

Rotational Metric: A Solution to Einstein's Clock-Rate Problem and Its Magnetospheric Applications

Z. Zhang^{a,1} and R. Zhang^{b,1}

^aKey Laboratory of Particle Astrophysics, Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 19B Yuquan Road, Beijing 100049, People's Republic of China

^bTheoretical Physics Division, Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 19B Yuquan Road, Beijing 100049, People's Republic of China

E-mail: zhangzhen@ihep.ac.cn; rui.z@pku.edu.cn

Abstract. The rotational metric offers an exact solution to Einstein's clock-rate problem in curved spacetime regarding whether time flows more slowly at the equator of a compact object like a neutron star than at its poles. Similar to what Einstein had done for a uniformly rotating disk, the metric can be obtained directly from the Schwarzschild metric through rotational transformations. In accordance with the equivalence of inertia and gravity, the rotational metric exhibits more complex geometric structures due to the effects of inertia-gravity arising from rotation. For instance, it has an extra ergosphere compared to the original Schwarzschild metric. The presence of the ergosphere could serve as a compelling example that illustrates how the rotational transformations can introduce new structures into a gravitational system. Exactly, there are additional physical degrees of freedom, carried by the rotational transformations, that are 'eaten' by the gravitational system to form an extra ergosphere inside the system itself, which is in analogy to the Higgs mechanism in particle physics. In this process, the rotational transformations play a role of 'symmetry breaking'. Besides, the rotational metric can describe the gravitational system that rigidly corotates with a spinning mass. It has potential applications in describing the rotationally-induced gravitational effects within various rotating magnetospheres and relevant astronomical phenomena. The understanding of the rotational metric is therefore expected to bring novel insights into general relativity and high-energy astrophysics.

¹Corresponding author.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	The Rotational Metric and Its Interpretations	2
3	Horizon Structures and Non-Inertial Transformations	4
4	Gravitational Effects and Standard Transformations	7
5	Astrophysical Applications	9
6	Conclusions	10
A	Metrics and Coordinate Transformations	13
	A.1 Magnetospheric Metric	13
	A.2 Symmetries and Physical Degrees of Freedom	14
B	Calculations	15

1 Introduction

According to Einstein’s 1905 article on the special theory of relativity, it was concluded that, under identical conditions, a balance-clock placed at the equator must run more slowly than a precisely similar clock located at one of the poles [1]. In 1909, Einstein constructed metric for a uniformly rotating disk [2], which played a role in suggesting a key idea of general relativity (GR), namely the relevance of curved geometry in the presence of gravity [3]. Notably, this metric includes non-inertial effects, like the centrifugal (inertial) forces, that arise from rotation. By Einstein’s equivalence principle, inertia and gravity are identical. In GR, gravity distorts Minkowskian spacetime, and so does inertia. Thus, the geometry of the uniformly rotating disk is curved; for example, its circumference is shortened due to the curved geometry. About six years later, in 1915, Einstein proposed the full GR field equations and built the general theory of relativity[3]. Then in 1916, Schwarzschild found the first exact solution of the Einstein field equations [4]. We can now pose the clock-rate problem in curved spacetime: *For a spinning compact object like a neutron star (NS), does time move at a slower rate at the equator compared to the poles?* Given the effects of highly curved spacetime around the compact object, this problem ought to be addressed within the framework of GR. Even in everyday life, when accurately assessing how time passes at two locations on our Earth, we should consider the spacetime effects caused by the Earth mass. In fact, strictly resolving the clock-rate problem in curved spacetime is particularly relevant for technologies that require precise time measurements, such as the Beidou Navigation Satellite System and the Global Positioning System.

NSs were first discovered in 1967, and it quickly became apparent to astrophysicists that the picture of a rotating magnetic NS existing in an empty space was not realistic [5]. Early in 1969, Goldreich and Julian argued that a rapidly spinning, highly magnetized NS would create a magnetosphere around the star [6]. Charged particles are pulled out from the NS surface, form an magnetospheric plasma, and then become frozen to the NS’s magnetic field,

resulting in steady and rigid corotation with the star [5, 6]. A magnetosphere is generally believed to be home of various emission [7], e.g., the well-known fast radio bursts [8, 9], giant flares [10–13], magnetar X-ray bursts [14–16], and super flares [17, 18] observed in magnetized NSs. To date, the corotational effects of this gravitational system have not yet been fully studied. To fully understand the origin and nature of those high-energy phenomena, it is essential to construct or utilize a metric that effectively describe the rotationally-induced gravitational (or non-inertial) effects within any corotating magnetosphere. Note that, in addition to NSs, there exist magnetospheres around the Earth, normal stars, white dwarfs, and various other exotic astrophysical objects.

