Fracton and Non-Lorentzian Particle Duality: Gauge Field Couplings and Geometric Implications

M.M. Ahmadi-Jahmani^a, A. Parvizi^b

^a Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad,
 P.O.Box 1436, Mashhad, Iran
 ^b University of Wroclaw, Faculty of Physics and Astronomy, Institute of Theoretical Physics,
 Maksa Borna 9, PL-50-204 Wroclaw, Poland

E-mail: mohammadmehdi.ahmadijahmani@mail.um.ac.ir, aliasghar.parvizi@uwr.edu.pl,

ABSTRACT: Fractons, characterized by restricted mobility and governed by higher-moment conservation laws, represent a novel phase of matter with deep connections to tensor gauge theories and emergent gravity. This work systematically explores the duality between fractons and non-Lorentzian particles—Carroll and Galilean—within electromagnetic (EM) fields. By constructing canonical actions for fractons in rank-2 gauge fields, we derive their equations of motion and demonstrate that static fractons exhibit duality with electric-sector of Carroll particles, while mobile fracton dipoles correspond to both electric and magnetic sectors of Galilean particles. The algebraic underpinnings of these dualities are clarified through symmetry analyses, revealing structural parallels between the fracton and Carroll/Galilean algebras. Furthermore, by gauging the fracton algebra, we develop a geometric framework for fracton gravity, linking it to non-Lorentzian spacetime geometries. These results unify fracton dynamics with non-relativistic and ultra-relativistic limits of physics, offering insights into emergent gravity and exotic condensed matter systems.

Contents

1	Inti	roduct	1		
2	Practons in gauge field				
	2.1	Scalar	r charge theory	4	
	2.2	Vecto	or charge theory	6	
3	Noi	7			
	3.1	Relat	ivistic point particle	8	
	3.2	Galile	ean theory	9	
		3.2.1	Galilean transformation	9	
		3.2.2	Massless Galilean particles	10	
		3.2.3	Space-like Galilean Particles	11	
		3.2.4	Time-like Galilean Particles	12	
	3.3	Carro	ollian Theory	13	
		3.3.1	Carroll transformation	13	
		3.3.2	Carroll limits	14	
		3.3.3	Time-like Particles	14	
		3.3.4	Space-like Carroll Particles	15	
4	Noi	n -Lore	16		
	4.1	Relat	16		
	4.2	Charg	ged Carroll Particle	17	
		4.2.1	Electric Charged Carroll Particle	17	
		4.2.2	Magnetic Charged Carroll Particle	19	
	4.3	Charg	19		
		4.3.1	Magnetic Charged Galilean Particles	20	
		4.3.2	Electric Charged Galilean Particles	20	
	4.4 Fracton/Non-Lorentzian Duality		on/Non-Lorentzian Duality	21	
		4.4.1	Fracton/Carroll Duality	21	
		4.4.2	Fracton/Galilean Duality	22	
		4.4.3	Lineon/Non-Lorentzian Duality	22	
5	Fra	23			
	5.1	Carro	ollean and Galilean algebras	23	
	5.2	5.2 Non-Lorentzian Maxwell algebras			

	5.3	Fracto	on Algebra	25			
	5.4	Fracto	on-Maxwell algebra	25			
	5.5	Vecto	r charge algebra	26			
		5.5.1	Vector charge-Maxwell algebra	26			
	5.6	Algeb	oraic duality	26			
6	Gau	uging 1	Fracton Algebra and COM Gravity	27			
	6.1	Gauging the Fracton Algebra		27			
		6.1.1	The (co)frame bundle	28			
		6.1.2	Algebra valued connection and curvatures	28			
		6.1.3	Aristotelian Affine Connection	31			
		6.1.4	Redefinition of fields and affine connection	32			
	6.2	6.2 Gauging the Center of Mass Algebra		34			
	6.3	Fracto	ons and Non-Lorentzian Gravities	35			
7	Dis	36					
A Fracton - Maxwell theory							
R	References						

1 Introduction

Fracton particles, also known as the fractonic phase of matter, represent a recent advancement in highenergy and condensed matter physics [1-3]. Fractons exhibit restricted mobility; some remain immobile when isolated. In other words, they cannot move without creating additional excitations [4-8], while others may form mobile bound states. These mobile bound states often have mobility only in specific directions, leading to terms such as 'lineon' and 'planon [2, 9-11].

Consequently, two primary approaches have been employed in describing Fractons. The first approach, initially introduced in Chamon's seminal paper [12] and further explored in other works [13–18], leverages tools from quantum information theory to study exactly solvable spin and Majorana models [18, 19]. The second approach, which is currently widely adopted, centers on investigating specific symmetric tensor gauge theories. This pioneering approach, introduced by Pretko [4], establishes connections with distinct fields of physics, including elasticity theory and gravity [7, 20]. These quasi-particles naturally couple with spatial two-index symmetric tensor gauge fields, denoted as A_{ij} . This implies a connection between dipole conservation and spin-2 fields, potentially even gravity [4, 5]. Researchers have investigated the internal dynamics of Fracton systems, exploring their potential links to emergent gravitational phenomena [7, 21]. The initial clue lies in the fact that gravity is also described by a symmetric tensor represented by the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ [7]. However, non-Lorentzian physics has recently gained interest [22]. Non-relativistic physics, which stems from the non-relativistic limit of relativistic physics (known as Galilean relativistic theory), was first introduced by Le Bellac and Levy-Leblond [23, 24]. It finds applications in modern physics [25–28]. Another intriguing limit of relativistic symmetry is the Carroll symmetry, which arises when the speed of light is significantly smaller than that of other speeds. Levy-Leblond proposed this limiting case [23]. Using group contraction from the Poincaré group (describing relativistic symmetry), we can derive both the Galilean and Carroll groups [29–32]. In particular, the Carroll group and the Galilean group are isomorphic in d = 2 (where d represents spatial dimension) [31].

The connection between Fractons and non-Lorentzian particles has already been established. Spatially, in [33], the authors showed the duality between Carroll particles and Fractons. In [34], they described Galilean particles and briefly mentioned the fact that massless Galilean particles can be connected to Fracton excitations which are known as Planons.

In this paper, our motivation is to explore deeper into this connection, specifically focusing on the electromagnetic couplings of Fractons and investigating the conditions affecting their mobility and finding their non-Lorentzian dual particle theory. We start by constructing and developing the theory of fractons in a gauge field, then employ a systematic approach (limiting procedure) to derive the non-Lorentzian particle dynamics and explore their dual description on the fractonic side. Explicitly, we demonstrate that static fractons in gauge fields exhibit duality with the electric sector of charged Carroll particles in electromagnetic (EM) fields, whereas mobile bound states of fractons (mobile dipoles) are dual to the electric and magnetic sectors of charged Galilean massless particles in the EM field. Additionally, we establish that the magnetic sector of Carroll particles is also dual to a certain model of mobile fracton dipoles. This intriguing duality between Fractons and non-Lorentzian particles prompts us to explore Fracton geometry alongside non-Lorentzian geometry, enhancing our understanding of their interconnectedness in the last section.

Organization: This paper begins with an introduction that provides a background on fracton particles and non-Lorentzian theories, setting the stage for the detailed analysis that follows. In Section 2, we explore the theory of fracton particles within a rank-2 gauge field, emphasizing the invariance under fracton symmetry. Moving forward, Section 3 explores the Lorentzian point particle theory and its transition into Carroll and Galilean limits through a limiting procedure. Section 4 extends this analysis to particles in gauge fields. The fracton/non-Lorentzian duality is expressed in Section 4.4. Section 5 examines the fracton algebra, its extensions, and its connection to the Carroll algebra, providing both mathematical and physical insights. Finally, Section 6.1 presents the geometric manifestation of fracton algebra by gauging method, constructing curvature invariants, and proposing an invariant action for the geometric theory of fractons. Additionally, in Section 6.2, we extend the Aristotelian algebra with a center of mass operator and construct its geometrical manifestation. The paper concludes in Section 7 with a summary of key findings, implications, and suggestions for future research directions.

2 Fractons in gauge field

In this section, we establish the canonical action for both mobile and immobile fractons, ensuring their invariance under fracton symmetries. This foundational work will be crucial for our subsequent discussions on Carrollian and Galilean particles and their duality with fractons. Fractons exhibit not only charge conservation, but also dipole moment conservation [1, 4, 5]. From a mathematical perspective, fractons emerge as intriguing phenomena that can be described by tensor gauge theories. Applying the gauge

principle ensures that this symmetry holds locally [8]. Specifically, fractons are characterized by a U(1)-valued symmetric tensor denoted as A_{ij} , with its conjugate variable E_{ij} representing a generalized electric field. Remarkably, various rank-2 gauge transformations of A_{ij} lead to analogues of Gauss's law [4]:

$$\partial_i E^{ij} = \rho^j, \quad \partial_i \partial_j E^{ij} = \rho, \quad E^i_i = \rho_{tr}.$$
 (2.1)

If we consider Gauss's law, $\partial_i \partial_j E^{ij} = \rho$, the electric charges in this theory (Scalar charge theory) are subject to two constraints [4, 5]:

$$\int \rho = \text{constant}, \quad \int \vec{x}\rho = \text{constant}, \tag{2.2}$$

corresponding to the conservation of charge and dipole moment. The dipolar bound states in this theory are fully mobile, exhibiting both longitudinal and transverse motion [4, 5]. By considering Gauss's law $\partial_i E^{ij} = \rho^j$ for a vector-valued charge ρ^j , the charges of this theory adhere to two constraints [4, 5]:

$$\int \vec{\rho} = \text{constant}, \quad \int \vec{x} \times \vec{\rho} = \text{constant}, \tag{2.3}$$

reverberate that both charge and angular momentum of vector charge remain conserved. To comply with these conservation laws, the vector charges are constrained to be one-dimensional particles. They can only move in the direction of their charge vector [5].

If we also add a tracelessness constraint, $E_i^i = 0$; this theory has both the conservation laws of the previous theory, plus two new conservation laws related to the tracelessness [4, 5]:

$$\int (\vec{x}.\vec{\rho}) = \text{constant}, \quad \int [(\vec{x}.\vec{\rho})\vec{x} - \frac{1}{2}x^2\vec{\rho}] = \text{constant}, \tag{2.4}$$

these conservation laws restrict the movement of fundamental charges, transforming them into fractons (0-dimensional particles). The only mobile particles in this theory are bound states [5].

In general, the principle of charge conservation holds. Among our symmetries, we find the conserved charge associated with the generator of this symmetry, denoted as \hat{Q} , which represents the fracton monopole charge. Furthermore, we must consider the dipole symmetry, where the associated generator \hat{Q}_i represents the dipole moment. The corresponding conservation laws for charge and dipole can be denoted as[4, 5, 35]

$$\frac{d\hat{Q}}{dt} = \frac{d}{dt} \int d^d x \, \rho = 0, \qquad (2.5)$$

$$\frac{d\hat{Q}_i}{dt} = \frac{d}{dt} \int d^d x \; x_i \rho = 0. \tag{2.6}$$

We can infer from equations (2.5) and (2.6) that monopole charges, subject to the constraints of charge conservation and dipole symmetry, remain stationary [4, 5]. According to equation (2.6), we have $\dot{x}_i = 0$. In addition to these two conservation laws, we assume that the system is translationally invariant, which implies the conservation of momentum

$$\frac{d\hat{P}_i}{dt} = \frac{dp_i}{dt} = 0.$$
(2.7)

The generators \hat{Q} , \hat{Q}_i , \hat{H} , \hat{J}_{ij} and \hat{P}_i naturally generate the symmetry group referred to as "dipole" or "fracton" symmetry, which has commutation relations [36]:

$$\{\hat{Q}, \hat{Q}_{i}\} = \{\hat{Q}, \hat{P}_{i}\} = 0, \quad \{\hat{P}_{i}, \hat{Q}_{j}\} = \delta_{ij}\hat{Q}, \{\hat{P}_{i}, \hat{J}_{jk}\} = \delta_{ik}\hat{P}_{j} - \delta_{ij}\hat{P}_{k}, \quad \{\hat{Q}_{i}, \hat{J}_{jk}\} = \delta_{ik}\hat{Q}_{j} - \delta_{ij}\hat{Q}_{k}, \{\hat{J}_{ij}, \hat{J}_{kl}\} = \delta_{il}\hat{J}_{jk} - \delta_{ik}\hat{J}_{jl} + \delta_{jk}\hat{J}_{il} - \delta_{jl}\hat{J}_{ik},$$

$$(2.8)$$

where the Hamiltonian \hat{H} is central and \hat{J}_{ij} and \hat{P}_j are rotation and momentum operators. According to equation (2.8), all transformations preserve the electric charge of the system. However, based on this algebra, we identify the dipole symmetry as [37]

$$\delta_{\beta} x_i = 0, \quad \delta_{\beta} p_i = q\beta_i, \quad \delta_{\beta} E = 0, \quad \delta_{\beta} t = 0, \tag{2.9}$$

where β_i is the dipole transformation constant parameter and E is the fracton energy.

The conservation of charge and dipole moments requires the existence of gauge fields $A_{\mu\nu}$ and a Maxwelllike theory for fractons, as demonstrated in [5, 38]. In the following, we will formulate an action principle for fractons interacting with gauge fields. This action will be based on the Maxwell-like theories proposed for scalar and vector charge fractons. We will then derive the corresponding equations of motion and Lorentz force.

2.1 Scalar charge theory

Isolated Fractons: Isolated fractons are an intriguing subject in condensed matter physics due to their unique properties, including restricted mobility. As noted in [5], fractons do not interact with gauge fields and are immobile. We will now formulate a description of these particles using the canonical action as follows:

$$S_{\text{Fracton}} = \int d\tau [-E\dot{t} + (\vec{p} - q\vec{\chi}) \cdot \dot{\vec{x}} - \frac{e}{2}(E^2 - \theta^2)], \qquad (2.10)$$

which is invariant under dipole transformations (2.9) and $\vec{\chi}$ is a Lagrange multiplayer with transformation rule $\delta_{\beta}\chi_i = \beta_i$. The physical interpretation of $\vec{\chi}$ establishes an additional constraint on isolated fractons, requiring that they remain immobile. In this canonical action, *e* serves as a Lagrange multiplier to ensure that the fracton energy *E* remains constant and equal to θ . The equations of motion for this type of particles are

$$\dot{t} = -eE, \quad \dot{\vec{x}} = 0, \quad \dot{E} = 0, \quad \dot{\vec{p}} = q\dot{\vec{\chi}}.$$
 (2.11)

Isolated fractons must be unaffected by any forces or particles; therefore, we have $\dot{\vec{p}} = 0$, which implies that $\dot{\vec{\chi}} = 0$. These are isolated particles that are non-interacting with gauge fields and remain stationary. In the subsequence sections, we couple fracton dipoles or bound states of fractons to symmetric tensor gauge fields to study their dynamics in the presence of gauge fields.

Static Dipole: In this part, we explore the bound states of the fractons. As suggested in [5], when fractons interact and form dipoles, they may exhibit mobility. We will investigate these bound states under two scenarios: mobile dipoles and stationary dipoles. To describe stationary fracton dipoles, we employ the following action:

$$S_{\text{Dipole}} = \int d\tau [-E\dot{t} + \vec{p} \cdot \dot{\vec{x}} - \frac{e}{2}(E^2 - \theta^2) + d^i \dot{t} \partial_i A_0(\vec{x}, t) - \dot{x}^i d^j A_{ij}(\vec{x}, t)].$$
(2.12)

This action is invariant under dipole transformations, where d^i represents the dipole vector. Just as ordinary charged particles generate and interact with gauge fields, we extend this concept to fracton dipoles. We will explore their motion under the influence of the gauge fields A_0 and A_{ij} , with the following transformation rules ¹,

$$\delta_{\beta} p_i = d^j \beta_{ij}, \quad \delta_{\beta} A_{ij} = \beta_{ij}, \quad \delta_{\beta} E = \delta_{\beta} \vec{x} = \delta_{\beta} t = \delta_{\beta} A_0 = 0.$$
(2.13)

¹More details for the Maxwell-like theories of these gauge fields can be find in [5, 38]

Consequently, we can express the equations of motion as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{t} &= -eE, \quad \dot{\vec{x}} = 0, \\ \dot{E} &= -d^i \partial_i \dot{A}_0 + d^i \dot{t} \partial_t \partial_i A_0 - \dot{x}^j d^i \partial_t A_{ij} \\ &= -d^i (\dot{t} \partial_i \partial_t A_0 + \dot{x}^j \partial_i \partial_j A_0) + d^i \dot{t} \partial_i \partial_t A_0 - \dot{x}^j d^i \partial_t A_{ij} = 0, \\ \dot{p}_m &= d^j \dot{A}_{mj} + d^i \dot{t} \partial_i \partial_m A_0(\vec{x}, t) - \dot{x}^i d^j \partial_m A_{ij} \end{aligned}$$
(2.14)

The E.O.Ms derived from the action (2.13) demonstrate that the dipole d^i is stationary while experiencing a force from the fractonic electromagnetic fields. Using the EOMs, through gauge fixing we can make E = cte. The equation for \dot{p}_i reveals that the Lorentz force acting on a fracton dipole can be expressed as follows:

$$\dot{p}_m = d^j (\dot{x}^i \partial_i A_{mj} + \dot{t} \partial_t A_{mj}) + \dot{t} d^i \partial_i \partial_m A_0(\vec{x}, t) - \dot{x}^i d^j \partial_m A_{ij}, \qquad (2.15)$$

according to the gauge theory of fractons [5], the fracton electric field can be defined as

$$E_{jm} = \partial_t A_{jm} + \partial_j \partial_m A_0,$$

in which E_{jm} represents a generalized electric field of fractons. Since fractons are immobile, i.e., $\dot{\vec{x}} = 0$, by fixing the gauge $t = \tau$, the only force contributing to the Lorentz force is the electrostatic force, which we can express as follows:

$$\dot{p}_m = d^j \partial_t A_{mj} + d^j \partial_j \partial_m A_0 = d^j E_{mj}.$$
(2.16)

Mobile Dipole: In the scalar charge theory, we discovered that bound states of charges can form dipoles. We can identify two distinct types of dipoles within this theory. In Section 6, we will directly relate these two models of fracton dipoles to other non-Lorentzian theoretical particles that exist within different symmetries.

The first mobile dipole can be constructed in this theory, has the following the canonical action,

$$S_{\text{Dipole}} = \int d\tau [-E\dot{t} + \vec{p} \cdot \dot{\vec{x}} - \frac{e}{2}(p^2 - \epsilon^2) + \vec{\chi} \cdot (\vec{d} - \vec{\psi}) + d^i \dot{t} \partial_i A_0(\vec{x}, t) - \dot{x}^i d^j A_{ij}(\vec{x}, t)].$$
(2.17)

To ensure the action's invariance under dipole transformations, we introduced an additional term with a Lagrange multiplier, $\vec{\chi}$. In the above action, the Lagrange multiplier $\vec{\chi}$ should transform under dipole transformations as $ep^{j}\beta_{ij}$. As a consequence of this additional term, the dipole moment \vec{d} becomes a constant, which we have fixed to $\vec{\psi}$. The resulting equations of motion are:

$$\begin{split} \dot{t} &= 0, \quad \dot{\vec{x}} = e\vec{p}, \\ \dot{E} &= -d^{i}\partial_{i}\dot{A}_{0} + d^{i}\dot{t}\partial_{t}A_{0} - \dot{x}^{j}d^{i}\partial_{t}A_{ij} \\ &= -d^{i}(\dot{t}\partial_{i}\partial_{t}A_{0} + \dot{x}^{j}\partial_{i}\partial_{j}A_{0}) + d^{i}\dot{t}\partial_{i}\partial_{t}A_{0} - \dot{x}^{j}d^{i}\partial_{t}A_{ij} = -2d^{i}\dot{x}^{j}E_{ij}, \\ \dot{p}_{m} &= d^{j}\dot{A}_{mj} + d^{i}\dot{t}\partial_{i}\partial_{m}A_{0}(\vec{x}, t) - \dot{x}^{i}d^{j}\partial_{m}A_{ij} \\ &= d^{j}[(\dot{t}\partial_{t}A_{mj} + \dot{x}^{i}\partial_{i}A_{mj}) + \dot{t}\partial_{i}\partial_{m}A_{0}(\vec{x}, t) - \dot{x}^{i}d^{j}\partial_{m}A_{ij}] \\ &= d^{j}\dot{x}^{i}(\partial_{i}A_{mj} - \partial_{m}A_{ij}). \end{split}$$

$$(2.18)$$

These equations of motion (EOM) demonstrate that the dipole fracton bound states can move under an external fractonic electromagnetic force. However, in this model of mobile dipoles, the Lorentz force includes only the magnetic component because, in this scenario, we have $\dot{t} = 0$. Therefore, the Lorentz force exerted on the fracton dipole will be

$$\dot{p}_m = d^j (\epsilon_{ijl} u^i B^l_m) \tag{2.19}$$

in which the fractonic magnetic field is defined as [5]

$$B_m^l = \epsilon^{ijl} \partial_i A_{mj} \tag{2.20}$$

Eq. (2.19) first proposed in [5] and then derived from completely different approach in [38], here we give an action principle description for this theory, completing the picture for the fracton dipoles and their motion.

