

Extended Bargmann FDA and non-relativistic gravity

Ariana Muñoz,^{a,b,*} Gustavo Rubio,^{b,†} Sebastián Salgado^{c,‡}

^a*Departamento de Óptica, Facultad de Física, Universidad Complutense, 28040 Madrid, Spain*

^b*Facultad de Ingeniería, Universidad Autónoma de Chile, 5 Poniente 1670, Talca, Chile*

^c*Instituto de Alta Investigación, Universidad de Tarapacá, Casilla 7D, Arica, Chile*

Abstract

In this paper we consider the construction of a free differential algebra as an extension of the extended Bargmann algebra in arbitrary dimensions. This is achieved by introducing a new Maurer-Cartan equation for a three-form gauge multiplet in the adjoint representation of the extended Bargmann algebra. The new Maurer-Cartan equation is provided of non-triviality by means of the introduction of a four-form cocycle, representative of a Chevalley-Eilenberg cohomology class. We derive the corresponding dual L_∞ algebra and, by using the formalism of non-linear realizations, propose a five-dimensional gauge invariant action principle. Then, we derive the corresponding equations of motion and study how the presence of the three-form gauge fields and the four-cocycle modify the corresponding non-relativistic dynamics.

arXiv:2504.00140v1 [hep-th] 31 Mar 2025

*ariana.munoz@uautonoma.cl

†gustavo.rubio@uautonoma.cl

‡ssalgador@gestion.uta.cl

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Free differential algebras	3
3	Extended Bargmann FDA	5
3.1	Cohomology class	6
3.2	Dual algebra	8
3.3	Infinitesimal gauge transformations	10
4	Non-relativistic gravity	11
4.1	Non-linear realization	13
4.2	Action principles	16
5	Concluding remarks	20
A	Transformation law of the multiplet	21

1 Introduction

In recent years, several models have been proposed to describe the dynamics of non-relativistic gravity, especially in the three-dimensional case. First attempts of obtaining non-relativistic algebras were made in refs. [1, 2], where a non-relativistic version of the Poincaré algebra was derived by splitting its Lorentz index into space and time components, and then performing an Inönü-Wigner contraction that, from a physical point of view, corresponds to the limit in which the speed of light becomes infinite. Further developments and applications of the Galilean algebra and other non-relativistic symmetries [3–6] have gained a growing attention in recent years due to their relevance in several fields of theoretical physics, such as condensed matter, holography [7–11] and the study of the quantum Hall effect [12–15]. Once introduced a non-relativistic symmetry, the natural step forward would be the construction of a gauge invariant action principle from where the dynamics of non-relativistic gravity would be derived. However, such action principle cannot be constructed from the Galilei algebra, since the requirement of the Poisson equation as resulting dynamics is not compatible with the field content of its gauging and requires a different structure [16, 17]. Furthermore, the Galilei algebra presents a degenerate invariant bilinear tensor, which makes it impossible to write down a Chern-Simons action in analogy to the well-known formulation of three-dimensional Einstein gravity as a Chern-Simons theory of the AdS group [18, 19]. Further developments shown it is possible to extend the Galilei algebra though

a central extension, which gives rise to the so-called Bargmann algebra. Although this extension inherits the mentioned problem of a degenerate invariant tensor, it makes possible to reformulate non-relativistic gravity in a geometric way by gauging the algebra and imposing a gauge-covariant ansatz into the Poisson equation [20, 21]. Later studies have shown that a three-dimensional Chern-Simons action principle can indeed be constructed by considering an extension of the Bargmann algebra [22]. This algebra, referred as extended Bargmann algebra (EB), presents a non-degenerate bilinear invariant tensor, which makes possible the writing of a well-defined Chern-Simons action principle. This gravity theory can be considered the non-relativistic counterpart of the three-dimensional Einstein gravity theory in flat spacetime. Similar results were carried out in the context of non-relativistic supergravity [23, 24]. This makes EB gravity particularly interesting due to its relevance in the study of non-relativistic holography [25]. Although the three-dimensional EB Chern-Simons theory is a well-defined gauge theory for gravity, it does not fulfill the requirement of leading to the Poisson equation. This feature has been the motivation in the search of enlarged symmetries, known as Newtonian algebras [26]. These are extensions of the EB algebra that also present non-degenerate invariant tensors, and consequently well-defined Chern-Simons actions whose equations of motion lead to the Poisson equation. These studies have been carried out in the context of three-dimensional gravity, while the study of similar symmetries and gravity theories in higher dimensions remains mostly unexplored. Of particular interest is the case of five-dimensional theories, where Chern-Simons forms can also be defined and therefore, the existence of pure topological theories is allowed. However, their construction is in general more challenging due to the problem of obtaining rank-three invariant tensors for the five-dimensional versions of the gauge algebras. Moreover, the extended Newtonian non-relativistic algebras usually present a more complicated structure [27]. It becomes therefore relevant to explore new formalisms for the construction of abstract gauge invariant theories in the context of non-relativistic gravity. For this purpose, it is noteworthy that Lie algebras can be generalized by means of their own topological properties. This is the case of free-differential algebras (FDAs), which are defined as extensions of the dual formulation of Lie algebras in terms of Maurer-Cartan differential equations for left-invariant one-forms. Such extensions are performed by considering a basis of differential forms not only composed by one-forms but by differential forms of different degrees. This feature enlarges the field content of the corresponding gauge theories that emerge from them, allowing the construction of topological theories in more dimensionalities. FDAs have been useful in the formulation of gauge theories for supergravity in higher-dimensions, allowing to formulate them in a geometric way [28–30]. Moreover, it has been proved that they also allow the existence of Chern-Simons forms in which that couple the one-forms of standard Lie gauge theories with independent higher-degree forms [31–35]. The main obstacle for the construction of these theories is the finding new FDAs that non-trivially extend particular Lie algebras, and the later writing of their corresponding invariant tensors. However, once obtained a FDA of interest, it is possible to overcome the second difficulty by constructing non-topological gauge theories,

such as the mentioned geometric Lagrangians or, as it is considered in this work, the formalism of non-linear realizations.

In this article we address two related goals. The first one consisting in finding a new FDA that non-trivially extends the EB Lie algebra, thus extending the symmetry and enhancing the field content of one-forms by the inclusion of three-forms. The second objective is to formulate standard Newtonian gravity as a gauge invariant theory by means of the formalism of non-linear realizations. In this framework, we consider two cases: the EB Lie algebra and its corresponding FDA extension. The study of the second case will allow us to study how the inclusion of higher-degree forms modifies the equations of motion of the standard theory. The paper is structured as follows: In section 2, we present a short review on the theory on FDAs, with emphasis on the particular case known as FDA1. In section 3, we introduce a FDA extension of the EB algebra and present its dual formulation. In section 4, we propose a non-linear realization of the EB algebra and its FDA extension. We make use of them to formulate standard 4D Newtonian gravity as an off-shell gauge invariant theory of the EB algebra, and a five-dimensional gauge invariant action that couples non-relativistic gravity with three-forms.

2 Free differential algebras

Lie algebras are formulated as vector spaces endowed with antisymmetric bilinear products satisfying the Jacobi identity. These algebras can be equivalently formulated by considering a set of left-invariant one-forms in the group manifold satisfying the so-called Maurer-Cartan equations, which are equivalent to the statement of zero gauge curvature in the corresponding group manifold. FDAs are generalizations of the dual formulation of Lie algebras, which appear by considering a basis of differential forms of different degrees defined in a smooth manifold, thus allowing the formulation of classical gauge theories with higher-degree differential forms as gauge fields [28, 30]. The simplest case, known as FDA1, consists of a differential algebra carrying a one-form A^A , such as it happens with Lie algebras, and a p -form B^I [36–38]. The corresponding Maurer-Cartan equations are defined as*:

$$R(A^A) \equiv dA^A + \frac{1}{2}C_{BC}^A A^B A^C = 0, \quad (2.1)$$

$$H(B^I) \equiv dB^I + C_{AJ}^I A^A B^J + \frac{1}{(p+1)!} C_{A_1 \dots A_{p+1}}^I A^{A_1} \dots A^{A_{p+1}} = 0. \quad (2.2)$$

At this point, the structure constants C_{BC}^A , C_{AJ}^I and $C_{A_1 \dots A_{p+1}}^I$ are introduced initially without imposing any conditions. However, in order to have a well-defined differential algebra in the soft manifold on which these differential forms are introduced, the exterior derivative operator must satisfy $d^2 = 0$. This requirement imposes conditions on the structure constants that are

*Note that, if $p = 2$, a term of the form $C_i^A B^i$ would be allowed in eq. (2.1). This term is not included in the simplest case known as FDA1. FDAs that share this feature are called minimal.

summarized as follows

$$C_{B[C}^A C_{BC]}^A = 0, \quad (2.3)$$

$$C_{AJ}^I C_{BK}^J - C_{BJ}^I C_{AK}^J = C_{AB}^C C_{CK}^I, \quad (2.4)$$

$$2C_{[A_1]J}^I C_{[A_2 \dots A_{p+2}]}^J - (p+1) C_{B[A_1 \dots A_p]}^I C_{A_{p+1} A_{p+2}}^B = 0. \quad (2.5)$$

Eqs. (2.3)-(2.5) are the generalization of the Jacobi identity for FDA1. Notice that eq. (2.3) is the standard Jacobi identity of a Lie algebra, and the structure constants C_{BC}^A are antisymmetric in the lower indices by virtue of eq. (2.1). This shows that FDA1 presents a Lie subalgebra. Moreover, eq. (2.4) shows that the structure constants C_{AJ}^I form a representation of that Lie subalgebra, which means that, when extending a Lie algebra to a FDA1 the index I of the p -form must be a representation index [36–39]. The fact that the structure constants C_{AJ}^I form a representation implies that the new Maurer-Cartan equations for the higher-degree forms can be written in terms of the covariant derivative of the Lie algebra, as follows

$$\nabla B^I + \Omega^I = 0, \quad (2.6)$$

with

$$\Omega^I = \frac{1}{(p+1)!} C_{A_1 \dots A_{p+1}}^I A^{A_1} \dots A^{A_{p+1}}, \quad (2.7)$$

where the covariant derivative is defined in terms of the chosen representation as $\nabla B^I = dB^I + C_{AJ}^I A^A B^J$. Finally, the third structure constant $C_{A_1 \dots A_{p+1}}^I$ is always antisymmetric in the lower indices. Eq. (2.5) turns out to be equivalent to the statement $\nabla \Omega^I = 0$. This shows that the $(p+1)$ -form Ω^I introduced in eq. (2.5) must be a cocycle of the Lie subalgebra. Cocycles are classified in Chevalley-Eilenberg cohomology classes of differential forms that are covariantly closed and non-covariantly exact [40]. Thus, two cocycles Ω and Ω' belong to the same cohomology if they differ in a covariantly exact form (as $\Omega' = \Omega + \nabla \varphi$ for some p -form φ defined in terms of A^A and B^I). Similarly, two algebras of the FDA1 type are equivalent if they are constructed with cocycles belonging to the same cohomology class. Moreover, if a FDA1 is constructed with a trivial cocycle (i.e., a covariantly exact cocycle), such algebra is equivalent to an algebra constructed without any cocycle, since its contribution to the Maurer-Cartan equations can be reabsorbed by a redefinition of the potential B^I . From an inspection of eqs. (2.1) and (2.2), it follows that a general Lie algebra can be extended to FDA1 by introducing a p -form in a given representation, if and only if a $(p+1)$ -cocycle in the same representation exists. The use of FDA1 in the construction of gauge theories for gravity allows to extend the gauge principle by introducing p -form gauge fields.

