physics impact of lower collider energies, ranging down to ~ 50 TeV, is evaluated.

Hadron colliders at the energy frontier provide tremendous discovery potential both through precision measurements of Standard Model processes and through searches for the direct production of new particles. A future hadron collider would provide the community with a rich and attractive program to explore particle physics at the electroweak scale and beyond and has the potential to unite the community behind a single goal. The precision measurement and new physics search potential have been demonstrated at hadron colliders with the SppS, Tevatron, and now the LHC. This will be further explored at the HL-LHC. At the LHC, improvements to analyses have repeatedly surpassed even optimistic predictions from the LHC community.

The physics capabilities of hadron colliders depend primarily on the energy and the luminosity, and the costs and timescales depend most strongly on the magnet field strength and the tunnel size. The original FCC-hh proposal centred on a 100 TeV machine in a 100 km tunnel using 16 T dipoles with a luminosity sufficient to achieve 30 ab^{-1} over two experiments [1] as a second stage after the FCC-ee. The FCC-hh baseline has recently been updated to an 84 TeV machine using 14 T magnets [2]. A recent report on the status of the magnet development for the FCC gives 2055 as a possible start date for a 90 TeV FCC-hh collider, and that 5-10 years could be gained by anticipating some phases and through strong cooperation with industrial partners [3]. In Section 2 we address the impacts of reducing the energy target ranging as low as ~ 50 TeV, which can be expected to make an early start date even more feasible both technically and financially.

This document highlights selected key areas where hadron colliders can make powerful contributions to our understanding of particle physics. Section 3 presents a summary of expected Higgs measurements in the context of a hadron machine fulfilling a Higgs factory role. Section 4 reviews the power of direct searches for new particles and Section 6 compares them to indirect constraints. Hadron colliders provide a large breadth of experimental tests (e.g. tests of the behaviour of SM processes at very high energies), the reach of which can be studied via a coherent framework provided by effective field theory as discussed in Section 5.

2 Broad Energy and Luminosity Considerations

The energy reach of hadron colliders is primarily determined by tunnel size and the magnet field strength, There are two major additional constraints in this energy regime. Firstly, the synchrotron radiation from the stored beam must be low enough to be extracted from the cryogenic environment of the beam pipe[4] and, secondly, the detectors must be capable of handling the associated pile-up. The corresponding limits are 2 kW/beam for the synchrotron radiation [4], and currently assumed to be 1000 for the pile-up, although detailed detector studies in this regime still need to be performed.

Table 1 adapted from Reference [4] shows three possible scenarios with high-field magnets: F12PU, F14 and F17, with each scenarios labelled by the dipole field strength. In addition, we show the parameters for the HL-LHC and an additional scenario with an energy of 50 TeV, which would use magnets with the same field strength as current LHC magnets. All scenarios would use the new 91 km FCC tunnel. Scenarios F12PU and 50 TeV are pile-up limited and consequently include luminosity levelling to not exceed ≈ 1000 collisions per crossing. The two constraints cross around F14, which means that F17 would be synchrotron radiation limited.

A detailed assessment on the timescale of such a collider needs to be performed. However as discussed in the introduction, a physics production by the 2050's would be feasible.

A successful outcome of this proposal would allow a significantly broader physics program

		HL-LHC [5]				
Parameter	Unit	initial (ultimate)	$50 { m TeV}$	F12PU	F14	F17
Centre-of-mass energy	TeV	14	50	72	84	102
Peak arc dipole field	Т	8.3	8.3	12	14	17
SR power / beam	kW	7.3		1450	1200	2670
Peak Collisions / crossing	-	135 (200)	1000	1000	920	975
Luminosity / yr	$\rm fb^{-1}$	240(350)	1300	1300	920	920

Table 1: Scenarios for Hadron Colliders in the FCC tunnel. F12PU, F14, and F17 are from Reference[4]. The 50 TeV has been added as an additional comparison point. HL-LHC numbers have been updated to ultimate luminosity numbers.

to be performed on an earlier timescale. However, the costs of such a machine are significant and the financial feasibility of the project needs to be studied carefully. For example, it might be possible to reduce the cost profile by staging the magnet installation, e.g. by installing half the magnets initially to reach half the energy, even at the cost of an increase to the total project cost. A hadron collider directly following the LHC would reduce the total FCC project cost by removing the costs associated with FCC-ee.