A second model for mobile dipoles can be expressed in the canonical form, as shown below:

$$S_{\text{Dipole}} = \int d\tau [-E\dot{t} + \vec{p} \cdot \dot{\vec{x}} - \frac{e}{2}(p^2 - \epsilon^2) - \frac{\widetilde{e}}{2}(E^2 - \theta^2) + \vec{\chi} \cdot (\vec{d} - \vec{\psi}) + d^i \dot{t} \partial_i A_0(\vec{x}, t) - \dot{x}^i d^j A_{ij}(\vec{x}, t)].$$
(2.21)

The equations of motion that result from this are as follows. To ensure invariance under dipole transformations, we introduced an additional term with a Lagrange multiplier \tilde{e} , which ensures the energy of the particles is constant. The resulting equations of motion are:

$$\begin{split} \dot{t} &= -eE, \quad \vec{x} = e\vec{p}, \\ \dot{E} &= -d^i\partial_i\dot{A}_0 + d^i\dot{t}\partial_tA_0 - \dot{x}^jd^i\partial_tA_{ij} \\ &= -d^i(\dot{t}\partial_i\partial_tA_0 + \dot{x}^j\partial_i\partial_jA_0) + d^i\dot{t}\partial_i\partial_tA_0 - \dot{x}^jd^i\partial_tA_{ij} = -2d^i\dot{x}^jE_{ij}, \\ \dot{p}_m &= d^j\dot{A}_{mj} - d^i\dot{t}\partial_i\partial_mA_0(\vec{x},t) - \dot{x}^id^j\partial_mA_{ij} \\ &= d^j[(\dot{t}\partial_tA_{mj} + \dot{x}^i\partial_iA_{mj}) + \dot{t}\partial_i\partial_mA_0(\vec{x},t) - \dot{x}^id^j\partial_mA_{ij}] \\ &= d^j(E_{mj} + \epsilon_{ijl}\dot{x}^iB_m^l). \end{split}$$
(2.22)

These equations of motion demonstrate that the fracton dipole bound states can move under an external fractonic electromagnetic force. However, in this model of mobile dipoles, the Lorentz force includes both electric and magnetic components, which is

$$\dot{p}_m = d^j (E_{mj} + \epsilon_{ijl} \dot{x}^i B_m^l) \tag{2.23}$$

2.2 Vector charge theory

The study of vector charges in fracton theories is motivated by the desire to explore a wider range of mobility restrictions and topological phases. Vector charges introduce additional degrees of freedom, allowing for more complex patterns of restricted motion. This provides researchers with a valuable tool for understanding and manipulating these exotic excitations, connecting fracton theories to other fields of physics, and complementing the study of scalar charges. By considering vector charges, we can uncover new and exciting phenomena in condensed matter physics and particle physics at extreme limits.

In the previous subsection, we established that isolated fractons are immobile particles; however, they can form dipoles that can move in any direction. Vector charge theory allows us to describe a new type of particle known as lineons, which exhibit restricted mobility along their own vector charge axis and are invariant under dipole transformations; their gauge theory is developed in [5]. The canonical action describing fractonic vector charges with restricted mobility is as follows:

$$S_{\text{Lineons}} = \int d\tau [-E\dot{t} + \vec{p} \cdot \dot{\vec{x}} - \frac{\widetilde{e}}{2}(E^2 - \theta^2) - \frac{e}{2}(p^2 - \epsilon^2) + \vec{\chi} \cdot (\vec{q} - \vec{\psi}) + q^i \dot{t} \phi_i(\vec{x}, t) - \dot{x}^i q^j A_{ij}(\vec{x}, t)].$$
(2.24)

This action is also invariant under the vector charge transformations. The vector charge transformations for fractonic vector charge theory are as follows:

$$\delta_{\beta} p_i = q^j \beta_{ij}, \quad \delta_{\beta} A_{ij} = \beta_{ij}, \quad \delta_{\beta} E = \delta_{\beta} \vec{x} = \delta_{\beta} t = \delta_{\beta} A_0 = 0.$$
(2.25)

These symmetrical transformations can be expressed using vector charge algebra, as discussed in section (5), particularly in (5.10).

Similarly to the mobile dipole in scalar charge theory, to ensure the action's invariance, we must introduce a Lagrange multiplier. In action (2.24), the Lagrange multiplier $\vec{\chi}$ should transform under dipole transformations as $ep^j\beta_{ij}$. This transformation guarantees the action's invariance under dipole transformations. Consequently, the equations of motion derived from this action describe the dynamics of a system with a fractonic vector charge, given by:

$$\begin{split} \dot{t} &= -eE, \quad \dot{\vec{x}} = e\vec{p}, \quad \vec{q} = \vec{\psi}, \\ \dot{E} &= -q^i \dot{\phi}_i + q^i \dot{t} \partial_t \phi_i - q^i \dot{x}^j \partial_t A_{ij} \\ &= -q^i (\dot{t} \partial_t \phi_i + \dot{x}^j \partial_j \phi_i) + q^i \dot{t} \partial_t \phi_i - q^i \dot{x}^j \partial_t A_{ij} \\ &= -q^i \dot{x}^j (\partial_j \phi_i + \partial_t A_{ij}) = q^i \dot{x}^j E_{ij} \\ \dot{p}_m &= q^j \dot{A}_{mj} - q^i \dot{t} \partial_m \phi_i (\vec{x}, t) - \dot{\vec{x}}^i q^j \partial_m A_{ij} \\ &= q^j [\dot{x}^i (\partial_i A_{mj} - \partial_m A_{ij}) + \partial_t A_{mj} - \dot{t} \partial_m \phi_j] \\ &= q^j [\dot{x}^i (\epsilon^{ijl} \partial_i A_{mj}) + E_{jm}] \\ &= q^j (E_{jm} + \epsilon_{ijl} \dot{x}^i B_m^l) \end{split}$$
(2.26)

where the fractonic electric field is defined as [39]

$$E_{jm} = -\partial_m \phi_j - \partial_t A_{jm} = -\frac{1}{2} (\partial_m \phi_j + \partial_j \phi_m) - \partial_t A_{jm}$$
(2.27)

As previously mentioned, this theory includes additional conservation laws. One such law governs the moment of the vector charge, expressed as follows:

$$\int \vec{x} \times \vec{\rho} = \text{constant.}$$
(2.28)

A consequence of this conservation law is that an isolated charge can only move along its own vector charge \vec{q} . Therefore, these one-dimensional particles, called lineons, experience an effective electric field but are unaffected by magnetic fields, as their one-dimensional trajectories cannot enclose any magnetic flux. This leads to a simplified Lorentz force law:

$$|\mathbf{F}| := F_j q^j = E_{ik} q^j q^k. \tag{2.29}$$

It is important to note that force (2.29) aligns with expectations made in [5] based on physical assumptions. In this work, we have derived this result from an action principle for lineons.

3 Non-Lorentzian point particle

In physics, the dynamics of a system can be described in two fundamentally equivalent ways: through equations of motion or through an action principle. Action is a scalar quantity that characterizes how a physical system changes dynamically. The equations of motion for the system can be derived by varying the action with respect to the dynamical variables. In this section, we develop the theory of non-relativistic particles by taking the limit of a relativistic particle theory at two extreme cases and deriving their corresponding equations of motion.

3.1 Relativistic point particle

In this subsection, we will briefly review the dynamics of relativistic point particles, which will serve as a foundation for studying this theory at its limits in the following sections. Throughout our analysis, we utilize the canonical action to derive symmetries and equations of motion for particles.

We work in flat D-dimensional space-time with the signature (+ - -), where we have D = 1 + d in which d is spatial dimension. The canonical form of the action of a massive relativistic point particle is given by

$$S = \int d\tau L(x^{\mu}, p^{\mu}, e; \dot{x}^{\mu}, \dot{p}^{\mu}) = \int d\tau [-p_{\mu} \dot{x}^{\mu} - \frac{e}{2}(p^2 - m^2)], \qquad (3.1)$$

where x^{μ} , p^{μ} and e are the space-time coordinates, their momentum and the einbein variables, respectively. The dot denotes the differentiation with respect to the parameter τ . This action is invariant under the Poincaré transformation, as follows:

$$\delta_P x^{\mu} = \Lambda^{\mu}_{\nu} x^{\nu} + a^{\mu}, \quad \delta_P p^{\mu} = \Lambda^{\mu}_{\nu} p^{\nu}, \quad \delta_P e = 0.$$
 (3.2)

To derive the equation of motions, we vary the action (3.1) in terms of dynamical variables, $\delta S = \delta \int d\tau L(x^{\mu}, p^{\mu}, e; \dot{x}^{\mu}, \dot{p}^{\mu})$, which results in the following Euler-Lagrange equations,

$$\int d\tau \left(\frac{\delta L}{\delta x^{\mu}} - \partial_{\tau} \frac{\delta L}{\delta \dot{x}^{\mu}}\right) \delta x^{\mu} + \int d\tau \partial_{\tau} \left(\frac{\delta L}{\delta \dot{x}^{\mu}} \delta x^{\mu}\right) = 0,$$

$$\int d\tau \left(\frac{\delta L}{\delta p^{\mu}} - \partial_{\tau} \frac{\delta L}{\delta \dot{p}^{\mu}}\right) \delta p^{\mu} + \int d\tau \partial_{\tau} \left(\frac{\delta L}{\delta \dot{p}^{\mu}} \delta p^{\mu}\right) = 0,$$
(3.3)

then the equation of motions of the canonical action (3.1) are:

$$\dot{x}^{\mu} = -ep^{\mu}, \quad \dot{p}^{\mu} = 0,$$
(3.4)

with the following primary constraints,

$$\pi_{x^{\mu}} = -p^{\mu}, \quad \pi_{p^{\mu}} = 0, \quad \pi_e = 0.$$
 (3.5)

Since the canonical Lagrangian in (3.1) is invariant under the Poincare transformation (3.2), according to the Noether theorem, we can define the following Noether current or the conserved current associated with the Poincare transformation (3.2),

$$J_p^{\tau} = \frac{\delta L}{\delta \dot{x}^{\mu}} \delta_P x^{\mu} + \frac{\delta L}{\delta \dot{p}^{\mu}} \delta_P p^{\mu}.$$
(3.6)

Every symmetry transformation has symmetry generators associated with the Noether current. In this case, the symmetry generators associated with the Noether current (3.6) are called Poincare generators,

$$\hat{P}_{\mu} = p_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu}, \quad \hat{J}_{\mu\nu} = (x_{\mu}p_{\nu} - x_{\nu}p_{\mu}),$$
(3.7)

and the corresponding algebra, which is related to these operators, is Poincare algebra, as follows:

$$\{\hat{P}_{\mu}, \hat{P}_{\nu}\} = 0, \quad \{\hat{J}_{\mu\nu}, \hat{P}_{\rho}\} = -(\eta_{\mu\rho}\hat{P}_{\nu} - \eta_{\nu\rho}\hat{P}_{\mu}), \{\hat{J}_{\mu\nu}, \hat{J}_{\rho\sigma}\} = \eta_{\nu\rho}\hat{J}_{\mu\sigma} + \eta_{\mu\sigma}\hat{J}_{\nu\rho} - \eta_{\mu\rho}\hat{J}_{\nu\sigma} - \eta_{\nu\sigma}\hat{J}_{\mu\rho}.$$
(3.8)

3.2 Galilean theory

In daily life, we often observe phenomena that are not governed by Lorentzian symmetry. Instead, these phenomena conform to Galilean symmetry, a non-relativistic framework relevant for classical mechanics. This symmetry applies to objects whose motion is significantly slower than light's speed. Galilean symmetry is especially crucial in condensed matter physics, where quantum effects are prominent, but the velocities are non-relativistic. The Galilean symmetries can in fact be seen as the limit of Lorentz or Poincaré symmetries when the speed of light is considered to be infinitely large $(c \to \infty)$. In this limit, time is absolute in the Galilean framework and is the same for all observers, regardless of their relative motion.

3.2.1 Galilean transformation

Galilean transformations belong to the Galilean group, encompassing spatial rotations, spatial and temporal translations, and Galilean boosts. These transformations can be derived from Poincaré transformations by applying a specific limit. The Poincaré transformations dictate the space-time coordinate changes as follows [23]:

$$\begin{aligned} x'^{\mu} &= \Lambda^{\mu}_{\nu} x^{\nu} - a^{\mu} \\ x'^{0} &= ct' = \Lambda^{0}_{\nu} x^{\nu} - a^{0} \\ \vec{x}' &= \Lambda^{i}_{\nu} x^{\nu} + \vec{a}, (i = 1, 2, 3), \end{aligned}$$
(3.9)

from which, the Lorentz transformations are:

$$ct' = \gamma_{\zeta}(ct - \vec{\zeta}.\vec{x}), \quad \vec{x}' = \gamma_{\zeta}(\vec{x} - \vec{\zeta}ct), \quad \gamma_{\zeta} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \vec{\zeta}^2}},$$

$$(3.10)$$

where $\vec{\zeta}$ is the Lorentz boost transformation parameter. To obtain the Galilean transformations, we apply the scaling limit $\vec{\zeta} = \frac{-\vec{\beta}_G}{c}$, in which the parameter $\vec{\beta}_G$ represents the Galilean boost parameter, then we get:

$$t' = t + a_0, \quad \vec{x}' = R\vec{x} - \vec{\beta}_G t + \vec{a}.$$
 (3.11)

The key difference between the Galilean transformations and the Poincaré transformations is that the Galilean transformations assume an absolute time that is the same for all observers, regardless of their motion, and a space where distances are absolute. Now we can derived the Galilean transformation for energy and momentum by taking a limit on the Lorentz transformation on energy and momentum. In relativistic regime we have:

$$\vec{p}' = \gamma_{\zeta}(\vec{p} - \vec{\zeta}\frac{E}{c}), \quad \frac{E'}{c} = \gamma_{\zeta}(\frac{E}{c} - \vec{\zeta}.\vec{p}), \quad (3.12)$$

using the limit $c \to \infty$ we get the following:

$$\vec{p}' = \vec{p}, \quad E' = -\vec{\beta}_G.\vec{p} + E.$$
 (3.13)

In general, the Galilean transformations can be summarized as follows [40, 41]:

$$\delta_G t = a_t, \quad \delta_G \vec{x} = R\vec{x} - \vec{\beta}_G t + \vec{a}, \quad \delta_G \vec{p} = R\vec{p}, \quad \delta_G E = -\vec{\beta}_G \cdot \vec{p}. \tag{3.14}$$

 δ_G stands for Galilean variations. We see that there are no boost transformations in time, which indicates that we have absolute time in Galilean symmetry. Additionally, under a Galilean boost, momentum remains unchanged.

3.2.2 Massless Galilean particles

Particles exhibiting Galilean symmetry are termed Galilean particles. This section delves into their dynamics, noting that while the properties of massive Galilean particles are well-documented in the classical and quantum realms, the concept of massless Galilean particles remains largely unexplored, yet their existence is plausible. The action of a free nonrelativistic particle is invariant under the Galilean transformation, with the particle's mass emerging as a central charge in the algebra of Noether charges, known as the Bargmann algebra [34, 42].

A Galilean dynamical system that embodies the Galilei algebra without the central charge is known as a "massless Galilean" system; for further details please see [43]. The massless Galilean particle may be considered as a non-relativistic counterpart to the massless relativistic particle, which can be constructed and obtained by limiting process when $c \to \infty$. Authors of [43] suggest distinct non-relativistic particles, each labeled by a parameter termed 'color' (k), which uniquely identifies each theoretical particle type². Gomis et al. have established that a massless Galilean particle characterized by the color parameter (k) represents a non-relativistic limit case of a relativistic tachyon [42]. In this study, we restrict our scope to cases where (k = 0), thereby focusing exclusively on massless relativistic particles that are not tachyons ³.

Under Galilean symmetry, we distinguish between space-like and time-like particles based on their behavior during Galilean transformations. The distinction between these two categories may be attributed to their respective dynamics when the spatial variations of the field are significantly different from its temporal changes or vice versa.

We know that the Galilean symmetry is the result of the limit where c approaches infinity. Rather than utilizing a dimensionfull parameter such as the speed of light to approach infinity, it is preferable to use a dimensionless parameter for limiting purposes. There is a possible way to achieve this by rescaling these parameters using dimensionless parameters and considering limiting dimensionless parameters approaching zero or infinity. Now we introduce the following scaling,

$$c \to \omega c, \qquad \omega \to \infty.$$

To attain the two Galilean limits, we can adjust the scale of the canonical variables. The space-like limit, which is the first type of Galilean limit, is reached by rescaling only the spatial components of spatial coordinates, momentum and electric charge of particles as follows

$$\vec{x} \to \frac{\vec{x}}{\omega}, \quad \vec{p} \to \omega \vec{p}, \quad e \to -\frac{e}{\omega^2},$$
(3.15)

Conversely, the time-like limit, which is the second type of Galilean limit, is achieved through rescaling of the temporal components of time coordinate, energy and electric charge of particles

$$x^0 \to \frac{t}{\omega}, \quad p^0 \to \omega E, \quad e \to +\frac{e}{\omega^2},$$
(3.16)

By applying the two limits, denoted as (3.15) and (3.16), to the canonical action (3.1), we derive two distinct actions that describe Galilean particles. These actions correspond to two separate classes of Galilean particles: time-like and space-like. In the context of electromagnetism, particularly regarding the electric charge, these are often referred to as the electric and magnetic limits of Galilean electromagnetism, as emphasized by Le Bellac and Lévy-Leblond [44, 45]. However, to avoid potential confusion when considering

²Thus, without the need to consider relativistic mass-energy equivalence, color k becomes a useful tool for categorizing these particles and exploring their properties and interactions [43]

³A discussion on k = 0 and massless Galilean particles

charged particles in electromagnetic fields, we will use a different terminology in this work. The derivation of these two unique canonical actions for Galilean particles will be elaborated in the following subsections.

