

Ranked percolation model for the caking time of amorphous molecular powders

Vasco C. Braz^{1,2,*} and N. A. M. Araújo^{1,2,†}

¹*Centro de Física Teórica e Computacional, Universidade de Lisboa, 1749-016 Lisboa, Portugal*

²*Departamento de Física, Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade de Lisboa, 1749-016 Lisboa, Portugal*

When amorphous molecular powders are exposed to high humidity levels or temperatures, the particle viscosity increases due to plasticization, promoting the formation of sinter bridges between pairs of particles in contact. Over time, these bridges facilitate particle agglomeration, eventually leading to the formation of a macroscopic cake that alters the mechanical properties and affects product quality. In this work, we model the caking process of amorphous powders subjected to a temperature shock as a bond percolation problem and investigate how particle bed heterogeneities influence the percolation threshold and, consequently, the expected caking times. Our findings indicate that a slight dispersion in particle size lowers the percolation threshold compared to a monodisperse bed or random percolation in polydisperse systems. Furthermore, we show that the expected caking time exhibits a non-monotonic behavior with the size dispersion, initially decreasing for low dispersion values and increasing for higher values. These results provide insights into the role of the particle size distribution on the caking dynamics of amorphous molecular powders.

INTRODUCTION

The transition of free-flowing powders composed of molecular amorphous particles into “lumped” or “caked” states poses significant problems to their handling and perceived quality in a wide range of industries, from food products [1–3] to bulk chemicals [4], fertilizers [5], and pharmaceuticals [6]. The caking process primarily involves two mechanisms [7–10]: moisture sorption (at the individual particle level) and bridge sintering (between particle pairs), each occurring on different timescales. During the aggregation process, mechanisms with different timescales compete, and, depending on the surrounding environmental conditions, their relative importance might change. Recently, it was demonstrated that the caking of amorphous powders can be mapped into a percolation problem where site occupation follows a well-determined order, depending on the physical causes that led to the caking process [11, 12]. Up to now, only humidity shock scenarios were considered, where the typical sorption time is much larger than the sinter time. In this case, particles in contact form bridges instantly and, since the plasticization of individual particles is the result of water diffusion through the particle surface, the first particles to become available for sintering are the smaller ones [11]. From a fundamental perspective, caking due to humidity shock can be well described as a ranked site percolation process where particle size defines the order of occupation [13]. On the other hand, when powders are initially in moisture equilibrium with the environment and the temperature increases suddenly, the caking time is dominated by the sintering. Here, the order of occupation is not determined solely by the individual particle size, but by the characteristics of the pairs of neighboring particles. The time required for sintering depends on particle diameter (d) and the ratio of surface tension γ to viscosity (η), as described by the Frenkel equation [8]:

$$\left(\frac{x}{d}\right)^2 = \frac{\gamma t}{6d\eta}, \quad (1)$$

where, x represents the diameter of the neck between the pair of particles. In polydispersed particle beds, smaller particles in contact form bridges first, leading to particle aggregation in a specific sequence governed by the contact network structure. If all particles are of the same material, caking can be effectively modeled as a ranked bond percolation process where bond occupation follows a certain rank. The percolation threshold can then be related with the caking time [11], which in the single species case, will be proportional to the typical size of the largest bridge (d^*) needed (if occupied in order of the average size of the pair). If we assume a strong bridge is formed when $\left(\frac{x}{d}\right) = 0.1$ [11, 14] we can estimate the caking time from Eq. (1) as $t_c = 0.01 \frac{6\eta d^*}{\gamma}$.

In this work, we vary the particle size distributions and systematically determine the ranked bond percolation threshold across different particle configurations. We consider a normal distribution of particle sizes of mean $\mu = 1$ and standard deviation σ truncated between $r_l = 0.1$ and $r_r = 1.9$. For each σ we generated 500 samples of 5000 particles. The particle beds are obtained by generating the spheres in a box without overlapping and letting them sediment using a Discrete Element Method algorithm well described in [11].

