

Modified cosmology through spacetime thermodynamics and generalized mass-to-horizon entropy

Spyros Basilakos^{a,b,c} Andreas Lympiris^d Maria Petronikolou^{a,e} Emmanuel N. Saridakis^{a,f,g}

^aNational Observatory of Athens, Lofos Nymfon, 11852 Athens, Greece

^bAcademy of Athens, Research Center for Astronomy and Applied Mathematics, Soranou Efessiou 4, 11527, Athens, Greece

^cSchool of Sciences, European University Cyprus, Diogenes Street, Engomi, 1516 Nicosia, Cyprus

^dDepartment of Physics, University of Patras, 26500 Patras, Greece

^eDepartment of Physics, National Technical University of Athens, Zografou Campus GR 157 73, Athens, Greece

^fDepartment of Astronomy, School of Physical Sciences, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, P.R. China

^gDepartamento de Matemáticas, Universidad Católica del Norte, Avda. Angamos 0610, Casilla 1280 Antofagasta, Chile

E-mail: svasil@academyofathens.gr, alympiris@upatras.gr,
msaridak@noa.gr, petronikoloumaria@mail.ntua.gr

ABSTRACT: In this work we apply the gravity-thermodynamics approach for the case of generalized mass-to-horizon entropy, which is a two-parameter extension of Bekenstein-Hawking entropy that arises from the extended mass-to-horizon relation, that is in turn required in order to have consistency with the Clausius relation. We extract the modified Friedmann equations and we obtain an effective dark energy sector arising from the novel terms. We derive analytical solutions for the dark energy density parameter, the dark energy equation-of-state parameter, and the deceleration parameter, and we show that the Universe exhibits the usual thermal history with the succession of matter and dark energy epochs. Additionally, depending on the value of the entropy parameters, the dark energy equation-of-state parameter can either lie in the phantom regime at high redshifts entering into the quintessence regime at small redshifts, or it can lie in the quintessence regime at high redshifts and experience the phantom-divide crossing at small redshifts, while in the far future in all cases it asymptotically obtains the cosmological constant value -1 . Finally, we perform observational confrontation with Supernova Type Ia (SNIa), Cosmic Chronometers (CC) and Baryonic Acoustic Oscillations (BAO) datasets, showing that the scenario is in agreement with observations.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Modified Friedmann equations through generalized mass-to-horizon entropy	2
2.1	Generalized mass-to-horizon entropy	4
2.2	Modified cosmology through generalized mass-to-horizon entropy	4
3	Cosmological behavior	5
3.1	Cosmic evolution	5
3.2	Observational constraints	8
4	Conclusions	10

1 Introduction

Accumulated observational evidence indicates that the Universe has undergone phases of accelerated expansion, both at early and late times. To account for this phenomenon, researchers typically follow two main approaches. The first approach involves developing new extensions and modifications of gravitational theories, essentially modifying the left-hand side of Einstein's field equations by incorporating additional terms into the standard Einstein-Hilbert action [1–3]. In particular, this avenue gives rise to modified gravity models, such as $f(R)$ gravity [6, 7], $f(G)$ gravity [8, 9], Lovelock gravity [10, 11], Weyl gravity [12, 13], Galileon gravity [14, 15], etc. Alternatively, one can work within the torsional formulation of gravity, and extending the teleparallel equivalent framework to result to extensions such as $f(T)$ gravity [16–18], $f(T, T_G)$ gravity [19, 20], $f(T, B)$ gravity [21], teleparallel Horndeski theories [22], among others. Furthermore, one can start from the non-metric gravitational formulation and construct modifications such as $f(Q)$ gravity [23, 24], $f(Q, C)$ gravity [25], etc. The second approach retains general relativity as the underlying gravitational theory, and modifies the right-hand side of Einstein's field equations by introducing novel matter fields, such as the inflaton field or the dark energy sector [26–29].

Apart from the aforementioned approaches, there exists a prominent conjecture suggesting that gravity is deeply connected to thermodynamics [30–32]. Specifically, by treating the Universe as a thermodynamic system, composed of matter and dark energy and bounded by the apparent horizon [33–36], one can extract the Friedmann by applying the first law of thermodynamics to the Universe horizon. This approach is known to work both in the framework of General Relativity, as well as in the framework of the various modified

gravity theories of the literature, as long as one uses the corresponding entropy expression [36–44].

Nevertheless, there exists several generalizations of the standard entropy relation, which arise by abandoning the assumptions of the standard Boltzmann-Gibbs or Bekenstein-Hawking considerations. In these directions, abandoning the additivity assumption one obtains Rényi entropy [45], Tsallis entropy [46, 47], and Sharma-Mittal entropy [48]. Similarly, extending to relativistic statistical theory one obtains Kaniadakis entropy [49, 50], while incorporating quantum gravitational phenomena on the horizon one obtains Barrow entropy [51]. All these entropies possess the standard entropy as a particular limit of the involved parameter(s). Hence, the cosmological applications of these extended entropies in the framework of gravity-thermodynamics conjecture has attracted the interest of the community [52–88] (see also [89] where these entropies are generalized to a single multi-parametric form).

However, recently there is a discussion in the literature whether one can generalize the entropy relations without altering other thermodynamic relations [90–92]. In particular, in [90, 91] it is claimed that changing the entropy, exactly due to the first law of thermodynamics, requires a change in temperature or energy in order to have self-consistency. In similar lines, in [92] it is argued that in order to have consistency with the Clausius relation and still use the Hawking temperature, then a generalized mass-to-horizon relation must be introduced. This generalized mass-to-horizon relation leads in turn to a generalized entropy expression, which can encompass Tsallis-Cirto, Barrow, and other entropy types.