3 Extended Bargmann FDA

The EB Lie algebra can be obtained as an expansion of the Poincaré algebra [41, 42]. In fact, let us consider the D -dimensional Poincaré algebra $\mathfrak{iso}(D-1, 1)$, whose generators $\{\hat{\mathbf{P}}_a, \hat{\mathbf{J}}_{ab}\}$ satisfy the following commutation relations

$$[\hat{\mathbf{J}}_{ab}, \hat{\mathbf{J}}_{cd}] = \eta_{bc}\hat{\mathbf{J}}_{ad} + \eta_{ad}\hat{\mathbf{J}}_{bc} - \eta_{ac}\hat{\mathbf{J}}_{bd} - \eta_{bd}\hat{\mathbf{J}}_{ac}, \quad (3.1)$$

$$[\hat{\mathbf{J}}_{ab}, \hat{\mathbf{P}}_c] = \eta_{bc}\hat{\mathbf{P}}_a - \eta_{ac}\hat{\mathbf{P}}_b. \quad (3.2)$$

Here $a, b = 0, \dots, D-1$ are Lorentz indices, raised and lowered with the D -dimensional Minkowski metric $\eta_{ab} = \text{diag}(-, +, \dots, +)$. Let us also consider the semigroup $S_E^{(2)} = \{\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3\}$, which is endowed with the multiplication rule

$$\lambda_\alpha \lambda_\beta = \begin{cases} \lambda_{\alpha+\beta} & \text{if } \alpha + \beta \leq 3, \\ \lambda_3 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

Note that λ_3 is a zero element. We decompose this semigroup as $S_E^{(2)} = S_0 \cup S_1$ with $S_0 = \{\lambda_0, \lambda_2, \lambda_3\}$ and $S_1 = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_3\}$. Moreover, by splitting the Lorentz index into space and time components as $a = (0, i)$, the Poincaré algebra can be decomposed as $\mathfrak{iso}(D-1, 1) = V_0 \oplus V_1$ with $V_0 = \text{span}\{\hat{\mathbf{J}}_{ij}, \hat{\mathbf{P}}_0\}$ and $V_1 = \text{span}\{\hat{\mathbf{J}}_{0i}, \hat{\mathbf{P}}_i\}$. According to the definitions of ref. [43], both decompositions are in resonance, which allows to introduce the resonant $S_E^{(2)}$ -expanded algebra, defined by $\mathfrak{G}_R = (S_0 \times V_0) \oplus (S_1 \times V_1)$. Moreover, the presence of the zero element in the semigroup allows us to extract a reduced algebra from \mathfrak{G}_R by considering $\lambda_3 \times \{\hat{\mathbf{P}}_a, \hat{\mathbf{J}}_{ab}\} = 0$. Thus, by defining the expanded generators

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{J}_{ij} &= \lambda_0 \hat{\mathbf{J}}_{ij}, & \mathbf{H} &= \lambda_0 \hat{\mathbf{P}}_0, & \mathbf{G}_i &= \lambda_1 \hat{\mathbf{J}}_{0i}, \\ \mathbf{M} &= \lambda_2 \hat{\mathbf{P}}_0, & \mathbf{P}_i &= \lambda_1 \hat{\mathbf{P}}_i, & \mathbf{S}_{ij} &= \lambda_2 \hat{\mathbf{J}}_{ij}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

one finds that they span the EB algebra, satisfying the following commutators:

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbf{J}_{ij}, \mathbf{J}_{kl}] &= \delta_{ik}\mathbf{J}_{lj} - \delta_{il}\mathbf{J}_{kj} + \delta_{jl}\mathbf{J}_{ki} - \delta_{jk}\mathbf{J}_{li}, \\ [\mathbf{J}_{ij}, \mathbf{G}_k] &= \delta_{kj}\mathbf{G}_i - \delta_{ki}\mathbf{G}_j, \\ [\mathbf{J}_{ij}, \mathbf{P}_k] &= \delta_{kj}\mathbf{P}_i - \delta_{ki}\mathbf{P}_j, \\ [\mathbf{G}_i, \mathbf{P}_j] &= \delta_{ij}\mathbf{M}, \\ [\mathbf{G}_i, \mathbf{H}] &= \mathbf{P}_i, \\ [\mathbf{G}_i, \mathbf{G}_j] &= \mathbf{S}_{ij}, \\ [\mathbf{J}_{ij}, \mathbf{S}_{kl}] &= \delta_{ik}\mathbf{S}_{lj} - \delta_{il}\mathbf{S}_{kj} + \delta_{jl}\mathbf{S}_{ki} - \delta_{jk}\mathbf{S}_{li}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Thus, the EB algebra appears as a $S_E^{(2)}$ -expansion of the Poincaré algebra without the need of an Inonu-Wigner contraction [41, 42]. From eqs. (3.5), we see that the generators \mathbf{S}_{ij} extend the Bargmann algebra, which is spanned by the set $\{\mathbf{J}_{ij}, \mathbf{G}_i, \mathbf{P}_i, \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{M}\}$. Similarly, the Bargmann algebra appears as a central extension of the Galilei algebra spanned by $\{\mathbf{J}_{ij}, \mathbf{G}_i, \mathbf{P}_i, \mathbf{H}\}$.

3.1 Cohomology class

Let us now address the goal of obtaining an extension of the EB algebra. For this purpose, let us introduce the one-form gauge connection evaluated in $\mathfrak{iso}(D-1, 1)$

$$\hat{\mathbf{A}} = \hat{e}^a \hat{\mathbf{P}}_a + \frac{1}{2} \hat{\omega}^{ab} \hat{\mathbf{J}}_{ab}. \quad (3.6)$$

The Poincaré algebra presents the following cocycle

$$\hat{\mathbf{\Omega}} = \hat{e}^a \hat{\omega}^{bc} \hat{\omega}_{bd} \hat{\omega}_c^d \hat{\mathbf{P}}_a. \quad (3.7)$$

Notice that $\hat{\mathbf{\Omega}}$ is a four-cocycle defined in the adjoint representation of $\mathfrak{iso}(D-1, 1)$, which allows to write it as a linear combinations of the generators of the algebra [35, 44]. It is direct to verify that $\hat{\mathbf{\Omega}}$ satisfies covariant closure, i.e.,

$$\tilde{\nabla} \hat{\mathbf{\Omega}} = d\hat{\mathbf{\Omega}} + [\hat{\mathbf{A}}, \hat{\mathbf{\Omega}}] = 0. \quad (3.8)$$

The non-triviality of the cocycle can be proved according to the following prescription: one proposes the most general ansatz for a four-cochain $\mathbf{\Omega}_{\text{General}}$ in the adjoint representation of the Poincaré algebra, depending on wedge products and index contractions of the Maurer-Cartan one-forms of the Lie subalgebra $(\hat{e}^a, \hat{\omega}^{ab})$ in a way that preserves Lorentz covariance. The terms of the ansatz carry arbitrary constants that are fixed by the covariant closure requirement. Thus, after imposing covariant closure, each independent constant is associated to an independent cocycle. Then, we propose as a second ansatz the most general three-cochain $\hat{\varphi}$ that can be constructed with the same conditions. The covariant derivative of $\hat{\varphi}$ provides the most general trivial four-cocycle that can be found in this representation, i.e., $\hat{\mathbf{\Omega}}_{\text{Trivial}} = \nabla \hat{\varphi}$. By comparing $\hat{\mathbf{\Omega}}_{\text{General}}$ with $\hat{\mathbf{\Omega}}_{\text{Trivial}}$, it is possible to extract the non-trivial components $\hat{\mathbf{\Omega}}_{\text{General}}$. This process shows that $\hat{\mathbf{\Omega}}$ is in fact a non-trivial cocycle, as it cannot be obtained as the covariant derivative of a three-cochain.

Let us now consider the dual expansion of the Poincaré algebra [45]. This means to perform the same expansion procedure shown in eqs. (3.4) on the left-invariant one-forms of the Poincaré algebra, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{e}^0 &= \lambda_0 \tau + \lambda_2 m, & \hat{e}^i &= \lambda_1 e^i, \\ \hat{\omega}^{0k} &= \lambda_1 \omega^k, & \hat{\omega}^{ij} &= \lambda_0 \omega^{ij} + \lambda_2 s^{ij}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

By applying the dual expansion on eq. (3.7), we find the following four-cocycle of the EB algebra

$$\mathbf{\Omega} = \tau\omega^{jk}\omega_{jl}\omega^l{}_k\mathbf{H} + e^i\omega^{jk}\omega_{jl}\omega^l{}_k\mathbf{P}_i + \left(3\tau\omega_{jl}\omega^l{}_k s^{jk} - 3\tau\omega^j\omega^k\omega_{kj} + m\omega^{jk}\omega_{jl}\omega^l{}_k\right)\mathbf{M}. \quad (3.10)$$

It is easy to verify that $\mathbf{\Omega}$ inherits the covariant closure property of $\hat{\mathbf{\Omega}}$, regarding the covariant derivative defined with the extended Bargmann gauge connection, i.e., $d\mathbf{\Omega} + [\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{\Omega}] = 0$. However, $\mathbf{\Omega}$ turns out to be composed by two independent cocycles, each one verifying covariant closure. These are given by

$$\mathbf{\Omega}_1 = 3\left(\tau\omega_{jl}\omega^l{}_k s^{jk} - \tau\omega^j\omega^k\omega_{kj}\right)\mathbf{M}, \quad (3.11)$$

$$\mathbf{\Omega}_2 = \tau\omega^{jk}\omega_{jl}\omega^l{}_k\mathbf{H} + e^i\omega^{jk}\omega_{jl}\omega^l{}_k\mathbf{P}_i + m\omega^{jk}\omega_{jl}\omega^l{}_k\mathbf{M}. \quad (3.12)$$

By using the same procedure described above, we find that $\mathbf{\Omega}_1$ is a trivial cocycle. In fact it is possible to write $\mathbf{\Omega}_1 = \nabla\varphi$, with

$$\varphi = \tau\omega^{ij}s_{ij}\mathbf{M}. \quad (3.13)$$

In contrast, $\mathbf{\Omega}_2$ cannot be written as an exact covariant derivative, which makes it a non-trivial cocycle, representative of a Chevalley-Eilenberg cohomology class of the D -dimensional EB algebra. It becomes then possible to introduce a new FDA1 by using the EB Lie algebra as starting point. To this end, we consider a three-form gauge field in the adjoint representation of the EB algebra, whose components we denote

$$B^A = (b, c, b^i, c^i, b^{ij}, c^{ij}). \quad (3.14)$$

Since the three-form (and the cocycle) is defined in the adjoint representation of the Lie algebra, its algebraic index takes values in the same domain as the one-form. Thus, the components b , c , b^i , c^i , b^{ij} and c^{ij} are associated to the same algebraic sector that τ , m , e^i , ω^i , ω^{ij} and s^{ij} respectively. We introduce a new Maurer-Cartan equation for B^A , which, according to (2.6) is given by

$$\nabla B^A + \alpha\Omega_2^A = 0. \quad (3.15)$$

Note that we have included a factor α . This is a numeric factor that we introduce for later convenience, and whose value set as $\alpha = 0, 1$. Thus, we can later consider the vanishing of the cocycle in the FDA and analyze the consequences in the resulting gravity theory. The new FDA1, that we denote as EB-FDA, is therefore defined by two sets of equations:

$$R(\tau) \equiv d\tau = 0,$$

$$R(m) \equiv dm + \omega^i e_i = 0,$$

$$R(e^i) \equiv de^i + \omega^{ij} e_j + \omega^i \tau = 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
R(\omega^{ij}) &\equiv d\omega^{ij} + \omega^i{}_k \omega^{kj} = 0, \\
R(\omega^i) &\equiv d\omega^i + \omega^{ik} \omega_k = 0, \\
R(s^{ij}) &\equiv ds^{ij} + \omega^i{}_k s^{kj} - \omega^j{}_k s^{ki} + \omega^i \omega^j = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

in addition to

$$\begin{aligned}
H(b) &\equiv db + \alpha \tau \omega^{ij} \omega_{ik} \omega^k{}_j = 0, \\
H(c) &\equiv dc + \omega^i b_i + b^i e_i + \alpha m \omega^{ij} \omega_{ik} \omega^k{}_j = 0, \\
H(b^i) &\equiv db^i + \omega^i{}_j b^j + b \omega^i + c^i \tau + b^i{}_j e^j + \alpha e^i \omega^{jk} \omega_{jl} \omega^l{}_k = 0, \\
H(c^i) &\equiv dc^i + \omega^i{}_j c^j + b^i{}_j \omega^j = 0, \\
H(b^{ij}) &\equiv db^{ij} + \omega^i{}_k b^{kj} - \omega^j{}_k b^{ki} = 0, \\
H(c^{ij}) &\equiv dc^{ij} + \omega^i{}_k c^{kj} - \omega^j{}_k c^{ki} + s^i{}_k b^{kj} - s^j{}_k b^{ki} + \omega^i c^j - \omega^j c^i = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

Eqs. (3.16) define the EB algebra in dual formulation, while (3.17) is a set of new Maurer-Cartan equations for the new three-form gauge potentials. By setting $\alpha = 0$, we obtain a trivial extension of the EB algebra in which the three-forms can be decomposed in terms of the one-forms.