3 Higgs Physics

Fully characterizing the physics of the Higgs boson is an important component in the physics program of any future collider. Some of the key benefits to hadron colliders are its large sample of Higgs bosons, which enable precise measurements of Higgs coupling, its ability to probe Higgs self-coupling, which is important for understanding the Higgs potential and its role in electroweak symmetry breaking, and to address questions related to Higgs mass stabilization and naturalness, which are critical to exploring extensions of the Standard Model such as top partners, supersymmetry (SUSY), and compositeness.

Figure 1 shows the production cross-section for the Higgs boson as a function of the centreof-mass energy of proton-proton colliders. All cross sections increase with energy but the increase is much larger up to 60 TeV and then more modest until 100 TeV due to the centreof-mass energy of the system. Hadron colliders are the ultimate Higgs factory producing half a billion Higgs bosons per year for each experiment (Figure 1). While only a fraction of these events will pass the selected analysis criteria, the statistical sample of Higgs bosons available for analysis at hadron colliders is on the order of hundreds of millions. The total number of Higgs bosons produced is similar for the three centre-of-mass energies shown here as the overall luminosity can compensate for a reduced centre-of-mass energy.

3.1 Higgs couplings and measurements

Accurately probing the Higgs coupling is essential for understanding the mechanism of electroweak symmetry breaking. While e^+e^- colliders excel in model-independent Higgs coupling measurements, particularly through the determination of the Higgs width, hadron machines offer a broader range of precision measurements of Higgs coupling and are optimal for heavy final states and rare decays. These couplings can be measured across multiple channels and via



Figure 1: Left: Higgs-boson production cross sections as a function of centre-of-mass energies from Ref. [6]. Right: Annual production of Higgs bosons per collider option per experiment.

measurements of Higgs coupling ratios can be model-independent probes, provided that theoretical uncertainties can be sufficiently understood. As seen in Table 2, taken from Refs. [7] and [8], a hadron collider can provide sub-percent precision on all major couplings. Recent extrapolations show that a 70 (50) TeV collider could constrain κ_b to 0.27 (0.28) and κ_c to 2.2 (2.3) based on recent LHC analyses [9]. One of the significant advantages of a hadron machine is its ability to measure rare Higgs decays with 1000 times the cross-section compared to $e^+e^$ colliders, making it a powerful tool for these rare processes, such as $Z\gamma$ decays as shown in the table. Higgs-to-invisible measurements can provide insights into the Higgs width. The precision at both hadron colliders and e^+e^- machines is predicted to be at the sub-percent level [10], with the former relying on a detailed understanding of the systematic uncertainties. While other exotic decays of the Higgs have not been studied, the very large Higgs production rate will likely lead to sub-percent sensitivities for the more challenging scenarios and even lower for the cleaner scenarios.

Due to the large statistical sample of Higgs boson produced, hadron colliders also provide precise measurements of differential distributions. In particular, the Higgs boson can be studied at high transverse momentum (p_T) , which is a phase space that is sensitive to potential new physics. This capability allows for more precise measurements with reduced backgrounds. Differential measurements provide an unprecedented kinematic reach and access to new physics at higher energy scales. Many Higgs measurements will be limited by theoretical uncertainties after the HL-LHC. Therefore, any successful physics programs relies on advancements in theory in addition to experimental improvements. To support this, the availability of a large number of Higgs bosons allows differential distributions to be measured, in particular at high p_T , which will also provide critical input for theory improvements.

