

BOUNDEDNESS AND MORAWETZ ESTIMATES ON SUBEXTREMAL KERR–DE SITTER

GEORGIOS MAVROGIANNIS

Department of Mathematics, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, NJ 08903 USA.

ABSTRACT. We study the Klein–Gordon equation $\square_{g_{a,M,l}}\psi - \mu_{KG}^2\psi = 0$ on subextremal Kerr–de Sitter black hole backgrounds with parameters (a, M, l) , where $l^2 = \frac{3}{\Lambda}$. We prove boundedness and Morawetz estimates assuming an appropriate mode stability statement for real frequency solutions of Carter’s radial ode. Our results in particular apply in the very slowly rotating case $|a| \ll M, l$, and in the case where the solution ψ is axisymmetric. This generalizes the work of Dafermos–Rodnianski [14] on Schwarzschild–de Sitter.

The boundedness and Morawetz results of the present paper will be used in our companion [43] to prove a ‘relatively non-degenerate integrated estimate’ for subextremal Kerr–de Sitter black holes (and as a consequence exponential decay). In a forthcoming paper [42], this will immediately yield nonlinear stability results for quasilinear wave equations on subextremal Kerr–de Sitter backgrounds.

CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	2
2.	The Kerr–de Sitter spacetime	9
3.	Properties of the Δ polynomial	15
4.	Preliminaries for the Klein–Gordon equation	20
5.	Red-shift and Superradiance	22
6.	Carter’s separation of variables	26
7.	The detailed version of Theorem 1	34
8.	A Morawetz estimate for sufficiently integrable functions	37
9.	The continuity argument	43
10.	Proof of the Morawetz estimate of Theorem 1	51
11.	Proof of the boundedness estimates of Theorem 1	51
12.	The axisymmetric case	55
13.	The critical points of the potential V_0	56
14.	The currents and the frequency localized multipliers	58
15.	The frequency regimes	60
16.	Fixed frequency analysis: Proof of Theorem 8.3	62
	Appendix A. Connectedness of the black hole parameter space \mathcal{B}_l	95
	Appendix B. Geodesic flow of Kerr–de Sitter and ∂_t -orthogonal trapped null geodesics	98
	References	101

E-mail address: gm758@math.rutgers.edu.

Date: March 31, 2025.

1. INTRODUCTION

A fundamental class of solutions of Einstein's equation with a positive cosmological constant $\Lambda > 0$, in the absence of matter

$$(1.1) \quad Ric[g] - \Lambda g = 0, \quad \Lambda > 0$$

is the Kerr-de Sitter family of black hole solutions

$$(1.2) \quad (\mathcal{M}, g_{a,M,l}),$$

see [7, 8]. These are the analogues of the Kerr black holes, which solve (1.1) with $\Lambda = 0$. The parameters (a, M, l) represent respectively the angular momentum per unit mass a , the mass M of the black hole and a quantity related to the cosmological constant $\Lambda > 0$ via

$$(1.3) \quad l \doteq \sqrt{\frac{3}{\Lambda}}.$$

The spacetime metric (1.2), in Boyer-Lindquist coordinates (t, r, θ, φ) , takes the form

$$(1.4) \quad g_{a,M,l} = \frac{\rho^2}{\Delta} dr^2 + \frac{\rho^2}{\Delta_\theta} d\theta^2 + \frac{\Delta_\theta(r^2 + a^2)^2 - \Delta a^2 \sin^2 \theta}{\Xi^2 \rho^2} \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2 - 2 \frac{\Delta_\theta(r^2 + a^2) - \Delta}{\Xi \rho^2} a \sin^2 \theta d\varphi dt - \frac{\Delta - \Delta_\theta a^2 \sin^2 \theta}{\rho^2} dt^2,$$

see already Section 2.3, with $\Delta_\theta = 1 + \frac{a^2}{l^2} \cos^2 \theta$, $\rho^2 = r^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \theta$, $\Xi = 1 + \frac{a^2}{l^2}$, where

$$(1.5) \quad \Delta(r) = (r^2 + a^2) \left(1 - \frac{r^2}{l^2} \right) - 2Mr.$$

Note that in the non-rotating case $a = 0$ the metric (1.4) reduces to the Schwarzschild-de Sitter metric

$$(1.6) \quad g_{M,\Lambda} = - \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r} - \frac{\Lambda}{3} r^2 \right) dt^2 + \frac{1}{1 - \frac{2M}{r} - \frac{\Lambda}{3} r^2} dr^2 + r^2 d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2},$$

where $d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2}$ is the standard metric of the unit sphere.

In this paper we revisit the study of the Klein-Gordon equation

$$(1.7) \quad \square_{g_{a,M,l}} \psi - \mu_{KG}^2 \psi = 0,$$

with mass $\mu_{KG}^2 \geq 0$ (the case $\mu_{KG} = 0$ is called the 'wave equation' and the case $\mu_{KG}^2 = \frac{2\Lambda}{3}$ the conformally coupled case) on a subextremal Kerr-de Sitter spacetime. We call the Kerr-de Sitter black hole triad (a, M, l) **subextremal**, when the polynomial Δ , see (1.5), attains four distinct real roots, see already Definition 2.2. The Klein-Gordon equation (1.7) has been extensively studied in the case $\Lambda \geq 0$ in recent years [53, 17, 19, 18, 20, 46, 52, 50, 44, 58, 60, 4]. For the study of the Klein-Gordon equation (1.7) on $\Lambda < 0$ spacetimes, see [37, 31, 35, 36, 59].

We prove boundedness and degenerate Morawetz estimates (Theorem 1) for solutions of the Klein-Gordon equation (1.7) with mass $\mu_{KG}^2 \geq 0$ on a subextremal Kerr-de Sitter spacetime, with parameters (a, M, l) , under the following condition:

(MS): mode stability on the real axis for Carter's radial ode holds on a curve in subextremal parameter space connecting (a, M) to the subextremal Schwarzschild-de Sitter family.

We will show moreover that (MS) holds in the very slowly rotating case $|a| \ll M, l$. This generalizes the work of Dafermos-Rodnianski [14] on Schwarzschild-de Sitter ($a = 0$) and is based on the framework of [17] which proved boundedness and Morawetz estimates for Kerr ($\Lambda = 0$). In the special case where ψ is axisymmetric our results hold for (a, M, l) in the full subextremal range.

To prove Theorem 1 we follow the strategy of [17], namely we reduce the problem to constructing suitable fixed frequency energy multipliers for Carter's ode, see already (1.12). Two major difficulties

are superradiance and trapping. We note that in the Kerr–de Sitter case, for certain black hole parameters, the spacetime admits trapping that corresponds to $\omega = 0$, $|m| \gg 1$ frequencies, unlike the asymptotically flat Kerr case where trapping occurs only for frequencies $|\omega| \gg 1$. To reduce the problem to an analysis of real frequencies, we also appeal to a continuity argument in the parameters a, M starting from Schwarzschild–de Sitter $a = 0$, see already Section 9 (this explains the precise form of the (MS) assumption). In contrast to the $\Lambda = 0$ case, it is not known if (MS) holds for all subextremal black hole parameters (a, M, l) , say for the important special cases $\mu_{KG}^2 = 0$ or $\mu_{KG}^2 = \frac{2\Lambda}{3}$. For general $\mu_{KG}^2 > 0$, then (MS) is not expected to hold for all subextremal (a, M, l) , see [53] for a mode instability result in the $\Lambda = 0$ case.

In our companion [43], we prove a ‘relatively non-degenerate’ integrated energy estimate for solutions of (1.7) under the condition (MS). For this, we introduce a novel operator \mathcal{G} , for the entire subextremal range of Kerr–de Sitter black holes, thus generalizing our previous work [44] on Schwarzschild–de Sitter, and we use this commutation in conjunction with the result of Theorem 1. Note that the commutation with \mathcal{G} had been previously studied by Holzegel–Kauffman in the $\Lambda = 0$ Schwarzschild case, see [32], to treat the wave equation with small first order error terms. Moreover, see the recent work [33] where the authors define a related \mathcal{G} commutation for the asymptotically flat $\Lambda = 0$ Kerr black hole. An immediate Corollary of the ‘relatively non-degenerate’ integrated estimate of our [43] is exponential decay for solutions of equation (1.7).

In our forthcoming [42], we use [43] to give an elementary proof of stability results for quasilinear wave equations on Kerr–de Sitter black hole backgrounds, assuming only (MS).

1.1. Previous results on Kerr(–de Sitter) backgrounds. We briefly mention some previous results for linear and non-linear waves on black hole backgrounds. For a more complete discussion, see our previous [44, 45].

Concerning linear results, in addition to [14] to be discussed extensively in Section 1.2, note the proof of exponential decay for solutions of the wave equation on Schwarzschild–de Sitter away from the event horizon \mathcal{H}^+ and the cosmological horizon \mathcal{H}^+ , by Bony–Häfner [5], and the proof of exponential decay for the solutions of the wave equation on Kerr–de Sitter up to and including the event horizon \mathcal{H}^+ and the cosmological horizon \mathcal{H}^+ , by Dyatlov [19, 18]. Moreover, we refer the reader to the following additional linear results [5, 4, 19, 18, 58, 60, 17, 62, 54, 56, 40, 22] and references therein. See especially the more recent work of Petersen–Vasy [48], as well as the partial mode stability result on Kerr–de Sitter of Casals–Teixeira da Costa [10] and the recent work of Hintz [26], which will be mentioned later in the paper. For a numerical study of modes on Kerr–de Sitter spacetime see the work [63], where in fact the authors did not find any growing mode solutions in the conformal case $\mu_{KG}^2 = \frac{2\Lambda}{3}$ for the full subextremal range.

Concerning non-linear results, note first the remarkable proof by Hintz–Vasy of non-linear stability of the slowly rotating Kerr–de Sitter black hole [30] and the more recent result of Fang [21]. Moreover, for the study of non-linear wave equations on $\Lambda > 0$ spacetimes we refer the reader to [30, 27, 28, 29, 45], and for non-linear wave equations on $\Lambda = 0$ spacetimes we refer the reader to [12, 38, 39, 13, 24] and references therein.

Finally, for the study of the wave equation on extremal black holes see the works of Aretakis and Gajic [2, 3, 23]. Moreover, for mode stability on extremal Kerr spacetimes see work of Teixeira da Costa [57].

1.2. Review of [14] and [17]. The present paper is a generalization of the results of Dafermos–Rodnianski [14] on Schwarzschild–de Sitter and also uses the strategy of proof of Dafermos–Rodnianski–Shlapentokh–Rothman on Kerr [17]. We review these results here.

1.2.1. The Morawetz (degenerate integrated decay) estimate of [14] on Schwarzschild–de Sitter. We define the following system of ‘special’ hyperboloidal coordinates

$$(1.8) \quad (\bar{t}, r, \theta, \phi)$$

that relate to the usual Schwarzschild–de Sitter coordinates (t, r, θ, ϕ) as follows:

$$(1.9) \quad \bar{t} = t - \int_{3M}^r \frac{\xi(r)}{1 - \frac{2M}{r} - \frac{\Lambda}{3}r^2}, \quad \xi(r) = \frac{1 - \frac{3M}{r}}{\sqrt{1 - 9M^2\Lambda}} \sqrt{1 + \frac{6M}{r}}.$$

For the definitions of the coordinates (1.8) also see our previous [44]. Moreover, note that $\{\bar{t} = \tau\}$, for $\tau \geq 0$, are spacelike hypersurfaces that connect the event horizon \mathcal{H}^+ with the cosmological horizon $\bar{\mathcal{H}}^+$ and foliate the causal past of the horizons $\mathcal{H}^+, \bar{\mathcal{H}}^+$. The specific choice of the coordinates (1.8) is also intimately connected to the nature of trapping at $r = 3M$. Note that at $r = 3M$ we have $g(\partial_{\bar{t}}, \partial_r) = 0$.

In [14], Dafermos–Rodnianski studied the solutions ψ of the wave equation ((1.7) with $\mu_{KG}^2 = 0$) and proved the following Morawetz type (degenerate integrated decay) energy estimate

$$(1.10) \quad \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} d\tau' \int_{\{\bar{t}=\tau'\}} (\partial_r \psi)^2 + \left(1 - \frac{3M}{r}\right)^2 ((\partial_{\bar{t}} \psi)^2 + |\nabla \psi|^2) \lesssim \int_{\{\bar{t}=\tau_1\}} (\partial_{\bar{t}} \psi)^2 + (\partial_r \psi)^2 + |\nabla \psi|^2,$$

for all $0 \leq \tau_1 \leq \tau_2$, where ∇ is the covariant derivative of the fixed r -spheres. The degeneration of (1.10) at the photon sphere $r = 3M$ is necessitated by the obstructions of [55, 51]. Remarkably, the Morawetz estimate (1.10) does not degenerate at the horizon, due to the redshift effect, which can be captured by a multiplier current, see [15].

Faster than any polynomial decay for solutions of the wave equation on a Schwarzschild–de Sitter background is an immediate corollary of the Morawetz estimate (1.10) suitable commutations and an iterative argument, see [17]. (We note, however, that exponential decay for solutions in Sobolev spaces does not follow immediately from (1.10).)

1.2.2. *The Morawetz estimate of [17] on $\Lambda = 0$ Kerr.* We here review the proof of the Morawetz estimate for the wave equation on subextremal Kerr exteriors of [17].

In [17] the authors used Carter’s separation of variables for the wave operator on Kerr, see [6]. Specifically, let r^* be the tortoise coordinate, see [17, 16], and $' = \frac{d}{dr^*}$. Carter’s radial ode reads

$$(1.11) \quad u'' + \left(\omega^2 - V(r^*, \omega, m, \lambda_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)})\right) u = H,$$

where ω denotes the time frequency, m denotes the azimuthal frequency and $\lambda_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)}$ denotes a frequency associated with the eigenvalues of the angular part of Carter’s separation, where $\ell \geq |m|$. Note that in [17] the error term H is associated with a time cut-off.

The paper [17] divided the frequency space $\{(\omega, m, \lambda_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)})\}$ into frequency regimes and constructed energy currents for the ode (1.11) in each of these regimes in order to prove frequency localised energy estimates. To treat the non-stationary bounded frequencies ($|\omega|, \lambda_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)} \sim 1$), the authors utilized a flux bound on the horizon, which holds for the entire subextremal family of Kerr black holes. They inferred this bound from a quantitative version of mode stability on the real axis $\text{Im } \omega = 0$, proved by Shlapentokh-Rothman [54]. (See already Proposition 6.7 for such an estimate in the setting of the present paper, given the assumption (MS).) For the original proof of mode stability on the upper half plane $\text{Im } \omega > 0$ see the seminal work of Whiting [62].

To justify the frequency analysis of [17], based entirely on real frequencies $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$, the authors appealed to a novel continuity argument in the rotation parameter a . Namely, the authors first proved their Morawetz estimate for ‘future integrable’ solutions of the wave equation, for which the Fourier inversion formula holds. Then, by utilizing a continuity argument in the rotation parameter a (starting from $a = 0$, for which the result indeed holds) they proved that all solutions of the wave equation that arise from compactly supported initial data are indeed future integrable and therefore the Morawetz estimate of [17] holds for all solutions, in the full subextremal range $|a| < M$.

Similarly to the estimate (1.10), the Morawetz estimate of [17] degenerates appropriately at an interval around $r = 3M$, but does not degenerate on the event horizon \mathcal{H}^+ , exploiting the redshift effect [15]. However, in contrast to estimate (1.10), the Morawetz estimate of [17] exhibits appropriate weights in r in the fluxes and spacetime integrals to capture the correct behavior at null infinity \mathcal{I}^+ .

1.3. Preliminaries for the statement and proof of Theorem 1. Before presenting the rough version of our main Theorem 1, and discussing the proof, see already Section 1.4, we first need several preliminaries.

1.3.1. *Carter's radial ode in Kerr-de Sitter.* Following [6, 35], we apply a cut-off to the solution ψ of the Klein–Gordon equation (1.7) with mass $\mu_{KG}^2 \geq 0$ and then decompose into real Fourier modes and then into spheroidal harmonics. As in the $\Lambda = 0$ case, Carter's separation of variables for the wave operator implies that the radial part u of the separated solution, for the Klein–Gordon equation (1.7), satisfies the ode

$$(1.12) \quad u'' + (\omega^2 - V)u = H$$

where $' = \frac{d}{dr^*}$, and r^* is the tortoise coordinate, see Section 2.5. Here

$$(1.13) \quad \omega \in \mathbb{R}, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}$$

are the Fourier frequencies with respect to the Boyer–Lindquist coordinates t, φ respectively, see already Section 6.5, and the potential V is real and takes the form

$$(1.14) \quad V = V_0 + V_{SL} + V_{\mu_{KG}}, \quad V_0 - \omega^2 = \frac{\Delta}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} \left(\lambda_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)} + (a\omega)^2 - 2m\omega a\Xi \right) - \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{r^2 + a^2} \right)^2,$$

where $V_{SL}, V_{\mu_{KG}}$ are frequency independent terms (the latter is associated with the Klein–Gordon mass) see Section 6.5, and $\lambda_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)} \in \mathbb{R}$ are the eigenvalues of the spheroidal harmonics, which for convenience are indexed by ℓ , where $\ell \geq |m|$. The inhomogeneous term H on the RHS of (1.12) comes from the cut-off.

As we shall see below the Fourier space decomposition allows us to capture the phenomena of trapping and superradiance at the level of modes and moreover allows us to rigorously formulate our mode stability condition (MS).

1.3.2. *An energy identity for the solution u .* Let

$$(1.15) \quad r_+, \quad \bar{r}_+$$

be the two largest roots of the polynomial Δ , see (1.5), which correspond to the event horizon \mathcal{H}^+ and cosmological horizon $\bar{\mathcal{H}}^+$ of Kerr–de Sitter respectively, see already the Penrose–Carter diagram of Figure 1. We have that $\lim_{r \rightarrow r_+} r^*(r) = -\infty$ and $\lim_{r \rightarrow \bar{r}_+} r^*(r) = +\infty$, see already Section 2.5.

We multiply the radial ode (1.12) with \bar{u} and after integration by parts we obtain

$$(1.16) \quad \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{\bar{r}_+^2 + a^2} \right) |u|^2(r^* = +\infty) + \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} \right) |u|^2(r^* = -\infty) = \text{Im}(\bar{u}H).$$

To derive the above we are using that the smoothness of the solution ψ and the geometry of the cut-off implies the following

$$(1.17) \quad u' = -i \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} \right) u, \quad \bar{u}' = i \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{\bar{r}_+^2 + a^2} \right) \bar{u},$$

at $r^* = -\infty, +\infty$ respectively, see already (6.28).

1.3.3. *Superradiant frequencies and mode stability.* Let

$$(1.18) \quad K_+ = \partial_t + \frac{a\Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} \partial_\varphi, \quad \bar{K}_+ = \partial_t + \frac{a\Xi}{\bar{r}_+^2 + a^2} \partial_\varphi$$

be the Hawking–Reall vector fields associated with the event horizon \mathcal{H}^+ and the cosmological horizon $\bar{\mathcal{H}}^+$ respectively, see already Section 2.8. (Note that in the $\Lambda = 0$ Kerr limit we have that $\bar{K}_+ = \partial_t$ since in the Kerr limit $\bar{r}_+ = +\infty$, see already Lemma 3.2.)

An explicit computation shows that the \bar{K}_+ energy flux along the event horizon \mathcal{H}^+ and the \bar{K}^+ flux along the cosmological horizon \bar{H}^+ are respectively given by

$$(1.19) \quad \int_{\mathcal{H}^+} K_+ \psi \overline{\bar{K}_+ \psi} = \int_{\mathcal{H}^+} (\partial_t \psi + \omega_+ \partial_\varphi \psi) \cdot \overline{(\partial_t \psi + \bar{\omega}_+ \partial_\varphi \psi)}, \quad \int_{\bar{\mathcal{H}}^+} |\bar{K}_+ \psi|^2 = \int_{\bar{\mathcal{H}}^+} |\partial_t \psi + \bar{\omega}_+ \partial_\varphi \psi|^2.$$

In particular, if we consider a solution of the form $\psi = e^{-i\omega t} e^{im\varphi} \psi_0(r, \theta)$ then the expressions (1.19) have different signs when the following expression is negative

$$(1.20) \quad \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} \right) \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{\bar{r}_+^2 + a^2} \right).$$

Therefore, we define the superradiant frequencies on Kerr-de Sitter to be

$$(1.21) \quad \mathcal{SF} = \left\{ (\omega, m) : am\omega \in \left(\frac{a^2 m^2 \Xi}{\bar{r}_+^2 + a^2}, \frac{a^2 m^2 \Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} \right) \right\}$$

or equivalently $\mathcal{SF} = \left\{ (\omega, m) : \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} \right) \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{\bar{r}_+^2 + a^2} \right) < 0 \right\}$. We note that if $a = 0$ then $\mathcal{SF} = \emptyset$. Moreover, we note that for any $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $(\omega, 0) \notin \mathcal{SF}$; i.e. axisymmetric frequencies are not superradiant.

For the superradiant frequencies (1.21), the left hand side of the energy identity (1.16) is not coercive. As a result, for such frequencies, we cannot conclude that “mode stability holds on the real axis”, i.e. the absence of non trivial real frequency solutions of (1.12) with $H = 0$ and satisfying the boundary conditions (1.17). To make this more precise, we denote as $\mathcal{W}(\omega, m, \ell, \mu_{KG}^2)$ the Wronskian associated with ingoing and outgoing solutions, at the event \mathcal{H}^+ and cosmological horizon $\bar{\mathcal{H}}^+$ respectively, of the homogeneous ($H = 0$) radial ode (1.12) of Kerr-de Sitter, see already Section 6.8. Note that

$$(1.22) \quad \mathcal{W}(a, M, l, \mu_{KG}, \omega, m, \ell) = 0$$

where $\omega \neq \frac{a\Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} m$, $\omega \neq \frac{a\Xi}{\bar{r}_+^2 + a^2} m$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}, \ell \geq |m|$, precisely when there exists a nontrivial mode solution with frequencies ω, m, ℓ . Thus, mode stability on the real axis is equivalent to the statement that (1.22) does not hold for any $\omega \neq \frac{a\Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} m$, $\omega \neq \frac{a\Xi}{\bar{r}_+^2 + a^2} m$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}, \ell \geq |m|$. Note however that for the case $\omega = m = 0$ there do exist trivial mode solutions that correspond to constant solutions of the wave equation (1.7) (with $\mu_{KG} = 0$). (Furthermore, we treat the near borderline superradiant frequencies $0 \leq |\omega - \frac{a\Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} m| \ll 1$ and $0 \leq |\omega - \frac{a\Xi}{\bar{r}_+^2 + a^2} m| \ll 1$ with the estimate of Proposition 16.6, where we immediately obtain that there are no real mode solutions.)

It is an open problem to prove mode stability for the wave equation, $\mu_{KG}^2 = 0$, or the conformal wave equation, $\mu_{KG}^2 = \frac{2\Lambda}{3}$, on Kerr-de Sitter in the spirit of [62, 54]. One does not expect mode stability to hold for general mass $\mu_{KG}^2 > 0$, see the work on the Klein-Gordon equation on asymptotically flat Kerr [53]. However, note the partial mode stability result of Casals-Teixeira da Costa [10] and the recent result of Hintz [26], which we will refer to later.

1.4. The rough version of Theorem 1. The reader familiar with the Carter-Penrose diagrammatic representation may wish to refer to Figure 1.

In the present paper we are concerned with the region

$$(1.23) \quad \{r_+ \leq r \leq \bar{r}_+\}.$$

We will fix a system of coordinates

$$(1.24) \quad (t^*, r, \theta^*, \varphi^*) \in \mathbb{R}_{t^*} \times [r_+, \bar{r}_+]_r \times \mathbb{S}_{(\theta^*, \varphi^*)}^2$$

which we term modified Kerr-de Sitter star coordinates (see already Section 2 for their definition) covering (1.23). Moreover, for any $\tau \geq 0$ the set

$$(1.25) \quad \{t^* = \tau\}$$




FIGURE 1. Carter–Penrose diagram of Kerr–de Sitter

will be a Cauchy hypersurface for $\{t^* \geq \tau\}$ that connects the event horizon \mathcal{H}^+ and the cosmological horizon $\bar{\mathcal{H}}^+$. Also, let

$$(1.26) \quad n$$

denote the future unit normal to the foliation $\{t^* = \tau\}$.

We present the rough version of our boundedness and Morawetz estimate, where for the detailed version of Theorem 1 see already Section 7.

Theorem 1 (rough version). *Let $l > 0$, $\mu_{KG}^2 \geq 0$ and let (a, M, l) be subextremal Kerr–de Sitter black hole parameters. Moreover, assume that the following condition holds*

(MS): *mode stability on the real axis for Carter’s radial ode (1.12) holds on a curve in subextremal parameter space connecting (a, M) to the subextremal Schwarzschild–de Sitter family.*

Let ψ be a solution of the Klein–Gordon equation (1.7). Then, we have the following energy estimates

$$(1.27) \quad \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} d\tau' \int_{\{t^*=\tau'\}} \mu_{KG}^2 |\psi|^2 + |Z^* \psi|^2 + \zeta_{trap}(r) (|\nabla \psi|^2 + (\partial_{t^*} \psi)^2) \lesssim \int_{\{t^*=\tau_1\}} |Z^* \psi|^2 + |\partial_t \psi|^2 + |\nabla \psi|^2 + \mu_{KG}^2 |\psi|^2,$$

$$(1.28) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\{t^*=\tau_2\}} |Z^* \psi|^2 + |\partial_{t^*} \psi|^2 + |\nabla \psi|^2 + \mu_{KG}^2 |\psi|^2 &\lesssim \int_{\{t^*=\tau_1\}} |Z^* \psi|^2 + |\partial_t \psi|^2 + |\nabla \psi|^2 + \mu_{KG}^2 |\psi|^2, \\ \int_{\{t^*=\tau_2\}} |Z^* \psi|^2 + |\partial_t \psi|^2 + |\nabla \psi|^2 + |\psi|^2 &\lesssim \int_{\{t^*=\tau_1\}} |Z^* \psi|^2 + |\partial_t \psi|^2 + |\nabla \psi|^2 + |\psi|^2, \end{aligned}$$

for all $0 \leq \tau_1 \leq \tau_2$, where Z^* is a regular spacelike vector field on $\{t^* \geq 0\}$ that extends smoothly on the horizons where it is linearly independent from the generators, and $\zeta_{trap}(r) = (1 - 1_{[R^-, R^+]}) \left(1 - \frac{R^- + R^+}{r}\right)^2$, where $[R^-, R^+] \subset (r_+, \bar{r}_+)$ and $R^- = R^+ = 3M$ for $a = 0$.

Moreover, if the solution of the Klein–Gordon equation (1.7) is axisymmetric $\partial_{\varphi^*} \psi = 0$, then we also have the estimates (1.27), (1.28), with $R^- = R^+$, without assuming the condition (MS).

Note the following remarks

Remark 1.1. *We emphasize that the condition (MS) concerns only Carter’s homogeneous fixed frequency radial ode (1.12) for real frequencies $(\omega, m, \ell) \in \mathbb{R} \times \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \{m\} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq |m|}$. It does not depend thus on the completeness properties of the angular eigenvalues for complex frequencies.*

Remark 1.2. By Proposition 6.6, for any fixed $\mu_{KG}^2 \geq 0$, the set of black hole parameters for which (MS) holds includes $a = 0$. Moreover, by Proposition 9.3, this set is open. Therefore, (MS) in particular holds in the slowly rotating case $|a| \ll M, l$, where the constant implicit in \ll depends only on μ_{KG} . Furthermore, in view of the recent work of Hintz [26] for $\mu_{KG}^2 = 0$, we have that (MS) also holds in the case $0 \leq |a| < M \ll l$, where the constant depends on $M - |a|$.

Remark 1.3. We do not expect that the condition (MS) holds for all Klein–Gordon masses $\mu_{KG}^2 > 0$, see for example the construction [53] of real mode solutions for the Klein–Gordon equation in subextremal Kerr.

1.5. Discussion of the proof. We give some comments about the proof, highlighting especially some differences from [17].

1.5.1. The de Sitter frequencies and trapping. If the ergoregion is connected and non-empty, namely when

$$(1.29) \quad \max_{r \in [r_+, \bar{r}_+]} \frac{\Delta}{a^2} \leq 1, \quad |a| \neq 0,$$

where for Δ see (1.5), then there exists a complete ∂_t orthogonal trapped null geodesic, and it never intersects the event horizon \mathcal{H}^+ or the cosmological horizon \mathcal{H}^+ (see Appendix B). This geometric phenomenon is not present in the $\Lambda = 0$ case, where note that in [17] the authors prove that in fact there can be no ∂_t orthogonal trapped null geodesic, for any subextremal black hole parameters.

This phenomenon can be seen at the fixed frequency analysis of (1.12) near $\omega = 0$, for high azimuthal frequencies $|m| \gg 1$, where trapping again corresponds to a maximum of the potential V , see (1.14), such that $\max V \approx \omega^2$. This is in sharp contrast to the Kerr case, see [17], where trapping takes place only for large time frequencies $|\omega| \gg 1$. Specifically, the existence of a ∂_t orthogonal geodesic for appropriate Kerr–de Sitter black hole parameters, see Section B, necessitates that our fixed frequency estimates in the ‘de Sitter frequencies’ :

$$(1.30) \quad \mathcal{DSF} = \left\{ (\omega, m) : |\omega| \in \left[0, \frac{|am|\Xi}{\bar{r}_+^2 + a^2} \right] \right\}$$

will degenerate appropriately. See Section 16.3 for estimates in this frequency range.

The interior of the frequency set (1.30) is empty in the $\Lambda = 0$ Kerr case. Moreover, the interior of the frequency set (1.30) is empty in the Schwarzschild de Sitter case if $a = 0$. In the very slowly rotating case $|a| \ll M, l$, we note that there is no trapping in this range.

1.5.2. The continuity argument. As mentioned earlier, since we rely entirely on real frequency analysis $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$, the proof of Theorem 1 relies on a continuity argument in the black hole parameters, see Section 9, which is inspired by the relevant continuity argument of [17].

Note that the set of subextremal black hole parameters for which mode stability on the real axis holds may fail to be connected for given $\mu_{KG}^2 \geq 0$, since mode stability for the full subextremal family has not been proven in the Kerr–de Sitter case. Therefore, in the condition (MS) we must restrict to black hole parameters for which mode stability on the real axis holds on an entire curve in parameter space connecting (a, M) to the Schwarzschild de Sitter family. See Definition 7.1.

1.5.3. Constant solutions when $\mu_{KG}^2 = 0$. In the case $\mu_{KG}^2 = 0$ constant functions are solutions of the wave equation (1.7). Thus, in this case one does not have integrated decay for zeroth order terms, see (1.27), and fixed frequency estimates near $\omega = 0$ (when $m = 0$) take on a different form, see Section 16, from the relevant ode estimate of [17].

To generate zeroth order boundary terms on future hypersurfaces, see the second estimate of (1.28), we use currents for twisted derivatives, see Section 4.4.

1.6. Outline. We here present an outline of the structure of our paper.

In Section 2 we give all the necessary background for the Kerr–de Sitter spacetime, including the coordinates we use, the ergoregion of Kerr–de Sitter, volume forms, spacetime domains and hypersurfaces, and a useful global causal vector field.

In Section 3 we gather properties of the Δ polynomial, which we use throughout the paper.

In Section 4 we give all the necessary background on the Klein–Gordon equation (1.7) on a Kerr–de Sitter black hole.

In Section 5 we construct the redshift vector fields and prove redshift estimates.

In Section 6 we present Carter’s separation of variables in Kerr–de Sitter. Specifically, we discuss in detail the angular ode, the eigenvalues of the angular ode, the radial ode, the necessary Parseval identities, and the Wronskian of the radial ode. This allows us to formulate (MS).

In Section 7 we present the detailed version of our main Theorem 1.

In Section 8 we prove Theorem 8.1, which concerns an inhomogeneous Morawetz estimate for sufficiently integrable functions in the full subextremal parameter range, without the restriction (MS), but with an extra horizon flux term on the right hand side. Essential in the proof of Theorem 8.1 is the fixed frequency Theorem 8.3. The proof of the fixed frequency Theorem 8.3 is deferred, however, to Section 16.

In Section 9 we prove Theorem 9.1 with the use of a continuity argument. Specifically, Theorem 9.1 states that, under the restriction (MS), all solutions of the Klein–Gordon equation (1.7) are in fact ‘future integrable’.

In Section 10 we prove the Morawetz estimate of our main Theorem 1, by combining Corollary 8.2 and Theorem 9.1.

In Section 11 we prove the boundedness estimates of our main Theorem 1.

In Section 12 we prove the Morawetz and boundedness estimates of our main Theorem 1, for axisymmetric solutions of the Klein–Gordon equation (1.7), for the full subextremal range without the restriction (MS).

The Sections 13, 14, 15 are auxilliary in the proof of the fixed frequency Theorem 8.3, which is proved in Section 16. Specifically, in Section 13 we study the critical points of the potential V_0 . In Section 14 we present fixed frequency currents. In Section 15 we define the frequency regimes. In Section 16 we finally prove the fixed frequency Theorem 8.3, as a Corollary of a more general statement, see Theorem 16.1. Specifically, to prove Theorem 16.1, we integrate appropriately chosen currents as in Section 14 in the frequency regimes of Section 15. The estimates of Section 16 should be thought of as the heart of our argument.

In Appendix A we prove that the set of subextremal Kerr–de Sitter black hole parameters \mathcal{B}_l , for any $l > 0$, is connected.

In Appendix B we prove that for appropriate subextremal Kerr–de Sitter black hole parameters (a, M, l) there exist trapped null and ∂_t -orthogonal geodesics.

1.7. Acknowledgments. The author would like to thank his PhD supervisor M. Dafermos for introducing him to this problem and for his invaluable suggestions and comments throughout the author’s PhD studies.

A preliminary version of the results of the current paper were outlined in my thesis [41], while the author was a PhD student at DPMMS Cambridge and a resident of Fitzwilliam College Cambridge.

2. THE KERR-DE SITTER SPACETIME

We define the background manifold and the black hole horizons.

Definition 2.1. *We define the ‘fixed’ coordinates*

$$(2.1) \quad (y^*, t^*, \theta^*, \varphi^*)$$

on the manifold $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^2$. We define the event horizon and the cosmological horizon respectively as

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{H}^+ \doteq \{y^* = 0\}, \quad \bar{\mathcal{H}}^+ \doteq \{y^* = 1\}.$$

We define the following Kerr-de Sitter background manifold with boundary

$$(2.3) \quad \mathcal{M} \doteq [0, 1]_{y^*} \times \mathbb{R}_{t^*} \times \mathbb{S}_{(\theta^*, \varphi^*)}^2.$$

2.1. The Δ polynomial and the subextremal parameters. Let $(a, M, l) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+$. We define the function

$$(2.4) \quad \Delta(r) \doteq (r^2 + a^2) \left(1 - \frac{r^2}{l^2} \right) - 2Mr,$$

viewed as a polynomial in $r \in \mathbb{R}$, also see Figure 2.




FIGURE 2. The Δ polynomial with 4 real roots

We next define the subextremal set of Kerr-de Sitter black hole parameters.

Definition 2.2. Let $l > 0$. We define the open set

$$(2.5) \quad \mathcal{B}_l \doteq \{(a, M) \in \mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty) : \Delta \text{ attains four distinct real roots}\}.$$

If $l > 0$ and $(a, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l$ we say that the black hole parameters (a, M, l) correspond to a subextremal Kerr-de Sitter black hole and denote as

$$(2.6) \quad \bar{r}_-(a, M, l) < 0 \leq r_-(a, M, l) < r_+(a, M, l) < \bar{r}_+(a, M, l)$$

the four distinct real roots of the Δ polynomial. Note that in the Schwarzschild-de Sitter case ($a = 0$) we have $r_- = 0$.

Note the following lemma

Lemma 2.1. Let $l > 0$. Then, the set \mathcal{B}_l , see Definition 2.2, is connected.

Proof. See Appendix A. □

2.2. Modified Kerr-de Sitter-star coordinates. We define the modified Kerr-de Sitter star coordinates, which cover the entire background manifold \mathcal{M} of Definition 2.1.

Definition 2.3. Let $l > 0$ and $(a, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l$. We choose a smooth map

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} r : \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \mathcal{B}_l \times [0, 1] &\rightarrow [r_+(a, M, l), \bar{r}_+(a, M, l)] \\ (l, a, M, y^*) &\mapsto r(a, M, l, y^*) \in [r_+(a, M, l), \bar{r}_+(a, M, l)], \end{aligned}$$

such that for fixed l, a, M the map is a diffeomorphism $[0, 1] \rightarrow [r_+, \bar{r}_+]$. Then, we define the modified Kerr-de Sitter star coordinates to be

$$(2.8) \quad (r, t^*, \theta^*, \varphi^*).$$

The Kerr-de Sitter manifold \mathcal{M} , of Definition 2.1, can now be written as

$$(2.9) \quad \mathcal{M} = [r_+, \bar{r}_+]_r \times \mathbb{R}_{t^*} \times \mathbb{S}_{(\theta^*, \varphi^*)}^2,$$

where

$$(2.10) \quad \mathcal{H}^+ \doteq \{r = r_+\}, \quad \bar{\mathcal{H}}^+ \doteq \{r = \bar{r}_+\}.$$

We have the following remarks

Remark 2.1. Note from Definition 2.1 that the Kerr-de Sitter star vector fields $\partial_{t^*}, \partial_{\varphi^*}$ are independent of the black hole parameters (a, M, l) .

Remark 2.2. Note that the leaves

$$(2.11) \quad \{t^* = c\}$$

of the modified Kerr-de Sitter star coordinates of Definition 2.3 connect the event horizon \mathcal{H}^+ with the cosmological horizon $\bar{\mathcal{H}}^+$. Moreover, note that the leaves $\{t^* = c\}$ are independent of the black hole parameters (a, M, l) . This will be useful later in the continuity argument, see already Section 9.

2.3. Boyer-Lindquist coordinates. We need the following definition

Definition 2.4. Let $l > 0$ and $(a, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l$. Then, we define

$$(2.12) \quad \rho^2 \doteq r^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \theta, \quad \Delta_\theta \doteq 1 + \frac{a^2}{l^2} \cos^2 \theta, \quad \Xi \doteq 1 + \frac{a^2}{l^2}.$$

We define the Boyer-Lindquist coordinates

Definition 2.5. Let $l > 0$ and $(a, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l$. Moreover, let $\epsilon_{BL}(a, M, l) > 0$ be sufficiently small. We define the Boyer-Lindquist coordinates

$$(2.13) \quad (t, r, \theta, \varphi)$$

on \mathcal{M}^o as follows

$$(2.14) \quad t = t^* + A(r; l, a, M), \quad \varphi = \varphi^* + B(r; l, a, M) \pmod{2\pi}, \quad \theta = \theta^*.$$

Here, $A(r), B(r)$ satisfy

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{dA}{dr} &= -\frac{r^2 + a^2}{\Delta}, & \frac{dB}{dr} &= -\frac{a\Xi}{\Delta}, & r &\in (r_+, r_+ + \epsilon_{BL}), \\ \frac{dA}{dr} &= \frac{r^2 + a^2}{\Delta}, & \frac{dB}{dr} &= \frac{a\Xi}{\Delta}, & r &\in (\bar{r}_+ - \epsilon_{BL}, \bar{r}_+), \end{aligned}$$

and we extend $A(r(y^*); l, a, M), B(r(y^*); l, a, M)$ to $(0, 1) \times \bigcup_{l>0} (\{l\} \times \mathcal{B}_l)$ in a smooth manner such that the hypersurfaces $\{t^* = c\}$ are spacelike with respect to the metric $g_{a, M, l}$, see already Definition 2.6.

We now define the Kerr-de Sitter metric in Boyer-Lindquist coordinates to be

Definition 2.6. Let $l > 0$ and $(a, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l$. We define the Kerr-de Sitter metric as

$$(2.16) \quad \begin{aligned} g_{a, M, l} &= \frac{\rho^2}{\Delta} dr^2 + \frac{\rho^2}{\Delta_\theta} d\theta^2 + \frac{\Delta_\theta(r^2 + a^2)^2 - \Delta a^2 \sin^2 \theta}{\Xi^2 \rho^2} \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2 - 2 \frac{\Delta_\theta(r^2 + a^2) - \Delta}{\Xi \rho^2} a \sin^2 \theta d\varphi dt \\ &\quad - \frac{\Delta - \Delta_\theta a^2 \sin^2 \theta}{\rho^2} dt^2, \end{aligned}$$

where Δ has been defined in (1.5), and also see Definition 2.4 for $\Xi, \rho^2, \Delta_\theta$. The metric (2.16) extends smoothly to \mathcal{M} and moreover the metric depends smoothly on a, M for fixed l .

Note that the vector field $W = \partial_t + \frac{a\Xi}{r^2+a^2}\partial_\varphi$ is timelike away from the horizons, see already Lemma 2.2. We time orient \mathcal{M} by the vector W .

In view of the form of the metric $g_{a,M,l}$, we may deduce that the event horizon \mathcal{H}^+ and the cosmological horizon $\bar{\mathcal{H}}^+$ are null hypersurfaces and moreover are the future boundaries of the manifold \mathcal{M} .

Remark 2.3. Note the identities $\partial_t = \partial_{t^*}, \partial_\varphi = \partial_{\varphi^*}$.

2.4. The ergoregion in Kerr–de Sitter. We define the ergoregion of the Kerr–de Sitter spacetime to be

$$(2.17) \quad \text{Ergo} \doteq \{g(\partial_t, \partial_t) > 0\} = \{\Delta - \Delta_\theta a^2 \sin^2 \theta < 0\}.$$

Remark 2.4. In Section B we will prove that ∂_t orthogonal trapping takes place in Kerr–de Sitter if and only if the ergoregion is connected and non empty, namely when

$$(2.18) \quad \max_{r \in [r_+, \bar{r}_+]} \frac{\Delta}{a^2} \leq 1, \quad |a| \neq 0.$$

2.5. Tortoise coordinate. Let $l > 0$ and $(a, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l$. We define the tortoise coordinate $r^* : (r_+, \bar{r}_+) \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty)$ as follows

$$(2.19) \quad \frac{dr^*}{dr} = \frac{r^2 + a^2}{\Delta(r)},$$

where for $\Delta(r)$ see (2.4), with $r^*(r_{\Delta, \text{frac}}) = 0$, where

$$(2.20) \quad r_{\Delta, \text{frac}} \in (r_+, \bar{r}_+)$$

is the unique local maximum of

$$(2.21) \quad \frac{\Delta}{(r^2 + a^2)^2},$$

see already Lemma 3.3. We note that $\lim_{r \rightarrow r_+} r^*(r) = -\infty$ and $\lim_{r \rightarrow \bar{r}_+} r^*(r) = +\infty$, and moreover note that $r^*(r)$ is a diffeomorphism mapping $(r_+, \bar{r}_+) \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty)$.

We will often use the primed notation

$$(2.22) \quad ' = \frac{d}{dr^*}$$

for the r^* -derivative. Finally, for a value $\alpha \in (r_+, \bar{r}_+)$, we will often use the notation

$$(2.23) \quad \alpha^* = r^*(\alpha).$$

2.6. The regular vector field Z^* . Let $l > 0$ and $(a, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l$. Let $\partial_r|_{\text{star}}$ be the coordinate vector field of the modified Kerr–de Sitter star coordinates $(t^*, r, \theta^*, \varphi^*)$, see Definition 2.3, and $\partial_r|_{BL}$ be the coordinate vector field of the Boyer–Lindquist coordinates (t, r, θ, φ) , see Definition 2.5. We will define here a hybrid vector field.

For a sufficiently small

$$(2.24) \quad \epsilon_{\text{hyb}}(a, M, l) > 0,$$

we choose a smooth cut-off that satisfies

$$(2.25) \quad \chi_{\text{hyb}}(r) = \begin{cases} 0, & r \in (r_+, r_+ + \epsilon_{\text{hyb}}) \cup (\bar{r}_+ - \epsilon_{\text{hyb}}, \bar{r}_+), \\ 1, & r \in (r_+ + 2\epsilon_{\text{hyb}}, \bar{r}_+ - 2\epsilon_{\text{hyb}}). \end{cases}$$

Then, we define the hybrid vector field

$$(2.26) \quad Z^* = (1 - \chi_{\text{hyb}}(r))\partial_r|_{\text{star}} + \chi_{\text{hyb}}(r)\partial_r|_{BL}.$$

It is easy to see from the Definitions 2.3, 2.5 of the Kerr–de Sitter star coordinates and the Boyer–Lindquist coordinates respectively and from the definition of the Kerr–de Sitter metric, see Definition 2.6, that for a sufficiently small $\epsilon_{hyb}(a, M, l) > 0$, the vector field Z^* is spacelike. Also see the relevant computation of [19].

The vector field Z^* coincides with the tortoise coordinate vector field $\partial_r|_{BL}$, of Boyer–Lindquist coordinates, in the region $r \in [R^-, R^+]$, where for R^\pm see Theorem 1.

The hybrid vector field (2.26) is translation invariant and regular on the event horizon \mathcal{H}^+ and on the cosmological horizon $\bar{\mathcal{H}}^+$. The significance of Z^* will be that the derivatives $(Z^*\psi)^2$ do not degenerate in the integrand of the Morawetz estimate (1.27) of Theorem 1.

2.7. Spacelike hypersurfaces and their normal vector fields. A prototype spacelike hypersurface that connects the event horizon \mathcal{H}^+ with the cosmological horizon $\bar{\mathcal{H}}^+$ is

$$(2.27) \quad \{t^* = 0\}.$$

For any $\tau \geq 0$ the hypersurfaces

$$(2.28) \quad \{t^* = \tau\}$$

are Cauchy for their future and connect the event horizon \mathcal{H}^+ with the cosmological horizon $\bar{\mathcal{H}}^+$. We denote as

$$(2.29) \quad n_{\{t^*=\tau\}}$$

the future directed unit normal of the hypersurfaces $\{t^* = \tau\}$, which we often simply denote as

$$(2.30) \quad n.$$

2.8. Hawking–Reall vector fields. We define the null normals of the event horizon \mathcal{H}^+ and the cosmological horizon $\bar{\mathcal{H}}^+$ respectively as the Hawking–Reall vector fields

$$(2.31) \quad K = \partial_{t^*} + \frac{a\Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} \partial_{\varphi^*}, \quad \bar{K} = \partial_{t^*} + \frac{a\Xi}{\bar{r}_+^2 + a^2} \partial_{\varphi^*}.$$

When there is no risk of confusion with (2.30) we will denote the normal vector fields (2.31) simply as

$$(2.32) \quad n.$$

2.9. Causal domains. We define certain causal spacetime domains.

Definition 2.7. *We define*

$$(2.33) \quad D(\tau_1, \tau_2) \doteq \{\tau_1 \leq t^* \leq \tau_2\}, \quad D(\tau_1, \infty) \doteq \{t^* \geq \tau_1\},$$

where for the hypersurfaces $\{t^* = \tau\}$ see Section 2.7.

We here extend the domain of Definition 2.7.

Definition 2.8. *Let $l > 0$ and $(a, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l$. Let $\delta > 0$ be sufficiently small. We define the extended manifold*

$$(2.34) \quad \mathcal{M}_\delta = [r_+ - \delta, \bar{r}_+ + \delta]_r \times \mathbb{R}_{t^*} \times \mathbb{S}_{(\theta^*, \varphi^*)}^2,$$

with respect to the Kerr–de Sitter star coordinates $(t^*, r, \theta^*, \varphi^*)$ see Section 4.4. The Kerr–de Sitter metric (2.16) extends smoothly to \mathcal{M}_δ by its analytic expression near r_+, \bar{r}_+ in Kerr–de Sitter star coordinates. Note that the hypersurfaces $\{t^* = c\}$ in \mathcal{M}_δ are spacelike for sufficiently small $\delta > 0$. We define the spacelike boundaries of the manifold \mathcal{M}_δ to be

$$(2.35) \quad \mathcal{H}_\delta^+ = \{r = r_+ - \delta, t^* > -\infty\}, \quad \bar{\mathcal{H}}_\delta^+ = \{r = \bar{r}_+ + \delta, t^* > -\infty\}.$$

Now, we define the extended causal domains

$$(2.36) \quad D_\delta(\tau_1, \tau_2) \doteq \{\tau_1 \leq t^* \leq \tau_2\} \subset \mathcal{M}_\delta, \quad D_\delta(\tau_1, +\infty) \doteq \{t^* \geq \tau_1\} \subset \mathcal{M}_\delta.$$

2.10. The induced metric on the r -sphere and its covariant derivative. We use

$$(2.37) \quad \not{g}, \quad \not{\nabla}, \quad d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2}$$

to denote respectively the induced $g_{a,M,l}$ metric on the \mathbb{S}^2 factors of \mathcal{M} , the covariant derivative with respect to \not{g} and the standard metric of the unit sphere.

2.11. Volume forms and notation. The spacetime volume form is

$$(2.38) \quad dg = v(r, \theta) dt^* dr d\not{g} = \frac{\Delta}{r^2 + a^2} v(r, \theta) dt^* dr^* d\not{g},$$

and $d\not{g} = v(r, \theta) \frac{\rho^2}{\Xi} \sin \theta d\theta d\varphi$ with $v(r, \theta) \sim 1$, where for $\Delta, \rho^2 = r^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \theta$ see (2.4) and Definition 2.4 respectively.

We denote the induced volume form of the spacelike hypersurface $\{t^* = \tau\}$ as

$$(2.39) \quad dg_{\{t^* = \tau\}}.$$

It can be characterised as the unique 3-form such that

$$(2.40) \quad dg = n_{\{t^* = \tau\}} \wedge dg_{\{t^* = \tau\}},$$

where $n_{\{t^* = \tau\}}$ is the unit normal of the leaf $\{t^* = \tau\}$ see Section 2.7. Note that the volume form (2.39), can be written as

$$(2.41) \quad dg_{\{t^* = \tau\}} = v(r, \theta) dr d\theta^* d\varphi^*,$$

with $v(r, \theta) \sim r \sin \theta$, where the constant in the similarity depends only on the black hole parameters (a, M, l) and does not degenerate in the Kerr limit $l \rightarrow +\infty$.

We denote the volume forms of the null hypersurfaces $\mathcal{H}^+, \bar{\mathcal{H}}^+$ respectively as

$$(2.42) \quad dg_{\mathcal{H}^+}, \quad dg_{\bar{\mathcal{H}}^+}$$

where these are defined to be the unique 3-forms such that

$$(2.43) \quad dg = (K^+)^{\flat} \wedge dg_{\mathcal{H}^+}, \quad dg = (\bar{K}^+)^{\flat} \wedge dg_{\bar{\mathcal{H}}^+},$$

where \flat here is the flat-musical isomorphism with respect to the Kerr-de Sitter metric, see (2.16), and K, \bar{K} are the Hawking-Reall vector fields of Section 2.8.

From this point onward, when we integrate over the hypersurfaces

$$(2.44) \quad \{t^* = \tau\}, \quad \mathcal{H}^+, \quad \bar{\mathcal{H}}^+$$

the volume forms (2.39), (2.42) respectively are to be understood, if no volume form is explicitly denoted. Similarly, when we integrate over spacetime the volume form (2.38) is to be understood, if no volume form is explicitly denoted.

2.12. Coarea formula. Let f be a continuous non-negative function. Then, note that the following holds

$$(2.45) \quad \int \int_{D(\tau_1, \tau_2)} \frac{1}{r} f dg \sim \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} d\tau \int_{\{t^* = \tau\}} f dg_{\{t^* = \tau\}},$$

where for the volume forms of the integrals of (2.45) see Section 2.11. (Note that the constants in the similarity of inequality (2.45), with the inclusion of the $\frac{1}{r}$ factor, do not degenerate in the Kerr limit $l = \infty$.)

2.13. **The future oriented vector field W .** Note the following Lemma

Lemma 2.2. *Let $l > 0$ and $(a, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l$. The vector field*

$$(2.46) \quad W \doteq \partial_{t^*} + \frac{a\Xi}{r^2 + a^2} \partial_{\varphi^*},$$

is timelike in $\{r_+ < r < \bar{r}_+\}$ and null on the event horizon \mathcal{H}^+ and on the cosmological horizon $\bar{\mathcal{H}}^+$.