3.2 Dual algebra

As it happens with Lie algebras, FDAs can be formulated as vector spaces endowed with linear products. However, the presence of higher-degree forms in a FDA makes necessary to introduce multilinear products in addition to the bilinear one of a Lie algebra. These algebras are known as L_∞ algebras are non-associative structures that appear in the study of closed string theory and the Poisson structures of classical gauge theories [46–49]. They are generalizations of Lie algebras that satisfy a Jacobi-like identity for the multilinear products, known as L_∞ identity [50, 51]. Consequently, when writing a L_∞ algebra as a FDA, this identity is translated into a generalized Jacobi identities for the FDA structure constant, which take the form of eqs. (2.3)-(2.5) for the particular case of a FDA1. The L_∞ algebra dual to a general FDA1 is defined as a vector space spanned by the set of vectors $\{\mathbf{t}_A, \mathbf{t}_i\}$ dual to the basis of differential forms $[A^A, B^I]$, endowed with the following bilinear and multilinear products [44, 52]:

$$[\mathbf{t}_A, \mathbf{t}_B] = C_{AB}^C \mathbf{t}_C, \tag{3.18}$$

$$[\mathbf{t}_A, \mathbf{t}_I] = C_{AI}^J \mathbf{t}_J, \tag{3.19}$$

$$[\mathbf{t}_{A_1}, \dots, \mathbf{t}_{A_{p+1}}] = p! C_{A_1 \dots A_{p+1}}^I \mathbf{t}_I, \tag{3.20}$$

$$\text{others} = 0. \tag{3.21}$$

From eq. (2.3) and (3.18), it follows that this L_∞ algebra has a Lie subalgebra spanned by $\{\mathbf{t}_A\}$. The product in (3.19) is symmetric or antisymmetric for p even or odd respectively. The $(p+1)$ -

linear product in (3.20) is always antisymmetric. If a Lie algebra is extended into a FDA1 by introducing a p -form in the adjoint representation, as it is the case of EB-FDA, the representation index takes the form $I \rightarrow A$, while the generalized structure constants C_{AJ}^I become equivalent to those of the original Lie algebra, i.e., $C_{AJ}^I \rightarrow C_{AB}^C$. To avoid confusion with the generators of the Lie subalgebra, we rename the vectors along the extended subspace with a bar $\mathbf{t}_I \rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{t}}_A$, then the L_∞ algebra associated to FDA1 is spanned by the basis vectors $\{\mathbf{t}_A, \bar{\mathbf{t}}_A\}$. Then, the product in eq. (3.18) remains the same, while those in eqs. (3.19) and (3.20) take the form

$$[\mathbf{t}_A, \bar{\mathbf{t}}_B] = C_{AB}^C \bar{\mathbf{t}}_C, \quad (3.22)$$

$$[\mathbf{t}_{A_1}, \dots, \mathbf{t}_{A_{p+1}}] = p! C_{A_1 \dots A_{p+1}}^B \bar{\mathbf{t}}_B. \quad (3.23)$$

In the following, we will maintain this notation. All vectors along the extended sector of the algebra will be denoted with bar.

This dual formulation allows us to write down the extended Bargmann FDA from eqs. (3.16) and (3.17) in terms of bilinear and multilinear products. Let us recall that in the case the \mathbf{t}_A vectors are decomposed as $\mathbf{t}_A = (\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{M}, \mathbf{P}_i, \mathbf{G}_i, \mathbf{J}_{ij}, \mathbf{S}_{ij})$. Similarly, we denote the basis vectors spanning the extended algebraic sector as $\bar{\mathbf{t}}_A = (\bar{\mathbf{H}}, \bar{\mathbf{M}}, \bar{\mathbf{P}}_i, \bar{\mathbf{G}}_i, \bar{\mathbf{J}}_{ij}, \bar{\mathbf{S}}_{ij})$. Thus, the relations of the L_∞ algebra (dual to EB-FDA) are given by

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbf{J}_{ij}, \mathbf{J}_{kl}] &= \delta_{[i[k} \mathbf{J}_{l]j}], & [\bar{\mathbf{J}}_{ij}, \mathbf{P}_k] &= \delta_{k[j} \bar{\mathbf{P}}_i], \\ [\mathbf{J}_{ij}, \mathbf{G}_k] &= \delta_{k[j} \mathbf{G}_i], & [\bar{\mathbf{G}}_i, \mathbf{P}_j] &= \delta_{ij} \bar{\mathbf{M}}, \\ [\mathbf{J}_{ij}, \mathbf{P}_k] &= \delta_{k[j} \mathbf{P}_i], & [\mathbf{G}_i, \bar{\mathbf{P}}_j] &= \delta_{ij} \bar{\mathbf{M}}, \\ [\mathbf{G}_i, \mathbf{P}_j] &= \delta_{ij} \mathbf{M}, & [\bar{\mathbf{G}}_i, \mathbf{H}] &= \bar{\mathbf{P}}_i, \\ [\mathbf{G}_i, \mathbf{H}] &= \mathbf{P}_i, & [\mathbf{G}_i, \bar{\mathbf{H}}] &= \bar{\mathbf{P}}_i, \\ [\mathbf{G}_i, \mathbf{G}_j] &= \mathbf{S}_{ij}, & [\bar{\mathbf{G}}_i, \mathbf{G}_j] &= \bar{\mathbf{S}}_{ij}, \\ [\mathbf{J}_{ij}, \mathbf{S}_{kl}] &= \delta_{[i[k} \mathbf{S}_{l]j}], & [\bar{\mathbf{J}}_{ij}, \mathbf{S}_{kl}] &= \delta_{[i[k} \bar{\mathbf{S}}_{l]j}], \\ [\mathbf{J}_{ij}, \bar{\mathbf{J}}_{kl}] &= \delta_{[i[k} \bar{\mathbf{J}}_{l]j}], & [\mathbf{J}_{ij}, \bar{\mathbf{S}}_{kl}] &= \delta_{[i[k} \bar{\mathbf{S}}_{l]j}], \\ [\mathbf{J}_{ij}, \bar{\mathbf{G}}_k] &= \delta_{k[j} \bar{\mathbf{G}}_i], & [\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{J}_{ij}, \mathbf{J}_{kl}, \mathbf{J}_{mn}] &= (3!)^2 \alpha \delta_{[ij,kl,mn]} \bar{\mathbf{H}}, \\ [\bar{\mathbf{J}}_{ij}, \mathbf{G}_k] &= \delta_{k[j} \bar{\mathbf{G}}_i], & [\mathbf{P}_h, \mathbf{J}_{ij}, \mathbf{J}_{kl}, \mathbf{J}_{mn}] &= (3!)^2 \alpha \delta_{[ij,kl,mn]} \bar{\mathbf{P}}_h, \\ [\mathbf{J}_{ij}, \bar{\mathbf{P}}_k] &= \delta_{k[j} \bar{\mathbf{P}}_i], & [\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{J}_{ij}, \mathbf{J}_{kl}, \mathbf{J}_{mn}] &= (3!)^2 \alpha \delta_{[ij,kl,mn]} \bar{\mathbf{M}}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

where we define

$$\delta_{[ij,kl,mn]} = (\delta_{ik} \delta_{jn} \delta_{lm} - \delta_{jk} \delta_{in} \delta_{lm} - \delta_{il} \delta_{jn} \delta_{km} + \delta_{jl} \delta_{in} \delta_{km} - \delta_{ik} \delta_{jm} \delta_{ln} + \delta_{jk} \delta_{im} \delta_{ln} + \delta_{il} \delta_{jm} \delta_{kn} - \delta_{jl} \delta_{im} \delta_{kn}). \quad (3.25)$$

In this case, the higher-degree form is a three-form and consequently, all the products in (3.24) are antisymmetric. Note that the first seven relations describe the EB Lie algebra, while the

products of the form $[\mathbf{t}_A, \bar{\mathbf{t}}_B]$ lie in the extended subspace but inheriting the same structure constants. Furthermore, the information about the FDA cocycle is contained in the last three multilinear products.

3.3 Infinitesimal gauge transformations

The use of L_∞ algebras allows to write the components of FDA1 gauge fields as linear combinations of vectors, such as it is usually done in the study of Lie gauge theories. Let us consider the one-form \mathbf{A} and a three-form gauge field \mathbf{B} , evaluated in the standard and extended sectors of the corresponding L_∞ algebra, to whose components we denote as

$$\mathbf{A} = \tau \mathbf{H} + m \mathbf{M} + e^i \mathbf{P}_i + \omega^i \mathbf{G}_i + \frac{1}{2} \omega^{ij} \mathbf{J}_{ij} + \frac{1}{2} s^{ij} \mathbf{S}_{ij}, \quad (3.26)$$

$$\mathbf{B} = b \bar{\mathbf{H}} + c \bar{\mathbf{M}} + b^i \bar{\mathbf{P}}_i + c^i \bar{\mathbf{G}}_i + \frac{1}{2} b^{ij} \bar{\mathbf{J}}_{ij} + \frac{1}{2} c^{ij} \bar{\mathbf{S}}_{ij}, \quad (3.27)$$

where $\tau, m, e^i, \omega^i, \omega^{ij}$ and s^{ij} are one-forms, while b, c, b^i, c^i, b^{ij} and c^{ij} are three-forms. Let us also consider the gauging of EB-FDA by introducing the following curvatures

$$\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{A}) = R(\tau) \mathbf{H} + R(m) \mathbf{M} + R(e^i) \mathbf{P}_i + \frac{1}{2} R(\omega^{ij}) \mathbf{J}_{ij} + R(\omega^i) \mathbf{G}_i + \frac{1}{2} R(s^{ij}) \mathbf{S}_{ij}, \quad (3.28)$$

$$\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{B}) = H(b) \bar{\mathbf{H}} + H(c) \bar{\mathbf{M}} + H(c^i) \bar{\mathbf{G}}_i + H(b^i) \bar{\mathbf{P}}_i + \frac{1}{2} H(b^{ij}) \bar{\mathbf{J}}_{ij} + \frac{1}{2} H(c^{ij}) \bar{\mathbf{S}}_{ij}, \quad (3.29)$$

where $\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{A})$ is a two-form evaluated in the Lie subalgebra EB, while $\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{B})$ is a four-form evaluated in the remaining subspace. The components of $\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{A})$ and $\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{B})$ are explicitly given by eqs. (3.16) and (3.17) respectively (notice that they are no longer vanishing). Moreover, we introduce the following gauge parameters evaluated in both algebraic sectors:

$$\boldsymbol{\theta} = \theta^A \mathbf{t}_A = \theta \mathbf{H} + \theta^i \mathbf{P}_i + \frac{1}{2} \theta^{ij} \mathbf{J}_{ij} + \sigma \mathbf{M} + \sigma^i \mathbf{G}_i + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^{ij} \mathbf{S}_{ij}, \quad (3.30)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\Theta} = \Theta^A \bar{\mathbf{t}}_A = \Theta \bar{\mathbf{H}} + \Theta^i \bar{\mathbf{P}}_i + \frac{1}{2} \Theta^{ij} \bar{\mathbf{J}}_{ij} + \Sigma \bar{\mathbf{M}} + \Sigma^i \bar{\mathbf{G}}_i + \frac{1}{2} \Sigma^{ij} \bar{\mathbf{S}}_{ij}. \quad (3.31)$$

Here, $\theta, \theta^i, \theta^{ij}, \sigma, \sigma^i$ and σ^{ij} are zero-forms evaluated in the Lie subalgebra EB, while $\Theta, \Theta^i, \Theta^{ij}, \Sigma, \Sigma^i$ and Σ^{ij} are two-forms evaluated in the extended sector. The infinitesimal gauge variations of the gauge fields are given by [36–39]

$$\delta A^A = d\theta^A + C_{BC}^A A^B \theta^C, \quad (3.32)$$

$$\delta B^I = d\Theta^I + C_{AJ}^I A^A \Theta^J - C_{AJ}^I \theta^A B^J - \frac{1}{p!} C_{A_1 \dots A_{p+1}}^I \theta^{A_1} A^{A_2} \dots A^{A_{p+1}}. \quad (3.33)$$

These variations can be equivalently written in terms of the dual L_∞ basis as

$$\delta \mathbf{A} = d\boldsymbol{\theta} + [\mathbf{A}, \boldsymbol{\theta}], \quad (3.34)$$

$$\delta \mathbf{B} = d\Theta + [\mathbf{A}, \Theta] - [\theta, \mathbf{B}] - \frac{1}{(p!)^2} [\theta, \mathbf{A}, \dots, \mathbf{A}]. \quad (3.35)$$

In FDA gauge theory, these infinitesimal gauge variations induce definitions of covariant derivatives for zero-forms and two-forms, such that the variations can be written as $\delta \mathbf{A} = \nabla \theta$ and $\delta \mathbf{B} = \nabla \Theta$. Then, by consider the gauge fields from eqs. (3.26) and (3.27) and the gauge parameters from eqs (3.30) and (3.31), and plugging in the L_∞ products (3.24), we find the following infinitesimal gauge variations.