In this work we are interested in investigating the cosmological implications of the generalized entropy that arises from the generalized mass-to-horizon relation. In particular, we apply the gravity-thermodynamics approach on the apparent horizon, incorporating the above generalized thermodynamic measures, and thus we result to modified Friedmann equations whose extra terms lead to an effective dark energy density and pressure. The plan of the work is as follows. In Section 2 we review the standard gravity-thermodynamics approach and then we apply it in the case of generalized mass-to-horizon entropy, extracting the modified Friedmann equations. In Section 3 we analyze the corresponding cosmological evolution, focusing on the density parameters and the dark-energy equation-of-state parameters, as well as on confrontation with observations. Finally, Section 4 is devoted to the conclusions.

2 Modified Friedmann equations through generalized mass-to-horizon entropy

To begin our investigation, we first revisit the fundamental application of the first law of thermodynamics within the framework of General Relativity. We will then extend this approach by incorporating the generalized mass-to-horizon entropy in place of the conventional one. We consider an Friedmann-Robertson-Walker (FRW) metric

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + a^2(t) \left(\frac{dr^2}{1 - kr^2} + r^2 d\Omega^2 \right), \quad (2.1)$$

with $a(t)$ the scale factor, and where $k = 0, +1, -1$ denotes flat, close and open spatial geometry respectively. Additionally, we consider that the Universe is filled with the matter perfect fluid, with energy density and pressure ρ_m and p_m , respectively.

To implement the gravitational thermodynamics conjecture in a cosmological context [30–32], the first law of thermodynamics is applied to the apparent horizon, which is given by [33, 34, 93, 94]

$$r_a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{H^2 + \frac{k}{a^2}}}, \quad (2.2)$$

with $H = \frac{\dot{a}}{a}$ the Hubble function and where dots denote differentiation with respect to cosmic time. The next step is to attribute an entropy and a temperature of the apparent horizon. In the framework of General Relativity one applies the standard Bekenstein-Hawking entropy arising from black-hole thermodynamics [32], namely

$$S_{BH} = \frac{A}{4G}, \quad (2.3)$$

with $A = 4\pi r_a^2$ the horizon area and G the standard Newton’s constant (throughout the work we use natural units, i.e. we set $\hbar = k_B = c = 1$). Furthermore, concerning the horizon temperature we impose the standard relation [95]

$$T = \frac{1}{2\pi r_a}. \quad (2.4)$$

Now, the heat flow that crosses the horizon during a time interval dt can be calculated to be $\delta Q = -dE = A(\rho_m + p_m)Hr_a dt$ [34], while the first law of thermodynamics is as usual $-dE = TdS$ (note that we use the standard assumption that the Universe fluid has the same temperature with the horizon due to thermal equilibrium, which is expected to hold at late-time Universe [32–35, 42, 96]). Differentiating the entropy relation (2.3) yields $dS = 2\pi r_a \dot{r}_a dt / G$, where \dot{r}_a is easily calculated from (2.2). Thus, inserting into the first law we obtain

$$-4\pi G(\rho_m + p_m) = \dot{H} - \frac{k}{a^2}. \quad (2.5)$$

Finally, inserting the matter conservation equation $\dot{\rho}_m + 3H(\rho_m + p_m) = 0$ in (2.5) and integrating, we acquire

$$\frac{8\pi G}{3}\rho_m = H^2 + \frac{k}{a^2} - \frac{\Lambda}{3}, \quad (2.6)$$

with Λ the integration constant.

As we saw, application of gravity-thermodynamics conjecture on the Universe horizon does lead to the standard Friedmann equations. However, as we discussed above, if one repeats the same analysis but with generalized entropy relations, one will obtain modified Friedmann equations, and thus modified cosmological scenarios in which the extended entropies will give rise to an effective dark energy sector [52–88]. Hence, in the following we apply the gravity-thermodynamics using the generalized mass-to-horizon entropy.

2.1 Generalized mass-to-horizon entropy

As we mentioned in the Introduction, when one extends a thermodynamic quantity one should extend some of the other quantities too, in order to obtain self-consistency. In particular, since the mass-to-horizon relation is one of the crucial ingredients in black-hole and Universe thermodynamics, in [92] the authors introduced a generalized mass-to-horizon relation, namely

$$M = \gamma \frac{c^2}{G} L^n, \quad (2.7)$$

where M is the mass and L the cosmological horizon, and with n a non-negative constant and γ a parameter with dimensions $[L]^{1-n}$. Inserting the above expression into the Clausius relation $dE = c^2 dM = T dS$, requiring that T is still given by the Hawking temperature (2.4), and considering that L is the apparent horizon r_a , one results to a generalized entropy given by [92]

$$S_n = \gamma \frac{2n}{n+1} r_a^{n-1} S_{BH}, \quad (2.8)$$

where S_{BH} is the standard Bekenstein-Hawking entropy (2.3). As one can see, in the case $\gamma = n = 1$ one recovers both the standard mass-to-horizon relation, as well as the standard Bekenstein-Hawking entropy.