For comparison, three different strategies of occupation were used: S - Size Ranked Percolation, where bonds are occupied by the order of the average radius of pairs of particles in contact, R - Random Percolation, bonds are occupied at random, and D - Degree Ranked Percolation where occupation is done in the order of the average degree (number of contacts) of pairs of particles in contact.

RANKED BOND PERCOLATION THRESHOLD

To estimate the percolation threshold, we measure the order parameter, defined as the average fraction of particles in the largest cluster, ϕ , along with its fluctuations, $\chi = \langle \phi^2 \rangle - \langle \phi \rangle^2$ [15], across 500 independent samples for each σ , as a function of the fraction of occupied bonds, p .

From Fig. 2, it is clear that the Size Ranked Percolation fol-




FIG. 1. Snapshot of a bidimensional system with a fraction of occupied sites $p = 0.33$ and $\sigma = 0.1$. Clusters are coloured according to their size, from light grey (small clusters) to black (largest cluster). (a) Random Percolation. (b) Ranked Percolation.




FIG. 2. (a) Rescaling of the fluctuations in the largest cluster size, using the typical exponents for three-dimensional percolation. The inset shows the same scaling but for the fraction of particles in the largest aggregate, ϕ . We consider three different system sizes: $N = 2000$ (blue circles), $N = 5000$ (red squares), and $N = 10000$ (green triangles). (b) Fluctuations in the largest cluster size as a function of the fraction of occupied sites for different size dispersions $\sigma = 0.0, 0.05, 0.3, 0.5$.

lows the same scaling relation observed in random percolation in three dimensions. Specifically, for $\sigma = 0.2$, we obtained a data collapse for the finite-size scaling using the critical exponents of random percolation, namely, $\nu = 0.876$, $\beta = 0.4181$, and $\gamma = 1.8$ [16–19] for both the fluctuations (main plot) and order parameter (inset). Here, $N = 2000, 5000, 10000$ is the number of particles, and $L = N^{1/3}$ is the system size. The observed collapse of the curves confirms that Ranked Percolation belongs to the same universality class as Random Percolation.

In Fig. 2b, we plot the normalized fluctuations, $\frac{\chi}{\chi_{\text{Max}}}$, as a function of the fraction of occupied bonds p for different values of the size dispersion σ . In the monodisperse case ($\sigma = 0$), where all particles have the same size, occupation occurs randomly, and the bond percolation threshold coincides with that of standard Random Percolation, with $p_c \approx 0.241$,

consistent with values reported in the literature[19]. For a small dispersion ($\sigma = 0.05$), we observe a notable decrease in the critical threshold. However, as σ increases further, p_c gradually rises, eventually exceeding the monodisperse value for $\sigma = 0.5$.

In Fig. 3a, we present a systematic study of the percolation threshold for Size Ranked Percolation (S – blue dots), Random Percolation (red squares), and Degree Ranked Percolation (D – green triangles) as a function of σ . As observed before for $\sigma = 0$, the percolation threshold matches that of standard Random Percolation. For small size dispersions (e.g., $\sigma = 0.05$), the occupation process is no longer random, leading to a significant drop in the percolation, which will remain lower than the values found for random percolation up to values of $\sigma = 0.25$. As the size dispersion increases, the percolation threshold rises again. This intriguing reduction in percolation threshold under low size dispersion suggests that even minimal polydispersities introduces spatial and size correlations that enhance branching during size ranked bond occupation. Figure 1a illustrates this phenomenon in a 2D system: bonds are distributed uniformly in space, forming small clusters of isolated particles due to the limited size variation. By contrast, Fig. 1b reveals how these correlations promote the early emergence of cycles (loops) but also branched, tree-like structures.

As size dispersion increases, particle size becomes strongly correlated with coordination number (larger particles have greater surface area and thus more neighbors). Consequently, in Size Ranked Percolation, bonds connecting particles with fewer neighbors are occupied first: a behavior that qualitatively mirrors Degree Ranked Percolation, where occupation prioritizes low-coordination bonds.