Proof. Consider a vector field of the form

$$(2.47) \quad \partial_{t^*} + f(r)\partial_{\varphi^*},$$

for a smooth $f(r)$. We compute the following

$$(2.48) \quad \begin{aligned} &g(\partial_{t^*} + f(r)\partial_{\varphi^*}, \partial_{t^*} + f(r)\partial_{\varphi^*}) \\ &= \frac{1}{\rho^2} \left(-(\Delta - \Delta_\theta a^2 \sin^2 \theta) - \frac{2}{\Xi} f(r) (\Delta_\theta (r^2 + a^2) - \Delta) a \sin^2 \theta + \frac{1}{\Xi^2} f^2(r) (\Delta_\theta (r^2 + a^2)^2 - \Delta a^2 \sin^2 \theta) \sin^2 \theta \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\rho^2} \left(\Delta + \sin^2 \theta \left(\Delta_\theta \left(a - \frac{r^2 + a^2}{\Xi} f(r) \right)^2 + \frac{2}{\Xi} f(r) \Delta a - \frac{1}{\Xi^2} f^2(r) \Delta a^2 \sin^2 \theta \right) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\rho^2} \left(-\Delta + \sin^2 \theta \frac{2}{\Xi} f(r) \Delta a + \sin^2 \theta \left(\Delta_\theta \left(a - \frac{r^2 + a^2}{\Xi} f(r) \right)^2 - \frac{1}{\Xi^2} f^2(r) \Delta a^2 \sin^2 \theta \right) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\rho^2 = r^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \theta$, $\Delta_\theta \doteq 1 + \frac{a^2}{l^2} \cos^2 \theta$, see Definition 2.4. Therefore, for

$$(2.49) \quad f(r) = \frac{a\Xi}{r^2 + a^2},$$

equation (2.48) implies

$$(2.50) \quad g(\partial_{t^*} + \frac{a\Xi}{r^2 + a^2} \partial_{\varphi^*}, \partial_{t^*} + \frac{a\Xi}{r^2 + a^2} \partial_{\varphi^*}) = \frac{\Delta}{\rho^2} \left(-1 + \sin^2 \theta \frac{2a^2}{r^2 + a^2} - \sin^2 \theta \left(\frac{1}{\Xi^2} \left(\frac{a\Xi}{r^2 + a^2} \right)^2 a^2 \sin^2 \theta \right) \right).$$

We note

$$(2.51) \quad r_+ > |a|,$$

see already Lemma 3.2, and therefore, for $r \in [r_+, \bar{r}_+]$ the following holds

$$(2.52) \quad -1 + \sin^2 \theta \frac{2}{\Xi} \frac{a^2 \Xi}{r^2 + a^2} = -1 + \frac{2a^2 \sin^2 \theta}{r^2 + a^2} < 0.$$

Therefore, indeed the right hand side of equation (2.50) is negative in (r_+, \bar{r}_+) and zero where $r = r_+, \bar{r}_+$. \square

Remark 2.5. *Note that our proof of Lemma 2.2 also holds for any $\Lambda = 0$ Kerr black hole, simply by substituting $\Xi = 1$ ($l = +\infty$) in the proof.*

Recall that in Definition 2.6 we chose the time orientation so that the vector field W , of the above Lemma 2.2, is future oriented.

3. PROPERTIES OF THE Δ POLYNOMIAL

We need the following Lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $l > 0$ and $(a, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l$. Then, the following hold:*

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{d\Delta}{dr} = 2r - \frac{4}{l^2} r^3 - a^2 \frac{2}{l^2} r - 2M, \quad \frac{d^2\Delta}{dr^2} = 2 - a^2 \frac{2}{l^2} - \frac{12}{l^2} r^2, \quad \frac{d^3\Delta}{dr^3} = -\frac{24}{l^2} r,$$

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{d\Delta}{dr}(r_+) > 0, \quad \frac{d\Delta}{dr}(\bar{r}_+) < 0, \quad \frac{d}{dr} \left(\frac{\Delta}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} \right)(r_+) > 0, \quad \frac{d}{dr} \left(\frac{\Delta}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} \right)(\bar{r}_+) < 0,$$

where for Δ see (2.4).

Proof. The identities (3.1) follow in a straightforward manner. The inequalities (3.2) follow immediately from the subextremality condition of Definition 2.2. \square

We need the following lemma

Lemma 3.2. *Let $l > 0$ and $(a, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l$. We have the following*

$$(3.3) \quad \bar{r}_- < 0 < r_- < r_+ < \bar{r}_+,$$

$$(3.4) \quad M < r_+ < \bar{r}_+ < l,$$

$$(3.5) \quad r_+ > |a|,$$

$$(3.6) \quad \frac{a^2}{l^2} < \frac{1}{4},$$

$$(3.7) \quad \left| \frac{a}{M} \right| < \frac{12}{10}.$$

Finally,

$$(3.8) \quad \bar{r}_+^2 > \frac{1}{7}l^2$$

and therefore $\bar{r}_+ \rightarrow \infty$ for $l \rightarrow +\infty$ ($\Lambda \rightarrow 0$).

Proof. We prove (3.3). Note from Lemma 3.1, that the following hold

$$(3.9) \quad \Delta(0) = a^2 > 0, \quad \frac{d\Delta}{dr}(0) = -2M < 0, \quad \frac{d^2\Delta}{dr^2}(0) = 2 - 2\frac{a^2}{l^2} > 0.$$

Now, we note that $\frac{d^2\Delta}{dr^2}$ attains exactly two roots, see Lemma 3.1. For a point $r_1 \in (r_+, \bar{r}_+)$ such that

$$(3.10) \quad \frac{d\Delta}{dr}(r_1) < 0$$

we obtain

$$(3.11) \quad \frac{d^2\Delta}{dr^2}(r_1) \leq 0.$$

Therefore,

$$(3.12) \quad r_- > 0$$

follows from (3.9). Therefore, we obtain

$$(3.13) \quad \bar{r}_- = -(r_- + r_+ + \bar{r}_+) < 0.$$

We now prove (3.4). Note that the inequality $\frac{d\Delta}{dr}(r_+) > 0$ implies

$$(3.14) \quad 2(r_+ - M) > \frac{4}{l^2}r_+^3 + a^2\frac{2}{l^2}r_+ > 0$$

from which we obtain

$$(3.15) \quad r_+ > M,$$

since $r_+ > 0$. Finally, the following holds

$$(3.16) \quad (\bar{r}_+^2 + a^2)\left(1 - \frac{\bar{r}_+^2}{l^2}\right) - 2M\bar{r}_+ = 0$$

from which the following holds $(\bar{r}_+^2 + a^2)\left(1 - \frac{\bar{r}_+^2}{l^2}\right) > 0$ and therefore

$$(3.17) \quad |\bar{r}_+| < l,$$

which concludes the result.

Now, we prove (3.5). We write the polynomial Δ in the form

$$(3.18) \quad \Delta = -\frac{1}{l^2}(r - \bar{r}_-)(r - r_-)(r - r_+)(r - \bar{r}_+),$$

then by using the definition of Δ , see (2.4), and by matching the relevant powers of r , we obtain the following

$$(3.19) \quad \bar{r}_- + r_- + r_+ + \bar{r}_+ = 0,$$

$$(3.20) \quad \bar{r}_- r_- r_+ \bar{r}_+ = -l^2 a^2,$$

$$(3.21) \quad r_+ \bar{r}_+ + r_- r_+ + r_- \bar{r}_+ + \bar{r}_- r_+ + \bar{r}_- \bar{r}_+ + r_- \bar{r}_- = -l^2 + a^2.$$

We use (3.19) in conjunction with (3.21) to obtain

$$(3.22) \quad a^2 + r_+^2 + \bar{r}_+^2 + r_-^2 + r_- r_+ + r_+ \bar{r}_+ + \bar{r}_+ r_- = l^2.$$

By using (3.19) and (3.20) we get

$$(3.23) \quad r_- r_+ \bar{r}_+ (r_- + r_+ + \bar{r}_+) = l^2 a^2,$$

which, in conjunction with (3.22), implies

$$(3.24) \quad l^2 a^2 < r_- r_+ l^2 < l^2 r_+^2,$$

from which (3.5) is immediate.

Now, we prove (3.6). We use equation (3.22), in conjunction with the bounds

$$(3.25) \quad \bar{r}_+ > r_+ > |a|, \quad r_- > 0$$

to obtain

$$(3.26) \quad a^2 + a^2 + a^2 + a^2 + (r_-^2 + r_- r_+ + \bar{r}_+ r_-) < l^2$$

which indeed implies (3.6).

Now, we prove (3.7). The necessary and sufficient conditions on the coefficients of a quartic polynomial to attain four distinct real roots have been studied extensively, see for example [49]. From [49] we note that Δ attains exactly 4 distinct real roots if and only if the following two inequalities hold

$$(3.27) \quad \begin{aligned} & -256 \left(\frac{a^6}{l^6} \right) - 128 \left(\frac{a^4}{l^4} \right) \left(1 - \frac{a^2}{l^2} \right)^2 + 576 \left(\frac{M^2 a^2}{l^4} \right) \left(1 - \frac{a^2}{l^2} \right) \\ & - 432 \frac{M^4}{l^4} - 16 \frac{a^2}{l^2} \left(1 - \frac{a^2}{l^2} \right)^4 + 16 \frac{M^2}{l^2} \left(1 - \frac{a^2}{l^2} \right)^3 > 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.28) \quad |a| < l.$$

We want to prove that if the black hole parameters satisfy

$$(3.29) \quad a = \alpha M, \quad \alpha \geq \frac{12}{10}$$

then the Δ polynomial attains at least one non-real root, and therefore the parameters do not correspond to a subextremal black hole. We substitute (3.29) in the inequality (3.27) and obtain

$$(3.30) \quad -16\alpha^{10} z^{10} - 16(-1 + \alpha^2) z^2 - 48\alpha^4 (11 + 2\alpha^2) z^6 - 16\alpha^6 (1 + 4\alpha^2) z^8 - 16(27 - 33\alpha^2 + 4\alpha^4) z^4 > 0$$

where

$$(3.31) \quad z = \frac{M}{l}.$$

We will prove that (3.30) cannot be satisfied for any $z \in \mathbb{R}$. It suffices to prove that the following part

$$(3.32) \quad \begin{aligned} & -16(-1 + \alpha^2)z^2 - 16(27 - 33\alpha^2 + 4\alpha^4)z^4 - 48\alpha^4(11 + 2\alpha^2)z^6 \\ & = z^2 \left(-16(-1 + \alpha^2) - 16(27 - 33\alpha^2 + 4\alpha^4)z^2 - 48\alpha^4(11 + 2\alpha^2)z^4 \right), \end{aligned}$$

of inequality (3.30), is negative for all $z \in \mathbb{R}$, since the remaining terms are negative. To prove that (3.32) is always negative, it suffices to prove that the polynomial

$$(3.33) \quad -16(-1 + \alpha^2) - 16(27 - 33\alpha^2 + 4\alpha^4)z^2 - 48\alpha^4(11 + 2\alpha^2)z^4$$

is always negative. We first note that

$$(3.34) \quad 256(AE)^3 - 128(ACE)^2 + 16AC^4E > 0, \quad 64A^3E - 16(AC)^2 > 0$$

where

$$(3.35) \quad A = -48\alpha^4(11 + 2\alpha^2), \quad C = -16(27 - 33\alpha^2 + 4\alpha^4), \quad E = -16(-1 + \alpha^2),$$

from which, by again appealing to (3.27), (3.28) (note the conditions of [49]) we obtain that the roots of the quartic polynomial (3.33) are never real. Moreover, we readily obtain that the polynomial (3.33) is negative at 0, therefore it is always negative. Now, (since the remaining terms of (3.30) are also negative), we conclude that for a subextremal Kerr-de Sitter black hole we obtain

$$(3.36) \quad |a| < \frac{12}{10}M.$$

Finally, we prove (3.8). We use equation (3.22), together with the bounds $r_- < r_+ < \bar{r}_+$ to obtain

$$(3.37) \quad l^2 < a^2 + 6\bar{r}_+^2 < r_+^2 + 6\bar{r}_+^2 < 7\bar{r}_+^2,$$

from which we conclude that $\bar{r}_+ \rightarrow +\infty$ as $l \rightarrow +\infty$. \square

Remark 3.1. *We note that*

$$(3.38) \quad \sup_{l>0, (a,M) \in \mathcal{B}_l} \left| \frac{a}{M} \right| \in \left(1, \frac{12}{10} \right),$$

in contrast to the Kerr case where the extremal parameters are $|\frac{a}{M}| = 1$. We will not pursue to compute the sharp upper bound of (3.38) as the bound $\frac{12}{10}$ suffices for the purposes of this paper. Specifically, we only need the bound $|\frac{a}{M}| \leq \frac{12}{10}$ in the proof of Lemma 13.1.

The following Lemma will also be important

Lemma 3.3. *Let $l > 0$ and $(a, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l$. Then, the function*

$$(3.39) \quad \frac{\Delta}{(r^2 + a^2)^2}$$

attains exactly one critical point in (r_+, \bar{r}_+) , a maximum, which we denote as

$$(3.40) \quad r_{\Delta, \text{frac}}.$$

Moreover, there exists a strictly positive constant $c(a, M, l) > 0$, such that

$$(3.41) \quad \frac{d^2}{dr^2} \left(\frac{\Delta}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} \right) (r = r_{\Delta, \text{frac}}) < -c(a, M, l) < 0.$$

For sufficiently small rotation parameters a , there exists a constant $C(M, l) > 0$, such that

$$(3.42) \quad |r_{\Delta, \text{frac}} - 3M| \leq C(M, l)a^2.$$

Proof. First, we calculate the derivative

$$(3.43) \quad \frac{d}{dr} \frac{\Delta}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} = \frac{-2}{(r^2 + a^2)^3} \left(r^3 \left(1 + \frac{a^2}{l^2} \right) - 3Mr^2 + r \left(a^2 + \frac{a^4}{l^2} \right) + Ma^2 \right) = -2 \frac{s(r)}{(r^2 + a^2)^3},$$

where the polynomial

$$(3.44) \quad s(r) = r^3 \left(1 + \frac{a^2}{l^2} \right) - 3Mr^2 + r \left(a^2 + \frac{a^4}{l^2} \right) + Ma^2$$

enjoys the same roots as the function $\frac{d}{dr} \frac{\Delta}{(r^2 + a^2)^2}$, where we rewrite

$$(3.45) \quad \frac{d}{dr} \frac{\Delta}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} = \frac{\frac{d\Delta}{dr} (r^2 + a^2)^2 - 4r(r^2 + a^2)\Delta}{(r^2 + a^2)^4}.$$

We infer that

$$(3.46) \quad s(r_+) < 0, \quad s(\bar{r}_+) > 0,$$

see Lemma 3.1 for the derivatives of Δ .

Moreover we note that

$$(3.47) \quad \frac{d^2 s}{dr^2}(r) = 6r \left(1 + \frac{a^2}{l^2} \right) - 6M = 6(r - M) + 6r \frac{a^2}{l^2} > 0, \quad r \in [r_+, \bar{r}_+]$$

since $r_+ > M$, see Lemma 3.2.

Therefore, from (3.46), (3.47), we conclude that $s(r)$ attains exactly one critical point in $[r_+, \bar{r}_+]$, a maximum, which we denote as

$$(3.48) \quad r_{\Delta, \text{frac}}.$$

Now, we proceed to prove (3.41). A straightforward computation shows that

$$(3.49) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dr} \frac{\Delta}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} &= \frac{(r^2 + a^2) \frac{d\Delta}{dr} - 4r\Delta}{(r^2 + a^2)^3} \\ &= \frac{2}{(r^2 + a^2)^3} \left(-\Xi r^3 + 3Mr^2 + (-a^2\Xi)r + (-Ma^2) \right) \\ &\doteq \frac{2}{(r^2 + a^2)^3} \text{pol}(r). \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$(3.50) \quad \frac{d\text{pol}}{dr}(r) = -3\Xi r^2 + 6Mr - a^2\Xi,$$

which is a polynomial of degree 2 and has discriminant

$$(3.51) \quad \text{discriminant of } \frac{d\text{pol}}{dr} = (6M)^2 - 12a^2\Xi^2 = (6M)^2 \left(1 - \frac{12a^2\Xi^2}{(6M)^2} \right).$$

By using that $|\frac{a}{M}| \leq \frac{12}{10}$, $\frac{a^2}{l^2} \leq \frac{1}{4}$, see Lemma 3.2, we readily see that

$$(3.52) \quad \frac{12a^2\Xi^2}{(6M)^2} = \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{a}{M} \right)^2 \left(1 + \frac{a^2}{l^2} \right)^2 \leq \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{12}{10} \right)^2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{4} \right)^2 = \frac{3}{4} < 1.$$

Therefore, the roots of $\frac{d\text{pol}}{dr}$ are real and distinct and therefore the zeros of

$$(3.53) \quad \frac{d}{dr} \frac{\Delta}{(r^2 + a^2)^2}$$

are real and distinct. Therefore, we obtain that

$$(3.54) \quad \frac{d^2}{dr^2} \Big|_{r=r_{\Delta, \text{frac}}} \frac{\Delta}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} < 0.$$

Finally, we note from the definition of the polynomial $s(r)$, see Lemma 3.3, that

$$(3.55) \quad s(r) = r^2(r - 3M) + a^2 \left(\frac{r^3}{l^2} + r + \frac{a^2}{l^2} r^2 + M \right),$$

from which we conclude

$$(3.56) \quad |r_{\Delta, \text{frac}} - 3M| \leq C(M, l)a^2,$$

as desired. \square

Remark 3.2. *Note that in the Schwarzschild-de Sitter case ($a = 0$), Lemma 3.3 implies*

$$(3.57) \quad r_{\Delta, \text{frac}} = 3M.$$

Finally, we note the following Lemma, which follows immediately from the subextremality conditions of Definition 2.2.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $l > 0$ and $(a, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l$. Then, Δ attains exactly one critical point in (r_+, \bar{r}_+) , a maximum, which we denote as $r_{\Delta, \text{max}}$.*

4. PRELIMINARIES FOR THE KLEIN-GORDON EQUATION

4.1. The energy momentum tensor and the divergence theorem.

Definition 4.1. *Let g be a smooth Lorentzian metric. The energy momentum tensor associated with a solution ψ of the inhomogeneous Klein-Gordon equation*

$$(4.1) \quad \square_g \psi - \mu_{KG}^2 \psi = F,$$

where F is a sufficiently regular function, is defined to be the following:

$$(4.2) \quad \mathbb{T}_{\mu\nu}[\psi] \doteq \partial_\mu \psi \partial_\nu \psi - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \left(|\nabla \psi|_g^2 + \mu_{KG}^2 |\psi|^2 \right),$$

where $\mu_{KG}^2 \geq 0$ is the Klein-Gordon mass and $|\nabla \psi|_g^2 \doteq g^{\gamma\delta} \partial_\gamma \psi \partial_\delta \psi$. The energy current, with respect to a vector field X , is defined as

$$(4.3) \quad J_\mu^X[\psi] \doteq \mathbb{T}_{\mu\nu}[\psi] X^\nu.$$

Note that for X, N everywhere future directed causal vector fields, the following holds

$$(4.4) \quad J_\mu^X[\psi] N^\mu = \mathbb{T}(X, N)[\psi] \geq 0.$$

The divergence of the energy current is

$$(4.5) \quad \nabla^\mu J_\mu^X[\psi] = X(\psi) \cdot F + \mathbb{K}^X[\psi],$$

where

$$(4.6) \quad \mathbb{K}^X[\psi] = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{T}_{\mu\nu}^{(X)} \pi^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_\mu \psi \partial_\nu \psi - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} |\nabla \psi|^2 \right) \pi^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \mu_{KG}^2 |\psi|^2 \text{Tr}^{(X)} \pi, \quad {}^{(X)} \pi^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} (\nabla^\mu X^\nu + \nabla^\nu X^\mu).$$

The following is the divergence theorem.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $l > 0$ and $(a, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l$ and $\mu_{KG}^2 \geq 0$. Let ψ satisfy the inhomogeneous Klein-Gordon equation*

$$(4.7) \quad \square_g \psi - \mu_{KG}^2 \psi = F$$

and let $\{t^* = \tau\}$, $\tau \geq 0$, be the hypersurfaces of Section 2.7.

Then, the following holds

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\{t^* = \tau_2\}} J_\mu^X[\psi] n^\mu + \int_{\mathcal{H}^+ \cap D(\tau_1, \tau_2)} J_\mu^X[\psi] n^\mu + \int_{\bar{\mathcal{H}}^+ \cap D(\tau_1, \tau_2)} J_\mu^X[\psi] n^\mu + \int \int_{D(\tau_1, \tau_2)} \mathbb{K}^X[\psi] \\ & = \int_{\{t^* = \tau_1\}} J_\mu^X[\psi] n^\mu - \int \int_{D(\tau_1, \tau_2)} X\psi \cdot F, \end{aligned}$$

for $\tau_1 \leq \tau_2$. The identity (4.8) is to be understood with respect to the normals of Section 2.7, 2.8 and the volume forms of Section 2.11.

For a further study of currents related to partial differential equations, see the monograph of Christodoulou [11].

4.2. Hardy inequalities. We need the following Hardy inequalities.

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose that f is a differentiable real function of compact support in $[1, \infty)$, then the following holds*

$$(4.9) \quad \int_1^\infty |f|^2(x) dx \leq C \int_1^\infty x^2 \left| \frac{df}{dx} \right|^2(x) dx.$$

Moreover, we note the following Hardy inequality

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose that f is a differentiable real function in the interval $[r_+, \bar{r}_+]$, then the following holds*

$$(4.10) \quad \int_{r_+}^{\bar{r}_+} |f|^2 dr \leq C(\delta) \int_{r_+}^{\bar{r}_+} \left| \frac{df}{dr} \right|^2 dr + C(\delta) \int_{r_++\delta}^{\bar{r}_+-\delta} |f|^2 dr$$

for sufficiently small $\delta > 0$.

Proof. The proof is straightforward by integration by parts for the function $\chi\psi$, where the smooth cut-off χ satisfies $\chi(r) = 1$ in sufficiently small neighborhoods of r_+, \bar{r}_+ and $\chi(r) = 0$ away. \square

4.3. Poincaré–Wirtinger inequality. We present a classical Poincaré–Wirtinger type inequality that is tailored to the setting of the present paper.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $l > 0$ and let $(a, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l$ and $\mu_{KG}^2 \geq 0$. Moreover, assume that ψ is a smooth function on the manifold \mathcal{M} . Then, for any $\tau \geq 0$ we have the following*

$$(4.11) \quad \int_{\{t^*=\tau\}} |\psi - \underline{\psi}(\tau)|^2 \leq B \int_{\{t^*=\tau\}} J_\mu^n[\psi] n^\mu$$

where $\underline{\psi}(\tau) = \frac{1}{|\{t^*=\tau\}|} \int_{\{t^*=\tau\}} \psi$ and $|\{t^*=\tau\}| = \int_{\{t^*=\tau\}} 1 \cdot dg_{\{t^*=\tau\}}$ with respect to the volume forms of Section 2.11. The constant B in inequality (4.11) depends only on $|\{t^*=\tau\}| = c$, where the constant c is independent of τ .

4.4. Twisted derivatives and the twisted energy momentum tensor. The twisted covariant derivative that will be defined in this Section has been used in a number of works, see [61, 37, 34] and references therein.

We define the twisting function

$$(4.12) \quad f(r) = e^{C_{twi} r}$$

where $C_{twi} > 0$ is an arbitrary positive constant. We define the f -twisted covariant derivatives as follows

$$(4.13) \quad \tilde{\nabla}_\mu(\cdot) = f \nabla_\mu \left((\cdot) \frac{1}{f} \right), \quad \tilde{\nabla}_\mu^\dagger(\cdot) = -\frac{1}{f} \nabla_\mu((\cdot) f).$$

We need the following definition

Definition 4.2. *Let $l > 0$, $(a, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l$ and $\mu_{KG}^2 \geq 0$. We define the f -twisted energy momentum tensor for the Klein–Gordon equation (1.7) as follows*

$$(4.14) \quad \tilde{\mathbb{T}}_{ab}[\psi] = \tilde{\nabla}_a \psi \tilde{\nabla}_b \psi - \frac{1}{2} g_{ab} (\tilde{\nabla}^\alpha \psi \tilde{\nabla}_\alpha \psi + V_{twi} |\psi|^2), \quad V_{twi} = -\left(\frac{\square_g f}{f} - \mu_{KG}^2 \right).$$

Moreover, let ψ be a smooth solution of the inhomogeneous Klein–Gordon equation $\square\psi - \mu_{KG}^2\psi = F$. Then, for any smooth vector field X we define

$$(4.15) \quad \tilde{J}_a^X[\psi] = \tilde{\mathbb{T}}_{ab}[\psi] X^b, \quad \tilde{K}^X[\psi] := (X) \pi_{ab} \tilde{\mathbb{T}}^{ab}[\psi] + X^b \tilde{S}_b[\psi],$$

where

$$(4.16) \quad \tilde{S}_b[\psi] = \frac{\tilde{\nabla}_b^\dagger(fV_{twi})}{2f}\psi^2 + \frac{\tilde{\nabla}_b^\dagger f}{2f}\tilde{\nabla}_\sigma\psi\tilde{\nabla}^\sigma\psi.$$

These are thus examples of compatible currents in the sense of Christodoulou [11].

For a general smooth ψ we have

$$(4.17) \quad \nabla_a \tilde{\mathbb{T}}^a{}_b[\psi] = \left(-\tilde{\nabla}_a^\dagger \tilde{\nabla}^a \psi - V_{twi} \cdot \psi\right) \tilde{\nabla}_b \psi + \tilde{S}_b[\psi].$$

Moreover, we compute

$$(4.18) \quad \nabla^a \tilde{J}_a^X[\psi] = F \cdot X(\psi) + \tilde{K}^X[\psi].$$

Remark 4.1. Note that if X is one of the Killing vector fields

$$(4.19) \quad X = \partial_t, \partial_\varphi$$

then we have

$$(4.20) \quad \tilde{K}^X[\psi] = 0.$$

We note the following lemma

Lemma 4.4. Let $l > 0$, $(a, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l$ and $\mu_{KG}^2 \geq 0$. Moreover, let X, Y be two smooth vector fields which are future directed timelike on $\{r_+ < r < \bar{r}_+\}$. Furthermore, we make the assumption that on the event horizon \mathcal{H}^+ the vector fields X, Y are either timelike or equal to $\partial_t + \frac{a\Xi}{r_+^2+a^2}\partial_\varphi$. Similarly, we make the assumption that on the cosmological horizon $\bar{\mathcal{H}}^+$ the vector fields X, Y are either timelike or equal to $\partial_t + \frac{a\Xi}{\bar{r}_+^2+a^2}\partial_\varphi$.

Then, there exist strictly positive constants $c(a, M, l, X, Y) > 0$, $C_{twi}(a, M, l, X, Y) > 0$, independent of the Klein-Gordon mass μ_{KG} , and we have that

$$(4.21) \quad \tilde{\mathbb{T}}[\psi](X, Y) + C_{twi} \cdot \mathbb{T}[\psi](X, Y) \geq c \cdot \Delta |\psi|^2 + c\Delta \cdot \sum_{i_1+i_2+i_3=1} |\partial_t^{i_1}(Z^*)^{i_2}\tilde{\nabla}^{i_3}\psi|^2$$

for all $r \in [r_+, \bar{r}_+]$.

Proof. This is immediate after taking $C_{twi}(a, M, l, \mu_{KG}) > 0$ sufficiently large, since $\mathbb{T}(X, Y) \gtrsim \Delta \cdot \sum_{i_1+i_2+i_3=1} |\partial_t^{i_1}(Z^*)^{i_2}\tilde{\nabla}^{i_3}\psi|^2$. \square

Remark 4.2. We will use the twisted energy momentum tensor in Section 9.2 to control zeroth order future boundary terms of the form $\int_{\{t^*=\tau_2\}} |\psi|^2$, in the case $\mu_{KG} = 0$.

5. RED-SHIFT AND SUPERRADIANCE

In this section we construct redshift vector field multipliers and then use them to derive redshift estimates.

5.1. Red-shift multipliers. We present the redshift multipliers for the horizons, see Theorem 7.1 of the lecture notes [16], and [[52], Proposition 6.5].

Proposition 5.1. Let $l > 0$, $(a, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l$ and $\mu_{KG}^2 \geq 0$. Then, there exist positive constants

$$(5.1) \quad c(a, M, l, \mu_{KG}^2), \quad \bar{c}(a, M, l, \mu_{KG}^2) > 0,$$

there exists a parameter $\epsilon_{red} > 0$ sufficiently small satisfying

$$(5.2) \quad r_+(a, M, l) < r_+ + 2\epsilon_{red}(a, M, l) < \bar{r}_+ - 2\epsilon_{red}(a, M, l) < \bar{r}_+,$$

and there exists a vector field

$$(5.3) \quad N$$

such that the following holds.

We have

$$N \text{ is timelike in } \{r < r_+ + 2\epsilon_{red}\} \cup \{\bar{r}_+ - 2\epsilon_{red} < r\}, \quad [N, \partial_t] = 0, \quad [N, \partial_\varphi] = 0,$$

$$N = 0, \quad \text{for } \{r_+ + 2\epsilon_{red} \leq r \leq \bar{r}_+ - 2\epsilon_{red}\},$$

and for any sufficiently regular function ψ we have

$$(5.4) \quad \mathbb{K}^N[\psi] \geq cJ_\mu^N[\psi]N^\mu, \quad \text{for } \{r \leq r_+ + \epsilon_{red}\}, \quad \mathbb{K}^N[\psi] \geq cJ_\mu^N[\psi]N^\mu, \quad \text{for } \{r \geq \bar{r}_+ - \epsilon_{red}\},$$

where for \mathbb{K}^X see Definition 4.1. The result of the present Proposition also holds for the extended domain $D_\delta(\tau_1, \tau_2)$, see Definition 2.8.

Proof. The proof can be inferred from the lecture notes [16] or [52]. We present the basic steps.

First, we note the definition of the surface gravity of the event horizon \mathcal{H}^+ and the cosmological horizon $\bar{\mathcal{H}}^+$ respectively

$$(5.5) \quad \kappa_+ = \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{d\Delta}{dr} \right|, \quad \bar{\kappa}_+ = \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{d\Delta}{dr} \right|.$$

In view of the subextremality condition $l > 0$ and $(a, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l$ we note that

$$(5.6) \quad \kappa_+, \quad \bar{\kappa}_+ > 0.$$

There exist vector fields

$$(5.7) \quad Y^+, \quad \bar{Y}^+$$

that satisfy

$$(5.8) \quad \nabla_{Y^+} Y^+ = -\sigma(K^+ + Y^+), \quad \nabla_{\bar{Y}^+} \bar{Y}^+ = -\sigma(\bar{K}^+ + \bar{Y}^+)$$

$$(5.9) \quad g(Y^+, K^+) = -2, \quad g(\bar{Y}^+, \bar{K}^+) = -2$$

which are null on the event horizon \mathcal{H}^+ and the cosmological horizon $\bar{\mathcal{H}}^+$ respectively, where for the Hawking–Reall vector fields K^+, \bar{K}^+ see Section 2.8, and moreover Y^+, \bar{Y}^+ are invariant under pushforwards with respect to ∂_t and ∂_φ . The constant $\sigma > 0$ is to be chosen sufficiently large, see already (5.14).

We recall from Definition 4.1 that for a vector field X the following holds

$$(5.10) \quad \mathbb{K}^X = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{T}_{\mu\nu} \pi^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_\mu \psi \partial_\nu \psi - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} |\nabla \psi|_g^2 \right) \pi^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \mu_{KG}^2 |\psi|^2 \text{Tr}^{(X)} \pi.$$

Therefore, for a sufficiently large $\sigma > 0$ we note that

$$(5.11) \quad -\text{Tr}^{(Y^+)} \pi > 0, \quad -\text{Tr}^{(\bar{Y}^+)} \pi > 0,$$

on the event horizon \mathcal{H}^+ and cosmological horizon $\bar{\mathcal{H}}^+$ respectively.

Let $\epsilon_{red} > 0$ be sufficiently small. Again, by following the lecture notes [16] we smoothly extend N such that it is timelike in $\{r < r_+ + 2\epsilon_{red}\} \cup \{\bar{r}_+ - 2\epsilon_{red} < r\}$ and $\partial_t, \partial_\varphi$ invariant with

$$(5.12) \quad N = Y^+ + K^+$$

in $\{r \leq r_+ + \epsilon_{red}\}$

$$(5.13) \quad N = \bar{Y}^+ + \bar{K}^+$$

in $\{\bar{r}_+ - \epsilon_{red} \leq r\}$ and $N = 0$ at $\{r_+ + 2\epsilon_{red} \leq r \leq \bar{r}_+ - 2\epsilon_{red}\}$.

Therefore, by following the arguments of the lecture notes [16], in view of the positivity of the surface gravities (5.6), we take $\sigma > 0$ sufficiently large and conclude that the following hold

$$(5.14) \quad \mathbb{K}^N[\psi] \geq bJ_\mu^N[\psi]N^\mu, \quad \mathbb{K}^N[\psi] \geq bJ_\mu^N[\psi]N^\mu,$$

in $\{r \leq r_+ + \epsilon_{red}\}, \{\bar{r}_+ - \epsilon_{red} \leq r\}$ respectively. This completes the proof. \square

The following Lemma will be very useful

Lemma 5.1. *Let $l > 0$, $(a, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l$ and $\mu_{KG}^2 \geq 0$. Let ψ be a smooth function such that $\partial_\varphi \psi = 0$. Then, we have*

$$(5.15) \quad \mathsf{K}^W[\psi] = 0, \quad \tilde{\mathsf{K}}^W[\psi] = 0$$

where $W = \partial_t + \frac{a\Xi}{r^2+a^2}\partial_\varphi$, see Lemma 2.2.

Proof. We denote $f(r) = \frac{a\Xi}{r^2+a^2}$. Then, we obtain

$$(5.16) \quad \mathsf{K}^{\partial_{t^*} + f(r)\partial_\varphi}[\psi] = \mathsf{K}^{(f(r)\partial_\varphi)}[\psi] = \frac{1}{2} (f\partial_\varphi) \pi_{\mu\nu} \mathbb{T}_{\mu_{KG}=0}^{\mu\nu} = \mathbb{T}^\mu{}_\varphi(\nabla f)_\mu = \mathbb{T}(\nabla f, \partial_\varphi)[\psi] = 0,$$

where we used

$$(5.17) \quad \text{Tr} (f\partial_\varphi) \pi = g^{\mu\nu} (f\partial_\varphi) \pi_{\mu\nu} = 2g^{r\nu} \frac{df(r)}{dr} g(\partial_\varphi, \partial_\nu) = 0,$$

to eliminate the Klein–Gordon term of the energy momentum tensor. The proof of $\tilde{\mathsf{K}}^W = 0$ is immediate from (4.15). \square

5.2. The redshift estimates. We will use the redshift multiplier N , of Proposition 5.1, to obtain the following.

Proposition 5.2. *Let $l > 0$ and $(a, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l$ and $\mu_{KG}^2 \geq 0$. Let $\epsilon_{red} > 0$ be as in Proposition 5.1. Then, for all $j \geq 1$ there exists a sufficiently small $\delta(a, M, l, \mu_{KG}, j) > 0$ and there exists a positive constant*

$$(5.18) \quad C = C(a, M, l, j) > 0,$$

such that for all smooth solutions ψ of the inhomogeneous Klein–Gordon equation

$$\square_{g_{a,M,l}} \psi - \mu_{KG}^2 \psi = F$$

where F is sufficiently regular function, we obtain

$$(5.19) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{0 \leq i \leq j-1} \int \int_{D(\tau_1, \tau_2) \cap \{r \leq r_+ + \epsilon_{red}\}} J_\mu^N [N^i \psi] N^\mu + \sum_{0 \leq i \leq j-1} \int \int_{D(\tau_1, \tau_2) \cap \{r \geq \bar{r}_+ - \epsilon_{red}\}} J_\mu^N [N^i \psi] N^\mu \\ & + \sum_{0 \leq i \leq j-1} \left(\int_{\mathcal{H}^+ \cap D(\tau_1, \tau_2)} J_\mu^N [N^i \psi] n^\mu + \int_{\bar{\mathcal{H}}^+ \cap D(\tau_1, \tau_2)} J_\mu^N [N^i \psi] n^\mu \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int_{\{t^* = \tau_2\} \cap \{r \leq r_+ + \epsilon_{red}\}} J_\mu^N [N^i \psi] n^\mu + \int_{\{t^* = \tau_2\} \cap \{r \geq \bar{r}_+ - \epsilon_{red}\}} J_\mu^N [N^i \psi] n^\mu \right) \\ & \leq C \sum_{0 \leq i \leq j-1} \int_{\{t^* = \tau_1\}} J_\mu^n [n^i \psi] n^\mu \\ & \quad + C \sum_{0 \leq i \leq j-1} \left(\int \int_{D(\tau_1, \tau_2) \cap \{r_+ + \epsilon_{red} \leq r \leq r_+ + 2\epsilon_{red}\}} J_\mu^n [n^i \psi] n^\mu + \int \int_{D(\tau_1, \tau_2) \cap \{\bar{r}_+ - 2\epsilon_{red} \leq r \leq \bar{r}_+ - \epsilon_{red}\}} J_\mu^n [n^i \psi] n^\mu \right) \\ & \quad + C \sum_{1 \leq i_1 + i_2 + i_3 \leq j-1} \left(\int \int_{D(\tau_1, \tau_2) \cap \{r_+ \leq r \leq r_+ + 2\epsilon_{red}\}} |\nabla^{i_1} (Z^*)^{i_2} \partial_t^{i_3} F|^2 \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int \int_{D(\tau_1, \tau_2) \cap \{\bar{r}_+ - 2\epsilon_{red} \leq r \leq \bar{r}_+\}} |\nabla^{i_1} (Z^*)^{i_2} \partial_t^{i_3} F|^2 \right), \end{aligned}$$

for all $\tau_1 \leq \tau_2$. The result of the present Proposition also holds replacing $D(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ with the extended domain $D_\delta(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ and $\mathcal{H}^+, \bar{\mathcal{H}}_+$ with $\mathcal{H}_\delta^+, \bar{\mathcal{H}}_\delta^+$, see Definition 2.8, where $\{t^* = c\}$ is now to be understood as a subset of D_δ .

Proof. From Proposition 5.1 we obtain immediately

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.20) \quad & \int_{\mathcal{H}^+ \cap D(\tau_1, \tau_2)} J_\mu^N[\psi] n^\mu_{\mathcal{H}^+} + \int_{\{t^*=\tau_2\} \cap \{r \leq r_+ + \epsilon_{red}\}} J_\mu^N[\psi] n^\mu + \int_{\mathcal{H}^+ \cap D(\tau_1, \tau_2)} J_\mu^N[\psi] n^\mu_{\mathcal{H}^+} + \int_{\{t^*=\tau_2\} \cap \{r \geq \bar{r}_+ - \epsilon_{red}\}} J_\mu^N[\psi] n^\mu \\
& + \int \int_{D(\tau_1, \tau_2) \cap \{r \leq r_+ + \epsilon_{red}\}} J_\mu^N[\psi] N^\mu + \int \int_{D(\tau_1, \tau_2) \cap \{r \geq \bar{r}_+ - \epsilon_{red}\}} J_\mu^N[\psi] N^\mu \\
& \leq C \int_{\{t^*=\tau_1\}} J_\mu^N[\psi] n^\mu + C \int \int_{D(\tau_1, \tau_2) \cap \{r_+ + \epsilon_{red} \leq r \leq \bar{r}_+ - \epsilon_{red}\}} |K^N[\psi]| \\
& + C \left(\int \int_{D(\tau_1, \tau_2) \cap \{r_+ + \epsilon_{red} \leq r \leq r_+ + 2\epsilon_{red}\}} |F|^2 + \int \int_{D(\tau_1, \tau_2) \cap \{\bar{r}_+ - 2\epsilon_{red} \leq r \leq \bar{r}_+ - \epsilon_{red}\}} |F|^2 \right)
\end{aligned}$$

which easily implies (5.19) with $j = 1$.

To obtain (5.19) for all orders $j \geq 1$ we proceed as follows.

For $m = (m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4)$, the following holds on the event horizon \mathcal{H}^+ :

$$(5.21) \quad \square(Y^k \psi) - \mu_{KG}^2 Y^k \psi = \kappa_k Y^{k+1} \psi + \sum_{|m| \leq k+1, m_4 \leq k} c_m E_1^{m_1} E_2^{m_2} L^{m_3} Y^{m_4} \psi + Y^k F,$$

where (E_1, E_2, L, Y) is a local null frame on \mathcal{H}^+ , with $Y = Y^+$, with $\kappa_k > 0$, and c_m is a smooth function on the horizon such that $Y(c_m) = 0$. Note that an analogous identity (5.21) holds on the cosmological horizon $\bar{\mathcal{H}}^+$ where $Y = \bar{Y}^+$, with $\bar{\kappa}_k > 0$ in the place of κ_k and a smooth \bar{c}_m in the place of c_m , where $\bar{Y}(\bar{c}_m) = 0$.

We apply the analogue of (5.20) to $Y^k \psi$. The positivity $\kappa_k, \bar{\kappa}_k > 0$ and the structure of the remainder terms in (5.21) allows to conclude (5.19) inductively for any $j \geq 1$ by standard elliptic estimates. See [16] for more details. \square

5.3. Boundedness in the axisymmetric case. We will use the following proposition for the axisymmetric case of Theorem 1.

Proposition 5.3. *Let $l > 0$ and $(a, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l$ and $\mu_{KG}^2 \geq 0$. There exists a constant*

$$(5.22) \quad C(a, M, l, \mu_{KG}^2) > 0,$$

where $C(a, M, l, \mu_{KG}^2)$ and the following holds.

Let ψ satisfy the Klein-Gordon equation (1.7) and also satisfy the axisymmetric condition

$$(5.23) \quad \partial_{\varphi^*} \psi = 0.$$

Then,

$$(5.24) \quad \int_{\{t^*=\tau_2\}} J_\mu^n[\psi] n^\mu \leq C \int_{\{t^*=\tau_1\}} J_\mu^n[\psi] n^\mu \\
\int_{\{t^*=\tau_2\}} J_\mu^n[\psi] n^\mu + |\psi|^2 \leq C \int_{\{t^*=\tau_1\}} J_\mu^n[\psi] n^\mu + |\psi|^2,$$

for all $0 \leq \tau_1 \leq \tau_2$.

Proof. Recall that the vector field $W = \partial_t + \frac{a\Xi}{r^2+a^2} \partial_\varphi$ is timelike in $\{r_+ < r < \bar{r}_+\}$, see Lemma 2.2, and

$$(5.25) \quad K^W[\psi] = 0$$

for axisymmetric solutions $\partial_\varphi \psi = 0$, see Lemma 5.1. We apply the energy identity for the vector field W , see Proposition 4.1, to obtain

$$(5.26) \quad \int_{\{t^*=\tau_2\}} J_\mu^W[\psi] n^\mu \leq \int_{\{t^*=\tau_1\}} J_\mu^W[\psi] n^\mu.$$

Now, by using the redshift estimate of Proposition 5.2 for $j = 1$ and (5.26) we obtain the first estimate of (5.24) by following arguments found in [16].

To obtain the second estimate of (5.24) we use the now established first estimate, in conjunction with the twisted currents of Section 4.4, in view of Lemma 5.1. \square

Remark 5.1. Note that the constants C on the RHS of (5.24) do not blow up in the limit $\mu_{KG}^2 \rightarrow 0$.

5.4. Boundedness in the Schwarzschild–de Sitter case. We have the following result in Schwarzschild–de Sitter

Proposition 5.4. Let $l > 0$, $0 < \frac{M^2}{l^2} < \frac{1}{27}$, $a = 0$ and $\mu_{KG}^2 \geq 0$. Then, there exists a constant

$$(5.27) \quad C(M, l, \mu_{KG}^2) > 0$$

such that the following holds.

Let ψ be a solution of the Klein–Gordon equation (1.7). Then,

$$(5.28) \quad \int_{\{t^*=\tau_2\}} J_\mu^n[\psi]n^\mu + |\psi|^2 \leq C \int_{\{t^*=\tau_1\}} J_\mu^n[\psi]n^\mu + |\psi|^2,$$

$$(5.29) \quad \int_{\mathcal{H}^+ \cap \{t^* \geq \tau_2\}} J_\mu^{\partial_t}[\psi]n^\mu + \int_{\bar{\mathcal{H}}^+ \cap \{t^* \geq \tau_2\}} J_\mu^{\partial_t}[\psi]n^\mu + \int_{\{t^*=\tau_2\}} J_\mu^n[\psi]n^\mu \leq C \int_{\{t^*=\tau_1\}} J_\mu^n[\psi]n^\mu,$$

for any $0 \leq \tau_1 \leq \tau_2$. We can also obtain higher order versions of the estimates (5.28), (5.29), for any order of derivatives, by commuting the Klein–Gordon equation with ∂_t, N .

Proof. The estimate (5.29) is easy to prove, by following the same steps as in the lecture notes [16] (cf. the proof of Proposition 5.3 above).

To obtain the estimate of (5.28) we use the now established first estimate, in conjunction with the twisted currents of Section 4.4, in view of Lemma 5.1. \square

Remark 5.2. Note that the constants C on the RHS of (5.28), (5.29) do not blow up in the limit $\mu_{KG}^2 \rightarrow 0$.

6. CARTER'S SEPARATION OF VARIABLES

In this section we discuss Carter's separation of variables, also see [6, 35].

6.1. Sufficiently integrable functions. We define the class of sufficiently integrable functions, with the help of which we will make sense of Carter's celebrated separation of variables of Section 6.5.

Definition 6.1. Let $l > 0$, $(a, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l$ and let $\mu_{KG}^2 \geq 0$. Then, we say that a smooth function

$$(6.1) \quad \Psi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

is *sufficiently integrable* if for every $j \geq 1$ we have

$$(6.2) \quad \sup_{r \in [r_+, \bar{r}_+]} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \left(\mu_{KG}^2 |\Psi|^2 + \sum_{1 \leq i_1 + i_2 + i_3 \leq j} \left| \Psi^{i_1} \partial_t^{i_2} (Z^*)^{i_3} \Psi \right|^2 \right) \sin \theta d\theta d\varphi dt < \infty.$$

Note that if ψ is sufficiently integrable then $(\square_{g_{a,M,l}} - \mu_{KG}^2)\psi$ is also sufficiently integrable.

Remark 6.1. Note that Definition 6.1 depends on fixing μ_{KG}^2 . Specifically, in the case of the wave equation $\mu_{KG}^2 = 0$, we do not include the zeroth order term $\sup_{r \in [r_+, \bar{r}_+]} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |\Psi|^2$ on the right hand side of (6.2), as opposed to [Definition 5.1.1, [17]]. Therefore, in particular, in the case $\mu_{KG}^2 = 0$, constant functions belong to the space of sufficiently integrable functions as defined here.

6.2. The class of outgoing functions. We will use the following definition in Proposition 6.2.

Definition 6.2. Let $l > 0$ and $(a, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l$. We say that a smooth function Ψ is *outgoing* if there exists an $\epsilon > 0$ such that Ψ vanishes in $\{t^* = \tau\} \cap \{r_+ \leq r \leq r_+ + \epsilon\}$ and in $\{t^* = \tau\} \cap \{\bar{r}_+ - \epsilon \leq r \leq \bar{r}_+\}$ for all $\tau \leq -\epsilon^{-1}$.

6.3. Time cutoffs. Let $2 \leq 1 + \tau_1 \leq \tau_2$. We choose smooth cut-offs that satisfy the following

$$(6.3) \quad \chi_{\tau_1, \tau_2}(z) \doteq \begin{cases} 1, & \{1 + \tau_1 \leq z \leq \tau_2 - 1\} \\ 0, & \{z \leq \tau_1\} \cup \{z \geq \tau_2\}, \end{cases} \quad \chi_{\tau_1}(z) \doteq \begin{cases} 1, & \{z \geq 1 + \tau_1\} \\ 0, & \{z \leq \tau_1\} \end{cases}$$

where the following hold $\partial_\varphi \chi_{\tau_1, \tau_2} \equiv 0$, $\partial_\varphi \chi_{\tau_1} \equiv 0$ and moreover $\chi_{\tau_1, \tau_2}|_{(\tau_1, \tau_1+1)}(s) = \chi(\tau_1 + s)$, for some $\chi(s)$ independent of τ_1 and moreover $\chi_{\tau_1, \tau_2}|_{(\tau_2-1, \tau_2)} = \bar{\chi}(\tau_2 + s)$, for some $\bar{\chi}(s)$ independent of τ_2 .

Note that for any $n \geq 1$ there exists a constant $C(n) > 0$ such that for any $2 \leq 1 + \tau_1 \leq \tau_2$ we have that $|\frac{d^n}{dz^n} \chi_{\tau_1, \tau_2}| + |\frac{d^n}{dz^n} \chi_{\tau_1}| < C$.

We define the cut-offed functions respectively

$$(6.4) \quad \psi_{\tau_1, \tau_2} \doteq \chi_{\tau_1, \tau_2}(t^*) \psi(t^*, \cdot), \quad \psi_{\tau_1} \doteq \chi_{\tau_1}(t^*) \psi(t^*, \cdot).$$

Remark 6.2. Let $\psi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function. Then, we note that $\chi_{\tau_1, \tau_2} \psi, \chi_{\tau_1} \psi$ are outgoing, see Definition 6.2 and moreover we note that $\chi_{\tau_1, \tau_2} \psi$ is sufficiently integrable, but $\chi_{\tau_1} \psi$ is not necessarily sufficiently integrable.

6.4. The angular part of Carter's separation, oblate spheroidal harmonics. We define the following

Definition 6.3. Let f be a complex valued function such that $f \in H^1(\mathbb{S}^2, \mathbb{C})$ and let $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, for $\mu_{KG}^2 \geq 0$ we define the $L^2(\sin \theta d\theta d\varphi)$ self-adjoint operator

$$(6.5) \quad P_{\mu_{KG}}(\xi) f \doteq -\frac{1}{\sin \theta} \partial_\theta (\Delta_\theta \sin \theta \partial_\theta f) - \frac{\Xi^2}{\Delta_\theta \sin^2 \theta} \partial_\varphi^2 f - \Xi \frac{\xi^2}{\Delta_\theta} \cos^2 \theta f - 2i\xi \frac{\Xi}{\Delta_\theta} \frac{a^2}{l^2} \cos^2 \theta \partial_\varphi f + \mu_{KG}^2 a^2 \sin^2 \theta \cdot f,$$

where $\Delta_\theta = 1 + \frac{a^2}{l^2} \cos^2 \theta$, $\Xi = 1 + \frac{a^2}{l^2}$, see Definition 2.4.

By standard arguments for self-adjoint operators, see for example [35], we have the following

Proposition 6.1. Let $l > 0$ and $(a, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l$ and $\mu_{KG}^2 \geq 0$ and let $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, there exist countably many real eigenvalues

$$(6.6) \quad \lambda_{m\ell}^{(\xi), \mu_{KG}} \in \mathbb{R}$$

of the operator $P_{\mu_{KG}}(\xi)$, see Definition 6.3, which are indexed by $\ell \geq |m|$.

Moreover, the eigenvalues (6.6) correspond to the oblate spheroidal harmonics

$$(6.7) \quad S_{m\ell}^{(\xi), \mu_{KG}}(\cos \theta) e^{im\varphi},$$

which form a complete orthonormal basis of $L^2(\sin \theta d\theta d\varphi)$.

For brevity we will drop the explicit reference on μ_{KG}^2 , i.e. we will denote $\lambda_{m\ell}^{(\xi)}$, $S_{m\ell}^{(\xi)}$.

We need the following.

Lemma 6.1. The eigenvalues $\lambda_{m\ell}^{(\xi)}$ of Proposition 6.1 satisfy the following inequalities. If $m\xi \geq 0$ then we have

$$(6.8) \quad \lambda_{m\ell}^{(\xi)} + \xi^2 \geq \Xi^2 m^2, \quad \lambda_{m\ell}^{(\xi)} + \xi^2 - 2m\xi\Xi > 0, \quad \lambda_{m\ell}^{(\xi)} + \xi^2 - 2m\xi \frac{a^2}{l^2} > 0.$$

If $m\xi < 0$ then we have

$$(6.9) \quad \lambda_{m\ell}^{(\xi)} + \xi^2 - 2m\xi\Xi \geq \Xi^2 m^2, \quad \lambda_{m\ell}^{(\xi)} + \xi^2 - 2m\xi \frac{a^2}{l^2} \geq \Xi^2 m^2.$$

Finally, for any $(m, \xi) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}$ we have that

$$(6.10) \quad \lambda_{m\ell}^{(\xi)} + \Xi \xi^2 \geq \Xi m^2$$

Proof. For simplicity, we prove the Lemma for $\mu_{KG}^2 = 0$. Note that the same arguments work for $\mu_{KG}^2 > 0$. For brevity define

$$(6.11) \quad f = S_{m\ell}^{(\xi)}(\xi, \cos\theta)e^{im\varphi},$$

and note that $\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^2)} > 0$.