3.2.3 Space-like Galilean Particles

To enhance clarity and ensure self-containment, we will rederive the theory for space-like Galilean particles. We start from the action of the massless relativistic particle. Starting with the canonical action (3.1) with m = 0:

$$S = \int d\tau [-p_{\mu} \dot{x}^{\mu} - \frac{e}{2}(p^2)],$$

we have two options (3.15) or (3.16) to take the Galilean limits. First we start with the Galilean limits (3.15), taking $\omega \to \infty$; then the canonical action yields [46]⁴:

$$S = \int d\tau L_{mG}(t, E, \vec{x}, \vec{p}; \dot{t}, \dot{E}, \dot{\vec{x}}, \dot{\vec{p}}) = \int d\tau [-E\dot{t} + \vec{p}.\dot{\vec{x}} - \frac{e}{2}(\vec{p}^{2})].$$
(3.17)

This action is directly derived from their massless relativistic counterparts using the limit method. In [42] and [46], the massless Galilean action with color k is derived based on Souriau's method. In this paper, we derive this action directly from relativistic massless theory, bypassing Souriau's method and instead utilizing a limiting procedure. The canonical action (3.17) is invariant under the Galilean transformation (3.14) as follows:

$$\delta_G t = a_t, \quad \delta_G \vec{x} = R\vec{x} - \vec{\beta}t + \vec{a}, \quad \delta_G \vec{p} = R\vec{p}, \quad \delta_G E = -\vec{\beta}.\vec{p},$$

if the Galilean transformation of the canonical variable e is given by:

$$\delta_G e = 0. \tag{3.18}$$

The dynamics of a system can be described by equations of motion derived from the Lagrangian (3.17) by varying its variables, Then the equations of motion for the canonical action (3.17) are:

$$\dot{t} = 0, \quad \dot{E} = 0, \quad \dot{\vec{x}} = e\vec{p}, \quad \dot{\vec{p}} = 0.$$
 (3.19)

The canonical Lagrangian in (3.17) is invariant under the Galilean transformations (3.14) and (3.18), as we noted earlier, the existence of symmetry transformations imply the existence of a conservation law. According to the Noether theorem, for every continuous symmetry, there is a corresponding conserved current. Then the Noether current associated with the Galilean transformations (3.14) and (3.18), is as follows:

$$J_G^{\tau} = \frac{\delta L_{mG}}{\delta \dot{t}} \delta_G t + \frac{\delta L_{mG}}{\delta \dot{E}} \delta_G E + \frac{\delta L_{mG}}{\delta \dot{\vec{x}}} \delta_G \vec{x} + \frac{\delta L_{mG}}{\delta \dot{\vec{p}}} \delta_G \vec{p}.$$
 (3.20)

The symmetry generators associated with the Noether current (3.20) are called Galilean generators, which can be listed as:

$$\hat{H} = E, \quad \hat{P} = \vec{p}, \quad \hat{B} = \vec{p}t, \quad \hat{J} = \vec{x} \times \vec{p}.$$
(3.21)

⁴We should discuss this action with color k, while in our approach, we derived this action without color k. One of the key points of our work is deriving this action directly from its relativistic counterparts with their details.

This theory has the following primary constraints,

$$\pi_E = 0, \quad \pi_t = -E, \quad \pi_{\vec{x}} = \vec{p}, \quad \pi_{\vec{p}} = 0, \quad \pi_e = 0,$$
(3.22)

where we denoted the canonical momenta of the variables by π . The following Poisson brackets can be derived from the canonical action (3.17):

$$\{ E, t \} = 1, \quad \{ e, \pi_e \} = 1, \quad \{ x^i, p^j \} = \delta^{ij} \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3.$$
 (3.23)

Then the corresponding algebra of generators (3.21), is the Galilean algebra,

$$\{ E, \hat{P}_i \} = \{ E, \hat{J}_i \} = \{ \hat{P}_i . \hat{B}_j \} = 0, \{ E, \hat{B}_i \} = \hat{P}_i, \quad \{ \hat{P}_i, \hat{J}_{jk} \} = -\hat{P}_k \delta_{ij} + \hat{P}_j \delta_{ik}, \quad \{ \hat{B}_i, \hat{J}_{jk} \} = -\hat{B}_k \delta_{ij} + \hat{B}_j \delta_{ik},$$

$$\{ \hat{J}_{ij}, \hat{J}_{kl} \} = \delta_{ik} \hat{J}_{jl} - \delta_{il} \hat{J}_{jk} - \delta_{jk} \hat{J}_{il} + \delta_{jl} \hat{J}_{ik}.$$

$$(3.24)$$

3.2.4 Time-like Galilean Particles

In this subsection, we derive the theory of time-like massless Galilean particles through a limiting procedure, departing from previous studies that relied on a seed Lagrangian approach [46]. In the preceding subsection, we obtained space-like Galilean particles by applying the first Galilean limit (3.15) to the canonical action (3.1). Now, by employing the second Galilean limit (3.16), we can derive another action, which is

$$S = \int d\tau L = \int d\tau [-E\dot{t} + \vec{p}.\dot{\vec{x}} - \frac{e}{2}(E^2)].$$
(3.25)

However, this action is not invariant under the Galilean transformations (3.14); because of the existence of last term $\frac{e}{2}(E^2)$, which transforms as:

$$\delta_G(E^2) = -2\vec{p}.\vec{\beta}E. \tag{3.26}$$

A similar relation can also be found in [46]. We can include a Lagrange multiplier term in order to make the canonical action (3.25) invariant with respect to the Galilean transformations (3.14) and (3.18). Then we have the following action:

$$S = \int d\tau L_{eG}(t, E, \vec{x}, \vec{p}; \dot{t}, \dot{E}, \dot{\vec{x}}, \dot{\vec{p}}) = \int d\tau [-E\dot{t} + \vec{p}.\dot{\vec{x}} - \frac{e}{2}(E^2) + \vec{\chi}.\vec{p}], \qquad (3.27)$$

which is in agreement with the action derived from a different approach and reported in [46]. In the time-like limit for Galilean massless particles, the focus is on the temporal evolution of the particles, which is consistent across different inertial frames since time is absolute in Galilean relativity. If we apply the Galilean transformations on this new variable, $\vec{\chi}$, we find:

$$\delta_G \vec{\chi} = -eE\vec{\beta}.\tag{3.28}$$

To derive the equations of motion, we vary the action (3.27) w.r.t the dynamical variables, from which we obtain:

$$\dot{E} = 0, \quad \dot{\vec{x}} = -\vec{\chi}, \quad \dot{t} = -eE, \quad \dot{\vec{p}} = 0, \quad \vec{p} = 0.$$
 (3.29)

To understand this equation of motion, it can be inferred that Galilean time-like particles exhibit quasistatic behavior, since setting $\vec{\chi} = 0$ is not feasible, since its absence breaks the Galilean symmetry. Now the canonical Lagrangian (3.27) is invariant under the Galilean transformations (3.14), (3.18) and (3.28). Therefore, the Galilean transformations are symmetry transformations of the canonical Lagrangian (3.27). Then the Noether current or the conserved current associated with the Galilean transformations (3.14), (3.18) and (3.28) is as follows:

$$J_G^{\tau} = \frac{\delta L_{eG}}{\delta \dot{t}} \delta_G t + \frac{\delta L_{eG}}{\delta \dot{E}} \delta_G E + \frac{\delta L_{eG}}{\delta \dot{\vec{x}}} \delta_G \vec{x} + \frac{\delta L_{eG}}{\delta \dot{\vec{p}}} \delta_G \vec{p} + \frac{\delta L_{eG}}{\delta \dot{\chi}} \delta_G \chi.$$
(3.30)

The symmetry generators are the same as the space-like limit symmetry generators in (3.21). Therefore, we have:

$$\hat{H} = E, \quad \hat{P} = \vec{p}, \quad \hat{B} = \vec{p}t, \quad \hat{J} = \vec{x} \times \vec{p}.$$
(3.31)

By denoting the canonical momenta of the variables as π , we have the following primary constraints:

$$\pi_E = 0, \quad \pi_t = -E, \quad \pi_{\vec{x}} = \vec{p}, \quad \pi_{\vec{p}} = 0, \quad \pi_e = 0, \quad \pi_{\chi} = 0.$$
 (3.32)

Finally we will again obtain the Galilean algebra in the time-like limit, [46]:

$$\{ E, \hat{P}_i \} = \{ E, \hat{J}_i \} = \{ \hat{P}_i . \hat{B}_j \} = 0, \{ E, \hat{B}_i \} = \hat{P}_i, \quad \{ \hat{P}_i, \hat{J}_{jk} \} = -\hat{P}_k \delta_{ij} + \hat{P}_j \delta_{ik}, \quad \{ \hat{B}_i, \hat{J}_{jk} \} = -\hat{B}_k \delta_{ij} + \hat{B}_j \delta_{ik},$$

$$\{ \hat{J}_{ij}, \hat{J}_{kl} \} = \delta_{ik} \hat{J}_{jl} - \delta_{il} \hat{J}_{jk} - \delta_{jk} \hat{J}_{il} + \delta_{jl} \hat{J}_{ik}.$$

$$(3.33)$$

3.3 Carrollian Theory

The Galilean symmetries emerge from the non-relativistic limit of Lorentz symmetries, where the speed of light *c* tends towards infinity. In this study, we also explore the scenario in which the speed of light approaches zero, giving rise to Carroll symmetries, as detailed in [47]. Contrary to non-relativistic symmetry, Carroll symmetry represents the ultra-relativistic limit of relativistic theories, as discussed in [48]. The Carroll symmetry was originally understood by Lévy-Leblond in 1965 [49], in Lévy-Leblond's own words, "this symmetry is the degenerate cousin of Poincaré symmetry" [23, 50]. We can say that the Carroll symmetry follows from the Poincare symmetry at the vanishing speed limit [51]. Carroll particles are theoretical entities that arise in the context of Carroll symmetry. Understanding Carroll particles involves examining their dynamics and how they relate to their relativistic counterparts. In a universe governed by Carroll symmetries, a single free Carroll particle exhibits no non-trivial dynamics. To gain a deeper understanding of Carroll particles, we will explore them in more detail in the following sections. We will begin by studying a free relativistic particle and then taking the limit

$$c \to \omega c, \qquad \omega \to 0 \tag{3.34}$$

3.3.1 Carroll transformation

Carroll transformations arise from examining the implications of reducing the speed of light c to an infinitesimal value. In order to obtain the Carroll transformations from (3.10), we use the limit (3.34) and consider the scaling limit $\vec{\zeta} = c\vec{\beta}_C$, with the parameter $\vec{\beta}_C$ as the Carroll boost parameter. Thus we get [23]:

$$t' = t - \vec{\beta}_C \cdot \vec{x} + a_0, \quad \vec{x}' = \vec{x} + \vec{a}. \tag{3.35}$$

Now we can derived the Carroll transformation for energy and momentum by using the limit (3.34) on the Lorentz transformation on energy and momentum (3.12). Thus, we obtain the following transformation rules [23]:

$$\vec{p}' = \vec{p} - \vec{\beta}_C E, \quad E' = E$$
 (3.36)

The general properties of these transformations are given by the following expressions:

$$(t,\vec{x}) \longmapsto (t - \vec{\beta}_C \cdot \vec{x} + a_0, R\vec{x} + \vec{a}), \qquad (3.37)$$

where the infinitesimal Carroll transformations can be written as follows [48, 51]:

$$\delta_C t = -\vec{\beta}_C \cdot \vec{x} + a_t, \quad \delta_C \vec{x} = R\vec{x} + \vec{a}, \quad \delta_C \vec{p} = R\vec{p} - \vec{\beta}_C E, \quad \delta_C E = 0.$$
(3.38)

3.3.2 Carroll limits

Carroll particles are theoretical constructs in physics that emerge from the study of Carroll symmetry. In this context, Carroll symmetries govern the behavior of particles instead of the usual Poincaré transformations. By considering the Carrollian limit, we can identify two distinct types of Carroll particles: time-like and space-like particles. Time-like free Carroll particles do not move in the traditional sense but may have non-trivial dynamics when interacting with other particles or fields within the Carrollian framework. Space-like Carroll particles are another dynamical sector of Carroll particles that exhibit non-trivial dynamics; we will explain this sector with more details in the subsequent subsections. To derive the Carrollian particle theory, one can take the limit with the following rescalings:

$$x^0 \to \omega t, \quad p^0 \to \frac{E}{\omega}, \quad e \to +\omega^2 e,$$
 (3.39)

to obtain the dynamics of timelike Carroll particles or take the limit with the rescalings of the spacial components:

$$\vec{x} \to \omega \vec{x}, \quad \vec{p} \to \frac{\vec{p}}{\omega}, \quad e \to -\omega^2 e.$$
 (3.40)

which yields the dynamics of spacelike Carroll particles. We will obtain two different actions describing Carroll particles by employing the limits (3.39) and (3.40) to the canonical action (3.1).

3.3.3 Time-like Particles

Time-like Carroll particles are characterized by having a non-vanishing value of energy, which is part of the broader exploration of non-Lorentzian physics. In order to be able to describe and analyze the time-like Carroll particle, one has to start from the action of the relativistic particle. Our initial reference is the canonical action (3.1) with two alternatives to rescaling the Carrollian limits (3.39) and (3.40). If we select the scalings from (3.39) along with the mass rescaling $m \to \frac{M}{\omega}$, then in the limit $\omega \to 0$, we obtain the canonical action for time-like Carroll particles as follows [48]:

$$S = \int d\tau L_{eC}(t, E, \vec{x}, \vec{p}, e; \dot{t}, \dot{E}, \dot{\vec{x}}, \dot{\vec{p}}) = \int d\tau [-E\dot{t} + \vec{p}.\dot{\vec{x}} - \frac{e}{2}(E^2 - M^2)].$$
(3.41)

The canonical action (3.41) is invariant under the Carroll transformation (3.38),

$$\delta_C t = -\vec{\beta}_C \cdot \vec{x} + a_t, \quad \delta_C \vec{x} = R\vec{x} + \vec{a}, \quad \delta_C \vec{p} = R\vec{p} - \vec{\beta}_C E, \quad \delta_C E = 0.$$

while the Carroll transformation of the einbein variable is given by

$$\delta_C e = 0. \tag{3.42}$$

Then the equation of motions of the canonical action (3.41) are:

$$\dot{t} = -eE, \quad \dot{E} = 0, \quad \dot{\vec{x}} = 0, \quad \dot{\vec{p}} = 0.$$
 (3.43)

According to these equations of motion, these particles cannot move [33, 48, 51].

The canonical Lagrangian given by equation (3.41) remains invariant under the Carroll transformations described by (3.38) and (3.42). As mentioned above, the existence of a symmetry transformation implies the presence of a conservation law. The Noether theorem establishes that for every continuous symmetry, there corresponds a conserved current. Then, the conserved current associated with the Carroll transformations (3.38) and (3.42), is as follows:

$$J_C^{\tau} = \frac{\delta L_{eC}}{\delta \dot{t}} \delta_C t + \frac{\delta L_{eC}}{\delta \dot{E}} \delta_C E + \frac{\delta L_{eC}}{\delta \dot{\vec{x}}} \delta_C \vec{x} + \frac{\delta L_{eC}}{\delta \dot{\vec{p}}} \delta_C \vec{p}.$$
 (3.44)

The symmetry generators associated with the Noether current (3.44) are the Carroll generators [48, 52]:

$$\hat{H} = E, \quad \hat{P} = \vec{p}, \quad \hat{B} = E\vec{x}, \quad \hat{J} = \vec{x} \times \vec{p}$$

$$(3.45)$$

When we denote the canonical momenta of the configuration variables as π , we arrive at the primary constraints, [46]:

$$\pi_E = 0, \quad \pi_t = -E, \quad \pi_{\vec{x}} = \vec{p}, \quad \pi_{\vec{p}} = 0, \quad \pi_e = 0,$$
(3.46)

where the Poisson brackets of variables are as follows (3.41) [48, 52]:

$$\{E, t\} = 1, \{e, \pi_e\} = 1, \{x^i, p^j\} = \delta^{ij} \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3.$$
 (3.47)

The corresponding algebra, associated with the generators in equation (3.45), is known as the Carroll algebra:

$$\{ E, \hat{P}_i \} = \{ E, \hat{B}_i \} = \{ E, \hat{J}_{ij} \} = 0, \{ \hat{P}_i \cdot \hat{B}_i \} = -\delta_{ij} E, \ \{ \hat{P}_i, \hat{J}_{jk} \} = -\hat{P}_k \delta_{ij} + \hat{P}_j \delta_{ik}, \qquad \{ \hat{B}_i, \hat{J}_{jk} \} = -\hat{B}_k \delta_{ij} + \hat{B}_j \delta_{ik}, \qquad (3.48) \{ \hat{J}_{ij}, \hat{J}_{kl} \} = \delta_{ik} \hat{J}_{jl} - \delta_{il} \hat{J}_{jk} - \delta_{jk} \hat{J}_{il} + \delta_{jl} \hat{J}_{ik}.$$

3.3.4 Space-like Carroll Particles

The main feature of the space-like Carroll particles is characterized by having a vanishing energy value; now we apply the rescaling (3.40), together with $m \to \frac{M}{\omega}$. After taking the limit $\omega \to 0$ of the canonical action (3.1), we obtain:

$$S = \int d\tau L = \int d\tau [-E\dot{t} + \vec{p}.\dot{\vec{x}} - \frac{e}{2}(\vec{p}^2 + M^2)]$$
(3.49)

This particular form of the action has not been previously derived using a limiting procedure. However, it has been reported in [46], where the authors employed a seed Lagrangian method to arrive at it. It's important to note that this action is not invariant under Carroll transformations (3.38); because the mass-shell constraint ($\vec{p}^2 + M^2$) is not invariant under the Carroll transformations (3.38)

$$\delta_C(\vec{p}^2 + M^2) = -2\vec{p}.\vec{\beta}E.$$
 (3.50)

In order to make the canonical action (3.49) invariant with respect to the Carroll transformations (3.38) and (3.42), we can include a Lagrange multiplier term. Then we have

$$S = \int d\tau L_{mC}(t, E, \vec{x}, \vec{p}, e, \chi; \dot{t}, \dot{E}, \dot{\vec{x}}, \dot{\vec{p}}) = \int d\tau [-E\dot{t} + \vec{p}.\dot{\vec{x}} - \frac{e}{2}(\vec{p}^2 + M^2) + \chi E]$$
(3.51)

where the new variable χ , transform as,

$$\delta_C \chi = -e\vec{p}.\vec{\beta},\tag{3.52}$$

under the Carroll transformations. The equations of motion are

$$\dot{E} = 0, \quad \dot{\vec{x}} = e\vec{p}, \quad \dot{t} = \chi, \quad \dot{\vec{p}} = 0, \quad E = 0.$$
 (3.53)

According to the equations of motion, space-like Carroll particles can be in motion while possessing no energy. Then, the Noether current associated with the Carroll transformations (3.38), (3.42) and (3.52) is as follows:

$$J_C^{\tau} = \frac{\delta L_{mC}}{\delta \dot{t}} \delta_C t + \frac{\delta L_{mC}}{\delta \dot{E}} \delta_C E + \frac{\delta L_{mC}}{\delta \dot{\vec{x}}} \delta_C \vec{x} + \frac{\delta L_{mC}}{\delta \dot{\vec{p}}} \delta_C \vec{p}.$$
(3.54)

The symmetry generators are the same as the time-like limit symmetry generators in (3.45). Therefore, we have:

$$\hat{H} = E, \quad \hat{P} = \vec{p}, \quad \hat{B} = E\vec{x}, \quad \hat{J} = \vec{x} \times \vec{p}, \tag{3.55}$$

with the primary constraints:

$$\pi_E = 0, \quad \pi_t = -E, \quad \pi_{\vec{x}} = \vec{p}, \quad \pi_{\vec{p}} = 0, \quad \pi_e = 0, \quad \pi_{\chi} = 0.$$
 (3.56)

This produces the same Carroll algebra (3.48) in this space-like limit.

4 Non-Lorentzian particles in Electromagnetic field

In this section, we extend the theory of non-relativistic charged particles to include their interaction with electromagnetic fields. We will begin with a relativistic charged particle in an electromagnetic field and examine its limiting cases to uncover the fracton/non-relativistic particle dualities in the presence of gauge fields.