The standard model of magnetospheres typically involves a space volume that maintains a rigid corotation with a spinning mass [6]. The rotation in this case differs from that observed in the Kerr metric, where the angular velocity varies with radius [19]. However, we can introduce a rigid rotation into the Schwarzschild metric through the rotational transformations that carry extra physical degrees of freedom. In this work, by performing such transformations, we present a metric to describe the gravitational system of the rigidly-rotating space volume. This metric remains a solution to the Einstein equations. Interestingly, it can be used to resolve Einstein’s clock-rate problem in curved spacetime. Additionally, the metric owns an angular-momentum parameter that may evolve with time, corresponding to extra physical degrees of freedom. Thus, it can be used as an example to demonstrate the non-inertial effects associated with rotational transformations. We then investigate the geometric structures of the metric as well as its gravitational effects and direct applications. Finally, we summarize our findings.

2 The Rotational Metric and Its Interpretations

The Schwarzschild metric is the unique static and spherically symmetric vacuum solution in GR. In spherical coordinates (t, r, θ, ϕ) , it has the form

$$ds^2 = - \left(1 - 2 \frac{M}{r}\right) dt^2 + \frac{1}{\left(1 - 2 \frac{M}{r}\right)} dr^2 + r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2), \quad (2.1)$$

where M is the mass of the gravitational system. The geometrized unit system $G = c = 1$ is adopted throughout. In general, the Schwarzschild metric can well describe a static gravitational system.

A gravitational system that rotates rigidly can be effectively described by a stationary metric, which can be written in the canonical form [3]

$$ds_\omega^2 = - e^{2\psi} (dt - v_i dx^i)^2 + k_{ij} dx^i dx^j, \quad (2.2)$$

with $i, j = 1, 2, 3$, where t , x^i , and x^j are the time-like and space-like coordinates, while the functions ψ , v_i , and k_{ij} are independent of time.

To determine the specific form (2.2) of the metric for a rigidly-rotating system, we rewrite the Schwarzschild metric (2.1) in the cylindrical coordinates:

$$ds^2 = - \left(1 - 2 \frac{M}{r}\right) dt^2 + \left[1 + \left(\frac{2 \frac{M}{r}}{1 - 2 \frac{M}{r}}\right) \frac{\rho^2}{r^2}\right] d\rho^2 + 2 \left[\left(\frac{2 \frac{M}{r}}{1 - 2 \frac{M}{r}}\right) \frac{z \rho}{r^2}\right] d\rho dz + \left[1 + \left(\frac{2 \frac{M}{r}}{1 - 2 \frac{M}{r}}\right) \frac{z^2}{r^2}\right] dz^2 + \rho^2 d\phi'^2,$$

where $\rho = \sqrt{r^2 - z^2}$ is the cylindrical radius, z is the height over the equatorial plane, and φ' is the azimuth angle measured by a static observer at spatial infinity.

For a rotating gravitational system with a constant angular velocity ω , the azimuth angle φ has

$$\varphi = \varphi' + \omega t, \quad (2.3)$$

with the angular coordinate φ being measured from the (ρ, z) -plane that rotates about the z -axis, which is actually utilized in deriving the Einstein's metric for a uniformly rotating disk. However, if ω is a function of time, i.e., $\omega = \omega(t)$, one sets

$$d\varphi = d\varphi' + \omega dt, \quad (2.4)$$

which is quite different from (2.3). In the following, our derivations and analysis will be carried out based on equation (2.4), rather than (2.3). By transformation (2.4), one gets the canonical form of the metric (2.2) for a stationary system,

$$ds_\omega^2 = -\left(1 - 2\frac{M}{r} - \rho^2\omega^2\right) \left[dt + \left(\frac{\rho^2\omega}{1 - 2\frac{M}{r} - \rho^2\omega^2}\right) d\varphi\right]^2 + \left[\left(\frac{1 - 2\frac{M}{r}}{1 - 2\frac{M}{r} - \rho^2\omega^2}\right) \rho^2\right] d\varphi^2 + d\sigma^2, \quad (2.5)$$

with $\rho < r_{\text{LC}} = 1/\omega$ and $d\sigma^2$ being expressed in the following form,

$$d\sigma^2 = \left[1 + \left(\frac{2\frac{M}{r}}{1 - 2\frac{M}{r}}\right) \frac{\rho^2}{r^2}\right] d\rho^2 + 2\left[\left(\frac{2\frac{M}{r}}{1 - 2\frac{M}{r}}\right) \frac{z\rho}{r^2}\right] d\rho dz + \left[1 + \left(\frac{2\frac{M}{r}}{1 - 2\frac{M}{r}}\right) \frac{z^2}{r^2}\right] dz^2. \quad (2.6)$$