First, we have the following

$$(6.12) \quad P(\xi)f + \xi^2 f = -\frac{1}{\sin\theta}\partial_\theta(\Delta_\theta \sin\theta\partial_\theta f) + \xi^2\left(1 - \frac{\Xi}{\Delta_\theta}\cos^2\theta\right)f + \frac{\Xi^2}{\Delta_\theta}\frac{1}{\sin^2\theta}m^2 f + 2\xi m\frac{\Xi}{\Delta_\theta}\frac{a^2}{l^2}\cos^2\theta.$$

First, we study the case $m\xi \geq 0$. We obtain the first inequality of (6.8) from (6.12) since

$$(6.13) \quad \int_{\mathbb{S}^2}(P(\xi) + \xi^2)|f|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{S}^2}\Delta_\theta|\partial_\theta f|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{S}^2}\left(\xi^2\left(1 - \frac{\Xi}{\Delta_\theta}\cos^2\theta\right) + \frac{\Xi^2}{\Delta_\theta}\frac{1}{\sin^2\theta}m^2 + 2\xi m\frac{\Xi}{\Delta_\theta}\frac{a^2}{l^2}\cos^2\theta\right)|f|^2 \geq \int_{\mathbb{S}^2}m^2\Xi^2|f|^2$$

where we used that $\frac{1}{\Delta_\theta\sin^2\theta} \geq 1$. To obtain the remaining inequalities of (6.8) we proceed as follows. We rewrite the above (6.12) as

$$(6.14) \quad P(\xi)f + \xi^2 f = -\frac{1}{\sin\theta}\partial_\theta(\Delta_\theta \sin\theta\partial_\theta f) + \left(\xi\sqrt{1 - \frac{\Xi}{\Delta_\theta}\cos^2\theta}\right)^2 f + \left(\frac{\Xi}{\sqrt{\Delta_\theta}}\frac{1}{\sin\theta}m\right)^2 f - 2\xi m\sqrt{1 - \frac{\Xi}{\Delta_\theta}\cos^2\theta}\frac{\Xi}{\sqrt{\Delta_\theta}}\frac{1}{\sin\theta}f + 2\xi m\frac{\Xi}{\Delta_\theta}\frac{a^2}{l^2}\cos^2\theta f + 2\xi m\sqrt{1 - \frac{\Xi}{\Delta_\theta}\cos^2\theta}\frac{\Xi}{\sqrt{\Delta_\theta}}\frac{1}{\sin\theta}f$$

and after some calculations we rewrite it again as

$$(6.15) \quad P(\xi)f + \xi^2 f = -\frac{1}{\sin\theta}\partial_\theta(\Delta_\theta \sin\theta\partial_\theta f) + \left(\xi\sqrt{1 - \frac{\Xi}{\Delta_\theta}\cos^2\theta} - \frac{\Xi}{\sqrt{\Delta_\theta}}\frac{1}{\sin\theta}m\right)^2 f + 2\xi m\Xi\left(1 - \frac{1}{\Delta_\theta} + \sqrt{1 - \frac{\Xi}{\Delta_\theta}\cos^2\theta}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta_\theta}\sin\theta}\right)f.$$

Now, it is straightforward to prove that

$$(6.16) \quad -\frac{1}{\Delta_\theta} + \sqrt{1 - \frac{\Xi}{\Delta_\theta}\cos^2\theta}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta_\theta}\sin\theta} \geq 0.$$

Therefore, from (6.15) and (6.12) it is easy to conclude the remaining inequalities of (6.8).

Now, we study the case $m\xi < 0$. We obtain (6.9) in a straightforward manner from (6.12), in view of the fact that $\frac{a^2}{l^2} < \frac{1}{4}$, see Lemma 3.2.

Now, we proceed to prove (6.10). We write (6.12) as follows

$$(6.17) \quad (P(\xi) + \frac{\Xi}{\Delta_\theta}\xi^2)f = -\frac{1}{\sin\theta}\partial_\theta(\Delta_\theta \sin\theta\partial_\theta f) + \frac{\Xi}{\Delta_\theta}\left(a\omega \sin\theta + m\frac{a^2}{l^2}\frac{\cos^2\theta}{\sin\theta}\right)^2 + \frac{m^2\Xi^2}{\Delta_\theta}\frac{1 - \frac{1}{\Xi}\frac{a^4}{l^4}\cos^4\theta}{\sin^2\theta}f.$$

In view of the fact that $\frac{1 - \frac{1}{\Xi}\frac{a^4}{l^4}\cos^4\theta}{\sin^2\theta} \geq 1$ and that $\frac{\Xi}{\Delta_\theta} \geq 1$ we conclude (6.10). \square

We need the following definition

Definition 6.4. Let $\lambda_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)}$ denote the eigenvalues of the angular operator $P_{\mu_{KG}}$ of Definition 6.3, and ω denote the time frequency from Carter's separation, see Proposition 6.1.

Then, we define the following

$$(6.18) \quad \tilde{\lambda}_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)} = \lambda_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)} + a^2\omega^2.$$

We will often drop the dependence of $\tilde{\lambda}_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)}$ on the frequencies and simply denote them as $\tilde{\lambda}$.

6.5. The radial part of Carter's separation. We here discuss the radial part of Carter's celebrated separation of variables. For the first proof of the separation of variables see the works of Carter [6, 8, 9]. Also see [35] for Carter's separation in the case of negative cosmological constant $\Lambda < 0$.

We first define the Fourier transformations that will be used for the rest of the present Section

Definition 6.5. Let $l > 0$, $(a, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l$ and $\mu_{KG}^2 \geq 0$. Moreover, let ψ be a smooth sufficiently integrable function, see Definition 6.1, where moreover the following holds $\square_{g_{a,M,l}}\psi - \mu_{KG}^2\psi = F$. Then, for $\omega \neq 0$ we define the Fourier transforms as follows

$$(6.19) \quad \begin{aligned} \psi_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} e^{-i\omega t} e^{im\varphi} S_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)}(\theta) \cdot (-i\omega)^{-1} \partial_t \psi d\sigma dt, \\ F_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} e^{-i\omega t} e^{im\varphi} S_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)}(\theta) \cdot (-i\omega)^{-1} \partial_t F d\sigma dt, \end{aligned}$$

with $d\sigma = \sin\theta dt d\theta d\varphi$. For all m, ℓ the functions

$$(6.20) \quad \omega^{i_1} (Z^*)^{i_2} \left(\sqrt{\tilde{\lambda}_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)}} \right)^{i_3} \psi_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)}(r), \quad \mu_{KG}^2 \psi_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)}, \quad \omega^{i_1} (Z^*)^{i_2} \left(\sqrt{\tilde{\lambda}_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)}} \right)^{i_3} F_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)}$$

extend to $L^2(d\omega)$ functions for any $i_1 \geq 1$, $i_2 + i_3 \geq 0$ and moreover we have

$$(6.21) \quad \begin{aligned} \sup_{r \in [r_+, \bar{r}_+]} \sum_{m, \ell} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 + i_2 + i_3 \leq j} \left(\mu_{KG}^2 |\psi_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)}|^2 + \left| \omega^{i_1} (Z^*)^{i_2} \left(\sqrt{\tilde{\lambda}_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)}} \right)^{i_3} \psi_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)} \right|^2 \right) d\omega < \infty, \\ \sup_{r \in [r_+, \bar{r}_+]} \sum_{m, \ell} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{0 \leq i_1 + i_2 + i_3 \leq j} \left| \omega^{i_1} (Z^*)^{i_2} \left(\sqrt{\tilde{\lambda}_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)}} \right)^{i_3} F_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)} \right|^2 d\omega < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

for any $j \geq 1$.

Remark 6.3. In the case of the wave equation $\mu_{KG}^2 = 0$ we note that $\psi_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)}$ of Definition 6.5 is not necessarily an $L^2(d\omega)$ function, in view of the requirement $i_1 \geq 1$ in (6.20).

Let Ψ be a sufficiently integrable function. We denote the Fourier transform with respect to the Boyer-Lindquist coordinate φ as follows

$$(6.22) \quad \mathcal{F}_m(\Psi)(t, r, \theta) = \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-im\varphi} \Psi(t, r, \theta, \varphi) d\varphi.$$

Now we are ready to present Carter's separation for the radial ode.

Proposition 6.2 (Carter's separation, [6, 8, 9, 17]). Let $l > 0$, $(a, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l$ and $\mu_{KG}^2 \geq 0$. Let ψ be a sufficiently integrable function, see Definition 6.1, that solves

$$(6.23) \quad \square_{g_{a,M,l}}\psi - \mu_{KG}^2\psi = F$$

where for the operator $\square_{g_{a,M,l}}$ see (1.7). Let $\psi_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)}, F_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)}$ be the Fourier transforms of ψ, F respectively, see Definition 6.5.

Then, for almost all ω the rescaled function

$$(6.24) \quad u_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)}(r) = \sqrt{r^2 + a^2} \psi_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)}(r)$$

is smooth for all m, ℓ and all $r \in (r_+, \bar{r}_+)$ and moreover it satisfies Carter's fixed frequency radial ode

$$(6.25) \quad [u_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)}(r)]'' + (\omega^2 - V_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)}(r))u_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)}(r) = H_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)}(r), \quad H_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)} = \frac{\Delta}{(r^2 + a^2)^{3/2}} (\rho^2 F)_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)}(r),$$

with $' = \frac{d}{dr^*}$ see Section 2.5.

The potential V can be written as

$$(6.26) \quad V \doteq V_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)}(r) = V_{SL}(r) + (V_0)_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)}(r) + V_{\mu_{KG}}(r),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
V_{SL} &= (r^2 + a^2)^{-1/2} \left(\frac{d}{dr^*} \right)^2 (\sqrt{r^2 + a^2}) \\
&= -\Delta^2 \frac{3r^2}{(r^2 + a^2)^4} + \Delta \frac{-5\frac{r^4}{l^2} + 3r^2(1 - \frac{a^2}{l^2}) - 4Mr + a^2}{(r^2 + a^2)^3}, \\
(6.27) \quad V_0 &= \frac{\Delta(\lambda_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)} + \omega^2 a^2) - \Xi^2 a^2 m^2 - 2m\omega a \Xi (\Delta - (r^2 + a^2))}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} \\
&= \frac{\Delta}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} (\lambda_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)} + a^2 \omega^2 - 2am\omega \Xi) + \omega^2 - \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{r^2 + a^2} \right)^2, \\
V_{\mu_{KG}} &= \mu_{KG}^2 \frac{\Delta}{r^2 + a^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, if ψ is in addition assumed outgoing, see Definition 6.2, then the following boundary conditions hold

$$(6.28) \quad \frac{du}{dr^*} = -i(\omega - \omega_+ m)u, \quad \frac{du}{dr^*} = i(\omega - \bar{\omega}_+ m)u,$$

at $r^* = -\infty, r^* = +\infty$ respectively, in the sense:

$$(6.29) \quad \lim_{r^* \rightarrow -\infty} (u'(r^*) + i(\omega - \omega_+ m)u(r^*)) = 0, \quad \lim_{r^* \rightarrow \infty} (u'(r^*) - i(\omega - \bar{\omega}_+ m)u(r^*)) = 0,$$

where $\omega_+ = \frac{a\Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2}$, $\bar{\omega}_+ = \frac{a\bar{\Xi}}{\bar{r}_+^2 + a^2}$. Finally, we note that $|u|^2(\pm\infty) = \lim_{r^* \rightarrow \pm\infty} |u|^2(r^*)$ are well defined.

6.6. Parseval identities and inequalities. We have the following Parseval identities

Proposition 6.3. *Let $l > 0$, $(a, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l$ and $\mu_{KG}^2 \geq 0$.*

Let Ψ be a sufficiently integrable function, see Definition 6.1. Then, we have the following identities

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.30) \quad & \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\partial_t \Psi|^2 \sin \theta d\theta d\varphi dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{m,\ell} \omega^2 |\Psi_{m,\ell}^{(a\omega)}(r)|^2 d\omega, \\
& \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Psi \cdot Y \sin \theta d\theta d\varphi dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{m,\ell} \Psi_{m,\ell}^{(a\omega)} \cdot \overline{Y_{m,\ell}^{(a\omega)}} d\omega, \\
& \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{m,\ell} \lambda_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)} |\Psi_{m,\ell}^{(a\omega)}|^2 d\omega = \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} \nabla \Psi|_{d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2}}^2 \sin \theta d\theta d\varphi dt - a^2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\partial_t \Psi|^2 \cos^2 \theta \sin \theta d\theta d\varphi dt,
\end{aligned}$$

where $d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} \nabla$ is the covariant derivative of $d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2}$ and $d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2}$ is the standard metric of the unit sphere, see Section 2.10. For $\lambda_{m\ell}^{(\xi)}$, with $\xi = a\omega$, see Proposition 6.1. In the second identity of (6.30) we also assumed that the integrals are finite.

Furthermore, there exist constants $c(a, M, l), C(a, M, l) > 0$, such that if Ψ is in addition an outgoing function, see Definition 6.2, then for almost all ω the radial functions $|\Psi_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)}|^2(\pm\infty)$ are well defined for all m, ℓ , and we have the following identity

$$(6.31) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |\partial_\varphi \Psi|^2(r_+, \theta, \varphi, t) \sin \theta d\theta d\varphi dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{m,\ell} |m \Psi_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)}(-\infty)|^2 d\omega.$$

Remark 6.4. *We note that $\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} \nabla \Psi|_{d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2}}^2 \sin \theta d\theta d\varphi dt \sim \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\nabla \Psi|^2 \sin \theta d\theta d\varphi dt$.*

6.7. Energy identity for outgoing solutions u of (6.25). Note the following

Proposition 6.4. *Let $l > 0$, $(a, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l$ and $\mu_{KG}^2 \geq 0$. Let $(\omega, m, \ell) \in \mathbb{R} \times \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} (\{m\} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq |m|})$. Let u be a smooth solution of Carter's radial ode (6.25) which moreover satisfies the boundary conditions (6.28), in the sense of (6.29).*

Then, we have the following

$$(6.32) \quad \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{\bar{r}_+^2 + a^2} \right) |u|^2(\infty) + \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} \right) |u|^2(-\infty) = \text{Im}(\bar{u}H).$$

Proof. We multiply the radial ode (6.25) with \bar{u} and after integration by parts we obtain the desired result and the fact that we may rewrite the asymptotic formulas (6.28) as follows

$$(6.33) \quad u' = -i \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} \right) u, \quad u' = i \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{\bar{r}_+^2 + a^2} \right) u,$$

at $r^* = -\infty, +\infty$ respectively. \square

6.8. The Wronskian and representation formulas for the inhomogeneous Carter radial ode. Let $l > 0$, $(a, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l$ and $\mu_{KG}^2 \geq 0$. Let $\omega \neq \omega_+ m$, $\omega \neq \bar{\omega}_+ m$, where $\omega_+ = \frac{a\Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2}$, $\bar{\omega}_+ = \frac{a\Xi}{\bar{r}_+^2 + a^2}$. Moreover, let

$$(6.34) \quad (u_{\mathcal{H}^+})_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)}, \quad (u_{\bar{\mathcal{H}}^+})_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)}$$

simply denoted as $u_{\mathcal{H}^+}$, $u_{\bar{\mathcal{H}}^+}$, be the solutions of the homogeneous radial ode

$$(6.35) \quad u'' + (\omega^2 - V)u = 0,$$

that satisfy respectively the outgoing asymptotics

$$(6.36) \quad u_{\mathcal{H}^+} \sim (r - r_+)^{\eta_{\mathcal{H}^+}}, \quad u_{\bar{\mathcal{H}}^+} \sim (\bar{r}_+ - r)^{\eta_{\bar{\mathcal{H}}^+}}$$

where

$$(6.37) \quad \eta_{\mathcal{H}^+} = -\frac{i(\omega - \omega_+ m)}{2\kappa_{\mathcal{H}^+}}, \quad \eta_{\bar{\mathcal{H}}^+} = \frac{i(\omega - \bar{\omega}_+ m)}{2\kappa_{\bar{\mathcal{H}}^+}},$$

where note the surface gravities $\kappa_{\mathcal{H}^+} = \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{d\Delta}{dr} \right| (r_+)$, $\kappa_{\bar{\mathcal{H}}^+} = \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{d\Delta}{dr} \right| (\bar{r}_+)$. For the existence of the solutions (6.34) see the book of Olver [47].

Definition 6.6. Let $l > 0$, $(a, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l$ and $\mu_{KG}^2 \geq 0$. Moreover, let $(\omega, m, \ell) \in \mathbb{R} \times \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \{m\} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq |m|}$, where moreover $\omega \neq \omega_+ m$, $\omega \neq \bar{\omega}_+ m$. We define the Wronskian associated to the homogeneous radial ode (6.35) to be

$$(6.38) \quad \mathcal{W}(a, M, l, \mu_{KG}, \omega, m, \ell) = \mathcal{W}[u_{\mathcal{H}^+}, u_{\bar{\mathcal{H}}^+}](\omega, m, \ell) = u'_{\bar{\mathcal{H}}^+} u_{\mathcal{H}^+} - u_{\bar{\mathcal{H}}^+} u'_{\mathcal{H}^+},$$

where we note that the RHS of (6.38) is independent of r^* .

Let $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq |m|}$, $\omega \neq \omega_+ m$, $\omega \neq \bar{\omega}_+ m$. We have that a non-trivial real (ω, m, ℓ) -frequency mode solution of Carter's radial ode (6.25) exists if and only if we have $\mathcal{W}[u_{\mathcal{H}^+}, u_{\bar{\mathcal{H}}^+}](\omega, m, \ell) = 0$.

Remark 6.5. In our discussion above on real (ω, m, ℓ) -frequency mode solutions we have excluded the case $\omega = m = 0$ where in fact trivial mode solutions do exist in the case $\mu_{KG} = 0$, and they correspond to constant solutions of the wave equation (1.7) (with $\mu_{KG} = 0$). These frequencies are treated with the main estimate of Section 16.5.2, namely Proposition 16.4. Due to the existence of these constant solutions we have that in Proposition 16.4 we do not control the zeroth order term of the solution of Carter's radial ode, but only control derivative terms of the solution of Carter's radial ode.

Moreover, in the definition of the Wronskian, see Definition 6.6, and in our discussion above on real (ω, m, ℓ) frequency mode solutions we have, more generally, excluded the frequencies $\omega = \omega_+ m$, $\omega = \bar{\omega}_+ m$, since we did not uniquely define the solutions $u_{\mathcal{H}^+}$, $u_{\bar{\mathcal{H}}^+}$ for those frequency cases. Furthermore, a benefit of excluding those frequencies is that in Proposition 6.5 we can prove that the Wronskian is a continuous function in ω in a straightforward manner. Finally, we prove energy estimates for the bounded frequency regimes $\{0 \leq |\omega - \omega_+ m| \leq \epsilon\} \cup \{0 \leq |\omega - \bar{\omega}_+ m| \leq \epsilon\}$, for a sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$, see Proposition 16.6.

We have the following proposition

Proposition 6.5. *Let $l > 0$, $(a, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l$ and $\mu_{KG}^2 \geq 0$. Moreover, let $(\omega, m, \ell) \in \mathbb{R} \times \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \{m\} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq |m|}$, where moreover $\omega \neq \omega_+ m$, $\omega \neq \bar{\omega}_+ m$. Then, the Wronskian $\mathcal{W}(a, M, l, \mu_{KG}, \cdot, m, \ell)$, see Definition 6.6, is a continuous function in $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{\omega_+ m, \bar{\omega}_+ m\}$.*

Proof. This follows easily since we have that for $\omega \neq \omega_+ m$ and $\omega \neq \bar{\omega}_+ m$ the solutions $u_{\mathcal{H}^+}, u_{\bar{\mathcal{H}}^+}$, see (6.36), depend continuously on ω in the desired domain. \square

Note the following Lemma.

Lemma 6.2. *Let $l > 0$, $(a, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l$ and $\mu_{KG}^2 \geq 0$. Let (ω, m, ℓ) with $\omega \neq \omega_+ m, \omega \neq \bar{\omega}_+ m$ be such that*

$$(6.39) \quad |\mathcal{W}^{-1}(\omega, m, \ell)| < +\infty$$

where for the Wronskian see Definition 6.6.

Then, for smooth solutions of Carter's separation of variables, see Proposition 6.2, that moreover satisfy the outgoing boundary conditions (6.28), in the sense of (6.29), we obtain the following representation formula

$$(6.40) \quad u(r^*) = \mathcal{W}^{-1} \cdot \left(u_{\bar{\mathcal{H}}^+}(r^*) \int_{-\infty}^{r^*} u_{\mathcal{H}^+}(x^*) H(x^*) dx^* + u_{\mathcal{H}^+}(r^*) \int_{r^*}^{+\infty} u_{\bar{\mathcal{H}}^+}(x^*) H(x^*) dx^* \right),$$

where the equality (6.40) holds pointwise; for $u_{\mathcal{H}^+}, u_{\bar{\mathcal{H}}^+}$ see (6.36).

Proof. Follow verbatim the arguments of Shlapentokh-Rothman [[54], Section 3], which rely on a classic variation of parameters argument. \square

Moreover, we have the following on Schwarzschild-de Sitter

Proposition 6.6. *Let $l > 0$, $(0, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l$ and $\mu_{KG}^2 \geq 0$. Then, for any $\omega \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq |m|}$ we have that $\mathcal{W}(\omega, m, \ell) \neq 0$.*

Proof. From Proposition 6.4 we have that

$$(6.41) \quad \omega |u|^2(\infty) + \omega |u|^2(-\infty) = 0,$$

where u is a smooth solution of Carter's homogeneous radial ode (6.25), from which it follows easily that $u \equiv 0$. \square

6.9. The operator \mathcal{P}_{trap} . Let Ψ be a sufficiently integrable function, see Definition 6.1. We define the following operator

$$(6.42) \quad \mathcal{P}_{trap}[\Psi] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{m, \ell} \left| 1 - \frac{r_{trap}}{r} \right| e^{i\omega t} \Psi_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)}(r) S_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)}(\theta) e^{-im\phi} d\omega,$$

where $r_{trap}(\omega, m, \ell) \in (R^-, R^+) \cup \{0\} \not\subseteq (r_+, \bar{r}_+) \cup \{0\}$, see already Theorem 1 and Theorem 8.3.

Furthermore, we have

$$(6.43) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_t \mathcal{P}_{trap}[\Psi] &:= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{m, \ell} \left| 1 - \frac{r_{trap}}{r} \right| e^{i\omega t} i\omega \Psi_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)}(r) S_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)}(\theta) e^{-im\phi} d\omega, \\ |\nabla \mathcal{P}_{trap}[\Psi]| &:= \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{m, \ell} \left| 1 - \frac{r_{trap}}{r} \right| e^{i\omega t} \Psi_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)}(r) \nabla \left(S_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)}(\theta) e^{-im\phi} \right) d\omega \right|. \end{aligned}$$

We record a global Parseval identity and an estimate

$$(6.44) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |\partial_t \mathcal{P}_{trap}[\Psi]|^2 \sin \theta d\theta d\varphi dr^* dt &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{m,\ell} \omega^2 \left(1 - \frac{r_{trap}}{r}\right)^2 |\Psi_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)}|^2 dr^* d\omega, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |\nabla \mathcal{P}_{trap}[\Psi]|^2 \sin \theta d\theta d\varphi dr^* dt &\leq B \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{m,\ell} \omega^2 \left(1 - \frac{r_{trap}}{r}\right)^2 |\Psi_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)}|^2 dr^* d\omega \\ &\quad + B \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{m,\ell} \lambda_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)} \left(1 - \frac{r_{trap}}{r}\right)^2 |\Psi_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)}|^2 dr^* d\omega \end{aligned}$$

also see the Section 6.6 on Parseval identities.

Since Ψ is sufficiently integrable we have that

$$(6.45) \quad \sup_{r \in [r_+, \bar{r}_+]} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \left(\mu_{KG}^2 |\mathcal{P}_{trap}[\Psi]|^2 + \sum_{1 \leq i_1 + i_2 \leq j} |\nabla^{i_1} \partial_t^{i_2} \mathcal{P}_{trap}[\Psi]|^2 \right) < \infty.$$

6.10. The superradiant frequencies \mathcal{SF} . We need the following

Definition 6.7. Let $l > 0$ and $(a, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l$. We define the superradiant frequencies as

$$(6.46) \quad \mathcal{SF} = \left\{ (\omega, m) : am\omega \in \left(\frac{a^2 m^2 \Xi}{\bar{r}_+^2 + a^2}, \frac{a^2 m^2 \Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} \right) \right\}$$

or equivalently $\mathcal{SF} = \left\{ (\omega, m) : \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{\bar{r}_+^2 + a^2} \right) \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} \right) < 0 \right\}$.

In the Kerr limit ($\Lambda = 0$), in view of the fact that $\bar{r}_+(\Lambda = 0) = \infty$, see Lemma 3.2, we obtain that the superradiant frequencies are

$$(6.47) \quad am\omega \in \left(0, \frac{am^2}{r_+^2 + a^2} \right), \text{ or equivalently } \omega \left(\omega - \frac{am}{r_+^2 + a^2} \right) < 0,$$

see [17].

6.11. The frequency set $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{SF}, \mathcal{C}}$. Let $l > 0$ and $(a, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l$. Let $\mathcal{C} > 0$. We define the set

$$(6.48) \quad \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{SF}, \mathcal{C}} = \left\{ (\omega, m, \ell) : |\omega| \in [\mathcal{C}^{-1}, \mathcal{C}], \tilde{\lambda}_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)} \leq \mathcal{C}, (\omega, m) \in \mathcal{SF}, |\omega - \omega_+ m| > \frac{1}{\mathcal{C}}, |\omega - \bar{\omega}_+ m| > \frac{1}{\mathcal{C}} \right\}.$$

For the superradiant frequencies \mathcal{SF} , see Definition 6.7. Moreover, for $\tilde{\lambda}_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)}$ see Definition 6.4.

6.12. The operator $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{SF}, \mathcal{C}}$. Let Ψ be a sufficiently integrable function, see Definition 6.1. Given $\mathcal{C} > 0$, we define the Fourier projection $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{SF}, \mathcal{C}}$ on bounded non-stationary superradiant frequencies

$$(6.49) \quad \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{SF}, \mathcal{C}}[\Psi] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{m,\ell} 1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{SF}, \mathcal{C}}} \cdot e^{i\omega t} e^{-im\varphi} S_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)}(\theta) \Psi_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)},$$

where for $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{SF}, \mathcal{C}}$ see (6.48).

By using the Parseval identity (6.31) we also have that

$$(6.50) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{H}^+} |\partial_\varphi \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{SF}, \mathcal{C}}[\Psi]|^2 dg &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |\partial_\varphi \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{SF}, \mathcal{C}}[\Psi]|^2 \sin \theta d\theta d\varphi dt \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{m,\ell} 1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{SF}, \mathcal{C}}} |m^2 \Psi_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)}|^2 (r^* = -\infty) d\omega \\ &\sim \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{m,\ell} 1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{SF}, \mathcal{C}}} |\Psi_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)}|^2 (r^* = -\infty) d\omega \\ &\sim \int_{\mathcal{H}^+} |\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{SF}, \mathcal{C}}[\Psi]|^2 dg, \end{aligned}$$

where the similarities above are with respect to constants $C = C(a, M, l, \mu_{KG})$.

Again, since Ψ is sufficiently integrable we have

$$(6.51) \quad \sup_{r \in [r_+, \bar{r}_+]} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \left(\mu_{KG}^2 |\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{SF}, \mathcal{C}}[\Psi]|^2 + \sum_{1 \leq i_1 + i_2 \leq j} |\Psi^{i_1} \partial_t^{i_2} \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{SF}, \mathcal{C}}[\Psi]|^2 \right) < \infty.$$

6.13. The set $\widetilde{\mathcal{MS}}_{l, \mu_{KG}}$. In the following definition we define various sets we need throughout the paper and in particular in Proposition 6.7:

Definition 6.8. Let $l > 0$ and $\mu_{KG}^2 \geq 0$. We define the set

$$(6.52) \quad \widetilde{\mathcal{MS}}_{l, \mu_{KG}} = \{(a, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l : \forall (\omega, m, \ell) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq |m|} \setminus \{\omega = \omega_+ m, \omega = \bar{\omega}_+ m\}, |\mathcal{W}^{-1}(a, M, l, \mu_{KG}^2, \omega, m, \ell)| < +\infty\},$$

where $\omega_+ = \frac{a\Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2}$, $\bar{\omega}_+ = \frac{a\Xi}{\bar{r}_+^2 + a^2}$.

We define the set

$$(6.53) \quad \mathcal{B}_{0, l} = \{(0, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l\} = \{(0, M) : 0 < \frac{M^2}{l^2} < \frac{1}{27}\}.$$

By Proposition 6.6 we have that $\mathcal{B}_{0, l} \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{MS}}_{l, \mu_{KG}}$.

Remark 6.6. Note that if $(a, M) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{MS}}_{l, \mu_{KG}}$ then we have that $(-a, M) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{MS}}_{l, \mu_{KG}}$, in view of the fact that $\mathcal{W}(a, M, l, \mu_{KG}^2, \omega, m, \ell) = \mathcal{W}(-a, M, l, \mu_{KG}^2, -\omega, m, \ell)$.

6.14. Quantitative mode stability as a flux bound. The main result of the present Section is Proposition 6.7, which is a quantitative version of mode stability.

Proposition 6.7. Let $l > 0$, $\mu_{KG}^2 \geq 0$ and $(a, M) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{MS}}_{l, \mu_{KG}}$. Let ψ be a sufficiently integrable and outgoing solution of the Klein-Gordon equation (1.7).

Then, for any $C > 0$ sufficiently large, there exists a constant $C(a, M, l, \mu_{KG}, \mathcal{C}) > 0$ such that we have the following estimate

$$(6.54) \quad \int_{\mathcal{H}^+} |\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{SF}, \mathcal{C}}(\chi_{\tau_1} \psi)|^2 \leq C \int_{\{t^* = \tau_1\}} J_{\mu}^n[\psi] n^{\mu},$$

where $1 \leq \tau_1$.

Proof. Given that $l > 0$, $\mu_{KG}^2 \geq 0$, $(a, M) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{MS}}_{l, \mu_{KG}}$, we repeat the estimates of [[54], Section 3], which we here only sketch. First, if ψ is a smooth solution of the Klein Gordon equation (1.7) then we have

$$(6.55) \quad \square(\chi_{\tau_1} \psi) = F = 2\nabla \chi_{\tau_1} \cdot \nabla \psi + \psi \square \chi_{\tau_1}.$$

Furthermore, we define $u = \sqrt{r^2 + a^2} (\chi_{\tau_1} \psi)_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)}$, and $H = \frac{\Delta}{(r^2 + a^2)^{3/2}} (\rho^2 F)_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)}$. We have the representation formula

$$(6.56) \quad u(r^*) = \mathcal{W}^{-1} \cdot \left(u_{\bar{\mathcal{H}}^+}(r^*) \int_{-\infty}^{r^*} u_{\mathcal{H}^+}(x^*) H(x^*) dx^* + u_{\mathcal{H}^+}(r^*) \int_{r^*}^{+\infty} u_{\bar{\mathcal{H}}^+}(x^*) H(x^*) \right),$$

from Lemma 6.2 which recall holds for $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq |m|}$ such that $|\mathcal{W}^{-1}(\omega, m, \ell)| < \infty$ and $\omega \neq \omega_+ m, \omega \neq \bar{\omega}_+ m$. Now, in view of the continuity of the Wronskian, see Proposition 6.5, we have that for any $\mathcal{C} > 0$ there exists a $C(a, M, l, \mu_{KG}, \mathcal{C})$ such that for $(\omega, m, \ell) \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{SF}, \mathcal{C}}$ (which is a compact set) we have that

$$(6.57) \quad |\mathcal{W}^{-1}| \leq C(a, M, l, \mu_{KG}, \mathcal{C}).$$

For $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{SF}, \mathcal{C}}$ see (6.48). By using (6.57) then the representation formula (6.56), in view of Parseval identities, see Section 6.6, implies the desired result. \square

7. THE DETAILED VERSION OF THEOREM 1

In this Section we present our main theorem.

7.1. Boundedness and Morawetz estimate: Theorem 1. First, we need the following definition

Definition 7.1. Let $l > 0$ and $\mu_{KG}^2 \geq 0$. We define the following set

$$(7.1) \quad \mathcal{MS}_{l, \mu_{KG}}$$

to be the connected component of $\widetilde{\mathcal{MS}}_{l, \mu_{KG}}$ containing $\mathcal{B}_{0,l}$ with the standard Euclidean topology, where for the sets $\widetilde{\mathcal{MS}}_{l, \mu_{KG}}, \mathcal{B}_{0,l}$ see Definition 6.8.

The following Proposition follows immediately from Remark 6.6

Proposition 7.1. Let $l > 0$ and $\mu_{KG}^2 \geq 0$. Moreover, let $(a, M) \in \mathcal{MS}_{l, \mu_{KG}}$. Then, we have that $(-a, M) \in \mathcal{MS}_{l, \mu_{KG}}$.

The boundedness and Morawetz estimates read as follows

Theorem 1 (detailed version). Let $l > 0$, $\mu_{KG}^2 \geq 0$ and

$$(a, M) \in \mathcal{MS}_{l, \mu_{KG}},$$

where for $\mathcal{MS}_{l, \mu_{KG}}$ see (7.1).

Then, there exist constants

$$(7.2) \quad C = C(a, M, l, \mu_{KG}^2) > 0, \quad R^-(a, M, l, \mu_{KG}) > 0, \quad R^+(a, M, l, \mu_{KG}) > 0$$

where $r_+ < R^- < R^+ < \bar{r}_+$, such that if ψ satisfies the Klein-Gordon equation (1.7) in $D(\tau_1, \infty)$ then we have the following estimates

$$(7.3) \quad \int \int_{D(\tau_1, \tau_2)} \mu_{KG}^2 |\psi|^2 + |Z^* \psi|^2 + |\nabla \mathcal{P}_{trap}(\chi_{\tau_1} \psi)|^2 + |\partial_{t^*} \mathcal{P}_{trap}(\chi_{\tau_1} \psi)|^2 + \sum_m (1_{|m|>0} |\mathcal{F}_m \psi|^2) \\ \leq C \int_{\{t^*=\tau_1\}} J_\mu^n[\psi] n^\mu,$$

$$(7.4) \quad \int_{\mathcal{H}^+ \cap D(\tau_1, \tau_2)} J_\mu^n[\psi] n^\mu + \int_{\bar{\mathcal{H}}^+ \cap D(\tau_1, \tau_2)} J_\mu^n[\psi] n^\mu + \int_{\{t^*=\tau_2\}} J_\mu^n[\psi] n^\mu \leq C \int_{\{t^*=\tau_1\}} J_\mu^n[\psi] n^\mu,$$

$$(7.5) \quad \int_{\{t^*=\tau_2\}} J_\mu^n[\psi] + |\psi|^2 \leq C \int_{\{t^*=\tau_1\}} J_\mu^n[\psi] n^\mu + |\psi|^2$$

for all $0 \leq \tau_1 \leq \tau_2$, where for \mathcal{P}_{trap} see (6.42), for $r_{trap} \in (R^-, R^+) \cup \{0\}$ see Theorem 8.3, and for \mathcal{F}_m see (6.22).

Proof. See Section 10. □

Remark 7.1. It is easy to see that in Theorem 1 we can replace the cut-off χ_{τ_1} with a general cut-off $\chi: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with the property

$$(7.6) \quad \text{supp } \chi \cap \{t^* < \tau_1\} = \emptyset.$$

Specifically, in our companion [43] we will use the cut-off $\chi = \eta_{\tau_1}^{(T)} \chi_{\tau_1, \tau_1+T}^2$ where

$$(7.7) \quad \chi_{\tau_1, \tau_2}^{(T)}(t^*) = \begin{cases} 1, & \{\tau_1 + T \leq t^* \leq \tau_2 - T\} \\ 0, & \{t^* \leq \tau_1\} \cup \{t^* \geq \tau_2\}, \end{cases} \quad \eta_{\tau_1}^{(T)}(t^*) = \begin{cases} 1, & \{t^* \geq T + \tau_1\} \\ 0, & \{t^* \leq \tau_1\}, \end{cases}$$

for some $T > 0$. For notational ease Theorem 1 is proved with the cut-off χ_{τ_1} .

Remark 7.2. Given $\mu_{KG}^2 \geq 0$, suppose that mode stability on the real axis holds for all subextremal black hole parameters, i.e. $\widetilde{\mathcal{MS}}_{l, \mu_{KG}} = \mathcal{B}_l$. Then, since \mathcal{B}_l is connected, see Lemma 2.1, we have $\mathcal{MS}_{l, \mu_{KG}} = \mathcal{B}_l$ and thus Theorem 1 would hold for all subextremal parameters.

Remark 7.3. For fixed (a, M, l) , the constants in the RHS of the estimates (7.3), (7.4), blow up in the limit $\mu_{KG} \rightarrow 0$. Note, however, that $\mu_{KG} = 0$ is indeed allowed in the theorem, i.e. the constant for $\mu_{KG} = 0$ is finite. In contrast, the constants on the right hand side of the boundedness estimate (7.5) can be chosen uniformly for sufficiently small $\mu_{KG}^2 > 0$. Finally, it is clear from the steps of the proof of our Theorem 1 that if we allow zeroth order terms $|\psi|^2$ in the hypersurface terms of the right hand side of estimates (7.3), (7.4), then the constants of these estimates can also be chosen uniformly for sufficiently small Klein–Gordon masses $\mu_{KG}^2 > 0$.

Remark 7.4. As discussed in the introduction, the set $\mathcal{MS}_{l, \mu_{KG}}$ includes the very slowly rotating black hole parameters $|a| \ll M, l$, where the smallness depends on μ_{KG}^2 . Moreover, the set $\mathcal{MS}_{l, \mu_{KG}}$ includes arbitrary black hole parameters $|a| < M \ll l$, and $\mu_{KG}^2 = 0$, where the constant implicit in \ll depends on the difference to extremality $M - |a|$, in view of the recent work of Hintz [26].

Remark 7.5. The LHS of (7.3), in conjunction with the local estimates of Lemma 8.1, also controls the spacetime integral

$$(7.8) \quad \int \int_{D(\tau_1, \tau_2)} \mu_{KG}^2 |\psi|^2 + |Z^* \psi|^2 + \zeta_{\text{trap}}(r) (|\nabla \psi|^2 + (\partial_{t^*} \psi)^2) \leq C \int_{\{t^* = \tau_1\}} J_\mu^n[\psi] n^\mu,$$

where

$$(7.9) \quad \zeta_{\text{trap}}(r) = (1 - 1_{[R^-, R^+]}) \left(1 - \frac{R^- + R^+}{r}\right)^2,$$

and for R^\pm see Corollary 8.2.

Note that on a Schwarzschild–de Sitter background $a = 0$ we have

$$(7.10) \quad R^- = R^+ = r_{\Delta, \text{frac}} = 3M, \quad \zeta_{\text{trap}}(r) = \left(1 - \frac{3M}{r}\right)^2.$$

Moreover, if the solution of the Klein–Gordon equation (1.7) is axisymmetric $\partial_\varphi \psi = 0$, then (7.8) holds for $(a, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l$, where we have $R^- = R^+$.

By also utilizing the higher order redshift estimate of Proposition 5.2, applied in the extended domain D_δ , we obtain the following higher order Corollary, that also treats the inhomogeneous case

Corollary 1.1. Let the assumptions of Theorem 1 be satisfied, where ψ is now a solution of the inhomogeneous Klein–Gordon equation $\square_{g_{a, M, l}} \psi - \mu_{KG}^2 \psi = F$, where F is sufficiently regular. Then, for any $j \geq 1$ there exists a sufficiently small $\delta(a, M, l, \mu_{KG}, j)$ such that the following hold. Let $\{t^* = \tau'\}$ be the extended spacelike hypersurfaces, defined in the extended domain $D_\delta(\tau_1, \infty)$, see Definition 2.8. Then, there exists a constant

$$(7.11) \quad C = C(j, a, M, l, \mu_{KG}^2) > 0,$$

such that we obtain

$$(7.12) \quad \int \int_{D(\tau_1, \infty)} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 + i_2 \leq j} |\nabla^{i_1} (\partial_{t^*})^{i_2} \mathcal{P}_{\text{trap}}(\chi \psi)|^2 + \int \int_{D_\delta(\tau_1, \infty) \setminus D(\tau_1, \infty)} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 + i_2 + i_3 \leq j} |\nabla^{i_1} \partial_{t^*}^{i_2} (Z^*)^{i_3} \psi|^2 \\ + \sum_{1 \leq i_1 + i_2 + i_3 \leq j-1} \left(|\nabla^{i_1} (\partial_{t^*})^{i_2} (Z^*)^{i_3+1} \psi|^2 + |\nabla^{i_1} (\partial_{t^*})^{i_2} (Z^*)^{i_3} \psi|^2 \right) \\ \leq C \int_{\{t^* = \tau_1\}} \sum_{i_1 + i_2 + i_3} |\nabla^{i_1} \partial_{t^*}^{i_2} (Z^*)^{i_3} \psi|^2 + \sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq j} \int \int_{D_\delta(\tau_1, \infty)} |\partial_{t^*}^{i_1} \psi \cdot \partial_{t^*}^{i_1-1} F| + |\partial_\varphi^{i_1} \psi \cdot \partial_\varphi^{i_1-1} F| + |N^{i_1-1} F|^2,$$

$$(7.13) \quad \int_{\mathcal{H}^+ \cap D_\delta(\tau_1, \infty)} \sum_{0 \leq i \leq j-1} J_\mu^N[N^i \psi] n_{\mathcal{H}^+}^\mu + \int_{\bar{\mathcal{H}}^+ \cap D_\delta(\tau_1, \infty)} \sum_{0 \leq i \leq j-1} J_\mu^N[N^i \psi] n_{\bar{\mathcal{H}}^+}^\mu \\ \leq C \int_{\{t^* = \tau_1\}} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 + i_2 + i_3} |\nabla^{i_1} \partial_{t^*}^{i_2} (Z^*)^{i_3} \psi|^2 + \sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq j} \int \int_{D_\delta(\tau_1, \infty)} |\partial_{t^*}^{i_1} \psi \cdot \partial_{t^*}^{i_1-1} F| + |\partial_\varphi^{i_1} \psi \cdot \partial_\varphi^{i_1-1} F| + |N^{i_1-1} F|^2,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(7.14) \quad & \int_{\{t^*=\tau_2\}} \sum_{1 \leq i_1+i_2+i_3} |\nabla^{i_1} \partial_t^{i_2} (Z^*)^{i_3} \psi|^2 \\
& \leq C \int_{\{t^*=\tau_1\}} \sum_{1 \leq i_1+i_2+i_3} |\nabla^{i_1} \partial_t^{i_2} (Z^*)^{i_3} \psi|^2 \\
& \quad + \sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq j} \int \int_{D_\delta(\tau_1, \infty)} \epsilon' |\partial_t^{i_1} \psi|^2 + (\epsilon')^{-1} |\partial_t^{i_1-1} F|^2 + \epsilon' |\partial_\varphi^{i_1} \psi|^2 + (\epsilon')^{-1} |\partial_\varphi^{i_1-1} F| + |N^{i_1-1} F|^2,
\end{aligned}$$

for any $1 \leq 1 + \tau_1 \leq \tau_2$ and for any $0 \leq \epsilon' < 1$, for some sufficiently small $\delta(j, a, M, l)$. Moreover, for the redshift vector field N see Proposition 5.1.

Proof. We first discuss the estimates (7.12), (7.13). The case $j = 1$ follows immediately from the consideration of the proof of Theorem 1, where we now keep track of the inhomogeneity. Specifically, note that Proposition 6.7 also holds for the inhomogeneous Klein–Gordon equation, with the additional term $\int_{D_\delta(\tau_1, \infty)} |F|^2$ on the RHS of (6.54). To prove the cases $j > 1$ we proceed as follows. We commute the inhomogeneous Klein–Gordon equation $\square_{g_{a,M,l}} \psi - \mu_{KG}^2 \psi = F$ with $\partial_t, \partial_\varphi, N$ appropriately many times and use elliptic estimates (see for example the lecture notes [16]), where we also use the redshift Proposition 5.2 to control derivatives near the horizons.

Now, we discuss the boundedness estimate (7.14). Again, the $j = 1$ case follows from the considerations of the proof of Theorem 1, where we keep track of the inhomogeneities. Specifically, we use Young’s inequalities on the error terms on the RHS of estimate (7.12) and follow the steps of Section 11, where we will now have appropriate inhomogeneity on the RHS of the estimates of Section 11. We can obtain the higher order estimates by commuting with $\partial_t, \partial_\varphi, N$. \square

7.2. Logic of the proof of Theorem 1. We here present the steps of the proof of Theorem 1, in an order that further clarifies the logic of the proof.

The first step is to prove the desired Morawetz estimate for sufficiently integrable solutions of the inhomogeneous Klein–Gordon equation, valid for all subextremal Kerr–de Sitter black hole parameters $(a, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l$, but with an additional horizon term on the RHS, see Theorem 8.1 in Section 8. To prove Corollary 8.2 we use the fixed frequency estimate of Theorem 8.3, which is proved in Section 16.

In the case where moreover $(a, M) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{MS}}_{l, \mu_{KG}}$, we use the quantitative mode stability in the form of the flux bound of Proposition 6.7, to remove the additional horizon term on the RHS, and we obtain Corollary 8.2 for ‘future integrable’ solutions of the homogeneous Klein–Gordon equation (1.7).

In the $(a, M) \in \mathcal{MS}_{l, \mu_{KG}}$ we use a continuity argument, see Section 9, to prove that all solutions of the Klein–Gordon equation (1.7) are in fact ‘future integrable’, see Theorem 9.1 in Section 9.

In Section 10 we combine Corollary 8.2 and Theorem 9.1 to conclude the proof of the Morawetz estimate (7.3) of Theorem 1, for $(a, M) \in \mathcal{MS}_{l, \mu_{KG}}$.

The remaining boundedness estimates (7.4), (7.5) are proved in Section 11, where note we follow a similar approach to [17].

For the axisymmetric case of Theorem 1 we do not need a continuity argument, and in fact the proofs are significantly simpler, see the proof in Section 12. Specifically, by using the boundedness estimates for axisymmetric solutions of Proposition 5.3, we conclude that axisymmetric solutions of the Klein–Gordon equation are in fact future integrable for all $(a, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l$ and therefore we can use directly the Morawetz estimate of Theorem 8.1, which concludes the proof.

8. A MORAWETZ ESTIMATE FOR SUFFICIENTLY INTEGRABLE FUNCTIONS

The main result of this Section is Theorem 8.1. To obtain Theorem 8.1 we will sum the fixed frequency ode estimate of Theorem 8.3, by using Proposition 6.2 and Proposition 6.3, and we will also use the redshift estimates of Section 5. (The proof of Theorem 8.3 is deferred to Section 16.)

8.1. Notation on constants. From this point onwards we use the constants

$$(8.1) \quad b(a, M, l, \mu_{KG}) > 0, \quad B(a, M, l, \mu_{KG}) > 0,$$

to denote respectively potentially small and potentially large positive constants both depending only on the black hole parameters a, M, l , the Klein–Gordon mass μ_{KG}^2 . Specifically, we note that for certain inequalities the constants b, B will depend on the sufficiently large and sufficiently small parameters $r_{\pm\infty}^*$, which will be determined later. Furthermore, for certain inequalities the constants b, B will depend on a sufficiently large $\mathcal{C} > 0$ constant. This dependence will be clearly denoted when used.

Informally, we record the following algebra of constants

$$(8.2) \quad B + B = B, \quad B \cdot B = B, \quad b + b = b, \quad b \cdot b = b.$$

Moreover, let $f, g \geq 0$. When we use $f \sim g$ we mean $bg \leq f \leq Bg$.

8.2. The main Theorem 8.1. We have the following Theorem

Theorem 8.1. *Let $l > 0$ and $(a, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l$ and $\mu_{KG}^2 \geq 0$. Let $\mathcal{C} > 0$ be sufficiently large. Let ψ be a sufficiently integrable and outgoing function, see Definitions 6.1, 6.2, satisfying the inhomogeneous Klein–Gordon equation $\square_{g_{a,M,l}} \psi - \mu_{KG}^2 \psi = F$.*

Then, we have the following

$$(8.3) \quad \int \int_{D(-\infty, +\infty)} \mu_{KG}^2 |\psi|^2 + |\partial_{r^*} \psi|^2 + |Z^* \psi|^2 + |\partial_t \mathcal{P}_{trap}[\psi]|^2 + |\not\psi \mathcal{P}_{trap}[\psi]|^2 + \sum_m 1_{|m|>0} |\mathcal{F}_m(\psi)|^2 \\ \leq B(\mathcal{C}) \int_{\mathcal{H}^+} |\mathcal{P}_{S\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{C}}(\psi)|^2 + B(\mathcal{C}) \int \int_{D(-\infty, +\infty)} |\partial_t \psi \cdot F| + |\partial_\varphi \psi \cdot F| + |F|^2,$$

where for $\mathcal{P}_{trap}, \mathcal{P}_{S\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{C}}$ see (6.42), (6.49) respectively, and for \mathcal{F}_m see (6.22).

Proof. See Section 8.4. We will need first the fixed frequency ode estimate of Theorem 8.3, to be proven in Section 8.3 below. \square

8.3. The main fixed frequency ode estimate. In the proof of our main Theorem 8.1 we will need to appeal to the following fixed frequency integrated estimate for smooth solutions of Carter’s radial ode that satisfy outgoing boundary conditions.

Theorem 8.3. *Let $l > 0$ $(a, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l$ and $\mu_{KG}^2 \geq 0$. Then, there exist constants*

$$(8.4) \quad E = E(a, M, l, \mu_{KG}) > 0, \quad r_+ < R^- < R^+ < \bar{r}_+,$$

and a frequency dependent r -value

$$(8.5) \quad r_{trap}(\omega, m, \ell) \in (R^-, R^+) \cup \{0\},$$

such that for all $r_{-\infty}$ sufficiently close to r_+ and for all $r_{+\infty}$ sufficiently close to \bar{r}_+ , and for any sufficiently large $\mathcal{C} > 0$ there exists a constant $B(\mathcal{C}, r_{\pm\infty})$, as in Section 8.1, such that for smooth solutions

$$(8.6) \quad u(\omega, m, \ell, r),$$

of Carter's inhomogeneous radial ode, see (6.25), that moreover satisfy the outgoing boundary conditions (6.28) we have the following integrated estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
(8.7) \quad & \int_{r_{-\infty}^*}^{r_{\infty}^*} \left(|\Psi'|^2 + 1_{\{m>0\}} \Delta |\Psi|^2 + 1_{\{|m|>0\}} |u'|^2 + (\omega^2 + \tilde{\lambda}) \left(1 - \frac{r_{trap}}{r}\right)^2 |u|^2 + (\mu_{KG}^2 + 1_{\{|m|>0\}}) |u|^2 \right) \\
& \leq B(\mathcal{C}, r_{\pm\infty}) \cdot 1_{\{m>0\}} \int_{-\infty}^{r_{-\infty}^*} |u'H| + |\omega \cdot uH| + |m \cdot uH| + B(\mathcal{C}, r_{\pm\infty}) \cdot 1_{\{m>0\}} \int_{r_{\infty}^*}^{\infty} |u'H| + |\omega \cdot uH| + |m \cdot uH| \\
& \quad + B(\mathcal{C}, r_{\pm\infty}) \int_{\mathbb{R}} dr^* \frac{1}{\Delta} |H|^2 \\
& \quad + E \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} \right) \text{Im}(\bar{u}H) + \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} \right) \text{Im}(\bar{u}H) \right) dr^* \\
& \quad + B(\mathcal{C}, r_{\pm\infty}) 1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{S}\mathcal{F},\mathcal{C}}} |\omega - \omega_+ m| |\omega - \bar{\omega}_+ m| |u|^2(-\infty),
\end{aligned}$$

where for $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{S}\mathcal{F},\mathcal{C}}$ see (6.48).

Proof. Immediate by inspecting Theorem 16.1. \square

Remark 8.1. Note that the term in (8.7) that multiplies E in general does not have a sign. Therefore, in what follows we cannot replace E by a larger constant.

Remark 8.2. We only control the terms $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} 1_{\{m>0\}} \Delta |\Psi|^2$ on the left hand side of (8.7). The lack of control of this term comes from the lack of control of the respective term in Proposition 16.4, for the case $\mu_{KG}^2 = 0$.

Remark 8.3. We may replace the superradiant set $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}$ in the definition of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{S}\mathcal{F},\mathcal{C}}$ on the RHS of (8.7) with the smaller set found in [10], for which real frequency mode stability is still not known.

We obtain immediately the following Corollary

Corollary 8.1. Let $l > 0$ and $(a, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l$, $\mu_{KG}^2 \geq 0$. Then, for any $(\omega, m) \in (\mathcal{S}\mathcal{F})^c$ and $\omega \neq \omega_+ m, \omega \neq \bar{\omega}_+ m$ and any $\ell \geq |m|$ we have that

$$(8.8) \quad |\mathcal{W}^{-1}(a, M, l, \mu_{KG}, \omega, m, \ell)| < +\infty.$$

Moreover, for

$$(8.9) \quad (\omega, m, \ell) \in \left(\{(\omega, m, \ell) : \mathcal{C}^{-1} \leq |\omega| \leq \mathcal{C}\} \cap \{(\omega, m, \ell) : \tilde{\lambda} \leq \mathcal{C}\} \right)^c \setminus \{\omega = \omega_+ m, \omega = \bar{\omega}_+ m\},$$

where $\mathcal{C} > 0$ is sufficiently large, we have that (8.8) again holds.

Furthermore, for $\mathcal{C} > 0$ sufficiently large then for

$$(8.10) \quad (\omega, m, \ell) \in \{0 < |\omega - \omega_+ m| \leq \mathcal{C}^{-1}\} \cup \{0 < |\omega - \bar{\omega}_+ m| \leq \mathcal{C}^{-1}\}$$

we again have that (8.8) holds.

Proof. Let $(\omega, m) \in (\mathcal{S}\mathcal{F})^c$ and $\omega \neq \omega_+ m, \omega \neq \bar{\omega}_+ m$. Then, in view of the energy estimate of Proposition 6.4 we conclude the desired result (8.8).