In Fig. 3b, we observe how the average diameter of the last bridge to be occupied (or activated) before the percola-

tion transition (value at p_c) evolves with σ . We emphasize that, according to the Frenkel equation, for a single species particle bed at fixed temperature and with fixed water content this diameter is proportional to the time it takes for that bridge to correspond to a rigid bond. Since this is the typical maximum average diameter at the percolation threshold, it serves as a proxy for the caking time. In Fig. 3b, we show the characteristic bridge radius for percolation. It first decreases with σ in relation to the monodispersed case, a consequence of the broadening of the size dispersion and of the decrease in the p_c . This value keeps decreasing reaching a minimum at $\sigma = 0.2$ and then it increases, matching the value of the monodispersed case at $\sigma = 0.5$, the highest value simulated.




FIG. 3. (a) Percolation threshold as a function of size dispersion σ , for Size Ranked Percolation (S), Degree Ranked Percolation (D) and Random Percolation (R). (b) Maximum bridge radius at the percolation threshold for Size Ranked Percolation.

NUMBER OF SHORT CYCLES

The mechanical stability of a granular pack is closely linked to the number of structural cycles within its network. Specifically, 3-cycles (triangular cycles) are known to correlate with stiffness. Figures 4a and 4b show the evolution of the average number of 3-cycles (l_3) and 4-cycles (l_4) as a function of the fraction of occupied bonds (p). For both cycle orders, the size-based bond occupation process (blue symbols) presents significantly more cycles at the same p compared to random bond occupation (red symbols). In Fig. 4c we see that for fixed values of $p = 0.2, 0.4$, the number of cycles increases with σ during random occupation but decreases for size ranked occupation. In Fig. 4d we plot the ratio of $\frac{l_3(\sigma)}{l_3(\sigma=0)}$ (blue circles) and $\frac{l_3(\sigma)}{l_3^R(\sigma=0)}$ (red squares), the ratio between the number of cycles in size ranked percolation and random percolation as a function of σ . In both cases we observe, once again, the coincidence for the monodispersed pack, a sudden increase for low dispersion and a decrease for higher dispersions.

CONCLUSIONS

In this study, we map the caking of amorphous molecular powders under sudden thermal shock to a ranked bond per-




FIG. 4. (a) Fraction of loops of size 3 relative to the number of occupied bonds as a function of the fraction of occupied bonds. (b) Fraction of loops of size 4 relative to the number of occupied bonds as a function of the fraction of occupied bonds. (c) Fraction of loops of size 3 relative to the number of occupied bonds as a function of size dispersion σ . (d) In blue, the fraction of loops of size 3 in Size Ranked Percolation as a function of σ , normalized by its value at $\sigma = 0$. In red, the same quantity, but normalized by the corresponding values in Random Percolation.

colation problem, where bonds are occupied (or activated) in order of the average size of the corresponding pair of particles. Additionally, we quantify the impact of structural heterogeneity on the caking times of granular materials.

We first determined the ranked bond percolation threshold as a function of the size dispersion of the pack. We found that for low dispersion values, the percolation threshold decreases in relation to the monodisperse packs (and random percolation on disperse packs), and then increases monotonically with the dispersion. The characteristic average radius at the percolation p_c shows a non-monotonic behavior. Since the typical times for caking should be proportional to this characteristic length, we deduce that low size dispersions of the granular pack will lead to faster caking of the powder, while higher size dispersions will increase the expected caking time.

Finally we measured the number of short cycles and show that Size Ranked Percolation will lead to a higher number of cycles than Random Percolation, showing a decrease with size dispersion. This finding suggests that not only might a spanning aggregate emerge first for low dispersed packs, but also that the spanning cluster might be more mechanically stable.

These results allow for a better understanding of the role of spatial and size correlations of the granular packing in the caking of amorphous powders. We emphasize that the work presented here still lacks experimental confrontation and that this should be explored in the future.