2.2 Modified cosmology through generalized mass-to-horizon entropy

In this section we desire to extract the cosmological equations of the modified scenario, following the gravity-thermodynamics approach, but using the generalized mass-to-horizon entropy (2.8) instead of the standard Bekenstein-Hawking one. We start from the first law of thermodynamics $-dE = T dS$, with $dE = A(\rho_m + p_m) H r_A dt$. We use the standard Hawking temperature (2.4) and the generalized entropy (2.8), which yields

$$dS_n = \gamma n \frac{2n}{G} r_a^n \dot{r}_a dt. \quad (2.9)$$

Substituting everything in the first law we result to

$$-4\pi G(\rho_m + p_m) = \gamma n \left(H^2 + \frac{k}{a^2} \right)^{\frac{1-n}{2}} \left(\dot{H} - \frac{k}{a^2} \right), \quad (2.10)$$

which is the second Friedmann equation. Therefore, by integrating and using the conservation equation $\dot{\rho}_m + 3H(\rho_m + p_m) = 0$ we finally obtain

$$\frac{8\pi G}{3} \rho_m = \frac{2\gamma n}{3-n} \left(H^2 + \frac{k}{a^2} \right)^{\frac{3-n}{2}} - \frac{\Lambda}{3}, \quad (2.11)$$

where Λ is the integration constant. Equation (2.11) is the first Friedmann equation of the scenario at hand.

As we observe, application of the gravity-thermodynamics approach using the generalized mass-to-horizon entropy leads to modified Friedmann equations, and thus to modified cosmology. Focusing on a flat Universe ($k = 0$) we can re-write them in the standard form

$$H^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3} (\rho_m + \rho_{DE}) \quad (2.12)$$

$$\dot{H} = -4\pi G (\rho_m + p_m + \rho_{DE} + p_{DE}), \quad (2.13)$$

by introducing an effective dark energy density and pressure respectively as

$$\rho_{DE} = \frac{3}{8\pi G} \left[\frac{\Lambda}{3} + H^2 - \frac{2\gamma n}{3-n} H^{3-n} \right], \quad (2.14)$$

$$p_{DE} = -\frac{1}{8\pi G} \left[\Lambda + \left(2\dot{H} + 3H^2 \right) - 2\gamma n H^{1-n} \left(\dot{H} + \frac{3}{3-n} H^2 \right) \right]. \quad (2.15)$$

Finally, we can define the equation-of-state parameter for the effective dark energy sector as

$$w_{DE} \equiv \frac{p_{DE}}{\rho_{DE}} = -1 - \frac{2\dot{H} (1 - \gamma n H^{1-n})}{\Lambda + 3H^2 - \frac{6\gamma n}{3-n} H^{3-n}}. \quad (2.16)$$

In summary, applying the gravity-thermodynamics approach with the generalized mass-to-horizon entropy leads to modified cosmology, with an effective dark-energy sector that depends on the entropy parameters. In the case $n = \gamma = 1$, where standard entropy is recovered, the above scenario recovers standard Λ CDM paradigm.

3 Cosmological behavior

In the previous section we obtained a modified cosmological scenario through spacetime thermodynamics and generalized mass-to-horizon entropy. We can now proceed to the extraction of analytical relations that provide the evolution of the Universe. Without loss of generality, we focus on the dust matter case, setting $p_m = 0$.

3.1 Cosmic evolution

It proves convenient to introduce the matter and dark energy density parameters respectively as

$$\Omega_m = \frac{8\pi G}{3H^2} \rho_m \quad (3.1)$$

$$\Omega_{DE} = \frac{8\pi G}{3H^2} \rho_{DE}. \quad (3.2)$$

From the matter conservation equation, for dust matter we acquire $\rho_m = \frac{\rho_{m0}}{a^3}$, with ρ_{m0} the value of the matter energy density at present scale factor $a_0 = 1$ (in the following the subscript “0” marks the present value of a quantity). Thus, from (3.1) we immediately obtain $\Omega_m = \Omega_{m0} H_0^2 / a^3 H^2$, which through the relation $\Omega_m + \Omega_{DE} = 1$ gives

$$H = \frac{\sqrt{\Omega_{m0}} H_0}{\sqrt{a^3 (1 - \Omega_{DE})}}. \quad (3.3)$$

In the following, for convenience, we will use the redshift z as the independent variable, defined as $1 + z = 1/a$ for $a_0 = 1$. Differentiation of (3.3) easily gives the useful expression

$$\dot{H} = -\frac{H^2}{2(1 - \Omega_{DE})} [3(1 - \Omega_{DE}) + (1 + z)\Omega'_{DE}], \quad (3.4)$$

where a prime denotes derivative with respect to z .

Substituting (2.14) into (3.2) and using the relation (3.3) we obtain

$$\Omega_{DE}(z) = 1 - \left\{ \frac{3-n}{2\gamma n} \left(\sqrt{\Omega_{m0}} H_0 \right)^{n-1} (1+z)^{\frac{3(n-1)}{2}} \left[1 + \frac{\Lambda}{3\Omega_{m0} H_0^2 (1+z)^3} \right] \right\}^{\frac{2}{n-3}}. \quad (3.5)$$