Now, let $(\omega, m, \ell) \in \left(\{(\omega, m, \ell) : \mathcal{C}^{-1} \leq |\omega| \leq \mathcal{C}\} \cap \{(\omega, m, \ell) : \tilde{\lambda} \leq \mathcal{C}\} \right)^c \setminus \{\omega = \omega_+ m, \omega = \bar{\omega}_+ m\}$, for any sufficiently large $\mathcal{C} > 0$. Then, in view of Theorem 8.3 we note that

$$(8.11) \quad \int_{r_{-\infty}^*}^{r_{\infty}^*} \left(|u'|^2 + |u|^2 + \left(1 - \frac{r_{trap}}{r}\right)^2 (\tilde{\lambda} + \omega^2) |u|^2 \right) = 0,$$

for $H = 0$, which immediately concludes that $u \equiv 0$ for the desired frequencies, which in turn concludes (8.8).

Now we study the frequencies

$$(8.12) \quad \{0 < |\omega - \omega_+ m| \leq \mathcal{C}^{-1}\} \cup \{0 < |\omega - \bar{\omega}_+ m| \leq \mathcal{C}^{-1}\}.$$

For the frequencies (8.12) we can use Theorem 8.3 for solutions u of the homogeneous Carter's radial ode that satisfy the boundary conditions (6.28) and obtain the result (8.7) without the last boundary term on the RHS (since $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{S}\mathcal{F},\mathcal{C}} \cap (8.12) = \emptyset$) and without the inhomogeneities. Therefore, we conclude that for the frequencies (8.12) we have $|\mathcal{W}^{-1}| < \infty$. \square

8.4. Applying Parseval and summing in the redshift estimates: Proof of Theorem 8.1. We are now ready to prove Theorem 8.1.

Proof of Theorem 8.1. We apply Carter's separation of variables to the inhomogeneous pde

$$(8.13) \quad \square_{g_{a,M,t}} \psi - \mu_{KG}^2 \psi = F.$$

In view of the assumption of the present Theorem, specifically sufficient integrability and outgoingness of ψ , then Proposition 6.2 guarantees that for almost all ω the function $u_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)}(r)$ is smooth for all m, ℓ and $r \in (r_+, \bar{r}_+)$, and moreover the outgoing boundary conditions (6.28) are satisfied. Therefore, we may apply the fixed frequency integrated result of Theorem 8.3, and then integrate with $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \sum_{m\ell}$, to obtain

$$(8.14) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \sum_{m\ell} \int_{r_{\pm\infty}^*}^{r_{\pm\infty}^*} \left(|\Psi'|^2 + (\omega^2 + \tilde{\lambda}) \left(1 - \frac{r_{trap}}{r} \right)^2 |u|^2 + (\mu_{KG}^2 + 1_{\{|m|>0\}}) |u|^2 \right) dr^* \\ & \leq B(\mathcal{C}, r_{\pm\infty}) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \sum_{m\ell} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{r_{\pm\infty}^*} 1_{\{|m|>0\}} (|u'H| + |\omega \cdot uH| + |m \cdot uH|) dr^* \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int_{r_{\pm\infty}^*}^{\infty} 1_{\{|m|>0\}} (|u'H| + |\omega \cdot uH| + |m \cdot uH|) dr^* \right) \\ & \quad + B(\mathcal{C}, r_{\pm\infty}) \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\omega \sum_{m,\ell} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\Delta} |H|^2 dr^* \\ & \quad + E \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \sum_{m\ell} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} \right) \text{Im}(\bar{u}H) + \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} \right) \text{Im}(\bar{u}H) \right) dr^* \\ & \quad + B(\mathcal{C}, r_{\pm\infty}) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \sum_{m\ell} 1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{S}\mathcal{F},\mathcal{C}}} |u|^2(-\infty), \end{aligned}$$

where in the above we used

$$(8.15) \quad H = (H)_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)} = \frac{\Delta}{(r_+^2 + a^2)^{3/2}} (\rho^2 F)_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)}(r).$$

We begin by bounding the inhomogeneous terms on the RHS of (8.14). Specifically, let $C_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)}$ denote the inhomogeneous terms (the terms related to H) on the right hand side of inequality (8.14). It is a direct consequence of Young's inequalities and Parseval's identities that we have the following bound

$$(8.16) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\omega \sum_{m\ell} C_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)} dr^* \\ & \leq B(\mathcal{C}, r_{\pm\infty}) \epsilon_{cut} \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\omega \sum_{|m|>0, \ell} \int_{-\infty}^{r_{\pm\infty}^*} \Delta (\omega^2 |u|^2 + m^2 |u|^2) dr^* \\ & \quad + B(\mathcal{C}, r_{\pm\infty}) \epsilon_{cut} \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\omega \sum_{|m|>0, \ell} \int_{r_{\pm\infty}^*}^{\infty} \Delta (\omega^2 |u|^2 + m^2 |u|^2) dr^* \\ & \quad + \frac{B(\mathcal{C}, r_{\pm\infty})}{\epsilon_{cut}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{m,\ell} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\Delta} |H_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)}|^2 dr^* \\ & \quad + E \left| \frac{1}{i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dt \int_{\mathbb{R}} dr^* \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \sin \theta d\theta d\varphi \left(\partial_t \psi \cdot H - \frac{a\Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} \partial_\varphi \psi \cdot H + \partial_t \psi \cdot H - \frac{a\Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} \partial_\varphi \psi \cdot H \right) \right|, \end{aligned}$$

for a sufficiently small $\epsilon_{cut} > 0$, where in the last line of (8.16) we used that $H = \frac{\Delta}{(r^2+a^2)^{3/2}} (\rho^2 F)$. Note that it is significant that in (8.14) that term multiplying E appears in that non-signed form. In (8.16), in order to bound the term multiplying E , we used the second Parseval's identity of (6.30).

Now, in view of the inequality

$$(8.17) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \sum_{m\ell} 1_{\mathcal{F}_{S\mathcal{F},c}} |u|^2(-\infty) \leq B \int_{\mathcal{H}^+} |\mathcal{P}_{S\mathcal{F},c}(\psi)|^2,$$

where for the Fourier projection on bounded non-stationary superradiant frequencies $\mathcal{P}_{S\mathcal{F},c}$ see (6.49), we combine (8.14) and the bound of the cut-off terms (8.16) to obtain that

$$(8.18) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{r_{-\infty}^*}^{r_{+\infty}^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\omega \sum_{m,\ell} \left(|\Psi'|^2 + 1_{|m|>0} |u'|^2 + (\omega^2 + \tilde{\lambda}) \left(1 - \frac{r_{trap}}{r} \right)^2 |u|^2 + (\mu_{KG}^2 + 1_{\{|m|>0\}}) |u|^2 \right) dr^* \\ & \leq B(\mathcal{C}, r_{\pm\infty}) \epsilon_{cut} \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\omega \sum_{|m|>0,\ell} \int_{-\infty}^{r_{+\infty}^*} \Delta(\omega^2 |u|^2 + m^2 |u|^2) dr^* \\ & \quad + B(\mathcal{C}, r_{\pm\infty}) \epsilon_{cut} \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\omega \sum_{|m|>0,\ell} \int_{r_{-\infty}^*}^{\infty} \Delta(\omega^2 |u|^2 + m^2 |u|^2) dr^* \\ & \quad + \frac{B(\mathcal{C}, r_{\pm\infty})}{\epsilon_{cut}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{m,\ell} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\Delta} |H_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)}|^2 dr^* \\ & \quad + E \left| \frac{1}{i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dt \int_{\mathbb{R}} dr^* \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \sin\theta d\theta d\varphi \left(\partial_t \psi \cdot H - \frac{a\Xi}{\bar{r}_+^2 + a^2} \partial_\varphi \psi_{\tau_1} \cdot H + \partial_t \psi \cdot H - \frac{a\Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} \partial_\varphi \psi \cdot H \right) \right| \\ & \quad + B(\mathcal{C}, r_{\pm\infty}) \cdot \int_{\mathcal{H}^+} |\mathcal{P}_{S\mathcal{F},c}(\psi)|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where for the sufficiently small constant ϵ_{cut} see the estimate (8.16). In the second to last line of (8.18) we used that $H = \frac{\Delta}{(r^2+a^2)^{3/2}} (\rho^2 F)$.

Now, since Ψ is sufficiently integrable and outgoing, we can use a pigeonhole argument and we can find a sequence $\tau_n \rightarrow -\infty$ such that

$$(8.19) \quad \int_{\{t^*=\tau_n\}} J_\mu^n[\Psi] n^\mu \leq \frac{C}{\tau_n}$$

for some constant $C(a, M, l, \Psi)$ (Also, see already Lemma 11.1 where we present a similar result, for functions that vanish on the horizons.) We use the redshift estimate of Proposition 5.2 in the spacetime domain $D(\tau_n, \tau_2)$ to obtain

$$(8.20) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int \int_{D(\tau_n, \tau_2) \cap \{r \leq r_+ + \epsilon_{red}\}} J_\mu^N[\Psi] N^\mu + \int \int_{D(\tau_n, \tau_2) \cap \{r \geq \bar{r}_+ - \epsilon_{red}\}} J_\mu^N[\Psi] N^\mu \\ & \leq B \int_{\{t^*=\tau_n\}} J_\mu^n[\psi] n^\mu \\ & \quad + B \left(\int \int_{D(\tau_n, \tau_2) \cap \{r_+ + \epsilon_{red} \leq r \leq r_+ + 2\epsilon_{red}\}} J_\mu^n[\psi] n^\mu + \int \int_{D(\tau_n, \tau_2) \cap \{\bar{r}_+ - 2\epsilon_{red} \leq r \leq \bar{r}_+ - \epsilon_{red}\}} J_\mu^n[\psi] n^\mu \right) \\ & \quad + B \left(\int \int_{D(\tau_n, \tau_2) \cap \{r_+ \leq r \leq r_+ + 2\epsilon_{red}\}} |F|^2 + \int \int_{D(\tau_n, \tau_2) \cap \{\bar{r}_+ - 2\epsilon_{red} \leq r \leq \bar{r}_+\}} |F|^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

Now, we sum (8.20) and (8.18), for $r_{-\infty} < r_+ + \epsilon_{red} < \bar{r}_+ - \epsilon_{red} < r_{+\infty}$, we take $\tau_n \rightarrow -\infty$, and we conclude that the following holds

$$(8.21) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int \int_{D(-\infty, +\infty)} \mu_{KG}^2 |\psi|^2 + 1_{|m|>0} |\psi|^2 + |\partial_{r^*} \psi|^2 + |Z^* \psi|^2 + |\partial_t \mathcal{P}_{trap}[\chi_{\tau_1} \psi]|^2 + |\nabla \mathcal{P}_{trap}[\chi_{\tau_1} \psi]|^2 \\ & \leq B(\mathcal{C}, r_{\pm\infty}) \int_{\mathcal{H}^+} |\mathcal{P}_{S\mathcal{F},c}(\psi)|^2 \\ & \quad + B(\mathcal{C}, r_{\pm\infty}) \int \int_{D(-\infty, \infty)} |\partial_t \psi \cdot F| + |\partial_\varphi \psi \cdot F| + |F|^2, \end{aligned}$$

after taking $\epsilon_{cut} > 0$ sufficiently small. For the operator \mathcal{P}_{trap} see (6.42).

We have concluded the result of Theorem 8.1. \square

8.5. Finite in time energy estimates. Note the following Lemma

Lemma 8.1. *Let $l > 0$, $(a, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l$ and $\mu_{KG}^2 \geq 0$. Given $0 \leq \tau_1 \leq \tau_2 < +\infty$, there exists a constant*

$$(8.22) \quad C(\tau_2 - \tau_1, a, M, l) > 0$$

such that for any solution ψ of the inhomogeneous Klein–Gordon equation $\square_{g_{a,M,l}}\psi - \mu_{KG}^2\psi = F$, where F is a smooth function, then the following hold

$$(8.23) \quad \int_{\{t^*=\tau_2\}} J_\mu^n[\psi]n^\mu \leq C(\tau_2 - \tau_1, a, M, l) \left(\int_{\{t^*=\tau_1\}} J_\mu^n[\psi]n^\mu + \int \int_{D(\tau_1, \tau_2)} |F|^2 \right),$$

$$(8.24) \quad \int_{\{t^*=\tau_2\}} |\psi|^2 \leq C(\tau_2 - \tau_1, a, M, l) \left(\int_{\{t^*=\tau_1\}} |\psi|^2 + J_\mu^n[\psi]n^\mu + \int \int_{D(\tau_1, \tau_2)} |F|^2 \right).$$

Proof. This is a standard proof.

To prove (8.23) we use divergence theorem, see Proposition 4.1, with a $W = \partial_t + \frac{a\Xi}{r^2+a^2}\partial_\varphi$ multiplier in conjunction with an N -redshift multiplier, see Proposition 5.2. Then, we use Grönwall and conclude.

To prove (8.24) we use Grönwall’s inequality in view of the direct application of fundamental theorem of calculus

$$(8.25) \quad |\psi|^2(\tau_2, r, \theta, \varphi) \leq C(\tau_2 - \tau_1) \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} |\partial_t \psi|^2(\tau, r, \theta, \varphi) d\tau + |\psi|^2(\tau_1, r, \theta, \varphi)$$

and conclude by also using (8.23). \square

8.6. Corollary for future integrable homogeneous solutions for parameters in the set $\widetilde{\mathcal{MS}}_{l, \mu_{KG}}$.

In the following Corollary 8.2 we restrict the assumptions of Theorem 8.1, and study ‘future integrable’ solutions of the homogeneous Klein–Gordon equation (1.7).

First, we define the ‘future integrable’ class of solutions:

Definition 8.1. *Let $l > 0$ and $(a, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l$. Moreover, assume that ψ is a smooth solution of the Klein–Gordon equation (1.7) arising from smooth initial data on the hypersurface $\{t^* = 0\}$. We say that ψ is future integrable if for every $\tau_1 > 1$ the function $\chi_{\tau_1}\psi$ is sufficiently integrable, see Definition 6.1. For the cut-off χ_{τ_1} see Section 6.3.*

We have the following

Corollary 8.2. *Let $l > 0$, $\mu_{KG}^2 \geq 0$ and $(a, M) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{MS}}_{l, \mu_{KG}}$. Let ψ be a future integrable solution, see Definition 8.1, of the Klein–Gordon equation (1.7) arising from smooth initial data on $\{t^* = 0\}$. Then, we have*

$$(8.26) \quad \int \int_{D(\tau_1, +\infty)} \mu_{KG}^2 |\psi|^2 + |\partial_{r^*} \psi|^2 + |Z^* \psi|^2 + |\partial_t \mathcal{P}_{trap}[\chi_{\tau_1} \psi]|^2 + |\nabla \mathcal{P}_{trap}[\chi_{\tau_1} \psi]|^2 \leq B \int_{\{t^*=\tau_1\}} J_\mu^n[\psi]n^\mu + |\psi|^2,$$

for any $0 \leq \tau_1$, where for \mathcal{P}_{trap} see (6.42), and for χ_{τ_1} see Section 6. For $\widetilde{\mathcal{MS}}_{l, \mu_{KG}}$ see Definition 6.8.

Proof of Corollary 8.2. We cut off the solutions of the Klein–Gordon equation (1.7) as follows

$$(8.27) \quad \square_{g_{a,M,l}}(\chi_{\tau_1} \psi) - \mu_{KG}^2 \cdot \chi_{\tau_1} \psi = F$$

where $F = 2\nabla \chi_{\tau_1} \cdot \nabla \psi + \psi \square(\chi_{\tau_1})$.

The function ψ is future integrable and therefore $\chi_{\tau_1} \psi$ sufficiently integrable and outgoing. We use the result of Theorem 8.1 for $\chi_{\tau_1} \psi$ in the place of ψ to obtain the following

$$(8.28) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int \int_{D(-\infty, +\infty)} \mu_{KG}^2 |\chi_{\tau_1} \psi|^2 + |\partial_{r^*}(\chi_{\tau_1} \psi)|^2 + |Z^*(\chi_{\tau_1} \psi)|^2 + |\partial_t \mathcal{P}_{trap}[\chi_{\tau_1} \psi]|^2 + |\nabla \mathcal{P}_{trap}[\chi_{\tau_1} \psi]|^2 \\ & \leq B \int_{\mathcal{H}^+} |\mathcal{P}_{S\mathcal{F},C}(\chi_{\tau_1} \psi)|^2 + B \int \int_{D(-\infty, +\infty)} |\partial_t \chi_{\tau_1} \psi \cdot F| + |\partial_\varphi \chi_{\tau_1} \psi \cdot F| + |F|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now, by using the bound of Proposition 6.7, for the horizon term of (8.28), and the finite in time energy estimates of Lemma 8.1, we obtain the desired result (8.26). \square

9. THE CONTINUITY ARGUMENT

The main result of this Section is the following

Theorem 9.1. *Let $l > 0$ and $\mu_{KG}^2 \geq 0$. Then, if $(a, M) \in \mathcal{MS}_{l, \mu_{KG}}$, see (7.1), then the solution ψ of the Klein–Gordon equation (1.7) that arises from smooth initial data (ψ_0, ψ_1) is future integrable, see Definition 8.1.*

Proof. See Section 9.5. \square

Remark 9.1. *We will use Theorem 9.1 in the next Section 10. If the solution of the Klein–Gordon equation (1.7) is axisymmetric $\partial_{\varphi^*} \psi = 0$ then we do not need the continuity argument of the present Section.*

We use the generic constants of Section 8.1, with the algebra of constants discussed there, but we will also use the notation $B(m)$ to denote that the constant B additionally depends on the azimuthal frequency m .

Before presenting and proving our main results we need several preparatory Lemmata and Propositions regarding alternative trapping parameters for fixed azimuthal frequency m .

9.1. The causal vector field W_{mod} . The Lemma of this Section will be used later in the fixed azimuthal frequency Proposition 9.1.

Let $\epsilon_{trap} > 0$ be sufficiently small, as chosen in Lemma 16.13. We now modify the timelike vector field W of Lemma 2.2 to make it Killing in the sufficiently small region

$$(9.1) \quad \{r_{\Delta, frac} - 2\epsilon_{trap} \leq r \leq r_{\Delta, frac} + 2\epsilon_{trap}\}.$$

We state this result in the following Lemma

Lemma 9.1. *Let $l > 0$ and $(a, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l$. Moreover, let $\epsilon_{trap} > 0$ of Lemma 16.13 be sufficiently small. Then, there exists a causal vector field*

$$(9.2) \quad W_{mod}$$

such that

$$(9.3) \quad \begin{aligned} W_{mod} &= W, \quad \{r_+ \leq r \leq r_{\Delta, frac} - 3\epsilon_{trap}\} \cup \{r_{\Delta, frac} + 3\epsilon_{trap} \leq r \leq \bar{r}_+\}, \\ W_{mod} &\text{ is timelike in } \{r_{\Delta, frac} - 3\epsilon_{trap} \leq r \leq r_{\Delta, frac} - 2\epsilon_{trap}\} \cup \{r_{\Delta, frac} + 2\epsilon_{trap} \leq r \leq r_{\Delta, frac} + 3\epsilon_{trap}\}, \\ W_{mod} &\text{ is Killing and timelike in } \{r_{\Delta, frac} - 2\epsilon_{trap} \leq r \leq r_{\Delta, frac} + 2\epsilon_{trap}\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $W = \partial_t + \frac{a\bar{\omega}}{r^2+a^2} \partial_\varphi$, see Lemma 2.2. Moreover, we have the following pointwise estimate

$$(9.4) \quad |K^{W_{mod}}[\psi]| \lesssim \Delta \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\{r_+ \leq r \leq \bar{r}_+\} \setminus \{r_{\Delta, frac} - 2\epsilon_{trap} \leq r \leq r_{\Delta, frac} + 2\epsilon_{trap}\}} \cdot (\epsilon |Z^* \psi|^2 + \epsilon (\partial_{r^*} \psi)^2 + \epsilon^{-1} |\partial_\phi \psi|^2),$$

for a sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$, where $r_{\Delta, frac}$ is the unique critical point of $\frac{\Delta}{(r^2+a^2)^2}$, see Lemma 3.3.

Proof. The proof of (9.3) is straightforward by considering a vector field of the form

$$(9.5) \quad W_{mod} = \partial_t + f_{mod}(r) \partial_\varphi,$$

where $f_{mod}(r)$ is constant in $\{r_{\Delta, frac} - 2\epsilon_{trap} \leq r \leq r_{\Delta, frac} + 2\epsilon_{trap}\}$.

To prove (9.4) we note that the following holds pointwise

$$(9.6) \quad K^{W_{mod}} = 2 \frac{\Delta}{\rho^2} \mathbb{T}(\nabla f, \partial_\phi)[\psi]$$

and conclude by using Young's inequality. \square

9.2. **Morawetz estimate for fixed azimuthal frequency m .** Note the following Proposition, which does not assume future integrability.

Proposition 9.1. *Let $l > 0$, $(a, M) \in \mathcal{MS}_{l, \mu_{KG}}$ and $\mu_{KG}^2 \geq 0$. For any $j \geq 1$ and $|m| \geq 0$ there exists a constant*

$$(9.7) \quad B = B(m, j, a, M, l, \mu_{KG}^2) > 0,$$

and there exists a smooth function

$$(9.8) \quad \tilde{\zeta}_{trap}(r)$$

with

$$(9.9) \quad \tilde{\zeta}_{trap}(r) = \begin{cases} 1, & \{r_+ \leq r \leq r_{\Delta, frac} - 2\epsilon_{trap}\} \cup \{r_{\Delta, frac} + 2\epsilon_{trap}, \bar{r}_+\} \\ 0, & \{r_{\Delta, frac} - \epsilon_{trap} \leq r \leq r_{\Delta, frac} + \epsilon_{trap}\}, \end{cases}$$

where for the sufficiently small $\epsilon_{trap} > 0$ see Lemma 9.1, such that for any smooth solution ψ of Klein–Gordon equation (1.7) with fixed azimuthal frequency

$$(9.10) \quad |m| > 0$$

we obtain

$$(9.11) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int \int_{D(\tau_1, \tau_2)} \tilde{\zeta}_{trap}(r) \sum_{1 \leq i_1 + i_2 + i_3 \leq j} |\mathcal{V}^{i_1}(\partial_{t^*})^{i_2}(Z^*)^{i_3} \psi|^2 \\ & \quad + \sum_{1 \leq i_1 + i_2 + i_3 \leq j-1} |\mathcal{V}^{i_1}(\partial_{t^*})^{i_2}(Z^*)^{i_3+1} \psi|^2 + |\mathcal{V}^{i_1}(\partial_{t^*})^{i_2}(Z^*)^{i_3} \psi|^2 \\ & \leq B(m, j) \int_{\{t^* = \tau_1\}} \sum_{0 \leq i_1 + i_2 + i_3 \leq j} |\mathcal{V}^{i_1}(\partial_{t^*})^{i_2}(Z^*)^{i_3} \psi|^2 + B(j) \int_{\{t^* = \tau_2\}} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 + i_2 + i_3 \leq j} |\mathcal{V}^{i_1}(\partial_{t^*})^{i_2}(Z^*)^{i_3} \psi|^2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(9.12) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\{t^* = \tau_2\}} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 + i_2 + i_3 \leq j} |\mathcal{V}^{i_1}(\partial_{t^*})^{i_2}(Z^*)^{i_3} \psi|^2 \\ & \quad + \int \int_{D(\tau_1, \tau_2)} \tilde{\zeta}_{trap}(r) \sum_{1 \leq i_1 + i_2 + i_3 \leq j} |\mathcal{V}^{i_1}(\partial_{t^*})^{i_2}(Z^*)^{i_3} \psi|^2 \\ & \quad + \sum_{1 \leq i_1 + i_2 + i_3 \leq j-1} |\mathcal{V}^{i_1}(\partial_{t^*})^{i_2}(Z^*)^{i_3+1} \psi|^2 + |\mathcal{V}^{i_1}(\partial_{t^*})^{i_2}(Z^*)^{i_3} \psi|^2 \\ & \leq B(m, j) \int_{\{t^* = \tau_1\}} \sum_{0 \leq i_1 + i_2 + i_3 \leq j} |\mathcal{V}^{i_1}(\partial_{t^*})^{i_2}(Z^*)^{i_3} \psi|^2 \\ & \quad + B(m, j) \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} d\tau \left(\int_{\{t^* = \tau\} \cap [r_+, r_{\Delta, frac} - 2\epsilon_{trap}]} + \int_{\{t^* = \tau\} \cap (r_{\Delta, frac} + 2\epsilon_{trap}, \bar{r}_+]} \right) |\partial_\varphi \psi|^2 \end{aligned}$$

for all $2 \leq 1 + \tau_1 \leq \tau_2$. The value $r_{\Delta, frac}$ is the unique critical point of $\frac{\Delta}{(r^2 + a^2)^2}$, see Lemma 3.3.

Moreover, in the case of future integrable solutions of the Klein–Gordon equation we can drop the two last bulk terms from the RHS of (9.11).

Furthermore, for the axisymmetric case $m = 0$ we replace $(a, M) \in \mathcal{MS}_{l, \mu_{KG}}$ with the entire subextremal family $(a, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l$ and moreover inequality (9.11) holds without the two last bulk terms from the RHS of (9.11).

Proof. First, we prove (9.11) for a fixed azimuthal frequency $|m| > 0$. For any $\epsilon_{trap} > 0$ sufficiently small, we take $\omega_{high}(m, \epsilon_{trap})$, $\lambda_{low}^{-1}(\epsilon_{trap})$ of Lemma 16.13 sufficiently large and in view of Lemma 9.1 we conclude

$$(9.13) \quad \text{supp } \tilde{\zeta}_{trap} \subset (r_{\Delta, frac} - \epsilon_{trap}, r_{\Delta, frac} + \epsilon_{trap}).$$

where $\tilde{\zeta}_{trap}$ is smooth and

$$(9.14) \quad \tilde{\zeta}_{trap}(r) = \begin{cases} 1, & \{r_+ \leq r \leq r_{\Delta,frac} - 2\epsilon_{trap}\} \cup \{r_{\Delta,frac} + 2\epsilon_{trap}, \bar{r}_+\} \\ 0, & \{r_{\Delta,frac} - \epsilon_{trap} \leq r \leq r_{\Delta,frac} + \epsilon_{trap}\}. \end{cases}$$

Given ψ we now apply the cut-off

$$(9.15) \quad \chi_{\tau_1, \tau_2},$$

for $2 \leq 1 + \tau_1 \leq \tau_2$, see Section 6.3, in the place of χ_{τ_1} and repeat the arguments from the proof of Theorem 8.1, which note holds for the inhomogeneous Klein–Gordon equation $\square_{g_{a,M,l}} \psi - \mu_{KG}^2 \psi = F$.

Specifically, we fix the constant

$$\mathcal{C}(a, M, l, \mu_{KG}) > 0,$$

see Theorem 8.1, and we obtain the following

$$(9.16) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int \int_{D(\tau_1, \tau_2)} \tilde{\zeta}_{trap}(r) \sum_{i_1+i_2+i_3=1} |\mathcal{V}^{j_1}(\partial_{t^*})^{i_2}(Z^*)^{i_3}\psi|^2 + |Z^*\psi|^2 \\ & \leq B(m, j) \int_{\{t^*=\tau_1\}} \sum_{0 \leq i_1+i_2+i_3 \leq 1} |\mathcal{V}^{j_1}(\partial_{t^*})^{i_2}(Z^*)^{i_3}\psi|^2 + B(m, j) \int_{\{t^*=\tau_2\}} \sum_{0 \leq i_1+i_2+i_3 \leq 1} |\mathcal{V}^{j_1}(\partial_{t^*})^{i_2}(Z^*)^{i_3}\psi|^2 \\ & \quad + B(m, j) \cdot \int_{\mathcal{H}^+} |\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{SF}, \mathcal{C}}(\chi_{\tau_1, \tau_2}\psi)|^2 \\ & \leq B(m, j) \int_{\{t^*=\tau_1\}} \sum_{0 \leq i_1+i_2+i_3 \leq 1} |\mathcal{V}^{j_1}(\partial_{t^*})^{i_2}(Z^*)^{i_3}\psi|^2 + B(m, j) \int_{\{t^*=\tau_2\}} \sum_{i_1+i_2+i_3=1} |\mathcal{V}^{j_1}(\partial_{t^*})^{i_2}(Z^*)^{i_3}\psi|^2, \end{aligned}$$

for any $2 \leq 1 + \tau_1 \leq \tau_2$, where $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{SF}, \mathcal{C}}$ is a Fourier projection to bounded non-stationary superradiant frequencies, see (6.49). Note that to obtain the last estimate of (9.16) we used that

$$(9.17) \quad m^2|\psi|^2 = (\partial_\varphi \psi)^2$$

for our fixed azimuthal frequency $|m| > 0$ in order to bound the future flux appropriately so that no zeroth order terms appear.

By commuting appropriately many times with ∂_t, N , and by using elliptic estimates, we obtain the higher order statement

$$(9.18) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int \int_{D(\tau_1, \tau_2)} \tilde{\zeta}_{trap}(r) \sum_{1 \leq i_1+i_2+i_3 \leq j} |\mathcal{V}^{j_1}(\partial_{t^*})^{i_2}(Z^*)^{i_3}\psi|^2 \\ & \quad + \sum_{1 \leq i_1+i_2+i_3 \leq j-1} |\mathcal{V}^{j_1}(\partial_{t^*})^{i_2}(Z^*)^{i_3+1}\psi|^2 + |\mathcal{V}^{j_1}(\partial_{t^*})^{i_2}(Z^*)^{i_3}\psi|^2 \\ & \leq B(m, j) \int_{\{t^*=\tau_1\}} \sum_{0 \leq i_1+i_2+i_3 \leq j} |\mathcal{V}^{j_1}(\partial_{t^*})^{i_2}(Z^*)^{i_3}\psi|^2 + B(m, j) \int_{\{t^*=\tau_2\}} \sum_{1 \leq i_1+i_2+i_3 \leq j} |\mathcal{V}^{j_1}(\partial_{t^*})^{i_2}(Z^*)^{i_3}\psi|^2, \end{aligned}$$

which concludes (9.11) for solutions supported in the fixed azimuthal frequency $|m| > 0$.

Now, we prove (9.11) for fixed azimuthal frequency $|m| = 0$, i.e. for axisymmetric solutions ψ of the Klein–Gordon equation. By using Theorem 8.1 we have that for $l > 0$ and $(a, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l$ (namely the entire subextremal parameter family without assuming mode stability) and $\mu_{KG}^2 \geq 0$ then for axisymmetric solutions ψ of the Klein–Gordon equation the following holds

$$(9.19) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int \int_{D(\tau_1, \tau_2)} \left(1 - \frac{r_{\Delta,frac}}{r}\right)^2 \sum_{i_1+i_2+i_3=1} |\mathcal{V}^{j_1}(\partial_{t^*})^{i_2}(Z^*)^{i_3}\psi|^2 + |Z^*\psi|^2 \\ & \leq B(j) \int_{\{t^*=\tau_1\}} \sum_{0 \leq i_1+i_2+i_3 \leq 1} |\mathcal{V}^{j_1}(\partial_{t^*})^{i_2}(Z^*)^{i_3}\psi|^2 + B(j) \int_{\{t^*=\tau_2\}} \sum_{0 \leq i_1+i_2+i_3 \leq 1} |\mathcal{V}^{j_1}(\partial_{t^*})^{i_2}(Z^*)^{i_3}\psi|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where note we included the zero order terms on the future fluxes. Since ψ is axisymmetric we have

$$(9.20) \quad \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{SF}, \mathcal{C}}\psi = 0,$$

see (6.49) for the definition of the operator $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{S}\mathcal{F},\mathcal{C}}$. Moreover, we note from the redshift Proposition for axisymmetric solutions, see Proposition 5.3, that the following holds

$$(9.21) \quad \int_{\{t^*=\tau_2\}} J_\mu^n[\psi]n^\mu + |\psi|^2 \leq B \int_{\{t^*=\tau_1\}} J_\mu^n[\psi]n^\mu + |\psi|^2.$$

Therefore, by using the integrated estimate (9.19) and the bounds (9.21) we conclude that the following holds for axisymmetric solutions

$$(9.22) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int \int_{D(\tau_1, \tau_2)} \left(1 - \frac{r_{\Delta, frac}}{r}\right)^2 \sum_{i_1+i_2+i_3=1} |\mathcal{V}^{j_1}(\partial_{t^*})^{i_2}(Z^*)^{i_3}\psi|^2 + |Z^*\psi|^2 \\ & \leq B(j) \int_{\{t^*=\tau_1\}} \sum_{0 \leq i_1+i_2+i_3 \leq 1} |\mathcal{V}^{j_1}(\partial_{t^*})^{i_2}(Z^*)^{i_3}\psi|^2. \end{aligned}$$

We have concluded (9.11) for $m = 0$.

Now, we proceed to prove (9.12). We use the W_{mod} multiplier of Lemma 9.1, the redshift vector field N , in conjunction with the integrated estimate (9.11) and the standard redshift argument found in the lecture notes [16] to appropriately bound the \dot{H}^1 future fluxes on the RHS of (9.11) as follows

$$(9.23) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\{t^*=\tau_2\}} \sum_{1 \leq i_1+i_2+i_3 \leq j} |\mathcal{V}^{j_1}(\partial_{t^*})^{i_2}(Z^*)^{i_3}\psi|^2 \\ & \leq B(j) \int_{\{t^*=\tau_1\}} \sum_{1 \leq i_1+i_2+i_3 \leq j} |\mathcal{V}^{j_1}(\partial_{t^*})^{i_2}(Z^*)^{i_3}\psi|^2 \\ & \quad + B(m, j) \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} d\tau \left(\int_{\{t^*=\tau\} \cap [r_+, r_{\Delta, frac} - 2\epsilon_{trap}]} + \int_{\{t^*=\tau\} \cap (r_{\Delta, frac} + 2\epsilon_{trap}, \bar{r}_+]} \right) |\psi|^2 \end{aligned}$$

for all $2 \leq 1 + \tau_1 \leq \tau_2$

Therefore, we bound the RHS of the integrated estimate (9.11) by using the bound (9.23) on the future fluxes to obtain the desired inequality (9.12).

Finally, we note that if the solution ψ is future integrable, see Definition 8.1, then we can use the cut-offed wave $\chi_{\tau_1}\psi$, see Section 6.3, and repeat the arguments of the present proof to obtain the estimate (9.11), without the future boundary term on the RHS. \square

9.3. Reduction to fixed azimuthal frequency m . The main result Theorem 9.1 of the present Section will follow as a Corollary from the following fixed azimuthal frequency Proposition, which will be proved with a continuity argument.

Proposition 9.2. *Let the assumptions of Theorem 9.1 hold, but moreover assume that $\psi = \psi_m$ where ψ_m is the m -th azimuthal mode. Then, ψ is future integrable, see Definition 8.1.*

Proof. See Section 9.4. \square

Note the following Lemma

Lemma 9.2. *Let $l > 0$, $\mu_{KG}^2 \geq 0$. Moreover, let a, M, ψ, m be as in Proposition 9.2. Then, ψ is future integrable if*

$$(9.24) \quad \sup_{\tau \geq 0} \int_{\{t^*=\tau\}} \sum_{1 \leq i_1+i_2+i_3 \leq j} |\mathcal{V}^{j_1}(\partial_{t^*})^{i_2}(Z^*)^{i_3}\psi|^2 < +\infty, \quad \forall j \geq 1.$$

Proof. This is immediate in view of Proposition 9.1. \square

In the following definition, we define a set we need for the remainder of the present Section

Definition 9.1. *Let $l > 0$ and $\mu_{KG}^2 \geq 0$. We define*

$$(9.25) \quad \mathcal{MS}_{l, \mu_{KG}, m} = \{(a, M) \in \mathcal{MS}_{l, \mu_{KG}} : (9.24) \text{ holds for all solutions } \psi \text{ of (1.7) supported on the fixed azimuthal frequency } m \text{ with respect to } g_{a, M}\}.$$

For the set $\mathcal{MS}_{l,\mu_{KG}}$ see (7.1).

9.4. Proof of Proposition 9.2. The proof of Proposition 9.2 will use a continuity argument. Note that the original continuity argument of [17] was a continuity argument only in the rotation parameter a for a fixed black hole mass M . In contrast to [17] the continuity argument of the present Section needs to take place in the space of parameters

$$(9.26) \quad \mathcal{MS}_{l,\mu_{KG}}$$

which is by definition connected, see Section 7.1.

First, we prove the following Proposition

Proposition 9.3. *Let $l > 0$ and $\mu_{KG}^2 \geq 0$. Then, $\mathcal{MS}_{l,\mu_{KG}}$ is an open subset of \mathcal{B}_l .*

Proof. Now, let $(a, M) \in \mathcal{MS}_{l,\mu_{KG}}$. We recall from Definition 7.1 that the set $\mathcal{MS}_{l,\mu_{KG}}$ is the connected component of the set $\mathcal{B}_{0,l}$ in the set

$$(9.27) \quad \widetilde{\mathcal{MS}}_{l,\mu_{KG}} = \{(a, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l : \forall (\omega, m, \ell) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq |m|}, \omega \neq \omega_+ m, \omega \neq \bar{\omega}_+ m, |\mathcal{W}^{-1}(a, M, l, \omega, m, \ell)| < +\infty\}.$$

For $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small we obtain that if

$$(9.28) \quad |\mathring{a} - a| + |\mathring{M} - M| \leq \epsilon$$

then $(\mathring{a}, \mathring{M}) \in \mathcal{B}_l$.

For both the sufficiently large frequencies

$$(9.29) \quad \{\omega^2 + m^2 + \tilde{\lambda} \geq \mathcal{C}\},$$

and the frequencies

$$(9.30) \quad \{0 < |\omega - \omega_+ m| \leq \mathcal{C}^{-1}\} \cup \{0 < |\omega - \bar{\omega}_+ m| \leq \mathcal{C}^{-1}\}$$

we use Corollary 8.1 and we obtain

$$(9.31) \quad |\mathcal{W}^{-1}(\mathring{a}, \mathring{M}, l, \omega, m, \ell)| < +\infty.$$

For the remaining bounded frequencies

$$(9.32) \quad \{\omega^2 + m^2 + \tilde{\lambda} \leq \mathcal{C}\} \cap (\{|\omega - \omega_+ m| \geq \mathcal{C}^{-1}\} \cup \{|\omega - \bar{\omega}_+ m| \geq \mathcal{C}^{-1}\}).$$

we use that the Wronskian is a continuous function, on a compact domain, and conclude that indeed $|\mathcal{W}^{-1}(\mathring{a}, \mathring{M}, l, \omega, m, \ell)| < +\infty$ holds, for a sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$.

We conclude $(\mathring{a}, \mathring{M}) \in \mathcal{MS}_{l,\mu_{KG}}$. \square

Now, we are ready to prove Proposition 9.2

Proof of Proposition 9.2. Let $l > 0$. In this Proposition we assume that

$$(9.33) \quad \psi \text{ has fixed azimuthal frequency } m.$$

Specifically, we assume that the solution ψ of the Klein–Gordon equation (1.7) arises from initial data

$$(9.34) \quad (\psi_0, \psi_1)$$

of fixed azimuthal frequency m .

First, we observe that for $m = 0$ that indeed the solution ψ of Klein–Gordon arising from (ψ_0, ψ_1) is future integrable, see Corollary 8.2 with $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{SF}, \mathcal{C}} = 0$ and Lemma 9.2.

Therefore, in the rest of the proof we assume that $|m| > 0$. We follow the analogous arguments of [17].

First, we recall Lemma 9.2 where we proved that solutions ψ of the Klein–Gordon equation (1.7) that satisfy

$$(9.35) \quad \sup_{\tau \geq 0} \int_{\{t^* = \tau\}} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 + i_2 + i_3 \leq j} |\mathbb{V}^{j_1}(\partial_{t^*})^{i_2} (Z^*)^{i_3} \psi|^2 < +\infty, \quad \forall j \geq 1,$$

are future integrable. We will use this to show that the set $\mathcal{MS}_{l,\mu_{KG},m}$ is open and closed as a subset of $\mathcal{MS}_{l,\mu_{KG}}$.

Now, we proceed with the clopen argument that will prove that

$$(9.36) \quad \mathcal{MS}_{l,\mu_{KG},m} = \mathcal{MS}_{l,\mu_{KG}},$$

for the former see Definition 9.1 and for the latter see Definition 7.1.

To prove the non-emptiness of the set $\mathcal{MS}_{l,\mu_{KG},m}$ in the $\mathcal{MS}_{l,\mu_{KG}}$ topology we note that for all $\mu_{KG}^2 \geq 0$ we have

$$(9.37) \quad \mathcal{B}_{0,l} \subset \mathcal{MS}_{l,\mu_{KG},m},$$

see Proposition 5.4 where we prove that for solutions of the Klein–Gordon equation in a Schwarzschild–de Sitter background the following boundedness estimate holds

$$(9.38) \quad \left(\int_{\{t^*=\tau_2\}} + \int_{\mathcal{H}_+ \cap D(\tau_1, \tau_2)} + \int_{\mathcal{H}^+ \cap D(\tau_1, \tau_2)} \right) J_\mu^n[\psi] n^\mu \leq B \int_{\{t^*=\tau_1\}} J_\mu^n[\psi] n^\mu.$$

(Note that we do not need to use fixed azimuthal frequency.) For the set $\mathcal{B}_{0,l}$ see Definition 6.8.

To prove the closedness of the set $\mathcal{MS}_{l,\mu_{KG},m}$ in the $\mathcal{MS}_{l,\mu_{KG}}$ topology we follow the same arguments that proved an analogue result in [[17], Section 11, Proposition 11.3.1]. However, in the present case we do not use a sequence of black hole rotations a_k for a fixed black hole mass M , but rather we consider a sequence

$$(9.39) \quad (a_k, M_k) \in \mathcal{MS}_{l,\mu_{KG},m}.$$

such that $(a_k, M_k) \rightarrow (a, M) \in \mathcal{MS}_{l,\mu_{KG}}$. (This is because the subextremal black hole parameter space of Kerr–de Sitter possesses one degree of freedom more than the subextremal black hole parameter space of Kerr.)

Let ψ be a fixed m -solution of $\square_{g_{a,M,l}} \psi - \mu_{KG}^2 \psi = 0$. We define a sequence of functions

$$(9.40) \quad \psi_{(k)}$$

that solve the Klein–Gordon equation (1.7) for the black hole parameters (a_k, M_k, l) with the same initial data as ψ , see (9.34). Note that thus $\psi_{(k)}$ are future integrable by Lemma 9.2, and moreover $\psi_{(k)}$ are fixed m -solutions for any k (which follows from the fact that the Killing vector field ∂_φ does not depend on the black hole parameters (a_k, M_k, l) .)

By using Proposition 9.1 for future integrable solutions we obtain

$$(9.41) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int \int_{D(\tau_1, \tau_2)} \tilde{\zeta}_{trap}(r) \sum_{1 \leq i_1 + i_2 + i_3 \leq j} |\mathcal{V}^{i_1}(\partial_{t^*})^{i_2}(Z^*)^{i_3} \psi_{(k)}|^2 \\ & + \sum_{1 \leq i_1 + i_2 + i_3 \leq j-1} |\mathcal{V}^{i_1}(\partial_{t^*})^{i_2}(Z^*)^{i_3+1} \psi_{(k)}|^2 + |\mathcal{V}^{i_1}(\partial_{t^*})^{i_2}(Z^*)^{i_3} \psi_{(k)}|^2 \\ & \leq B(j) \int_{\{t^*=0\}} \sum_{0 \leq i_1 + i_2 + i_3 \leq j} |\mathcal{V}^{i_1}(\partial_{t^*})^{i_2}(Z^*)^{i_3} \psi|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now, by using an N energy based energy estimate and the result of Proposition 9.1 for a sufficiently small $\epsilon_{trap} > 0$ we follow the exact steps of [14] and obtain that

$$(9.42) \quad \sup_{\tau \geq 0} \int_{\{t^*=\tau\}} \sum_{0 \leq i_1 + i_2 + i_3 \leq j} |\mathcal{V} \partial_t^{i_1}(Z^*)^{i_2} \psi_{(k)}|^2 \leq B \int_{\{t^*=0\}} \sum_{0 \leq i_1 + i_2 + i_3 \leq j} |\mathcal{V} \partial_t^{i_1}(Z^*)^{i_2} \psi_{(k)}|^2.$$

Finally, we obtain

$$(9.43) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\{t^*=\tau_2\}} \sum_{0 \leq i_1 + i_2 + i_3 \leq j} |\mathcal{V} \partial_t^{i_1}(Z^*)^{i_2} \psi|^2 = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\{t^*=\tau_2\}} \sum_{0 \leq i_1 + i_2 + i_3 \leq j} |\mathcal{V} \partial_t^{i_1}(Z^*)^{i_2} \psi_{(k)}|^2 \\ & \leq B \int_{\{t^*=0\}} \sum_{0 \leq i_1 + i_2 + i_3 \leq j} |\mathcal{V}^{i_1}(\partial_{t^*})^{i_2}(Z^*)^{i_3} \psi|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where the first equality follows from well-posedness of the Klein–Gordon equation and the smooth dependence of $g_{a,M,l}$ on (a, M) and the fact that $\psi_{(k)}$ and ψ have the same initial data. The last inequality follows from Fatou’s lemma. It follows from (9.42) that ψ satisfies (9.24). Since ψ was arbitrary it follows that $(a, M) \in \mathcal{MS}_{l,\mu_{KG},m}$. Thus $\mathcal{MS}_{l,\mu_{KG},m}$ is closed in the topology of $\mathcal{MS}_{l,\mu_{KG}}$, where recall from Proposition 9.3 that $\mathcal{MS}_{l,\mu_{KG}}$ is an open subset of \mathcal{B}_l .

To prove the openness of $\mathcal{MS}_{l,\mu_{KG},m}$ in the $\mathcal{MS}_{l,\mu_{KG}}$ topology we proceed as follows. We first note that $\mathcal{MS}_{l,\mu_{KG}}$ is an open subset of \mathcal{B}_l , see Proposition 9.3. We follow similar arguments that proved an analogous result in [[17], Section 11, Proposition 11.2.1]. Namely, we want to prove that for

$$(9.44) \quad (\mathring{a}, \mathring{M}) \in \mathcal{MS}_{l,\mu_{KG},m}$$

there exists an $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small and for any rotation parameter a such that

$$(9.45) \quad |a - \mathring{a}| + |M - \mathring{M}| \leq \epsilon$$

we also obtain $(a, M) \in \mathcal{MS}_{l,\mu_{KG},m}$. One of the ‘key’ ingredients to be able to repeat the arguments of [17] in the context of the present paper is the existence of the timelike vector field

$$(9.46) \quad W_{mod}$$

see Lemma 9.1, which is Killing in the region where trapping happens for the fixed azimuthal frequency m , see Proposition 9.1 and that that the hypersurface leaves $\{t^* = c\}$ are independent of the black hole parameters a, M, l , see Remarks, 2.1, 2.2.

First, by using the divergence theorem with multiplier W_{mod} and the fixed frequency Morawetz estimate of Proposition 9.1 (for the inhomogeneous Klein–Gordon with inhomogeneity F) we obtain the following

$$(9.47) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int \int_{D(0,\tau_2)} \tilde{\zeta}_{trap}(r) \sum_{i_1+i_2+i_3=1} |\nabla^{i_1}(\partial_{t^*})^{i_2}(Z^*)^{i_3}\psi|^2 + (Z^*\psi)^2 \\ & \leq B(j) \int_{\{t^*=0\}} \sum_{0 \leq i_1+i_2+i_3 \leq 1} |\nabla^{i_1}(\partial_{t^*})^{i_2}(Z^*)^{i_3}\psi|^2 \\ & + B(j, m) \int_0^{\tau_2} d\tau \left(\int_{\{t^*=\tau\} \cap [r_+, r_{\Delta,frac} - 2\epsilon_{trap})} + \int_{\{t^*=\tau\} \cap (r_{\Delta,frac} + 2\epsilon_{trap}, \bar{r}_+]} \right) |\partial_\varphi \psi|^2 \\ & + \int \int_{D(0,\tau_2)} |\partial_t \psi \cdot F| + |\partial_\varphi \psi \cdot F| + |F|^2 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(9.48) \quad \tilde{\zeta}_{trap}(r) = \begin{cases} 1, & \{r_+ \leq r \leq r_{\Delta,frac} - 2\epsilon_{trap}\} \cup \{r_{\Delta,frac} + 2\epsilon_{trap}, \bar{r}_+\} \\ 0, & \{r_{\Delta,frac} - \epsilon_{trap} \leq r \leq r_{\Delta,frac} + \epsilon_{trap}\}. \end{cases}$$

Now, suppose that $\delta_0 > 0$ is sufficiently small and define an interpolating metric

$$(9.49) \quad \tilde{g}_{\tau_2} = \chi_{\tau_2} g_{\mathring{a}, \mathring{M}, l} + (1 - \chi_{\tau_2}) g_{a, M, l}$$

where $\chi_{\tau_2} = 0$ in the past of $\{t^* = \tau_2 - \delta_0\}$ and $\chi = 1$ in the future of $\{t^* = \tau_2\}$, where moreover $\partial_{\varphi^*} \chi_{\tau_2} \equiv 0$. We define $\tilde{\psi}_{\tau_2}$ to be the interpolating solution of the wave equation

$$(9.50) \quad \square_{\tilde{g}_{\tau_2}} \tilde{\psi}_{\tau_2} = 0$$

with the same initial data as ψ . We note that ∂_{φ^*} is a Killing vector field for \tilde{g}_{τ_2} and therefore the interpolating solution will be supported on the same azimuthal frequency m as the original solution ψ . Hence, since $(\mathring{a}, \mathring{M}, l) \in \mathcal{MS}_{l,\mu_{KG},m}$ and by (9.35) we obtain that $\tilde{\psi}_{\tau_2}$ is future integrable with respect to $(\mathring{a}, \mathring{M}, l)$. We write

$$(9.51) \quad \square_{g_{\mathring{a}, \mathring{M}, l}} \tilde{\psi}_{\tau_2} = \left(\square_{g_{\mathring{a}, \mathring{M}, l}} - \square_{\tilde{g}_{\tau_2}} \right) \tilde{\psi}_{\tau_2} \doteq F$$

and approximate as follows

$$(9.52) \quad |(\square_{g_{\tilde{a}, \tilde{M}, \tilde{i}}} - \square_{\tilde{g}_{\tau_2}}) \tilde{\psi}_{\tau_2}|^2 \leq \epsilon^2 \sum_{1 \leq i_1 + i_2 + i_3 \leq 2} |\nabla^{i_1} \partial_t^{i_2} (Z^*)^{i_3} \tilde{\psi}_{\tau_2}|^2$$

by using the smooth dependence of $g_{a, M, l}$ on a, M , where for $\epsilon > 0$ see (9.45). The angular derivative ∇ is with respect to the metric $g_{\tilde{a}, \tilde{M}}$.

Keeping in mind that (9.51) is supported in the past of $\{t^* = \tau_2\}$, then we use the integrated estimate (9.47) to obtain

$$(9.53) \quad \int_0^{\tau_2} d\tau \left(\int_{\{t^*=\tau\} \cap [r_+, r_{\Delta, frac} - 2\epsilon_{trap}] + \int_{\{t^*=\tau\} \cap (r_{\Delta, frac} + 2\epsilon_{trap}, \bar{r}_+]} \right) (J_\mu^n[\psi] n^\mu + |\partial_\varphi \psi|^2) \\ \leq B(m) \epsilon^2 \left(\int_0^{\tau_2} \int_{\{t^*=\tau'\}} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 + i_2 + i_3 \leq 2} |\nabla^{i_1} \partial_t^{i_2} (Z^*)^{i_3} \tilde{\psi}_{\tau_2}|^2 d\tau' + \int_{\{t^*=\tau_2 - \delta_0\}} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 + i_2 + i_3 \leq 2} |\nabla^{i_1} \partial_t^{i_2} (Z^*)^{i_3} \tilde{\psi}_{\tau_2}|^2 \right) \\ + B(m) \int_{\{t^*=0\}} J_\mu^n[\psi] n^\mu,$$

where we also used finite in time energy estimates, see Lemma 8.1, and an easy domain of dependence argument.