* vcbraz@fc.ul.pt

† nmaraujo@fc.ul.pt

- [1] J. J. Fitzpatrick, M. Hodnett, M. Twomey, P. S. M. Cerqueira, J. O'Flynn, and Y. H. Roos, "Glass transition and the flowability and caking of powders containing amorphous lactose," *Powder Technology* **178**, 119–128 (2007).
- [2] A. K. M. Masum, J. Chandrapala, T. Huppertz, B. Adhikari, and B. Zisu, "Effect of storage conditions on the physico-chemical properties of infant milk formula powders containing different lactose-to-maltodextrin ratios," *Food Chemistry* **319**, 126591 (2020).
- [3] M. Carpin, H. Bertelsen, A. Dalberg, J. K. Bech, J. Risbo, P. Schuck, and R. Jeantet, "How does particle size influence caking in lactose powder?" *Journal of Food Engineering* **209**, 61–67 (2017).
- [4] J. A. S. Cleaver, G. Karatzas, S. Louis, and I. Hayati, "Moisture-induced caking of boric acid powder," *Powder Technology* **146**, 93–101 (2004).
- [5] A. B. Albadarin, T. D. Lewis, and G. M. Walker, "Granulated polyhalite fertilizer caking propensity," *Powder Technology* **308**, 193–199 (2017).
- [6] H. G. Kristensen and T. Schaefer, "Granulation: A review on pharmaceutical wet-granulation," *Drug Development and Industrial Pharmacy* **13**, 803–872 (1987).
- [7] S. Palzer, "The effect of glass transition on the desired and undesired agglomeration of amorphous food powders," *Chemical Engineering Science* **60**, 3959–3968 (2005).
- [8] M. Hartmann and S. Palzer, "Caking of amorphous powders - material aspects, modelling and applications," *Powder Technology* **206**, 112–121 (2011).
- [9] U. Zafar, V. Vivacqua, G. Calvert, M. Ghadiri, and J. A. S. Cleaver, "A review of bulk powder caking," *Powder Technology* **313**, 389–401 (2017).
- [10] M. Chen, D. Zhang, W. Dong, Z. Luo, C. Kang, H. Li, *et al.*, "Amorphous and humidity caking: A review," *Chinese Journal of Chemical Engineering* **27**, 1429–1438 (2019).
- [11] V. C. Braz, A. F. Matias, L. Forny, D. Pasche, V. Meunier, J. Engmann, and N. A. M. Araújo, "Percolation-based simulation to predict caking kinetics of poly-disperse amorphous powders," *Powder Technology* **400** (2022), [10.1016/j.powtec.2022.117248](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.powtec.2022.117248).
- [12] T. S. Simões, A. F. Matias, V. C. Braz, D. Pasche, V. Meunier, J. Engmann, and N. A. M. Araújo, "Effect of temperature shocks on the caking of moisture-sensitive amorphous powders," *Powder Technology* **409** (2022), [10.1016/j.powtec.2022.117799](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.powtec.2022.117799).
- [13] K. J. Schrenk, N. Posé, J. J. Kranz, L. V. M. Van Kessenich, N. A. M. Araújo, and H. J. Herrmann, "Percolation with long-range correlated disorder," *Physical Review E* **88**, 052102 (2013).
- [14] D. A. Wallack and C. J. King, "Sticking and agglomeration of hygroscopic, amorphous carbohydrate and food powders," *Biotechnology Progress* **4**, 31–35 (1988).
- [15] N. A. M. Araújo and H. J. Herrmann, "Explosive percolation via control of the largest cluster," *Physical Review Letters* **105**, 2–5 (2010).
- [16] D. Stauffer and A. Aharony, *Introduction to Percolation Theory* (Taylor & Francis, 1985).
- [17] M. Sahimi, *Applications Of Percolation Theory*, 1st ed. (CRC Press, 1994).
- [18] N. A. M. Araújo, P. Grassberger, B. Kahng, K. J. Schrenk, and R. M. Ziff, "Recent advances and open challenges in percolation," *European Physical Journal: Special Topics* **223**, 2307 (2014).
- [19] R. M. Ziff and S. Torquato, "Percolation of disordered jammed sphere packings," *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* **50**, 8 (2017).