3.2 Di-Higgs

The physics motivations to better understand the Higgs self-coupling are numerous and profound. Investigating the self-coupling represents a unique opportunity to probe beyond the Standard Model (BSM) physics, as many theories predict deviations from the SM value. It is also crucial for investigating the electroweak phase transition, offering an ultimate test of the Higgs mechanism and its role in the stability of the electroweak vacuum. The precise value of the self-coupling directly determines whether our universe exists in a metastable state,

Kappa [%]	HL-LHC	HL-LHC+FCC-ee	HL-LHC+FCC-hh
κ_W	1.6	0.38	0.39
κ_Z	1.6	0.14	0.63
κ_g	2.4	0.88	0.74
κ_{γ}	1.8	1.2	0.56
$\kappa_{Z\gamma}$	6.8	10.	0.89
κ_c	_	1.3	—
κ_t	3.4	3.1	0.99
κ_b	3.6	0.59	0.99
κ_{μ}	3.0	3.9	0.68
$\kappa_{ au}$	1.9	0.61	0.9

Table 2: Higgs Kappa results for three scenarios: The HL-LHC, the HL-LHC plus FCC-ee only and the HL-LHC plus FCC-hh at 100 TeV only. Taken from Ref [7] and Tables 3 and 30 from Ref [8].

connecting collider physics to fundamental questions of vacuum stability. Ultimately, a deep understanding of the self-coupling can potentially shed light on key unresolved questions such as the explanation of baryon asymmetry in the universe, as only a first-order electroweak phase transition could generate the conditions needed for electroweak baryogenesis. These capabilities make it an invaluable tool for advancing our understanding of fundamental physics.

A 70 TeV (50 TeV) hadron machine would enable measurement of the Higgs self-coupling to a precision of approximately 4% (6%), compared to 3% at the full 100 TeV FCC-hh[11] and 30% at the HL-LHC. The 50 TeV and 70 TeV results are extrapolated from the 100 TeV result using the square root of the expected number of di-Higgs produced. An extrapolation from the HL-LHC projections would give a smaller predicted uncertainty. Such results would transform our understanding of the structure of the Higgs potential. The cross-section for Higgs-pair production would be approximately 12 times larger at 50 TeV and 25 times larger at 70 TeV than at the HL-LHC, as shown in Fig. 2, allowing for detailed studies of various di-Higgs production and decay channels. This statistical advantage enables precision measurements across multiple channels.

3.3 Absolute Normalization

Despite the production uncertainties related to QCD calculation and parton distribution functions (PDFs), it is possible to determine absolute normalisations in hadron colliders. Reference [14] shows that the ratio of Vector Boson Fusion (VBF) Higgs to $WW^* \rightarrow e\nu\mu\nu$ over non-resonant VBF $qq \rightarrow qqWW^* \rightarrow e\nu\mu\nu$ can be measured with low background at the 1-2% level. In the context of the kappa framework, the numerator of the ratio is proportional to $\frac{\kappa_W^4}{\kappa_H^2}$, while the denominator is related to already well-measured electroweak couplings. There is a second-order effect in the denominator related to off-shell and *t*-channel Higgs exchange, but that introduces an opportunity to determine the absolute Higgs width itself [15].

The theoretical production uncertainties are very similar between the numerator and denominator processes so they would not be expected to be a major limitation on the ratio. Similarly, the detector signals primarily differ in angles and modest shifts in energy distribution, so detector-related uncertainties should be similarly small. This leaves the background



Figure 2: Left: Di-Higgs production cross-section as a function of centre-of-mass energy. The values of 50 and 70 TeV are extrapolated using a quadratic fit. The blue points are from Ref. [12]. Right: Expected Higgs self-coupling sensitivity at future colliders, based on Ref. [13] with updated numbers. The FCC-hh 50 and 70 TeV points are extrapolated.

modelling where there is a plethora of control regions available. A 1-2% uncertainty on $\frac{\kappa_W}{\kappa_H^2}$ would set a constraint on overall κ scale of 0.5-1%, which is similar to the scale of the $e^+e^- \kappa$ constraints in Table 2. Additional, complementary constraints on absolute normalization can also be obtained from similar ratios such as $WH \rightarrow l\nu bb$ over $WZ \rightarrow l\nu bb$ which is estimated to give 0.5% sensitivity range[16].