Especially, the spatial part of the metric is

$$dl^2 = k_{ij} dx^i dx^j = \left[\left(\frac{1 - 2\frac{M}{r}}{1 - 2\frac{M}{r} - \rho^2\omega^2}\right) \rho^2\right] d\varphi^2 + d\sigma^2, \quad (2.7)$$

where r_{LC} is the so-called light cylinder radius [5, 6], widely used in the physics of NSs. Write x^μ ($\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3$) for (t, ρ, z, φ) . The general form of the stationary metric (2.5) in the cylindrical coordinates is thus

$$ds_\omega^2 = g_{00} dt^2 + g_{0i} dt dx^i + g_{i0} dx^i dt + g_{ij} dx^i dx^j, \quad (2.8)$$

where $g_{0i} = g_{i0}$ and $g_{ij} = g_{ji}$. Exactly, the components of the metric are given by

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 + \frac{2M}{r} + \omega^2\rho^2 & 0 & 0 & -\omega\rho^2 \\ 0 & 1 + \frac{2M\rho^2}{r^3(1 - \frac{2M}{r})} & \frac{2M\rho z}{r^3(1 - \frac{2M}{r})} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{2M\rho z}{r^3(1 - \frac{2M}{r})} & 1 + \frac{2Mz^2}{r^3(1 - \frac{2M}{r})} & 0 \\ -\omega\rho^2 & 0 & 0 & \rho^2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (2.9)$$

which imply that the metric is flat at spatial infinity in the direction of the z -axis, whereas it is not asymptotically flat in any other direction. Now the metric ds_ω^2 has been presented in its full form for a 1+3 dimensional spacetime, without being limited to its equatorial plane.

In analogy with the original Schwarzschild metric, the rotational metric ds_ω^2 has a genuine curvature singularity at $r = 0$, which can be confirmed by deriving the Kretschmann invariant,

$$K = R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = 48 \frac{M^2}{r^6}, \quad (2.10)$$

where $R^{\mu\nu\varrho\sigma}$ and $R_{\mu\nu\varrho\sigma}$ are the curvature tensors of types (4, 0) and (0, 4), respectively. Note that the metric ds_ω^2 exhibits a similar characteristic near the curvature singularity as the original Schwarzschild metric before transformations.

For any stationary gravitational system, its angular velocity Ω can be generally expressed in the cylindrical coordinates as [3, 19, 20]:

$$\Omega = -\frac{g_{03}}{g_{33}}.$$

In our case, the quantity ω in the metric ds_ω^2 is just the angular velocity of a corresponding rotating system. Indeed, one finds

$$\Omega = \omega, \tag{2.11}$$

which means that the gravitational system associated with the metric ds_ω^2 rigidly rotates at angular velocity ω with respect to the z -axis. As expected, it can reduce to the original Schwarzschild metric in the zero-rotation limit $\omega \rightarrow 0$, and to the Einstein's metric for a uniformly rotating disk [3] in the zero-mass limit $M \rightarrow 0$. Hence, the rotational metric is indeed an extension of the Einstein's metric for a uniformly rotating disk, which rigidly corotates with a spinning mass around a fixed axis. It is straightforward to check that, the *rotational metric* ds_ω^2 remains a vacuum solution of the Einstein field equations; more interpretations and derivations about the rotational metric are presented in appendices.

Although derived from the Schwarzschild metric through coordinate transformations, the rotational metric includes additional non-inertial effects induced by rotation. Hence, the rotational metric and the Schwarzschild metric can describe two distinct gravitational systems: a rigidly-rotating system and a static system, respectively. When ω is a constant, the transformation (2.4) is worth mentioning as it causes the asymptotic non-flatness of the rotational metric ds_ω^2 . The condition of asymptotic flatness is necessary in the unicity theorem for the Kerr solution [21]. Therefore, for a constant ω , the existence of the rotational metric ds_ω^2 does not conflict with the uniqueness of the Kerr metric in an asymptotically-flat stationary spacetime. In the general case of $\omega \equiv \omega(t)$, the rotational metric ds_ω^2 is actually a time-evolving metric. However, the time evolution of the rotational metric ds_ω^2 may arise from the energy conversion of the rotational energy of the gravitational system into other forms of energy through non-gravitational interactions or quantum-mechanical processes, which is already far beyond the scope of our work.

3 Horizon Structures and Non-Inertial Transformations

According to GR, gravity distorts flat spacetime. Since inertia and gravity are the same thing, non-inertial effects, such as rotation, may have influence on the spacetime structures of black holes (BHs). Therefore, for a BH associated with the rotational metric, we should investigate such influence. Generally, the event horizon of a BH is actually a null surface defined by $f = f(\rho, z) = \text{constant}$. The gradient $\partial_\mu f$ is normal to the null surface. Actually, it is a null vector. By definition, one obtains a null surface equation, i.e., $\partial_\mu f \partial^\mu f = 0$. From the rotational metric, we can obtain the components of its inverse, namely

$$g^{\mu\nu} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{1-\frac{2M}{r}} & 0 & 0 & -\frac{\omega}{1-\frac{2M}{r}} \\ 0 & 1-\frac{2M\rho^2}{r^3} & \frac{2M\rho z}{r^3} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{2M\rho z}{r^3} & 1-\frac{2Mz^2}{r^3} & 0 \\ -\frac{\omega}{1-\frac{2M}{r}} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1-\frac{2M}{r}-\omega^2\rho^2}{1-\frac{2M}{r}} \frac{1}{\rho^2} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{3.1}$$




Figure 1. Horizon structure around the rotational metric solution with a singularity at the origin (side view). The red curve marks the event horizon and the light blue region represents the ergosphere. The inner and outer stationary limit surfaces (SLSs) are colored blue and black, respectively.