4.1 Relativistic charged particle

We speculate that some of the charged quasi-particles, subsystems that effectively behave as charged particles, might display Carrollian or Galilean dynamics across various energy regimes. To study these systems, we start from the relativistic point particle described by the canonical action (3.1) having charge q which is under the influence of the electromagnetic field. In this case, our interaction term, which is Poincaré invariant, is as follows:

$$L_{int} = qA_{\mu}.U^{\mu},\tag{4.1}$$

where the four-vector U^{μ} is defined as the four-velocity of our point particle that follows as:

$$U^{\mu} = \frac{dx^{\mu}}{d\tau} = \dot{x}^{\mu}. \tag{4.2}$$

Now, the canonical action of the relativistic charged point particles is [53]

$$S = \int d\tau [-p_{\mu} \dot{x}^{\mu} - \frac{e}{2} (p^2 - m^2) + q A_{\mu} U^{\mu}].$$
(4.3)

We can rewrite the canonical action (4.3) by utilizing equation (4.2) and defining the new momentum variable $p_{EM}^{\mu} = p^{\mu} - qA^{\mu}$ as the momentum of a charged point particle. Then, the canonical action (4.3) can be expressed in a new form as follows [53]:

$$S = \int d\tau [-(p_{\mu} - qA_{\mu})\dot{x}^{\mu} - \frac{e}{2}((p^{\mu} - qA^{\mu})^2 - m^2)].$$
(4.4)

The equation of motions of the action (4.3) are

$$\dot{x}^{\alpha} = -ep^{\alpha}, \quad \delta^{\mu}_{\alpha}\dot{p}_{\alpha} = q\dot{A}_{\alpha} - qU^{\mu}\partial_{\alpha}A_{\mu}\dot{p}_{\alpha} = qU^{\mu}(\partial_{\mu}A_{\alpha} - \partial_{\alpha}A_{\mu}) = qU^{\mu}F_{\mu\alpha}$$

$$\dot{p}_{0} = qU^{\mu}F_{0\mu}, \quad \text{in this case } \mu \neq 0$$

$$= q\gamma\vec{u}\cdot\vec{E}$$
(4.5)

where we have

$$A^{\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\phi}{c} \\ \vec{A} \end{pmatrix}; \quad U^{\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{\zeta} c \\ \gamma_{\zeta} \vec{u} \end{pmatrix}; \quad \dot{A}^{\mu} = U^{\alpha} \frac{\partial A^{\mu}}{\partial x^{\alpha}}$$

The Lorentz force law, which describes the force on a charged particle due to electric and magnetic fields, is given by:

$$\dot{p}^{\mu} = q F^{\mu\nu} U_{\nu}, \tag{4.6}$$

that $F^{\mu\nu}$ is the electromagnetic tensor. We can rewrite this equation of motion in terms of the electric and magnetic fields,

$$\dot{\vec{p}} = q\gamma_{\zeta}(\vec{E} + \vec{u} \times \vec{B}), \tag{4.7}$$

where \vec{u} is the velocity of the relativistic particles.

4.2 Charged Carroll Particle

Carrollian electrodynamics is a branch of theoretical physics that studies the behavior of electromagnetic fields and charged particles in the context of Carroll symmetry, where the speed of light is effectively zero. The behavior of electric and magnetic fields in Carroll symmetry can be quite different. For example, in Maxwell's theory, there are two different Carroll limits at the level of action, corresponding to the electric and magnetic limits. The question that remains is whether there exists an Electromagnetism theory that is invariant under Carroll symmetry. In their study [29], it was shown that there is an electromagnetic theory that respects Carroll symmetry, known as "Carroll Electromagnetism".

4.2.1 Electric Charged Carroll Particle

By applying the limit (3.39) and rescaling

$$\phi \to \frac{1}{\omega}\phi,\tag{4.8}$$

in the canonical action (3.1), we find a well-defined limit that straightforwardly yields Carroll-invariant theory. We refer to this theory as the time-like Carroll particle with no charge. Now, we follow the same procedure for the charged particles to derive the corresponding Carroll invariant theory. This theory describes point particles with electric charge, which we refer to them as *Electric Carroll particles*. The canonical action of the Electric Carroll particle can be derived as the following,

$$S_{EC} = \int d\tau \left[-E\dot{t} + \vec{p}.\dot{\vec{x}} - \frac{e}{2}(E^2 - M^2) + q\dot{t}\phi(\vec{x}, t) - q\dot{\vec{x}} \cdot \vec{A}(\vec{x}, t) \right]$$
(4.9)

which is invariant under the Carroll symmetry and the equations of motion are

$$\dot{t} = eE, \quad \dot{\vec{x}} = 0, \quad \dot{E} = q\dot{\phi}(\vec{x}) - q\dot{t}\partial_t\phi(\vec{x},t) + q\dot{\vec{x}}.\partial_t\vec{A} = 0, \tag{4.10}$$
$$\dot{p}_m = q\dot{A}_m(\vec{x},t) + q\dot{t}\partial_m\phi(\vec{x},t) - q\dot{x}^i\partial_mA_i.$$

The Loretnz force in this case can be obtained as the following

$$\dot{p}_m = q(\dot{t}\partial_t A_m + \dot{x}^i \partial_i A_m) + q\dot{t}\partial_m \phi - q\dot{x}^i \partial_m A_i$$
$$= q\dot{x}^i (\partial_i A_m - \partial_m A_i) + q\dot{t} (\partial_m \phi + \partial_t A_m)$$

in which we made use of

$$\dot{A}_m = \frac{dA_m}{d\tau} = \dot{t}\partial_t A_m + \dot{x}^i \partial_i A_m.$$
(4.11)

Equations of motion (4.10) demonstrate that the Lorentz force acting on Electric Carroll particles is exclusively electrostatic when the gauge $t = \tau$ is applied. Magnetic fields have no influence on Electric Carroll particles, as these particles are immobile. Consequently, with the following definition of the electric field:

$$\vec{E} = \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t} + \vec{\nabla}\phi, \qquad (4.12)$$

the Lorentz force will be

 $\dot{\vec{p}} = q\vec{E}.$

The interesting result is that the electric Carroll particles are not able to move in the electromagnetic field and the energy E (Mss in some terminology) is conserved. These results were previously reported in [54, 55] but derived from a different procedure. The canonical action (4.9) is invariant under the Carroll transformation (3.38) where we have:

$$\delta_C t = -\vec{\beta}_C \cdot \vec{x} + a_t, \quad \delta_C \vec{x} = \vec{a}, \quad \delta_C \vec{p} = -\vec{\beta}_C E, \quad \delta_C E = 0,$$

and for the electromagnetic fields we find the following transformation rules, (check with literature)

$$\delta_C \vec{A} = -\vec{\beta}_C \phi, \quad \delta_C \phi = 0. \tag{4.13}$$

Then the Noether current is:

$$J_C^{\tau} = \frac{\delta L_{EC}}{\delta \dot{t}} \delta_C t + \frac{\delta L_{EC}}{\delta \dot{E}} \delta_C E + \frac{\delta L_{EC}}{\delta \dot{\vec{x}}} \delta_C \vec{x} + \frac{\delta L_{EC}}{\delta \dot{\vec{p}}} \delta_C \vec{p}.$$
(4.14)

with the following associated symmetry generators which form the Carroll-Maxwell generators:

$$\hat{H} = E - q\phi, \quad \hat{P} = \vec{p} - q\vec{A}, \quad \hat{B} = (E - q\phi)\vec{x}, \quad \hat{J} = \vec{x} \times (\vec{p} - q\vec{A}).$$
 (4.15)

4.2.2 Magnetic Charged Carroll Particle

In the previous subsection, we identified the *Electric Carroll* particles by applying the first type of Carroll limit to the canonical action (4.4). Now, by applying the second type of Carroll limits (3.40) and rescaling $\vec{A} \rightarrow \frac{\vec{A}}{\omega}$, we find action

$$S_{MC} = \int d\tau [-E\dot{t} + \vec{p}.\dot{\vec{x}} - \frac{e}{2}(\vec{p}^2 + M^2) + q\dot{t}\phi(\vec{x},t) - q\dot{\vec{x}}\cdot\vec{A}(\vec{x}.t)].$$
(4.16)

However, as with the same action (3.49), this action is not invariant under the Carroll transformations (3.38) and (4.13) because the mass-shell constraint $(\vec{p}^2 + M^2)$ is not invariant under the Carroll transformations. We can include a Lagrange multiplier term to ensure that the canonical action (4.16) is invariant under the Carroll transformations. Then we propose the following action for the *Magnetic* Carroll particles,

$$S_{MC} = \int d\tau [-E\dot{t} + \vec{p}.\dot{\vec{x}} - \frac{e}{2}(\vec{p}^2 + M^2) + q\dot{t}\phi(\vec{x},t) - q\dot{\vec{x}}\cdot\vec{A}(\vec{x},t) + \chi(E-\theta)], \qquad (4.17)$$

which is now invariant due to Lagrange multiplier χ . Thus, the equations of motion are

$$\dot{t} = \chi, \quad \dot{\vec{x}} = e\vec{p}, \quad \dot{E} = q\dot{\phi}(\vec{x}) - q\dot{t}\partial_t\phi(\vec{x},t) + q\dot{\vec{x}}.\partial_t\vec{A},$$

$$\dot{E} = q[(\dot{t}\partial_t\phi + \dot{\vec{x}}\cdot\vec{\nabla}\phi) - \dot{t}\partial_t\phi + \dot{\vec{x}}\cdot\partial_t\vec{A}] = q\dot{\vec{x}}(\vec{\nabla}\phi + \partial_t\vec{A}) = q\dot{\vec{x}}\cdot\vec{E}, \qquad (4.18)$$

$$\dot{\phi}_m = q\dot{A}_m(\vec{x},t) + q\dot{t}\partial_m\phi(\vec{x},t) - q\dot{x}^i\partial_mA_i.$$

and χ behaves under the Carroll transformations as follows:

$$\delta_C \chi = -e\vec{\beta}_C \vec{p}.\tag{4.19}$$

If we apply the gauge fixing $t = \tau$, the Lorentz force on magnetic Carroll particles is,

$$\dot{p}_m = q(\dot{t}\partial_t A_m + \dot{x}^i \partial_i A_m) + q\dot{t}\partial_m \phi - q\dot{x}^i \partial_m A_m$$
$$= q\dot{x}^i (\partial_i A_m - \partial_m A_i) + q\dot{t}\partial_m \phi + q\partial_t A_m$$
$$= q(\vec{E} + \dot{\vec{x}} \times \vec{B}) .$$

In this case, unlike electric Carroll particles, magnetic Carroll particles are under influence of the magnetic field, which means the Lorentz force acting on magnetic Carroll particles includes electromagnetic forces. Magnetic sector of charged Carroll particles has not been reported before. Important points can be observed from these equations; first, particles can move, energy is not conserved, and particles experience accelerations induced by electric and magnetic fields. Within the theoretical framework of Carroll symmetry, magnetic Carroll particles can exhibit motion under certain conditions. The motion of magnetic Carroll particles is especially interesting in the context of black hole physics. On the horizon of a black hole, where the spacetime geometry can be described using Carrollian geometry [56].

4.3 Charged Galilean Particles

In the context of electromagnetism, Galilean electromagnetism attempts to describe electric and magnetic fields in situations where charged bodies move at nonrelativistic velocities, that is, velocities much slower than light [40, 44]. There exists a non-relativistic electromagnetic theory called "Galilean Electromagnetism" [44]. In general, Galilean electromagnetism is a formal electromagnetic theory that is consistent with Galilean invariance. Galilean electromagnetism is useful for describing the electric and magnetic fields in the vicinity of charged bodies moving at non-relativistic speeds relative to the frame of reference. The resulting mathematical equations are simpler than the fully relativistic forms because certain coupling terms are neglected [45].

4.3.1 Magnetic Charged Galilean Particles

In order to describe the dynamics of Galilean charged particles in electromagnetic fields, we consider both the Galilean limits (3.15) and (3.16) for the canonical action (4.4), when the mass parameter is effectively considered to be zero m = 0. For magnetic Galilean particles, we apply the Galilean limit (3.15), thus we obtain the following invariant action

$$S_{MG} = \int d\tau [-E\dot{t} + \vec{p} \cdot \dot{\vec{x}} - \frac{e}{2}\vec{p} + q\dot{t}\phi(\vec{x}, t) - q\dot{\vec{x}} \cdot \vec{A}(\vec{x}, t)], \qquad (4.20)$$

with the equations of motion,

$$\dot{t} = 0, \quad \dot{\vec{x}} = e\vec{p},$$

$$\dot{E} = q\dot{\phi}(\vec{x}, t) - q\dot{t}\partial_t\phi + q\dot{\vec{x}} \cdot \partial_t\vec{A} = q\dot{\vec{x}} \cdot \vec{E},$$

$$\dot{p}_m = q\dot{A}_m(\vec{x}, t) + q\dot{t}\partial_m\phi(\vec{x}, t) - q\dot{x}^i\partial_mA_i.$$
(4.21)

According to the equations (4.21), the Lorentz force acting on magnetic Galilean particles can be expressed as follows:

$$\dot{p}_m = q\dot{x}^i \partial_i A_m - q\dot{x}^i \partial_m A_i$$
$$= q\dot{x}^i (\partial_i A_m - \partial_m A_i)$$
$$= q\dot{x} \times \vec{B},$$

This result aligns with expectations based on the equations of motion, demonstrating that magnetic Galilean particles are transparent to electric fields [Ref]. We see that the magnetic Galilean massless particles are able to move in the electromagnetic field. The canonical action (4.20) is invariant under the Galilean transformation (3.14), as follows

$$\delta_G t = a_t, \quad \delta_G \vec{x} = R\vec{x} + \vec{\beta}_G t + \vec{a}, \quad \delta_G \vec{p} = R\vec{p}, \quad \delta_G E = \vec{\beta}_G . \vec{p}, \tag{4.22}$$

where we find the following,

$$\delta_G \vec{A} = 0, \quad \delta_G \phi = -\vec{\beta}_G \cdot \vec{A}, \tag{4.23}$$

for the transformation rules of electromagnetic fields. These relations should be compared with equations (4.13), where instead of a vector potential, the scalar potential changes under Galilean transformations. The Noether current is

$$J_C^{\tau} = \frac{\delta L_{MG}}{\delta \dot{t}} \delta_G t + \frac{\delta L_{MG}}{\delta \dot{E}} \delta_G E + \frac{\delta L_{MG}}{\delta \dot{\vec{x}}} \delta_G \vec{x} + \frac{\delta L_{MG}}{\delta \dot{\vec{p}}} \delta_G \vec{p}.$$
 (4.24)

with the Galilean-Maxwell generators follows:

$$\hat{H} = E - q\phi, \quad \hat{P} = \vec{p} - q\vec{A}, \quad \hat{B} = (\vec{p} - q\vec{A})t, \quad \hat{J} = \vec{x} \times (\vec{p} - q\vec{A}).$$
 (4.25)

4.3.2 Electric Charged Galilean Particles

Electric Galilean particles are charged particles described in the framework of Galilean electrodynamics, if we applying the second type of Galilean limit (3.16) to the relativistic action as follows:

$$t \to \frac{t}{\omega}, \quad E \to \omega E, \quad \phi \to \omega \phi, \quad e \to -\frac{e}{\omega^2}, \quad \omega \to \infty,$$
 (4.26)

we will find the following action

$$S_{EC} = \int d\tau [-E\dot{t} + \vec{p} \cdot \dot{\vec{x}} - \frac{e}{2}E^2 + q\dot{t}\phi(\vec{x}, t) - q\dot{\vec{x}} \cdot \vec{A}(\vec{x}, t)].$$
(4.27)

This action is not invariant under the Galilean transformations (3.14) and (4.23), because the term (E^2) is not invariant under the Galilean transformations (3.14) and (4.23). To make the theory invariant under the Galilean transformations, as observed in magnetic Carroll particles, we can add a Lagrange multiplier term to ensure that the canonical action (4.27) remains invariant under the Galilean transformations. Then we construct the following action for the theory

$$S_{EC} = \int d\tau [-E\dot{t} + \vec{p} \cdot \dot{\vec{x}} - \frac{e}{2}E^2 + q\dot{t}\phi(\vec{x}, t) - q\dot{\vec{x}} \cdot \vec{A}(\vec{x}, t) + \vec{\chi} \cdot \vec{p}], \qquad (4.28)$$

while the equations of motion are

$$\dot{t} = eE, \quad \dot{\vec{x}} = \vec{\chi},
\dot{E} = q\dot{\phi}(\vec{x}, t) - q\dot{t}\partial_t\phi + q\dot{\vec{x}}\cdot\partial_t\vec{A} = q\dot{\vec{x}}\cdot\vec{E},
\dot{p}_m = q\dot{A}_m(\vec{x}, t) + q\dot{t}\partial_m\phi(\vec{x}, t) - q\dot{x}^i\partial_mA_i.$$
(4.29)

By gauge fixing $t = \tau$, we have

$$\begin{split} \dot{p}_m &= q(\dot{t}\partial_t A_m + \dot{x}^i \partial_i A_m) - q\dot{t}\vec{\nabla}\phi - q\dot{x}^i \partial_m A_n \\ &= q\dot{x}^i(\partial_i A_m - \partial_m A_i) - q\dot{t}\vec{\nabla}\phi \\ &= q(\vec{E} + \vec{\chi} \times \vec{B}), \end{split}$$

where the transformation rule for the new variable χ is

$$\delta_G \chi = -e\vec{\beta}_G E. \tag{4.30}$$

In contrast to electric Carroll particles, electric Galilean particles experience both electric and magnetic fields in the Lorentz force. This distinction arises from the unique characteristics of each particle type.

4.4 Fracton/Non-Lorentzian Duality

Here in this section, we will address part of our motivations, constructing a duality between fractons and non-lorentzian particles. One fascinating aspect of the behavior of fractons is the duality between fractons and other theoretical particles.

4.4.1 Fracton/Carroll Duality

There is a concept called the Carroll/Fracton duality [33], which studies the relationship between the Carroll and Fracton symmetries. This duality has implications for the classification of different types of fracton particles and can provide insights into their properties. For example, it explains how the fracton electric charge and dipole moment correspond to specific energy and center-of-mass parameters in the Carroll framework [33].

Isolated Fracton/Timelike Carroll Particles: The action for free fractons, given by equation (2.10), and their equations of motion (equation (2.11)), exhibit dipole symmetry. We can compare these with the action and equations of motion for time-like Carroll particles. The canonical action for Carroll particles is presented in equation (3.41), and their equations of motion are shown in (3.43). This comparison reveals a duality between isolated fractons and time-like Carroll particles at the dynamical level, which shows these distinct particles are fundamentally immobile, meaning they cannot move in the traditional sense.⁵

⁵If we define modified momentum \tilde{p} in isolated fractons, the duality can be visualized.

Static Dipole/Electric Charged Carroll particles: A static dipole, as described by equation (2.12) and its corresponding equations of motion (2.14), can be compared to electric charged Carroll particles, which are defined by the canonical action (4.9) and their equations of motion (4.10). Both types of particle are immobile and subject to the Lorentz force, which in this case consists solely of the electrostatic component.

Unlike the study in [33] of free fractons, we find that electric Carroll particles remain immobile even when exposed to electromagnetic fields.

Mobile Dipole/Magnetic Charged Carroll particles: We can compare the second model of mobile dipoles, described by equation (2.21) and its corresponding equations of motion (2.22), with magnetic Carroll particles defined by the action (4.17) and their equations of motion (4.18). Both types of particles show similarity at the dynamical level and exhibit mobility, and the Lorentz force acting on them includes both electric and magnetic components. This comparison highlights a duality between these two models, distinguishing them from the previously discussed immobile particles.

4.4.2 Fracton/Galilean Duality

One of the intriguing dualities that can exist is the relationship between fracton symmetry and Galilean symmetry, which we refer to as the Galilean/Fracton duality. The Carroll group and the Galilean group are isomorphic in three dimensions (D = 3); thus, this isomorphism implies that fracton symmetry possesses a duality with the Galilean group in D = 3 [9–11]. This leads to an important question: Which fracton particles or fracton excitations are dual to the Galilean particles? We will clarify in the following that only mobile dipoles can be dual to Galilean particles.

Mobile Dipole/Magnetic Galilean particles: The preliminary model of mobile dipoles, as described in (2.17) along with its corresponding equations of motion (2.18), can also be closely compared to magnetic Carroll particles, which are defined by (4.20) and their equations of motion (4.21). Both types of particles are mobile, and the Lorentz force accounts for the magnetic force component.