Now, we note the integrated estimate (9.12) of Proposition 9.1 (the fixed azimuthal frequency Morawetz and boundedness estimate) for $j \geq 3$

$$(9.54) \quad \int_{\{t^*=\tau_2\}} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 + i_2 + i_3 \leq j} |\nabla^{i_1} (\partial_{t^*})^{i_2} (Z^*)^{i_3} \psi|^2 \\ + \int_{D(0, \tau_2)} \int_{\tilde{\zeta}_{trap}(r)} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 + i_2 + i_3 \leq j} |\nabla^{i_1} (\partial_{t^*})^{i_2} (Z^*)^{i_3} \psi|^2 \\ + \sum_{1 \leq i_1 + i_2 + i_3 \leq j-1} |\nabla^{i_1} (\partial_{t^*})^{i_2} (Z^*)^{i_3+1} \psi|^2 + |\nabla^{i_1} (\partial_{t^*})^{i_2} (Z^*)^{i_3} \psi|^2 \\ \leq B(m, j) \int_{\{t^*=0\}} \sum_{0 \leq i_1 + i_2 + i_3 \leq j} |\nabla^{i_1} (\partial_{t^*})^{i_2} (Z^*)^{i_3} \psi|^2 \\ + B(m, j) \int_0^{\tau_2} d\tau \left(\int_{\{t^*=\tau\} \cap [r_+, r_{\Delta, frac} - 2\epsilon_{trap}] + \int_{\{t^*=\tau\} \cap (r_{\Delta, frac} + 2\epsilon_{trap}, \bar{r}_+]} \right) |\partial_\varphi \psi|^2.$$

We use the bound (9.53), to absorb the bulk terms on the RHS of (9.54) in the LHS of (9.54), for a sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$. We obtain that for solutions supported in the fixed azimuthal frequency $|m| > 0$ the following holds

$$(9.55) \quad \sup_{\tau' \in [0, \tau_2]} \int_{\{t^*=\tau'\}} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 + i_2 + i_3 \leq j} |\nabla^{i_1} \partial_t^{i_2} (Z^*)^{i_3} \psi|^2 \\ \leq B(j, m) \int_{\{t^*=0\}} \sum_{0 \leq i_1 + i_2 + i_3 \leq j} |\nabla^{i_1} \partial_t^{i_2} (Z^*)^{i_3} \psi|^2 < \infty, \quad \forall j \geq 3$$

for all $1 \leq \tau_2$. In view of (9.35) we conclude that $\mathcal{MS}_{l, \mu_{KG}, m}$ is open.

We have concluded that the set $\mathcal{MS}_{l, \mu_{KG}, m}$ is non empty and clopen in the $\mathcal{MS}_{l, \mu_{KG}}$ topology and therefore (9.36) holds.

Therefore, we conclude that the solution $\psi = \psi_m$ is future integrable. We conclude the proof of the Proposition. \square

9.5. Proof of Theorem 9.1. We are ready to prove our main result of the present Section.

Proof of Theorem 9.1. Note the azimuthal mode expansion

$$(9.56) \quad \psi = \sum_m \psi_m,$$

where two distinct azimuthal modes ψ_m are orthogonal in the l^2 sense.

Then, for ψ as in the assumptions of Theorem 9.1 we note from Proposition 9.2 that each ψ_m is future integrable. Therefore it follows from Corollary 8.2 that the energy estimates (7.3), (7.12) hold for ψ_m . Orthogonality of two distinct ψ_m immediately implies that (7.3), (7.12) hold for ψ .

Thus, ψ is sufficiently integrable, as desired. \square

10. PROOF OF THE MORAWETZ ESTIMATE OF THEOREM 1

Now we are ready to prove the Morawetz estimate (7.3) of Theorem 1. We note that we have already proved Corollary 8.2 and Theorem 9.1 which will be used in the rest of the present Section.

We have the following

Proof of the Morawetz estimate of Theorem 1. We fix $\tau_1 > 0$. Let ψ be a solution of the Klein–Gordon equation (1.7) that arises from smooth initial data on $\{t^* = 0\}$. Then, in view of Theorem 9.1, the result of Corollary 8.2 holds for ψ .

To conclude the Morawetz estimate (7.3) of Theorem 1 we need to prove that the following holds in addition

$$(10.1) \quad \int \int_{D(\tau_1, \tau_2)} \sum_m (1_{|m|>0} |\mathcal{F}_m \psi|^2) + \int \int_{D(\tau_1, \tau_2)} (Z^* \psi)^2 + (\partial_t \mathcal{P}_{trap}[\chi \psi])^2 + |\nabla \mathcal{P}_{trap}[\chi \psi]|^2 \leq B \int_{\{t^* = \tau_1\}} J_\mu^n[\psi] n^\mu,$$

where for the operator \mathcal{P}_{trap} see (6.42).

It suffices to prove the above after assuming the following:

- (1) First, we make the assumption that the solution ψ is generated from smooth initial data on the hypersurface $\{t^* = 0\}$. This assumption is needed to appeal to Theorem 9.1. It suffices to have this assumption in view of general density arguments.
- (2) Second, for the case $\mu_{KG}^2 = 0$ we make the assumption that the mean associated with the hypersurface $\{t^* = \tau_1\}$, satisfies the following

$$(10.2) \quad \underline{\psi}(\tau_1) \doteq \frac{1}{|\{t^* = \tau_1\}|} \int_{\{t^* = \tau_1\}} \psi = 0.$$

It suffices to make this assumption since if ψ is a solution of the wave equation, see (1.7) with $\mu_{KG}^2 = 0$, then $\psi + c$ is also a solution, where c is any constant.

We use the main result of the continuity argument Section 9, see Theorem 9.1, and the result of Corollary 8.2 to obtain that the following energy estimate holds

$$(10.3) \quad \int \int_{D(\tau_1, \tau_2)} \sum_m (1_{|m|>0} |\mathcal{F}_m \psi|^2) + \int \int_{D(\tau_1, \tau_2)} |Z^* \psi|^2 + |\partial_t \mathcal{P}_{trap}[\chi_{\tau_1} \psi]|^2 + |\nabla \mathcal{P}_{trap}[\chi_{\tau_1} \psi]|^2 \leq B \int_{\{t^* = \tau_1\}} J_\mu^n[\psi] n^\mu + |\psi|^2,$$

for all $2 \leq 1 + \tau_1 \leq \tau_2 \leq \infty$, where for the operator \mathcal{P}_{trap} see (6.42). When $\mu_{KG}^2 > 0$ we note that the RHS of (10.3) is equivalent to $\int_{\{t^* = \tau_1\}} J_\mu^n[\psi] n^\mu$ with a constant that blows up in the limit $\mu_{KG}^2 \rightarrow 0$. For the wave equation case $\mu_{KG}^2 = 0$ we use the assumption (10.2) and use the Poincaré–Wirtinger inequality of Lemma 4.3 to obtain (10.1).

We have concluded (11.1). \square

11. PROOF OF THE BOUNDEDNESS ESTIMATES OF THEOREM 1

In this Section we prove the boundedness estimates (7.4), (7.5) of Theorem 1.

The proof of the boundedness estimates of Theorem 1. We fix $\tau_1 > 0$. Let ψ be a solution of the Klein–Gordon equation (1.7) that arises from smooth initial data on $\{t^* = 0\}$. It suffices to have this assumption in view of general density arguments. Then, the results of Corollary 8.2 and of Theorem 9.1 hold for ψ .

To conclude the boundedness estimates (7.4), (7.5) of Theorem 1 we need to prove that the following hold in addition

$$(11.1) \quad \int_{\{t^*=\tau_2\}} J_\mu^n[\psi]n^\mu \leq C \int_{\{t^*=\tau_1\}} J_\mu^n[\psi]n^\mu,$$

$$(11.2) \quad \int_{\{t^*=\tau_2\}} J_\mu^n[\psi]n^\mu + |\psi|^2 \leq C \int_{\{t^*=\tau_1\}} J_\mu^n[\psi]n^\mu + |\psi|^2,$$

$$(11.3) \quad \left(\int_{\mathcal{H}^+ \cap D(\tau_1, \tau_2)} + \int_{\bar{\mathcal{H}}^+ \cap D(\tau_1, \tau_2)} \right) J_\mu^n[\psi]n^\mu \leq C \int_{\{t^*=\tau_1\}} J_\mu^n[\psi]n^\mu.$$

Again, it suffices to prove the above assuming the following:

- (1) First, in the case $\mu_{KG}^2 = 0$ we make the assumption that the mean associated with the hypersurface $\{t^* = \tau_1\}$, satisfies the following

$$(11.4) \quad \underline{\psi}(\tau_1) \doteq \frac{1}{|\{t^* = \tau_1\}|} \int_{\{t^*=\tau_1\}} \psi = 0.$$

It suffices to make this assumption since if ψ is a solution of the wave equation, see (1.7) with $\mu_{KG}^2 = 0$, then $\psi + c$ is also a solution, where c is any constant.

- (2) Second, we make the assumption that $\psi_0 \equiv 0$, where $\psi = \psi_0 + \sum_{|m| \neq 0} \psi_m$, and ψ_m is the m -th azimuthal mode. It suffices to make this assumption since in view of Proposition 5.3 it is easy to obtain the desired boundedness estimates (11.1), (11.2), (11.3) for axisymmetric solutions. Moreover, this assumption will allow us to bound zeroth order bulk terms from data, see already (11.6).

Extending the solution

For reasons that will become apparent in what follows we extend the solution ψ from $\{t^* \geq 0\}$ to a solution of (1.7), that we will again denote by ψ , on $\mathcal{M} \cup \mathcal{H}^- \cup \bar{\mathcal{H}}^- \cup \mathcal{B}$, where \mathcal{H}^- , $\bar{\mathcal{H}}^-$, \mathcal{B} are respectively the past event horizon, the past cosmological horizon and the bifurcation spheres. We note that by a domain of dependence argument and by finite in time energy estimates we can extend the solution in such a way so that it satisfies the following two properties:

First, the extended solution satisfies

$$(11.5) \quad \int_{\hat{\Sigma}_0} J_\mu^n[\psi]n^\mu \leq B \int_{\{t^*=0\}} J_\mu^n[\psi]n^\mu$$

where $\hat{\Sigma}_0$ is the image of $\{t^* = 0\}$ under the Boyer–Lindquist coordinate defined map $t \mapsto -t$.

Second, our extension of ψ satisfies inequality (11.5) for any spacelike hypersurface in the set $J^+(\hat{\Sigma}_0) \cup J^-(\{t^* = 0\})$, in the place of $\hat{\Sigma}_0$.

Integrated local energy decay for the extended solution

We note that the Boyer–Lindquist defined map $t \mapsto -t$ and $a \mapsto -a$ is an isometry. Therefore, the result of Corollary 8.2 holds if one goes to the past instead of the future, also see Proposition 7.1 for the reflection symmetry of the set $\mathcal{MS}_{l, \mu_{KG}}$ with respect to the map $a \mapsto -a$. Namely we replace $\{t^* = \tau\}$ with $\hat{\Sigma}_\tau$ and by keeping in mind (11.5) we obtain

$$(11.6) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau \int_{\{t^*=\tau\}} |\psi|^2 + |\partial_{r^*} \psi|^2 + \zeta_{trap}(r) (|\partial_t \psi|^2 + |\nabla \psi|^2) \leq B \int_{\{t^*=\tau_1\}} J_\mu^n[\psi]n^\mu + |\psi|^2,$$

where for ζ_{trap} see Remark 7.5. Note that the derivatives ∂_{r^*} , ∂_t , ∇ are regular on $\mathcal{M} \cup \mathcal{H}^- \cup \bar{\mathcal{H}}^- \cup \mathcal{B}$. Although inequality (11.6) is useful, we need a slightly different integrated energy decay estimate with a more detailed degeneration at trapping, see already (11.14). Again, note that we include the zeroth order term in the bulk on the RHS of (11.6) in view of the third assumption above and of Theorem 8.3.

We choose $A_0, A_1 > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ such that

$$(11.7) \quad r_+ < A_0 < A_0 + \delta < A_0 + 2\delta < r_+ + \epsilon_{red} \quad \bar{r}_+ - \epsilon_{red} < A_1 - 2\delta < A_1 - \delta < A_1 < \bar{r}_+,$$

where for $\epsilon_{red} > 0$ see the redshift Proposition 5.1. Now, we smoothly cut-off the solution ψ of the Klein–Gordon equation as follows

$$(11.8) \quad \tilde{\psi} = \psi \cdot \chi_{[A_0, A_1]}$$

where

$$(11.9) \quad \chi_{[A_0, A_1]} = \begin{cases} 1, & r \in [A_0 + \delta, A_1 - \delta] \\ 0, & r \notin [A_0, A_1]. \end{cases}$$

where $\delta > 0$ is sufficiently small. We note that

$$(11.10) \quad \square \tilde{\psi} - \mu_{KG}^2 \tilde{\psi} = \tilde{F} := \square \chi_{[A_0, A_1]} \psi + 2\nabla \chi \cdot \nabla \psi,$$

where it is easy to see that

$$(11.11) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\{t^*=\tau\}} |\tilde{F}|^2 \leq B \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\{t^*=\tau\}} (|\psi|^2 + |\partial_{r^*} \psi|^2) \leq B \int_{\{t^*=\tau_1\}} J_{\mu}^n[\psi] n^{\mu}$$

where in the last inequality we used the integrated estimate (11.6).

We now apply Carter’s separation of variables, see Proposition 6.2, to equation (11.10) to obtain

$$(11.12) \quad \tilde{u}'' + (\omega^2 - V)\tilde{u} = \tilde{H}$$

where \tilde{u} is the frequency localization of $\sqrt{r^2 + a^2} \tilde{\psi}$, and the support of $\tilde{\psi}$ is inherited by \tilde{u} . Specifically, we note that $|\tilde{u}|^2(-\infty) = 0$. Moreover, the RHS of all frequency localized multipliers, see Definition 14.1 are $\mathcal{O}(\tilde{H})$, and therefore are supported in $[A_0, A_0 + \delta] \cup [A_1 - \delta, A_1]$. Therefore, we apply ode estimates of Section 16 to conclude the following

$$(11.13) \quad b \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\{t^*=\tau\}} (|\tilde{\psi}|^2 + |\partial_{r^*} \tilde{\psi}|^2 + |\partial_t \mathcal{P}_{trap} \tilde{\psi}|^2 + |\nabla \mathcal{P}_{trap} \tilde{\psi}|^2) \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\{t^*=\tau\} \cap ([A_0, A_0 + \delta] \cup [A_1 - \delta, A_1])} (|\psi|^2 + J_{\mu}^n[\psi] n^{\mu}),$$

where for the operator \mathcal{P}_{trap} see (6.42).

Now, for the wave equation case $\mu_{KG}^2 = 0$ we use (11.6) and the assumption (11.4) on the vanishing of the mean to obtain

$$(11.14) \quad b \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\{t^*=\tau\}} (|\tilde{\psi}|^2 + |\partial_{r^*} \tilde{\psi}|^2 + |\partial_t \mathcal{P}_{trap} \tilde{\psi}|^2 + |\nabla \mathcal{P}_{trap} \tilde{\psi}|^2) \leq B \int_{\{t^*=\tau_1\}} J_{\mu}^n[\psi] n^{\mu}.$$

A decomposition

In what follows we will use the integrated estimate (11.14) to prove the desired boundedness estimates. In short, we will decompose $\tilde{\psi}$ into pieces $\tilde{\psi}_i$ that experience trapping in sufficiently small regions of r .

First, we need the following definition

Definition 11.1. *Let $\epsilon_{part} > 0$ be sufficiently small and*

$$(11.15) \quad k = k(\epsilon_{part}) = [\epsilon_{part}^{-1}(R^+ - R^-)]$$

where for R^{\pm} see Theorem 8.3.

We denote

$$(11.16) \quad a_1 = R^-, \quad b_k = R^+,$$

and we define the intervals $P_i = [a_i, b_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$ where $P_i \cap P_j = \emptyset$ if $i \neq j$ to be such that

$$(11.17) \quad \bigcup_{i=1}^{k(\epsilon_{part})} P_i = [R^-, R^+)$$

where

$$(11.18) \quad |P_i| = \epsilon_{part}$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, k$.

Finally, we define

$$(11.19) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_0 &= \{(\omega, m, \tilde{\lambda}) : r_{trap}(\omega, m, \tilde{\lambda}) = 0\} \\ \mathcal{C}_i &= \{(\omega, m, \ell) : r_{trap}(\omega, m, \ell) \in P_i\} \end{aligned}$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, k$, where for r_{trap} see Theorem 16.1.

Remark 11.1. In view of the definition of r_{trap} , see Theorem 16.1, we note that each (ω, m, ℓ) lies in exactly one \mathcal{C}_i .

We take $\epsilon_{part} > 0$ of Definition 11.1 sufficiently small. We can easily construct φ_τ invariant timelike vector fields

$$(11.20) \quad V_i$$

with $i = 1, \dots, k$, which are Killing in the regions $[a_i - \frac{\epsilon_{part}}{10^4}, b_i + \frac{\epsilon_{part}}{10^4}]$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, for a sufficiently small $\epsilon_{part} > 0$, where recall that $P_i = [a_i, b_i]$, $b_i - a_i = \epsilon_{part}$ and $r_+ < a_i < b_i < \bar{r}_+$ for all i .

We note that

$$(11.21) \quad \tilde{\psi} = \sum_{i=0}^k \tilde{\psi}_i,$$

for

$$(11.22) \quad \tilde{\psi}_i \doteq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \sum_{m\ell} 1_{\mathcal{C}_i} e^{-i\omega t} e^{im\varphi} S_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)} \tilde{\psi}_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)} d\omega$$

where for the number $k = k(\epsilon_{part})$ and for \mathcal{C}_i see Definition 11.1. Note that the equality (11.22) is to be understood in an H^1 sense if $\mu_{KG}^2 > 0$ and in an \dot{H}^1 sense if $\mu_{KG}^2 = 0$. Furthermore, we have that

$$(11.23) \quad \square \tilde{\psi}_i - \mu_{KG}^2 \tilde{\psi}_i = \tilde{F}_i,$$

where $\tilde{F}_i = \square \chi_{[A_0, A_1]} \cdot \tilde{\psi}_i + 2\nabla \chi_{[A_0, A_1]} \cdot \nabla \tilde{\psi}_i$.

The following lemma is straightforward to prove with a pigeonhole principle argument

Lemma 11.1. Let $l > 0$, $(a, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l$ and $\mu_{KG}^2 \geq 0$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be sufficiently small. Let Ψ be sufficiently integrable, see Definition 6.1, and let $\Psi \equiv 0$ in $\{r \leq r_+ + \epsilon\} \cup \{r \geq \bar{r}_+ - \epsilon\}$.

Then, there exists a constant $C = C(\Psi) > 0$ and a dyadic sequence $\{\tau_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ such that $\tau_n \rightarrow -\infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$(11.24) \quad \int_{\{t^* = \tau_n\}} J_\mu^n[\Psi] n^\mu \leq \frac{C}{\tau_n}.$$

Now, we note that $\tilde{\psi}$ and $\tilde{\psi}_i$ are sufficiently integrable, see Definition 6.1. Since $\tilde{\psi}_i$ is sufficiently integrable and supported in $\{A_0 \leq r \leq A_1\}$ for any $i = 0, \dots, k$ we note from Lemma 11.1 that for any $i = 0, \dots, k$ there exists a constant C_i and a dyadic sequence $\tau_n^{(i)}$ such that for $\tilde{\psi}_i$ as in (11.21) we obtain

$$(11.25) \quad \int_{\{t^* = \tau_n^{(i)}\}} J_\mu^n[\tilde{\psi}_i] n^\mu \leq \frac{C_i}{|\tau_n^{(i)}|},$$

where $\tau_n^{(i)} \rightarrow -\infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

We apply the energy identity associated with the vector field V_i and $\tilde{\psi}_i$ between the hypersurfaces $\{t^* = \tau_n^{(i)}\}$, $\{t^* = \tau_2\}$. By recalling that the vector field V_i is Killing in the region $[a_i - \frac{\epsilon_{part}}{10^4}, b_i + \frac{\epsilon_{part}}{10^4}]$, and that in the region $[a_i - \frac{\epsilon_{part}}{10^4}, b_i + \frac{\epsilon_{part}}{10^4}]^c$ and for the frequencies $(\omega, m, \ell) \in \mathcal{C}_i$ we

have $|r - r_{trap}| \geq b(\epsilon_{part})$, see also the definition (6.42) of \mathcal{P}_{trap} and the decomposition (11.22), we obtain the following

$$\begin{aligned}
(11.26) \quad \int_{\{t^*=\tau_2\}} J_\mu^{V_i}[\tilde{\psi}_i]n^\mu &\leq B \int_{\tau_n^{(i)}}^{\tau_2} \int_{\{t^*=s\} \cap [a_i - \frac{\epsilon_{part}}{10^4}, b_i + \frac{\epsilon_{part}}{10^4}]^c} J_\mu^{V_i}[\tilde{\psi}_i]n^\mu + \int_{\{t^*=\tau_n^{(i)}\}} J_\mu^{V_i}[\tilde{\psi}_i]n^\mu \\
&\leq B \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\{t^*=\tau\} \cap [a_i - \frac{\epsilon_{part}}{10^4}, b_i + \frac{\epsilon_{part}}{10^4}]^c} J_\mu^n[\mathcal{P}_{trap}\tilde{\psi}_i]n^\mu + \frac{BC_i}{\tau_n^{(i)}} \\
&\leq B \int_{\{t^*=\tau_1\}} J_\mu^n[\psi]n^\mu + \frac{BC_i}{|\tau_n^{(i)}|}
\end{aligned}$$

for $\tau_1 < \tau_2$, where we also used (11.25) and the already proven integrated decay estimate (11.14). Now, by taking $n \rightarrow \infty$ we conclude that

$$(11.27) \quad \int_{\{t^*=\tau_2\}} J_\mu^n[\tilde{\psi}]n^\mu \leq B \int_{\{t^*=\tau_1\}} J_\mu^n[\psi]n^\mu$$

after summing over $i = 0, \dots, k$. Therefore, we have proved the boundedness for ψ away from the horizons $\mathcal{H}^+, \bar{\mathcal{H}}^+$.

Now, it is an easy application of the redshift estimate, see Proposition 5.2 and of the already proven Morawetz estimate (10.1) to prove that

$$\begin{aligned}
(11.28) \quad \int_{\{t^*=\tau_2\} \cap [r_+, A_0+\delta]} J_\mu^n[\psi]n^\mu &\leq \int_{\{t^*=\tau_1\}} J_\mu^n[\psi]n^\mu + B \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} ds \int_{\{t^*=s\} \cap [A_0+\delta, A_0+2\delta]} J_\mu^n[\psi]n^\mu \\
&\leq B \int_{\{t^*=\tau_1\}} J_\mu^n[\psi]n^\mu,
\end{aligned}$$

in view of the fact that $J_\mu^N[\psi]n^\mu \sim J_\mu^n[\psi]n^\mu$ in the region $\{r_+ \leq r \leq A_0 + \delta\}$. We proceed similarly to prove

$$(11.29) \quad \int_{\{t^*=\tau_2\} \cap [A_1-\delta, \bar{r}_+]} J_\mu^n[\psi]n^\mu \leq B \int_{\{t^*=\tau_1\}} J_\mu^n[\psi]n^\mu.$$

Therefore, in view of (11.27) and (11.28), (11.29) we conclude (11.1).

For the case $\mu_{KG}^2 > 0$ we conclude (11.2) from (11.1). For the case $\mu_{KG}^2 = 0$, in view of the assumption (11.4) and the Poincaré–Wirtinger inequality of Lemma 4.3, we conclude (11.2) from (11.1).

Finally, in order to obtain the boundedness of the horizon fluxes (11.3) we appeal to the redshift estimate of Proposition 5.2 and the integrated estimate (11.14). \square

12. THE AXISYMMETRIC CASE

We prove the Morawetz and boundedness estimates of Theorem 1, for axisymmetric solutions of the Klein–Gordon equation (1.7). Note that we do not appeal to a continuity argument.

Proof. First, the boundedness estimates

$$\begin{aligned}
(12.1) \quad \int_{\{t^*=\tau_2\}} J_\mu^n[\psi]n^\mu &\leq C \int_{\{t^*=\tau_1\}} J_\mu^n[\psi]n^\mu \\
\int_{\{t^*=\tau_2\}} J_\mu^n[\psi]n^\mu + |\psi|^2 &\leq C \int_{\{t^*=\tau_1\}} J_\mu^n[\psi]n^\mu + |\psi|^2
\end{aligned}$$

follow immediately from Proposition 5.3.

Second, we prove the Morawetz estimate (10.1). In the case $\mu_{KG}^2 = 0$ it suffices to make the assumption

$$(12.2) \quad \underline{\psi}(\tau_1) \doteq \frac{1}{|\{t^* = \tau_1\}|} \int_{\{t^*=\tau_1\}} \psi = 0.$$

It suffices to make this assumption since if ψ is a solution of the wave equation, see (1.7) with $\mu_{KG}^2 = 0$, then $\psi + c$ is also a solution, where c is any constant.

Now, in view of Lemma 9.2 and the now established boundedness estimates (7.4), (7.5) we have that ψ is future integrable. Therefore, we can now use the result of Theorem 8.1 and obtain

$$(12.3) \quad \int \int_{D(\tau_1, \tau_2)} \mu_{KG}^2 |\psi|^2 + |\partial_{r^*} \psi|^2 + |Z^* \psi|^2 + \zeta_{trap}(r) (|\partial_t \psi|^2 + |\nabla \psi|^2) \\ \leq B \int_{\{t^* = \tau_1\}} J_\mu^n[\psi] n^\mu + |\psi|^2,$$

where $\zeta_{trap}(r) = \left(1 - \frac{r_{\Delta, trap}}{r}\right)^2$.

In the case $\mu_{KG}^2 > 0$ we conclude the desired Morawetz estimate from (12.3). In the case $\mu_{KG}^2 = 0$, in view of the assumption (12.2) and the Poincaré–Wirtinger inequality of Lemma 4.3 we obtain

$$(12.4) \quad \int \int_{D(\tau_1, \tau_2)} \mu_{KG}^2 |\psi|^2 + |\partial_{r^*} \psi|^2 + |Z^* \psi|^2 + \zeta_{trap}(r) (|\partial_t \psi|^2 + |\nabla \psi|^2) \\ \leq B \int_{\{t^* = \tau_1\}} J_\mu^n[\psi] n^\mu,$$

and we conclude the desired Morawetz estimate as well.

Now, in view of the Morawetz estimate (12.4), the redshift estimate of Proposition 5.2 and the estimates (12.1) we obtain the boundedness estimates (7.4), (7.5). \square

13. THE CRITICAL POINTS OF THE POTENTIAL V_0

The rest of this paper is dedicated to the formulation and proof of Theorem 8.3, of Section 8. We will need the present preliminary Section as well as Sections 14, 15 as well. Theorem 8.3 will then be proven in Section 16 as a Corollary of Theorem 16.1.

The main result of the present Section is Lemma 13.1. Specifically, we study the properties of the potential V_0 , see (6.27), for fixed frequencies (ω, m, ℓ) , and prove that $(r^2 + a^2)^3 \frac{dV_0}{dr}$ attains at most one critical point in $[r_+, \bar{r}_+]$.

Lemma 13.1. *Let $l > 0$ and $(a, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l$. Then, the function*

$$(13.1) \quad (r^2 + a^2)^3 \frac{dV_0}{dr},$$

where V_0 is the potential from equation (6.27), attains at most one critical point in the interval $[r_+, \bar{r}_+]$, which is moreover a local maximum. Furthermore, if the local maximum exists, then we also have

$$(13.2) \quad \frac{d}{dr} \left((r^2 + a^2)^3 \frac{dV_0}{dr} \right) (r_{V_0, max}) < 0.$$

Finally, the potential

$$(13.3) \quad V_0$$

attains at most two critical points in $[r_+, \bar{r}_+]$ that satisfy

$$(13.4) \quad r_+ \leq r_{V_0, min} < r_{V_0, max} \leq \bar{r}_+,$$

which are respectively a local minimum and a local maximum.

Proof. First, note the following

$$(13.5) \quad \frac{d}{dr} \left((r^2 + a^2)^3 \frac{dV_0}{dr} \right) = -6\Xi \left(\tilde{\lambda} - 2m\omega a \frac{a^2}{l^2} \right) r^2 + 12M(\tilde{\lambda} - 2m\omega a \Xi) r - 2a^2 \tilde{\lambda} + (2am\Xi)^2 + \frac{4a^5 m \Xi \omega}{l^2}.$$

The polynomial of equation (13.5) enjoys the same roots as the polynomial

$$(13.6) \quad r^2 - \frac{2M(\tilde{\lambda} - 2m\omega a \Xi)}{\Xi(\tilde{\lambda} - 2m\omega a \frac{a^2}{l^2})} r + \frac{2a^2 \tilde{\lambda} \Xi - (2am\Xi)^2 - \frac{4a^5 m \Xi \omega}{l^2}}{6\Xi(\tilde{\lambda} - 2m\omega a \frac{a^2}{l^2})}.$$

The roots of the polynomial (13.6) are

$$(13.7) \quad r_{0,\pm} = \frac{M(\tilde{\lambda} - 2m\omega a \Xi)}{\Xi(\tilde{\lambda} - 2m\omega a \frac{a^2}{l^2})} \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{M(\tilde{\lambda} - 2m\omega a \Xi)}{\Xi(\tilde{\lambda} - 2m\omega a \frac{a^2}{l^2})}\right)^2 - \frac{2a^2 \Xi \tilde{\lambda} - (2am\Xi)^2 - \frac{4a^5 m \Xi \omega}{l^2}}{6\Xi(\tilde{\lambda} - 2m\omega a \frac{a^2}{l^2})}}.$$

Recall from Lemma 6.1 that the following hold

$$(13.8) \quad \tilde{\lambda} - 2m\omega a \Xi > 0, \quad \tilde{\lambda} - 2m\omega a \frac{a^2}{l^2} > 0.$$

For the case $am\omega \geq 0$ we obtain that since $\frac{a^2}{l^2} < \Xi$ then we have

$$(13.9) \quad \tilde{\lambda} - 2m\omega a \frac{a^2}{l^2} > \tilde{\lambda} - 2m\omega a \Xi \implies \frac{\tilde{\lambda} - 2m\omega a \Xi}{\tilde{\lambda} - 2m\omega a \frac{a^2}{l^2}} < 1,$$

which implies

$$(13.10) \quad \operatorname{Re}(r_{0,-}) < \frac{M}{\Xi} < M < r_+,$$

which concludes that (13.1) attains at most one critical point for the case $am\omega \geq 0$ since then the only potential root in $[r_+, \bar{r}_+]$ is $r_{0,+}$.

For the case $am\omega < 0$ we proceed as follows. First, we write $r_{0,-}$ in the following manner

$$(13.11) \quad r_{0,-} = \frac{M(\tilde{\lambda} - 2m\omega a \Xi)}{\Xi(\tilde{\lambda} - 2m\omega a \frac{a^2}{l^2})} \left(1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{(2a^2 \Xi \tilde{\lambda} - (2am\Xi)^2 - \frac{4a^5 m \Xi \omega}{l^2}) \Xi (\tilde{\lambda} - 2m\omega a \frac{a^2}{l^2})}{6M^2 (\tilde{\lambda} - 2m\omega a \Xi)^2}}\right).$$

We have

$$(13.12) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{(2a^2 \Xi \tilde{\lambda} - (2am\Xi)^2 - \frac{4a^5 m \Xi \omega}{l^2}) \Xi (\tilde{\lambda} - 2m\omega a \frac{a^2}{l^2})}{6M^2 (\tilde{\lambda} - 2m\omega a \Xi)^2} = \frac{a^2 \Xi^2 (\tilde{\lambda} - 2m^2 \Xi - \frac{2a^3 m \omega}{l^2}) (\tilde{\lambda} - 2m\omega a \frac{a^2}{l^2})}{3M^2 (\tilde{\lambda} - 2m\omega a \Xi)^2} \\ & = \frac{a^2 \Xi^2 (\tilde{\lambda} - 2m^2 \Xi - \frac{2a^3 m \omega}{l^2}) (\tilde{\lambda} - 2m\omega a \frac{a^2}{l^2})}{3M^2 (\tilde{\lambda} - 2m\omega a \Xi) (\tilde{\lambda} - 2m\omega a \Xi)}. \end{aligned}$$

By recalling the bounds

$$(13.13) \quad \frac{a^2}{l^2} < \frac{1}{4}, \quad \left| \frac{a}{M} \right| \leq \frac{12}{10}$$

from Lemma 3.2, and that (13.8) hold we obtain

$$(13.14) \quad \frac{a^2 \Xi^2}{3M^2} \leq \frac{3}{4}$$

and

$$(13.15) \quad \frac{(\tilde{\lambda} - 2m^2 \Xi - \frac{2a^3 m \omega}{l^2}) (\tilde{\lambda} - 2m\omega a \frac{a^2}{l^2})}{(\tilde{\lambda} - 2m\omega a \Xi) (\tilde{\lambda} - 2m\omega a \Xi)} \leq 1.$$

Therefore, we bound the right hand side of (13.12) as follows

$$(13.16) \quad \text{RHS of (13.12)} \leq \frac{3}{4}.$$

Now, we note the inequality

$$(13.17) \quad \sqrt{1-x} \geq 1 - \frac{2}{3}x, \quad \text{for } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{3}{4},$$

where the equality holds for

$$(13.18) \quad x = 0, \quad x = \frac{3}{4}.$$

Now that we have established the bound (13.16), we use inequality (13.17) to obtain the following bound

$$\begin{aligned}
(13.19) \quad r_{0,-} &\leq \frac{M(\tilde{\lambda} - 2m\omega a \Xi)}{\Xi(\tilde{\lambda} - 2m\omega a \frac{a^2}{l^2})} \frac{2}{3} \frac{2a^2 \Xi \tilde{\lambda} - (2am\Xi)^2 - \frac{4a^5 m\omega \Xi}{l^2}}{6\Xi(\tilde{\lambda} - 2m\omega a \frac{a^2}{l^2})} = \frac{2}{3} \frac{2a^2 \tilde{\lambda} \Xi - (2am\Xi)^2 - \frac{4a^5 m\omega \Xi}{l^2}}{6\Xi(\tilde{\lambda} - 2m\omega a \frac{a^2}{l^2})} \\
&= \frac{2}{9} \frac{a^2}{M} \frac{\tilde{\lambda} \Xi - 2m^2 \Xi^2 - 2\frac{a^3}{l^2} m\omega \Xi}{\tilde{\lambda} - 2m\omega a \Xi} \\
&< \frac{2}{9} \frac{a^2}{M} \frac{2\tilde{\lambda} - 2\frac{a^2}{l^2} am\omega \Xi}{\tilde{\lambda} - 2m\omega a \Xi} \\
&< \frac{4}{9} \frac{a^2}{M^2} M < \frac{4}{9} \left(\frac{12}{10}\right)^2 M < M < r_+
\end{aligned}$$

where we used that $\frac{2\tilde{\lambda} - 2\frac{a^2}{l^2} am\omega \Xi}{\tilde{\lambda} - 2m\omega a \Xi} < 2$, which concludes that (13.1) attains at most one critical point for the case $am\omega < 0$ since the only potential root in $[r_+, \bar{r}_+]$ is $r_{0,+}$.

Now, in view of the already proven

$$(13.20) \quad r_{0,-} < r_+ < r_{0,+}$$

we conclude that

$$(13.21) \quad \frac{d}{dr} \left((r^2 + a^2)^3 \frac{dV_0}{dr} \right) (r = r_{0,+}) < 0,$$

and therefore $r_{0,+}$ is a local maximum for the function

$$(13.22) \quad (r^2 + a^2)^3 \frac{dV_0}{dr}.$$

Finally, to conclude that indeed V_0 attains at most two critical points in $[r_+, \bar{r}_+]$ which satisfy

$$(13.23) \quad r_+ \leq r_{V_0, \min} < r_{V_0, \max} \leq \bar{r}_+$$

we inspect the form of the function

$$(13.24) \quad \frac{d}{dr} \left((r^2 + a^2)^3 \frac{dV_0}{dr} \right),$$

see (13.5), and also use that

$$(13.25) \quad (r^2 + a^2)^3 \frac{dV_0}{dr}$$

attains at most one critical point in $[r_+, \bar{r}_+]$. We conclude (13.2) from (13.21).

We conclude the Lemma. \square

14. THE CURRENTS AND THE FREQUENCY LOCALIZED MULTIPLIERS

We use the notation

$$(14.1) \quad ' = \frac{d}{dr^*},$$

see Section 2.5 for the tortoise coordinate.

14.1. **The currents for u .** We define the following

Definition 14.1. *Let V be the potential of Carter's separation of variables, see Proposition 6.2. We define the currents*

$$(14.2) \quad \begin{aligned} Q^h[u] &\doteq h \operatorname{Re}(u'\bar{u}) - \frac{1}{2}h'|u|^2, \\ Q^y[u] &\doteq y(|u'|^2 + (\omega^2 - V)|u|^2), \\ Q^f[u] &\doteq f(|u'|^2 + (\omega^2 - V)|u|^2) + f' \operatorname{Re}(u'\bar{u}) - \frac{1}{2}f''|u|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Note that for the last current of (14.2) we chose $h = \frac{df}{dr^*}$ and $y = f$ and summed the first two currents of (14.2).

Lemma 14.1. *Let u be a smooth solution of Carter's radial ode (6.25). The derivatives of the currents of Definition 14.1 are*

$$(14.3) \quad \begin{aligned} (Q^h[u])' &= h|u'|^2 + \left(h(V - \omega^2) - \frac{1}{2}h''\right)|u|^2 + h \operatorname{Re}(u\bar{H}), \\ (Q^y[u])' &= y'|u'|^2 + \left(y'(\omega^2 - V) - yV'\right)|u|^2 + 2y \operatorname{Re}(u'\bar{H}) \\ &= y'|u'|^2 + \left(\omega^2 y' - (yV)'\right)|u|^2 + 2y \operatorname{Re}(u'\bar{H}), \\ (Q^f[u])' &= 2f'|u'|^2 + \left(-fV' - \frac{1}{2}f'''\right)|u|^2 + \operatorname{Re}(2f\bar{H}u' + f'\bar{H}u). \end{aligned}$$

14.2. **The currents for Ψ .** We study the ode for Ψ instead of the ode for $u = \sqrt{r^2 + a^2}\Psi$. The currents of the present Section will be used in Section 16.5.

Lemma 14.2. *Let u be a smooth solution of Carter's radial ode (6.25). Then, the function Ψ , where $u = \sqrt{r^2 + a^2}\Psi$, satisfies the following ode*

$$(14.4) \quad \Psi'' + \frac{2r\Delta}{(r^2 + a^2)^2}\Psi' + \Psi(\omega^2 - \tilde{V}) = \frac{H_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)}}{\sqrt{r^2 + a^2}},$$

where

$$(14.5) \quad \tilde{V} \doteq V_0 + V_{\mu_{KG}} = \frac{(\lambda_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)} + a^2\omega^2 - 2m\omega a\Xi)\Delta}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} + \Delta\mu_{KG}^2 \frac{r^2 + a^2}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} + \omega^2 - \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{r^2 + a^2}\right)^2,$$

where for $V_0, V_{\mu_{KG}}$ see (6.27).

Proof. This is straightforward from equation (6.25). \square

We define the following

Definition 14.2. *The modified currents that correspond to the new form of the equation are*

$$(14.6) \quad \begin{aligned} Q_{stat}^h[\Psi] &\doteq h \operatorname{Re}(\Psi\bar{\Psi}') + \frac{r\Delta}{(r^2 + a^2)^2}h|\Psi|^2 - \frac{1}{2}h'|\Psi|^2, \\ Q_{stat}^y[\Psi] &\doteq y|\Psi'|^2 + y(\omega^2 - \tilde{V})|\Psi|^2, \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 14.3. *Let Ψ be a smooth solution of (14.4). The derivatives of the currents of Definition 14.2 are*

$$(14.7) \quad \begin{aligned} (Q_{stat}^h[\Psi])' &= h|\Psi'|^2 + \left(\left(\frac{r\Delta}{(r^2 + a^2)^2}h\right)' + h(\tilde{V} - \omega^2) - \frac{1}{2}h''\right)|\Psi|^2 + \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{2\Psi\bar{H}_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)}}{\sqrt{r^2 + a^2}}\right), \\ (Q_{stat}^y[\Psi])' &= \left(y' - y\frac{4r\Delta}{(r^2 + a^2)^2}\right)|\Psi'|^2 + \left(y'(\omega^2 - \tilde{V}) - y\tilde{V}'\right)|\Psi|^2 + \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{y\Psi'\bar{H}_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)}}{\sqrt{r^2 + a^2}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

By adding the two currents, after taking $f = y$ and $h = \frac{df}{dr^*}$, we obtain a third current

$$(14.8) \quad \begin{aligned} (Q_{stat}^f[\Psi])' &= \left(2f' - \frac{4r\Delta(r)f}{(r^2+a^2)^2}\right)|\Psi'|^2 + \left(\left(\frac{r\Delta(r)}{(r^2+a^2)^2}f'\right)' - \frac{1}{2}f''' - f\tilde{V}'\right)|\Psi|^2 \\ &+ \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{2\Psi f' \overline{H_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)}}}{\sqrt{r^2+a^2}}\right) + \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{f\Psi' \overline{H_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)}}}{\sqrt{r^2+a^2}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

14.3. **The currents** $Q^{\partial_t}[u], Q^{K^+}[u], Q^{\bar{K}^+}[u]$. We define the following currents

Definition 14.3. *We define the currents*

$$(14.9) \quad Q^{\partial_t}[u] = \omega \operatorname{Im}(u'\bar{u}), \quad Q^{K^+}[u] = \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2}\right) \operatorname{Im}(u'\bar{u}), \quad Q^{\bar{K}^+}[u] = \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{\bar{r}_+^2 + a^2}\right) \operatorname{Im}(u'\bar{u}).$$

Lemma 14.4. *Let u be a smooth solutions of Carter's radial ode (6.25). The derivatives of the currents of Definition 14.3 are respectively*

$$(14.10) \quad (Q^{\partial_t}[u])' = \omega \operatorname{Im}(H\bar{u}), \quad (Q^{K^+}[u])' = \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2}\right) \operatorname{Im}(H\bar{u}), \quad (Q^{\bar{K}^+}[u])' = \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{\bar{r}_+^2 + a^2}\right) \operatorname{Im}(H\bar{u}).$$

15. THE FREQUENCY REGIMES

15.1. **The de Sitter frequencies.** We define the ‘de Sitter frequencies’ as follows

$$(15.1) \quad \mathcal{DSF} = \left\{(\omega, m) : |\omega| \in \left[0, \frac{|am|\Xi}{\bar{r}_+^2 + a^2}\right]\right\}$$

and note that they are disjoint from the set of superradiant frequencies, see Definition 6.7.

For high angular frequencies, we define the ‘high de Sitter frequency regime’ \mathcal{F}_{dS} , see the next Section 15.2, which note that, for certain black hole parameters will correspond to a trapped frequency regime, also see Proposition 16.1.

Note that the interior of the set (15.1) is not empty, in contrast with the Kerr case where $\bar{r}_+ = \infty$, see Lemma 3.2.

15.2. **The frequency regimes.** To ease the comparison with [17], we retain the notation for the frequency regimes introduced there, while note that we here introduce the frequency regime \mathcal{F}_{dS} .

Definition 15.1 (Definition of the frequency regimes). *Let*

$$(15.2) \quad \omega_{low}, \quad \omega_{high}, \quad \lambda_{low}, \quad \alpha > 0$$

be sufficiently small, sufficiently large, sufficiently small and sufficiently small positive real parameters respectively. We choose these parameters later, see already Section 16.9.

Then, we cover the frequency space $\mathbb{R} \times \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} (\{m\} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq |m|})$ by the following (potentially overlapping) frequency regimes:

The high de Sitter frequency regime:

$$\mathcal{F}_{dS} = \{(\omega, m, \ell) : \tilde{\lambda} \geq \omega_{high}\} \cap \{(\omega, m) \in \mathcal{DSF}, am\omega \geq 0\},$$

which will be further split into a trapped and non-trapped case.

The enlarged high superradiant frequency regime:

$$\mathcal{F}^\sharp = \{(\omega, m, \ell) : \tilde{\lambda} \geq \left(\frac{|a|\Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} + \alpha\right)^{-1} \omega_{high}\} \cap \{am\omega \in \left(\frac{a^2 m^2 \Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2}, \frac{a^2 m^2 \Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} + |a|\alpha\tilde{\lambda}\right)\}$$

The bounded frequency regime:

$$\mathcal{F}_\flat = \{(\omega, m, \ell) : |\omega| \leq \omega_{high}, |\tilde{\lambda}| < \lambda_{low}^{-1} \omega_{high}^2\}$$

(15.3) The λ -dominated frequency regime:

$$\mathcal{F}_\flat = \{(\omega, m, \ell) : \tilde{\lambda} \geq \lambda_{low}^{-1} \omega_{high}^2, \tilde{\lambda} > \lambda_{low}^{-1} (\omega^2 + a^2 m^2)\} \cap \{am\omega \notin \left[0, \frac{a^2 m^2 \Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} + |a|\alpha\tilde{\lambda}\right)\}$$

The high $\omega \sim \lambda$ frequency regime:

$$\mathcal{F}_\natural = \{(\omega, m, \ell) : |\omega| \geq \omega_{high}, \lambda_{low} \tilde{\lambda} \leq \omega^2 + a^2 m^2 \leq \lambda_{low}^{-1} \tilde{\lambda}\} \cap \{am\omega \notin \left[0, \frac{a^2 m^2 \Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} + |a|\alpha\tilde{\lambda}\right)\}$$

which will be further split into a trapped and non-trapped case.

The ω dominated frequency regime:

$$\mathcal{F}_\natural = \{(\omega, m, \ell) : |\omega| \geq \omega_{high}, |\tilde{\lambda}| < \lambda_{low} (\omega^2 + a^2 m^2)\} \cap \{am\omega \notin \left[0, \frac{a^2 m^2 \Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} + |a|\alpha|\tilde{\lambda}|\right)\}.$$

By inspecting (15.3) we have that

$$(15.4) \quad \mathcal{F}_{dS} \cup \mathcal{F}^\sharp \cup \mathcal{F}_\flat \cup \mathcal{F}_\natural \cup \mathcal{F}_\flat \cup \mathcal{F}_\natural = \mathbb{R} \times \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} (\{m\} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq |m|}).$$

Remark 15.1. Note that in the $\Lambda = 0$ Kerr case we obtain $\mathcal{F}_{dS} = \emptyset$, see [17], since $\bar{r}_+(\Lambda = 0) = \infty$. Moreover, note that in the Schwarzschild-de Sitter case we also obtain $\mathcal{F}_{dS} = \emptyset$.

For certain black hole parameters the frequency regimes \mathcal{F}_{dS} admits trapping, see already Section 16.3, even for frequencies ω sufficiently close to 0. This corresponds to the existence of ∂_t orthogonal trapped null geodesics in the background manifold \mathcal{M} of Kerr-de Sitter, see Section B.

Note the following lemma

Remark 15.2. Let $l > 0$ and $(a, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l$. Let the parameters $\lambda_{low}(a, M, l)$, $\omega_{high}(a, M, l)$, $\alpha(a, M, l) > 0$ be arbitrary positive real numbers. Then, the only frequency regime intersections that are not empty are

$$(15.5) \quad \mathcal{F}_\flat \cap \mathcal{F}^\sharp, \quad \mathcal{F}_\flat \cap \mathcal{F}_{dS}.$$

To prove this we note that for $|\omega| \geq \omega_{high}$ and $am\omega \in \left(0, \frac{a^2 m^2 \Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} + |a|\alpha\tilde{\lambda}\right)$, we obtain

$$(15.6) \quad 0 < am\omega < \frac{a^2 m^2 \Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} + |a|\alpha\tilde{\lambda} \leq \left(\frac{a^2 \Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} + |a|\alpha\right) \tilde{\lambda} \implies |a\omega_{high}| \leq \left(\frac{a^2 \Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} + |a|\alpha\right) \tilde{\lambda}$$

where we used that for $am\omega \geq 0$ we have $\lambda_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)} \geq \Xi^2 m^2$, from Lemma 6.1, and that $|m| \geq 1$. We readily obtain

$$(15.7) \quad \tilde{\lambda} \geq \left(\frac{|a|\Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} + \alpha\right)^{-1} \omega_{high}.$$

We conclude that the only frequency regimes intersections that are not empty are $\mathcal{F}_\flat \cap \mathcal{F}^\sharp$, $\mathcal{F}_\flat \cap \mathcal{F}_{dS}$. Now, we have that (15.7) implies that $\tilde{\lambda} \geq \omega_{high}$ in view of the fact that $\frac{\alpha}{r_+^2 + a^2} < 1, \alpha < 1$.

16. FIXED FREQUENCY ANALYSIS: PROOF OF THEOREM 8.3

The main result of this Section is Theorem 16.1. Theorem 8.3 is a direct Corollary.

16.1. Notation. For convenience we use the notation on the primed derivatives $' = \frac{d}{dr^*}$ and on the constants b, B already discussed in the beginning of Section 8.

Throughout this Section we use the notation introduced in Definition 6.4, namely that

$$(16.1) \quad \tilde{\lambda} = \lambda_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)} + (a\omega)^2.$$

16.2. The fixed frequency Theorem 16.1. We have the following fixed frequency ode result

Theorem 16.1. *Let $l > 0$ (a, M) $\in \mathcal{B}_l$ and $\mu_{KG}^2 \geq 0$. Then, there exist parameters*

$$(16.2) \quad E > 0, \quad \alpha^{-1} > 0, \quad (\lambda_{low})^{-1} > 0, \quad (\omega_{low})^{-1} > 0, \quad \omega_{high} > 0,$$

$$r_+ < R^- < R^+ < \bar{r}_+,$$

and an

$$(16.3) \quad r_{trap}(\omega, m, \ell) \in (R^-, R^+) \cup \{0\}$$

such that for all $r_{-\infty}$ sufficiently close to r_+ and all $r_{+\infty}$ sufficiently close to \bar{r}_+ and for any sufficiently large $\mathcal{C} > 0$ there exists a constant $B(\mathcal{C}, r_{\pm\infty}^*)$, as in Section 16.1, such that for smooth solutions

$$(16.4) \quad u(\omega, m, \ell, r)$$

of Carter's inhomogeneous radial ode, see (6.25), where recall the rescaling $u = \sqrt{r^2 + a^2}\Psi$, that moreover satisfy the outgoing boundary conditions (6.28), we have the following integrated estimate

$$(16.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & 1_{\{\mathcal{F}_i \cap \{m=0\}\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Delta (|\Psi'|^2 + (\omega^2 + \tilde{\lambda} + \mu_{KG}^2)|\Psi|^2) dr^* + 1_{\{|m|>0\}} \cdot \int_{r_{-\infty}^*}^{r_{+\infty}^*} (|u|^2 + \mu_{KG}^2|u|^2) dr^* \\ & \quad + 1_{(\mathcal{F}_i)^c} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Delta \left(|u'|^2 + |u|^2 + \left(1 - \frac{r_{trap}}{r}\right)^2 (\tilde{\lambda} + \omega^2) |u|^2 \right) dr^* \\ & \leq B \cdot 1_{\mathcal{F}_i \cap \{m=0\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(|\omega \operatorname{Im}(\bar{\Psi}H)| + |\omega \operatorname{Im}(\bar{\Psi}H)| + |H|^2 + |\Psi'H| \right) dr^* \\ & \quad + B 1_{|m|>0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(|\operatorname{Re}(u\bar{H})| + |\operatorname{Re}(u\bar{H})| + |\operatorname{Re}(u'\bar{H})| \right) dr^* \\ & \quad + B \cdot 1_{\mathcal{F}^\sharp \cup \mathcal{F}_b \cup \mathcal{F}_{\bar{b}} \cup \mathcal{F}_{\bar{\sharp}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\left| \omega - \frac{am\Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} \right| |\operatorname{Im}(\bar{u}H)| + \left| \omega - \frac{am\Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} \right| |\operatorname{Im}(\bar{u}H)| \right) dr^* \\ & \quad + E \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} \right) \operatorname{Im}(\bar{u}H) + \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} \right) \operatorname{Im}(\bar{u}H) \right) dr^* \\ & \quad + 1_{\mathcal{F}_{S\mathcal{F},\mathcal{C}}} |\omega - \omega_+ m| |\omega - \bar{\omega}_+ m| |u|^2(-\infty), \end{aligned}$$

where for the definition of the frequency regimes \mathcal{F}^\sharp , \mathcal{F}_b , \mathcal{F}_{dS} , $\mathcal{F}_{\bar{\sharp}}$, $\mathcal{F}_{\bar{b}}$ see Section 15, and for $\mathcal{F}_{S\mathcal{F},\mathcal{C}}$ see (6.48).

We recall from (15.4) that we cover the entire frequency space

$$(16.6) \quad \mathcal{F}_{dS} \cup \mathcal{F}^\sharp \cup \mathcal{F}_b \cup \mathcal{F}_{\bar{b}} \cup \mathcal{F}_{\bar{\sharp}} \cup \mathcal{F}_{\bar{b}} \cup \mathcal{F}_{\bar{\sharp}} = \mathbb{R} \times \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \{m\} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq |m|}$$

The parameters $\omega_{high}, \omega_{low}^{-1}, \lambda_{low}^{-1}, E, \alpha$ will be constrained to some largeness, where the largeness restriction only depends on the black hole parameters a, M, l . Until the parameters are fixed then the constants b, B , discussed previously, will depend on these parameters. We will fix the parameters at the end of the present Section, see already Section 16.9.

16.3. Multipliers for the high de Sitter frequency regime \mathcal{F}_{ds} . This frequency regime is non-existent in the $\Lambda = 0$ Kerr case, since $\bar{r}_+(\Lambda = 0) = \infty$ see Lemma 3.2.

This frequency regime is non-superradiant and when

$$(16.7) \quad \max_{r \in [r_+, \bar{r}_+]} \frac{\Delta}{a^2} \leq 1, \quad |a| \neq 0$$

holds, then it is subject to trapping. This is related to the presence of trapped null geodesics orthogonal to ∂_t , see Section B.