4 Searches for the Direct Production of New Physics

As the LHC has so far not found signs of physics beyond the SM, but has excluded large regions of parameter space where new particles may have been. While never guaranteed, a new hadron collider, in contrast to e^+e^- machines, would open up a large new discovery potential for direct production. The final answer of how much better a 100 TeV hadron collider is than a 50 TeV one can only be answered by nature as it depends on the energy scale of BSM physics, but the relative energy reaches can be understood. The case for TeV-scale BSM physics still remains strong and being able to explore significant amounts of new phase-space as soon as possible should not be dismissed.

Figure 3 shows the very large gain in reach for new hadron colliders in two representative model classes, new top partners (left) and new resonances (right). Stop-squark searches would move from HL-LHC limits around 2 TeV to 8-10 TeV for a new collider (depending on specific energy and luminosity), and SSM Z' prime searches would move from 5 TeV to 33-46 TeV. These examples show how the hadron collider opens up a new energy regime above the electroweak scale, even for the challenging compressed scenarios shown in Figure 3 (left).

The rough BSM energy reach of collider scenarios can be compared, including the luminosity differences, using the COLLIDER REACH tool [19]. This calculates where an equivalent number of signal events would be produced based on the parton luminosities, which is sufficient to understand the broad impacts of energy and luminosity. The results shown in Figure 4 can then be used to estimate the gain/loss of sensitivity compared to the nominal 84 TeV, 920



Figure 3: Left: Estimated stop exclusion reaches for various colliders and search methods, from Ref. [17]. The limits are categorized based on the mass difference into two-body, threebody and four-body decays. The bars represent the maximum excluded stop mass $(m(\tilde{t}_1))$ in each region. Precision Higgs constraints are derived from deviations in Higgs production rates under the assumption that stops are the only source of BSM effects. Right: Summary of the 5σ discovery reach as a function of the resonance mass for different luminosity scenarios of FCC-hh and HE-LHC From Ref. [18].

fb⁻¹/year scenario. For example, comparing F12PU to F14 for system masses below 3 TeV there would be a gain in lowering the energy and raising the luminosity, while above 3 TeV there would be some losses in sensitivity. Specifically, if F14 would set limits at 40 TeV (near the right edge of the plot) for a particular a Z', the F12PU would set a limit at 36 TeV, i.e a 10% loss in the energy reach. Therefore, depending on the system mass of interest, there are trade-offs between higher energy and more luminosity.

5 Non-resonant Production and Effective Field Theory Interpretations

Deviations from SM expectations in precision measurements could indicate BSM physics. Such deviations could impact multiple measurements, so understanding the precision on a single parameter alone is not sufficient. The precision on various parameters must be compared in a consistent theoretical framework. If the new physics energy scale is significantly higher than the precision measurements, an effective field theory (EFT) with higher dimension operators (\geq 5) can be used to represent a complete set of possible deviations from the Standard Model. One such EFT is the SMEFT, which makes some theoretical assumptions about the nature of the new physics, but gives a broad context for the comparisons of measurements [20]. One key feature of such EFTs is that the BSM effects grow generally with energy squared [21]. Qualitatively that means a 0.1% measurement at 100 GeV is roughly equivalent to a 10% measurement at 1 TeV.