Mobile Dipole/Electric Galilean particles: A second model of mobile dipoles, as described in (2.21), together with its corresponding equations of motion (2.22), can be closely compared to electric Galilean particles, which are defined by (4.28) and their equations of motion (4.29). Both types of particles are mobile, and the Lorentz force accounts for both electric and magnetic force components. The interesting aspect of this comparison is that electric Galilean particles and magnetic Carroll particles are also dual to each other [57].

4.4.3 Lineon/Non-Lorentzian Duality

Lineon particles can move freely along a one-dimensional line. In this subsection, we will address part of our motivations, constructing a duality between lineons and non-lorentzian particles.

Lineon/Magnetic Carroll and Electric Galilean particles: Lineon particles, as previously mentioned, can be described by the canonical action (2.24) and their corresponding equations of motion (2.26). By conserving the angular and linear momentum of the vector charge, we find that lineons can only move along their own vector charge \vec{q} . Lineons can be closely compared to electric Galilean particles (4.28). In this scenario, where particles move in the $\vec{\chi}$ direction, a consistent theory requires the electric field to be perpendicular to the direction of motion. Consequently, the Lorentz force acts perpendicularly to the motion of the particles.

5 Fracton and Non-Lorentzian Algebras

This section has two primary goals: first, to investigate the algebraic structure of fractons and their connections to non-Lorentzian algebras; and second, to extend the fracton algebra to incorporate the Maxwell algebra.

5.1 Carrollean and Galilean algebras

Non-Lorentzian algebras are mathematical structures that arise when studying physical theories with symmetries different from those of Lorentzians. They are associated with kinematic spacetimes that do not obey the usual Lorentz symmetry, such as the Galilean and Carrollian spacetimes. These algebras play a crucial role in understanding the kinematics and dynamics of non-Lorentzian theories, which are applied in areas such as condensed matter physics, holography and gravitational waves [30, 58]. In the following, we will briefly mention these two non-Lorentzian algebras.

Carrollean algebras has the following structure [30, 31, 59]:

$$\{ E, \hat{P}_i \} = \{ E, \hat{B}_i \} = \{ E, \hat{J}_i \} = 0, \{ \hat{P}_i . \hat{B}_i \} = -\delta_{ij} E, \ \{ \hat{P}_i, \hat{J}_{jk} \} = -\hat{P}_k \delta_{ij} + \hat{P}_j \delta_{ik}, \qquad \{ \hat{B}_i, \hat{J}_{jk} \} = -\hat{B}_k \delta_{ij} + \hat{B}_j \delta_{ik},$$

$$\{ \hat{J}_{ij}, \hat{J}_{kl} \} = \delta_{ik} \hat{J}_{jl} - \delta_{il} \hat{J}_{jk} - \delta_{jk} \hat{J}_{il} + \delta_{jl} \hat{J}_{ik},$$

$$(5.1)$$

which includes generators for spatial translations, time translations, rotations, and Carrollian boosts.

Galilean algebras are given as [23, 29–31, 34, 60]:

$$\{ E, \hat{P}_i \} = \{ E, \hat{J}_i \} = \{ \hat{P}_i . \hat{B}_j \} = 0, \{ E, \hat{B}_i \} = \hat{P}_i, \quad \{ \hat{P}_i, \hat{J}_{jk} \} = -\hat{P}_k \delta_{ij} + \hat{P}_j \delta_{ik}, \quad \{ \hat{B}_i, \hat{J}_{jk} \} = -\hat{B}_k \delta_{ij} + \hat{B}_j \delta_{ik}, \{ \hat{J}_{ij}, \hat{J}_{kl} \} = \delta_{ik} \hat{J}_{jl} - \delta_{il} \hat{J}_{jk} - \delta_{jk} \hat{J}_{il} + \delta_{jl} \hat{J}_{ik},$$

$$(5.2)$$

These two algebras are isomorphic in D = 3 (where D is the dimension of spacetime) [31, 61]. The isomorphism in lower dimensions is particularly interesting because it suggests a duality between the ultra-relativistic limit (Carroll) and the non-relativistic limit (Galilei) of spacetime symmetries.

5.2 Non-Lorentzian Maxwell algebras

Relativistic particles coupled to an electromagnetic field enjoy symmetries that extend beyond the usual Poincaré algebra symmetries [62, 63]. Any relativistic theory must be symmetric under the transformations of the Poincaré group, as it is the spacetime symmetry group of special relativity. So, if we want to develop a relativistic theory with greater symmetry, as mentioned in the introduction, we need to extend the Poincaré group and, consequently, its algebra. The Maxwell algebra is such an extension.

Maxwell algebra The Poincaré algebra is defined in (3.8), assuming transformation rules for electromagnetic fields, one obtains a non-central extension of the Poincaré algebra by an antisymmetric tensor generator $\hat{Z}_{\mu\nu}$ that transforms covariantly under the Lorentz group. The resulting algebra has been referred to as the Maxwell algebra that follows [64]

$$\{\hat{P}_{\mu}, \hat{P}_{\nu}\} = \hat{Z}_{\mu\nu}, \quad \{\hat{P}_{\mu}, \hat{Z}_{\nu\rho}\} = 0, \quad \{\hat{Z}_{\mu\nu}, \hat{Z}_{\rho\sigma}\} = 0, \\ \{\hat{M}_{\mu\nu}, \hat{Z}_{\rho\sigma}\} = \eta_{\nu\rho}\hat{Z}_{\mu\sigma} + \eta_{\mu\sigma}\hat{Z}_{\nu\rho} - \eta_{\mu\rho}\hat{Z}_{\nu\sigma} - \eta_{\nu\sigma}\hat{Z}_{\mu\rho}.$$
(5.3)

Here, $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ is the flat Minkowski metric, and $\hat{M}_{\mu\nu}$ denote the Lorentz generators. The Maxwell algebra represents a closed algebra and a tensorial extension of the Poincaré algebra [64]. In this particular algebra, we have $Z_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}A_{\nu} - \partial_{\mu}A_{\nu}$.

Galilei-Maxwell algebra A non-relativistic Maxwell-type extension emerges in an electromagnetic background which is called the "Galilei-Maxwell" algebra [65–69]. The Galilei-Maxwell algebra, obtained by contracting the relativistic Maxwell algebra (5.3), follows as [65–69]:

$$\{\hat{P}_{i}, \hat{P}_{j}\} = Z_{ij}, \quad \{\hat{H}, \hat{P}_{i}\} = -Z_{i}, \quad \{\hat{H}, \hat{B}_{i}\} = \hat{P}_{i}, \{\hat{P}_{i}, \hat{J}_{jk}\} = -\hat{P}_{k}\delta_{ij} + \hat{P}_{j}\delta_{ik}, \quad \{\hat{B}_{i}, \hat{J}_{jk}\} = -\hat{B}_{k}\delta_{ij} + \hat{B}_{j}\delta_{ik}, \{\hat{J}_{ij}, \hat{J}_{kl}\} = \delta_{ik}\hat{J}_{jl} - \delta_{il}\hat{J}_{jk} - \delta_{jk}\hat{J}_{il} + \delta_{jl}\hat{J}_{ik}, \{\hat{J}_{ij}, \hat{Z}_{kl}\} = \delta_{ik}\hat{Z}_{jl} - \delta_{il}\hat{Z}_{jk} - \delta_{jk}\hat{Z}_{il} + \delta_{jl}\hat{Z}_{ik}.$$

$$(5.4)$$

In the Galilei-Maxwell algebra, we have new generators defined as $Z_{ij} = \partial_i A_j - \partial_j A_i$ and $Z_i = \partial_i \phi + \partial_t A_i$. This algebra includes the usual generators of the Galilean algebra - space translations, time translations, rotations, and Galilean boosts - along with additional generators that consider the electromagnetic field. These additional generators extend symmetries by being invariant under electromagnetic gauge transformations.

The Galilei-Maxwell algebra is an algebraic structure that is an extension of the Galilei algebra with respect to the principles of electromagnetism under non-relativistic conditions. The Galilei-Maxwell algebra allows us to explore how electromagnetic phenomena would behave in a theoretical framework where the speed of light is not the ultimate speed limit and where the effects of special relativity can be neglected. This is particularly useful in condensed matter physics and other areas where the velocities involved are much smaller than the speed of light and the relativistic effects are minimal. In summary, the Galilei-Maxwell algebra provides a way to understand electromagnetism in a non-relativistic context, which gives a theoretical basis for the study of systems where relativistic effects are not significant [68, 70, 71].

Carroll-Maxwell algebra An ultra-relativistic Maxwell-type extension in an electromagnetic background introduced in [67, 72], which is referred to as the "Carroll-Maxwell" algebra 6 :

$$\{P_{i}, P_{j}\} = Z_{ij}, \quad \{H, P_{i}\} = -Z_{i}, \quad \{P_{i}, B_{j}\} = -H\delta_{ij}, \\
\{\hat{P}_{i}, \hat{J}_{jk}\} = -\hat{P}_{k}\delta_{ij} + \hat{P}_{j}\delta_{ik}, \quad \{\hat{B}_{i}, \hat{J}_{jk}\} = -\hat{B}_{k}\delta_{ij} + \hat{B}_{j}\delta_{ik}, \\
\{\hat{J}_{ij}, \hat{J}_{kl}\} = \delta_{ik}\hat{J}_{jl} - \delta_{il}\hat{J}_{jk} - \delta_{jk}\hat{J}_{il} + \delta_{jl}\hat{J}_{ik}, \\
\{\hat{J}_{ij}, \hat{Z}_{kl}\} = \delta_{ik}\hat{Z}_{il} - \delta_{il}\hat{Z}_{ik} - \delta_{ik}\hat{Z}_{il} + \delta_{jl}\hat{Z}_{ik}.$$
(5.5)

In order to incorporate electromagnetic fields within the ultra-relativistic framework, additional generators are introduced into the Carroll-Maxwell algebra [72], which are $Z_{ij} = \partial_i A_j - \partial_j A_i$ and $Z_i = \partial_i \phi + \partial_t A_i$. The Carroll-Maxwell algebra is an intriguing extension of the Carroll algebra that integrates electromagnetism into the ultra-relativistic framework, opposite to the known non-relativistic (Galilean) one. The Carroll-Maxwell algebra helps to understand how Maxwell's equations of electromagnetism might be modified in a Carrolllian spacetime. It provides insight into the symmetries of these equations in different spacetime structures [72].

⁶In this case, we derive the k = 1 contraction of the Maxwell algebra. For the k contraction related to the Carroll case of the Maxwell algebra, refer to [67].

5.3 Fracton Algebra

The algebraic structure of fractons is related to their unique symmetries and conservation laws. Fractons are associated with a different set of symmetries that constrain their dynamics. The algebraic framework for fractions often involves tensor gauge theories and spatial symmetries that are more complex than those found in traditional field theories. Before introducing its Maxwell-type extension, we briefly mention fracton algebra and its structure. In the previous section, as mentioned earlier, the fractions adhere to charge and dipole conservation laws, with \hat{Q} and \hat{Q}_i serving as symmetry generators for these conservation laws. In addition to these two conservation laws, we assume that the system is translationally invariant, which implies the conservation of momentum. Furthermore, there are also invariant under rotations and translations in space and time. This symmetry group is referred to as the "fracton" symmetry, which has the following commutation relations [36]

$$\{\hat{Q}, \hat{Q}_{i}\} = \{\hat{Q}, \hat{P}_{i}\} = 0, \quad \{\hat{P}_{i}, \hat{Q}_{j}\} = \delta_{ij}\hat{Q}, \{\hat{P}_{i}, \hat{J}_{jk}\} = \delta_{ik}\hat{P}_{j} - \delta_{ij}\hat{P}_{k}, \quad \{\hat{Q}_{i}, \hat{J}_{jk}\} = \delta_{ik}\hat{Q}_{j} - \delta_{ij}\hat{Q}_{k}, \{\hat{J}_{ij}, \hat{J}_{kl}\} = \delta_{il}\hat{J}_{jk} - \delta_{ik}\hat{J}_{jl} + \delta_{jk}\hat{J}_{il} - \delta_{jl}\hat{J}_{ik}.$$

$$(5.6)$$

Where the Hamiltonian is central in this algebra. In the above algebra, we do not have any boost symmetry, if we ignore \hat{Q} and \hat{Q}_i , our algebra reduces to the Aristotelian algebra [36]. The background geometry in the absence of boost symmetry is referred to as absolute or Aristotelian space-time. The fracton algebra (5.6) can be compared with the Carroll algebra (5.1), where the roles of the boost generator \hat{B}_i and the dipole generator \hat{Q}_i have been interchanged, as well as the roles of \hat{E} and \hat{Q} .

5.4 Fracton-Maxwell algebra

In this section, we aim to extend fracton algebra to include gauge fields, thereby facilitating a comparison with the Carroll-Maxwell algebra. In the following, we present the *Fracton-Maxwell* algebra. Considering the following symmetry generators in scalar charge theory,

$$\hat{H} = E - d^i \partial_i A_0, \quad \hat{P}_i = p_i - d^j A_{ij}, \quad \hat{J}_{ij} = \epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon^{lmk} x_m (p_l - d^n A_{ln}), \tag{5.7}$$

where the dipole moment is $\vec{d} = q\vec{x}$, the Fracton-Maxwell algebra can be expressed as:

$$\{\hat{P}_{i}.\hat{Q}_{j}\} = -\delta_{ij}\hat{Q}, \quad \{\hat{J}_{ij},\hat{P}_{k}\} = \delta_{jk}\hat{P}_{i} - \delta_{ik}\hat{P}_{j}, \\ \{\hat{J}_{ij},\hat{Q}_{k}\} = \delta_{jk}\hat{Q}_{i} - \delta_{ik}\hat{Q}_{j}, \\ \{\hat{J}_{ij},\hat{J}_{kl}\} = \delta_{ik}\hat{J}_{jl} - \delta_{il}\hat{J}_{jk} - \delta_{jk}\hat{J}_{il} + \delta_{jl}\hat{J}_{ik}, \\ \{\hat{P}_{l},\hat{P}_{m}\} = \hat{Q}^{j}\hat{Z}_{lmj}, \\ \{\hat{H},\hat{P}_{l}\} = \hat{Q}\hat{Z}_{l} + \hat{Q}^{i}\hat{Z}_{il}, \\ \{\hat{J}_{ij},\hat{Z}_{klm}\} = \delta_{jk}Z_{ilm} - \delta_{ik}Z_{jlm} + \delta_{jl}Z_{kim} - \delta_{il}Z_{kjm} + \delta_{jm}Z_{kli} - \delta_{im}Z_{klj} \\ \{\hat{J}_{ij},\hat{Z}_{lm}\} = \delta_{jl}Z_{im} - \delta_{il}Z_{jm} + \delta_{jm}Z_{li} - \delta_{im}Z_{lj} \\ \{\hat{J}_{ij},\hat{Z}_{m}\} = \delta_{im}Z_{j} - \delta_{jm}Z_{i}.$$

$$(5.8)$$

where the relations $Z_{ijk} = \partial_i A_{jk} - \partial_j A_{ik}$, $\hat{Z}_{il} = \partial_t A_{ij} - \partial_l \partial_i A_0$ and $Z_i = -\partial_i A_0$ are defined. The topic of fractons in an electromagnetic field is a highly specialized one that lies at the intersection of quantum field theory, condensed matter physics, and electromagnetism.

5.5 Vector charge algebra

As suggested by the vector charge theory of fractons (see Sec. 2.2), we can extend the gauge theory of fractons by replacing the scalar charge density with a vector. Consequently, we identify the operators in vector charge theory as follows:

$$\hat{H} = E, \quad \hat{P}_i = p_i, \quad \hat{Q}_i = q_i, \quad \hat{J} = \vec{x} \times \vec{p}, \quad \hat{D} = \vec{x} \times \vec{q}.$$
(5.9)

The operator \hat{Q}_i represents a vector charge operator and the operator \hat{D}_{ij} denotes a generalized higherrank dipole moment in vector charge theory. Hence, the algebra of vector charges can be be represented as follows:

$$\{ \hat{Q}_{i}, \hat{D}_{jk} \} = \{ \hat{Q}_{i}, \hat{P}_{j} \} = 0, \quad \{ \hat{P}_{i}, \hat{D}_{jk} \} = \delta_{ij} \hat{Q}_{k} - \delta_{ik} \hat{Q}_{j}, \{ \hat{P}_{i}, \hat{J}_{jk} \} = \delta_{ik} \hat{P}_{j} - \delta_{ij} \hat{P}_{k}, \quad \{ \hat{J}_{ij} \hat{D}_{kl} \} = \delta_{il} \hat{D}_{jk} - \delta_{ik} \hat{D}_{jl} + \delta_{jk} \hat{D}_{il} - \delta_{jl} \hat{D}_{ik}, \{ \hat{J}_{ij}, \hat{J}_{kl} \} = \delta_{il} \hat{J}_{jk} - \delta_{ik} \hat{J}_{jl} + \delta_{jk} \hat{J}_{il} - \delta_{jl} \hat{J}_{ik}.$$

$$(5.10)$$

5.5.1 Vector charge-Maxwell algebra

When a vector charge theory interacts with a symmetric tensor gauge field, A_{ij} , certain operators in the vector charge theory acquire additional terms. This can be demonstrated as follows:

$$\hat{H} = E - q^i \phi_i, \quad \hat{P}_i = p_i - q^j A_{ij}, \quad \hat{J}_{ij} = \epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon^{lmk} x_m (p_l - q^n A_{ln}).$$
 (5.11)

Here, \vec{q} represents a vector charge. The above relation can be compared with the definitions of scalar theory (5.7). These definitions are supported by the dynamical considerations performed in Secs. (2.1) and (2.2). Then, the vector charge-Maxwell algebra can be expressed as follows:

$$\{ \hat{Q}_{i}, \hat{D}_{jk} \} = \{ \hat{Q}_{i}, \hat{P}_{j} \} = 0, \quad \{ \hat{P}_{i}, \hat{D}_{jk} \} = \delta_{ij}\hat{Q}_{k} - \delta_{ik}\hat{Q}_{j}, \\
\{ \hat{P}_{i}, \hat{J}_{jk} \} = \delta_{ik}\hat{P}_{j} - \delta_{ij}\hat{P}_{k}, \quad \{ \hat{J}_{ij}, \hat{D}_{kl} \} = \delta_{il}\hat{D}_{jk} - \delta_{ik}\hat{D}_{jl} + \delta_{jk}\hat{D}_{il} - \delta_{jl}\hat{D}_{ik}, \\
\{ \hat{J}_{ij}, \hat{J}_{kl} \} = \delta_{il}\hat{J}_{jk} - \delta_{ik}\hat{J}_{jl} + \delta_{jk}\hat{J}_{il} - \delta_{jl}\hat{J}_{ik}, \\
\{ \hat{P}_{l}, \hat{P}_{m} \} = \hat{Q}^{j}\hat{Z}_{lmj}, \qquad (5.12) \\
\{ \hat{H}, \hat{P}_{j} \} = \hat{Q}^{i}\hat{Z}_{ij} \\
\{ \hat{J}_{ij}, \hat{Z}_{klm} \} = \delta_{jk}Z_{ilm} - \delta_{ik}Z_{jlm} + \delta_{jl}Z_{kim} - \delta_{il}Z_{kjm} + \delta_{jm}Z_{kli} - \delta_{im}Z_{klj} \\
\{ \hat{J}_{ij}, \hat{Z}_{lm} \} = \delta_{jl}Z_{im} - \delta_{il}Z_{jm} + \delta_{jm}Z_{li} - \delta_{im}Z_{lj}.
\end{cases}$$

This algebra is closed and has a similar structure to those of the fracton-Maxwell algebra with definitions $Z_{ijk} = \partial_i A_{jk} - \partial_j A_{ik}, \hat{Z}_{il} = \partial_t A_{ij} - \partial_l \phi_i.$

5.6 Algebraic duality

In this subsection, we will explore the duality between non-Lorentzian and fracton algebras and their extensions. As explored and demonstrated in Sec. 4.4, fractons and Carroll particles exhibit a duality. We expect to see this duality reflected at the algebraic level. In the following, we delve deeper into their extensions and dualities.