Substituting these components into the null surface equation yields

$$\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \rho}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}\right)^2 - 2\frac{M}{r} \left(\frac{\rho}{r} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \rho} + \frac{z}{r} \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}\right)^2 = 0, \quad (3.2)$$

which is symmetric in ρ and z and hence also the f 's expression. When $f = f(r)$, equation (3.2) can be rewritten as

$$\left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right) \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial r}\right)^2 = 0. \quad (3.3)$$

Thus the event horizon occurs at radius $r_{\text{EH}} = 2M$, where r_{EH} is the same as the Schwarzschild radius. Note that the Kretschmann invariant remains finite there.

Besides, the BH system has two stationary limit surfaces (SLSs), which can be demonstrated by solving the following equation

$$g_{00} = -\left(1 - \frac{2M}{r} - \omega^2 \rho^2\right) = 0. \quad (3.4)$$

On each of them, one always has $1 - \left(\frac{\rho}{r_{\text{LC}}}\right)^2 > 0$. Therefore,

$$r = \frac{2M}{1 - \left(\frac{\rho}{r_{\text{LC}}}\right)^2} > r_{\text{EH}}, \quad (3.5)$$

which clearly indicates that the two SLSs are outside the event horizon. Roughly, two SLSs are found at $r \sim r_{\text{EH}}$ and $r \sim r_{\text{LC}}$, which will be referred to as the inner and outer SLSs, respectively. In spherical coordinates, $\rho = r \sin \theta$ and $z = r \cos \theta$. Exactly, according to equation (3.4), the two SLSs can be parametrized using the spherical coordinates (r, θ) in the form

$$r_{\theta}^{\pm} = M \left[\frac{\frac{1 \pm i\sqrt{3}}{2} \sqrt[3]{1 + i\sqrt{\frac{1}{27\chi_{\theta}^2} - 1}}}{\chi_{\theta}^{2/3}} + \frac{\frac{1 - (\pm)i\sqrt{3}}{2} \sqrt[3]{1 - i\sqrt{\frac{1}{27\chi_{\theta}^2} - 1}}}{\chi_{\theta}^{2/3}} \right], \quad (3.6)$$

where “ $-$ ” and “ $+$ ” represent the inner and outer SLSs, respectively. Here, the quantity χ_{θ} can be further expressed as

$$\chi_{\theta} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{r_{\text{EH}}}{r_{\text{LC}}} \sin \theta. \quad (3.7)$$

Note that the two terms in equation (3.6) are the complex conjugates of each other. Thus, both r_{θ}^{-} and r_{θ}^{+} are real functions. In the range of $0 < \chi_{\theta} \leq \frac{1}{3\sqrt{3}}$, both of their values are positive. For $\theta = \pi/2$, when $\chi_{\theta} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{r_{\text{EH}}}{r_{\text{LC}}} = \frac{1}{3\sqrt{3}}$, the inner and outer SLSs begin to touch each other at the point $(\rho, z) = (3/2 r_{\text{EH}}, 0)$. It means that $\omega M = \frac{1}{2} \frac{r_{\text{EH}}}{r_{\text{LC}}}$ has an upper limit of $\frac{1}{3\sqrt{3}}$. Define $\Delta = r_{\theta=\frac{\pi}{2}}^{-} - r_{\text{EH}}$. Then, $0 < \Delta/r_{\text{EH}} \leq 50\%$, and equality holds if and only if ωM takes the upper limit.

For a massive test particle, it travels along a time-like path. If the movement of the test particle is constrained to $\rho = \text{constant}$ and $z = \text{constant}$, the spacetime experienced by the particle should be time-like. Consequently, one has $ds_{\omega}^2 = g_{00} dt^2 + 2g_{03} dt d\varphi + g_{33} d\varphi^2 < 0$. Let's denote $\omega_{\text{p}} = \frac{d\varphi}{dt}$ as the angular velocity of the test particle. Here and in the following, we assume $\omega > 0$ without losing generality. Therefore, we have

$$g_{00} + 2g_{03}\omega_{\text{p}} + g_{33}\omega_{\text{p}}^2 < 0. \quad (3.8)$$

Then, this can be equivalently written as

$$\omega_{-} = \omega - \frac{1}{\rho} \sqrt{1 - \frac{2M}{r}} < \omega_{\text{p}} < \omega_{+} = \omega + \frac{1}{\rho} \sqrt{1 - \frac{2M}{r}}, \quad (3.9)$$

which impose constraints on the angular velocity of the test particle. In the region with $r_{\text{EH}} < r < r_{\theta}^{-}$, we always have $\omega_{-} > 0$. Thus, this region is where the time-like path of the test particle is inevitably dragged along with the BH's rotation. In GR, it is known as the ergosphere. Now, we have rigorously demonstrated the existence of an ergosphere between the event horizon and the inner SLS. Note that this result remains valid even if the dynamical parameter ω is time-dependent. Therefore, the evolution of ergosphere with time can be demonstrated based on the rotational metric.