This expression is the analytical solution for the dark energy density parameter $\Omega_{DE}(z)$, in a flat universe and for dust matter. Applying it at present time, namely at $z = 0$, we acquire

$$\Lambda = \frac{6\gamma n}{3-n} H_0^{3-n} - 3\Omega_{m0} H_0^2. \quad (3.6)$$

Differentiating (3.5) we obtain

$$\Omega'_{DE}(z) = 3(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B})^{\frac{2}{n-3}} (1+z)^2 \left[-1 - \frac{6H_0^2 \Omega_{m0} (1+z)^3}{\mathcal{B}(n-3)} \right], \quad (3.7)$$

with

$$\mathcal{A} = -\frac{(n-3)(H_0 \sqrt{\Omega_{m0}})^{n-3}}{6\gamma n},$$

$$\mathcal{B} = \Lambda + 3H_0^2 \Omega_{m0} (1+z)^3.$$

Furthermore, inserting (3.4), (3.5) and (3.7) into equation (2.16), we calculate the other important observable, namely the dark-energy equation-of-state parameter as

$$w_{DE}(z) = -1 - \frac{18(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B})^{-\frac{2}{n-3}} (1-\gamma n \mathcal{C}^{1-n}) H_0^4 \Omega_{m0}^2 (1+z)^3}{\mathcal{B}(n-3) \left[\Lambda - \frac{6\gamma n \mathcal{C}^{3-n}}{3-n} + 3(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B})^{-\frac{2}{n-3}} H_0^2 \Omega_{m0} \right]}, \quad (3.8)$$

where

$$\mathcal{C} = \sqrt{\frac{H_0^2 \Omega_{m0}}{(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B})^{\frac{2}{n-3}}}}.$$

Lastly, it proves convenient to introduce the deceleration parameter $q \equiv -1 - \frac{\dot{H}}{H^2}$, which can be easily shown to be of the form

$$q(z) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{3}{2} \Omega_{DE} w_{DE}, \quad (3.9)$$

with Ω_{DE} and w_{DE} given by (3.5) and (3.8) respectively. In summary, we were able to extract analytical expressions for the important observable quantities. Hence, we can use them in order to investigate in more detail the cosmological behavior in the scenario at hand.

In the upper graph of Fig. 1 we present the evolution of the dark-energy density parameter $\Omega_{DE}(z)$ according to (3.5) and of the matter density parameter $\Omega_m(z) = 1 - \Omega_{DE}(z)$, imposing the condition $\Omega_{m0} \approx 0.31$ [97]. Additionally, in the middle graph we depict the corresponding evolution of the dark-energy equation-of-state parameter $w_{DE}(z)$ according




Figure 1. **Upper graph:** The evolution of the effective dark energy density parameter Ω_{DE} (red-solid) according to (3.5) and of the matter density parameter Ω_m (blue-dashed) respectively, as a function of the redshift z , for the modified cosmology through generalized mass-to-horizon entropy, in the case of $\gamma = 1$ (in units of $8\pi G = 1$) and $n = 1.02$. **Middle graph:** The evolution of the corresponding effective dark energy equation-of-state parameter w_{DE} according to (3.8). **Lower graph:** The evolution of the deceleration parameter q according to (3.9). In all graphs we have imposed $\Omega_{DE}(z = 0) \equiv \Omega_{DE0} \approx 0.69$ at present, and we have added a vertical dotted line denoting the current time $z = 0$.

to (3.8). Lastly, in the lower panel we present the evolution of the deceleration parameter according to (3.9). As we observe, in the modified cosmological scenario at hand we can recover the sequence of matter and dark energy eras, while in the future the Universe results to the complete dark-energy dominated, de-Sitter phase. Note that the transition from deceleration to acceleration is realized at $z \approx 0.6$ as required by observations. Concerning the effective dark-energy equation-of-state parameter, we observe that its current value is close -1 in agreement with observations, however in the past it exhibits an interesting dynamical behavior, experiencing the phantom-divide crossing, while in the future it asymptotically acquires the cosmological constant value -1 .

We proceed to examining the effect of the model parameters on the dark-energy equation-of-state parameter. In Fig. 2 we draw w_{DE} for different values of n . As we




Figure 2. The evolution of the effective dark energy equation-of-state parameter w_{DE} , for $\gamma = 1$ and different values of the model parameter n . In all curves we have obtained density parameters behavior similar to the graphs of Fig.1, and we have imposed $\Omega_{DE}(z = 0) \equiv \Omega_{DE0} \approx 0.69$.

can see, for $n = 1$ we recover Λ CDM cosmology as expected, however for n deviating from 1 we obtain a very interesting behavior. In particular, we can see that for $n < 1$ we acquire a phantom dark energy in the far past, while at small redshifts w_{DE} enters into the quintessence regime, before resulting asymptotically to the cosmological-constant value from above. On the other hand, for $n > 1$ w_{DE} lies in the quintessence regime in the far past, and exhibits the phantom-divide crossing at intermediate redshifts, before resulting asymptotically to the cosmological-constant value from below. We mention here that the dimensionless parameter n does not need to deviate significantly from the standard value $n = 1$ in order to obtain interesting cosmological behavior, which is an advantage since one expects that any realistic deviation from standard entropy should be small.

3.2 Observational constraints

In this subsection we present the observational constraints derived for the modified cosmological scenario arising from the generalized mass-to-horizon entropy. We will use data from Supernovae Type Ia (SNIa), Cosmic Chronometers (CC), and Baryonic Acoustic Oscillations (BAO) measurements. In the following, ϕ^λ denotes the statistical vector containing the free parameters of the scenario.