Fracton/Carroll algebra duality : The fracton algebra (5.6) is dual to the Carroll algebra (5.1) if the dipole operator \hat{Q}_i in the fracton algebra (5.6) is replaced with the Carroll boost operator \hat{B}_i in the Carroll algebra (5.1). This demonstrates that in both algebras (5.6) and (5.1), the Hamiltonian operator \hat{H} serves as a central charge. **Fracton-Maxwell/Carroll-Maxwell algebra duality :** The fracton-Maxwell algebra (5.8) describes the symmetries of fracton dipoles in the presence of the symmetric tensor gauge fields A_{ij} and their conjugate fields E_{ij} and B_i^j . On the other hand, the Carroll-Maxwell algebra (5.5) describes the symmetries of Carroll charge particles in the gauge field \vec{A} and the conjugate fields \vec{E} and \vec{B} . As we observed at the action and dynamics levels, these particles exhibit duality. However, at the algebraic level, the structures in (5.8) and (5.5) show fundamental differences between these algebras, especially for the commutators $\{\hat{P}_l, \hat{P}_m\}$ and $\{\hat{H}, \hat{P}_m\}$, although they still share some similarities.

6 Gauging Fracton Algebra and COM Gravity

As demonstrated, fractons naturally couple to spatial two-index symmetric tensor gauge fields A_{ij} . This suggests that dipole conservation is related to spin-2 fields and possibly gravity [7, 21]. Several authors have investigated the internal dynamics of fracton systems and explored their potential connection to emergent gravitational phenomena. The initial clue arises from the fact that gravity is also described by a symmetric tensor represented by the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ [7]. They show that the tensor gauge field A_{ij} is analogous to the spatial components metric tensor, implying a relationship between the conservation of dipoles and the spin-2 fields, and possibly the gravitational field [21]. Fractons act as emergent sources for this tensor gauge field, so it can be suggested that fractons generate a non-zero curvature in a manner analogous to how matter acts as a source for the curvature of the metric in gravity. In [7], it was proposed that the fracton (im)mobility could be understood as a manifestation of Mach's principle. Another interesting connection between fractons and gravity was illustrated in [21, 73]. A clear distinction between the tensor gauge theories discussed so far and gravity is that the former are not Lorentz invariant; often, they are not even formulated in a Lorentz covariant manner [21]. A deeper connection between fracton order and geometry is also suggested by further studies of lattice models [74–76].

In [73, 77], they consider the theory of a symmetric tensor field in 4D, invariant under a subclass of infinitesimal diffeomorphism transformations. The most general action of this theory contains, but is not limited to, linearized gravity. The resulting gauge fixed theory contains both fractons and linearized gravity as a limit. In [21], the authors introduce a spacetime Lorentz covariant version of dipole symmetry. By considering gauge fields in the presence of a suitable background field, they develop a theory that includes both a massive gauge-invariant antisymmetric field and a massless symmetric field, reminiscent of linearized gravity. The work of Bertolini et al. [38] proposes a generalized covariant Maxwell-like action for the fractons. Starting with a partially symmetric rank-3 tensor field strength $F_{\mu\nu\rho}(x)$ that satisfies a Bianchi identity, they construct an action which remains invariant under the covariant fracton transformation ($\delta_{fracton}A_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}\Lambda$), comprises two distinct terms: one associated with linearized gravity (LG) and the other specifically related to fractons. The fracton electrodynamics can also be obtained from a relativistic higher-dimensional theory upon dimensional reduction [78].

In this section, first, we consider fracton algebra and gauge it to determine the geometry to which the associated fields couple. We subsequently analyze the spacetime geometry obtained through this gauging procedure. Then, the next subsection introduces a new algebraic structure for spacetime, which we term the Center of Mass (COM) algebra. Motivated by fracton algebra, we gauge this COM algebra to investigate the resulting spacetime structure.

6.1 Gauging the Fracton Algebra

This subsection focuses on the fracton symmetry group and its underlying geometry using algebra gauging. Following recent work demonstrating that gauging non-Lorentzian algebras (Galilean and Carroll) leads to non-Lorentzian geometries [79–81], we are motivated to gauge the full fracton algebra (Eq. 2.8) to investigate the geometric background to which fractons couple and to analyze the resulting structure.

6.1.1 The (co)frame bundle

We start by defining a geometric structure composed of a 1-form τ_{μ} (clock-form) and a symmetric covariant tensor $h_{\mu\nu}$ (spatial metric), where neither field has been assigned any local tangent space transformations. The signature of $h_{\mu\nu}$ is (0, 1..., 1). For a better and clearer understanding of the spatial metric $h_{\mu\nu}$, we can decompose it into vielbeins in the following manner

$$h_{\mu\nu} = \delta_{ab} e^{\mu}_b e^b_\nu, \tag{6.1}$$

where a = 1, ..., d and μ takes d + 1 values. We can therefore introduce a dual base (or frame) (v^{μ}, e_{a}^{μ}) for $(\tau_{\mu}, e_{\mu}^{a})$, so that the following orthonormality relations are satisfied by these

$$v^{\mu}\tau_{\mu} = -1, \quad v^{\mu}e^{a}_{\mu} = e^{\mu}_{a}\tau_{\mu} = 0, \quad e^{a}_{\mu}e^{\mu}_{b} = \delta^{a}_{b},$$
 (6.2)

the spatial inverse metric $h^{\mu\nu}$, which we can also use to define, is defined as follows:

$$h^{\mu\nu} = \delta^{ab} e^{\mu}_a e^{\nu}_b. \tag{6.3}$$

Although this geometry shares a similar structure with Newton-Cartan and Carrollian geometries [54, 80– 86], it will eventually lead to distinct dynamics. Fracton algebra represents an algebra devoid of boost operators. In geometric terms, we can describe fractons as residing within the framework of spacetime geometry with absolute time.

6.1.2 Algebra valued connection and curvatures

The fracton algebra (5.6) contains five generators: the spatial translations \hat{P}_i , the time translations \hat{H} , rotation \hat{J}_{ij} , and also charge and dipole generators \hat{Q} and \hat{Q}_i . To construct the vielbein formalism and ultimately the spacetime geometry of fractons, one can directly define the vielbein bundle and its connections. We can see that these viblines are constructed by gauging the fracton algebra, as the gauging procedure of Carroll and Galilean algebras gives us a compatible vibline system [79–81].

In order to gauge the fracton algebra we define a connection A_{μ} as

$$A_{\mu} = \hat{H}\tau_{\mu} + \hat{P}_{a}e^{a}_{\mu} + \frac{1}{2}\hat{J}_{ab}\omega^{ab}_{\mu} + \hat{Q}_{a}n^{a}_{\mu} + \hat{Q}n_{\mu}, \qquad (6.4)$$

the value of μ assumes d+1 distinct values, reflecting the fact that the system contains one time generator and d space translation generators. In general, we work with a (d+1)-dimensional space-time. This connection transforms as [36]

$$\delta A_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} \Pi + [A_{\mu}, \Pi], \tag{6.5}$$

where we defined Π as

$$\Pi = \xi^{\mu} A_{\mu} + \Sigma, \tag{6.6}$$

in this context, ξ^{μ} can be considered the spacetime parameter, and Σ is defined as

$$\Sigma = \frac{1}{2}\hat{J}_{ab}\lambda^{ab} + \hat{Q}_a\lambda^a + \hat{Q}\lambda, \qquad (6.7)$$

in which λ^{ab} , λ^a and λ are the local rotation, local dipole shift and the standard U(1) gauge transformation, respectively [36]. Eq.(6.5) represents a gauge transformation, but it is not a valid internal transformation at this stage. To obtain a proper internal transformation rule, more precisely, with the help of the curvature 2-form [36, 79–81]

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}A_{\mu} + [A_{\mu}, A_{\nu}], \qquad (6.8)$$

one can define the proper internal transformation $\bar{\delta}$ based on δ as

$$\bar{\delta}A_{\mu} = \delta A_{\mu} - \xi^{\nu}F_{\mu\nu} = \mathcal{L}_{\xi}A_{\mu} + \partial_{\mu}\Sigma + [A_{\mu}, \Sigma].$$
(6.9)

We can easily derive the proper transformations for our vielbeins in (6.4) as the following [36]

$$\bar{\delta}\tau_{\mu} = \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\tau_{\mu},\tag{6.10}$$

$$\bar{\delta}e^a_\mu = \mathcal{L}_\xi e^a_\mu + \lambda^a_b e^b_\mu, \tag{6.11}$$

$$\bar{\delta}n^a_\mu = \mathcal{L}_{\xi}n^a_\mu + \partial_\mu\lambda^a + \lambda^a_b n^b_\mu + \lambda^b\omega^a_{\mu\ b}, \qquad (6.12)$$

$$\bar{\delta}n_{\mu} = \mathcal{L}_{\xi}n_{\mu} + \partial_{\mu}\lambda + \lambda_{a}e^{a}_{\mu}, \qquad (6.13)$$

$$\bar{\delta}\omega^{ab}_{\mu} = \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\omega^{ab}_{\mu} + \partial_{\mu}\lambda^{ab} + \lambda^{a}_{c}\omega^{cb}_{\mu} - \lambda^{b}_{c}\omega^{ca}_{\mu}.$$
(6.14)

The physical interpretation of the curvature 2-form involved in our local gauge transformation is essential to study. The curvature 2-form projection can be written as

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \hat{H}R_{\mu\nu}(H) + \hat{P}_a R^a_{\mu\nu}(P) + \frac{1}{2}\hat{J}_{ab}R^{ab}_{\mu\nu}(J) + \hat{Q}_a R^a_{\mu\nu}(Q_a) + \hat{Q}R_{\mu\nu}(Q), \qquad (6.15)$$

then the expressions for the components of the field strength $F_{\mu\nu}$ for the fracton symmetry are as follows:

$$R_{\mu\nu}(H) = \partial_{[\mu}\tau_{\nu]},\tag{6.16}$$

$$R^{a}_{\mu\nu}(P) = \partial_{[\mu}e^{a}_{\nu]} - \omega^{ab}_{[\mu}e_{\nu]b}, \qquad (6.17)$$

$$R^{a}_{\mu\nu}(Q_{a}) = \partial_{[\mu}n^{a}_{\nu]} - \omega^{ab}_{[\mu}n_{\nu]b}, \qquad (6.18)$$

$$R_{\mu\nu}(Q) = \partial_{[\mu}n_{\nu]} - e^a_{[\mu}n_{\nu]a}, \qquad (6.19)$$

$$R^{ab}_{\mu\nu}(J) = \partial_{[\mu}\omega^{ab}_{\nu]} - \omega^{ca}_{[\mu}\omega^{b}_{\nu]c}.$$
(6.20)

The curvature 2-form is a fundamental entity that plays a significant role in analyzing the constraints of the base manifold. In Aristotelian geometry, there exist only 2-form curvatures $R_{\mu\nu}(H)$, $R^a_{\mu\nu}(P)$, and $R^{ab}_{\mu\nu}(J)$. In fracton algebra, we encounter a greater variety of symmetries compared to Aristotelian symmetry, including dipole and U(1) symmetries. Consequently, fracton geometry exhibits more 2-form curvatures than Aristotelian geometry. However, we consider dipole and U(1) symmetries not as part of spacetime symmetries but rather as internal symmetries, as explained in [36]. The curvature 2-forms $R_{\mu\nu}(H)$ and $R^a_{\mu\nu}(P)$ describe both temporal and spatial torsion, while $R^{ab}_{\mu\nu}(J)$ specifically characterizes spatial curvature.

Covariant derivative, denoted by \mathcal{D}_{μ} , can be defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mu}\tau_{\nu} = \partial_{\mu}\tau_{\nu} - \Gamma^{\rho}_{\mu\nu}\tau_{\rho}, \qquad (6.21)$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mu}e^{a}_{\nu} = \partial_{\mu}e^{a}_{\nu} - \Gamma^{\rho}_{\mu\nu}e^{a}_{\rho} - \omega^{a}_{\mu b}e^{b}_{\nu}.$$
(6.22)

which help us to describe the properties of the curvatures in $\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}$ in terms of an affine connection $\Gamma^{\rho}_{\mu\nu}$ that should be invariant under the fracton transformations, we start by requiring covariance conditions

[36, 79–81]:

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mu}\tau_{\nu} = 0, \tag{6.23}$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mu}e_{\nu}^{a} = 0. \tag{6.24}$$

Thus we find $\Gamma^{\nu}_{\mu\rho}$ in terms of the vielbeins and connections,

$$\Gamma^{\rho}_{\mu\nu}e^a_{\rho}e^\lambda_a = e^\lambda_a(\partial_\mu e^a_\nu - \omega^a_{\mu b}e^b_\nu), \tag{6.25}$$

$$\Gamma^{\rho}_{\mu\nu}\tau_{\rho}v^{\lambda} = -v^{\lambda}\partial_{\mu}\tau_{\nu}, \qquad (6.26)$$

where v^{λ} and e_a^{λ} are the temporal and spatial inverse vielbines. By following relation (6.2), we can naturally find the fundamental orthonormality condition

$$v^{\mu}h_{\mu\nu} = 0, \tag{6.27}$$

and we can find that the protective inverse of τ_{μ} and $h_{\mu\nu}$ naturally satisfy the completeness relations

$$v^{\mu}\tau_{\nu} = -\delta^{\mu}_{\nu} + h^{\mu\lambda}h_{\lambda\nu}.$$
(6.28)

The inverse vielbein transforms under the $\bar{\delta}$ transformations as

$$\bar{\delta}v^{\mu} = \mathcal{L}_{\xi}v^{\mu},\tag{6.29}$$

$$\bar{\delta}e^{\mu}_{a} = \mathcal{L}_{\xi}e^{\mu}_{a} + \lambda^{b}_{a}e^{\mu}_{b}, \qquad (6.30)$$

then we find

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mu}v^{\nu} = \partial_{\mu}v^{\nu} + \Gamma^{\nu}_{\mu\rho}v^{\rho} = 0, \qquad (6.31)$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mu}e_{a}^{\nu} = \partial_{\mu}e_{a}^{\nu} + \Gamma_{\mu\rho}^{\nu}e_{a}^{\rho} - \omega_{a}^{\mu b}e_{b}^{\nu} = 0.$$

$$(6.32)$$

By subtracting the equations in (6.25) and employing the vielbein completeness relation, we can derive the following equation

$$\Gamma^{\rho}_{\mu\nu} = -v^{\rho}\partial_{\mu}\tau_{\nu} + e^{\rho}_{a}(\partial_{\mu}e^{a}_{\nu} - \omega^{a}_{\mu b}e^{b}_{\nu}), \qquad (6.33)$$

Now we are ready to find the torsion tensor $\Gamma^{\rho}_{[\mu\nu]}$ and the Riemann curvature tensor $R^{\rho}_{\mu\nu\sigma}$ as follows:

$$2\Gamma^{\rho}_{[\mu\nu]} = -2v^{\rho}\partial_{[\mu}\tau_{\nu]} + 2e^{\rho}_{a}(\partial_{[\mu}e^{a}_{\nu]} - \omega^{a}_{[\mu|b|}e^{b}_{\nu]})$$
(6.34)

$$= -v^{\rho}R_{\mu\nu}(H) + e^{\rho}_{a}R^{a}_{\mu\nu}(P).$$
(6.35)

As mentioned in the previous subsection, $R_{\mu\nu}(H)$ and $R^a_{\mu\nu}(P)$ represent the temporal and spatial components of the spacetime torsion. The Riemann curvature tensor $R^{\rho}_{\mu\nu\sigma}$ defined as

$$R^{\rho}_{\mu\nu\sigma} = -\partial_{\mu}\Gamma^{\rho}_{\nu\sigma} + \partial_{\nu}\Gamma^{\rho}_{\mu\sigma} - \Gamma^{\rho}_{\mu\lambda}\Gamma^{\lambda}_{\nu\sigma} + \Gamma^{\rho}_{\nu\lambda}\Gamma^{\lambda}_{\mu\sigma}, \qquad (6.36)$$

thus, the Riemann curvature tensor satisfies

$$R^{\rho}_{\mu\nu\sigma} = -e^{\rho}_{b}e_{\sigma\ a}(\partial_{[\mu}\omega^{ab}_{\nu]} - \omega^{ca}_{[\mu}\omega^{b}_{\nu]c}) = -e^{\rho}_{b}e_{\sigma\ a}R^{ab}_{\mu\nu}(J).$$
(6.37)

6.1.3 Aristotelian Affine Connection

In this subsection we aim to find the general solution for the metric connection. Starting with the vielbein postulate and metric compatibility, in fracton case by utilizing the fact that ω_{μ}^{ab} is antisymmetric, we can deduce that compatibility with the fracton structure implies that

$$\nabla_{\rho}h_{\mu\nu} = \nabla_{\rho}h^{\mu\nu} = 0. \tag{6.38}$$

The most general form of $\Gamma^{\nu}_{\mu\rho}$ obeys the condition of metric compatibility, which states " $\nabla_{\rho}h_{\mu\nu} = \nabla_{\mu}h_{\rho\nu} = \nabla_{\nu}h_{\mu\rho} = 0$ ". From vielbein postulate of e^{a}_{μ} we have

$$\nabla_{\mu}h_{\rho\nu} = \partial_{\mu}h_{\rho\nu} - \Gamma^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu}h_{\rho\lambda} - \Gamma^{\lambda}_{\mu\rho}h_{\nu\lambda} = 0.$$
(6.39)

Through the application of permutations to the indices, followed by the summation of the resulting values, the desired result is obtained as follows:

$$2\Gamma^{\lambda}_{(\mu\nu)}h_{\lambda\rho} = (\partial_{\mu}h_{\nu\rho} + \partial_{\nu}h_{\mu\rho} - \partial_{\rho}h_{\mu\nu}) - 2\Gamma^{\lambda}_{[\mu\rho]}h_{\nu\lambda} - 2\Gamma^{\lambda}_{[\nu\rho]}h_{\mu\lambda}, \qquad (6.40)$$

this equation can be contracted with v^{ρ} , which gives

$$K_{\mu\nu} = -v^{\rho}h_{\nu\lambda}\Gamma^{\lambda}_{[\rho\mu]} - v^{\rho}h_{\mu\lambda}\Gamma^{\lambda}_{[\rho\nu]}, \qquad (6.41)$$

while the extrinsic curvature, $K_{\mu\nu}$, is defined as:

$$K_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_v h_{\mu\nu},\tag{6.42}$$

which is a purely spatial quantity, $v^{\mu}K_{\mu\nu} = 0$. In accordance with the definition of the extrinsic curvature provided in equation (6.41), we propose the following ansatz:

$$\Gamma^{\lambda}_{[\rho\mu]} = \tau_{[\rho} K_{\mu]\sigma} h^{\lambda\sigma} + \Theta^{\lambda}_{[\rho\mu]}, \qquad (6.43)$$

where $\Theta^{\lambda}_{[\rho\mu]}$ is an arbitrary tensor. This ansatz is motivated by [80], where Carroll algebra is studied. It differs from those considered in [87] and [88]. The conditions in (6.39) remain valid if we have

$$v^{\mu}\Theta^{\lambda}_{[\rho\mu]} = 0, \quad \Theta^{\lambda}_{[\rho\mu]}h_{\nu\lambda} + \Theta^{\lambda}_{[\mu\nu]}h_{\rho\lambda=0}, \tag{6.44}$$

assuming that $\Theta_{[\rho\mu]}^{\lambda}$ is entirely spatial. Now, by considering (6.43) and using this relation in (6.40), and then by adding $2\Gamma_{[\mu\nu]}^{\lambda}h_{\lambda\rho}$ to both sides of (6.40), we obtain the following relation for the connection:

$$2\Gamma^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu}h_{\lambda\rho} = (\partial_{\mu}h_{\nu\rho} + \partial_{\nu}h_{\mu\rho} - \partial_{\rho}h_{\mu\nu}) + 2\tau_{[\rho}K_{\mu]\sigma}h^{\lambda\sigma}h_{\nu\lambda} + 2\tau_{[\rho}K_{\nu]\sigma}h^{\lambda\sigma}h_{\mu\lambda} + 2\tau_{[\nu}K_{\mu]\sigma}h^{\lambda\sigma}h_{\rho\lambda} + \Theta^{\lambda}_{[\rho\mu]}h_{\nu\lambda} + \Theta^{\lambda}_{[\rho\nu]}h_{\mu\lambda} + \Theta^{\lambda}_{[\rho\mu]}h_{\rho\lambda}.$$
(6.45)