As figure 1 illustrates, from inside out we have: a curvature singularity (origin), a horizon (red), an ergosphere (light blue), an inner SLS (blue), a normal space, and an

outer SLS (black). Similar to the McVittie metric, the rotational metric could evolve over time. Compared with the other well-known BH solutions, like the Schwarzschild, Kerr, and Schwarzschild-de Sitter metrics, the rotational metric (2.5) exhibits a different horizon structure. Nonetheless, the rotational metric ds_ω^2 can be easily compared with these solutions in geometry. For instance, the solution behaves like that of Schwarzschild [4] at the poles where the inner SLS is tangent to the event horizon [3, 20, 22], but like that of Kerr [23] in the ergosphere where massive particles are necessarily dragged along with the hole’s rotation, and finally like that of Schwarzschild-de Sitter (SdS) in the faraway region outside the outer SLS where it is not asymptotically flat [24–26]. Interestingly, there is still a normal space between the inner and outer SLSs, as shown in figure 1 by the white region. The normal space rigidly corotates with the spinning mass at an angular velocity ω and directly connects with the usual space surrounding us. For instance, it can be linked to a corotating NS magnetosphere, as we will demonstrate below.

Now, it is crucial to understand the physical origin of the ergosphere. Actually, we can understand this origin in terms of physical degrees of freedom. To be more specific, the rotational transformation (2.4) contains a new dynamical quantity and carries additional physical degrees of freedom. However, these physical degrees of freedom are absorbed during the transformation process. It appears that the gravitational system has “eaten” these physical degrees of freedom through the non-inertial transformation (2.4), leading to the formation of an additional ergosphere inside the system. This is somewhat analogous to the Higgs mechanism observed in particle physics [27]. Actually, we can also observe this amazing phenomenon by a simple symmetry argument: the Schwarzschild metric processes more mutually independent Killing vectors than the rotational metric obtained through the non-inertial transformation (2.4); in other words, the former exhibits greater symmetry than the latter, as detailed in Appendix A. Note that Killing vectors are defined in a coordinate- or frame-dependent manner, although the associated conserved quantities are coordinate-independent. It is obvious that the symmetry has been reduced or partially broken by the rotational transformation (2.4). Thus, the non-inertial transformation has played a role of symmetry breaking. Hence, the rotational metric can be a compelling example that demonstrates the role of non-inertial transformations in introducing new structures into a gravitational system.

4 Gravitational Effects and Standard Transformations

For a steadily rotating system, such as the magnetosphere of an NS, the angular-momentum parameter ω can be considered constant [6], at least for a short period like spanning a few months or years, which is the case we focus on in this section. In the case of NSs, ω represents the rate of rotation. Now come back to the standard form of the rotational metric ds_ω^2 . In general, the representation of any stationary metric is form-invariant under the following coordinate transformation [3]

$$t \mapsto t' = \kappa [t + \eta(\{x^i\})], \quad (4.1)$$

where κ is a constant parameter and η is a continuous function. Here, the time t is changed while other coordinates, like r and ρ , remain the same as before. Under the coordinate transformation (4.1), k_{ij} keeps to be invariant [3], while ψ and v_i transform as follows:

$$\psi \mapsto \psi' = \psi - \ln \kappa, \quad v_i \mapsto v'_i = \kappa (v_i + \partial\eta/\partial x^i). \quad (4.2)$$

These transformations are indeed standard transformations¹ or the gauge coordinate transformations presented in [3], which are intrinsically different from the ‘non-inertial’ transformations. According to equation (2.5), one has

$$\vec{v} = (v_1, v_2, v_3) = \left(0, -\frac{\rho^2 \omega}{1 - 2\frac{M}{r} - \rho^2 \omega^2}, 0 \right), \quad (4.3)$$

which can be transformed away. Indeed, there always exists an η such that $v_i = -\partial\eta/\partial x^i$, which is known as the Rindler gauge [3]. In the following, our analysis will be carried out within the framework of the Rindler gauge.