The main Proposition of this Section is the following

Proposition 16.1. *Let $l > 0$, $(a, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l$, $\mu_{KG}^2 \geq 0$. For any*

$$(16.8) \quad \omega_{high} > 0, \quad E > 0$$

both sufficiently large, then for $(\omega, m, \ell) \in \mathcal{F}_{ds}(\omega_{high})$ there exist smooth multipliers f, h, y , satisfying the uniform bounds

$$(16.9) \quad |f| + |f'| + |f''| + |f'''| + |h| + |h'| + |h''| + |h'''| + |y| + |y'| \leq B,$$

and there exists an

$$(16.10) \quad r_{trap}(\omega, m, \ell) \in (r_+ + \epsilon_{away}, \bar{r}_+ - \epsilon_{away}) \cup \{0\},$$

where $\epsilon_{away}(a, M, l) > 0$, such that for all smooth solutions u of Carter's radial ode (6.25) satisfying the outgoing boundary conditions (6.28), we have

$$(16.11) \quad b \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Delta \left(|u'|^2 + |u|^2 + \left(1 - \frac{r_{trap}}{r}\right)^2 (1 + \omega^2 + \tilde{\lambda}) |u|^2 \right) dr^* \leq B \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|f \operatorname{Re}(u\bar{H})| + |2f \operatorname{Re}(u'\bar{H})|) dr^* \\ + B \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|h \operatorname{Re}(u\bar{H})| + |f \operatorname{Re}(u\bar{H})| + |2f \operatorname{Re}(u'\bar{H})|) dr^* \\ + B \int_{\mathbb{R}} |2y \operatorname{Re}(u'H)| dr^* \\ + E \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} \right) \operatorname{Im}(\bar{u}H) dr^* \\ + E \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} \right) \operatorname{Im}(\bar{u}H) dr^*.$$

Proof of Proposition 16.1. We have that

$$(16.12) \quad V_0 - \omega^2 = \frac{\Delta}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} (\tilde{\lambda} - 2m\omega a\Xi) - \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{r^2 + a^2} \right)^2$$

and we compute

$$(16.13) \quad \frac{dV_0}{dr} = \frac{d}{dr} \frac{\Delta}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} (\tilde{\lambda} - 2m\omega a\Xi) - \frac{4am\Xi r (\omega (a^2 + r^2) - am\Xi)}{(a^2 + r^2)^3},$$

which we also write as

$$(16.14) \quad \frac{dV_0}{dr} = \frac{1}{l^2 (r^2 + a^2)^3} \left(r^3 (4a^3 m\Xi\omega - 2a^2 \tilde{\lambda} - 2\tilde{\lambda} l^2) \right. \\ \left. + r^2 (6\tilde{\lambda} l^2 M - 12al^2 m M \Xi\omega) \right. \\ \left. + r (4a^5 m\Xi\omega - 2a^4 \tilde{\lambda} - 2a^2 \tilde{\lambda} l^2 + 4a^2 l^2 m^2 \Xi^2) + 4a^3 l^2 m M \Xi\omega - 2a^2 \tilde{\lambda} l^2 M \right).$$

First, in view of Lemma 6.1 we have that

$$(16.15) \quad \tilde{\lambda} - 2m\omega a\Xi \geq \Xi^2 m^2 - 2 \frac{a^2 \Xi^2}{\bar{r}_+^2 + a^2} m^2 \geq \left(1 - 2 \frac{a^2}{\bar{r}_+^2 + a^2} \right) \Xi^2 m^2 \geq bm^2.$$

for any $(\omega, m, \ell) \in \mathcal{F}_{dS}$. A similar argument also shows that

$$(16.16) \quad \tilde{\lambda} - 2m\omega a\Xi = \epsilon_2 \tilde{\lambda} + (1 - \epsilon_2)\tilde{\lambda} - 2m\omega a\Xi \geq \epsilon_2 \tilde{\lambda} + \left(1 - \epsilon_2 - 2\frac{a^2}{\bar{r}_+^2 + a^2}\right)\Xi^2 m^2 \geq b(\epsilon_2)\tilde{\lambda},$$

for some sufficiently small $\epsilon_2(a, M, l) > 0$ which we now fix.

Since

$$(16.17) \quad am\omega \leq \frac{a^2 m^2 \Xi}{\bar{r}_+^2 + a^2} < \frac{a^2 m^2 \Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2}$$

we have that for $(\omega, m, \ell) \in \mathcal{F}_{dS}(\omega_{high})$ the following holds

$$(16.18) \quad \frac{dV_0}{dr}(r_+) > b\tilde{\lambda}.$$

for any sufficiently large $\omega_{high} > 0$.

Now, let $\epsilon_{trap} > 0$ be sufficiently small and let $\omega_{high} > 0$ be sufficiently large. We study the two cases

$$(16.19) \quad \begin{aligned} (\text{Trap}) &= \{(\omega, m, \ell) \in \mathcal{F}_{dS} : \max_{r \in [r_+, \bar{r}_+]} |V_0 - \omega^2| \leq \epsilon_{trap}\tilde{\lambda}\}, \\ (\text{Not Trap}) &= \{(\omega, m, \ell) \in \mathcal{F}_{dS} : \max_{r \in [r_+, \bar{r}_+]} |V_0 - \omega^2| > \epsilon_{trap}\tilde{\lambda}\}. \end{aligned}$$

We study the first case of (16.19). For the sake of contradiction, suppose that the maximum of V_0 is attained at the cosmological horizon \bar{r}_+ . Then, we would have that

$$(16.20) \quad \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{\bar{r}_+^2 + a^2}\right)^2 \leq \epsilon_{trap}\tilde{\lambda}.$$

Then, we use (16.20) for $\epsilon_{trap} > 0$ sufficiently small and we compute

$$(16.21) \quad \frac{dV_0}{dr}(\bar{r}_+) < -b\tilde{\lambda}.$$

Therefore, in view of Lemma 13.1 we obtain a contradiction. We have also concluded that (16.21) holds for the first case of (16.19).

Now, in view of Lemma 13.1 we have that for any $\epsilon_{away} > 0$ sufficiently small the critical point $r_{V_0, max}$ is attained at $(\bar{r}_+ - \epsilon_{away}, \bar{r}_+]$ (the critical point cannot be attained at $[r_+, r_+ + \epsilon_{away}]$ since (16.18) holds).

In view of (16.18), (16.21) and Lemma 13.1 we can now rewrite $\frac{dV_0}{dr}$ as follows

$$(16.22) \quad \frac{dV_0}{dr} = (2\tilde{\lambda}l^2 + 2a^2(\tilde{\lambda} - 2am\omega\Xi))(r - r_{V_0, max})s(r),$$

see (16.14) for the calculation of $\frac{dV_0}{dr}$, where the function $s(r)$ satisfies $s(r) > b$ for all $r \in [r_+, \bar{r}_+]$.

Now, recall from Proposition 6.2 that $V = V_0 + V_{SL} + V_{\mu_{KG}}$, where $V_{SL}, V_{\mu_{KG}}$ are frequency independent. Therefore, it is an immediate consequence of (16.22) that for $\omega_{high} > 0$ sufficiently large and for $\epsilon_{away}(a, M, l, \mu_{KG}) > 0$ sufficiently small we have that

$$(16.23) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{dV}{dr} &> b\tilde{\lambda}, & r \in [r_+, r_{V_0, max} - 2\epsilon_{away}] \\ \frac{dV}{dr} &< -b\tilde{\lambda}, & r \in [r_{V_0, max} + 2\epsilon_{away}, \bar{r}_+], \end{aligned}$$

in \mathcal{F}_{dS} . In view of (16.22) we have that for a sufficiently large $\omega_{high} > 0$ and for a sufficiently small $\epsilon_{away} > 0$

$$(16.24) \quad \frac{d^2 V_0}{dr^2} < -b\tilde{\lambda}, \quad r \in [r_{V_0, max} - 2\epsilon_{away}, r_{V_0, max} + 2\epsilon_{away}].$$

Therefore, for a sufficiently large $\omega_{high} > 0$ and a sufficiently small ϵ_{away} we have that

$$(16.25) \quad \frac{d^2V}{dr^2} < -b\tilde{\lambda}, \quad r \in [r_{V_0,max} - 2\epsilon_{away}, r_{V_0,max} + 2\epsilon_{away}],$$

where the constant b of (16.25) differs from the relevant constant of (16.24). Moreover, it is evident from (16.23), (16.25), that the potential V attains a unique critical point $r_{V,max} \in (r_+ + \epsilon_{away}, \bar{r}_+ - \epsilon_{away})$, a maximum, where we also have

$$(16.26) \quad -(r - r_{V,max})V' > b\tilde{\lambda}(r - r_{V,max})^2\Delta, \quad r \in [r_+, \bar{r}_+].$$

We define

$$(16.27) \quad r_{trap} = r_{V,max}.$$

For a sufficiently small $\epsilon_{away}(a, M, l, \mu_{KG}) > 0$ it is straightforward to solve the following ode

$$(16.28) \quad -\frac{1}{2}f''' = \Delta,$$

in the neighborhood $(r_{trap} - \epsilon_{away}, r_{trap} + \epsilon_{away})$ of r_{trap} , with initial conditions

$$(16.29) \quad f(r_{trap}) = 0, \quad f'(r_{trap}) = 1, \quad f''(r_{trap}) = 0.$$

Then, we smoothly extend f in the $[r_+, \bar{r}_+]$ such that

$$(16.30) \quad f(r^* = \infty) = 1, \quad f(r^* = -\infty) = -1, \quad \frac{df}{dr}(r) > b, \quad r \in [r_+, \bar{r}_+]$$

$$(16.31) \quad |f(r)|, \quad \left|\frac{df}{dr}\right|, \quad \left|\frac{d^2f}{dr^2}\right|, \quad \left|\frac{d^3f}{dr^3}\right| < B, \quad r \in [r_+, \bar{r}_+].$$

Therefore, by integrating the energy identity of Lemma 14.1, associated to Q^f , and by taking $\omega_{high} > 0$ sufficiently large such that

$$(16.32) \quad -fV' - \frac{1}{2}f''' \geq b(r - r_{trap})^2\tilde{\lambda}\Delta + b\Delta$$

we obtain for $(\omega, m, \ell) \in \mathcal{F}_{dS}(\omega_{high})$ the following holds

$$(16.33) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Delta \left(|u'|^2 + |u|^2 + \left(1 - \frac{r_{trap}}{r}\right)^2 (1 + \omega^2 + \tilde{\lambda}) |u|^2 \right) dr^* \\ & \leq Q^f(\infty) - Q^f(-\infty) + B \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|2f \operatorname{Re}(u' \bar{H})| + |f \operatorname{Re}(u \bar{H})|) dr^* \\ & \leq Bf(\infty) \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{\bar{r}_+^2 + a^2} \right)^2 |u|^2(r^* = \infty) + B(-f(-\infty)) \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{\bar{r}_+^2 + a^2} \right)^2 |u|^2(r^* = -\infty) \\ & \quad + B \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|2f \operatorname{Re}(u' \bar{H})| + |f \operatorname{Re}(u \bar{H})|) dr^*, \end{aligned}$$

where we also used (16.15) to generate all of the derivatives on the LHS.

In order to bound the boundary terms at $\pm\infty$ on the right hand side of (16.33) we use that the high de Sitter frequency regime \mathcal{F}_{dS} is non-superradiant. Specifically, for any sufficiently large and independent of the frequencies

$$(16.34) \quad E > 0,$$

we integrate the formula of Lemma 14.4 associated with the current

$$(16.35) \quad -EQ^{K^+} - EQ^{\bar{K}^+},$$

to obtain

$$(16.36) \quad -EQ^{K^+}(r^*) - EQ^{\bar{K}^+}(r^*) \Big|_{-\infty}^{+\infty} = -E \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\omega - \omega_+ m) \operatorname{Im}(H\bar{u}) dr^* - E \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\omega - \bar{\omega}_+ m) \operatorname{Im}(H\bar{u}) dr^*,$$

which we rewrite as follows

(16.37)

$$E \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{\bar{r}_+^2 + a^2} \right)^2 |u|^2(-\infty) + E \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{\bar{r}_+^2 + a^2} \right)^2 |u|^2(+\infty) = -E \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\omega - \omega_+ m) \operatorname{Im}(H\bar{u}) dr^* - E \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\omega - \bar{\omega}_+ m) \operatorname{Im}(H\bar{u}) dr^*,$$

We add (16.37) equation (16.33) and conclude the desired result (16.11) (with $h = 0$), in view of Proposition 6.4.

We now fix $\epsilon_{trap}(a, M, l, \mu_{KG}) > 0$.

We study the second case of (16.19). For frequencies $(\omega, m, \ell) \in (\text{Not Trap})$ we define

$$(16.38) \quad r_{trap}(\omega, m, \ell) = 0.$$

Let $\epsilon' > 0$ be sufficiently small. We split the set $(\text{Not Trap}) = \{|\max_{r \in [r_+, \bar{r}_+]} V_0 - \omega^2| \geq \epsilon_{trap} \tilde{\lambda}\}$ as follows

$$(16.39) \quad \begin{aligned} & \{(\omega, m, \ell) \in \mathcal{F}_{dS} : \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{\bar{r}_+^2 + a^2}\right)^2 \leq \epsilon' \tilde{\lambda}\} \cap \{|\max_{r \in [r_+, \bar{r}_+]} V_0 - \omega^2| \geq \epsilon_{trap} \tilde{\lambda}\}, \\ & \{(\omega, m, \ell) \in \mathcal{F}_{dS} : \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{\bar{r}_+^2 + a^2}\right)^2 > \epsilon' \tilde{\lambda}\} \cap \{\max_{r \in [r_+, \bar{r}_+]} V_0 - \omega^2 \geq \epsilon_{trap} \tilde{\lambda}\}, \\ & \{(\omega, m, \ell) \in \mathcal{F}_{dS} : \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{\bar{r}_+^2 + a^2}\right)^2 > \epsilon' \tilde{\lambda}\} \cap \{\omega^2 - \max_{r \in [r_+, \bar{r}_+]} V_0 \geq \epsilon_{trap} \tilde{\lambda}\}. \end{aligned}$$

We discuss the first case of (16.39). In view of (16.13) and of the condition $\left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{\bar{r}_+^2 + a^2}\right)^2 \leq \epsilon' \tilde{\lambda}$ we compute

$$(16.40) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{dV_0}{dr}(\bar{r}_+) &= \frac{d}{dr} \left(\frac{\Delta}{r^2 + a^2} \right) (\tilde{\lambda} - 2m\omega a\Xi) - \frac{4am\Xi\bar{r}_+ (\omega(a^2 + \bar{r}_+^2) - am\Xi)}{(a^2 + \bar{r}_+^2)^3} \\ &< \frac{d}{dr} \left(\frac{\Delta}{r^2 + a^2} \right) (\tilde{\lambda} - 2m\omega a\Xi) + \sqrt{\epsilon'} \tilde{\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, in view of (16.16) and of the inequality $\Xi^2 m^2 \leq \tilde{\lambda}$, see Lemma 6.1, we have that

$$(16.41) \quad \frac{dV_0}{dr}(\bar{r}_+) < -b\tilde{\lambda}$$

for any $\epsilon' > 0$ sufficiently small. Therefore, in view of (16.41), (16.18), Lemma 13.1, we have that the potential V_0 attains a unique critical point $r_{V_0, max} \in (r_+ + \epsilon_{away}, \bar{r}_+ - \epsilon_{away})$, for any $\epsilon_{away} > 0$ sufficiently small. Therefore, by following similar arguments presented earlier we have that for any $\omega_{high} > 0$ sufficiently large we obtain that the potential V attains a unique critical point $r_{V, max} \in (r_+ + \epsilon_{away}, \bar{r}_+ - \epsilon_{away})$, for any $\epsilon_{away} > 0$ sufficiently small.

We construct a smooth f multiplier by solving the ode

$$(16.42) \quad -\frac{1}{2} f''' = \Delta$$

in a neighborhood of $r_{V, max}$ with initial conditions

$$(16.43) \quad f(r_{V_0, max}) = 0, \quad f'(r_{V_0, max}) = 1, \quad f''(r_{V_0, max}) = 0,$$

and then we smoothly extend it such that

$$(16.44) \quad f(r^* = \infty) = 1, \quad f(r^* = -\infty) = -1, \quad \frac{df}{dr} > b, \quad r \in [r_+, \bar{r}_+],$$

$$(16.45) \quad |f(r)|, \quad \left| \frac{df}{dr} \right|, \quad \left| \frac{d^2 f}{dr^2} \right|, \quad \left| \frac{d^3 f}{dr^3} \right| < B, \quad r \in [r_+, \bar{r}_+].$$

We integrate the energy identity of Lemma 14.1 associated with the current Q^f and obtain the following energy estimate

$$(16.46) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Delta \left(|u'|^2 + |u|^2 + \left(1 - \frac{r_{V,max}}{r}\right)^2 (1 + \omega^2 + \tilde{\lambda}) |u|^2 \right) dr^* \\ & \leq Bf(\infty) \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{\bar{r}_+^2 + a^2} \right)^2 |u|^2(r^* = \infty) + B(-f(-\infty)) \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{\bar{r}_+^2 + a^2} \right)^2 |u|^2(r^* = -\infty) \\ & \quad + B \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|2f \operatorname{Re}(u' \bar{H})| + |f \operatorname{Re}(u \bar{H})|) dr^*. \end{aligned}$$

Now, for any $\epsilon' > 0$ sufficiently small we construct a smooth h multiplier with the following properties

$$(16.47) \quad h = \begin{cases} 1, & r \in (r_{V,max} - \frac{1}{10}\epsilon', r_{V,max} + \frac{1}{10}\epsilon') \\ 0, & r \in [r_+, \bar{r}_+] \setminus (r_{V,max} - \frac{1}{5}\epsilon', r_{V,max} + \frac{1}{5}\epsilon'). \end{cases}$$

In view of the non trapping property (Not Trap) we have that

$$(16.48) \quad V_0 - \omega^2 \geq \epsilon_{trap} \tilde{\lambda}, \quad r \in (r_{V,max} - \frac{1}{10}\epsilon', r_{V,max} + \frac{1}{10}\epsilon')$$

for any $\epsilon' > 0$ both sufficiently small. Now, we integrate the energy identity of Lemma 14.1 associated with the current Q^h and obtain

$$(16.49) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}} h |u|^2 dr^* + \int_{(r_{V,max} - \frac{\epsilon'}{10}, r_{V,max} + \frac{\epsilon'}{10})^*} \left(h(V - \omega^2) - \frac{1}{2} h'' \right) |u|^2 dr^* \\ & \quad + \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus (r_{V,max} - \frac{\epsilon'}{10}, r_{V,max} + \frac{\epsilon'}{10})^*} \left(h(V - \omega^2) - \frac{1}{2} h'' \right) |u|^2 dr^* = - \int_{\mathbb{R}} h \operatorname{Re}(u \bar{H}) dr^*. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, in view of the non trapping condition (16.48) we take $\omega_{high} > 0$ sufficiently large and conclude

$$(16.50) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}} h |u|^2 dr^* + \int_{(r_{V,max} - \frac{\epsilon'}{10}, r_{V,max} + \frac{\epsilon'}{10})^*} \tilde{\lambda} \Delta |u|^2 dr^* \\ & \quad + \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus (r_{V,max} - \frac{\epsilon'}{10}, r_{V,max} + \frac{\epsilon'}{10})^*} \left(h(V - \omega^2) - \frac{1}{2} h'' \right) |u|^2 dr^* \leq B \int_{\mathbb{R}} h \operatorname{Re}(u \bar{H}) |dr^*. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we sum the above (16.50) to the energy estimate (16.46) to obtain the following

$$(16.51) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Delta (|u'|^2 + |u|^2 + (1 + \omega^2 + \tilde{\lambda}) |u|^2) dr^* \\ & \leq Bf(\infty) \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{\bar{r}_+^2 + a^2} \right)^2 |u|^2(r^* = \infty) - Bf(-\infty) \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{\bar{r}_+^2 + a^2} \right)^2 |u|^2(r^* = -\infty) \\ & \quad + B \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|2f \operatorname{Re}(u' \bar{H})| + |f \operatorname{Re}(u \bar{H})|) dr^* + B \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|h \operatorname{Re}(u \bar{H})| + |f \operatorname{Re}(u \bar{H})| + 2|f \operatorname{Re}(u' \bar{H})|) dr^*. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly to the argument presented before, see (16.37), we integrate the energy identity of Lemma 14.1 associated with the current $-EQ^{K^+} - EQ^{\bar{K}^+}$, for a sufficiently large $E > 0$, we sum it to the above (16.51) and we conclude the desired result (16.11).

We now fix $\epsilon'(a, M, l, \mu_{KG}) > 0$.

We discuss the second case of (16.39). We note that the maximum of V_0 cannot be attained at \bar{r}_+ since then we would have $-\left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{\bar{r}_+^2 + a^2}\right)^2 \geq \epsilon_{trap} \tilde{\lambda} > 0$. Therefore, for any $\omega_{high} > 0$ sufficiently large and for any $\epsilon_{away} > 0$ sufficiently small we have that $r_{V,max} \in [r_+ + \epsilon_{away}, \bar{r}_+ - \epsilon_{away}]$.

We fix $\epsilon_{away}(a, M, l, \mu_{KG}) > 0$. We construct an f multiplier that satisfies exactly the properties (16.42), (16.43), (16.44). We construct a smooth h multiplier that satisfies

$$(16.52) \quad h = \begin{cases} 1, & r \in (r_{V,max} - \frac{\epsilon_1}{10}, r_{V,max} + \frac{\epsilon_1}{10}) \\ 0, & r \in [r_+, \bar{r}_+] \setminus (r_{V,max} - \frac{\epsilon_1}{5}, r_{V,max} + \frac{\epsilon_1}{5}), \end{cases}$$

for some sufficiently small $\epsilon_1(a, M, l, \mu_{KG}) > 0$. Now, we integrate the energy identities of Lemmata 14.1, 14.4, associated with the current

$$(16.53) \quad Q^f + Q^h - EQ^{K^+} - EQ^{\bar{K}^+},$$

for a sufficiently large $E > 0$, and we conclude the desired energy estimate (16.11).

We discuss the third case of (16.39). This last case is the easiest since the non trapping condition $\omega^2 - \max_{r \in [r_+, \bar{r}_+]} V_0 \geq \epsilon_{trap} \tilde{\lambda}$ implies immediately that

$$(16.54) \quad \omega^2 - V \geq \epsilon_{trap} \tilde{\lambda}$$

for any $r \in [r_+, \bar{r}_+]$. We construct a y multiplier of the form

$$(16.55) \quad y(r) = e^{C_{large} r}$$

where $C_{large} > 0$ will be chosen sufficiently large. We now integrate the energy identity of Lemma 14.1 associated with the current Q^y to obtain

$$(16.56) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} (y' |u'|^2 + (y'(\omega^2 - V) - yV') |u|^2) dr^* = Q^y[r^*] \Big|_{r^*=-\infty}^{r^*=+\infty} + \int_{\mathbb{R}} 2y \operatorname{Re}(u'H) dr^*.$$

Now, we take $C_{large} > 0$ sufficiently large and we conclude

$$(16.57) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\Delta |u'|^2 + \Delta \tilde{\lambda} |u|^2) dr^* \leq B \left| Q^y[r^*] \Big|_{r^*=-\infty}^{r^*=+\infty} \right| + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} 2y \operatorname{Re}(u'H) dr^* \right|.$$

We fix $C_{large} > 0$. Now, we integrate the energy identities of Lemma 14.4 associated with the current $-EQ^{K^+} - EQ^{\bar{K}^+}$, for a sufficiently large $E > 0$ we sum it to (16.57), in order to absorb the boundary terms, and obtain the desired energy estimate (16.11). \square

We have the following remarks

Remark 16.1. *We claim that for a sufficiently small $0 \leq a \ll M, l$ the set (Trap), see (16.19), would be empty, for any $\epsilon_{trap} > 0$ sufficiently small. To prove our claim we note that for $(\omega, m, \ell) \in \mathcal{F}_{dS}$ we have $0 \leq |\omega| \leq \frac{|am|\Xi}{\bar{r}_+^2 + a^2} \leq \frac{|am|\Xi}{r^2 + a^2}$ for any $r \in [r_+, \bar{r}_+]$. Therefore, for any $0 \leq |a| \ll M, l$ sufficiently small we have that $\frac{dV_0}{dr}(r_+) \geq b\tilde{\lambda}$ and $\frac{dV_0}{dr}(\bar{r}_+) < -b\tilde{\lambda}$ and therefore, in view of Lemma 13.1, we have that $\max_{r \in [r_+, \bar{r}_+]} V_0 - \omega^2 \geq b\tilde{\lambda}$, where the constant b is independent of ϵ_{trap} . Therefore, for any $\epsilon_{trap} > 0$ sufficiently small the set (Trap) is empty in the slowly rotating case $0 \leq |a| \ll M, l$.*

Remark 16.2. *When the condition $\max_{r \in [r_+, \bar{r}_+]} \frac{\Delta}{a^2} \leq 1$ holds, see Section B, then we have that (Trap) $\neq \emptyset$. Specifically, there exists a sequence of frequencies $(\omega_n, m_n, \ell_n) \in \mathcal{F}_{dS}$ such that*

$$\omega_n^2 - \max_{r \in [r_+, \bar{r}_+]} V_0(r, a, M, l, \omega_n, m_n, \ell_n) \rightarrow 0, \quad \omega_n \rightarrow 0.$$

Namely, there exists a trapped null geodesic which is orthogonal to ∂_t .

16.4. **Multipliers for the enlarged high superradiant frequency regime \mathcal{F}^\sharp .** This frequency regime is superradiant and ‘quantitatively’ non-trapped, where for the later see already Lemma 16.2.

The main Proposition of this Section is the following

Proposition 16.2. *Let $l > 0$, $(a, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l$, $\mu_{KG}^2 \geq 0$. For any*

$$(16.58) \quad \alpha^{-1} > 0, \quad \omega_{high} > 0$$

both sufficiently large, then for $(\omega, m, \ell) \in \mathcal{F}^\sharp(\omega_{high}, \alpha)$, there exist smooth functions f, h, χ_1, χ_2 , satisfying the uniform bound

$$(16.59) \quad |f| + |f'| + |f''| + |f'''| + |h| + |h'| + |h''| + |\chi_1| + |\chi_2| + |\chi_1'| + |\chi_2'| \leq B,$$

such that for all smooth solutions u of Carter’s radial ode (6.25) satisfying the outgoing boundary conditions (6.28), we have

$$(16.60) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Delta \left(|u'|^2 + (1 + \omega^2 + \lambda_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)}) |u|^2 \right) dr^* \\ \leq B \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\left| 2f \operatorname{Re}(u' \bar{H}) \right| + \left| (f' + h) \operatorname{Re}(u \bar{H}) \right| + \left| \chi_2 \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} \right) \operatorname{Im}(\bar{u} H) \right| + \left| \chi_1 \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} \right) \operatorname{Im}(\bar{u} H) \right| \right) dr^*.$$

First, note the following lemma

Lemma 16.1. *Let $l > 0$, $(a, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l$ and $\mu_{KG}^2 \geq 0$. Let $\omega_{high} > 0$. For any sufficiently large*

$$(16.61) \quad \alpha^{-1} > 0$$

then for $(\omega, m, \ell) \in \mathcal{F}^\sharp(\alpha, \omega_{high})$ we have

$$(16.62) \quad \tilde{\lambda} - 2a\omega m \Xi \geq b\tilde{\lambda} > 0.$$

Proof. We use the following bound

$$(16.63) \quad -2am\omega\Xi \geq -2 \frac{(am\Xi)^2}{r_+^2 + a^2} - 2a\Xi\alpha\tilde{\lambda},$$

which follows immediately from the definition of the enlarged high superradiant frequency regime \mathcal{F}^\sharp , see Section 15, to obtain

$$(16.64) \quad \tilde{\lambda} - 2am\omega\Xi \geq \tilde{\lambda} - 2 \frac{(am\Xi)^2}{r_+^2 + a^2} - 2a\Xi\alpha\tilde{\lambda}.$$

In view of the inequality $r_+^2 > a^2$, see Lemma 3.2, we conclude that for a sufficiently small $0 < \epsilon(a, M, l) < 1$ we obtain

$$(16.65) \quad \frac{2a^2}{r_+^2 + a^2} \leq 1 - \epsilon(a, M, l).$$

Therefore, from (16.65), (16.64) in conjunction with the inequality $\lambda_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)} + (a\omega)^2 \geq \Xi^2 m^2$ for $am\omega > 0$, see Lemma 6.1, we obtain

$$(16.66) \quad \tilde{\lambda} - 2 \frac{(am\Xi)^2}{r_+^2 + a^2} \geq \tilde{\lambda} + \Xi^2 m^2 (\epsilon(a, M, l) - 1) \geq \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon(a, M, l)}{2} \right) \tilde{\lambda} + \frac{\epsilon(a, M, l)}{2} \tilde{\lambda} - \Xi^2 m^2 (1 - \epsilon(a, M, l)) \\ \geq \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon(a, M, l)}{2} \right) m^2 \Xi^2 - (1 - \epsilon(a, M, l)) \Xi^2 m^2 + \frac{\epsilon(a, M, l)}{2} \tilde{\lambda} \\ \geq b\tilde{\lambda},$$

for a sufficiently small $\epsilon(a, M, l) > 0$.

Finally, by using equations (16.64), (16.66), we take $\alpha > 0$ sufficiently small and conclude. \square

The following lemma is a quantitative manifestation of the fact that the high (enlarged) superradiant frequencies are not trapped.

Lemma 16.2. *Let $l > 0$, $(a, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l$ and $\mu_{KG}^2 \geq 0$. Then, for any*

$$(16.67) \quad \alpha^{-1} > 0, \quad \omega_{high} > 0$$

both sufficiently large, then for $(\omega, m, \ell) \in \mathcal{F}^\sharp(\alpha, \omega_{high})$ there exists a unique global maximum $r_{V, max} \in (r_+, \bar{r}_+)$ of V , where we have

$$(16.68) \quad V(r_{V, max}) - \omega^2 \geq b\tilde{\lambda}.$$

Proof. We study the following cases

$$(16.69) \quad am\omega \in \left[\frac{a^2 m^2 \Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2}, \frac{a^2 m^2 \Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} + |\alpha| \alpha \tilde{\lambda} \right), \quad am\omega \in \left(\frac{a^2 m^2 \Xi}{\bar{r}_+^2 + a^2}, \frac{a^2 m^2 \Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} \right).$$

In this proof, we use

$$(16.70) \quad r_{V_0, max}, \quad r_{V, max}$$

to denote respectively the locations of the unique global maximums of the potentials V_0, V .

For the first case of (16.69) we use Lemma 16.1, in view of the that $\frac{dV_0}{dr}(r_+) \geq 0$, $\frac{dV_0}{dr}(\bar{r}_+) < -b\tilde{\lambda}$ and conclude that if we take $\alpha > 0$ sufficiently small, then

$$(16.71) \quad V_0(r_{V_0, max}) - \omega^2 \geq b\tilde{\lambda},$$

for $r_{V_0, max} \in (r_+, \bar{r}_+)$. Therefore, by taking $\omega_{high} > 0$ sufficiently large we obtain the desired result for V .

For the second case of (16.69), namely

$$(16.72) \quad am\omega \in \left(\frac{a^2 m^2 \Xi}{\bar{r}_+^2 + a^2}, \frac{a^2 m^2 \Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} \right)$$

we proceed as follows. There exists an $r_s(\omega, m) \in (r_+, \bar{r}_+)$ such that

$$(16.73) \quad am\omega = \frac{a^2 m^2 \Xi}{r_s^2 + a^2}.$$

We use Lemma 16.1 and obtain $\omega^2 - V_0(r_s) < -b\tilde{\lambda}$ which implies

$$(16.74) \quad V_0(r_s) - \omega^2 > b\tilde{\lambda}.$$

and therefore we trivially obtain

$$(16.75) \quad V_0(r_{V_0, max}) - \omega^2 \geq b\tilde{\lambda}.$$

Therefore, in all the cases of (16.69) we have concluded that for any $\alpha > 0$ sufficiently small there exist a global maximum $r_{V_0, max}$, of V_0 , such that

$$(16.76) \quad V_0(r_{V_0, max}) - \omega^2 \geq b\tilde{\lambda}.$$

Then, for a sufficiently large $\omega_{high} > 0$ we obtain

$$(16.77) \quad V(r_{V, max}) - \omega^2 \geq b\tilde{\lambda},$$

where $r_{V, max} \in (r_+, \bar{r}_+)$ is the value of the global maximum of V .

We conclude the proof. □

Moreover, note the following lemma.

Lemma 16.3. *Let $l > 0$ and $(a, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l$ and $\mu_{KG}^2 \geq 0$. Then, for any*

$$(16.78) \quad \alpha^{-1} > 0, \quad \omega_{high} > 0, \quad \delta^{-1} > 0,$$

all sufficiently large, then for $(\omega, m, \ell) \in \mathcal{F}^\sharp(\omega_{high}, \alpha)$ the following hold

$$(16.79) \quad \begin{aligned} V(r) - \omega^2 &\geq b\tilde{\lambda}, & r \in (r_{V,max} - \delta, r_{V,max} + \delta) \\ - (r - r_{V,max}) \frac{dV}{dr} &\geq b\tilde{\lambda}(r - r_{V,max})^2, & r \in [r_+, \bar{r}_+]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The first inequality of (16.79), follows readily from Lemma 16.2 by the continuity of V , after taking $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small.

For the second inequality of equation (16.79) we proceed as follows. We compute the derivative

$$(16.80) \quad \frac{dV_0}{dr}(r) = \frac{d}{dr} \left(\frac{\Delta}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} \right) (\tilde{\lambda} - 2a\omega m \Xi) - 2 \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{r^2 + a^2} \right) \left(2r \frac{am\Xi}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} \right),$$

and we note that, in view of Lemma 16.1, the following hold

$$(16.81) \quad \frac{dV_0}{dr}(r_+) = \frac{d}{dr} \left(\frac{\Delta}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} \right) (r_+) (\tilde{\lambda} - 2a\omega m \Xi) - 2 \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{r^2 + a^2} \right) \left(2r \frac{am\Xi}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} \right) (r_+) > b\tilde{\lambda} > 0,$$

$$(16.82) \quad \frac{dV_0}{dr}(\bar{r}_+) = \frac{d}{dr} \left(\frac{\Delta}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} \right) (\bar{r}_+) (\tilde{\lambda} - 2a\omega m \Xi) - 2 \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{r^2 + a^2} \right) \left(2r \frac{am\Xi}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} \right) (\bar{r}_+) < -b\tilde{\lambda} < 0,$$

where we used inequality (16.62) and that $am\omega \in \left(\frac{a^2 m^2 \Xi}{\bar{r}_+^2 + a^2}, \frac{a^2 m^2 \Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} + |a|\alpha\tilde{\lambda} \right)$ for $\alpha > 0$ sufficiently small.

We claim that the potential V_0 attains exactly one critical point $r_{V_0,max} \in (r_+, \bar{r}_+)$. To prove this note that if the potential V_0 attained more than one critical points, then it would have to attain at least three critical points, because of the behaviour of the derivatives (16.81), (16.82). But then the functions $\frac{dV_0}{dr}$ and $(r^2 + a^2)^3 \frac{dV_0}{dr}$ would have to change sign at least three times. This implies that

$$(16.83) \quad (r^2 + a^2)^3 \frac{dV_0}{dr}$$

would attain at least 2 local extrema in $[r_+, \bar{r}_+]$. This is a contradiction to Lemma 13.1. Therefore, the potential V_0 attains exactly one critical point $r_{V_0} \in [r_+, \bar{r}_+]$.

Again, in view of Lemma 13.1, and specifically in view of the fact that the function $(r^2 + a^2)^3 \frac{dV_0}{dr}$ is strictly negative, and therefore for $\omega_{high} > 0$ sufficiently large the function

$$(16.84) \quad (r^2 + a^2)^3 \frac{dV}{dr}$$

is strictly negative. Therefore, we obtain the second inequality of (16.79).

Now, we prove the first inequality of (16.79) which is a quantitative manifestation of that the enlarged high superradiant frequencies are not trapped. For the superradiant frequencies \mathcal{SF} we use that there exists a $r_s \in (r_+, \bar{r}_+)$ such that $am\omega = \frac{a^2 m^2 \Xi}{r_s^2 + a^2}$. We easily conclude that

$$(16.85) \quad V(r_s) - \omega^2 \geq b\tilde{\lambda}$$

and therefore

$$(16.86) \quad \max_{r \in (r_+, \bar{r}_+)} V(r) - \omega^2 \geq b\tilde{\lambda}.$$

Therefore, for $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small and $\omega_{high} > 0$ sufficiently large the inequalities (16.79) hold.

Now, we prove the first inequality of (16.79) for the enlarged superradiant frequencies

$$(16.87) \quad am\omega \in \left(\frac{a^2 m^2 \Xi}{\bar{r}_+^2 + a^2}, \frac{a^2 m^2 \Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} + |a|\alpha\tilde{\lambda} \right).$$

Specifically, for $am\omega \in \left(\frac{a^2 m^2 \Xi}{\bar{r}_+^2 + a^2}, \frac{a^2 m^2 \Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} + |a|\alpha\tilde{\lambda} \right)$ we proceed as follows.

We have that

$$(16.88) \quad V_0(r_+ + \sqrt{\alpha}) - \omega^2 = \frac{\Delta}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} \Big|_{r=r_+ + \sqrt{\alpha}} (\tilde{\lambda} - 2m\omega a \Xi) - \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{(r_+ + \sqrt{\alpha})^2 + a^2} \right)^2$$

Now, we note that as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ we have that

$$(16.89) \quad \frac{\Delta}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} \Big|_{r=r_+ + \sqrt{\alpha}} (\tilde{\lambda} - 2m\omega a \Xi) \sim \sqrt{\alpha} (\tilde{\lambda} - 2m\omega a \Xi), \quad \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{(r_+ + \sqrt{\alpha})^2 + a^2} \right)^2 \lesssim \alpha (|a\tilde{\lambda} + (am)^2)$$

Therefore, we immediately obtain that

$$(16.90) \quad V_0(r_+ + \sqrt{\alpha}) - \omega^2 \geq b(\alpha) \tilde{\lambda}.$$

We may now easily conclude the desired result. \square

Now, we are ready to prove Proposition 16.2.

Proof of Proposition 16.2. Let $\delta > 0$ be sufficiently small (also see Lemma 16.3). We define a smooth multiplier function h , such that

$$(16.91) \quad h = \begin{cases} 1, & r \in (r_{V,max} - \frac{\delta}{2}, r_{V,max} + \frac{\delta}{2}) \\ 0, & r \in (r_{V,max} - \delta, r_{V,max} + \delta)^c. \end{cases}$$

We define a smooth multiplier function f , such that

$$(16.92) \quad f = (r - r_{V,max}) \tilde{f}$$

where $\tilde{f}, \frac{df}{dr} > c_1 > 0$, for some strictly positive constant $c_1 = c_1(a, M, l, \mu_{KG}) > 0$.

Therefore, we integrate the energy identity of Lemma 14.1 associated to $Q^f + Q^h$. by summing the two currents Q^h, Q^f we obtain the identity

$$(16.93) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left((h + 2f') |u'|^2 + \left(h(V - \omega^2) - \frac{1}{2} h'' - fV' - \frac{1}{2} f''' \right) |u|^2 \right) dr^* = Q^f(\infty) - Q^f(-\infty) \\ + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (-h \operatorname{Re}(u\bar{H}) - \operatorname{Re}(2f\bar{H}u' + f'\bar{H}u)) dr^*,$$

which, by using Lemmata 16.2, 16.3 and by taking $\omega_{high} > 0$ sufficiently large, we obtain

$$(16.94) \quad b \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Delta \left(|u'|^2 + \left(1 + \omega^2 + \lambda_{ml}^{(a\omega)} \right) |u|^2 \right) dr^* \\ \leq Q^f(\infty) - Q^f(-\infty) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (-h \operatorname{Re}(u\bar{H}) - \operatorname{Re}(2f\bar{H}u' + f'\bar{H}u)) dr^* \\ \leq f(\infty) \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{\bar{r}_+^2 + a^2} \right)^2 |u|^2(\infty) - f(-\infty) \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} \right)^2 |u|^2(-\infty) \\ + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (-h \operatorname{Re}(u\bar{H}) - \operatorname{Re}(2f\bar{H}u' + f'\bar{H}u)) dr^*.$$

Now, we will absorb the boundary terms on the right hand side of equation (16.94). We choose smooth cut-off functions χ_1, χ_2 such that

$$(16.95) \quad \chi_1 = \begin{cases} 1, & r \in [r_+, r_{V,max} - \delta] \\ 0, & r \in [r_{V,max} + \delta, \bar{r}_+] \end{cases}, \quad \operatorname{supp}(\chi_1') \subset (r_{V,max} - \frac{\delta}{2}, r_{V,max} + \frac{\delta}{2}),$$

$$(16.96) \quad \chi_2 = \begin{cases} 0, & r \in [r_+, r_{V,max} - \delta] \\ 1, & r \in [r_{V,max} + \delta, \bar{r}_+] \end{cases}, \quad \operatorname{supp}(\chi_2') \subset (r_{V,max} - \frac{\delta}{2}, r_{V,max} + \frac{\delta}{2}).$$

By using the fundamental theorem of calculus the following inequality holds

$$(16.97) \quad \left(|u'|^2 + \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} \right) |u|^2 \right) (r_+) \leq E_0 \left| \int_{r_{V, max} - \delta/2}^{r_{V, max} + \delta/2} \chi_1' \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} \right) \text{Im}(u'\bar{u}) dr \right| + E_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi_1 \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} \right) \text{Im}(H\bar{u}) dr$$

where we also have the bound

$$(16.98) \quad E_0 \left| \int_{r_{V, max} - \delta/2}^{r_{V, max} + \delta/2} \chi_1' \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} \right) \text{Im}(u'\bar{u}) dr \right| \leq E_0 \delta^{-1} \int_{r_{V, max} - \delta/2}^{r_{V, max} + \delta/2} (|u'|^2 + (\omega^2 + m^2) |u|^2) dr \\ \ll A \int_{r_{V, max} - \delta/2}^{r_{V, max} + \delta/2} (|u'|^2 + (\omega^2 + m^2) |u|^2) dr,$$

where we choose $E_0 \delta^{-1} \ll \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\epsilon} \omega_{high}^2$ and $A = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\epsilon} \omega_{high}^2$. Of course, a similar estimate holds for χ_2 .

Finally, we integrate the energy identity of Lemma 14.4 associated with the current

$$(16.99) \quad -E_0 \chi_1 Q^{K^+} - E_0 \chi_2 Q^{\bar{K}^+},$$

for a sufficiently large $E_0 > 0$, such that in view of Proposition 6.4 we bound the boundary terms of (16.94) in view of (16.97) and by using the quantitative non trapping inequality that holds for the enlarged high superradiant frequencies, see the first inequality of (16.79).

We conclude the Proposition 16.2. \square

16.5. Multipliers for the bounded frequency regime F_b . We split the bounded frequency regime \mathcal{F}_b , see Section 15, into the following near stationary cases

$$(16.100) \quad \begin{aligned} & \bullet \{|\omega| \leq \omega_{low}\} \cap \{|m| > 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad |a| \leq a_0 \\ & \bullet \{|\omega| \leq \omega_{low}\} \cap \{|m| = 0\} \\ & \bullet \{|\omega| \leq \omega_{low}\} \cap \{|m| > 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad |a| \geq a_0 \end{aligned}$$

and the non-stationary case

$$(16.101) \quad \{|\omega| \geq \omega_{low}\}$$

where

$$(16.102) \quad a_0, \quad \omega_{low} > 0,$$

are both sufficiently small, and are chosen in Propositions 16.3, 16.5 respectively.

16.5.1. Near stationary case $\{|\omega| \leq \omega_{low}\} \cap \{|m| \geq 1\}$ and $\{|a| \leq a_0\}$. The main Proposition of this Section is the following

Proposition 16.3. *Let $l > 0$, $(a, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l$, $\mu_{KG}^2 \geq 0$. Let $\lambda_{low}, \omega_{high} > 0$ be arbitrary. Then, for any*

$$(16.103) \quad a_0(M, l, \mu_{KG}) > 0, \quad \omega_{low} > 0,$$

both sufficiently small we have that if

$$(16.104) \quad |a| \leq a_0$$

then for $(\omega, m, \ell) \in \mathcal{F}_b(\omega_{high}, \lambda_{low}) \cap \{|\omega| \leq \omega_{low}\} \cap \{|m| > 0\}$, there exist sufficiently regular functions h, y , where y is piecewise C^1 , satisfying the uniform bound

$$(16.105) \quad |h| + |h'| + |h''| + |y| + |y'| \leq B,$$

such that for all smooth solutions u of Carter's radial ode (6.25) satisfying the outgoing boundary conditions (6.28), we have

$$(16.106) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Delta (|\Psi'|^2 + (1 + \omega^2 + \lambda_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)}) |\Psi|^2) dr^* \\ & \leq B \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\left| \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} \right) \text{Im}(\bar{\Psi}H) \right| + \left| \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} \right) \text{Im}(\bar{\Psi}H) \right| \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left| \text{Re} \left(\frac{2\Psi h \bar{H}}{\sqrt{r^2 + a^2}} \right) \right| + \left| \text{Re} \left(\frac{y \Psi' \bar{H}}{\sqrt{r^2 + a^2}} \right) \right| \right) dr^*. \end{aligned}$$

We recall from Lemma 14.2 that

$$(16.107) \quad \tilde{V} \doteq \frac{(\lambda_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)} + a^2\omega^2 - 2m\omega a\Xi)\Delta}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} + \Delta \mu_{KG}^2 \frac{r^2 + a^2}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} + \omega^2 - \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{r^2 + a^2} \right)^2.$$

Note the following Lemma on the behaviour of \tilde{V} in a neighborhood of the infinities.

Lemma 16.4. *Let $l > 0$, $(a, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l$ and $\mu_{KG}^2 \geq 0$. Then, for any*

$$(16.108) \quad a_0^{-1} > 0, \quad \omega_{low}^{-1} > 0, \quad R^* > 0$$

all sufficiently large, then if $|a| \leq a_0$ then for $(\omega, m, \ell) \in \mathcal{F}_b \cap \{|\omega| \leq \omega_{low}\} \cap \{|m| > 0\}$ we have

$$(16.109) \quad \tilde{V}' < -b\Delta\tilde{\lambda}, \quad r^* \in (R^*, \infty), \quad \tilde{V}' > b\Delta\tilde{\lambda}, \quad r^* \in (-\infty, -R^*).$$

$$(16.110) \quad |\tilde{V}| \leq \Delta\tilde{\lambda} + (a_0 m)^2 + \omega_{low}^2, \quad r^* \in (R^*, \infty),$$

where for the potential \tilde{V} see (16.107).

Moreover, we have that

$$(16.111) \quad \lambda_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)} \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Proof. From Lemma 6.1 we note that $|m| > 0$ implies that $\lambda_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)} \geq \frac{1}{2}$, for $a_0 > 0$ sufficiently small. For ω_{low} sufficiently small Lemma 6.1 implies the following

$$(16.112) \quad \tilde{\lambda} - 2m\omega a\Xi > b > 0.$$

Therefore, again it is immediate that for any R^* sufficiently large, we differentiate the potential \tilde{V} , see (16.107), and obtain the desired result (16.109), for $a_0, \omega_{low} > 0$ sufficiently small.


Then, it is easy to see that for $\omega_{low} > 0$ sufficiently small we obtain inequality (16.110) by inspecting (16.107).

We conclude the proof of the Lemma. \square

Now, we proceed to construct the multipliers h, y of Proposition 16.3. For a graphic representation see Figure 3, where note that the (red) graph that vanishes at the horizons corresponds to the function h and the green graph corresponds to y .

First, we construct a piecewise C^1 multiplier y in $(-R^* + 1, R^* - 1)$ and employ a Q_{stat}^y current, see Definition 14.2.

Lemma 16.5. *Let $R^* > 0$ be sufficiently large and let $A_{large} > 0$. Then, there exists a piecewise C^1 multiplier y such that the following hold.*

FIGURE 3. The red graph is h , the green graph is y

For $r^* \in (-R^* + 1, R^* - 1)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (Q_{stat}^y)' &= \left(\left(\frac{r_+ + \bar{r}_+}{2} \right)^2 + a^2 \right) \frac{4r\Delta(r)}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} |\Psi'|^2 \\
 (16.113) \quad &+ \left(4r(r^2 + a^2) \frac{\Delta}{r^2 + a^2} (\omega^2 - \tilde{V}) - \left((r^2 + a^2)^2 - \left(\left(\frac{r_+ + \bar{r}_+}{2} \right)^2 + a^2 \right)^2 \right) \tilde{V}' \right) |\Psi|^2 \\
 &+ \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{y\Psi'\bar{H}}{\sqrt{r^2 + a^2}} \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

where for the current Q_{stat}^y see Definition 14.2.

For $r^* \in (-\infty, -R^* + 1) \cup (R^* - 1, \infty)$ we have

$$(16.114) \quad \frac{dy}{dr} - \frac{4r}{r^2 + a^2} y = A_{large} > 0.$$

Proof. Let $A_{large} > 0$ be any positive number and let $R^* > 0$ be sufficiently large. In the region $r^* \in (-R^* + 1, R^* - 1)$ we define the multiplier

$$(16.115) \quad y = (r^2 + a^2)^2 - \left(\left(\frac{r_+ + \bar{r}_+}{2} \right)^2 + a^2 \right)^2,$$

which satisfies (16.113).

In the regions $r^* \in (-\infty, -R^* + 1)$ and $r^* \in (R^* - 1, \infty)$ we define respectively

$$(16.116) \quad y(r^*(r)) = \left(\frac{y}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} \right) (r_2)(r^2 + a^2)^2 + A_{large}(r^2 + a^2)^2 \int_{r_2}^r \frac{dr}{(r^2 + a^2)^2},$$

$$(16.117) \quad y(r^*(r)) = \left(\frac{y}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} \right) (r_1)(r^2 + a^2)^2 + A_{large}(r^2 + a^2)^2 \int_{r_1}^r \frac{dr}{(r^2 + a^2)^2},$$

where r_1, r_2 are such that $r^*(r_2) = -R^* - 1$, $r^*(r_1) = R^* - 1$ where note that for both (16.116), (16.117) the equation (16.114) holds. \square

We construct a multiplier h in order to employ a Q_{stat}^h current, see Definition 14.2.

Lemma 16.6. *Let $l > 0$ and $(a, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l$ and $\mu_{KG}^2 \geq 0$. Let $R^* > 0$ be any sufficiently large positive number, and let the multiplier y be as in Lemma 16.5. Then, for any*

$$(16.118) \quad a_0(M, l, \mu_{KG}) > 0, \quad \omega_{low} > 0,$$

both sufficiently small such that if $|a| \leq a_0$ then for $(\omega, m, \ell) \in \mathcal{F}_\flat \cap \{|\omega| \leq \omega_{low}\} \cap \{|m| > 0\}$ and for any sufficiently large constant

$$(16.119) \quad C_{large}(a, a_0, M, l, \mu_{KG}, y|_{(-R^*+1, R^*-1)}, \omega_{low}) > 0$$

there exists a smooth h multiplier, satisfying the uniform bound

$$(16.120) \quad |h| + |h'| + |h''| \leq B(\omega_{low}, a_0),$$

for all $r^* \in \mathbb{R}$ and $h \equiv 0$ in neighborhoods of $\pm\infty$, and we have

$$(16.121) \quad h = C_{large} \frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{r^2 + a^2}, \quad y'\omega^2 - (y\tilde{V})' - \frac{1}{2}h'' + \left(\frac{r\Delta h}{(r^2 + a^2)^2}\right)' + h(\tilde{V} - \omega^2) \geq b\tilde{\lambda}, \quad y' - \frac{4r\Delta}{(r^2 + a^2)^2}y + h \geq b\Delta,$$

for $r^* \in (-R^* + 1, R^* - 1)$.

Proof. Consider a

$$(16.122) \quad h = C_{large} \tilde{\lambda} \frac{1}{r^2 + a^2}$$

multiplier, where $C_{large} > 0$, and note

$$(16.123) \quad g(r) \equiv \frac{1}{r^2 + a^2} \implies -\frac{1}{2}g'' + \left(\frac{r\Delta}{(r^2 + a^2)^2}g\right)' \equiv 0.$$

Therefore, for any $a_0(M, l, \mu_{KG}) > 0$, $\omega_{low}(a_0, M, l, \mu_{KG}) > 0$ both sufficiently small, we obtain the following

$$(16.124) \quad \begin{aligned} & -\frac{1}{2}h'' + \left(\frac{r\Delta h}{(r^2 + a^2)^2}\right)' + h(\tilde{V} - \omega^2) \\ & = C_{large} \frac{1}{r^2 + a^2} \tilde{\lambda} (\tilde{V} - \omega^2) \\ & = C_{large} \frac{1}{r^2 + a^2} \tilde{\lambda} \left(\frac{\Delta}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} (\tilde{\lambda} - 2m\omega a \Xi) - \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{r^2 + a^2}\right)^2 \right) \\ & \geq C_{large} b\tilde{\lambda}, \end{aligned}$$

for $r^* \in (-R^* + 1, R^* - 1)$, where $C_{large} > 0$ is a frequency independent constant. In the last inequality of (16.124) we used Lemma 16.4 and also took ω_{low} , $a_0 > 0$ sufficiently small.