Concerning Supernovae Type Ia (SNIa), we utilize the binned Pantheon sample [98], which approximates the full dataset with a reduced set $N = 40$ data points within the redshift range $0.01 \lesssim z \lesssim 1.6$. The corresponding chi-square function is

$$\chi_{SNIa}^2(\phi^\nu) = \mu_{SNIa} \mathbf{C}_{SNIa, cov}^{-1} \mu_{SNIa}^T, \quad (3.10)$$

where $\mu_{SNIa} = \{\mu_1 - \mu_{th}(z_1, \phi^\nu), \dots, \mu_N - \mu_{th}(z_N, \phi^\nu)\}$. The distance modulus can be expressed as $\mu_i = \mu_{B,i} - \mathcal{M}$, with $\mu_{B,i}$ the apparent magnitude at maximum brightness in the rest frame z_i . Additionally, \mathcal{M} is introduced to account for the dependence of the observed distance modulus, μ_{obs} , on the fiducial cosmology [99]. Finally, the theoretical distance modulus reads as

$$\mu_{th} = 5 \log \left[\frac{d_L(z)}{\text{Mpc}} \right] + 25, \quad (3.11)$$

Parameters	CC+SnIa	CC+SnIa+BAO
H_0	69.0 ± 1.8 km/s/Mpc	69.8 ± 1.7 km/s/Mpc
Ω_{m0}	0.24 ± 0.05	0.22 ± 0.02
n	1.08 ± 0.06	1.09 ± 0.01
r_{drag}	181.4 ± 63.8 Mpc	147.3 ± 1.6 Mpc

Table 1. Observational constraints of cosmological and model parameters at 1σ confidence level.

with $d_L(z) = c(1+z) \int_0^z \frac{dx}{H(x, \phi^\nu)}$ the luminosity distance assuming flat geometry.

Concerning the Hubble constant observations, we use the latest compilation of the $H(z)$ dataset [100], comprising of $N = 31$ data points, within $0.07 \lesssim z \lesssim 2.0$. The corresponding χ_H^2 function is expressed as

$$\chi_H^2(\phi^\nu) = \mathcal{H} \mathbf{C}_{H,\text{cov}}^{-1} \mathcal{H}^T, \quad (3.12)$$

with $\mathcal{H} = \{H_1 - H_0 E(z_1, \phi^\nu), \dots, H_N - H_0 E(z_N, \phi^\nu)\}$ and where H_i are the observed Hubble function values at redshifts z_i ($i = 1, \dots, N$).

Finally, concerning the Baryon Acoustic Oscillations (BAO) the sound horizon r_d , representing the maximum distance that sound waves traveled before baryons decoupled in the early universe, is given by

$$r_d = \int_{z_d}^{\infty} \frac{c_s(z)}{H(z)} dz, \quad (3.13)$$

where z_d is the redshift of the drag epoch, while the sound speed c_s is

$$c_s(z) = \frac{c}{\sqrt{3 \left(1 + \frac{3\rho_\beta(z)}{4\rho_\gamma(z)}\right)}}, \quad (3.14)$$

where ρ_β and ρ_γ are the baryon and radiation energy densities respectively. The redshift of the drag epoch z_d is

$$z_d = \frac{1925(\Omega_m h^2)^{0.251}}{1 + 0.659(\Omega_m h^2)^{0.828}} \left[1 + b_1(\Omega_b h^2)^{b_2}\right], \quad (3.15)$$

where b_1 and b_2 are factors given by $b_1 = 0.313(\Omega_m h^2)^{-0.419} \times [1 + 0.607(\Omega_m h^2)^{0.6748}]$ and $b_2 = 0.238(\Omega_m h^2)^{0.223}$. We use the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) and the DESI DR1 BAO measurements obtained from various samples: The Bright Galaxy Sample (BGS, $0.1 < z < 0.4$), the Luminous Red Galaxy Sample (LRG, $0.4 < z < 0.6$ and $0.6 < z < 0.8$), the Emission Line Galaxy Sample (ELG, $1.1 < z < 1.6$), the combined LRG and ELG Sample (LRG+ELG, $0.8 < z < 1.1$), the Quasar Sample (QSO, $0.8 < z < 2.1$) and the Lyman- α Forest Sample (Ly α , $1.77 < z < 4.16$). Finally, the chi-squared statistic used to fit the BAO data is

$$\chi_{\text{BAO}}^2 = (\Delta X)^T \mathbf{C}_{\text{BAO}}^{-1} \Delta X, \quad (3.16)$$

where $\Delta X = x^{\text{obs}} - x^{\text{th}}$ and $\mathbf{C}_{\text{BAO}}^{-1}$ the inverse covariance matrix [101].

For our analysis we use the Cobaya code [102], which is publicly available. In Table 1 we depict the results on the parameters, while in Fig. 3 we show the corresponding contour plots for the model parameters. As we can see the scenario at hand is in agreement with observations.




Figure 3. The 1σ and 2σ iso-likelihood contours, for the 2D subsets of the parameter space using Cobaya code [102] (see text).

4 Conclusions

In this work we explored novel cosmological scenarios inspired by the well-established conjecture linking thermodynamics to gravity. Specifically, it is known that starting from the first law of thermodynamics applied at the Universe horizon, one can derive the Friedmann equations. The whole approach relies on the entropy relation, which is the standard Bekenstein-Hawking one, as well as on the temperature relation, which is the Hawking one. Hence, when various extended entropies are used, the same procedure leads to modified Friedmann equations and cosmological scenarios. However, when one modifies the entropy relation one should also change other thermodynamic quantities too, in order to obtain self-consistency. In particular, it was shown recently that one such consistent approach is to change the mass-to-horizon ratio, and this, in turn, leads to a novel generalized entropy, characterized by two model parameters, namely γ and n .

We applied the gravity-thermodynamics approach with the generalized mass-to-horizon entropy expression, and we extracted modified Friedmann equations with an effective dark energy sector arising from the novel terms. In the case $\gamma = n = 1$ where standard Bekenstein-Hawking entropy is recovered, the scenario at hand recovers Λ CDM paradigm, however in the general case it exhibits integrating cosmological behavior.