Recently, progress has been made on such comparisons [22], but the inputs to such analyses are limited by the level of analysis work that has been conducted both for the current LHC



Figure 4: Comparison of system mass reach calculated with the Collider Reach Tool [19] (see text) for the collider scenarios shown in Table 1. For a given expected mass reach (either 95% CL or 5σ discovery) with respect to a nominal 84 TeV 920 ab⁻¹/year machine on the *x*-axis, the plot gives the fractional shift in expected mass reach compared to that nominal machine on the *y*-axis for other collider scenarios. This shift depends weakly on what type of partons initiate the signal process: gluon-gluon gg, quark-antiquark $q\bar{q}$, or quark-gluon gq, and flavour-independent quark-quark qq.

experiments and in the context of the FCC-hh proposal. This was noted in Ref. [23]: 'At this point, not enough information was available to include pp colliders beyond the LHC (such as HE-LHC or a O(100)-TeV collider) in the global fit. It is likely that these machines have superior sensitivity to many energy-dependent operators, such as 4-fermion operators involving quarks and several operators that mediate multi-boson interactions' The LHC results and expectations presented in the previously mentioned summaries are necessarily only those which were complete at the time of compilation. This has led to a significant underestimate of the power of high-energy precision Standard Model measurements at hadron colliders.

An example of this is the state and progress of measurements of triple gauge couplings. The modifications of the triple-gauge couplings can be parametrised in a variety of ways. Here, we present a comparison of the one-parameter δg_{1z} selected based on the current availability of measurements and predicted sensitivities. The estimates collected by Snowmass gave ultimate HL-LHC sensitivity as $\sim 6 \cdot 10^{-2}$ and $\sim 3 \cdot 10^{-4}$ for the FCC-ee program [23]. At the time that report was written. CMS had already reported a sensitivity of $\sim 7 \cdot 10^{-2}$ with just 35 fb⁻¹. approximately a 100th of the ultimate HL-LHC dataset, and an early analysis technique. The source of the discrepancy is primarily the choice of final state. The Snowmass report (as well as the FCC-ee CDR[10]) based the HL-LHC prediction of an analysis of the fully-leptonic decays of WZ production, while the CMS result uses semileptonic decays of WZ production. Estimates of the sensitivity for some other relevant final states have been made for FCC-hh[24] at 100 TeV and 30 ab^{-1} . Figure 5 compares these to estimates from the fits to the full FCC-ee program[10]. The results show that the effective precision of the hadron collider is comparable if not better. A detailed and more comprehensive study is required to understand how the $e^+e^$ programme sensitivities compare to hadron collider sensitivities, both for HL-LHC, which may provide much stronger sensitivity than currently estimated and for a future hadron collider, which may cover or exceed the e^+e^- proposals, i.e. a clear case for the uniqueness of the e^+e^-



Figure 5: Comparison of sensitivities for δk_{γ} vs δg_{1z} from FCC-hh at 100 TeV [24] with the FCC-ee sensitivity[10] overlaid in purple.

machine needs to be made.

6 Precision Versus Direct Production

Precision measurement constraints on new physics depend strongly on the model being considered. In the context of EFTs, the constraints scale as $\frac{c}{\Lambda^2}$ where c is coupling constant and Λ is the energy/mass scale of the new physics. If c is large, this scale can be constrained beyond the direct production reach of hadron colliders (although they may still have better constraints on the EFT operators). If c is not large, then the constraints are relatively weak. The bottom set of bars in Figure 3(left) shows limits calculated from $h \to \gamma \gamma$ and $h \to gg$ loops on top partners [25]. The future collider projects are not competitive with HL-LHC. Figure 6a shows the fraction of models in a scan over SUSY parameter space in the RPC pMSSM that have a κ_b deviation from the SM exceeding 1%. Only a small part of that region will not be covered by the HL-LHC, and a future hadron collider would far exceed the precision measurement's sensitivity. Finally, Figure 6b shows a recent study of constraints from a Tera-Z program measurement of $R_{\ell} = \frac{\Gamma(Z \rightarrow \text{hadrons})}{\Gamma(Z \rightarrow \ell \ell)}$ [26]. Even in the context of SUSY, there is a lot of model dependence. The authors find in two of three scenarios considered, the LHC or expected HL-LHC limits exceed the precision sensitivity with the except of some compressed regions of parameter space, and the third model is an *R*-parity violating scenario which does not have LHC limits for first and second generation couplings.