Variations of the one-forms:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \tau &\equiv \nabla \theta = d\theta, \\ \delta e^i &\equiv \nabla \theta^i = D_\omega \theta^i + \omega^i \theta - \tau \sigma^i - \theta^i{}_j e^j, \\ \delta m &\equiv \nabla \sigma = d\sigma + \omega^i \theta_i - e^i \sigma_i, \\ \delta \omega^i &\equiv \nabla \sigma^i = D_\omega \sigma^i - \theta^i{}_j \omega^j, \\ \delta \omega^{ij} &\equiv \nabla \theta^{ij} = D_\omega \theta^{ij}, \\ \delta s^{ij} &\equiv \nabla \sigma^{ij} = D_\omega \sigma^{ij} + \omega^i \sigma^j - \omega^j \sigma^i - \theta^i{}_k s^{kj} + \theta^j{}_k s^{ki}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.36)$$

Variations of the three-forms:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta b &\equiv \nabla \Theta = d\Theta - \theta \omega_{ij} \omega^i{}_k \omega^{kj} + 3\alpha \tau \theta_{ij} \omega^i{}_k \omega^{kj}, \\ \delta b^i &\equiv \nabla \Theta^i = D_\omega \Theta^i - \Theta^i{}_j e^j - \tau \Sigma^i + \omega^i \Theta + c^i \theta + b^i{}_j \theta^j - \theta^i{}_j b^j - \sigma^i b \\ &\quad - \alpha \theta^h \omega_{ij} \omega^i{}_k \omega^{kj} + 3\alpha e^h \theta_{ij} \omega^i{}_k \omega^{kj}, \\ \delta b^{ij} &\equiv \nabla \Theta^{ij} = D_\omega \Theta^{ij} - \theta^i{}_k b^{kj} + \theta^j{}_k b^{ki}, \\ \delta c &\equiv \nabla \Sigma = d\Sigma - e^i \Sigma_i + \omega^i \Theta^j \delta_{ij} + c^i \theta_i - b^i \sigma_i - \sigma \omega_{ij} \omega^i{}_k \omega^{kj} + 3\alpha m \theta_{ij} \omega^i{}_k \omega^{kj}, \\ \delta c^i &\equiv \nabla \Sigma^i = d\Sigma^i - \Theta^i{}_j \omega^j + \omega^i{}_j \Sigma^j - \frac{1}{2} \theta^i{}_j c^j + b^i{}_j \sigma^j, \\ \delta c^{ij} &\equiv \nabla \Sigma^{ij} = D_\omega \Sigma^{ij} + \omega^i \Sigma^j - \omega^j \Sigma^i - \sigma^i c^j + \sigma^j c^i + s^i{}_k \Theta^{kj} - s^j{}_k \Theta^{ki} \\ &\quad - \theta^i{}_k c^{kj} + \theta^j{}_k c^{ki} + b^i{}_k \sigma^{kj} - b^j{}_k \sigma^{ki}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.37)$$

4 Non-relativistic gravity

In this section we aim to construct gauge invariant theories for the 4D EB algebra, and the 5D EB-FDA. In general, it is possible to write down Chern-Simons actions for specific cases of FDA1 in even and odd dimensionalities. However, the presence of the cocycle in the algebra changes the properties of the invariant tensor of its Lie subalgebra. Hence, when constructing a Chern-Simons action, it becomes necessary to find new invariant tensors. This is the main obstacle when writing down a gauge invariant action principle for a given FDA1. Therefore, we consider an alternative approach, namely, the formalism of non-linear realizations, which allows to extend the gauge invariance of a gauge theory from a stability subalgebra \mathfrak{h} to a larger algebra

\mathfrak{g} [53–56]. A well-known example of this procedure consists in the writing of four-dimensional general relativity as a gauge theory of the Poincaré group. In that case, the full algebra is the 4D Poincaré algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{iso}(3, 1) = \text{span}\{\mathbf{P}_a, \mathbf{J}_{ab}\}$, while the stability subalgebra is chosen as the Lorentz algebra $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{so}(3, 1) = \text{span}\{\mathbf{J}_{ab}\}$. The theory is formulated as follows: one considers the one-form gauge connection evaluated in the full algebra

$$\mathbf{A} = e^a \mathbf{P}_a + \frac{1}{2} \omega^{ab} \mathbf{J}_{ab}, \quad (4.1)$$

and a zero-form multiplet evaluated in the coset space resulting from the full algebra and the stability subalgebra $\phi = \phi^a \mathbf{P}_a$, i.e., in the translational subspace spanned by $\{\mathbf{P}_a\}$. A secondary gauge connection is then introduced, as follows

$$\tilde{\mathbf{A}} = e^{-\phi} de^\phi + e^{-\phi} \mathbf{A} e^\phi. \quad (4.2)$$

The new connection is obtained by means of a gauge transformation of \mathbf{A} where the multiplet ϕ plays the role of gauge parameter. However, this is not regarded as a symmetry transformation. The connection $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$, sometimes referred as non-linear gauge field because of its non-linear dependence on the multiplet, plays the role of the fundamental field of the theory. It is possible to prove that any quantity constructed with \mathbf{A} that is invariant under the transformations of the stability subalgebra, will become invariant under the full algebra if \mathbf{A} is replaced by $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$, as long as the components of the gauge multiplet transform in an appropriate manner. Thus, by directly calculating the functional form of the non-linear connection $\tilde{\mathbf{A}} = \tilde{e}^a \mathbf{P}_a + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\omega}^{ab} \mathbf{J}_{ab}$, one finds

$$\tilde{e}^a = e^a + D_\omega \phi, \quad \tilde{\omega}^{ab} = \omega^{ab}. \quad (4.3)$$

As mentioned, the components of the non-linear connection are considered as fundamental fields, which means that \tilde{e}^a is considered the vierbein of the corresponding gravity theory while ω^{ab} plays the role of spin connection. Then, the four-dimensional Einstein-Hilbert action in first order formalism can be written as

$$I[\tilde{\mathbf{A}}] = \kappa \int \epsilon_{abcd} R(\omega^{ab}) \tilde{e}^c \tilde{e}^d, \quad (4.4)$$

where κ is a dimensional constant. It is easy to verify that, under an infinitesimal gauge transformation with parameter $\theta = \theta^a \mathbf{P}_a + \frac{1}{2} \theta^{ab} \mathbf{J}_{ab}$, the components of \mathbf{A} transform according to $\delta e^a = D_\omega \theta^a - \theta^a_b e^b$ and $\delta \omega^{ab} = D_\omega \theta^{ab}$. These variations, together with the additional transformation law of the zero-form multiplet

$$\delta \phi^a = -\theta^a + \theta^a_b \phi^b, \quad (4.5)$$

lead to a translation invariant vierbein $\delta\tilde{e}^a = -\theta^a{}_b\tilde{e}^b$. As a consequence, the Einstein-Hilbert action, formulated in terms of $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$ instead of \mathbf{A} , extends its gauge invariance from the Lorentz algebra to the Poincaré algebra [57, 58]. It is important to note that fixing the multiplet transformation law according to eq. (4.5) is an essential factor in order to obtain a symmetry enhancement in the action (4.4). However, the multiplet does not explicitly appear in the action. It plays the role of a Goldstone field and has no dynamics.

4.1 Non-linear realization

Let us now consider the same approach for the construction of gauge invariant theories for the EB algebra and for EB-FDA. In order to apply the formalism of non-linear realizations, we consider the following decomposition of the gauge algebra. We choose EB-FDA as the full gauge algebra, while the stability algebra is chosen as the spatial rotation algebra $\mathfrak{so}(D-1)$ spanned by $\{\mathbf{J}_{ij}\}$. Contrary to the relativistic case discussed above, we exclude the Lorentz boosts from the stability algebra and consider only the subspace of spatial rotations. In the relativistic case, the Lagrangian density is chosen as the Einstein-Hilbert Lagrangian, which is a Lorentz invariant. On the other hand, the EB Lie algebra does not contain the Lorentz algebra as a subalgebra, since when deriving the EB algebra from the Poincaré algebra, it is necessary to decompose the Lorentz index into spatial and temporal components, and perform a non-relativistic expansion (or an Inönü-Wigner contraction in the case of the Galilei algebra). These procedures preserve the spatial rotation subalgebra but alter the structure of the Lorentz boost sector. This modification is a natural consequence of the non-Lorentzian nature of non-relativistic limits. The speed of light is considered as infinite in such regimes, which removes the physical interpretation of the Lorentz boost composing the remaining subspace. Therefore, the choice of $\mathfrak{so}(D-1)$ is consistent with the idea of constructing a non-relativistic theory. Moreover, from a mathematical point of view, it turns out to be convenient to work with spatial rotation invariants when constructing the Lagrangian densities as the invariant tensors associated with these algebras are well-known. As an alternative, it would also be possible to consider the full EB Lie algebra as stability algebra. This approach would reduce the dimensionality of the coset space resulting from EB-FDA and the EB algebra. However, at the same time, it would increase the difficulty in finding an invariant action principle. On the other hand, it would be possible to consider a lower-dimensional algebra as stability algebra, namely, a subalgebra of the spatial rotation algebra. This would not only increase the number of components of the multiplet, but would also break the spatial rotation covariance of the theory. For the purposes of this work, we will consider the spatial rotation algebra as the stability subalgebra, which is the residual symmetry of the Lorentz algebra that remains as a closed structure after performing the non-relativistic expansion. Consequently, we introduce a multiplet taking values on the coset space resulting from EB-FDA and $\mathfrak{so}(D-1)$.