Therefore if we add the current Q^y and take $C_{large} > 0$ sufficiently large we conclude the first inequality of (16.121). We also readily obtain the last inequality of (16.121) by recalling the construction of y in Lemma 16.5.

We extend h in $(-\infty, -R^* + 1) \cup (R^* - 1, \infty)$ such that $h = 0$ in open neighborhoods of $\pm\infty$, with

$$(16.125) \quad |h| + |h'| + |h''| \leq B,$$

for all r^* in a straightforward manner. \square

We need the following Lemma

Lemma 16.7. *Let the constants C_{large} , $A_{large} > 0$ be both sufficiently large, where moreover $A_{large} \geq C_{large}^2$.*

Then, the following hold

$$(16.126) \quad y'\omega^2 - (y\tilde{V})' + h(\tilde{V} - \omega^2) - \frac{1}{2}h'' + \left(\frac{r\Delta h}{(r^2 + a^2)^2}\right)' \geq b\Delta\tilde{\lambda}, \quad y' - \frac{4r\Delta}{r^2 + a^2}y + h \geq b\Delta,$$

for $r^* \in (-\infty, -R^* - 1) \cup (R^* - 1, \infty)$.

Proof. First, we note from Lemma 16.6, that the multiplier h satisfies

$$(16.127) \quad h(\tilde{V} - \omega^2) - \frac{1}{2}h'' + \left(\frac{r\Delta h}{(r^2 + a^2)^2}\right)' \geq 0, \quad r^* \in (-R^* + 1, -R^*) \cup (R^* - 1, R^*),$$

$$(16.128) \quad |h| + |h'| + |h''| \leq B, \quad r^* \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We prove the first inequality of (16.126) in (R^*, ∞) . Similar estimates also conclude the first inequality of (16.126) in $(-\infty, -R^*)$.

We compute

$$(16.129) \quad \begin{aligned} & y'\omega^2 - y'\tilde{V} - y\tilde{V}' + h(\tilde{V} - \omega^2) - \frac{1}{2}h'' + \left(\frac{r\Delta}{(r^2 + a^2)^2}h\right)' \\ &= \frac{\Delta}{r^2 + a^2} \left(\frac{dy}{dr}\omega^2 - \frac{dy}{dr}\tilde{V} - y\frac{d\tilde{V}}{dr} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dr}\left(\frac{\Delta}{r^2 + a^2}\frac{dh}{dr}\right) + \frac{\Delta}{r^2 + a^2}h + r\frac{d}{dr}\left(\frac{\Delta}{(r^2 + a^2)^2}\right)h + \frac{r\Delta}{(r^2 + a^2)^2}\frac{dh}{dr} \right) \\ & \quad + h(\tilde{V} - \omega^2). \end{aligned}$$

In view of the construction of the multiplier y , see Lemma 16.5 and of the properties of the potential \tilde{V} , see Lemma 16.4, we note that

$$(16.130) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{dy}{dr}\omega^2 - y\frac{d\tilde{V}}{dr} - \frac{dy}{dr}\tilde{V} \\ &= \left(\frac{4r}{r^2 + a^2}y\right)\omega^2 - \left(\left(\frac{y}{(r^2 + a^2)^2}\right)(r_1)(r^2 + a^2)^2 + A_{large}(r^2 + a^2)^2 \int_{r_1}^r \frac{dr}{(r^2 + a^2)^2}\right)\frac{d\tilde{V}}{dr} - \frac{dy}{dr}\tilde{V} \\ & \geq bA_{large}\tilde{\lambda}, \end{aligned}$$

for $r^* \in (R^*, +\infty]$. In the last inequality of (16.130) we took $a_0, \omega_{low} > 0$ both sufficiently small. Therefore, we obtain

$$(16.131) \quad y'\omega^2 - (y\tilde{V})' + h(\tilde{V} - \omega^2) - \frac{1}{2}h'' + \left(\frac{r\Delta h}{(r^2 + a^2)^2}\right)' \geq b(\epsilon)\Delta\tilde{\lambda},$$

in $(R^*, \infty]$, where we take $A_{large}(C_{large}) > 0$ larger if needed.

We conclude that after taking a_0, ω_{low} both sufficiently small, and $A_{large}(C_{large})$ sufficiently larger if needed, we obtain that

$$(16.132) \quad y'\omega^2 - (y\tilde{V})' + h(\tilde{V} - \omega^2) - \frac{1}{2}h'' + \left(\frac{r\Delta h}{(r^2 + a^2)^2}\right)' \geq b\Delta\tilde{\lambda},$$

for $r^* \in (R^*, \infty)$, and conclude the first inequality of (16.126). We also readily conclude the second inequality of (16.126). \square

The currents y, h have now been constructed.

Proof of Proposition 16.3. By combining the Lemmata 16.5, 16.6, 16.7 we integrate the energy identity of Lemma 14.3 associated with the current

$$(16.133) \quad Q_{stat}^y + Q_{stat}^h$$

and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\Delta |\Psi'|^2 + \Delta (\omega^2 + \lambda_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)} + 1) |\Psi|^2) dr^* + \int_{-R^*}^{R^*} C_{large} (\Delta |\Psi'|^2 + \Delta (\omega^2 + \lambda_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)}) |\Psi|^2) dr^* \\
& \leq Q^y[\Psi](+\infty) - Q^y[\Psi](-\infty) + B \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{2\Psi h \bar{H}}{\sqrt{r^2 + a^2}} \right) + \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{y \Psi' \bar{H}}{\sqrt{r^2 + a^2}} \right) \right) dr^* \\
(16.134) \quad & \leq B y(\infty) \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{\bar{r}_+^2 + a^2} \right)^2 |\Psi|^2(\infty) - B y(-\infty) \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{\bar{r}_+^2 + a^2} \right)^2 |\Psi|^2(-\infty) \\
& \quad + B \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\left| \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{2\Psi h \bar{H}}{\sqrt{r^2 + a^2}} \right) \right| + \left| \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{y \Psi' \bar{H}}{\sqrt{r^2 + a^2}} \right) \right| \right) dr^*,
\end{aligned}$$

where we utilized that $h(r^*) \equiv 0$ in open neighborhoods of $-\infty, \infty$ respectively, see Lemma 16.6. Moreover, we used that $\lambda_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)} + (a\omega)^2 \geq \frac{1}{2}$ from Lemma 16.4.

Now, we need to absorb the boundary terms on the right hand side of inequality (16.134). Let $\chi(r^*)$ be a smooth function such that $\chi = 1$ in $(-\infty, -R^*)$ and $\chi = 0$ in (R^*, ∞) . Moreover, let $\bar{\chi}(r^*)$ be a smooth function such that $\bar{\chi}(r^*) = 0$ in $(-\infty, -R^*)$ and $\bar{\chi}(r^*) = 1$ in (R^*, ∞) . Now, in view of Proposition 6.4, we integrate the energy identity of Lemma 14.4 associated with the current

$$(16.135) \quad -E_0 \chi(r^*) Q^{K^+}[u] - E_0 \bar{\chi}(r^*) Q^{\bar{K}^+}[u]$$

for a sufficiently large E_0 , and then we sum it in inequality (16.134). By taking $a_0 > 0$ sufficiently small and $\omega_{low} > 0$ sufficiently small (if necessary) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\Delta |\Psi'|^2 + \Delta (\omega^2 + \lambda_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)}) |\Psi|^2) dr^* + \int_{-R^*}^{R^*} C_{large} (\Delta |\Psi'|^2 + \Delta (\omega^2 + \lambda_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)}) |\Psi|^2) dr^* \\
(16.136) \quad & \leq B \cdot E_0 \int_{-R^*}^{R^*} (|\chi' Q^{K^+}[u]| + |\bar{\chi}' Q^{\bar{K}^+}[u]|) dr^* \\
& \quad + B \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\left| \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{2\Psi h \bar{H}}{\sqrt{r^2 + a^2}} \right) \right| + \left| \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{y \Psi' \bar{H}}{\sqrt{r^2 + a^2}} \right) \right| \right) dr^*.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, to absorb the terms generated at $(-R^*, R^*)$, generated by the cut-offs $\chi, \bar{\chi}$, namely

$$(16.137) \quad E_0 \int_{-R^*}^{R^*} (|\chi' Q^{K^+}[u]| + |\bar{\chi}' Q^{\bar{K}^+}[u]|) dr^* \leq B \cdot E_0 \int_{-R^*}^{R^*} \left(\left(1 + \frac{1}{\tilde{\epsilon}}\right) |\Psi|^2 + \tilde{\epsilon} |\Psi'|^2 \right) dr^*,$$

for a sufficiently small $\tilde{\epsilon} > 0$, we take the constant $C_{large} > 0$ sufficiently larger if needed, see Lemma 16.6, and absorb them.

Thus the proof of Proposition 16.3 is complete. \square

16.5.2. Near stationary case $\{|\omega| \leq \omega_{low}\} \cap \{|m| = 0\}$. In view of the definition of the frequency regimes, see Definition 15.1, this frequency regime is manifestly non-superradiant.

Remark 16.3. Note that Proposition 16.4 is the only main Proposition of Section 16 where in fact the LHS of (16.140) does not control the lower order terms $|\Psi|^2$ in the bulk of the left hand side in the case $\mu_{KG}^2 = 0$.

The main Proposition of this Section is the following

Proposition 16.4. Let $l > 0$, $(a, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l$, $\mu_{KG}^2 \geq 0$. Let $\lambda_{low}, \omega_{high} > 0$ be arbitrary. For any

$$(16.138) \quad \omega_{low} > 0$$

sufficiently small, then for $(\omega, m, \ell) \in \mathcal{F}_b(\lambda_{low}, \omega_{high}) \cap \{|\omega| \leq \omega_{low}\} \cap \{|m| = 0\}$, there exist sufficiently regular functions h, y satisfying the uniform bound

$$(16.139) \quad |h| + |h'| + |h''| + |y| + |y'| \leq B,$$

where y is piecewise C^1 , such that for all smooth solutions u of Carter's radial ode (6.25) satisfying the outgoing boundary conditions (6.28), we have

$$(16.140) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Delta \left(|\Psi'|^2 + (\omega^2 + \lambda_{0\ell}^{(a\omega)}) |\Psi|^2 + \mu_{KG}^2 |\Psi|^2 \right) dr^* \\ \leq B \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\left| \omega \operatorname{Im}(\bar{\Psi}H) \right| + \left| \omega \operatorname{Im}(\bar{\Psi}H) \right| + \mu_{KG}^2 \left| \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{2\Psi h \bar{H}}{\sqrt{r^2 + a^2}} \right) \right| + \left| \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{y \Psi' \bar{H}}{\sqrt{r^2 + a^2}} \right) \right| \right) dr^*.$$

First, we note that following lemma that for the case of axisymmetry $m = 0$ the potential \tilde{V} , see Lemma 14.2, can be written as

$$(16.141) \quad \tilde{V} \doteq \frac{\tilde{\lambda} \Delta}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} + \Delta \mu_{KG}^2 \frac{r^2 + a^2}{(r^2 + a^2)^2}.$$

We are ready to prove the main Proposition

Proof of Proposition 16.4. First, we discuss the case $\mu_{KG}^2 > 0$. We note that we may apply the same multipliers that we used in the previous Section 16.5.1, where recall that we treated the near stationary regime $\{|\omega| \leq \omega_{low}\} \cap \{|m| > 0\}$ and $|a| \leq a_0$, and conclude the desired result (16.140) for any $\omega_{low} > 0$ sufficiently small.

Now, we continue with the more elaborate case $\mu_{KG}^2 = 0$. Let $\epsilon' > 0$ be a sufficiently small real number. First, it is immediate from Lemma 6.1 that $\tilde{\lambda} \geq 0$ for $m = 0$. We consider the three cases

- (1) $\tilde{\lambda} \leq \epsilon' \omega^2$
- (2) $\tilde{\lambda} \geq \frac{1}{\epsilon'} \omega^2$
- (3) $\epsilon' \omega^2 \leq \tilde{\lambda} \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon'} \omega^2$.

In the first case we proceed as follows. We define the multiplier

$$(16.142) \quad y = (r^2 + a^2)^3$$

and we calculate

$$(16.143) \quad y' - y \frac{4r\Delta}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} = 2r(r^2 + a^2)\Delta \geq b\Delta.$$

We integrate the energy identity of Lemma 14.3, associated to Q_{stat}^y , and obtain that for any $\epsilon' > 0$ sufficiently small the following holds

$$(16.144) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\Delta |\Psi'|^2 + \Delta \omega^2 |\Psi|^2) dr^* \leq B \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} (Q_{stat}^y[\Psi])' dr^* \right| + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{y \Psi' \overline{H_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)}}}{\sqrt{r^2 + a^2}} \right) \right| dr^*.$$

In view of the fact that this frequency regime is axisymmetric and thus non-superradiant, we integrate the energy estimate of Lemma 14.4, associated with the current $-E_0 Q^{K^+}[u] - E_0 Q^{\bar{K}^+}[u]$ for a sufficiently large $E_0 > 0$, in view of Proposition 6.4, and then we sum it in (16.144), and conclude the desired (16.140).

In the second case we can again use the same multipliers that we used in the previous Section 16.5.1, for any sufficiently small $\epsilon' > 0$, $\omega_{low} > 0$. Specifically, see Lemmata 16.5, 16.6, 16.7 for the construction of the multipliers. To ease the comparison, recall that in Section 16.5.1 we used that $\lambda \gg \omega^2$ to construct the multipliers h, y . The same arguments can be carried over to the present proof with the assumption of axisymmetry $m = 0$, instead of slow rotation $a_0 \ll 1$.

At this point we fix the $\epsilon' > 0$.

In the third case we proceed as follows. Again we define

$$(16.145) \quad y = (r^2 + a^2)^3.$$

We integrate the energy identity of Lemma 14.3, associated to Q_{stat}^y to write
(16.146)

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\left(y' - y \frac{4r\Delta}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} \right) |\Psi'|^2 + \left(y'\omega^2 - (y\tilde{V})' \right) |\Psi|^2 \right) dr^* = - \int_{\mathbb{R}} (Q_{stat}^y[\Psi])' dr^* - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{y\Psi' \overline{H_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)}}}{\sqrt{r^2 + a^2}} \right) dr^*.$$

By integration by parts and by using that $\tilde{V}(r_+) = \tilde{V}(\bar{r}_+) = 0$ we obtain
(16.147)

$$b \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\Delta |\Psi'|^2 + y'\omega^2 |\Psi|^2) dr^* \leq -Q_{stat}^y[\Psi](+\infty) + Q_{stat}^y[\Psi](-\infty) + \epsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Delta |\Psi'|^2 dr^* + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Delta \tilde{\lambda}^2 |\Psi|^2 dr^* - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{y\Psi' \overline{H_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)}}}{\sqrt{r^2 + a^2}} \right) dr^*,$$

where we also used a Young's inequality. By taking $\epsilon > 0, \omega_{low} > 0$ both sufficiently small, since $\tilde{\lambda}^2 \sim \omega^4$, we obtain the result

$$(16.148) \quad b \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\Delta |\Psi'|^2 + y'\omega^2 |\Psi|^2) dr^* \leq -Q_{stat}^y[\Psi](+\infty) + Q_{stat}^y[\Psi](-\infty) - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{y\Psi' \overline{H_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)}}}{\sqrt{r^2 + a^2}} \right) dr^*.$$

Finally, in view of the fact that this frequency regime is axisymmetric and thus non-superradiant, we integrate the energy identities of Lemmata 14.3, 14.4 associated with the following current

$$(16.149) \quad Q_{stat}^y[\Psi] - E_0 Q^{K^+}[u] - E_0 Q^{\bar{K}^+}[u],$$

in view of Proposition 6.4, for a sufficiently large $E_0 > 0$, and conclude

$$(16.150) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Delta (|\Psi'|^2 + (\omega^2 + \lambda_{0\ell}^{(a\omega)}) |\Psi|^2) dr^* \leq B \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(|\omega \operatorname{Im}(\bar{\Psi}H)| + \left| \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{y\Psi' \bar{H}}{\sqrt{r^2 + a^2}} \right) \right| \right) dr^*.$$

We conclude the result of the Proposition. \square

16.5.3. Near stationary case $\{|\omega| \leq \omega_{low}\} \cap \{|m| \geq 1\}$ and $\{|a| \geq a_0\}$. In view of the definition of the frequency regimes, see Definition 15.1, this frequency regime is manifestly non-superradiant for a sufficiently small $\omega_{low} > 0$.

The main Proposition of this Section is the following

Proposition 16.5. *Let $l > 0, (a, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l, \mu_{KG}^2 \geq 0$. Let $\omega_{high}, \lambda_{low} > 0$. Let $|a| \geq a_0$. Then, for*

$$(16.151) \quad -\infty < r_{-\infty}^* < 0 < r_{+\infty}^* < +\infty$$

sufficiently small (and negative) and sufficiently large (and positive) respectively, and for any

$$(16.152) \quad \omega_{low} > 0,$$

sufficiently small, then for $(\omega, m, \ell) \in \mathcal{F}_b(\omega_{high}, \lambda_{low}) \cap \{|\omega| \leq \omega_{low}\}$ there exists a multiplier y satisfying the uniform bound

$$(16.153) \quad |y| + |y'| \leq B(\lambda_{low}, \omega_{high})$$

such that for all smooth solutions u of Carter's radial ode (6.25) satisfying the outgoing boundary conditions (6.28), we have

$$(16.154) \quad \int_{r_{-\infty}^*}^{r_{+\infty}^*} (|u'|^2 + (1 + \lambda_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)} + \omega^2) |u|^2) dr^* \leq B \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|2y \operatorname{Re}(u'H)| + |\operatorname{Im}(\bar{u}H)|) dr^*.$$

First, note the following Lemma

Lemma 16.8. *Let the assumptions of Proposition 16.5 hold. Then the following hold*

$$(16.155) \quad \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{r^2 + a^2} \right)^2 \geq b|m|^2, \quad \omega^2 - V(r_+) \geq b|m|^2, \quad \omega^2 - V(\bar{r}_+) \geq b|m|^2.$$

Proof. This is straightforward. \square

Now we are ready to prove Proposition 16.5.

Proof of Proposition 16.5. For simplicity, we define the potential \tilde{V} such that

$$(16.156) \quad \omega^2 - V = \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} \right)^2 - \tilde{V}$$

We integrate the energy identity of Lemma 14.1, associated to Q^y , to get

$$(16.157) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(y' |u'|^2 + y' \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} \right)^2 |u|^2 \right) dr^* = Q^y[u](\infty) - Q^y[u](-\infty) \\ + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left((y\tilde{V})' |u|^2 + 2y \operatorname{Re}(u' \bar{H}) \right) dr^*.$$

Consider the multiplier

$$(16.158) \quad y = -\exp\left(-C \int_{-\infty}^{r^*} \chi_{r_\infty^*}\right),$$

such that $\chi_{r_\infty^*}$ satisfies the following

$$(16.159) \quad \chi_{r_\infty^*}(r) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } r \in (r_+, r_\infty - 1) \\ 0 & \text{if } r \in (r_\infty^*, \bar{r}_+), \end{cases}$$

for r_∞^* sufficiently large.

We note the following straightforward computation

$$(16.160) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} (y\tilde{V})' |u|^2 dr^* \leq \epsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}} y' |u'|^2 dr^* + B\epsilon^{-1} C^{-2} \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} \right)^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} y' \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} \right)^2 |u|^2 dr^*.$$

Therefore, from (16.157), (16.160) we obtain

$$(16.161) \quad b \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(y' |u'|^2 + y' \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} \right)^2 |u|^2 \right) dr^* \leq Q^y[u](r^* = -\infty) - \int_{\mathbb{R}} 2y \operatorname{Re}(u' \bar{H}) dr^* \\ \leq y(-\infty) (|u'|^2 + (\omega^2 - V)) (r^* = -\infty) \\ - \int_{\mathbb{R}} 2y \operatorname{Re}(u' \bar{H}) dr^*.$$

As noted earlier, for $|a| \geq a_0$, the present frequency regime

$$(16.162) \quad \{|\omega| \leq \omega_{low}\} \cap \{|m| \geq 1\}$$

is non-superradiant, namely for $a_0 > 0$ as in Proposition 16.3 and for a sufficiently small

$$(16.163) \quad \omega_{low}(a, M, l, \mu_{KG})$$

we obtain

$$(16.164) \quad \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{\bar{r}_+^2 + a^2} \right) \cdot \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} \right) > 0.$$

Therefore, in view of Proposition 6.4, we integrate the energy identity of Lemma 14.4 associated with the current

$$(16.165) \quad -E_0 Q^{K^+} - E_0 Q^{\bar{K}^+}$$

for a sufficiently large E_0 , we sum it in (16.161) and we obtain the result. \square

16.5.4. **Non stationary case** $\{|\omega| \geq \omega_{low}\}$. The main Proposition of this Section is the following

Proposition 16.6. *Let $l > 0$ $(a, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l$, $\mu_{KG}^2 \geq 0$. Let*

$$(16.166) \quad \omega_{low} > 0, \quad \lambda_{low} > 0, \quad \omega_{high} > 0$$

be any positive real numbers and let $\mathcal{C} > 1$ be sufficiently large. Then, for $(\omega, m, \ell) \in \mathcal{F}_b(\omega_{low}, \omega_{high}, \lambda_{low}) \cap \{|\omega| \geq \omega_{low}\}$ and for any

$$(16.167) \quad -\infty < r_{-\infty}^* < 0 < r_{+\infty}^* < +\infty$$

sufficiently small (and negative) and sufficiently large (and positive) respectively, there exists a multiplier y satisfying the uniform bound

$$(16.168) \quad |y| + |y'| \leq B(\lambda_{low}, \omega_{high}, \mathcal{C})$$

such that for all smooth solutions u of Carter's radial ode (6.25) satisfying the outgoing boundary conditions (6.28), we have the following:

If in addition $(\omega, m, \ell) \in \mathcal{F}_b \cap \mathcal{SF} \cap (\{|\omega - \omega_+ m| \geq \mathcal{C}^{-1}\} \cup \{|\omega - \bar{\omega}_+ m| \geq \mathcal{C}^{-1}\})$ then we have

$$(16.169) \quad \int_{r_{-\infty}^*}^{r_{+\infty}^*} (|u'|^2 + (1 + \lambda_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)} + \omega^2)|u|^2) dr^* \leq B(r_{\pm\infty}^*, \mathcal{C}) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} (|2y \operatorname{Re}(u'H)| + |\operatorname{Im}(\bar{u}H)|) dr^* \right. \\ \left. + \left| \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} \right) \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{\bar{r}_+^2 + a^2} \right) \right| |u|^2(-\infty) \right),$$

and if in addition $(\omega, m, \ell) \in \mathcal{F}_b \cap (\{|\omega - \omega_+ m| \leq \mathcal{C}^{-1}\} \cup \{|\omega - \bar{\omega}_+ m| \leq \mathcal{C}^{-1}\}) \cup \mathcal{SF}^c$ then we have

$$(16.170) \quad \int_{r_{-\infty}^*}^{r_{+\infty}^*} (|u'|^2 + (1 + \lambda_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)} + \omega^2)|u|^2) dr^* \leq B(r_{\pm\infty}^*, \mathcal{C}) \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|2y \operatorname{Re}(u'H)| + |\operatorname{Im}(\bar{u}H)|) dr^*.$$

Proof. We integrate the energy identity of Lemma 14.1, associated to Q^y , to get

$$(16.171) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} (y'|u'|^2 + y'\omega^2|u|^2) dr^* = Q^y[u](\infty) - Q^y[u](-\infty) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} ((yV)'|u|^2 + 2y \operatorname{Re}(u'\bar{H})) dr^*.$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be sufficiently small. Consider the multiplier

$$(16.172) \quad y = -\exp\left(-C \int_{-\infty}^{r^*} \chi_{r_{\infty}^*}\right),$$

such that $\chi_{r_{\infty}^*}$ satisfies the following

$$(16.173) \quad \chi_{r_{\infty}^*}(r) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } r \in (r_+, r_{\infty} - \epsilon) \\ 0 & \text{if } r \in (r_{\infty}, \bar{r}_+), \end{cases}$$

for $r_{\infty}^* > 0$ sufficiently large. By using the definition of the multiplier y , in equation (16.172), we note the following

$$(16.174) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} (yV)'|u|^2 dr^* = [yV|u|^2]_{-\infty}^{\infty} - \int_{\mathbb{R}} yV(u\bar{u}' + u'\bar{u}) dr^* \\ \leq (yV|u|^2)(r^* = \infty) - (yV|u|^2)(r^* = -\infty) - \int_{\mathbb{R}} |yV|(u\bar{u}' + u'\bar{u}) dr^* \\ \leq B \left(\omega^2 - \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{\bar{r}_+^2 + a^2} \right)^2 \right) (y|u|^2)(\infty) - B \left(\omega^2 - \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} \right)^2 \right) (y|u|^2)(-\infty) \\ + \epsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}} y'|u'|^2 dr^* + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}} y^2 \frac{V^2}{y'} |u|^2 dr^*.$$

Observe now that $y' > 0$. So, the first bulk term on the on the right hand side of the inequality (16.174) will be absorbed, in the left hand side of equation (16.171), by taking $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently

small. The last bulk term on the right hand side of (16.174), will be absorbed, in the left hand side of equation (16.171), by taking $C > 0$ sufficiently large. We thus obtain the energy estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}} y' (|u'|^2 + (1 + \lambda_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)} + \omega^2) |u|^2) dr^* \\
& \leq BQ^y(\infty) - BQ^y(-\infty) \\
(16.175) \quad & + B \left(\omega^2 - \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{\bar{r}_+^2 + a^2} \right)^2 \right) (y|u|^2)(\infty) - B \left(\omega^2 - \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} \right)^2 \right) (y|u|^2)(-\infty) \\
& + \int_{\mathbb{R}} 2y \operatorname{Re}(u'H) dr^*.
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 16.4. Note that the intersection $\mathcal{F}_b \cap \{am\omega \in \left(\frac{a^2 m^2 \Xi}{\bar{r}_+^2 + a^2}, \frac{a^2 m^2 \Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} \right)\}$ is in general not empty, see Remark 15.2, and therefore the boundary terms on the right hand side of (16.175), in general, have different signs.

We proceed as follows. In the superradiant frequencies

$$(16.176) \quad (\omega, m, \ell) \in \mathcal{F}_b \cap \mathcal{SF}$$

we integrate the energy identity of Lemma 14.4 associated with the current $-EQ^{K^+} - EQ^{\bar{K}^+}$, where E is sufficiently large. We sum it to we use estimate (16.175), and take E sufficiently large so that we do absorb the boundary term $Q^y(\infty)$ and we conclude the following

$$\begin{aligned}
(16.177) \quad & \int_{\mathbb{R}} y' (|u'|^2 + (1 + \lambda_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)} + \omega^2) |u|^2) dr^* \leq B \left| \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} \right) \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{\bar{r}_+^2 + a^2} \right) \right| |u|^2(-\infty) \\
& + B \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|2y \operatorname{Re}(u'H)| + |\operatorname{Im}(\bar{u}H)|) dr^*.
\end{aligned}$$

In the non superradiant frequencies

$$(16.178) \quad (\omega, m, \ell) \in \mathcal{F}_b \cap \mathcal{SF}^c$$

we can remove the boundary term of (16.177) by summing in (16.175) an energy identity associates with a current of the form $E_0 Q^{K^+} + E_0 Q^{\bar{K}^+}$ and obtain

$$(16.179) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} y' (|u'|^2 + (1 + \lambda_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)} + \omega^2) |u|^2) dr^* \leq B \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|2y \operatorname{Re}(u'H)| + |\operatorname{Im}(\bar{u}H)|) dr^*.$$

Now, assume that

$$(16.180) \quad (\omega, m, \ell) \in \mathcal{F}_b \cap (\{|\omega - \omega_+ m| \leq \mathcal{C}^{-1}\} \cup \{|\omega - \bar{\omega}_+ m| \leq \mathcal{C}^{-1}\} \cup \mathcal{SF})$$

for a sufficiently large $\mathcal{C} > 0$. In what follows we only discuss the case $|\omega - \omega_+ m| \leq \mathcal{C}^{-1}$, since the case $|\omega - \bar{\omega}_+ m| \leq \mathcal{C}^{-1}$ admits an almost identical treatment. Let χ be a smooth function with

$$(16.181) \quad \chi = \begin{cases} 1, & r \in [r_+, 2r_{-\infty}] \\ 0, & r \in [3r_{-\infty}, \bar{r}_+]. \end{cases}$$

Let $Q^{\bar{K}^+}$ be the currents of Definition 14.3. By using the fundamental theorem of calculus for $\chi \cdot 1_{|\omega - \omega_+ m| \leq \mathcal{C}^{-1}} Q^{\bar{K}^+}$ we obtain

$$(16.182) \quad |\omega - \omega_+ m| |\omega - \bar{\omega}_+ m| |u|^2(-\infty) \leq \int_{2r_{-\infty}^*}^{3r_{-\infty}^*} |\omega - \omega_+ m| |\chi'| \operatorname{Im}(u'\bar{u}) dr^* + \int_{-\infty}^{3r_{-\infty}^*} |\chi| |\omega - \omega_+ m| \operatorname{Im}(H\bar{u}) dr^*.$$

Now, by taking \mathcal{C} sufficiently large, we use (16.182) in (16.177) and obtain the desired result

$$(16.183) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} y' (|u'|^2 + (1 + \lambda_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)} + \omega^2) |u|^2) dr^* \leq B \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|2y \operatorname{Re}(u'H)| + |\operatorname{Im}(\bar{u}H)|) dr^*.$$

Therefore, from (16.183), (16.179), (16.177) we conclude (16.169), (16.170) and the proof.

We conclude the proof. \square

16.6. Multipliers for the λ dominated frequency regime $F_{\mathfrak{b}}$. In view of the definition of the frequency regimes, see Definition 15.1, this frequency regime is manifestly non-superradiant.

The main Proposition of this Section is the following

Proposition 16.7. *Let $l > 0$, $(a, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l$, $\mu_{KG}^2 \geq 0$. For*

$$(16.184) \quad \alpha^{-1} > 0, \quad \omega_{high} > 0, \quad \lambda_{low}^{-1} > 0$$

all sufficiently large, where moreover $\lambda_{low} \ll \alpha^2$, then for $(\omega, m, \ell) \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{b}}(\lambda_{low}, \omega_{high}, \alpha)$ there exist sufficiently regular functions f, h satisfying the uniform bounds

$$(16.185) \quad |f| + |f'| + |f''| + |h| + |h'| + |h''| \leq B(\lambda_{low}, \omega_{high})$$

such that for all smooth solutions u of Carter's radial ode (6.25) satisfying the outgoing boundary conditions (6.28), we have

$$(16.186) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Delta \left(|u'|^2 + \Delta(1 + \lambda_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)} + \omega^2) |u|^2 \right) dr^* \leq B \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\left| \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{\bar{r}_+^2 + a^2} \right) \text{Im}(u\bar{H}) \right| + \left| \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} \right) \text{Im}(u\bar{H}) \right| + |2f \text{Re}(u'\bar{H})| + (|f'| + |h|) |\text{Re}(\bar{H}u)| \right) dr^*.$$

Note the following lemma for the potential V .

Lemma 16.9. *Let $l > 0$ and $(a, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l$ and $\mu_{KG}^2 \geq 0$.*

$$(16.187) \quad \omega_{high} > 0, \quad \lambda_{low}^{-1} > 0, \quad \alpha^{-1} > 0$$

be sufficiently large, where $\lambda_{low} \ll \alpha^2$. Then, for $(\omega, m, \ell) \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{b}}(\lambda_{low}, \omega_{high}, \alpha)$ the potential V attains a unique critical point, a maximum, at a value

$$(16.188) \quad r_{V, max} \in (r_+, \bar{r}_+).$$

and moreover there exist $r_{-\infty}^ < 0 < r_{+\infty}^*$ sufficiently negative and sufficiently positive respectively, such that*

$$(16.189) \quad \begin{aligned} b\Delta\tilde{\lambda} &\leq V' \leq B\Delta\tilde{\lambda}, & r^* < r_{-\infty}^*, \\ b\Delta\tilde{\lambda} &\leq -V' \leq B\Delta\tilde{\lambda}, & r^* > r_{+\infty}^*. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, the following holds

$$(16.190) \quad \left| \max_{r \in [r_+, \bar{r}_+]} V - \omega^2 \right| \geq b\tilde{\lambda}.$$

Proof. First, by recalling the definition of the potential V , see (6.26), we note that

$$(16.191) \quad \frac{dV}{dr} = \frac{dV_0}{dr} + \frac{dV_{SL}}{dr} + \frac{dV_{\mu}}{dr},$$

where

$$(16.192) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{dV_0}{dr} &= \frac{d}{dr} \left(\frac{\Delta}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} \right) (\tilde{\lambda} - 2m\omega a\Xi) - 2 \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{r^2 + a^2} \right) \frac{2r}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} am\Xi \\ &= \frac{d}{dr} \left(\frac{\Delta}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} \right) (\tilde{\lambda} - 2m\omega a\Xi) - \frac{4r}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} (am\omega\Xi) + \frac{4r}{(r^2 + a^2)^3} (am\Xi)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Note that for a sufficiently small $\alpha(a, M, l) > 0$ and for $(\omega, m, \ell) \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{b}}$ we may assume

$$(16.193) \quad am\omega \leq 0.$$

Suppose the opposite, namely that $am\omega > 0$. Then, we have

$$(16.194) \quad am\omega \geq \frac{a^2 m^2 \Xi^2}{r_+^2 + a^2} + |a|\alpha\tilde{\lambda} \geq |a|\alpha\lambda_{low}^{-1}\omega^2 \implies |m| \geq \alpha\lambda_{low}^{-1}|\omega|.$$

On the other hand the following holds

$$(16.195) \quad am\omega \geq \frac{a^2 m^2 \Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} + |a|\alpha\tilde{\lambda} \implies |\omega| \geq \alpha \frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{|m|} \geq \alpha\Xi|m|,$$

where we also used (6.8) from Lemma 6.1. Combining (16.194), (16.195) we obtain

$$(16.196) \quad |\omega| \geq \alpha^2 \lambda_{low}^{-1} \Xi |\omega|. \implies \lambda_{low} \geq \alpha^2 \Xi.$$

Therefore, for a sufficiently small $\alpha > 0$ if we take $\lambda_{low}(\alpha) \sim \alpha^3 > 0$ sufficiently small we obtain a contradiction. Therefore, we conclude that $am\omega \leq 0$.

Now, since $am\omega \leq 0$, then from (16.192) we obtain

$$(16.197) \quad \frac{dV_0}{dr}(r_+) > b\tilde{\lambda} \implies (r^2 + a^2)^3 \frac{dV_0}{dr}(r = r_+) > b\tilde{\lambda}.$$

which immediately implies that $\frac{dV}{dr}(r_+) \geq b\tilde{\lambda}$, by taking λ_{low}^{-1} sufficiently large.

Therefore, by taking λ_{low}^{-1} sufficiently large, and in view of the fact that $\tilde{\lambda} > \lambda_{low}^{-1}(\omega^2 + a^2 m^2)$ we obtain that indeed V attains a unique maximum $r_{V,max}$ and moreover (16.189), (16.190) hold. \square

Proof of Proposition 16.7. We integrate the energy identity of Lemma 14.1, associated to $Q^f + Q^h$, to get

$$(16.198) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}} (2f' + h) |u'|^2 dr^* + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(h(V - \omega^2) - \frac{1}{2}h'' - fV' - \frac{1}{2}f''' \right) |u|^2 dr^* \\ & = Q^f(\infty) - Q^f(-\infty) + Q^h(\infty) - Q^h(-\infty) \\ & \quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}} (2f \operatorname{Re}(u' \bar{H}) + f' \operatorname{Re}(u \bar{H}) + h \operatorname{Re}(u \bar{H})) dr^*. \end{aligned}$$

Specifically, for a sufficiently small $p > 0$ we consider the following regions

$$(16.199) \quad -\infty < e^{p-1} r_{-\infty}^* < r_{-\infty}^* < 0 < r_{+\infty}^* < e^{p-1} r_{+\infty}^* < \infty,$$

where $r_{-\infty}^* < 0 < r_{+\infty}^*$ are from Lemma 16.9.

First, we construct the multiplier

$$(16.200) \quad f = \frac{2}{\pi} \arctan(r - r_{V,max})$$

for all $r \in [r_+, \bar{r}_+]$. Note that $\frac{df}{dr} > 0$ in $[r_+, \bar{r}_+]$ and

$$(16.201) \quad -fV' \geq b\Delta(r - r_{V,max})^2 \left(\lambda_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)} + a^2 \omega^2 \right), \quad r \in [r_+, \bar{r}_+].$$

Now, to deal with the region away from the horizons, we construct the multiplier h such that

$$(16.202) \quad h = \begin{cases} 0, & r^* \in (-\infty, e^{p-1} r_{-\infty}^*) \cup (e^{p-1} r_{+\infty}^*, \bar{r}_+) \\ 1, & r^* \in (r_{-\infty}, r_{+\infty}), \end{cases}$$

and $h(r) \geq 0$ for $r \in [r_+, \bar{r}_+]$.

Now, the integrand in the left hand side of (16.198) satisfies the following

$$(16.203) \quad -fV' + h(V - \omega^2) - \frac{1}{2}h'' - \frac{1}{2}f''' \geq b\Delta \left(\lambda_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)} + a^2 \omega^2 + \omega^2 + 1 \right), \quad r \in [r_+, \bar{r}_+],$$

by requiring λ_{low} smaller if needed, in view of Lemma 16.9. Moreover, note $h(\pm\infty) = 0$, therefore we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(16.204) \quad & \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Delta \left(|u'|^2 + (1 + \lambda_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)} + a^2\omega^2 + \omega^2) |u|^2 \right) dr^* \\
& \leq BQ^f(\infty) - BQ^f(-\infty) + B \int_{\mathbb{R}} (2f \operatorname{Re}(u' \bar{H}) + (f' + h) \operatorname{Re}(\bar{H}u)) dr^* \\
& \leq Bf(\infty) \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{\bar{r}_+^2 + a^2} \right)^2 |u|^2(\infty) - Bf(-\infty) \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{\bar{r}_+^2 + a^2} \right)^2 |u|^2(-\infty) \\
& \quad + B \int_{\mathbb{R}} (2f \operatorname{Re}(u' \bar{H}) + (f' + h) \operatorname{Re}(\bar{H}u)) dr^*.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, in view of the fact that the λ -dominated frequency regime $\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{b}}$ is non-superradiant, see Section 15, then, in view of Proposition 6.4, we integrate the energy identity of Lemma 14.4 associated with the current

$$(16.205) \quad -E_0 Q^{K^+} - E_0 Q^{\bar{K}^+}$$

and sum it to inequality (16.204) to conclude the desired result. \square

16.7. Multipliers for the high $\omega \sim \lambda$ frequency regime $\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{b}}$. In view of the definition of the frequency regimes, see Definition 15.1, this frequency regime is manifestly non-superradiant.

The main Proposition of this Section is the following

Proposition 16.8. *Let $l > 0$ (a, M) $\in \mathcal{B}_l$, $\mu_{KG}^2 \geq 0$. Let $\alpha > 0$. For any*

$$(16.206) \quad \lambda_{low}^{-1} > 0, \quad \omega_{high} > 0, \quad E > 0$$

all sufficiently large, then for $(\omega, m, \ell) \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{b}}(\omega_{high}, \lambda_{low}, \alpha)$ there exist smooth multipliers $f(\omega, m, \tilde{\lambda}, r)$, $h(\omega, m, \tilde{\lambda}, r)$ and there exists a piecewise C^1 multiplier $y(\omega, m, \tilde{\lambda}, r)$ that satisfy the uniform bound

$$(16.207) \quad |h| + |h'| + |h''| + |y| + |y'| + |f| + |f'| + |f''| + |f'''| + |h| + |h'| + |h''| \leq B,$$

and there exists an

$$(16.208) \quad r_{trap}(\omega, m, \ell) \in (r_+ + \epsilon_{away}, \bar{r}_+ - \epsilon_{away}) \cup \{0\}$$

where $\epsilon_{away}(a, M, l) > 0$ is any sufficiently small real number, such that for all smooth solutions u of Carter's radial ode (6.25) satisfying the outgoing boundary conditions (6.28), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(16.209) \quad & \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Delta \left(|u'|^2 + |u|^2 + \left(1 - \frac{r_{trap}}{r}\right)^2 (\omega^2 + \tilde{\lambda}) |u|^2 \right) dr^* \\
& \leq B \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|2f \operatorname{Re}(u' \bar{H})| + |f' \operatorname{Re}(\bar{H}u)| + |y \operatorname{Re}(u' \bar{H})| + |h \operatorname{Re}(u \bar{H})| + |2y \operatorname{Re}(u' H)|) dr^* \\
& \quad + E \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{\bar{r}_+^2 + a^2} \right) \operatorname{Im}(\bar{u}H) + \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{\bar{r}_+^2 + a^2} \right) \operatorname{Im}(\bar{u}H) \right) dr^*.
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 16.5. *If the solution ψ of the Klein-Gordon equation (1.7) is axisymmetric $\partial_{\varphi^*} \psi = 0$ then we note that in Proposition 16.8, we have $r_{trap} = r_{\Delta, trap}$, where $r_{\Delta, frac}$ is the value of the unique local maximum of $\frac{\Delta}{(r^2 + a^2)^2}$, see Lemma 3.3.*

We note that for the present frequency regime $(\omega, m, \tilde{\lambda}) \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{b}}$ the following hold:

- if $am\omega < 0$ then $\frac{dV_0}{dr}(r_+) > b\tilde{\lambda}$,
- if $am\omega > \frac{a^2 m^2 \Xi}{\bar{r}_+^2 + a^2} + \alpha |a| \tilde{\lambda}$ then $\frac{dV_0}{dr}(\bar{r}_+) < -b\tilde{\lambda}$.

Therefore, in view of Lemma 13.1, it would be convenient to keep in mind that the potential V_0 satisfies only one of the following

(16.210)

(V_0 case 1) There exists a unique critical point of V_0 that satisfies $r_+ < r_{V_0, max} < \bar{r}_+$

(V_0 case 2) There exist exist two critical points of V_0 that satisfy $r_+ < r_{V_0, min} < r_{V_0, max} < \bar{r}_+$

(V_0 case 3) There exist exist two critical points of V_0 that satisfy $r_+ < r_{V_0, min} < r_{V_0, max} = \bar{r}_+$

(V_0 case 4) $\frac{dV_0}{dr} > 0$ or $\frac{dV_0}{dr} < 0$ for all $r \in (r_+, \bar{r}_+)$.

For a graphic representation of the above cases see Figure 4. Note that in the (*Potential case 3*) we do not exclude that V_0 attains a critical point at \bar{r}_+ .




FIGURE 4. The cases of (16.210) respectively.

Now, we construct the Q^f, Q^y, Q^h currents, see Definition 14.1.

Lemma 16.10. *Let $l > 0$ and $(a, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l$ and $\mu_{KG}^2 \geq 0$. Let $\alpha > 0$. For any*

$$(16.211) \quad \omega_{high} > 0, \quad (\lambda_{low})^{-1} > 0, \quad \epsilon_{away}^{-1} > 0$$

all sufficiently large then for $(\omega, m, \ell) \in \mathcal{F}_\natural(\omega_{high}, \lambda_{low}, \alpha)$ there exists a trapping parameter

$$(16.212) \quad r_{trap}(\omega, m, \ell) \in [r_+ + \epsilon_{away}, \bar{r}_+ - \epsilon_{away}] \cup \{0\},$$

where $\epsilon_{away} > 0$, such that the following hold.

There exist smooth multiplier $f = f(\omega, m, \ell), h = h(\omega, m, \ell)$ and there exists a piecewise C^1 multiplier $y = y(\omega, m, \ell)$ such that the following uniform bound holds piecewise

$$(16.213) \quad |h| + |h'| + |h''| + |f| + |f'| + |f''| + |f'''| + |y| + |y'| \leq B(\omega_{high}, \lambda_{low}),$$

and we have

$$(16.214)$$

$$(P1) \quad f(r_{trap}) = 0,$$

$$(P2) \quad h + y' + 2f' \geq b\Delta, \quad r \in (r_+, \bar{r}_+)$$

$$(P3) \quad \left(-fV' - \frac{1}{2}f'''\right) + (y'(\omega^2 - V) - yV') + \left(h(V - \omega^2) - \frac{1}{2}h''\right) \geq b\Delta \cdot (r - r_{trap})^2 (\bar{\lambda} + \omega^2) + b\Delta, \quad r \in (r_+, \bar{r}_+).$$

Proof. We note that

$$(16.215) \quad \omega^2 - V(r_+) = \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2}\right)^2 \geq b\tilde{\lambda}, \quad \omega^2 - V(\bar{r}_+) = \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{\bar{r}_+^2 + a^2}\right)^2 \geq b\tilde{\lambda}$$

where in the inequalities above we used that $(\omega, m, \ell) \in \mathcal{F}_\natural$ for sufficiently large $\omega_{high} > 0$.

Let $\epsilon_{trap} > 0$ be sufficiently small. In view of the definition of the high $\omega \sim \lambda$ frequency regime \mathcal{F}_\natural , see Definition 15.1, we separate the frequency space $(\omega, m, \ell) \in \mathcal{F}_\natural$ in the following sets

$$(1) \quad |\max_{r \in [r_+, \bar{r}_+]} V - \omega^2| \leq \epsilon_{trap}\tilde{\lambda}, \quad am\omega < 0$$

$$(2) \quad |\max_{r \in [r_+, \bar{r}_+]} V - \omega^2| \leq \epsilon_{trap} \tilde{\lambda}, \quad am\omega \geq \frac{a^2 m^2 \Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} + \alpha |a| \tilde{\lambda}$$

$$(3) \quad |\max_{r \in [r_+, \bar{r}_+]} V - \omega^2| > \epsilon_{trap} \tilde{\lambda}, \quad am\omega < 0$$

$$(4) \quad |\max_{r \in [r_+, \bar{r}_+]} V - \omega^2| > \epsilon_{trap} \tilde{\lambda}, \quad am\omega \geq \frac{a^2 m^2 \Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} + \alpha |a| \tilde{\lambda}$$

As will become apparent below, the first two sets above are subject to trapping, namely $r_{trap} \neq 0$.

• We begin with the first case (1). In this case we define the multipliers $y, h \equiv 0$. First, we note that because $am\omega < 0$ we obtain

$$(16.216) \quad \frac{dV_0}{dr}(r_+) > b\tilde{\lambda}.$$

Moreover, we easily conclude that

$$(16.217) \quad \frac{dV_0}{dr}(\bar{r}_+) < -b\tilde{\lambda},$$

in view of the fact that $|\max_{r \in [r_+, \bar{r}_+]} V - \omega^2| \leq \epsilon_{trap} \tilde{\lambda}$ and therefore the maximum value of V_0 cannot be attained at the cosmological horizon \bar{r}_+ , also see the graphs of Figure 4. Now, in view of Lemma 13.1, on the critical points of the potential V_0 , we conclude that indeed there exists a unique critical point $r_{V_0, max} \in (r_+ + \epsilon_{away}, \bar{r}_+ - \epsilon_{away})$ of V_0 which is a maximum and moreover the following holds $\frac{d^2 V_0}{dr^2}(r) < -b\tilde{\lambda}$ for $r \in (r_{V_0} - \epsilon_{away}, r_{V_0} + \epsilon_{away})$. Now, we take $\omega_{high} > 0$ sufficiently large and $\epsilon_{away} > 0$ sufficiently small to conclude that

$$(16.218) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{dV}{dr} &> b\tilde{\lambda}, & r \in [r_+, r_{V_0} - 2^{-1}\epsilon_{away}] \\ \frac{dV}{dr} &< -b\tilde{\lambda}, & r \in [r_{V_0} + 2^{-1}\epsilon_{away}, \bar{r}_+] \end{aligned}$$

and moreover $\frac{d^2 V}{dr^2}(r) < 0$ for $r \in [r_{V_0} - 2^{-1}\epsilon_{away}, r_{V_0} + 2^{-1}\epsilon_{away}]$. Therefore, we obtain that the potential V attains a unique maximum at $r_{V, max} \in (r_+ + \epsilon_{away}, \bar{r}_+ - \epsilon_{away})$. In this case we define

$$(16.219) \quad r_{trap} = r_{V, max}.$$

In view of the above, we construct a multiplier f as follows

$$(16.220) \quad -\frac{1}{2}f''' = \Delta, \quad f(r_{trap}) = 0, \quad f'(r_{trap}) = 1, \quad f''(r_{trap}) = 0,$$

in a neighborhood of r_{trap} . We extend f smoothly in the entire interval $[r_+, \bar{r}_+]$ such that we have

$$(16.221) \quad f' \geq b\Delta, \quad -fV' - \frac{1}{2}f''' \geq b\tilde{\lambda}\Delta(r - r_{trap})^2 + b\Delta$$

and conclude (16.214).

• We continue with the second case (2). We first define the multiplier $h \equiv 0$. Since $am\omega \geq \frac{a^2 m^2 \Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} + \alpha |a| \tilde{\lambda}$ then we obtain $\frac{dV_0}{dr}(\bar{r}_+) < -b\tilde{\lambda}$. Moreover, in view of (16.215) we can find an $r_3(\omega_{high}, \lambda_{low}^{-1}, \epsilon_{trap}) \in [r_+, \bar{r}_+]$ such that

$$(16.222) \quad \omega^2 - V \geq b\tilde{\lambda}, \quad r \in [r_+, r_3].$$

It is an easy consequence of the trapping condition $|\max_{r \in [r_+, \bar{r}_+]} V - \omega^2| \leq \epsilon_{trap} \tilde{\lambda}$ and of Lemma 13.1, on the critical points of V_0 , that for any $\omega_{high}, \lambda_{low}^{-1} > 0$ sufficiently large and for any $\epsilon_{trap} > 0$ sufficiently small we can have

$$(16.223) \quad \frac{dV_0}{dr}(r_3) \geq b\tilde{\lambda},$$

the reader should also look at the second Figure 4.

It is obvious now that, for sufficiently positive ω_{high} , the critical point $r_{V,max}$ is attained inside the interval $[r_3, \bar{r}_+]$. We define

$$(16.224) \quad r_{trap} = r_{V,max}$$

Moreover, for sufficiently small $\epsilon_{trap}, \epsilon_{away}, \lambda_{low} > 0$ we conclude that $r_{trap} \in [r_+ + \epsilon_{away}, \bar{r}_+ - \epsilon_{away}]$ and of course $r_3 < \bar{r}_+ - \epsilon_{away}$.

In view of the above, we will now construct two multipliers y, f . First, our multiplier y will satisfy the following

$$(16.225) \quad \begin{aligned} y &= 1 - e^{C_{large}(r_3-r)}, & r \in [r_+, r_3], \\ y &= 0, & r \in [r_3, \bar{r}_+], \end{aligned}$$

where $C_{large} > 0$ will be chosen sufficiently large later. Note that

$$(16.226) \quad y'(\omega^2 - V) - yV' = \frac{\Delta}{r^2 + a^2} C_{large} e^{C_{large}(r_3-r)} (\omega^2 - V) - yV' \geq b(C_{large})\tilde{\lambda}$$

in the interval (r_+, r_3) , where in the last inequality we used that $\omega^2 \sim \tilde{\lambda}$ and we took $C_{large}(\omega_{high}, \lambda_{low}) > 0$ sufficiently large.

We construct the multiplier f again by solving the initial value problem (16.220). We choose C_{large} sufficiently positive to obtain the following

$$(16.227) \quad \begin{aligned} 2f' + y' &\geq b\Delta, \\ (y'(\omega^2 - V) - yV') + \left(-fV' - \frac{1}{2}f''\right) &\geq b\tilde{\lambda}(r - r_{trap})^2\Delta + b\Delta, \end{aligned}$$

which concludes (16.214).

- We continue with the third case (3). In this case we set

$$(16.228) \quad r_{trap} = 0.$$

We first define $y \equiv 0$. We note argue similarly to case (1). Specifically, since $am\omega < 0$ we have that

$$(16.229) \quad \frac{dV_0}{dr}(r_+) \geq b\tilde{\lambda}.$$

Therefore, by Lemma 13.1, we take $\omega_{high} > 0$ sufficiently large and we find that there exists a maximum of the potential V , which we here call $r_{V,max}$ such that $r_{V,max} \in (r_+, \bar{r}_+]$. Note that $r_{V,max}$ is not necessarily a critical point and that it can be the case that $r_{V,max} = \bar{r}_+$.

We define a multiplier f by solving the initial value problem

$$(16.230) \quad -\frac{1}{2}f''' = \Delta, \quad f(r_{V,max}) = 0, \quad f'(r_{V,max}) = 1, \quad f''(r_{V,max}) = 0,$$

in a neighborhood of $r_{V,max}$. Recall that we do not exclude the case $r_{V,max} = \bar{r}_+$. We extend f smoothly in the entire interval $[r_+, \bar{r}_+]$ such that

$$(16.231) \quad f' \geq b\Delta, \quad -fV' - \frac{1}{2}f''' \geq b\Delta(r - r_{trap})^2\tilde{\lambda} + b\Delta.$$

after taking $\omega_{high} > 0$ sufficiently large.