7 Impact on the Community

We strongly support the idea of a flagship collider at CERN as the centre of the experimental particle physics community. The physics potential of current and future projects is the foundation of our community. A dynamic programme is required to attract and retain young outstanding talent in the field, and to maintain the relevant expertise for designing, building, and operating accelerators and detectors. The timescale of an FCC-hh high-energy machine after the retirement age of our current graduate students will have (and potentially already has) a significant impact on their motivation and interest in the field. As the physics scope





(a) Fraction of models in a scan over SUSY parameter space in the RPC pMSSM that have a κ_b deviation from the SM exceeding 1%. The dark area would be excluded roughly 95% by the e^+e^- precision measurements. The light blue and dotted green lines show expected exclusions from HL-LHC and FCC-hh at 100 TeV respectively.

(b) Comparisons of constraints on sleptons from an FCC-ee prediction for the measurement of $R_{\ell} = \frac{\Gamma(Z \to hadrons)}{\Gamma(Z \to \ell \ell)}$ and current LHC limits [26]. The dotted blue lines and grey shaded areas show existing LHC limits.

Figure 6: Example comparisons of precision constraints with direct searches at hadron colliders.

of an FCC-hh machine is significantly broader than e^+e^- machines and able to accommodate a wider range of physics interests, if we are able to put forward a project on a much shorter timescale (e.g. 2045-2060) this would re-energize the collider community, in particular those interested in direct searches for BSM physics. An near-term hadron machine at CERN would also support the LHC expertise in the CERN accelerator division, which would otherwise be hard to maintain. An near-term machine will impact the whole community from accelerator physicists, experimental particle physicists and also particle theorists, representing thousands of individuals, and hundreds of institutions.

8 Conclusion

A near-term intermediate-energy hadron collider would provide a broad and comprehensive program for exploring physics at the electroweak scale and providing a window beyond without needing an e^+e^- machine. Specifically, it simultaneously provides a powerful Higgs and electroweak precision program, as well as a direct (and EFT) probe program.

Bringing this opportunity into the career span of the current younger generation of scientists is of critical importance to maintain the vitality of the field, as well as the required expertise.

To achieve this goal, the FCC program should lay out a decision-making process that evaluates in detail options for proceeding directly to a hadron collider, including the possibility of reducing energy targets and staging the magnet installation to spread out the cost profile.

References

- Michael Benedikt et al. FCC-hh: The Hadron Collider: Future Circular Collider Conceptual Design Report Volume 3. Eur. Phys. J. ST, 228:755–1107, 2019.
- [2] FCC Collaboration. FCC-hh Studies for the next European Strategy: kickoff meeting. https://indico.cern.ch/event/1439072/.
- [3] Ezio Todesco. Status of High-Field Magnets R&D, presented at the 8th FCC Physics Workshop. https://indico.cern.ch/event/1498966/#1-status-of-high-field-magnets.
- [4] Frank Zimmermann. Scenarios for the FCC-hh. https://indico.cern.ch/ event/1439072/contributions/6106995/attachments/2917946/5120981/FCC_hh_ scenarios.pdf.
- [5] I. Zurbano Fernandez et al. High-Luminosity Large Hadron Collider (HL-LHC): Technical design report. 10/2020, 12 2020.
- [6] LHC Physics Working Group. Higgs European Strategy. https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/ bin/view/LHCPhysics/HiggsEuropeanStrategy, 2025. Accessed: 2025-03-17.
- [7] ATLAS and CMS Collaborations. Highlights of the HL-LHC physics projections by ATLAS and CMS. ATL-PHYS-PUB-2025-018, https://cds.cern.ch/record/2928907, 2025.
- [8] J. de Blas, M. Cepeda, J. D'Hondt, R.K. Ellis, C. Grojean, B. Heinemann, F. Maltoni, A. Nisati, E. Petit, R. Rattazzi, and W. Verkerke. Higgs boson studies at future particle colliders. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 2020(1), January 2020.
- [9] Georges Aad et al. Measurements of WH and ZH production with Higgs boson decays into bottom quarks and direct constraints on the charm Yukawa coupling in 13 TeVpp collisions with the ATLAS detector. 10 2024.
- [10] A. Abada et al. FCC Physics Opportunities: Future Circular Collider Conceptual Design Report Volume 1. Eur. Phys. J. C, 79(6):474, 2019.
- [11] Michelangelo L. Mangano, Giacomo Ortona, and Michele Selvaggi. Measuring the Higgs self-coupling via Higgs-pair production at a 100 TeV p-p collider. *Eur. Phys. J. C*, 80(11):1030, 2020.
- [12] LHC Higgs Cross Section Working Group. Handbook of LHC Higgs Cross Sections: 4. Deciphering the Nature of the Higgs Sector. CERN Yellow Reports: Monographs, 2017.
- [13] Milada Margarete Mühlleitner. Future collider physics. Talk presented at DESY Future Collider Physics Workshop, Hamburg, Germany, https://indico.desy.de/event/ 45276/contributions/172859/attachments/93876/127531/DESYFutureCollPhys. pdf, November 2024. Accessed: 2025-03-26.
- [14] Elliot Lipeles. Constraining Absolute Higgs Couplings using Vector Boson Fusion H to WW with FCC-hh. https://doi.org/10.17181/z6fgw-w5n60, March 2025.
- [15] John M. Campbell, R. Keith Ellis, and Ciaran Williams. Bounding the Higgs Width at the LHC: Complementary Results from $H \rightarrow WW$. Phys. Rev. D, 89(5):053011, 2014.