Since we are dealing with a FDA1, the parameter is composed by:

$$\phi = \phi^A \mathbf{t}_A = \phi \mathbf{H} + \phi^i \mathbf{P}_i + \lambda \mathbf{M} + \lambda^i \mathbf{G}_i + \frac{1}{2} \lambda^{ij} \mathbf{S}_{ij}, \quad (4.6)$$

$$\Phi = \Phi^A \bar{\mathbf{t}}_A = \Phi \bar{\mathbf{H}} + \Phi^i \bar{\mathbf{P}}_i + \frac{1}{2} \Phi^{ij} \bar{\mathbf{J}}_{ij} + \Lambda \bar{\mathbf{M}} + \Lambda^i \bar{\mathbf{G}}_i + \frac{1}{2} \Lambda^{ij} \bar{\mathbf{S}}_{ij}. \quad (4.7)$$

Here, ϕ , ϕ^i , λ , λ^i and λ^{ij} are zero-forms, and Φ , Φ^i , Φ^{ij} , Λ , Λ^i and Λ^{ij} are two-forms. Note that ϕ and Φ carry components along all the vectors of the dual L_∞ algebra, except those spanning the stability subalgebra. Then, we introduce the non-linear gauge fields $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}$ as gauge transformed fields of \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} respectively. The gauge transformation is carried out with ϕ and Φ playing the role of gauge parameters.

$$\tilde{\mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{A} + \delta \mathbf{A}, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{B}} = \mathbf{B} + \delta \mathbf{B}. \quad (4.8)$$

Although the multiplets are the parameters of a gauge transformation, this is not considered a symmetry transformation of the theory. The action principle will be written as a functional of $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}$, whose infinitesimal transformation law will be fixed by the independent transformations of (\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}) and (ϕ, Φ) . We denote the components of the non-linear fields as

$$\tilde{\mathbf{A}} = \tilde{\tau} \mathbf{H} + \tilde{m} \mathbf{M} + \tilde{e}^i \mathbf{P}_i + \tilde{\omega}^i \mathbf{G}_i + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\omega}^{ij} \mathbf{J}_{ij} + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{s}^{ij} \mathbf{S}_{ij}, \quad (4.9)$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{B}} = \tilde{b} \mathbf{H} + \tilde{c} \mathbf{M} + \tilde{b}^i \mathbf{P}_i + \tilde{c}^i \mathbf{G}_i + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{b}^{ij} \mathbf{J}_{ij} + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{c}^{ij} \mathbf{S}_{ij}. \quad (4.10)$$

The components of the one-form $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$ are explicitly given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\tau} &= \tau + \nabla \phi, \\ \tilde{m} &= m + \nabla \lambda - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_i \nabla \phi^i + \frac{1}{2} \phi_i \nabla \lambda^i + \frac{1}{6} \lambda^2 \nabla \phi - \frac{1}{6} \phi \lambda_i \nabla \lambda^i, \\ \tilde{e}^i &= e^i + \nabla \phi^i - \frac{1}{2} \lambda^i \nabla \phi + \frac{1}{2} \phi \nabla \lambda^i, \\ \tilde{\omega}^i &= \omega^i + \nabla \lambda^i, \\ \tilde{\omega}^{ij} &= \omega^{ij}, \\ \tilde{s}^{ij} &= s^{ij} + \nabla \lambda^{ij}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

with $\lambda^2 = \lambda^i \lambda_i$. These are the components of the non-linear gauge connection of EB, which is a natural consequence of the presence of the EB Lie algebra as subalgebra of EB-FDA. Moreover, the components of the non-linear three-form gauge field are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{b} &= b + \nabla \Phi, \\ \tilde{b}^i &= b^i + \nabla \Phi^i - \frac{1}{2} \lambda^i \nabla \Phi - \frac{1}{2} \Lambda^i \nabla \phi + \frac{1}{2} \Phi \nabla \lambda^i + \frac{1}{2} \phi \nabla \Lambda^i - \frac{1}{2} \Phi^i{}_j \nabla \phi^j + \frac{1}{2} \nabla \Phi^{ij} \phi_j \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{3}\phi\Phi^i{}_j\nabla\lambda^j + \frac{1}{6}\phi\nabla\Phi^{ij}\lambda_j + \frac{1}{6}\nabla\phi\Phi^i{}_j\lambda^j, \\
\tilde{b}^{ij} &= b^{ij} + \nabla\Phi^{ij}, \\
\tilde{c} &= c + \nabla\Lambda - \frac{1}{2}\lambda_i\nabla\Phi^i - \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_i\nabla\phi^i + \frac{1}{2}\phi_i\nabla\Lambda^i + \frac{1}{2}\Phi_i\nabla\lambda^i - \frac{1}{3}\nabla\Phi^{ij}\lambda_i\phi_j + \frac{1}{3}\lambda_i\Lambda^i\nabla\phi + \frac{1}{6}\lambda^2\nabla\Phi \\
& - \frac{1}{6}\Phi\lambda_i\nabla\lambda^i - \frac{1}{6}\phi\lambda_i\nabla\Lambda^i + \frac{1}{6}\Phi_{ij}\lambda^i\nabla\phi^j - \frac{1}{6}\Phi_{ij}\phi^i\nabla\lambda^j - \frac{1}{6}\phi\Lambda_i\nabla\lambda^i + \frac{1}{12}\phi\Phi_{ij}\lambda^i\nabla\lambda^j, \\
\tilde{c}^i &= c^i + \nabla\Lambda^i - \frac{1}{2}\Phi^i{}_j\nabla\lambda^j - \frac{1}{2}\nabla\Phi^{ij}\Theta\lambda_j, \\
\tilde{c}^{ij} &= c^{ij} + \nabla\Lambda^{ij} - \left[\frac{1}{2}\lambda^i\nabla\Lambda^j + \frac{1}{2}\Lambda^i\nabla\lambda^j + \frac{1}{2}\Phi^i{}_k\nabla\lambda^{kj} - \frac{1}{2}\nabla\Phi^{ik}\lambda_k{}^j - \frac{1}{3}\lambda^i\Phi^j{}_k\nabla\lambda^k \right. \\
& \left. - \frac{1}{6}\Phi^i{}_k\lambda^k\nabla\lambda^j + \frac{1}{6}\lambda^i\nabla\Phi^{jk}\lambda_k - (i \leftrightarrow j) \right]. \tag{4.12}
\end{aligned}$$

Note that we have written the non-linear gauge fields by using the definition of covariant derivative introduced in eqs. (3.36) and (3.37). The components of the non-linear gauge curvature two-form $\mathbf{R}(\tilde{\mathbf{A}})$ can be obtained from the general expression in eqs. (3.16) i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}
R(\tilde{\tau}) &= d\tilde{\tau}, \\
R(\tilde{m}) &= d\tilde{m} + \tilde{\omega}^i\tilde{e}_i, \\
R(\tilde{e}^i) &= D_\omega\tilde{e}^i + \tilde{\omega}^i\tilde{\tau}, \\
R(\tilde{\omega}^{ij}) &= R(\omega^{ij}), \\
R(\tilde{\omega}^i) &= D_\omega\tilde{\omega}^i, \\
R(\tilde{s}^{ij}) &= D_\omega\tilde{s}^{ij} + \tilde{\omega}^i\tilde{\omega}^j. \tag{4.13}
\end{aligned}$$

Since the algebra has been gauged, these curvatures are not vanishing. Similarly, the components of the non-linear curvature four-form $\mathbf{R}(\tilde{\mathbf{B}})$ are obtaining by replacing the components of $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}$ into eqs. (3.17). They are explicitly given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
R(\tilde{b}) &\equiv d\tilde{b} + \alpha\tilde{\tau}\tilde{\omega}^{ij}\tilde{\omega}_{ik}\tilde{\omega}^k{}_j, \\
R(\tilde{c}) &\equiv d\tilde{c} + \tilde{\omega}^i\tilde{b}_i + \tilde{b}^i\tilde{e}_i + \alpha\tilde{m}\tilde{\omega}^{ij}\tilde{\omega}_{ik}\tilde{\omega}^k{}_j, \\
R(\tilde{b}^i) &\equiv D_\omega\tilde{b}^i + \tilde{b}\tilde{\omega}^i + \tilde{c}^i\tilde{\tau} + \tilde{b}^i{}_j\tilde{e}^j + \alpha\tilde{e}^i\tilde{\omega}^{jk}\tilde{\omega}_{jl}\tilde{\omega}^l{}_k, \\
R(\tilde{c}^i) &\equiv D_\omega\tilde{c}^i + \tilde{b}^i{}_j\tilde{\omega}^j, \\
R(\tilde{b}^{ij}) &\equiv D_\omega\tilde{b}^{ij}, \\
R(\tilde{c}^{ij}) &\equiv D_\omega\tilde{c}^{ij} + \tilde{s}^i{}_k\tilde{b}^{kj} - \tilde{s}^j{}_k\tilde{b}^{ki} + \tilde{\omega}^i\tilde{c}^j - \tilde{\omega}^j\tilde{c}^i. \tag{4.14}
\end{aligned}$$

At this point, we have obtained the infinitesimal gauge variations of components of \mathbf{A} , and the functional form of the non-linear gauge fields as well. Since the fundamental fields of the theory are $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}$, the transformation law of the multiplet must also be specified. As before, this

transformation law is a key ingredient in order to enlarge the symmetry the action principle that we will propose. The transformation law of the multiplet can be found in Appendix A.

4.2 Action principles

Eqs. (4.11) show the functional form of the non-linear gauge fields of the D -dimensional EB Lie algebra. It is therefore possible to write down a gauge invariant action principle for EB by proposing a functional of \mathbf{A} that presents off-shell gauge invariance under spatial rotations. Then, the components of \mathbf{A} must be replaced by those of $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$. This will extend the gauge invariance from the spatial rotation subalgebra to the full EB algebra. For this purpose, let us first recall that an action principle for Newtonian gravity in arbitrary dimensions has been introduced in second order formalism in ref. [16]. Moreover, in refs. [23, 59], the 4D theory has been presented in first order formulation as a gauge invariant theory of the Newtonian algebra [24]. This 4D action has been obtained by means of a power series expansion of bimetric gravity. In our case, we also set $D = 4$ and consider the following functional

$$I^{\text{EB}}[\tilde{\mathbf{A}}] = \kappa \int \epsilon_{ijk} \left(R(\tilde{\omega}^{ij}) \tilde{\tau} \tilde{e}^k + R(\tilde{\omega}^i) \tilde{e}^j \tilde{e}^k + R(\tilde{s}^{ij}) \tilde{\tau} \tilde{e}^k + R(\tilde{\omega}^{ij}) \tilde{m} \tilde{e}^k \right). \quad (4.15)$$

The first term of inside the integral in eq. (4.15) corresponds to Galilean gravity, which, although it does not lead to the Poisson equation by itself, it represents the most simple consistent non-relativistic gravity model [17, 60, 61] (see ref. [62] for second-order formulation). Moreover, eq. (4.15) contains the terms that are present in the aforementioned 4D Newtonian action. The variation of the full action principle leads to the following equations of motion

$$\delta \tilde{\tau} : \quad \epsilon_{ijk} \left(R(\tilde{\omega}^{ij}) \tilde{e}^k + R(\tilde{s}^{ij}) \tilde{e}^k \right) = 0, \quad (4.16)$$

$$\delta \tilde{e}^i : \quad \epsilon_{ijk} \left(R(\tilde{\omega}^{jk}) \tilde{\tau} + 2D_\omega \tilde{\omega}^j \tilde{e}^k + R(\tilde{s}^{jk}) \tilde{\tau} + R(\tilde{\omega}^{jl}) \tilde{m} \right) = 0, \quad (4.17)$$

$$\delta \tilde{\omega}^i : \quad \epsilon_{ijk} (\tilde{\omega}^j \tilde{\tau} \tilde{e}^k + D_\omega \tilde{e}^j \tilde{e}^k) = \epsilon_{ijk} R(\tilde{e}^j) \tilde{e}^k, \quad (4.18)$$

$$\delta \tilde{\omega}^{ij} : \quad \epsilon_{ijk} \left(\tilde{e}^k d(\tilde{\tau} + \tilde{m}) - D_\omega \tilde{e}^k (\tilde{\tau} + \tilde{m}) \right) - \epsilon_{imk} (2\tilde{s}^m_j \tilde{\tau} - \tilde{\omega}_j \tilde{e}^m) \tilde{e}^k = 0, \quad (4.19)$$

$$\delta \tilde{m} : \quad \epsilon_{ijk} R(\tilde{\omega}^{ij}) \tilde{e}^k = 0, \quad (4.20)$$

$$\delta \tilde{s}^{ij} : \quad \epsilon_{ijk} (d\tilde{\tau} \tilde{e}^k - \tilde{\tau} D_\omega \tilde{e}^k) = 0. \quad (4.21)$$

Note that eq. (4.18) leads to $R(\tilde{e}^i) = 0$ as field equation. Moreover, eq. (4.20) shows that the gauge curvature $R(\tilde{\omega}^{ij})$ is on-shell vanishing, which implies that the hypersurfaces are flat. It is then possible to introduce the following ansatz for the spatial components of the spin connection in terms of the gravitational potential $\tilde{\omega}^i = -\partial^i \phi(x) dx^0$ (see ref. [27]). Then, by replacing eq. (4.16) into (4.17) one finds that the gravitational potential satisfies the standard Poisson equation $\nabla^2 \phi(x) = 0$. Thus, the writing of (4.15) as a functional of the non-linear gauge fields from eqs. (4.11), allows a formulation of Newtonian gravity as a genuine off-shell gauge invariant theory

of the EB algebra. This is similar to what occurs when gauging the Newtonian algebra in the ordinary approach. However, it must be noticed that there is a fundamental difference between (4.15) and the mentioned 4D Newtonian action, since the latter carries an extra gauge field which is necessary for a gauge invariant formulation. Thus, in order to preserve gauge invariance, the formulation of such Newtonian action makes use of a higher-dimensional algebra and consequently it carries a larger number of gauge fields. In contrast, the action (4.15) does not require enlarging either the EB gauge symmetry or the number of gauge fields, but to extend the standard EB field content by means of the introduction of the coset space multiplet. Although both formulations are fundamentally different from a mathematical point of view, they share the feature of extending the EB field content to preserve gauge invariance and leading to the Poisson equation as resulting dynamics. The 3D version of the action principle (4.15) has been studied within the framework of Chern-Simons theories within the first and second order formalisms [22–24, 63] and recently derived as a contraction of the Einstein-Hilbert action that preserves the invariance of the non-relativistic Lagrangians in a certain scaling limit [23, 59].