We use the non trapping condition $|\max_r V - \omega^2| \geq \epsilon_{trap}\tilde{\lambda}$ so that we can construct a multiplier h such that for sufficiently large $\omega_{high}, \lambda_{low}^{-1}$ and sufficiently small $\epsilon_{trap} > 0$ we find that

$$(16.232) \quad \left(h(V - \omega^2) - \frac{1}{2}h''\right) + \left(-fV' - \frac{1}{2}f''\right) \geq b\Delta\tilde{\lambda},$$

which concludes (16.214).

• Finally, we study that fourth case (4). We split the frequency space of case (4) into the two frequency regimes

$$(16.233) \quad \begin{aligned} & \{\omega^2 - \max_{r \in [r_+, \bar{r}_+]} V_0 \geq \epsilon_{trap} \tilde{\lambda}\} \\ & \{\max_{r \in [r_+, \bar{r}_+]} V_0 - \omega^2 \geq \epsilon_{trap} \tilde{\lambda}\}. \end{aligned}$$

For the first case of (16.233) we note that $\omega^2 - V(r) \geq \epsilon_{trap} \tilde{\lambda}$ for all $r \in [r_+, \bar{r}_+]$. We define $h, f \equiv 0$ and we define a multiplier of the form $y = e^{C_{large} r}$. For a sufficiently large $C_{large} > 0$ we obtain that

$$(16.234) \quad y'(\omega - V) - yV' \geq b\Delta \tilde{\lambda}.$$

We fix the $C_{large} > 0$.

We now study the second case of (16.233). First, we note that since $am\omega > \frac{a^2 m^2 \Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} > \frac{a^2 m^2 \Xi}{\bar{r}_+^2 + a^2}$ and $am\omega \geq \alpha|a|\tilde{\lambda}$ then we have that

$$(16.235) \quad \frac{dV_0}{dr}(\bar{r}_+) < -b\tilde{\lambda}.$$

We note that the maximum value of V_0 cannot be attained at the event horizon since then we would have $-\left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2}\right)^2 \geq \epsilon_{trap} \tilde{\lambda}$. Therefore, for any sufficiently small $\epsilon_{away} > 0$ and for any $\omega_{high} > 0$ sufficiently large we have that the potential V attains a maximum

$$(16.236) \quad r_{V,max} \in (r_+ + \epsilon_{away}, \bar{r}_+ - \epsilon_{away}).$$

Now, for any $\epsilon_1 > 0$ sufficiently small we have that $\frac{dV_0}{dr}(r_{V_0,max} - \epsilon_1) > b(\epsilon_1)\tilde{\lambda}$. We define $r_3 = r_{V_0,max} - \epsilon_1$. We fix ϵ_1 .

We now construct three multipliers y, f, h as follows. First, we define y to be the following piecewise smooth function

$$(16.237) \quad y = \begin{cases} 1 - e^{C_1(r_3 - r)}, & r \in [r_+, r_3] \\ 0, & r \in [r_3, \bar{r}_+], \end{cases}$$

for some $C_1 > 0$ that will be chosen sufficiently large later. We define a multiplier f by solving the initial value problem

$$(16.238) \quad -\frac{1}{2}f''' = \Delta, \quad f(r_{V_0,max}) = 0, \quad f'(r_{V_0,max}) = 1, \quad f''(r_{V_0,max}) = 0,$$

in a neighborhood of $r_{V,max}$. We extend f smoothly in the entire interval $[r_+, \bar{r}_+]$ such that

$$(16.239) \quad f' \geq b\Delta, \quad -fV' - \frac{1}{2}f''' \geq b\Delta(r - r_{V,max})^2 \tilde{\lambda}.$$

We define the smooth multiplier h such that it satisfies the following

$$(16.240) \quad h = \begin{cases} 1, & r \in [r_{V,max} - 2\epsilon_1, r_{V,max} + 2\epsilon_1] \\ 0, & r \in [r_+, \bar{r}_+] \setminus [r_{V,max} - 2\epsilon_1, r_{V,max} + 2\epsilon_1]. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, for a sufficiently large $C_1 > 0$ then in view of the non trapping condition $\max V_0 - \omega^2 \geq \epsilon_{trap} \tilde{\lambda}$ we obtain that for any $\omega_{high} > 0$ sufficiently large the following hold

$$(16.241) \quad \begin{aligned} & y' + 2f' + h \geq b\Delta \\ & h(V - \omega^2) - \frac{1}{2}h'' + y'(\omega^2 - V) - yV' + fV' - \frac{1}{2}f''' \geq b\Delta \tilde{\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude Lemma 16.10. □

Proof of Proposition 16.8. We integrate the energy identity of Lemma 14.1 associated to the current

$$(16.242) \quad Q^f + Q^y + Q^h$$

see Definition 14.1, and use the construction of the f, y multipliers of Lemma 16.10 to obtain the energy inequality

$$(16.243) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Delta \left(|u'|^2 + |u|^2 + \left((r - r_{trap})^2 (\lambda_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)} + a^2 \omega^2 + \omega^2) \right) |u|^2 \right) dr^* \\ & \leq Q^f(\infty) - Q^f(-\infty) - B \int_{\mathbb{R}} (2f \operatorname{Re}(u' \bar{H}) + f' \operatorname{Re}(\bar{H}u)) dr^* + Q^y(\infty) - Q^y(-\infty) + B \int_{\mathbb{R}} (2y \operatorname{Re}(u' H)) dr^* \\ & \leq B \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{\bar{r}_+^2 + a^2} \right)^2 |u|^2(\infty) + B \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{\bar{r}_+^2 + a^2} \right)^2 |u|^2(-\infty) \\ & \quad + B \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|2f \operatorname{Re}(u' \bar{H})| + |f' \operatorname{Re}(\bar{H}u)| + |2y \operatorname{Re}(u' H)| + |2y \operatorname{Re}(u' H)| + |h \operatorname{Re}(u \bar{H})|) dr^*. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, since the high $\omega \sim \lambda$ frequency regime is non-superradiant, see Section 15, then, in view of Proposition 6.4, we integrate the energy identity of Lemma 14.4 associated with the current

$$(16.244) \quad -E_0 Q^{K^+} - E_0 Q^{\bar{K}^+}$$

where $E_0 > 0$ is a sufficiently large constant, and then we sum in equation (16.243) to conclude the proof of Proposition 16.8. \square

16.8. Multipliers for the ω dominated frequency regime F_{\sharp} . In view of the definition of the frequency regimes, see Definition 15.1, this frequency regime is manifestly non-superradiant.

The main Proposition of this Section is the following

Proposition 16.9. *Let $l > 0$ $(a, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l$, $\mu_{KG}^2 \geq 0$. Let $\alpha > 0$. For any*

$$(16.245) \quad \lambda_{low}^{-1} > 0, \quad \omega_{high} > 0$$

both sufficiently large, then for $(\omega, m, \ell) \in F_{\sharp}(\omega_{high}, \lambda_{low}, \alpha)$ there exists a sufficiently regular function $y(r^)$ that satisfies the uniform bound*

$$(16.246) \quad |y| + |y'| \leq B(\omega_{high}, \lambda_{low})$$

such that for all smooth solutions u of Carter's radial ode (6.25) satisfying the outgoing boundary conditions (6.28), we have

$$(16.247) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Delta \left(|u'|^2 + (\lambda_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)} + \omega^2 + 1) |u|^2 \right) dr^* \\ & \leq B \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\left| \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{\bar{r}_+^2 + a^2} \right) \operatorname{Im}(\bar{H}u) \right| + \left| \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{\bar{r}_+^2 + a^2} \right) \operatorname{Im}(\bar{H}u) \right| \right) dr^* + \int_{\mathbb{R}} |2y \operatorname{Re}(u' H)| dr^* \right). \end{aligned}$$

We need the following Lemma

Lemma 16.11. *Let $l > 0$ and $(a, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l$ and $\mu_{KG}^2 \geq 0$. Let $\alpha > 0$. For any*

$$(16.248) \quad \lambda_{low}^{-1} > 0, \quad \omega_{high} > 0$$

both sufficiently large, then for $(\omega, m, \ell) \in \mathcal{F}_{\sharp}$ we have

$$(16.249) \quad \omega^2 - V(r) \geq b\omega^2, \quad r \in [r_+, \bar{r}_+].$$

Proof. The potential V , of (6.26) can be written as

$$(16.250) \quad \begin{aligned} V = \Delta & \left(\frac{r^3 \frac{d}{dr} \Delta - 2r^2 \Delta + a^2 r \frac{d}{dr} \Delta}{(r^2 + a^2)^4} + \frac{\Delta a^2}{(r^2 + a^2)^4} + \frac{\lambda_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)} + a^2 \omega^2}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} + \mu_{KG}^2 \cdot \frac{r^2 + a^2}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} \right) \\ & - \frac{\Xi^2 a^2 m^2 + 2m\omega a \Xi (\Delta - (r^2 + a^2))}{(r^2 + a^2)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

We consider the term

$$(16.251) \quad \frac{\Delta a^2}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} \omega^2$$

of (16.250) and we note

$$(16.252) \quad \frac{\Delta a^2}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} = \frac{a^2}{r^2 + a^2} - \frac{2Mr}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} a^2 - \frac{a^2 r^2}{l^2 (r^2 + a^2)} = \frac{a^2}{r^2 + a^2} \left(1 - \frac{2Mr}{r^2 + a^2} - \frac{r^2}{l^2} \right) < 1.$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$(16.253) \quad \omega^2 - \frac{\Delta a^2}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} \omega^2 \geq b\omega^2.$$

By utilizing (16.253) that $\omega^2 + (am)^2 \geq \lambda_{low}^{-1} |\tilde{\lambda}|$, and (6.10) from Lemma 6.1, we conclude that for $\lambda_{low} > 0$ sufficiently small and $\omega_{high} > 0$ sufficiently large we obtain

$$(16.254) \quad \omega^2 - V \geq b\omega^2.$$

We conclude the result. \square

We employ a Q^y current, see Definition 14.1.

Lemma 16.12. *Let $l > 0$, $(a, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l$ and $\mu_{KG} \geq 0$. Let $\alpha > 0$. For any*

$$(16.255) \quad \lambda_{low}^{-1} > 0, \quad \omega_{high} > 0$$

both sufficiently large, then for $(\omega, m, \ell) \in \mathcal{F}_{\sharp}(\lambda_{low}, \omega_{high}, \alpha)$ we obtain the following.

There exists a smooth bounded function y that satisfies

$$(16.256) \quad y' \geq b\Delta, \quad y'(\omega^2 - V) - yV' \geq b\Delta\omega^2, \quad r \in [r_+, \bar{r}_+].$$

Proof. Let $c_1 > 0$ be an arbitrary strictly positive real number. We choose a bounded smooth function y such that

$$(16.257) \quad \begin{aligned} y(r) &= (r - r_{\Delta, frac}) \cdot \frac{dy}{dr}(r_{\Delta, frac}) + \mathcal{O}(r - r_{\Delta, frac})^2, \quad \text{in a small neighborhood of } r_{\Delta, frac} \\ \frac{dy}{dr} &> c_1 > 0, \quad r \in [r_+, \bar{r}_+], \end{aligned}$$

where $r_{\Delta, frac}$ is the unique critical point of $\frac{\Delta}{(r^2 + a^2)^2}$. Now, by utilizing the multiplier y constructed in (16.257), we obtain

$$(16.258) \quad \begin{aligned} y'(\omega^2 - V) - yV' &= \frac{\Delta}{r^2 + a^2} \left(\frac{dy}{dr}(\omega^2 - V) - y \frac{dV}{dr} \right) \\ &\geq \frac{\Delta}{r^2 + a^2} \left(\frac{dy}{dr}(b\omega^2) - y \frac{dV}{dr} \right) \\ &\geq \frac{\Delta}{r^2 + a^2} \left(c_1 b\omega^2 - y \frac{dV}{dr} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where we used Lemma 16.11. Finally, note that for the last term of equation (16.258), the following holds

$$(16.259) \quad \begin{aligned} -y \frac{dV}{dr} &= -y \frac{d}{dr} \left(V_{SL} + \frac{\Delta}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} (\lambda_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)} + a^2 \omega^2 - 2m\omega a \Xi) - \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{r^2 + a^2} \right)^2 + V_{\mu} \right) \\ &= -y \frac{dV_{SL}}{dr} - y \frac{d}{dr} \left(\frac{\Delta}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} \right) (\lambda_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)} + a^2 \omega^2 - 2m\omega a \Xi) - y \frac{d}{dr} \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{r^2 + a^2} \right)^2 - y \frac{dV_{\mu_{KG}}}{dr} \\ &\geq -y \frac{dV_{SL}}{dr} - y \frac{d}{dr} \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{r^2 + a^2} \right)^2, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we used that y changes sign at the maximum of $\frac{\Delta}{(r^2+a^2)^2}$. For V_{SL}, V_μ see Section 6. Therefore, note that for $\lambda_{low}^{-1}, \omega_{high} > 0$ sufficiently large we obtain

$$(16.260) \quad c_1 b \omega^2 - y \frac{dV}{dr} \geq c_1 b \omega^2 - y \frac{d}{dr} \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{r^2 + a^2} \right)^2 \geq b \omega^2,$$

after appropriate Young's inequalities.

We conclude the proof of the Lemma. \square

Proof of Proposition 16.9. We integrate the energy identity of Lemma 14.1, associated to Q^y current, to get

$$(16.261) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} dr^* (y' |u'|^2 + (y'(\omega^2 - V) - yV') |u|^2) \\ = Q^y(\infty) - Q^y(-\infty) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} 2y \operatorname{Re}(u' \bar{H}) dr^*.$$

Now, we use (16.261), in conjunction with the construction of the y multiplier, see Lemma 16.12, to obtain

$$(16.262) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Delta (|u'|^2 + (\lambda_{m\ell}^{(a\omega)} + \omega^2 + 1) |u|^2) dr^* \leq B \int_{\mathbb{R}} (2y \operatorname{Re}(u' H)) dr^* + Q^y(\infty) - Q^y(-\infty).$$

Finally, in inequality (16.262), in view of Proposition 6.4, we integrate the energy identity of Lemma 14.4 associated with the current

$$(16.263) \quad -E_0 Q^{K^+} - E_0 Q^{\bar{K}^+}$$

where E_0 is a sufficiently large constant, and we sum it in (16.262) to conclude the desired result. \square

16.9. The choices of $\omega_{high}, \omega_{low}, \lambda_{low}, \alpha, E$. We will now fix the constants

$$(16.264) \quad E > 0, \quad \alpha^{-1} > 0, \quad \omega_{high} > 0, \quad \omega_{low}^{-1} > 0, \quad \lambda_{low}^{-1} > 0$$

so that all of the Propositions 16.1, 16.2, 16.3, 16.4, 16.5, 16.6, 16.7, 16.8, 16.9 hold.

Specifically: First we fix E so that Propositions 16.8, 16.1 hold. Then, we fix ω_{high} so that Propositions 16.1, 16.2, 16.7, 16.8, 16.9 hold, and note that the remaining Propositions hold for any $\omega_{high} > 0$. We fix ω_{low} so that Propositions 16.3, 16.4, 16.5, 16.6 hold and note that the remaining Propositions hold for any $\omega_{low} > 0$. Finally, we fix λ_{low}, α from Propositions 16.2, 16.7, 16.8, 16.9, and note that the remaining Propositions hold for any $\lambda_{low}, \alpha > 0$.

16.10. Proof of Theorem 16.1. Now, it is immediate to prove the following

Proof of Theorem 16.1. We use the results of Propositions 16.1, 16.2, 16.3, 16.4, 16.5, 16.6, 16.7, 16.8, 16.9 and choose any $\mathcal{C} > 0$ such that

$$(16.265) \quad \mathcal{C} \geq \lambda_{low}^{-1} \omega_{high}^2,$$

and obtain

(16.266)

$$\begin{aligned}
& 1_{((\omega, m, \ell) \notin \{\mathcal{F}_b \cap \{m=0\}\} \cup \mathcal{F}_{dS} \cup \mathcal{F}_b)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Delta (|u'|^2 + (1 + \omega^2 + \tilde{\lambda}) |u|^2) dr^* \\
& \quad + 1_{\{\mathcal{F}_b \cap \{m=0\}\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Delta (|\Psi'|^2 + (\omega^2 + \tilde{\lambda}) |\Psi|^2) dr^* + \int_{r_{-\infty}^*}^{r_{\infty}^*} 1_{|m|>0} (|u|^2 + \mu_{KG}^2 |u|^2) dr^* \\
& \quad + 1_{\mathcal{F}_{dS} \cup \mathcal{F}_b} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Delta \left(|u'|^2 + |u|^2 + \left(1 - \frac{r_{trap}}{r}\right)^2 (\tilde{\lambda} + \omega^2) |u|^2 \right) dr^* \\
& \leq B 1_{|m|>0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|\operatorname{Re}(u\bar{H})| + |\operatorname{Re}(u'\bar{H})|) dr^* \\
& \quad + B \cdot 1_{\mathcal{F}_b \cap \{m=0\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|\omega \operatorname{Im}(\bar{\Psi}H)| + |\omega \operatorname{Im}(\bar{\Psi}'H)| + |H|^2 + |\Psi'H|^2) dr^* \\
& \quad + B \cdot 1_{\mathcal{F}_b \cup \mathcal{F}_b \cup \mathcal{F}_b \cup \mathcal{F}_b} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\left| \omega - \frac{am\Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} \right| |\operatorname{Im}(\bar{u}H)| + \left| \omega - \frac{am\Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} \right| |\operatorname{Im}(\bar{u}'H)| \right) dr^* \\
& \quad + E \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} \right) \operatorname{Im}(\bar{u}H) + \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} \right) \operatorname{Im}(\bar{u}'H) \right) dr^* \\
& \quad + B 1_{\mathcal{F}_{S\mathcal{F},C}} |\omega - \omega_+ m| |\omega - \bar{\omega}_+ m| |u|^2(-\infty),
\end{aligned}$$

where for the set $\mathcal{F}_{S\mathcal{F},C}$ see (6.48). We conclude the proof. \square

16.11. Trapping parameters for fixed azimuthal frequency m . The following lemma is used in the fixed azimuthal frequency Proposition 9.1. Note that in the following lemma we study trapping for a fixed azimuthal number m .

We emphasize that the parameters $\omega_{high}, \lambda_{low}$ that will be displayed in the following lemma are different from the choices of Section 16.9.

Lemma 16.13. *Let $l > 0$, $(a, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l$ and $\mu_{KG}^2 \geq 0$. Let the azimuthal frequency*

$$(16.267) \quad |m| \geq 0$$

be fixed.

Then, we obtain that for any

$$(16.268) \quad \lambda_{low} > 0, \quad \epsilon_{trap} > 0$$

both sufficiently small there exists an

$$(16.269) \quad \omega_{high}(m, \epsilon_{trap}, \lambda_{low}) > 0$$

sufficiently large such that the following holds

$$(16.270) \quad r_{\Delta, frac} - \epsilon_{trap} \leq r_{trap}(\omega, m, \ell) \leq r_{\Delta, frac} + \epsilon_{trap},$$

where $r_{\Delta, frac}$ is the value of the unique maximum of

$$(16.271) \quad \frac{\Delta}{(r^2 + a^2)^2}.$$

Proof. Recall that trapping can only occur in the frequency regimes

$$\begin{aligned}
(16.272) \quad \mathcal{F}_{dS} &= \left\{ (\omega, m, \ell) : \tilde{\lambda} \geq \left(\frac{|a|\Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} + \alpha \right)^{-1} \omega_{high} \right\} \cap \left\{ am\omega \in \left(0, \frac{a^2 m^2 \Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} \right) \right\}, \\
\mathcal{F}_b &= \left\{ (\omega, m, \ell) : |\omega| \geq \omega_{high}, \lambda_{low} \tilde{\lambda} \leq \omega^2 + (am)^2 \leq \lambda_{low}^{-1} \tilde{\lambda} \right\} \cap \left\{ am\omega \notin \left[0, \frac{a^2 m^2 \Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2} + |a|\alpha \tilde{\lambda} \right] \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

where the above frequency regimes were first defined in Section 15.

Moreover, recall that r_{trap} is the maximum (when it is not 0) of the potential V in the frequency regimes \mathcal{F}_{dS} , \mathcal{F}_h respectively. The derivative of the potential is

$$(16.273) \quad \frac{dV}{dr} = \frac{dV_{SL}}{dr} + \frac{dV_{\mu_{KG}}}{dr} + \frac{dV_0}{dr}$$

with

$$(16.274) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{dV_0}{dr} &= \frac{d}{dr} \left(\frac{\Delta}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} \right) (\tilde{\lambda} - 2m\omega a \Xi) - \frac{4ram\Xi}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{r^2 + a^2} \right) \\ &= \tilde{\lambda} \left(\frac{d}{dr} \left(\frac{\Delta}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} \right) \left(1 - \frac{2m\omega a \Xi}{\tilde{\lambda}} \right) - \frac{1}{\tilde{\lambda}} \frac{4ram\Xi}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{r^2 + a^2} \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

and the derivative terms $\frac{dV_{SL}}{dr} + \frac{dV_{\mu_{KG}}}{dr}$ do not depend on the frequencies (ω, m, ℓ) .

Therefore, for fixed azimuthal frequency m and for any sufficiently small

$$(16.275) \quad \epsilon_{trap} > 0,$$

we note that by taking

$$(16.276) \quad \omega_{high}(m, \epsilon_{trap}, \lambda_{low}) > 0$$

sufficiently large then the equation

$$(16.277) \quad \frac{d}{dr} \left(\frac{\Delta}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} \right) \left(1 - \frac{2m\omega a \Xi}{\tilde{\lambda}} \right) - \frac{1}{\tilde{\lambda}} \frac{4ram\Xi}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} \left(\omega - \frac{am\Xi}{r^2 + a^2} \right) = 0$$

attains a unique solution in the interval $(r_{\Delta, frac} - \epsilon_{trap}, r_{\Delta, frac} + \epsilon_{trap})$. Therefore, the function $\frac{dV_0}{dr}$ attains a unique zero in the desired interval. \square

APPENDIX A. CONNECTEDNESS OF THE BLACK HOLE PARAMETER SPACE \mathcal{B}_l

We restate here the lemma we prove in the present Section

Lemma 2.1. *Let $l > 0$. Then, the set \mathcal{B}_l , see Definition 2.2, is connected.*

Proof. We fix $l > 0$. The necessary and sufficient condition for the quartic polynomial Δ to attain four distinct real roots can be inferred from [49] to be the following two

$$(A.1) \quad \begin{aligned} &-16 \left(\frac{a^6}{l^6} \right) - 8 \left(\frac{a^4}{l^4} \right) \left(1 - \frac{a^2}{l^2} \right)^2 + 36 \left(\frac{M^2 a^2}{l^4} \right) \left(1 - \frac{a^2}{l^2} \right) \\ &- 27 \frac{M^4}{l^4} - \frac{a^2}{l^2} \left(1 - \frac{a^2}{l^2} \right)^4 + \frac{M^2}{l^2} \left(1 - \frac{a^2}{l^2} \right)^3 > 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$(A.2) \quad |a| \leq l, \quad M > 0.$$

We rewrite (A.1) as follows

$$(A.3) \quad P \left(\frac{a^2}{l^2}, \frac{M^2}{l^2} \right) \doteq -27 \left(\frac{M^2}{l^2} \right)^2 + \frac{M^2}{l^2} \left(36 \frac{a^2}{l^2} \left(1 - \frac{a^2}{l^2} \right) + \left(1 - \frac{a^2}{l^2} \right)^3 \right) - 16 \left(\frac{a^6}{l^6} \right) - 8 \left(\frac{a^4}{l^4} \right) \left(1 - \frac{a^2}{l^2} \right)^2 - \frac{a^2}{l^2} \left(1 - \frac{a^2}{l^2} \right)^4 > 0,$$

We write

$$(A.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_l &= \{ (a, M) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0} : 0 \leq \frac{a^2}{l^2} < 1 \} \cap \{ (a, M) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0} : P \left(\frac{M^2}{l^2}, \frac{a^2}{l^2} \right) > 0 \} \\ &= \{ (a, M) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0} : P \left(\frac{M^2}{l^2}, \frac{a^2}{l^2} \right) > 0 \}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality in (A.4) is proved as follows. Suppose that $\frac{a^2}{l^2} \geq 1$. It is immediate that for any $\frac{M^2}{l^2} > 0$ we have $P \left(\frac{a^2}{l^2}, \frac{M^2}{l^2} \right) < 0$, since the second term of $P \left(\frac{a^2}{l^2}, \frac{M^2}{l^2} \right)$ is strictly negative. This concludes the last equality of (A.4).

Since $P\left(\frac{(-a)^2}{l^2}, \frac{M^2}{l^2}\right) = P\left(\frac{a^2}{l^2}, \frac{M^2}{l^2}\right)$ we conclude that the set \mathcal{B}_l is symmetric with respect to the line $\{a = 0\}$. Therefore, in order to prove that \mathcal{B}_l is connected it suffices to prove that the set

$$(A.5) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_l = \{(a, M) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{R}_{> 0} : P\left(\frac{a^2}{l^2}, \frac{M^2}{l^2}\right) > 0\}.$$

is connected. The reader is referred to the following Figure 5




FIGURE 5. The shaded region is $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_l$

The remaining of the proof focuses on proving that the set $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_l$ is connected. In view of the identifications

$$(A.6) \quad y = \frac{M^2}{l^2}, \quad x = \frac{a^2}{l^2}$$

we write

$$(A.7) \quad P(x, y) = -27y^2 + y(36x(1-x) + (1-x)^3) - 16x^3 - 8x^2(1-x)^2 - x(1-x)^4.$$

We have that for $x = 0$ and $0 < y < \frac{1}{27}$ then $P(y, 0) > 0$.

Before proving that the set (A.5) is connected we need a preliminary result for the polynomial $P(x, y)$ for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. In the radial directions

$$(A.8) \quad y = rx$$

for fixed r the polynomial $P(x, y)$ can be written as

$$(A.9) \quad \begin{aligned} P_r(x) &= P(rx, x) = rx - 27(rx)^2 + (-1 + 33rx)x + (-4 - 33rx)x^2 + (-6 - rx)x^3 - 4x^4 - x^5 \\ &= x(r - 1 + (-4 + 33r - 27r^2)x + (-6 - 33r)x^2 + (-4 - r)x^3 - x^4) \\ &\doteq x\tilde{P}_r(x). \end{aligned}$$

Now, we will prove that for

$$(A.10) \quad r \in \left(0, \frac{16}{9}(-3 + 2\sqrt{3})\right]$$

we have that $\tilde{P}_r(x) \leq 0$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, and for any

$$(A.11) \quad r > \frac{16}{9}(-3 + 2\sqrt{3})$$

the polynomial $\tilde{P}_r(x)$ attains exactly two distinct real roots and two complex conjugate roots and $\tilde{P}_r(x) > 0$ in between the real roots.

We study the discriminant of the quartic polynomial $\tilde{P}_r(x)$, see [49], which we compute it to be

$$(A.12) \quad \text{disc} = -4r^3(-256 + 9r(32 + 3r))^3.$$

We directly calculate that for

$$(A.13) \quad r \in \left(0, \frac{16}{9}(-3 + 2\sqrt{3})\right)$$

then $\text{disc} > 0$ and for

$$(A.14) \quad r \in \left(\frac{16}{9}(-3 + 2\sqrt{3}), +\infty\right)$$

then $\text{disc} < 0$. We have that $0 < \frac{16}{9}(-3 + 2\sqrt{3}) < 1$.

Moreover we have the following auxilliary functions

$$(A.15)$$

$$P = 8(-1)(-6 - 33r) - 3(4 + r)^2 = -3(4 + (-58 + r)r)$$

$$D = 64(-1)^3(r - 1) - 16(-1)^2(-6 - 33r)^2 + 16(-1)(-4 - r)^2(-6 - 33r) - 16(-1)^2(-4 - r)(-4 + 33r - 27r^2) - 3(4 + r)^4 \\ = r(4096 - 3r(4864 + (-16 + r)r))$$

We immediately calculate that P, D cannot both be negative for $r \in (0, 1)$.

By the conditions for the nature of the roots of quartic polynomials, see [49], we note that for

$$(A.16) \quad r \in \left(0, \frac{16}{9}(-3 + 2\sqrt{3})\right)$$

since $\text{disc} > 0$ and that one of P, D is positive then $\tilde{P}_r(x) < 0$. For $r = \frac{16}{9}(-3 + 2\sqrt{3})$ the polynomial $\tilde{P}_r(x)$ attains a double real root and two complex conjugate roots and $\tilde{P}_r(x) \leq 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Now for

$$(A.17) \quad r \in \left(\frac{16}{9}(-3 + 2\sqrt{3}), +\infty\right)$$

since $\text{disc} < 0$ then the polynomial $\tilde{P}_r(x)$ attains two distinct real roots and two complex conjugate roots. Therefore, since $\tilde{P}_r(x)$ is a polynomial of degree 4 then we conclude that $\tilde{P}_r(x) > 0$ in between these two real roots.

Therefore, we have proved that for

$$(A.18) \quad r \in \left(0, \frac{16}{9}(-3 + 2\sqrt{3})\right]$$

the following holds $P_r(x) \leq 0$ for all x and for

$$(A.19) \quad r > \frac{16}{9}(-3 + 2\sqrt{3})$$

the polynomial $P_r(x)$ attains exactly two real roots

$$(A.20) \quad x_1(r) \leq 0 < x_2(r)$$

and it is positive between the roots. Note that $x_1(1) = 0$. It is necessary that the following holds $x_1(r) \leq 0$ because otherwise no neighborhood of $(0, 0)$ belongs in the subextremal set \tilde{B}_I .

Now, we are ready to prove that the set (A.5) is connected. A preliminary observation that will help is that part of the boundary of the set (A.5) is the following

$$(A.21) \quad B = \text{image}(\gamma_2)$$

where

$$(A.22) \quad \gamma_2 : \left[\frac{16}{9}(-3 + 2\sqrt{3}), +\infty\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \\ r \mapsto (rx_2(r), x_2(r)).$$

The set B is connected because the curve γ_2 is uniformly continuous.

Now, we consider the following (nonempty) cylinder around the set B and inside $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_l$

$$(A.23) \quad B_\epsilon = \{(a, M) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{R}_{> 0} : d((x, y), B) \leq \epsilon\} \cap \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_l.$$

Since the boundary B is connected then for a sufficiently small ϵ the set B_ϵ is also connected.

Now, take two points

$$(A.24) \quad (a_1, M_1), (a_2, M_2) \in \{(a, M) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{R}_{> 0} : P(x, y) > 0\}$$

which we rewrite respectively as

$$(A.25) \quad (r_1 b_1, b_1), (r_2 b_2, b_2)$$

for two $r_1, r_2 > 0$. Necessarily we have that

$$(A.26) \quad x_1(r_1) \leq b_1 \leq x_2(r_1), \quad x_1(r_2) \leq b_2 \leq x_2(r_2)$$

Let $\tilde{\epsilon}(\epsilon) > 0$ be sufficiently small. The line connecting

$$(A.27) \quad (r_1 b_1, b_1),$$

to

$$(A.28) \quad (r_1 \cdot (x_2(r_1) - \tilde{\epsilon}), x_2(r_1) - \tilde{\epsilon}) \in B_\epsilon$$

lies entirely in \mathcal{B}_l . Furthermore, the line connecting

$$(A.29) \quad (r_2 b_2, b_2)$$

to

$$(A.30) \quad (r_2 \cdot (x_2(r_2) - \tilde{\epsilon}), x_2(r_2) - \tilde{\epsilon}) \in B_\epsilon$$

lies entirely in $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_l$. Since the set B_ϵ is connected we conclude that the set $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_l$, see (A.5), is connected which, as discussed previously, concludes that the set \mathcal{B}_l is connected. \square

APPENDIX B. GEODESIC FLOW OF KERR-DE SITTER AND ∂_t -ORTHOGONAL TRAPPED NULL GEODESICS

The purpose of this Section is to prove Proposition B.1, which has no analogue in the asymptotically flat $\Lambda = 0$ Kerr case.

We define trapped null geodesics as follows

Definition B.1. *Let $l > 0$ and $(a, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l$. Moreover, let (t, r, θ, φ) be the Boyer-Lindquist coordinates, see Section 2.3. Then, a geodesic $\gamma(v)$, parametrized by an affine time v , is called trapped null if it satisfies $g(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma}) = 0$ and moreover*

$$(B.1) \quad \lim_{v \rightarrow \infty} r(\gamma(v)) \in (r_+, \bar{r}_+).$$

Note the following proposition

Proposition B.1. *Let $l > 0$ and $(a, M) \in \mathcal{B}_l$ be subextremal Kerr-de Sitter black hole parameters such that*

$$(B.2) \quad \max_{r \in [r_+, \bar{r}_+]} \frac{\Delta}{a^2} \leq 1, \quad |a| \neq 0.$$

Then, there exists a trapped null geodesic

$$(B.3) \quad \gamma(v) = (t(v), r_{\Delta, \max}, \theta_0, \phi(v))$$

with $g(\dot{\gamma}, \partial_t) = 0$, for some $\theta_0 \in [0, \pi]$, where $r_{\Delta, \max} \in (r_+, \bar{r}_+)$ is the unique maximum of Δ , see Lemma 3.4.

Finally, if (B.2) does not hold, then there exists no ∂_t orthogonal trapped null geodesic in the Kerr-de Sitter background \mathcal{M} .

Remark B.1. Note that if (B.2) holds, then the ergoregion is connected, see Section 2.4.

Note that in the asymptotically flat Kerr case there exists no trapped null geodesic with zero ∂_t energy, see [17]. This non-existence of a ∂_t orthogonal trapped null geodesic, in the $\Lambda = 0$ Kerr exterior, was crucial in the proof of rigidity of Kerr in a neighborhood of Kerr, see [1].

First, note the following Lemma for the null geodesic flow of Kerr-de Sitter

Lemma B.1. A curve $\gamma = (t, r, \theta, \phi)$ on the Kerr-de Sitter background, parametrized by a parameter v , is a null geodesic if and only if it satisfies

$$(B.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \rho^2 \dot{t} &= \frac{a\Xi^2}{\Delta_\theta} (\mathcal{E}a \sin^2 \theta - \Xi \mathcal{L}) + \Xi^2 \frac{(r^2 + a^2) (\Xi \mathcal{L}a - (r^2 + a^2) \mathcal{E})}{\Delta} \\ \rho^2 \dot{\phi} &= \frac{a\Xi^2}{\Delta_\theta} \frac{\mathcal{E}a \sin^2 \theta - \Xi \mathcal{L}}{\sin^2 \theta} + \Xi^2 \frac{a (\Xi \mathcal{L}a - (r^2 + a^2) \mathcal{E})}{\Delta} \\ \rho^4 (\dot{\theta})^2 &= \Delta_\theta \mathcal{K} - \Xi^2 \frac{(\Xi \mathcal{L} - a \mathcal{E} \sin^2 \theta)^2}{\sin^2 \theta} \\ \rho^4 (\dot{r})^2 &= \Xi^2 ((r^2 + a^2) \mathcal{E} - a \Xi \mathcal{L})^2 - \Delta \mathcal{K}, \end{aligned}$$

with $\rho^2 = r^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \theta$, $\Delta_\theta = 1 + \frac{a^2}{r^2} \cos^2 \theta$ see Definition 2.4, where note we have not fully decoupled the geodesic equations. The constant \mathcal{K} is Carter's constant of motion, and note the conserved quantities

$$(B.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{E} &= g(\dot{\gamma}, \partial_t) = - \left(1 - \frac{2Mr}{\rho^2} \right) \dot{t} - \frac{2Mr a \sin^2 \theta}{\rho^2} \dot{\phi}, \\ \mathcal{L} &= -g(\dot{\gamma}, \partial_\phi) = \frac{2Mr a \sin^2 \theta}{\rho^2} \dot{t} - \sin^2 \theta \frac{(r^2 + a^2)^2 - a^2 \sin^2 \theta \Delta}{\rho^2} \dot{\phi}, \\ \mathcal{Q} &= \rho^4 (\dot{\theta})^2 + \frac{\Xi^2 \mathcal{L}^2}{\sin^2 \theta} - a^2 E^2 \cos^2 \theta, \\ \mathcal{K} &= \Xi^2 (\mathcal{Q} + a^2 \mathcal{E}^2 - 2a \Xi \mathcal{L} \mathcal{E} \Xi). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. This proof can be inferred from [8] or [25]. □

Now we are ready for

Proof of Proposition B.1. We substitute

$$(B.6) \quad \mathcal{E} = 0$$

in the geodesic equations (B.4) and obtain

$$(B.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \rho^2 \dot{t} &= \frac{a\Xi^2}{\Delta_\theta} (-\Xi \mathcal{L}) + \Xi^2 \frac{(r^2 + a^2) (\Xi \mathcal{L}a)}{\Delta}, \\ \rho^2 \dot{\phi} &= \frac{a\Xi^2}{\Delta_\theta} \frac{-\Xi \mathcal{L}}{\sin^2 \theta} + \Xi^2 \frac{a (\Xi \mathcal{L}a)}{\Delta}, \\ \rho^4 (\dot{\theta})^2 &= \Theta(\theta) \doteq \Delta_\theta \Xi^2 \mathcal{Q} - \Xi^2 \frac{(\Xi \mathcal{L})^2}{\sin^2 \theta}, \\ \rho^4 (\dot{r})^2 &= R(r) \doteq \Xi^2 (-a \Xi \mathcal{L})^2 - \Delta \Xi^2 \mathcal{Q} = a^2 \Xi^2 \Xi^2 \mathcal{L}^2 - \Delta \Xi^2 \mathcal{Q} = a^2 \Xi^2 \left(\Xi^2 \mathcal{L}^2 - \frac{\Delta}{a^2} \mathcal{Q} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let $r_{\Delta, \max}$ be the value where Δ attains its unique maximum and choose \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{Q} such that

$$(B.8) \quad \Xi^2 \mathcal{L}^2 = \frac{\Delta(r_{\Delta, \max})}{a^2} \mathcal{Q}.$$

We first solve the θ -equation of motion. By taking

$$(B.9) \quad 0 < \frac{\Delta(r_{\Delta, max})}{a^2} \leq 1,$$

the θ -equation of motion can be solved globally

$$(B.10) \quad (\dot{\theta})^2 = \frac{1}{\rho^4} \left(\frac{\Delta_\theta \sin^2 \theta - \frac{\Delta(r_{\Delta, max})}{a^2}}{\sin^2 \theta} \right) \Xi^2 \mathcal{Q},$$

where $\Delta_\theta = 1 + \frac{a^2}{r^2} \cos^2 \theta$, since there exists a $\theta_0 \in (0, \pi)$ such that

$$(B.11) \quad \Delta_{\theta_0} \sin^2 \theta_0 - \frac{\Delta(r_{\Delta, max})}{a^2} = 0.$$

Therefore, for the rest of the proof we assume that $\theta(v) \equiv \theta_0$ for all $v \in \mathbb{R}$, where v is the affine time.

Second, we solve the r -equation of motion. We find the value of r that the trapped null geodesics will asymptote to. The r equations of motion read

$$(B.12) \quad R(r) \equiv a^2 \Xi^2 \left(\Xi^2 \mathcal{L}^2 - \frac{\Delta}{a^2} \mathcal{Q} \right) \equiv a^2 \Xi^2 \left(\frac{\Delta(r_{\Delta, max}) - \Delta}{a^2} \right) \mathcal{Q} = 0, \quad \frac{dR(r)}{dr} = -\Xi^2 \frac{d\Delta}{dr} \mathcal{Q} = 0.$$

From the latter equation of (B.12) we obtain

$$(B.13) \quad \left. \frac{dR}{dr}(r) \right|_{r=r_{\Delta, max}} = 0,$$

where $r_{\Delta, max} \in (r_+, \bar{r}_+)$. Then, we solve the first equation of (B.12). From the known well posedness theorem, the r -equation of motion can be solved locally

$$(B.14) \quad \rho^4 (\dot{r})^2 = a^2 \Xi^2 \left(\frac{\Delta(r_{\Delta, max})}{a^2} - \frac{\Delta}{a^2} \right) \mathcal{Q}, \text{ or equivalently } \frac{\rho^2 dr}{\sqrt{a^2 \Xi^2 \left(\frac{\Delta(r_{\Delta, max})}{a^2} - \frac{\Delta}{a^2} \right) \mathcal{Q}}} = \pm dv,$$

with initial conditions $r(0) = r_{\Delta, max}$, $\dot{r}(0) = \dot{r}_0$. By (B.8) we note that $r_{\Delta, max}$ is a root of multiplicity 2 of the following

$$(B.15) \quad \Xi^2 \mathcal{L}^2 - \frac{\Delta}{a^2} \mathcal{Q} = \frac{\Delta(r_{\Delta, max}) - \Delta}{a^2} \mathcal{Q}$$

and therefore the affine parameter v takes values up to infinity since we can write

$$v - v_0 = \int_{r_{\Delta, max}}^{r(v)} \frac{(r^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \theta_0) dr}{\sqrt{R(r)}}$$

for all v in a small interval around v_0 . Therefore, if the curve

$$(B.16) \quad \gamma(v)$$

is a trapped null geodesic, it needs to be complete.

The t, ϕ equations of motions, namely the first and second equations of respectively (B.7), can be solved easily since they are linear.

Therefore, if (B.9) holds, then the trapped null geodesic equations (B.7) correspond to a complete trapped null geodesic

$$(B.17) \quad \gamma = (t(v), r_{\Delta, max}, \theta_0, \phi(v))$$

where for θ_0 see (B.11) with

$$(B.18) \quad g(\dot{\gamma}, \partial_t) = 0.$$

Lastly, from the last two equations of motion of (B.7) we note that if $\max_{[r_+, \bar{r}_+]} \frac{\Delta}{a^2} > 1$ then there exists no ∂_t orthogonal trapped null geodesic, in view of the fact that the r coordinate of the geodesic

needs to be $r_{\Delta, max}$. We proceed to prove this by first noting that if the contrary holds, then from the last equation of motion of (B.7) we obtain

$$(B.19) \quad \Xi^2 \mathcal{L}^2 - \frac{\Delta(r_{\Delta, max})}{a^2} \mathcal{Q} = 0 \implies \Xi^2 \mathcal{L}^2 > \mathcal{Q}.$$

Now, we combine (B.19) with the second to last equation of motion of (B.7) and arrive at a contradiction, since we obtain $(\dot{\theta})^2 < 0$.

We conclude the proof. \square

Note the following Remark

Remark B.2. *We note that if we formally identify*

$$(B.20) \quad \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \omega, \quad \mathcal{L} \rightarrow m, \quad \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \lambda_{ml}^{(a\omega)},$$

then the geodesic equation for the motion of \dot{r} , see Lemma B.1, can be written in the familiar form

$$(B.21) \quad \frac{\rho^4}{\Xi^2(r^2 + a^2)^2} (\dot{r})^2 = \mathcal{E}^2 - V_0(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{E}, r)$$

where V_0 is the potential appearing in Carter's separation of variables, see Proposition 6.2.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Alexakis, A. D. Ionescu, and S. Klainerman. Uniqueness of smooth stationary black holes in vacuum: small perturbations of the Kerr spaces. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 299(1):89–127, 2010.
- [2] Stefanos Aretakis. Stability and instability of extreme Reissner-Nordström black hole spacetimes for linear scalar perturbations I. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 307(1):17–63, 2011.
- [3] Stefanos Aretakis. Horizon instability of extremal black holes. *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.*, 19(3):507–530, 2015.
- [4] Antônio Sá Barreto and Maciej Zworski. Distribution of resonances for spherical black holes. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 4(1):103–121, 1997.
- [5] Jean-François Bony and Dietrich Häfner. Decay and non-decay of the local energy for the wave equation on the de Sitter-Schwarzschild metric. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 282(3):697–719, 2008.
- [6] Brandon Carter. Hamilton-Jacobi and Schrödinger separable solutions of Einstein's equations. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 10:280–310, 1968.
- [7] Brandon Carter. Republication of: Black hole equilibrium states. Part I. Analytic and geometric properties of the Kerr solutions [mr0465047]. *Gen. Relativity Gravitation*, 41(12):2873–2938, 2009.
- [8] DeWitt (ed.) Carter B. *Black Hole Equilibrium States (From Les Houches) Part 1*. 1972.
- [9] DeWitt (ed.) Carter B. *Black Hole Equilibrium States (From Les Houches) Part 2*. 1972.
- [10] Marc Casals and Rita Teixeira da Costa. Hidden spectral symmetries and mode stability of subextremal Kerr-(dS) black holes, 2021. arXiv:2105.13329.
- [11] Demetrios Christodoulou. *The action principle and partial differential equations*, volume 146 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2000.
- [12] Demetrios Christodoulou and Sergiu Klainerman. *The global nonlinear stability of the Minkowski space*, volume 41 of *Princeton Mathematical Series*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993.
- [13] Mihalis Dafermos, Gustav Holzegel, Igor Rodnianski, and Martin Taylor. The non-linear stability of the Schwarzschild family of black holes, 2021. arXiv:2104.08222.
- [14] Mihalis Dafermos and Igor Rodnianski. The wave equation on Schwarzschild-de Sitter spacetimes, September 2007. arXiv:0709.2766.
- [15] Mihalis Dafermos and Igor Rodnianski. The red-shift effect and radiation decay on black hole spacetimes. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 62(7):859–919, 2009.
- [16] Mihalis Dafermos and Igor Rodnianski. Lectures on black holes and linear waves. In *Evolution equations*, volume 17 of *Clay Math. Proc.*, pages 97–205. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2013.
- [17] Mihalis Dafermos, Igor Rodnianski, and Yakov Shlapentokh-Rothman. Decay for solutions of the wave equation on Kerr exterior spacetimes III: The full subextremal case $|a| < M$. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 183(3):787–913, 2016.
- [18] Semyon Dyatlov. Exponential energy decay for Kerr-de Sitter black holes beyond event horizons. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 18(5):1023–1035, 2011.
- [19] Semyon Dyatlov. Quasi-normal modes and exponential energy decay for the Kerr-de Sitter black hole. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 306(1):119–163, 2011.
- [20] Semyon Dyatlov. Asymptotics of linear waves and resonances with applications to black holes. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 335(3):1445–1485, 2015.

- [21] Allen Juntao Fang. Nonlinear stability of the slowly-rotating Kerr-de Sitter family. 2021. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2112.07183>.
- [22] Allen Juntao Fang. Linear stability of the slowly-rotating Kerr-de Sitter family. 2022. ArXiv:2207.07902.
- [23] Dejan Gajic. Azimuthal instabilities on extremal kerr. 2023. arXiv:2302.06636.
- [24] Elena Giorgi, Sergiu Klainerman, and Jérémie Szeftel. A general formalism for the stability of Kerr. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2002.02740, February 2020.
- [25] Eva Hackmann, Claus Lämmerzahl, Valeria Kagramanova, and Jutta Kunz. Analytical solution of the geodesic equation in Kerr-(anti-) de Sitter space-times. *Phys. Rev. D*, 81(4):044020, 20, 2010.
- [26] Peter Hintz. Mode stability and shallow quasinormal modes of Kerr-de Sitter black holes away from extremality, 2021. arXiv:2112.14431.
- [27] Peter Hintz and András Vasy. Semilinear wave equations on asymptotically de Sitter, Kerr-de Sitter and Minkowski spacetimes. *Anal. PDE*, 8(8):1807–1890, 2015.
- [28] Peter Hintz and András Vasy. Global analysis of quasilinear wave equations on asymptotically de Sitter spaces. *Annales de l'Institut Fourier*, 66(4):1285–1408, 2016.
- [29] Peter Hintz and András Vasy. Global analysis of quasilinear wave equations on asymptotically Kerr-de Sitter spaces. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (17):5355–5426, 2016.
- [30] Peter Hintz and András Vasy. The global non-linear stability of the Kerr-de Sitter family of black holes. *Acta Math.*, 220(1):1–206, 2018.
- [31] Gustav Holzegel. Well-posedness for the massive wave equation on asymptotically anti-de Sitter spacetimes. *J. Hyperbolic Differ. Equ.*, 9(2):239–261, 2012.
- [32] Gustav Holzegel and Christopher Kauffman. A note on the wave equation on black hole spacetimes with small non-decaying first order terms, May 2020. arXiv:2005.13644.
- [33] Gustav Holzegel and Christopher Kauffman. The wave equation on subextremal Kerr spacetimes with small non-decaying first order terms, 2023. ArXiv: 2302.06387.
- [34] Gustav Holzegel, Jonathan Luk, Jacques Smulevici, and Claude Warnick. Asymptotic properties of linear field equations in anti-de Sitter space. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 374(2):1125–1178, 2020.
- [35] Gustav Holzegel and Jacques Smulevici. Decay properties of Klein-Gordon fields on Kerr-AdS spacetimes. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 66(11):1751–1802, 2013.
- [36] Gustav Holzegel and Jacques Smulevici. Quasimodes and a lower bound on the uniform energy decay rate for Kerr-AdS spacetimes. *Anal. PDE*, 7(5):1057–1090, 2014.
- [37] Gustav H. Holzegel and Claude M. Warnick. Boundedness and growth for the massive wave equation on asymptotically anti-de Sitter black holes. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 266(4):2436–2485, 2014.
- [38] Hans Lindblad and Igor Rodnianski. The global stability of Minkowski space-time in harmonic gauge. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 171(3):1401–1477, 2010.
- [39] Hans Lindblad and Mihai Tohaneanu. Global existence for quasilinear wave equations close to Schwarzschild. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 43(6):893–944, 2018.
- [40] Hans Lindblad and Mihai Tohaneanu. A local energy estimate for wave equations on metrics asymptotically close to Kerr. *Ann. Henri Poincaré*, 21(11):3659–3726, 2020.
- [41] G. Mavrogiannis. Decay for quasilinear wave equations on cosmological black hole backgrounds, 2022. PhD thesis, Cambridge University.
- [42] Georgios Mavrogiannis. Quasilinear wave equations on Kerr-de Sitter. preprint.
- [43] Georgios Mavrogiannis. Relatively non degenerate estimates on subextremal Kerr de Sitter. preprint.
- [44] Georgios Mavrogiannis. Morawetz Estimates Without Relative Degeneration and Exponential Decay on Schwarzschild-de Sitter Spacetimes. *Annales Henri Poincaré*, 24(9):3113–3152, September 2023.
- [45] Georgios Mavrogiannis. Quasilinear wave equations on Schwarzschild-de Sitter. *Communications in Partial Differential Equations*, 0(0):1–50, 2024.
- [46] Richard Melrose, Antônio Sá Barreto, and András Vasy. Asymptotics of solutions of the wave equation on de Sitter-Schwarzschild space. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 39(3):512–529, 2014.
- [47] Frank W. J. Olver. *Asymptotics and special functions*. AKP Classics. A K Peters, Ltd., Wellesley, MA, 1997. Reprint of the 1974 original [Academic Press, New York; MR0435697 (55 #8655)].
- [48] Oliver Lindblad Petersen and András Vasy. Wave equations in the Kerr-de Sitter spacetime: the full subextremal range. arXiv:2112.01355, 2021.
- [49] E. L. Rees. Graphical Discussion of the Roots of a Quartic Equation. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 29(2):51–55, 1922.
- [50] Antônio Sá Barreto and Maciej Zworski. Distribution of resonances for spherical black holes. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 4(1):103–121, 1997.
- [51] Jan Sbierski. Characterisation of the energy of Gaussian beams on Lorentzian manifolds: with applications to black hole spacetimes. *Anal. PDE*, 8(6):1379–1420, 2015.
- [52] Volker Schlue. Global results for linear waves on expanding Kerr and Schwarzschild de Sitter cosmologies. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 334(2):977–1023, 2015.
- [53] Yakov Shlapentokh-Rothman. Exponentially growing finite energy solutions for the Klein-Gordon equation on sub-extremal Kerr spacetimes. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 329(3):859–891, 2014.

- [54] Yakov Shlapentokh-Rothman. Quantitative mode stability for the wave equation on the Kerr spacetime. *Ann. Henri Poincaré*, 16(1):289–345, 2015.
- [55] J. M. Stewart. Solutions of the wave equation on a Schwarzschild space-time with localized energy. *Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A*, 424(1866):239–244, 1989.
- [56] Daniel Tataru and Mihai Tohaneanu. A local energy estimate on Kerr black hole backgrounds. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (2):248–292, 2011.
- [57] Rita Teixeira da Costa. Mode stability for the Teukolsky equation on extremal and subextremal Kerr spacetimes. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 378(1):705–781, 2020.
- [58] András Vasy. The wave equation on asymptotically de Sitter-like spaces. *Adv. Math.*, 223(1):49–97, 2010.
- [59] András Vasy. The wave equation on asymptotically anti de Sitter spaces. *Anal. PDE*, 5(1):81–144, 2012.
- [60] András Vasy. Microlocal analysis of asymptotically hyperbolic and Kerr-de Sitter spaces (with an appendix by Semyon Dyatlov). *Invent. Math.*, 194(2):381–513, 2013.
- [61] C. M. Warnick. The massive wave equation in asymptotically AdS spacetimes. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 321(1):85–111, 2013.
- [62] Bernard F. Whiting. Mode stability of the Kerr black hole. *J. Math. Phys.*, 30(6):1301–1305, 1989.
- [63] Shijun Yoshida, Nami Uchikata, and Toshifumi Futamase. Quasinormal modes of Kerr-de Sitter black holes. *Phys. Rev. D*, 81(4):044005, 14, 2010.