Focusing on the flat case and considering dust matter enabled us to derive analytical solutions for the dark-energy density parameter, the dark-energy equation-of-state param-

eter, and the deceleration parameter. Our analysis revealed that the Universe undergoes a transition from matter-dominated to dark-energy-dominated epochs, realized at $z_{tr} \approx 0.6$ in agreement with observations. Moreover, regarding the dark-energy equation-of-state parameter our analysis revealed that it is affected by the value of the generalized mass-to-horizon parameter n , particularly in the past and present epochs. Specifically, for $n < 1$ we saw that dark energy lies in the phantom regime at high redshifts, entering into the quintessence regime at small redshifts, while in the future it results asymptotically to -1 from above. Additionally, for $n > 1$ w_{DE} lies in the quintessence regime at high redshifts and it experiences the phantom-divide crossing at small redshifts, before resulting asymptotically to -1 from below in the far future. Finally, we performed a confrontation with observations, using Supernovae Type Ia (SNIa), Cosmic Chronometers (CC), and Baryonic Acoustic Oscillations (BAO) datasets, showing that the scenario at hand is in agreement with observations.

In summary, the modified cosmological framework derived from the application of the gravity-thermodynamics conjecture using the generalized mass-to-horizon entropy leads to interesting cosmological phenomenology. However, there are more investigations that need to be performed before one considers it as a successful candidate for the description of Nature. For instance, one should perform a detailed analysis at the perturbative level, aiming to see how matter overdensity and growth of structures evolve. Additionally, one should perform a complete dynamical-system analysis in order to reveal the global behavior of the Universe, independently off the specific evolution. These necessary investigations lie beyond the scope of the present work and are left for future projects.

References

- [1] E. N. Saridakis *et al.* [CANTATA], [arXiv:2105.12582 [gr-qc]].
- [2] S. Capozziello and M. De Laurentis, Phys. Rept. **509**, 167 (2011) [arXiv:1108.6266 [gr-qc]].
- [3] Y. F. Cai, S. Capozziello, M. De Laurentis and E. N. Saridakis, Rept. Prog. Phys. **79**, 106901 (2016) [arXiv:1511.07586 [gr-qc]].
- [4] K. S. Stelle, Phys. Rev. D **16**, 953 (1977).
- [5] T. Biswas, E. Gerwick, T. Koivisto and A. Mazumdar, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 031101 (2012) [arXiv:1110.5249 [gr-qc]].
- [6] A. A. Starobinsky, Phys. Lett. B **91**, 99 (1980).
- [7] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, Phys. Rept. **505**, 59 (2011) [arXiv:1011.0544 [gr-qc]].
- [8] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, Phys. Lett. B **631**, 1 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0508049].
- [9] A. De Felice and S. Tsujikawa, Phys. Lett. B **675**, 1 (2009) [arXiv:0810.5712 [hep-th]].
- [10] D. Lovelock, J. Math. Phys. **12**, 498 (1971).
- [11] N. Deruelle and L. Farina-Busto, Phys. Rev. D **41**, 3696 (1990).
- [12] P. D. Mannheim and D. Kazanas, Astrophys. J. **342**, 635 (1989).
- [13] E. E. Flanagan, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 023002 (2006) [arXiv:astro-ph/0605504 [astro-ph]].

- [14] A. Nicolis, R. Rattazzi and E. Trincherini, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 064036 (2009) [arXiv:0811.2197 [hep-th]].
- [15] C. Deffayet, G. Esposito-Farese and A. Vikman, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 084003 (2009) [arXiv:0901.1314 [hep-th]].
- [16] G. R. Bengochea and R. Ferraro, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 124019 (2009) [arXiv:0812.1205 [astro-ph]].
- [17] E. V. Linder, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 127301 (2010) [arXiv:1005.3039 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [18] S. H. Chen, J. B. Dent, S. Dutta and E. N. Saridakis, Phys. Rev. D **83**, 023508 (2011) [arXiv:1008.1250 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [19] G. Kofinas and E. N. Saridakis, Phys. Rev. D **90**, 084044 (2014) [arXiv:1404.2249 [gr-qc]].
- [20] G. Kofinas and E. N. Saridakis, Phys. Rev. D **90**, 084045 (2014) [arXiv:1408.0107 [gr-qc]].
- [21] S. Bahamonde, C. G. Böhmner and M. Wright, Phys. Rev. D **92**, no.10, 104042 (2015) [arXiv:1508.05120 [gr-qc]].
- [22] S. Bahamonde, K. F. Dialektopoulos and J. Levi Said, Phys. Rev. D **100**, no.6, 064018 (2019) [arXiv:1904.10791 [gr-qc]].
- [23] J. Beltrán Jiménez, L. Heisenberg and T. Koivisto, Phys. Rev. D **98**, no.4, 044048 (2018) [arXiv:1710.03116 [gr-qc]].
- [24] L. Heisenberg, Phys. Rept. **1066**, 1-78 (2024) [arXiv:2309.15958 [gr-qc]].
- [25] A. De, T. H. Loo and E. N. Saridakis, JCAP **03**, 050 (2024) [arXiv:2308.00652 [gr-qc]].
- [26] K. A. Olive, Phys. Rept. **190**, 307 (1990).
- [27] N. Bartolo, E. Komatsu, S. Matarrese and A. Riotto, Phys. Rept. **402**, 103 (2004) [arXiv:astro-ph/0406398 [astro-ph]].
- [28] E. J. Copeland, M. Sami and S. Tsujikawa, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **15**, 1753 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0603057 [hep-th]].
- [29] Y. -F. Cai, E. N. Saridakis, M. R. Setare and J. -Q. Xia, Phys. Rept. **493**, 1 (2010) [arXiv:0909.2776 [hep-th]].
- [30] T. Jacobson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 1260 (1995) [arXiv:gr-qc/9504004 [gr-qc]].
- [31] T. Padmanabhan, Phys. Rept. **406**, 49 (2005) [arXiv:gr-qc/0311036 [gr-qc]].
- [32] T. Padmanabhan, Rept. Prog. Phys. **73**, 046901 (2010) [arXiv:0911.5004 [gr-qc]].
- [33] A. V. Frolov and L. Kofman, JCAP **0305**, 009 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0212327 [hep-th]].
- [34] R. G. Cai and S. P. Kim, JHEP **0502**, 050 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0501055 [hep-th]].
- [35] M. Akbar and R. G. Cai, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 084003 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0609128 [hep-th]].
- [36] R. G. Cai and L. M. Cao, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 064008 (2007) [arXiv:gr-qc/0611071 [gr-qc]].
- [37] A. Paranjape, S. Sarkar and T. Padmanabhan, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 104015 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0607240 [hep-th]].
- [38] M. Akbar and R. G. Cai, Phys. Lett. B **635**, 7 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0602156 [hep-th]].
- [39] M. Jamil, E. N. Saridakis and M. R. Setare, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 023007 (2010) [arXiv:0910.0822 [hep-th]].