Let us now consider the use of the full non-linear realization of EB-FDA, given by eqs. (4.11) and (4.12). As before, we identify the stability subalgebra as the spatial rotation algebra. In this case, we must propose a Lagrangian density fulfilling the requirement of being a spatial rotation scalar and a functional of $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}$. This requires the inclusion of kinetic terms for the three-forms. Since the extended gauge curvatures (4.14) are given by four-forms, the dimensionality in which a consistent action principle can be found is restricted as $D \geq 5$. Such a requirement prevents the construction of a three- or four-dimensional theory based on EB-FDA using this procedure. Such a limitation could be circumvented by studying the existence of Chevalley-Eilenberg cohomology classes of the EB Lie algebra (and other non-relativistic symmetries). For example, the finding of a three-cocycle as a replacement for the four-cocycle (3.12) would lead to a theory coupling one-forms with two-forms, which in turn would imply constructing a Lagrangian density consisting of three-forms curvatures instead of the four-forms presented here. It is important to recall that the existence of cohomology classes not only depends on the dimensionality of the cocycles but also on the chosen representation (for examples of this kind in the context of non-relativistic algebras, see [64]). In this case, we have considered the construction of a four-cocycle in the adjoint representation of the EB Lie algebra. However, the study and classification of these cohomologies in other representations, dimensionalities, and for other non-relativistic symmetries is an open problem.

In this case, we set $D = 5$ and propose the following functional:

$$\begin{aligned}
I^{\text{EB-FDA}}[\tilde{\mathbf{A}}, \tilde{\mathbf{B}}] &= \kappa \int \epsilon_{ijkl} \left(R(\omega^{ij}) \tilde{e}^k \tilde{e}^l \tilde{\tau} + \frac{2}{3} R(\tilde{\omega}^i) \tilde{e}^j \tilde{e}^k \tilde{e}^l + R(\tilde{s}^{ij}) \tilde{e}^k \tilde{e}^l \tilde{\tau} + R(\omega^{ij}) \tilde{e}^k \tilde{e}^l \tilde{m} \right) \\
&\quad + \tau R(\tilde{c}) - \tilde{m} \left(R(\tilde{b}) + R(\tilde{c}) \right) + (\tilde{\omega}_i + \tilde{e}_i) R(\tilde{b}^i). \tag{4.22}
\end{aligned}$$

Here, κ is a dimensional constant. The Lagrangian density inside the integral contains the

one-forms of the EB Lie algebra, which present a geometric interpretation, in addition to the contributions depending on the four-form gauge curvatures. In order to obtain a non-relativistic gravity interpretation for this action, we split the Lagrangian in sectors depending on the one-forms of the EB Lie algebra and those terms depending on the components of curvature four-form. Thus, we denote

$$L_{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}} = \epsilon_{ijkl} \left(R(\omega^{ij}) \tilde{e}^k \tilde{e}^l \tilde{\tau} + \frac{2}{3} R(\tilde{\omega}^i) \tilde{e}^j \tilde{e}^k \tilde{e}^l + R(\tilde{s}^{ij}) \tilde{e}^k \tilde{e}^l \tilde{\tau} + R(\omega^{ij}) \tilde{e}^k \tilde{e}^l \tilde{m} \right), \quad (4.23)$$

$$L_{\tilde{\mathbf{B}}} = \tilde{\tau} R(\tilde{c}) - \tilde{m} \left(R(\tilde{b}) + R(\tilde{c}) \right) + (\tilde{\omega}_i + \tilde{e}_i) R(\tilde{b}^i). \quad (4.24)$$

Thus, we introduce $L_{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}}$ as a pure gravity Lagrangian. This is the 5D version of the one presented in eq. (4.15). Consequently, its variation leads to the standard 5D Poisson equation. It must be noticed that, in order to present gauge covariance, $L_{\tilde{\mathbf{B}}}$ is written in terms of the gauge curvatures. However, the cocycle in eqs. (4.14) introduces terms of geometric nature in $L_{\tilde{\mathbf{B}}}$. These terms do not depend on the three-forms but only on the one-forms of the EB algebra. Consequently, they could be included in $L_{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}}$. However, for the purposes of this work, we maintain these terms in the second contribution. The equations of motion that emerge from the variations of the Lagrangian with respect to the one-forms can be written as follows:

$$\frac{\delta L_{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}}}{\delta \tilde{\tau}} = \epsilon_{ijkl} \left(R(\tilde{\omega}^{ij}) \tilde{e}^k \tilde{e}^l + R(\tilde{s}^{ij}) \tilde{e}^k \tilde{e}^l \right) = - * \mathcal{T}_0, \quad (4.25)$$

$$\frac{\delta L_{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}}}{\delta \tilde{e}^i} = 2\epsilon_{ijkl} \left(R(\tilde{\omega}^{jk}) \tilde{e}^l \tilde{\tau} - D_\omega \tilde{\omega}^j \tilde{e}^k \tilde{e}^l + R(\tilde{s}^{jk}) \tilde{e}^l \tilde{\tau} + R(\tilde{\omega}^{jl}) \tilde{e}^l \tilde{m} \right) = - * \mathcal{T}_i, \quad (4.26)$$

$$\frac{\delta L_{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}}}{\delta \tilde{\omega}^i} = 2\epsilon_{ijkl} (\tilde{\omega}^j \tilde{\tau} \tilde{e}^k \tilde{e}^l + D_\omega \tilde{e}^j \tilde{e}^k \tilde{e}^l) = - * \mathcal{S}_i, \quad (4.27)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta L_{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}}}{\delta \tilde{\omega}^{ij}} &= \epsilon_{ijkl} \left(\tilde{e}^k \tilde{e}^l d(\tilde{\tau} + \tilde{m}) + 2D_\omega \tilde{e}^k \tilde{e}^l (\tilde{\tau} + \tilde{m}) \right) - 2\epsilon_{imkl} \left(\tilde{s}^m_j \tilde{\tau} - \frac{1}{3} \tilde{\omega}_j \tilde{e}^m \right) \tilde{e}^k \tilde{e}^l \\ &= - * \mathcal{S}_{ij}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.28)$$

$$\frac{\delta L_{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}}}{\delta \tilde{m}} = \epsilon_{ijkl} R(\tilde{\omega}^{ij}) \tilde{e}^k \tilde{e}^l = d\tilde{b} + d\tilde{c} + \tilde{\omega}^i \tilde{b}_i + \tilde{b}^i \tilde{e}_i + 2\alpha \tilde{\tau} \tilde{\omega}^{ij} \tilde{\omega}_{ik} \tilde{\omega}^k_j, \quad (4.29)$$

$$\frac{\delta L_{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}}}{\delta \tilde{s}^{ij}} = \epsilon_{ijkl} (d\tilde{\tau} \tilde{e}^k \tilde{e}^l - 2\tilde{\tau} D_\omega \tilde{e}^k \tilde{e}^l). \quad (4.30)$$

Here, we introduce \mathcal{T}_0 and \mathcal{T}_i as the time- and space-components of an energy-momentum one-form. Similarly, we introduce \mathcal{S}_{ij} and \mathcal{S}_i as the components of a relativistic spin one-form. These components are defined in terms of the functional variations of $L_{\tilde{\mathbf{B}}}$ with respect to the same fields, as follows:

$$\frac{\delta L_{\tilde{\mathbf{B}}}}{\delta \tilde{\tau}} = * \mathcal{T}_0 = d\tilde{c} + \tilde{\omega}^i \tilde{b}_i + \tilde{b}^i \tilde{e}_i + \tilde{\omega}_i \tilde{c}^i + \tilde{e}_i \tilde{c}^i + 2\alpha \tilde{m} \tilde{\omega}^{ij} \tilde{\omega}_{ik} \tilde{\omega}^k_j, \quad (4.31)$$

$$\frac{\delta L_{\tilde{\mathbf{B}}}}{\delta \tilde{e}^i} = * \mathcal{T}_i = \tilde{\tau} \tilde{b}_i - \tilde{m} \tilde{b}_i + \tilde{b}_{ij} \tilde{\omega}^j + D_\omega \tilde{b}_i + \tilde{b} \tilde{\omega}_i + \tilde{c}_i \tilde{\tau} + 2\tilde{b}_{ij} \tilde{e}^j - \alpha \tilde{\omega}_i \tilde{\omega}^{jk} \tilde{\omega}_{jl} \tilde{\omega}^l_k, \quad (4.32)$$

$$\frac{\delta L_{\tilde{\mathbf{B}}}}{\delta \tilde{\omega}^i} = *S_i = D_\omega \tilde{b}_i - \tilde{\tau} \tilde{b}_i + \tilde{m} \tilde{b}_i + \tilde{e}_i \tilde{b} + \tilde{c}_i \tilde{\tau} + \tilde{b}_{ij} \tilde{e}^j + \alpha \tilde{e}_i \tilde{\omega}^{jk} \tilde{\omega}_{jl} \tilde{\omega}^l{}_k, \quad (4.33)$$

$$\frac{\delta L_{\tilde{\mathbf{B}}}}{\delta \tilde{\omega}^{ij}} = *S_{ij} = -\tilde{e}_i \tilde{b}_j - \tilde{\omega}_i \tilde{b}_j + 6\alpha \tilde{\tau} \tilde{m} \tilde{\omega}_{ik} \tilde{\omega}^k{}_j + \alpha \tilde{\omega}_l \tilde{e}^l \tilde{\omega}_{ik} \tilde{\omega}^k{}_j. \quad (4.34)$$

Eqs. (4.31)-(4.34) show that the three-form gauge fields are source of non-relativistic curvature and torsion. However, by setting these three-forms as zero, the dynamics of standard Newtonian gravity is not immediately recovered. Interestingly, the presence of the cocycle in the definition of the gauge curvatures modifies the vacuum of the theory in a way in which the geometric fields show a different behavior even in the absence of three-forms. In fact, eqs. (4.25)-(4.28) show that, by turning off the three-forms and considering $\alpha = 1$, the theory still presents non-vanishing curvature and torsion. However, eqs. (4.31)-(4.34) show that this is a consequence of the mentioned purely geometric terms in $L_{\tilde{\mathbf{B}}}$. This establishes a connection to the five-dimensional version of the Einstein-Cartan-Sciama-Kibble (ECSK) theory [65, 66], in which the Lagrangian density $L_{\tilde{\mathbf{B}}}$ plays the role of an effective matter Lagrangian. Thus, the vacuum of the theory behaves as the standard 5D non-relativistic theory (whose Lagrangian density is given by (4.23)) in the presence of effective matter and spin densities. To examine this scenario, let us fix an orthonormal set of coordinates, and consider the following ansatz for the spatial and temporal non-zero components of the spin connection in orthonormal basis [67, 68]:

$$\tilde{\omega}^i = -\partial^i \phi dx^0, \quad (4.35)$$

$$\tilde{\omega}^{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\delta_l^i \partial^j \phi - \delta_l^j \partial^i \phi + \mathbf{s}^j{}_l v^i + \mathbf{s}^{ij} v_l + \mathbf{s}^i{}_l v^j \right) dx^l. \quad (4.36)$$