In current experiments, the range of our interest is when $-g_{00} = e^{2\psi} > 0$, indicating that $r_\theta^- < r < r_\theta^+$. For small ω and M , one has $r_\theta^- \simeq r_{\text{EH}}$ and $r_\theta^+ \simeq r_{\text{LC}}$. In this range, from equation (2.5), we obtain

$$\psi = \frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 - 2\frac{M}{r} - \rho^2 \omega^2 \right), \quad (4.4)$$

which is actually the relativistic scalar potential. Under the weak field approximation, one has

$$\psi \simeq -\frac{M}{r} - \frac{1}{2} \rho^2 \omega^2, \quad (4.5)$$

where the outward ‘centrifugal’ force can be generated by the ω -term. In the Rindler gauge, the reference system is coordinate time synchronizable. Physically, the coordinate time t and the proper time τ are connected by

$$d\tau = e^\psi dt = dt \sqrt{1 - 2\frac{M}{r} - \rho^2 \omega^2}, \quad (4.6)$$

where τ can be measured by local observers. This formula has a clear physical meaning². It can manifest itself as gravitational time dilation. In particular, the time dilation can recover to the ordinary gravitational redshift observed in the (static) Schwarzschild system as the angular-momentum parameter ω approaches zero. Likewise, as the mass parameter M approaches zero, it can replicate the time dilation effect observed in the Einstein’s uniformly rotating disk [3], which is sometimes referred to as the transverse Doppler effect in astronomy and astrophysics. As indicated by equation (4.6), the gravitational redshift, when measured from a static observer, diverges as the observer approaches either SLS. Therefore, in our case, the two SLSs are both the infinite redshift surfaces, which is similar [3] to the existing solutions.

¹Clearly, we can always introduce one or more parameters, such as the parameter κ in equation (4.1), into a metric solution through coordinate transformations. However, if these parameters lack dynamic significance, the corresponding coordinate transformations are unlikely to alter the physical degrees of freedom, and thus, the gravitational physics.

²As per special relativity, time passes more slowly at the Earth’s equator than at the poles [1]. In our work, the rotational metric provides a more comprehensive solution to Einstein’s clock-rate problem within GR. In the weak-field limit, it can reproduce the Einstein’s result. This means that the metric can be universally applied in everyday life. For instance, when comparing the passage of time between two locations on Earth, we must take into account not only the gravitational effects induced by the Earth’s mass but also factors like latitude and rotation. The rotational metric is particularly relevant for technologies that require precise time measurements, such as the Global Positioning System and the Beidou Navigation Satellite System.

5 Astrophysical Applications

Next, let us explore some potential applications of the rotational metric ds_ω^2 in understanding certain astrophysical phenomena. Consider for example an NS, whose radius, $r_{\text{NS}} \sim 10$ km, is approximately the same order of magnitude as its Schwarzschild radius, r_{EH} , but for which the gravitational system is free of any singularities and horizons. Generally, the magnetospheric plasma are ‘frozen’ to the magnetic field of the NS and therefore compelled to corotate steadily with the NS’s spin [6]. Thus, the rotational metric may be applied to describe the rotationally-induced gravitational effects experienced by the plasma within the corotating magnetosphere. The corotating magnetospheric region extends from the NS surface at $r = r_{\text{NS}}$ to the light cylinder at $r \sim r_{\text{LC}}$. Taking the Galactic magnetar SGR J1935+2154—a highly magnetized NS—as an example, it has been measured to have a spin period of $P \approx 3.245$ s [28]. If we assume a typical mass value for the magnetar, such as $M = 1.4 M_\odot$, we can estimate that $r_{\text{EH}} \sim 4$ km and $r_{\text{LC}} \sim 1.6 \times 10^5$ km, where M_\odot is the mass of the Sun. In this realistic scenario, $r_{\text{EH}}/r_{\text{LC}} \sim 2.7 \times 10^{-5}$. Then, we can approximate the lower boundary of the region in the normal space as $r_\theta^- \simeq r_{\text{EH}}$ and the upper boundary as $r_\theta^+ \simeq r_{\text{LC}}$. Generally, the magnetosphere is defined outwardly by the light cylinder. Hence, the rotational metric ds_ω^2 can describe the rotationally-induced gravitational effects (see section 4) felt by the magnetospheric plasma, especially when $\rho \lesssim r_{\text{LC}}$. Beyond the light cylinder, i.e., when $\rho > r_{\text{LC}}$, the plasma is unable to corotate with the NS, as doing so would result in its corotational velocity exceeding the speed of light. Thus, the rotational metric is not applicable in the faraway region. However, the Schwarzschild metric can describe the region far outside the light cylinder. By the Einstein field equation, an alternative metric, the *magnetospheric metric*, is always available to describe the region around $\rho \simeq r_{\text{LC}}$, which continuously connects with the rotational metric inwards and reduces to the Schwarzschild metric in the distant region; see Appendix A for details. Therefore, the rotational metric and the Schwarzschild metric need to be simultaneously used to describe different regions in one gravitational system, which clearly implies the necessity for the rotational metric to exist independently of the original Schwarzschild metric.