- [40] R. G. Cai and N. Ohta, *Phys. Rev. D* **81**, 084061 (2010) [arXiv:0910.2307 [hep-th]].
- [41] M. Wang, J. Jing, C. Ding and S. Chen, *Phys. Rev. D* **81**, 083006 (2010) [arXiv:0912.4832 [gr-qc]].
- [42] M. Jamil, E. N. Saridakis and M. R. Setare, *JCAP* **1011**, 032 (2010) [arXiv:1003.0876 [hep-th]].
- [43] Y. Gim, W. Kim and S. H. Yi, *JHEP* **1407**, 002 (2014) [arXiv:1403.4704 [hep-th]].
- [44] Z. Y. Fan and H. Lu, *Phys. Rev. D* **91**, no. 6, 064009 (2015) [arXiv:1501.00006 [hep-th]].
- [45] A. Rényi, *Proceedings of the Fourth Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability, Volume 1: Contributions to the Theory of Statistics*, 547-561, (1961)
- [46] C. Tsallis, *J. Statist. Phys.* **52**, 479 (1988).
- [47] M. L. Lyra and C. Tsallis, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**, 53 (1998).
- [48] B. D. Sharma, D. P. Mittal, *J. Math. Sci.* **10**, 28 (1975).
- [49] G. Kaniadakis, *Phys. Rev. E* **66**, 056125 (2002).
- [50] G. Kaniadakis, *Phys. Rev. E* **72**, 036108 (2005).
- [51] J. D. Barrow, *Phys. Lett. B* **808**, 135643 (2020) [arXiv:2004.09444 [gr-qc]].
- [52] A. Lymperis and E. N. Saridakis, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **78** (2018) no.12, 993 [arXiv:1806.04614 [gr-qc]].
- [53] E. N. Saridakis, *JCAP* **07** (2020), 031 [arXiv:2006.01105 [gr-qc]].
- [54] S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov and E. N. Saridakis, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **79**, no.3, 242 (2019) [arXiv:1903.03098 [gr-qc]].
- [55] S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov, E. N. Saridakis and R. Myrzakulov, *Nucl. Phys. B* **950**, 114850 (2020) [arXiv:1911.03606 [gr-qc]].
- [56] C. Q. Geng, Y. T. Hsu, J. R. Lu and L. Yin, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80**, no.1, 21 (2020) [arXiv:1911.06046 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [57] A. Lymperis, S. Basilakos and E. N. Saridakis, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81**, no.11, 1037 (2021) [arXiv:2108.12366 [gr-qc]].
- [58] A. Hernández-Almada, G. Leon, J. Magaña, M. A. García-Aspeitia, V. Motta, E. N. Saridakis, K. Yesmakhanova and A. D. Millano, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **512**, no.4, 5122-5134 (2022) [arXiv:2112.04615 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [59] D. J. Zamora and C. Tsallis, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **82**, no.8, 689 (2022) [arXiv:2201.03385 [gr-qc]].
- [60] G. G. Luciano and J. Gine, *Phys. Lett. B* **833**, 137352 (2022) [arXiv:2204.02723 [gr-qc]].
- [61] G. G. Luciano, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **82**, no.4, 314 (2022).
- [62] P. Jizba and G. Lambiase, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **82**, no.12, 1123 (2022) [arXiv:2206.12910 [hep-th]].
- [63] M. Dheepika, H. B. V. T. and T. K. Mathew, *Phys. Scripta* **99**, no.1, 015014 (2024) [arXiv:2211.14039 [gr-qc]].
- [64] G. G. Luciano, *Phys. Lett. B* **838**, 137721 (2023)
- [65] G. G. Luciano and A. Sheykhi, *Phys. Dark Univ.* **42**, 101319 (2023) [arXiv:2304.11006 [hep-th]].