Here $\phi(x)$ is the gravitational potential. Moreover we introduce an effective spin density $\mathbf{s}^{ij}(x)$ with spatial velocity v^i . Note that we are not adding a new spin Lagrangian into the theory. On the contrary, we are considering an ansatz that includes the functions $\mathbf{s}^{ij}(x)$ in order to describe the intrinsic non-vanishing torsion of the theory. These functions are related with the effective spin density one-form defined in eqs. (4.28) and (4.34) according to

$$S_l^{ij} = \mathbf{s}^{ij} v_l, \quad (4.37)$$

and verify $\mathbf{s}^{ij} = -\mathbf{s}^{ji}$ and $\mathbf{s}^i{}_j v^j = 0$. Thus, the ansatz relates the components of $\tilde{\omega}^i$ and $\tilde{\omega}^{ij}$ in the chosen orthonormal system with the components of the effective spin density [69]. Next, we set the \tilde{m} field to zero, replace the ansatz in the equations of motion, plug in eq. (4.25) into eq. (4.26), and compute its trace in tensorial language. This procedure leads to the following modified Poisson equation

$$\nabla^2 \phi(x) + \frac{1}{6} \epsilon_{ijkl} v^2 \partial^i \phi \mathbf{s}^j{}_m \mathbf{s}^m{}_n \mathbf{s}^{nk} v^l = 0, \quad (4.38)$$

with $v^2 = v^i v_i$. The presence of the second term in the l.h.s. of eq. (4.38) is a direct consequence

of the cocycle in the gauge algebra. It is noteworthy that the EB algebra was extended to a FDA by means of its own topological properties and, the non-triviality of the cocycle Ω_2 implies a richer gauge structure in which the three-forms cannot be decomposed as combinations of one-forms. It might be expected that, by turning off the three-forms, we would recover vanishing spatial torsion and the standard Poisson equation as dynamics. This would be the case if no cocycle were considered in the construction of the FDA. Moreover, if the cocycle were trivial, it could be reabsorbed into the three-forms by a redefinition of the gauge fields. Consequently, the non-triviality of the cocycle Ω_2 is the origin of a modified dynamics with on-shell non-vanishing curvature and torsion. Thus, standard Newtonian dynamics, with vanishing spatial curvature and torsion, and flat hypersurfaces in the corresponding foliation is recovered by setting the three-forms as vanishing, in addition to $\alpha = 0$.

It would be interesting to explore the second order formalism of the 5D action proposed in eq. (4.22). Since its variation leads to a modified Newtonian dynamics, it would be possible to make a connection with the 5D case of the Newtonian action principle proposed in ref. [16]. However, it must be noticed that such formulation is in general more challenging due to the presence of the higher-degree forms and the cocycle in the equations of motion. Moreover, it would also be possible to explore the potential connection with the 5D Chern-Simons actions that emerge from the gauging of non-relativistic symmetries, especially in the case of the 5D EB algebra, where the field content would match the one presented here, at least for the sector spanned by the one-forms.

5 Concluding remarks

In this work, we have introduced a novel FDA as an extension of the EB Lie algebra. This FDA includes three-forms in the adjoint representation of the EB algebra, and consequently, its gauging leads to an extended field content. This approach highlights the role of Chevalley-Eilenberg cohomology classes in the construction of a gravity theory. In this context, we have made use of the formalism of non-linear realizations to formulate standard 4D Newtonian gravity as a gauge theory of the EB algebra. Furthermore, we have proposed a 5D gauge theory for non-relativistic gravity in the presence of three-forms. Regarding the 5D theory, the presence of the cocycle (representative of the cohomology class) in the construction of the algebra generates terms of geometric nature in the extended sector of the Lagrangian, which is constructed with the components of the higher-degree curvature. Then, we have derived the equations of motion and approached the problem in the framework of ECSK gravity, by turning off the higher-degree fields, and proposing an ansatz for the geometric ones in terms of the gravitational potential and an effective spin density. The replacement of this ansatz in the equations of motion leads to a modification of the Poisson equation. Although this approach is useful for studying the effective behavior of the non-relativistic gravitational field, it is important to clarify that it describes the vacuum state of the theory. In other words, the theory presents intrinsic on-shell non-zero

curvature and torsion. The behavior of such torsion is studied by adding the effective spin density in the ansatz. In this way, the theory can be studied as a standard 5D non-relativistic gravity, in the presence of a non-zero spin density.

It is important to point out that, although FDAs first appeared in the formulation of higher-dimensional supergravity, their mathematical consistency does not require supersymmetry and can be defined in purely bosonic contexts, as it is the case with EB-FDA. It is noteworthy that in ref. [64], the Chevalley-Eilenberg cohomology classes of the bosonic Galilei and Poincaré algebras have been studied. These results were carried out in a different representation than the one studied in this work, which makes them inequivalent to the cohomology class associated with EB-FDA. As future work, it would be interesting to formulate the non-relativistic FDAs and gravity theories that emerge from these cohomology classes. Additionally, it would be interesting to study compactifications of the theories presented in this work. This would allow, for example, to find 4D gravity theories that couple one-forms with three-forms. It would then be possible to study the gauge symmetries presented by these theories in order to find a potential connection with new FDAs presenting non-trivial cocycles in lower dimensions and different representations. Moreover, it is noteworthy that there are several isomorphisms between relativistic, non-relativistic and ultra-relativistic algebras. For example, the three-dimensional Poincaré and EB algebras are isomorphic to the so-called AdS-Carroll and extended AdS-static algebras [3, 4, 42]. These are changes of basis wherein different physical interpretations are assigned to the gauge fields and symmetry generators. Since the existence of non-trivial cocycles do not depend on the chosen basis, it would be possible to construct FDAs for Carrollian algebras and study the effect of including cocycles in their resulting dynamics. Moreover, it would be also interesting to explore the existence of supersymmetric extensions of the cocycle presented in eq. (3.12) and the mentioned ones from ref. [64]. This would allow to formulate non-relativistic supergravity models in higher dimensions and their possible connection with relativistic supergravity theories that naturally include higher-degree forms in their field content. In this way, bosonic FDA gauge theories (such as the one presented in this work) can be proposed as the bosonic sector of supergravity theories with p -forms. A similar approach was considered in ref. [70], where a bosonic Chern-Simons model with p -forms was proposed as the bosonic sector of standard eleven dimensional supergravity.

Acknowledgements

A.M. is supported by the ANID Postdoctoral Fellowship No. 74240083.

A Transformation law of the multiplet

Let us consider an infinitesimal gauge transformation of EB-FDA. The gauge parameter is composed by the zero-form and two-form from eqs. (3.30) and (3.31). As it was mentioned, within the formalism of non-linear realizations, the infinitesimal transformation law of the multiplet is

set in a way that allows the extension of the gauge symmetry. For details on the formal definition of these gauge variations, and their applications to specific cases of FDA1, see refs. [44, 52–56]. In this case, we find that the components of the multiplet one-form ϕ transform according to:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta\phi &= -\theta, \\
\delta\phi^i &= -\theta^i - \theta^i{}_j\phi^j - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^i\theta + \frac{1}{2}\phi\sigma^i, \\
\delta\lambda &= -\sigma - \theta^i{}_j\lambda^j + \frac{1}{2}\phi^i\sigma_i - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^i\theta_i - \frac{1}{12}\lambda^2\theta + \frac{1}{12}\phi\lambda_i\sigma^i, \\
\delta\lambda^i &= -\sigma^i, \\
\delta\lambda^{ij} &= -\sigma^{ij} - \theta^i{}_k\lambda^{kj} + \theta^j{}_k\lambda^{ki} - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^i\sigma^j + \frac{1}{2}\lambda^j\sigma^i.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.1}$$

Eqs. (A.1) are the non-relativistic counterpart of the transformation law of the Poincaré multiplet in eq. (4.5). The derivation of these transformation laws completes the gauging of the EB Lie algebra in the formalism of non-linear realizations.

On the other hand, the components of the multiplet two-form Φ transform according to:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta\Phi &= -\Theta + 3\alpha\phi\theta_{ij}\omega^i{}_k\omega^{kj}, \\
\delta\Phi^i &= -\Theta^i - \theta^i{}_j\Phi^j + 3\alpha\phi^i\theta_{jk}\omega^j{}_l\omega^{lk} + 3\alpha\lambda\theta_{ij}\omega^i{}_k\omega^{kj} + \frac{1}{2}\lambda^i\Theta + \frac{1}{2}\Lambda^i\theta - \frac{1}{2}\Phi\sigma^i - \frac{1}{2}\phi\Sigma^i \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}\Phi^i{}_j\theta^j - \frac{1}{2}\Theta^i{}_j\phi^j + \frac{1}{6}\phi\Phi^i{}_j\sigma^j - \frac{1}{12}\phi\Theta^i{}_j\lambda^j - \frac{1}{12}\theta\Phi^i{}_j\lambda^j, \\
\delta\Phi^{ij} &= -\Theta^{ij} - \theta^i{}_k\Phi^{kj} + \theta^j{}_k\Phi^{ki}, \\
\delta\Lambda &= -\Sigma + \frac{1}{2}\lambda^i\Theta_i - \frac{1}{2}\Phi^i\sigma_i - \frac{1}{2}\phi^i\Sigma_i + \frac{1}{2}\Lambda^i\theta_i - \frac{1}{6}\theta\Lambda_i\lambda^i + \frac{1}{6}\Theta_{ij}\lambda^i\phi^j + \frac{1}{12}\phi\Lambda_i\sigma^i \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{12}\lambda^2\Theta + \frac{1}{12}\Phi\lambda_i\sigma^i + \frac{1}{12}\phi\lambda_i\Sigma^i - \frac{1}{12}\Phi_{ij}\lambda^i\theta^j + \frac{1}{12}\Phi_{ij}\phi^i\sigma^j, \\
\delta\Lambda^i &= -\Sigma^i - \theta^i{}_j\Lambda^j + \frac{1}{2}\Phi^i{}_j\sigma^j - \frac{1}{2}\Theta^i{}_j\lambda^j, \\
\delta\Lambda^{ij} &= -\Sigma^{ij} - \theta^i{}_k\Lambda^{kj} + \theta^j{}_k\Lambda^{ki} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda^i\Sigma^j + \Lambda^i\sigma^j + \Phi^i{}_k\sigma^{kj} - \Theta^i{}_k\lambda^{kj} - \frac{1}{3}\lambda^i\Phi^j{}_k\sigma^k \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{1}{6}\Phi^i{}_k\lambda^k\sigma^j + \frac{1}{6}\lambda^i\Theta^j{}_k\lambda^k - (i \leftrightarrow j)\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{A.2}$$

The setting of the transformation laws (A.2), in addition to (A.1), completes the gauging of EB-FDA in the formalism of non-linear realizations.

References

- [1] E. Cartan, “Sur les variétés à connexion affine et la théorie de la relativité généralisée. (première partie),” *Annales Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup.* **40** (1923) 325–412.
- [2] E. Cartan, “Sur les variétés à connexion affine et la théorie de la relativité généralisée. (première partie) (Suite),” *Annales Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup.* **41** (1924) 1–25.

- [3] H. Bacry and J. Levy-Leblond, “Possible kinematics,” *J. Math. Phys.* **9** (1968) 1605–1614.
- [4] H. Bacry, P. Combe, and J. L. Richard, “Group-theoretical analysis of elementary particles in an external electromagnetic field. 1. the relativistic particle in a constant and uniform field,” *Nuovo Cim. A* **67** (1970) 267–299.
- [5] A. Trautman, “Sur la theorie newtonienne de la gravitation,” *Compt. Rend. Acad. Sci. Paris* **247** (1963) 617.
- [6] J. Ehlers, *Über den Newtonschen Grenzwert der Einsteinschen Gravitationstheorie*. In J. Nitsch, J. Pfarr and E.-W. Stachow Eds., *Grundlagenprobleme der modernen Physik*, Mannheim, Wien, Zürich: Bibliographisches Institut, 1981.
- [7] D. Son, “Toward an AdS/cold atoms correspondence: A Geometric realization of the Schrodinger symmetry,” *Phys. Rev. D* **78** (2008) 046003, [arXiv:0804.3972 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [8] A. Bagchi and R. Gopakumar, “Galilean Conformal Algebras and AdS/CFT,” *JHEP* **07** (2009) 037, [arXiv:0902.1385 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [9] J. Hartong and N. A. Obers, “Hořava-Lifshitz gravity from dynamical Newton-Cartan geometry,” *JHEP* **07** (2015) 155, [arXiv:1504.07461 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [10] A. Bagchi, R. Gopakumar, I. Mandal, and A. Miwa, “GCA in 2d,” *JHEP* **08** (2010) 004, [arXiv:0912.1090 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [11] M. Taylor, “Lifshitz holography,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **33** no. 3, (2016) 033001, [arXiv:1512.03554 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [12] D. T. Son, “Newton-Cartan Geometry and the Quantum Hall Effect,” [arXiv:1306.0638 \[cond-mat.mes-hall\]](#).
- [13] C. Hoyos and D. T. Son, “Hall Viscosity and Electromagnetic Response,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108** (2012) 066805, [arXiv:1109.2651 \[cond-mat.mes-hall\]](#).
- [14] M. Geracie, K. Prabhu, and M. M. Roberts, “Curved non-relativistic spacetimes, Newtonian gravitation and massive matter,” *J. Math. Phys.* **56** no. 10, (2015) 103505, [arXiv:1503.02682 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [15] A. Gromov, K. Jensen, and A. G. Abanov, “Boundary effective action for quantum Hall states,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116** no. 12, (2016) 126802, [arXiv:1506.07171 \[cond-mat.str-el\]](#).
- [16] D. Hansen, J. Hartong, and N. A. Obers, “Action Principle for Newtonian Gravity,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122** no. 6, (2019) 061106, [arXiv:1807.04765 \[hep-th\]](#).