For comparison, we also examine the Kerr metric alongside the rotational metric. Denote a , I , and j as the angular momentum per unit mass, the moment of inertia, and the dimensionless spin parameter of the magnetar, respectively. Here, $j = a/M$. For a typical NS, $I \sim \frac{2}{5} MR_{\text{NS}}^2$. Then, we can estimate the dimensionless parameter j as

$$j = \frac{a}{M} = \frac{\omega I}{M^2} \sim \frac{1}{5} \frac{r_{\text{EH}}}{r_{\text{LC}}} \left(\frac{r_{\text{NS}}}{M} \right)^2,$$

which is a basic parameter in the Kerr metric. This estimate is quite rough, but it is sufficient for an order-of-magnitude calculation. Thus, one obtains $j \lesssim 2.6 \times 10^{-4}$, which is 3–4 orders of magnitude smaller than unity, leading to the disappearance of the ergosphere in the Kerr solution. Consequently, the Kerr metric reduces to the Schwarzschild metric. In the case of the rotational metric, we find $\Delta/r_{\text{EH}} \sim 10^{-9}$, causing the ergosphere to vanish in this scenario as well. However, unlike the Kerr metric, our rotational metric features an axisymmetric normal space that rigidly rotates about a spin axis. It is important to note that this space is spatially infinite in the direction of the spin axis, making it an infinitely large space. In this normal space, the effects from the ω -terms in the rotational metric remain substantial, as the angular-momentum parameter ω does not change with radius r . This is in contrast to the Kerr case, where the angular velocity decreases significantly with radius r

and can be approximated as $\Omega \propto r^{-3}$ in the weak-field approximation [19]. As a result, the rotational metric can describe the rotationally-induced gravitational effects experienced by the magnetospheric plasma, whereas the Kerr metric cannot.

6 Conclusions

In this work, using rotational transformations, we illustrated a metric solution of the Einstein field equations, namely the rotational metric, for a rigidly-rotating volume of space. In particular, it can reduce to the Einstein’s metric on a uniformly rotating disk in the zero-mass limit. Consequently, we examined the non-inertial effects of rotational transformations and demonstrated their influence on metric structures as well as the gravitational effects associated with rotation. Generally, the rotation may evolve with time, as the solution has a time-evolving possible angular-momentum parameter, which represents extra physical degrees of freedom, potentially stemming from the conversion of gravitational rotational energy into other forms of energy through non-gravitational interactions or quantum-mechanical processes. Interestingly, the rotational metric can be interpreted as a BH solution, featuring a curvature singularity, an event horizon, and an ergosphere. Note, the solution can be deduced from the Schwarzschild metric under a time-dependent rotational transformations. The presence of the ergosphere can be attributed to the ‘non-inertial’ nature of the transformation utilized. We then explored the origin of the ergosphere by analyzing the physical degrees of freedom carried by the rotational transformation, and demonstrated that this transformation has partially broken the symmetry associated with the original Schwarzschild solution prior to the transformation. Besides, we found that the rotational metric offers an exact solution to Einstein’s clock-rate problem in curved spacetime, and it can account for various gravitational effects. For example, a more general form of time-dilation phenomena can be naturally derived from the solution, which reduces to the ordinary gravitational redshift and the transverse Doppler effect in the zero-rotation and zero-mass limits, respectively. Finally, we observed that the metric solution possesses a rotating normal space between its two SLSs, which can be directly linked to the corotating magnetosphere of an NS, a normal star, or any other exotic astrophysical object.

Acknowledgements

This work is partially supported by the National Program on Key Research and Development Project (Grant No. 2021YFA0718500) from the Ministry of Science and Technology of China. ZZ acknowledges the support by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 12273042) and the Strategic Priority Research Program on Space Science of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Grant No. XDA15052700) as well as the funding from the Institute of High Energy Physics (Grant No. E25155U110). RZ acknowledges the support by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 12075257 and 12235001) as well as the funding from the Institute of High Energy Physics (Grant No. Y6515580U1) and the funding from Chinese Academy of Sciences (Grant No. Y8291120K2).

Data Availability

All the data are available in the paper.

Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest to this work.