- [66] Z. Teimoori, K. Rezazadeh and A. Rostami, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **84**, no.1, 80 (2024) [arXiv:2307.11437 [gr-qc]].
- [67] M. Naeem and A. Bibi, *Eur. Phys. J. Plus* **138**, no.5, 442 (2023).
- [68] S. Jalalzadeh, H. Moradpour and P. V. Moniz, *Phys. Dark Univ.* **42**, 101320 (2023) [arXiv:2308.12089 [gr-qc]].
- [69] S. Basilakos, A. Lymperis, M. Petronikolou and E. N. Saridakis, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **84**, no.3, 297 (2024) [arXiv:2308.01200 [gr-qc]].
- [70] M. Naeem and A. Bibi, *Annals Phys.* **462**, 169618 (2024) [arXiv:2308.02936 [gr-qc]].
- [71] Z. Çoker, Ö. Ökcü and E. Aydiner, *EPL* **143**, no.5, 59001 (2023) [arXiv:2308.10212 [gr-qc]].
- [72] J. Saavedra and F. Tello-Ortiz, *Eur. Phys. J. Plus* **139**, no.7, 657 (2024) [arXiv:2310.08781 [gr-qc]].
- [73] R. Nakarachinda, C. Pongkitivanichkul, D. Samart, L. Tannukij and P. Wongjun, *Fortsch. Phys.* **72**, no.7-8, 2400073 (2024) [arXiv:2312.16901 [gr-qc]].
- [74] P. Jizba and G. Lambiase, *Entropy* **25**, no.11, 1495 (2023) [arXiv:2310.19045 [gr-qc]].
- [75] Ö. Ökcü, *Nucl. Phys. B* **1004**, 116551 (2024) [arXiv:2401.09477 [gr-qc]].
- [76] R. Jalalzadeh, S. Jalalzadeh, A. S. Jahromi and H. Moradpour, *Phys. Dark Univ.* **44**, 101498 (2024) [arXiv:2404.06986 [gr-qc]].
- [77] P. Jizba, G. Lambiase, G. G. Luciano and L. Mastrototaro, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **84**, no.10, 1076 (2024) [arXiv:2403.09797 [gr-qc]].
- [78] E. Ebrahimi and A. Sheykhi, *Phys. Dark Univ.* **45**, 101518 (2024) [arXiv:2405.13096 [gr-qc]].
- [79] O. Trivedi, A. Bidlan and P. Moniz, *Phys. Lett. B* **858**, 139074 (2024) [arXiv:2407.16685 [gr-qc]].
- [80] Ö. Ökcü and E. Aydiner, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **56**, no.7, 87 (2024) [arXiv:2407.14685 [gr-qc]].
- [81] M. Petronikolou, S. Basilakos, A. Lymperis and E. Saridakis, *PoS CORFU2023*, 174 (2024)
- [82] M. F. Karabat, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **39**, no.23n24, 2450109 (2024)
- [83] P. S. Ens and A. F. Santos, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **84**, no.12, 1338 (2024) [arXiv:2412.09189 [gr-qc]].
- [84] S. A. Tsilioukas, N. Petropoulos and E. N. Saridakis, [arXiv:2412.21146 [gr-qc]].
- [85] U. Ualikhanova, A. Altaibayeva and S. Chattopadhyay, [arXiv:2501.04028 [physics.gen-ph]].
- [86] N. Shahhoseini, M. Malekjani and A. Khodam-Mohammadi, [arXiv:2501.03655 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [87] A. Lymperis, G. Nugmanova and A. Sergazina, [arXiv:2502.04462 [gr-qc]].
- [88] S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov, T. Paul and S. SenGupta, [arXiv:2503.19056 [gr-qc]].
- [89] S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov and T. Paul, *Phys. Lett. B* **831**, 137189 (2022) [arXiv:2205.08876 [gr-qc]].
- [90] S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov and V. Faraoni, *Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys.* **19**, no.13, 2250210 (2022) [arXiv:2207.07905 [gr-qc]].
- [91] S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov and V. Faraoni, *Phys. Rev. D* **104**, no.8, 084030 (2021) [arXiv:2109.05315 [gr-qc]].

- [92] H. Gohar and V. Salzano, *Phys. Rev. D* **109**, no.8, 084075 (2024) [arXiv:2307.06239 [gr-qc]].
- [93] D. Bak and S. J. Rey, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **17**, L83 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/9902173 [hep-th]].
- [94] R. G. Cai, L. M. Cao and Y. P. Hu, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **26**, 155018 (2009) [arXiv:0809.1554 [hep-th]].
- [95] G. W. Gibbons and S. W. Hawking, *Phys. Rev. D* **15**, 2738 (1977).
- [96] G. Izquierdo and D. Pavon, *Phys. Lett. B* **633**, 420 (2006) [arXiv:astro-ph/0505601 [astro-ph]].
- [97] N. Aghanim *et al.* [Planck], *Astron. Astrophys.* **641**, A6 (2020) [erratum: *Astron. Astrophys.* **652**, C4 (2021)] [arXiv:1807.06209 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [98] D. Scolnic, D. Brout, A. Carr, A. G. Riess, T. M. Davis, A. Dwomoh, D. O. Jones, N. Ali, P. Charvu and R. Chen, *et al.* *Astrophys. J.* **938**, no.2, 113 (2022) [arXiv:2112.03863 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [99] D. M. Scolnic *et al.* [Pan-STARRS1], *Astrophys. J.* **859**, no.2, 101 (2018) [arXiv:1710.00845 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [100] H. Yu, B. Ratra and F. Y. Wang, *Astrophys. J.* **856**, no.1, 3 (2018) [arXiv:1711.03437 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [101] H. W. Chiang, C. G. Boiza and M. Bouhmadi-López, [arXiv:2503.04898 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [102] J. Torrado and A. Lewis, *JCAP* **05**, 057 (2021) [arXiv:2005.05290 [astro-ph.IM]].