- [17] D. Hansen, J. Hartong, N. A. Obers, and G. Oling, “Galilean first-order formulation for the nonrelativistic expansion of general relativity,” *Phys. Rev. D* **104** no. 6, (2021) L061501, [arXiv:2012.01518 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [18] A. Achúcarro and P. K. Townsend, “A Chern-Simons Action for Three-Dimensional anti-De Sitter Supergravity Theories,” *Phys. Lett. B* **180** (1986) 89.
- [19] E. Witten, “(2+1)-Dimensional Gravity as an Exactly Soluble System,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **311** (1988) 46.
- [20] R. Andringa, E. Bergshoeff, S. Panda, and M. de Roo, “Newtonian Gravity and the Bargmann Algebra,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **28** (2011) 105011, [arXiv:1011.1145 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [21] R. Andringa, *Newton-Cartan gravity revisited*. PhD thesis, High-Energy Frontier, Groningen U., 2016.
- [22] G. Papageorgiou and B. J. Schroers, “A Chern-Simons approach to Galilean quantum gravity in 2+1 dimensions,” *JHEP* **11** (2009) 009, [arXiv:0907.2880 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [23] E. A. Bergshoeff and J. Rosseel, “Three-Dimensional Extended Bargmann Supergravity,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116** no. 25, (2016) 251601, [arXiv:1604.08042 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [24] N. Ozdemir, M. Ozkan, and U. Zorba, “Three-dimensional extended Lifshitz, Schrödinger and Newton-Hooke supergravity,” *JHEP* **11** (2019) 052, [arXiv:1909.10745 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [25] H. R. Afshar, E. A. Bergshoeff, A. Mehra, P. Parekh, and B. Rollier, “A Schrödinger approach to Newton-Cartan and Hořava-Lifshitz gravities,” *JHEP* **04** (2016) 145, [arXiv:1512.06277 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [26] N. Ozdemir, M. Ozkan, O. Tunca, and U. Zorba, “Three-Dimensional Extended Newtonian (Super)Gravity,” *JHEP* **05** (2019) 130, [arXiv:1903.09377 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [27] P. Concha, E. Rodríguez, and G. Rubio, “Non-relativistic gravity theories in four spacetime dimensions,” *JHEP* **02** (2023) 191, [arXiv:2210.04101 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [28] R. D’Auria, P. Fre, and T. Regge, “Graded Lie Algebra Cohomology and Supergravity,” *Riv. Nuovo Cim.* **3N12** (1980) 1.
- [29] R. D’Auria and P. Fre, “Geometric Supergravity in $d = 11$ and Its Hidden Supergroup,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **201** (1982) 101–140. [Erratum: *Nucl.Phys.B* 206, 496 (1982)].
- [30] L. Castellani, R. D’auria, and P. Fre, *Supergravity And Superstrings: A Geometric Perspective (In 3 Volumes)*. Supergravity and Superstrings: A Geometric Perspective. World Scientific Publishing Company, 1991.
<https://books.google.cl/books?id=JDI8DQAAQBAJ>.

- [31] M. Banados, M. Henneaux, C. Iannuzzo, and C. M. Viallet, “A Note on the gauge symmetries of pure Chern-Simons theories with p form gauge fields,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **14** (1997) 2455–2468, [arXiv:gr-qc/9703061](#).
- [32] I. Antoniadis and G. Savvidy, “Extension of Chern-Simons forms and new gauge anomalies,” *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **29** (2014) 1450027, [arXiv:1304.4398 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [33] S. Konitopoulos and G. Savvidy, “Extension of Chern-Simons forms,” *J. Math. Phys.* **55** (2014) 062304, [arXiv:1401.4812 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [34] P. Salgado and S. Salgado, “Extended gauge theory and gauged free differential algebras,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **926** (2018) 179–199, [arXiv:1702.07819 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [35] S. Salgado, “Gauge-invariant theories and higher-degree forms,” *JHEP* **10** (2021) 066, [arXiv:2108.02284 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [36] L. Castellani and A. Perotto, “Free differential algebras: Their use in field theory and dual formulation,” *Lett. Math. Phys.* **38** (1996) 321–330, [arXiv:hep-th/9509031](#).
- [37] L. Castellani, “Lie Derivatives along Antisymmetric Tensors, and the M-TheorySuperalgebra,” *J. Phys. Math.* **3** (2011) P110504, [arXiv:hep-th/0508213](#).
- [38] L. Castellani, “Higher form gauge fields and their nonassociative symmetry algebras,” *JHEP* **09** (2014) 055, [arXiv:1310.7185 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [39] L. Castellani, “Extended Lie derivatives and a new formulation of D=11 supergravity,” *J. Phys. Math.* **3** (2011) P110505, [arXiv:hep-th/0604213](#).
- [40] C. Chevalley and S. Eilenberg, “Cohomology Theory of Lie Groups and Lie Algebras,” *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **63** (1948) 85–124.
- [41] J. A. de Azcárraga, D. Gútiérrez, and J. M. Izquierdo, “Extended $D = 3$ Bargmann supergravity from a Lie algebra expansion,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **946** (2019) 114706, [arXiv:1904.12786 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [42] P. Concha, D. Pino, L. Ravera, and E. Rodríguez, “Extended kinematical 3D gravity theories,” *JHEP* **01** (2024) 040, [arXiv:2310.01335 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [43] F. Izaurieta, E. Rodríguez, and P. Salgado, “Expanding Lie (super)algebras through Abelian semigroups,” *J. Math. Phys.* **47** (2006) 123512, [arXiv:hep-th/0606215](#).
- [44] S. Salgado, “Non-linear realizations and invariant action principles in higher gauge theory,” [arXiv:2312.08285 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [45] F. Izaurieta, E. Rodríguez, A. Perez, and P. Salgado, “Dual Formulation of the Lie Algebra S-expansion Procedure,” *J. Math. Phys.* **50** (2009) 073511, [arXiv:0903.4712 \[hep-th\]](#).

- [46] B. Jurčo, L. Raspollini, C. Sämann, and M. Wolf, “ L_∞ -Algebras of Classical Field Theories and the Batalin-Vilkovisky Formalism,” *Fortsch. Phys.* **67** no. 7, (2019) 1900025, [arXiv:1809.09899 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [47] T. Lada and J. Stasheff, “Introduction to SH Lie algebras for physicists,” *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **32** (1993) 1087–1104, [arXiv:hep-th/9209099](#).
- [48] T. Lada and M. Markl, “Strongly homotopy Lie algebras,” [arXiv:hep-th/9406095](#).
- [49] G. Barnich, R. Fulp, T. Lada, and J. Stasheff, “The sh Lie structure of Poisson brackets in field theory,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **191** (1998) 585–601, [arXiv:hep-th/9702176](#).
- [50] O. Hohm and B. Zwiebach, “ L_∞ Algebras and Field Theory,” *Fortsch. Phys.* **65** no. 3-4, (2017) 1700014, [arXiv:1701.08824 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [51] O. Hohm, V. Kupriyanov, D. Lust, and M. Traube, “Constructions of L_∞ algebras and their field theory realizations,” *Adv. Math. Phys.* **2018** (2018) 9282905, [arXiv:1709.10004 \[math-ph\]](#).
- [52] S. Salgado, “On the L_∞ formulation of Chern-Simons theories,” *JHEP* **04** (2022) 142, [arXiv:2110.13977 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [53] K. S. Stelle and P. C. West, “Spontaneously Broken De Sitter Symmetry and the Gravitational Holonomy Group,” *Phys. Rev. D* **21** (1980) 1466.
- [54] E. A. Ivanov and J. Niederle, “Gauge Formulation of Gravitation Theories. 1. The Poincare, De Sitter and Conformal Cases,” *Phys. Rev. D* **25** (1982) 976.
- [55] E. A. Ivanov and J. Niederle, “Gauge Formulation of Gravitation Theories. 2. The Special Conformal Case,” *Phys. Rev. D* **25** (1982) 988.
- [56] G. Grignani and G. Nardelli, “Gravity and the Poincare group,” *Phys. Rev. D* **45** (1992) 2719–2731.
- [57] P. Salgado, M. Cataldo, and S. del Campo, “Supergravity and the Poincare group,” *Phys. Rev. D* **65** (2002) 084032, [arXiv:gr-qc/0110097](#).
- [58] P. Salgado, F. Izaurieta, and E. Rodriguez, “Higher dimensional gravity invariant under the AdS group,” *Phys. Lett. B* **574** (2003) 283–288, [arXiv:hep-th/0305180](#).
- [59] E. Ekiz, O. Kasikci, M. Ozkan, C. B. Senisik, and U. Zorba, “Non-relativistic and ultra-relativistic scaling limits of multimetric gravity,” *JHEP* **10** (2022) 151, [arXiv:2207.07882 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [60] M. Cariglia, “General theory of Galilean gravity,” *Phys. Rev. D* **98** no. 8, (2018) 084057, [arXiv:1811.03446 \[gr-qc\]](#).

- [61] A. Guerrieri and R. F. Sobreiro, “Non-relativistic limit of gravity theories in the first order formalism,” *JHEP* **03** (2021) 104, [arXiv:2010.14918 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [62] E. Bergshoeff, J. Gomis, B. Rollier, J. Rosseel, and T. ter Veldhuis, “Carroll versus Galilei Gravity,” *JHEP* **03** (2017) 165, [arXiv:1701.06156 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [63] J. Hartong, Y. Lei, and N. A. Obers, “Nonrelativistic Chern-Simons theories and three-dimensional Hořava-Lifshitz gravity,” *Phys. Rev. D* **94** no. 6, (2016) 065027, [arXiv:1604.08054 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [64] S. Bonanos and J. Gomis, “A Note on the Chevalley-Eilenberg Cohomology for the Galilei and Poincare Algebras,” *J. Phys. A* **42** (2009) 145206, [arXiv:0808.2243 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [65] W. Adamowicz, “Equivalence between the Einstein-Cartan and general relativity theories in the linear approximation for a classical model of spin,” *Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci., Ser. Sci. Math. Astron. Phys., v. 23, no. 11, pp. 1203-1205* (1975) .
- [66] W. Adamowicz and A. Trautman, “Principle of equivalence for spin. [Precession, Einstein-Cartan theory],” *Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci., Ser. Sci. Math. Astron. Phys. (Poland)* **23** no. 3, (1975) .
- [67] M. Castagnino, M. Levinas, and N. Umerez, “On the post-Newtonian approximation of the Einstein-Cartan-Sciama-Kibble theory,” *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **17** (1985) 683–693.
- [68] M. Castagnino and M. Levinas, “On the post-Newtonian approximation of the Einstein-Cartan-Sciama-Kibble theory II,” *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **19** (1987) 545–562.
- [69] F. W. Hehl, P. von der Heyde, G. D. Kerlick, and J. M. Nester, “General relativity with spin and torsion: Foundations and prospects,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **48** (Jul, 1976) 393–416. <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/RevModPhys.48.393>.
- [70] D. Camarero, J. A. de Azcarraga, and J. M. Izquierdo, “Bosonic $D = 11$ supergravity from a generalized Chern–Simons action,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **923** (2017) 633–652, [arXiv:1706.08772 \[hep-th\]](#).