The Christoffel symbol above is analogous to the Carrollian Christoffel symbol discussed in [80]. However, a fundamental difference exists between them: The Christoffel symbol in (6.45) is invariant under Aristotelian transformations, unlike the Carrollian Christoffel symbol presented in [80]

We can further simplify equation (6.45) by multiplying both sides by $h^{\rho\sigma}$ and using the fact that $\nabla_{\mu}\tau_{\nu} = 0$. Then, we find

$$\Gamma^{\sigma}_{\mu\nu} = -v^{\sigma}\partial_{\mu}\tau_{\nu} - \frac{1}{2}h^{\rho\sigma}(\partial_{\mu}h_{\nu\rho} + \partial_{\nu}h_{\mu\rho} - \partial_{\rho}h_{\mu\nu}) - h^{\rho\sigma}\tau_{\nu}K_{\mu\rho} + \frac{1}{2}h^{\rho\sigma}(2\Theta^{\lambda}_{[\rho\mu]}h_{\nu\lambda} + 2\Theta^{\lambda}_{[\rho\nu]}h_{\mu\lambda} + 2\Theta^{\lambda}_{[\rho\mu]}h_{\rho\lambda}).$$
(6.46)

We can define the spatial tensor $S_{\rho\mu\nu}$

$$S_{\rho\mu\nu} = 2\Theta^{\lambda}_{[\rho\mu]}h_{\nu\lambda} + 2\Theta^{\lambda}_{[\rho\nu]}h_{\mu\lambda} + 2\Theta^{\lambda}_{[\rho\mu]}h_{\rho\lambda}, \qquad (6.47)$$

where $v^{\mu}S_{\rho\mu\nu} = 0$. Finally, we are able to present the definition of an affine connection in Aristotelian geometry as follows:

$$\Gamma^{\sigma}_{\mu\nu} = -v^{\sigma}\partial_{\mu}\tau_{\nu} - \frac{1}{2}h^{\rho\sigma}(\partial_{\mu}h_{\nu\rho} + \partial_{\nu}h_{\mu\rho} - \partial_{\rho}h_{\mu\nu}) + h^{\rho\sigma}(-\tau_{\nu}K_{\mu\rho} + \frac{1}{2}S_{\rho\mu\nu}).$$
(6.48)

This connection incorporates torsion through two distinct terms: an intrinsic torsion, represented by the expressions $\partial_{[\mu}\tau_{\nu]}$ and $K_{\mu\nu}$, and a contribution from $S^{\sigma}_{[\mu\nu]}$, which is defined as follows:

$$\Gamma^{\sigma}_{[\mu\nu]} = -v^{\sigma}\partial_{[\mu}\tau_{\nu]} - h^{\rho\sigma}\tau_{[\nu}K_{\mu]\rho} + \frac{1}{2}S^{\sigma}_{[\mu\nu]}, \qquad (6.49)$$

If we set $S^{\sigma}_{[\mu\nu]} = 0$, then the Aristotelian geometry possesses only an intrinsic torsion that can be represented by the expressions $\partial_{[\mu}\tau_{\nu]}$ and $K_{\mu\nu}$ [89]. The condition (??) implies that $S^{\sigma}_{\mu\nu}v^{\mu} = 0$ and $S^{\sigma}_{\mu\nu}v^{\mu}\tau_{\sigma} = 0$, leading to the result $h^{\sigma\rho}S_{\rho\mu\nu} = S^{\sigma}_{\mu\nu}$. Thus, the final expression for the connection follows from imposing intrinsic torsion and metric compatibility of the affine connection. As a result, we obtain:

$$\Gamma^{\sigma}_{\mu\nu} = -v^{\sigma}\partial_{\mu}\tau_{\nu} + \frac{1}{2}h^{\rho\sigma}(\partial_{\mu}h_{\nu\rho} + \partial_{\nu}h_{\mu\rho} - \partial_{\rho}h_{\mu\nu}) - h^{\rho\sigma}\tau_{\nu}K_{\mu\rho}.$$
(6.50)

The requirement that $\Gamma^{\sigma}_{\mu\nu}$ transforms as an affine connection under general coordinate transformations while remaining invariant under dipole symmetry (or fracton symmetry) is satisfied by expression (6.50).

By combining equations (6.33) and (6.48), we can express $S_{\rho\mu\nu}$ in terms of geometric quantities as follows:

$$\frac{1}{2}h^{\rho\sigma}S_{\rho\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{2}h^{\rho\sigma}(\partial_{\mu}h_{\nu\rho} + \partial_{\nu}h_{\mu\rho} - \partial_{\rho}h_{\mu\nu}) + h^{\rho\sigma}\tau_{\nu}K_{\mu\rho} + e^{\rho}_{a}\partial_{\mu}e^{a}_{\nu} - \omega^{a}_{\mu b}e^{\rho}_{a}e^{b}_{\nu}.$$
(6.51)

In the following subsection, we will introduce a new contravariant vector field to determine the tensor $S_{\rho\mu\nu}$.

6.1.4 Redefinition of fields and affine connection

In this section, we will examine the $\bar{\delta}$ transformations of the set of fields τ_{μ} , e^{a}_{μ} , n_{μ} , n^{a}_{μ} , and ω^{ab}_{μ} in order to reduce the number of independent fields in the given theory. In the context of gauging algebras, such as the Poincaré algebra, it becomes feasible to apply the $\bar{\delta}$ transformations to a reduced set of fields by imposing curvature constraints. For example, setting the torsion to zero enables us to express the spin connection coefficients ω^{ab}_{μ} , in terms of the tetrad components e^{a}_{μ} , as demonstrated in reference [80]. In the context of fracton algebra, no geometric principles allow us to set $R_{\mu\nu}(Q)$ to zero. However, a selection of novel spatial and temporal vielbein combinations provides an opportunity to establish a geometric framework, which reduces the set of independent fields.

We can select new spatial and temporal vielbein combinations within the fracton algebra that will be invariant under fracton symmetry. For this purpose, we can introduce a contravariant vector field n^{μ} which transform as

$$\bar{\delta}n^{\mu} = \mathcal{L}_{\xi}n^{\mu}. \tag{6.52}$$

This allows us to construct dipole-invariant vielbein combinations such as the following

$$\hat{e}^{\mu}_{a} = e^{\mu}_{a} - n^{\sigma} e_{\sigma a} v^{\mu}, \qquad (6.53)$$

$$\hat{\tau}_{\mu} = \tau_{\mu} - h_{\mu\sigma} n^{\sigma}, \tag{6.54}$$

that satisfy

$$v^{\mu}\hat{\tau}_{\mu} = -1, \quad v^{\mu}e^{a}_{\mu} = 0, \quad \hat{e}^{\mu}_{a}\hat{\tau}_{\mu} = 0, \quad e^{a}_{\mu}\hat{e}^{\mu}_{b} = \delta^{a}_{b}.$$
 (6.55)

Now we can define two dipole-invariant metric-like quantities. The first invariant metric can be obtained as follows

$$\bar{h}^{\mu\nu} = h^{\mu\nu} - n^{\mu}v^{\nu} - n^{\nu}v^{\mu}, \qquad (6.56)$$

the second invariant is obtained when \hat{e}^{μ}_{a} is applied to a different metric

$$\hat{h}^{\mu\nu} = \delta^{ab} \hat{e}^{\mu}_{a} \hat{e}^{\nu}_{b} = \bar{h}^{\mu\nu} + \alpha \bar{\Phi} v^{\mu} v^{\nu}, \qquad (6.57)$$

in which we define $\overline{\Phi}$ as follows:

$$\bar{\Phi} = -n^{\nu}\tau_{\nu} + \frac{1}{2}h_{\nu\sigma}n^{\nu}n^{\sigma}.$$
(6.58)

These two dipole invariant metric must satisfy the fundamental orthonormality condition $\hat{\tau}_{\mu}\hat{h}^{\mu\nu} = 0$. In the previous subsection, we proceeded to find the general solution for the metric connection, starting with the vielbein postulates and the metric compatibility, from which we find $\Gamma^{\rho}_{\mu\nu}$ in terms of τ_{μ} , e^{a}_{μ} , and $h_{\mu\nu}$. Here, introducing the vector field n^{μ} further motivates us to express $\Gamma^{\rho}_{\mu\nu}$ in terms of n^{μ} as well. Our primary motivations can be summarized into two key objectives. Firstly, there is no compelling reason to set $R_{\mu\nu}(Q) = 0$; therefore, we accept this as torsion. Secondly, this approach provides a straightforward set of solutions for metric connections concerning the vector n^{μ} . To achieve this, we must determine the tensor $S_{\rho\mu\nu}$ in terms of τ_{μ} , e^{a}_{μ} , and n^{μ} and ensure that they are invariant under the transformations $\bar{\delta}$. Since $S_{\rho\mu\nu}$ is a completely spatial tensor, we have

$$S_{\rho\mu\nu} = 2h_{\nu\lambda}n^{\lambda}K_{\mu\rho} - 2h_{\rho\lambda}n^{\lambda}K_{\nu\mu}, \qquad (6.59)$$

which is invariant under dipole symmetry.

By considering (6.59) and spatial metric (6.56) and substituting them into (6.48), we get

$$\Gamma^{\sigma}_{\mu\nu} = -v^{\sigma}\partial_{\mu}\hat{\tau}_{\nu} + \frac{1}{2}\bar{h}^{\rho\sigma}(\partial_{\mu}h_{\nu\rho} + \partial_{\nu}h_{\mu\rho} - \partial_{\rho}h_{\mu\nu}) - \bar{h}^{\rho\sigma}\hat{\tau}_{\nu}K_{\mu\rho} + \bar{h}^{\rho\sigma}\hat{\tau}_{\rho}K_{\mu\nu}.$$
(6.60)

The affine connection (6.60) possesses the property that when we substitute the metric $\bar{h}^{\rho\sigma}$ with the metric $\hat{h}^{\rho\sigma}$, the expression for $\Gamma^{\sigma}_{\mu\nu}$ remains unaltered. Taking $\alpha = 2$, we have find

$$\Gamma^{\sigma}_{\mu\nu} = -v^{\sigma}\partial_{\mu}\hat{\tau}_{\nu} + \frac{1}{2}\bar{h}^{\rho\sigma}(\partial_{\mu}h_{\nu\rho} + \partial_{\nu}h_{\mu\rho} - \partial_{\rho}h_{\mu\nu}) - \hat{h}^{\rho\sigma}\hat{\tau}_{\nu}K_{\mu\rho}.$$
(6.61)

The connection in (6.60) and (6.61) satisfies the metric compatibility conditions $\nabla_{\rho}h_{\mu\nu} = \nabla_{\mu}v^{\nu} = 0$. However, there are conditions that these connections must satisfy, which are as follows

$$\nabla_{\rho}\hat{\tau}_{\nu} = \nabla_{\rho}\hat{h}^{\mu\sigma} = 0. \tag{6.62}$$

Before introducing the vector n^{μ} in the previous subsection, it was evident that the torsion tensors could not be determined uniquely. However, with the inclusion of the vector n^{μ} , we can now fix the torsion tensor. Thus, we arrive at the following relation

$$\Gamma^{\rho}_{[\mu\nu]} = \hat{e}^{\rho}_{a} R^{a}_{\mu\nu}(P). \tag{6.63}$$

Now we can fix the temporal torsion by utilizing the equation (6.63) as follows

$$R_{\mu\nu}(H) = -\hat{\tau}_{\rho} \Gamma^{\rho}_{[\mu\nu]} = n_a R^a_{\mu\nu}(P), \qquad (6.64)$$

where $n_a = e_{\rho a} n^{\rho}$. It seems that we can introduce another set of definitions by introducing an additional vielbein field m_{ρ} for this case, as we know that Aristotelian geometry admits many invariants. Thus, we can present the new definition as follows:

$$\hat{e}^{a}_{\mu} = e^{a}_{\mu} - e^{\rho a} m_{\rho} \tau_{\mu}, \qquad (6.65)$$

$$\hat{v}^{\mu} = v^{\mu} - h^{\mu\rho} m_{\rho}, \tag{6.66}$$

that this vielbeine field m_{μ} transforms as

$$\bar{\delta}m_{\mu} = \mathcal{L}_{\xi}m_{\mu}.\tag{6.67}$$

Our work differs from previous studies [36], [78], and [21]. In [36], the authors utilize fracton algebra to extract both the background geometry and the low-energy degrees of freedom, which are important for hydrodynamics and for describing field theories with a conserved dipole moment. We extended their procedure to construct the Riemann curvature and demonstrated that we can reduce the number of free fields in the theory by constructing a new vielbein. In [78], the authors investigate the relationship between symmetric gauge fields and gravity, building upon their understanding of MDMA (Monopole Dipole Momentum Algebra) as a contraction of the Poincaré algebra. This analysis offers a geometric interpretation of the fracton charge as the momentum of the matter field in a transverse (internal) spacetime dimension, while the dipole charge is interpreted as the angular momentum in that direction. In [21], the authors explore the Lorentz-covariant generalization of gauge theories, in which both an Abelian charge and its associated spacetime are conserved. Generally, the resulting theory encompasses a massive gauge-invariant antisymmetric field and a massless symmetric field. The latter transforms under gauge transformations as a generalization of gravity. In this section, we employ the gauge procedure to analyze fracton algebra, which includes rotation, time and space translations, and dipole and charge operators unlike the references we mentioned earlier. This approach allows us to derive geometric entities and establish a framework for fracton geometry.

6.2 Gauging the Center of Mass Algebra

In the previous subsection, we examined the fracton algebra that characterizes spacetime as Aristotelian spacetime. Now, we aim to identify a fundamentally different spacetime, which we will refer to as *Center* of Mass spacetime, by extending the Aristotelian framework with the center of mass operator as a new symmetry generator of spacetime. To achieve this goal, we include the center of mass operator \hat{M}_i in the Aristotelian algebra as follows,

$$\{\hat{P}_{i}, \hat{M}_{j}\} = \delta_{ij}\hat{M}, \{\hat{P}_{i}, \hat{J}_{jk}\} = \delta_{ik}\hat{P}_{j} - \delta_{ij}\hat{P}_{k}, \quad \{\hat{M}_{i}, \hat{J}_{jk}\} = \delta_{ik}\hat{M}_{j} - \delta_{ij}\hat{M}_{k}, \{\hat{J}_{ij}, \hat{J}_{kl}\} = \delta_{il}\hat{J}_{jk} - \delta_{ik}\hat{J}_{jl} + \delta_{jk}\hat{J}_{il} - \delta_{jl}\hat{J}_{ik}.$$
(6.68)

The algebra (6.68) resembles the Carrollian algebra. Gauging this algebra is the same as gauging the Carrollian algebra, which begins with a connection A_{μ} as

$$A_{\mu} = \hat{M}\tau_{\mu} + \hat{P}_{a}e^{a}_{\mu} + \frac{1}{2}\hat{J}_{ab}\omega^{ab}_{\mu} + \hat{M}_{a}n^{a}_{\mu}, \qquad (6.69)$$

where the value of μ assumes d + 1 distinct values, reflecting the fact that the system contains one time generator and d space translation generators. Thus, the Riemann curvature tensor satisfies [80]

$$R_{\mu\nu\sigma}^{\ \rho} = v^{\rho} e_{\sigma a} R^{a}_{\mu\nu}(M^{a}) - e^{\rho}_{b} e_{\sigma \ a} R^{ab}_{\mu\nu}(J).$$
(6.70)

with an affine connection as follows:

$$\Gamma^{\sigma}_{\mu\nu} = -v^{\sigma}\partial_{\mu}\tau_{\nu} - \frac{1}{2}h^{\rho\sigma}(\partial_{\mu}h_{\nu\rho} + \partial_{\nu}h_{\mu\rho} - \partial_{\rho}h_{\mu\nu}) + h^{\rho\sigma}(-\tau_{\nu}K_{\mu\rho} + \frac{1}{2}S_{\rho\mu\nu}) - v^{\sigma}\Theta_{\mu\nu}.$$
(6.71)

One of the interesting aspects that can be observed in this case is that we can introduce the vector m^{μ} to keep the vielbein τ_{μ} and e_a^{μ} invariant under mass dipole transformations.

6.3 Fractons and Non-Lorentzian Gravities

Fracton gravity is a theoretical framework for studying the behavior of particles known as fractons from a geometrical perspective. In the context of fracton gravity, we can describe the interaction between fractons using geometric concepts, analogous to how gravitational attraction is expressed in general relativity. A key aspect of fracton gravity is its connection to Mach's principle, which suggests that the inertia of a body is the result of its interaction with the rest of the universe's mass. In fracton gravity, an isolated particle is immobile, but it gains inertia from the presence of a large-scale distribution of other fractons, embodying Mach's principle at the effective level [7].

In the previous sections, we have established that fracton particles exhibit duality with non-Lorentzian particles. In this section, inspired by the duality of Fracton/Non-Lorentzian theories, we will explore the relationship between fracton gravity and the non-Lorentzian gravities. There are two different limits of general relativity that can be defined at the level of Einstein-Hilbert action. The first limit is the ultrarelativistic limit, which leads to a Carroll gravity theory that is invariant under the Carroll symmetry. The second limit is a non-relativistic limit, the so-called Galilei limit, differing from the Newton-Cartan limit by the absence of a mass parameter and a central charge gauge field [90]. The analysis of gauging the center of mass algebra (6.68) demonstrates that incorporating the conservation of dipole in the structure of spacetime results in a Carrollian spacetime. According to Carroll gravity, the Einstein-Hilbert action, is as follows [90]:

$$S_{\text{Carroll/COM}} = \frac{1}{16\pi G_C} \int e(2v^{\mu} e^{\nu}_a R^a_{\mu\nu}(M) + e^{\mu}_a e^{\nu}_b R^{ab}_{\mu\nu}(J)), \qquad (6.72)$$

where $R^a_{\mu\nu}(M)$ is curvature 2-form of the center of mass/Carroll boost symmetry and $e = det(\tau_{\mu}, e^a_{\mu})$. In the second-order formalism it has the following form:

$$S_{\text{Carroll/COM}} = \frac{1}{16\pi G_C} \int e h^{\mu\nu} (R_{\mu\nu} + v^{\rho} \tau_{\sigma} R^{\sigma}_{\mu\nu\rho}), \qquad (6.73)$$

The equations of motion will be obtained by varying v^{μ} and $h^{\mu\nu}$,

$$(\tau_{\lambda}h^{\sigma}_{\mu} - \frac{1}{2}\tau_{\mu}h^{\sigma}_{\lambda})h^{\nu\rho}R^{\lambda}_{\sigma\nu\rho} = 0, \qquad (6.74)$$

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}h_{\mu\nu}\hat{R} = 0, \qquad (6.75)$$

where $h^{\nu}_{\mu} = h_{\mu\rho}h^{\nu\rho}$ and $\hat{R} = h^{\mu\nu}(R_{\mu\nu} + v^{\rho}\tau_{\sigma}R^{\sigma}_{\mu\nu\rho}).$

Another interpretation of equations (6.74) is a form of gravity that includes the conservation of the center of mass.

7 Discussion and outlook

The study of fractons, characterized by their constrained mobility and unique excitation spectra, has become a vibrant area of research in condensed matter and high-energy physics [1–3]. Initial investigations, pioneered by Chamon [12], focused on exactly solvable spin models, leveraging tools from quantum information theory to understand the exotic properties of these systems. These efforts aimed to elucidate the nature of quantum glassiness and explore the potential of fracton immobility for robust quantum memories [18]. Concurrently, Pretko's work on symmetric tensor gauge theories [4] established connections between fractons and other areas of physics, including elasticity theory and gravity [7, 20]. The coupling of fractons to spatial two-index symmetric tensor gauge fields (A_{ij}) hinted at a deep connection between dipole conservation, spin-2 fields, and potentially gravity itself. Further research has explored the internal dynamics of fracton systems, seeking links to emergent gravitational phenomena [7, 21].