- [16] Michelangelo Mangano. Higgs Physics Potential of FCC-hh Standalone. CERN-FCC-PHYS-2019-0002, https://cds.cern.ch/record/2681378, 2019.
- [17] Tulika Bose et al. Report of the Topical Group on Physics Beyond the Standard Model at Energy Frontier for Snowmass 2021. https://arxiv.org/abs/2209.13128, September 2022.
- [18] Clement Helsens, David Jamin, Michelangelo L. Mangano, Thomas G. Rizzo, and Michele Selvaggi. Heavy resonances at energy-frontier hadron colliders. *Eur. Phys. J. C*, 79(7):569, 2019.
- [19] Gavin Salam and Andreas Weiler. Collider Reach Tool. https://collider-reach.cern.ch/.
- [20] Ilaria Brivio and Michael Trott. The Standard Model as an Effective Field Theory. Phys. Rept., 793:1–98, 2019.
- [21] Marco Farina, Giuliano Panico, Duccio Pappadopulo, Joshua T. Ruderman, Riccardo Torre, and Andrea Wulzer. Energy helps accuracy: electroweak precision tests at hadron colliders. *Phys. Lett. B*, 772:210–215, 2017.
- [22] Eugenia Celada, Tommaso Giani, Jaco ter Hoeve, Luca Mantani, Juan Rojo, Alejo N. Rossia, Marion O. A. Thomas, and Eleni Vryonidou. Mapping the SMEFT at high-energy colliders: from LEP and the (HL-)LHC to the FCC-ee. JHEP, 09:091, 2024.
- [23] Alberto Belloni et al. Report of the Topical Group on Electroweak Precision Physics and Constraining New Physics for Snowmass 2021. https://arxiv.org/abs/2209.08078, 2022.
- [24] Fady Bishara, Philipp Englert, Christophe Grojean, Giuliano Panico, and Alejo N. Rossia. Revisiting $Vh(\rightarrow b\bar{b})$ at the LHC and FCC-hh. *JHEP*, 06:077, 2023.
- [25] Rouven Essig, Patrick Meade, Harikrishnan Ramani, and Yi-Ming Zhong. Higgs-Precision Constraints on Colored Naturalness. JHEP, 09:085, 2017.
- [26] Simon Knapen, Kevin Langhoff, and Zoltan Ligeti. Imprints of supersymmetry at a future Z factory. https://arxiv.org/abs/2407.13815, 2024.