More recently, the exploration of non-Lorentzian physics has provided a new perspective on fractons. Non-relativistic limits of relativistic theories, such as Galilean and Carroll symmetries, offer alternative frameworks for describing physical phenomena. The Galilean limit, well-established in non-relativistic quantum mechanics and effective field theories [23–28], and the Carroll limit, arising when the speed of light approaches zero [23, 29–32], have revealed intriguing connections to fracton physics. Specifically, prior works [33, 34] have initiated the study of duality between Carroll/Galilean particles and Fractons.

Building upon these foundations, our work explores the relationship between fractons and non-Lorentzian particles, particularly focusing on the quage field couplings of fractons and the conditions influencing their mobility. We have demonstrated a duality between static fractons in gauge fields and the electric sector of charged Carroll particles in electromagnetic fields. By systematically employing a limiting procedure, as described in Sections 3 and 4, we have derived the non-Lorentzian particle dynamics and established their dual descriptions on the fractonic side (Section 4.4). These results extend previously known duality and provide a more comprehensive understanding of the correspondence between fractons and non-Lorentzian physics.

Key findings include:

- Fracton-Carroll duality: Static fractons in gauge fields are dual to electric Carroll particles; both are immobile under electrostatic forces. However, mobile fracton dipoles map to magnetic-sector Carroll particles, exhibiting motion governed by tensor gauge fields.
- Fracton-Galilean duality: Mobile dipoles in scalar charge theory align with Galilean massless particles, experiencing Lorentz forces from both electric and magnetic fields. Lineons, restricted to one-dimensional motion, further mirror constrained sectors of Galilean electromagnetism.
- Algebraic connections: The fracton algebra and its Maxwell-type extensions share structural parallels with Carroll and Galilean algebras, emphasizing the role of dipole symmetry as a spacetime constraint.
- Geometric manifestations: Gauging the fracton algebra reveals a spacetime geometry characterized by absolute time and spatial torsion, similar to Aristotelian frameworks but enhanced by dipole

conservation as internal symmetries. Additionally, extending the Aristotelian algebra with a center of mass operator exhibits a geometry akin to Carrollian. This geometry provides a foundation for formulating curvature invariants and gravitational actions that preserve the center of mass conservation law.

We have investigated the geometric implications of the fracton algebra in Section 5, where we explored the fracton algebra, examining its extensions and its connection to the Carroll algebra, offering both mathematical and physical insights. These geometric frameworks offer an approach to understanding fracton phases and their potential connection to emergent gravitational phenomena, drawing inspiration from similar developments in non-Lorentzian geometry [30, 31].

This work opens several avenues for future research. It would be interesting to explore the implications of our findings for specific condensed matter systems that exhibit fracton behavior and investigating dualities for higher-rank fracton phases (e.g., quadrupole-conserving systems) and their correspondence with non-Lorentzian theories. Furthermore, exploring the connections between fracton geometry and non-Lorentzian geometry could lead to a deeper understanding of emergent gravity and unconventional quantum field theories in condensed matter physics. The exploration of these connections promises to further illuminate the rich and complex landscape of fracton physics and its relation to the broader theoretical framework of non-Lorentzian physics.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank M.M. Sheikh-Jabbari, H. Afshar, E. Have for their valuable comments and discussions.

A Fracton - Maxwell theory

For scalar charge theory, the generalized fracton Maxwell-like equations can be expressed as follows [5]:

$$\partial_i \partial_j E^{ij} = 0, \tag{A.1}$$

$$\partial_i B^{ij} = 0, \tag{A.2}$$

$$\epsilon^{iab}\partial_a E^j_b - \partial_t B^{ij} = 0, \tag{A.3}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}(\epsilon^{iab}\partial_a B^j_b + \epsilon^{jab}\partial_a B^i_b + \partial_t E^{ij}) = 0.$$
(A.4)

References

- M. Pretko, X. Chen, and Y. You, "Fracton Phases of Matter," Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 35 (2020), no. 06, 2030003, 2001.01722.
- [2] R. M. Nandkishore and M. Hermele, "Fractons," Ann. Rev. Condensed Matter Phys. 10 (2019) 295–313, 1803.11196.
- [3] A. Gromov and L. Radzihovsky, "Colloquium: Fracton matter," Rev. Mod. Phys. 96 (2024), no. 1, 011001, 2211.05130.
- M. Pretko, "Subdimensional Particle Structure of Higher Rank U(1) Spin Liquids," Phys. Rev. B 95 (2017), no. 11, 115139, 1604.05329.

- [5] M. Pretko, "Generalized Electromagnetism of Subdimensional Particles: A Spin Liquid Story," *Phys. Rev. B* 96 (2017), no. 3, 035119, 1606.08857.
- [6] M. Pretko, Subdimensional particles and higher rank quantum phases of matter. PhD thesis, MIT, Cambridge, Dept. Phys., 2017.
- [7] M. Pretko, "Emergent gravity of fractons: Mach's principle revisited," Phys. Rev. D 96 (2017), no. 2, 024051, 1702.07613.
- [8] M. Pretko, "The Fracton Gauge Principle," Phys. Rev. B 98 (2018), no. 11, 115134, 1807.11479.
- [9] A. Vadali, Z. Wang, A. Dua, W. Shirley, and X. Chen, "Composite subsystem symmetries and decoration of sub-dimensional excitations," 2312.04467.
- [10] R. C. Spieler, "Exotic field theories for (hybrid) fracton phases from imposing constraints in foliated field theory," JHEP 09 (2023) 178, 2304.13067.
- [11] S. Zhang, C. Lv, and Q. Zhou, "Synthetic tensor gauge fields," 2306.15663.
- [12] C. Chamon, "Quantum Glassiness," Phys. Rev. Lett. 94 (2005), no. 4, 040402, cond-mat/0404182.
- [13] S. Bravyi, B. Leemhuis, and B. M. Terhal, "Topological order in an exactly solvable 3D spin model," Annals Phys. 326 (2011), no. 4, 839–866.
- [14] J. Haah, "Local stabilizer codes in three dimensions without string logical operators," *Phys. Rev. A* 83 (2011), no. 4, 042330, 1101.1962.
- [15] S. Bravyi and J. Haah, "Quantum Self-Correction in the 3D Cubic Code Model," Phys. Rev. Lett. 111 (2013), no. 20, 200501.
- [16] S. Vijay, J. Haah, and L. Fu, "Fracton Topological Order, Generalized Lattice Gauge Theory and Duality," *Phys. Rev. B* 94 (2016), no. 23, 235157, 1603.04442.
- [17] M. Mühlhauser, D. A. Reiss, M. R. Walther, and K. P. Schmidt, "Quantum robustness of fracton phases," *Phys. Rev. B* 101 (2020), no. 5, 054426, 1911.13117.
- [18] L. D. M. Villari and A. Principi, "The Fracton quantum gas," 2308.05670.
- [19] A. Prakash, A. Goriely, and S. L. Sondhi, "Classical nonrelativistic fractons," *Phys. Rev. B* 109 (2024), no. 5, 054313, 2308.07372.
- [20] M. Pretko and L. Radzihovsky, "Fracton-Elasticity Duality," Phys. Rev. Lett. 120 (2018), no. 19, 195301, 1711.11044.
- [21] E. Afxonidis, A. Caddeo, C. Hoyos, and D. Musso, "Fracton gravity from spacetime dipole symmetry," *Phys. Rev. D* 109 (2024), no. 6, 065013, 2311.01818.
- [22] R. Banerjee, S. Bhattacharya, and B. R. Majhi, "Sengupta Transformations and Carrollian Relativistic Theory," 2403.02653.
- [23] J.-M. Lévy-Leblond, "Une nouvelle limite non-relativiste du groupe de poincaré," in Annales de l'IHP Physique théorique, vol. 3, pp. 1–12. 1965.
- [24] M. Le Bellac and J.-M. Lévy-Leblond, "Galilean electromagnetism," tech. rep., Faculte des Sciences, Nice, 1973.
- [25] M. Taylor, "Non-relativistic holography," 0812.0530.
- [26] Y. Nishida and D. T. Son, "Nonrelativistic conformal field theories," Phys. Rev. D 76 (2007) 086004, 0706.3746.
- [27] D. T. Son, "Toward an AdS/cold atoms correspondence: A Geometric realization of the Schrodinger symmetry," Phys. Rev. D 78 (2008) 046003, 0804.3972.
- [28] W. D. Goldberger, "AdS/CFT duality for non-relativistic field theory," JHEP 03 (2009) 069, 0806.2867.

- [29] C. Duval, G. W. Gibbons, P. A. Horvathy, and P. M. Zhang, "Carroll versus Newton and Galilei: two dual non-Einsteinian concepts of time," *Class. Quant. Grav.* **31** (2014) 085016, 1402.0657.
- [30] E. Bergshoeff, J. Figueroa-O'Farrill, and J. Gomis, "A non-lorentzian primer," SciPost Phys. Lect. Notes 69 (2023) 1, 2206.12177.
- [31] J. Figueroa-O'Farrill, "Non-lorentzian spacetimes," Differ. Geom. Appl. 82 (2022) 101894, 2204.13609.
- [32] J. Figueroa-O'Farrill, "Lie algebraic Carroll/Galilei duality," J. Math. Phys. 64 (2023), no. 1, 013503, 2210.13924.
- [33] J. Figueroa-O'Farrill, A. Pérez, and S. Prohazka, "Carroll/fracton particles and their correspondence," JHEP 06 (2023) 207, 2305.06730.
- [34] J. M. Figueroa-O'Farrill, S. Pekar, A. Pérez, and S. Prohazka, "Galilei particles revisited," 2401.03241.
- [35] K. T. Grosvenor, C. Hoyos, F. Peña Benitez, and P. Surówka, "Space-Dependent Symmetries and Fractons," *Front. in Phys.* 9 (2022) 792621, 2112.00531.
- [36] J. Armas and E. Have, "Ideal fracton superfluids," SciPost Phys. 16 (2024), no. 1, 039, 2304.09596.
- [37] K. T. Grosvenor, C. Hoyos, F. Peña Benítez, and P. Surówka, "Hydrodynamics of ideal fracton fluids," *Phys. Rev. Res.* 3 (2021), no. 4, 043186, 2105.01084.
- [38] E. Bertolini and N. Maggiore, "Maxwell theory of fractons," Phys. Rev. D 106 (2022), no. 12, 125008, 2209.01485.
- [39] N. Manoj, R. Moessner, and V. B. Shenoy, "Fractonic View of Folding and Tearing Paper: Elasticity of Plates is Dual to a Gauge Theory with Vector Charges," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **127** (2021), no. 6, 067601, 2011.11401.
- [40] J.-M. Lévy-Leblond, "Galilei group and galilean invariance," in Group theory and its applications, pp. 221–299. Elsevier, 1971.
- [41] E. Castellani et al., "Galilean particles: An example of constitution of objects," Interpreting bodies: Classical and quantum objects in modern physics (1998) 181–94.
- [42] C. Batlle, J. Gomis, L. Mezincescu, and P. K. Townsend, "Tachyons in the Galilean limit," JHEP 04 (2017) 120, 1702.04792.
- [43] J.-M. Souriau, "Structure des systèmes dynamiques: maîtrises de mathématiques," (No Title) (1970).
- [44] M. Le Bellac and J. M. Lévy-Leblond, "Galilean electromagnetism," Nuovo Cim. B 14 (1973), no. 2, 217–234.
- [45] G. Rousseaux, "Forty years of galilean electromagnetism (1973–2013)," The European Physical Journal Plus 128 (2013) 1–14.
- [46] E. A. Bergshoeff, J. Gomis, and A. Kleinschmidt, "Non-Lorentzian theories with and without constraints," *JHEP* 01 (2023) 167, 2210.14848.
- [47] S. Baiguera, G. Oling, W. Sybesma, and B. T. Søgaard, "Conformal Carroll scalars with boosts," SciPost Phys. 14 (2023), no. 4, 086, 2207.03468.
- [48] E. Bergshoeff, J. Gomis, and G. Longhi, "Dynamics of Carroll Particles," Class. Quant. Grav. 31 (2014), no. 20, 205009, 1405.2264.
- [49] D. Hansen, Beyond Lorentzian Physics. PhD thesis, ETH Zurich, 2021.
- [50] C. Duval, G. Gibbons, P. Horvathy, and P. Zhang, "Carroll versus newton and galilei: two dual non-einsteinian concepts of time," *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **31** (2014), no. 8, 085016.
- [51] J. de Boer, J. Hartong, N. A. Obers, W. Sybesma, and S. Vandoren, "Carroll symmetry, dark energy and inflation," *Frontiers in Physics* 10 (2022) 810405.
- [52] R. Casalbuoni, D. Dominici, and J. Gomis, "Two interacting conformal Carroll particles," 2306.02614.

- [53] A. Deriglazov, Classical Mechanics: Hamiltonian and Lagrangian Formalism. 2017.
- [54] L. Marsot, P. M. Zhang, M. Chernodub, and P. A. Horvathy, "Hall effects in Carroll dynamics," 2212.02360.
- [55] L. Marsot, "Planar Carrollean dynamics, and the Carroll quantum equation," J. Geom. Phys. 179 (2022) 104574, 2110.08489.
- [56] J. Bičák, D. Kubizňák, and T. R. Perche, "Migrating Carrollian particles on magnetized black hole horizons," *Phys. Rev. D* 107 (2023), no. 10, 104014, 2302.11639.
- [57] J. Gomis and A. Kleinschmidt, "Infinite-Dimensional Algebras as Extensions of Kinematic Algebras," Front. in Phys. 10 (2022) 892812, 2202.05026.
- [58] N. Lambert, R. Mouland, and T. Orchard, "Non-Lorentzian SU(1, n) Spacetime Symmetry In Various Dimensions," Front. in Phys. 10 (2022) 864800, 2112.14860.
- [59] J. Figueroa-O'Farrill and S. Prohazka, "Spatially isotropic homogeneous spacetimes," JHEP 01 (2019) 229, 1809.01224.
- [60] A. Bagchi, J. Chakrabortty, and A. Mehra, "Galilean Field Theories and Conformal Structure," JHEP 04 (2018) 144, 1712.05631.
- [61] T. Trześniewski, "Quantum symmetries in 2+1 dimensions: Carroll, (a)dS-Carroll, Galilei and (a)dS-Galilei," JHEP 2024 (2024), no. 02, 200, 2306.05409.
- [62] H. Bacry, P. Combe, and J. L. Richard, "Group-theoretical analysis of elementary particles in an external electromagnetic field. 1. the relativistic particle in a constant and uniform field," *Nuovo Cim. A* 67 (1970) 267–299.
- [63] R. Schrader, "The maxwell group and the quantum theory of particles in classical homogeneous electromagnetic fields," *Fortsch. Phys.* 20 (1972) 701–734.
- [64] J. Gomis and A. Kleinschmidt, "On free Lie algebras and particles in electro-magnetic fields," JHEP 07 (2017) 085, 1705.05854.
- [65] J. Beckers and V. Hussin, "MINIMAL ELECTROMAGNETIC COUPLING SCHEMES. II. RELATIVISTIC AND NONRELATIVISTIC MAXWELL GROUPS," J. Math. Phys. 24 (1983) 1295–1298.
- [66] H. Bacry, P. Combe, and J. L. Richard, "Group-theoretical analysis of elementary particles in an external electromagnetic field. 2. the nonrelativistic particle in a constant and uniform field," *Nuovo Cim. A* 70 (1970) 289–312.
- [67] A. Barducci, R. Casalbuoni, and J. Gomis, "Contractions of the Maxwell algebra," J. Phys. A 52 (2019), no. 39, 395201, 1904.00902.
- [68] J. Gomis, A. Kleinschmidt, and J. Palmkvist, "Galilean free Lie algebras," JHEP 09 (2019) 109, 1907.00410.
- [69] J. L. V. Cerdeira, J. Gomis, and A. Kleinschmidt, "Non-Lorentzian expansions of the Lorentz force and kinematical algebras," JHEP 01 (2024) 023, 2310.15245.
- [70] J. McGreevy, "Generalized Symmetries in Condensed Matter," Ann. Rev. Condensed Matter Phys. 14 (2023) 57–82, 2204.03045.
- [71] G. A. Goldin and V. M. Shtelen, "On galilean invariance and nonlinearity in electrodynamics and quantum mechanics," *Physics Letters A* 279 (2001), no. 5-6, 321–326.
- [72] P. Concha, D. Peñafiel, L. Ravera, and E. Rodríguez, "Three-dimensional Maxwellian Carroll gravity theory and the cosmological constant," *Phys. Lett. B* 823 (2021) 136735, 2107.05716.
- [73] E. Bertolini, A. Blasi, A. Damonte, and N. Maggiore, "Gauging Fractons and Linearized Gravity," Symmetry 15 (2023), no. 4, 945, 2304.10789.
- [74] K. Slagle and Y. B. Kim, "X-cube model on generic lattices: Fracton phases and geometric order," Phys. Rev. B 97 (2018), no. 16, 165106, 1712.04511.

- [75] Z.-C. Gu and X.-G. Wen, "A Lattice bosonic model as a quantum theory of gravity," gr-qc/0606100.
- [76] Z.-C. Gu and X.-G. Wen, "Emergence of helicity +- 2 modes (gravitons) from qbit models," Nucl. Phys. B 863 (2012) 90–129, 0907.1203.
- [77] A. Blasi and N. Maggiore, "The theory of symmetric tensor field: From fractons to gravitons and back," *Phys. Lett. B* 833 (2022) 137304, 2207.05956.
- [78] F. Peña Benítez and P. Salgado-Rebolledo, "Fracton gauge fields from higher-dimensional gravity," JHEP 04 (2024) 009, 2310.12610.
- [79] K. N. Lian, "Gravity Theories via Algebra Gauging," 2112.13403.
- [80] J. Hartong, "Gauging the Carroll Algebra and Ultra-Relativistic Gravity," JHEP 08 (2015) 069, 1505.05011.
- [81] J. Hartong and N. A. Obers, "Hořava-Lifshitz gravity from dynamical Newton-Cartan geometry," JHEP 07 (2015) 155, 1504.07461.
- [82] G. Festuccia, D. Hansen, J. Hartong, and N. A. Obers, "Torsional Newton-Cartan Geometry from the Noether Procedure," *Phys. Rev. D* 94 (2016), no. 10, 105023, 1607.01926.
- [83] R. Andringa, E. Bergshoeff, S. Panda, and M. de Roo, "Newtonian Gravity and the Bargmann Algebra," *Class. Quant. Grav.* 28 (2011) 105011, 1011.1145.
- [84] M. H. Christensen, J. Hartong, N. A. Obers, and B. Rollier, "Torsional Newton-Cartan Geometry and Lifshitz Holography," Phys. Rev. D 89 (2014) 061901, 1311.4794.
- [85] J. de Boer, J. Hartong, N. A. Obers, W. Sybesma, and S. Vandoren, "Carroll stories," 2307.06827.
- [86] L. Ciambelli, R. G. Leigh, C. Marteau, and P. M. Petropoulos, "Carroll Structures, Null Geometry and Conformal Isometries," *Phys. Rev. D* 100 (2019), no. 4, 046010, 1905.02221.
- [87] L. Bidussi, J. Hartong, E. Have, J. Musaeus, and S. Prohazka, "Fractons, dipole symmetries and curved spacetime," *SciPost Phys.* 12 (2022), no. 6, 205, 2111.03668.
- [88] J. de Boer, J. Hartong, E. Have, N. A. Obers, and W. Sybesma, "Non-Boost Invariant Fluid Dynamics," *SciPost Phys.* 9 (2020), no. 2, 018, 2004.10759.
- [89] J. Figueroa-O'Farrill, "On the intrinsic torsion of spacetime structures," 2009.01948.
- [90] E. Bergshoeff, J. Gomis, B. Rollier, J. Rosseel, and T. ter Veldhuis, "Carroll versus Galilei Gravity," JHEP 03 (2017) 165, 1701.06156.