References

- [1] A. Einstein, *On the electrodynamics of moving bodies*, in *The Principle of Relativity*. Dover Books on Physics. June 1, pp. 35–65 (1952).
- [2] A. Einstein, *The foundation of the general theory of relativity.*, *Annalen Phys.* **49** (1916) 769.
- [3] W. Rindler, *Relativity: Principles and Application of the General Theory of Relativity*, Oxford University Press Inc, New York (2006).
- [4] K. Schwarzschild, “Golden Oldie”: *On the Gravitational Field of a Mass Point According to Einstein’s Theory*, *General Relativity and Gravitation* **35** (2003) 951.
- [5] F.C. Michel, *Theory of pulsar magnetospheres*, *Reviews of Modern Physics* **54** (1982) 1.
- [6] P. Goldreich and W.H. Julian, *Pulsar Electrodynamics*, *Astrophysical Journal* **157** (1969) 869.
- [7] V.M. Kaspi and A.M. Beloborodov, *Magnetars*, *Annual Review of Astronomy and Astrophysics* **55** (2017) 261 [<https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-astro-081915-023329>].
- [8] CHIME/FRB collaboration, *A bright millisecond-duration radio burst from a Galactic magnetar*, *Nature* **587** (2020) 54 [[2005.10324](https://doi.org/10.1038/587054a)].
- [9] C.D. Bochenek, V. Ravi, K.V. Belov, G. Hallinan, J. Kocz, S.R. Kulkarni et al., *A fast radio burst associated with a Galactic magnetar*, *Nature* **587** (2020) 59 [[2005.10828](https://doi.org/10.1038/587059a)].
- [10] A.L. Watts and T.E. Strohmayer, *Detection with rhesi of high-frequency x-ray oscillations in the tail of the 2004 hyperflare from sgr 1806–20*, *The Astrophysical Journal* **637** (2006) L117.
- [11] T.E. Strohmayer and A.L. Watts, *Discovery of fast x-ray oscillations during the 1998 giant flare from sgr 1900+ 14*, *The Astrophysical Journal* **632** (2005) L111.
- [12] R.L. Aptekar, D.D. Frederiks, S.V. Golenetskii, V.N. Il’inskii, E.P. Mazets, V.D. Pal’shin et al., *Konus catalog of soft gamma repeater activity: 1978 to 2000*, *The Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series* **137** (2001) 227.
- [13] S.-X. Yi, Z. Zhang and X. Wang, *Flares from Merged Magnetars: Their Prospects as a New Population of Gamma-Ray Counterparts of Binary Neutron Star Mergers*, *Astrophys. J.* **955** (2023) 4 [[2304.10715](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/acd115)].
- [14] INSIGHT-HXMT TEAM collaboration, *HXMT identification of a non-thermal X-ray burst from SGR J1935+2154 and with FRB 200428*, *Nature Astronomy* **5** (2021) 378 [[2005.11071](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-11071-1)].
- [15] A. Ridnaia, D. Svinkin, D. Frederiks, A. Bykov, S. Popov, R. Aptekar et al., *A peculiar hard X-ray counterpart of a Galactic fast radio burst*, *Nature Astronomy* **5** (2021) 372 [[2005.11178](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-11178-1)].
- [16] S. Mereghetti et al., *INTEGRAL discovery of a burst with associated radio emission from the magnetar SGR 1935+2154*, *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **898** (2020) L29 [[2005.06335](https://doi.org/10.3847/2031-9173/ab9335)].
- [17] Z. Zhang, S.-X. Yi, S.-N. Zhang, S.-L. Xiong and S. Xiao, *Tidally-induced Magnetar Super Flare at the Eve of Coalescence with Its Compact Companion*, *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **939** (2022) L25 [[2207.12324](https://doi.org/10.3847/2031-9173/ac2244)].
- [18] S. Xiao, Y.-Q. Zhang, Z.-P. Zhu, S.-L. Xiong, H. Gao, D. Xu et al., *The quasi-periodically oscillating precursor of a long gamma-ray burst from a binary neutron star merger*, *Nature* **601** (2021) 2205 [[2005.02186](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-02186-1)].

- [19] s. Grøn and S. Hervik, *Einstein's General Theory of Relativity*, Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, New York (2007).
- [20] S. Carroll, *Spacetime and Geometry: An Introduction to General Relativity*, Pearson Edition Limited, Harlow (2014).
- [21] B. Carter, *Axisymmetric Black Hole Has Only Two Degrees of Freedom*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **26** (1971) 331.
- [22] S. Weinberg, *Gravitation and Cosmology: Principles and Applications of the General Theory of Relativity*, John Wiley and Sons, New York (1972).
- [23] R.P. Kerr, *Gravitational Field of a Spinning Mass as an Example of Algebraically Special Metrics*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **11** (1963) 237.
- [24] F. Kottler, *Über die physikalischen Grundlagen der Einsteinschen Gravitationstheorie*, *Annalen der Physik* **361** (1918) 401.
- [25] Z. Zhang, *Geometrization of light bending and its application to SdS_w spacetime*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **39** (2022) 015003 [2112.04149].
- [26] R. Zhang and Z. Zhang, *Dark energy on astrophysical scales and its detection in the Milky Way*, *JCAP* **06** (2023) 031 [2303.14047].
- [27] M.D. Schwartz, *Quantum Field Theory and the Standard Model*, Cambridge University Press, New York (2014).
- [28] G.L. Israel et al., *The discovery, monitoring and environment of SGR J1935+2154*, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **457** (2016) 3448 [1601.00347].
- [29] V. Belinski and E. Verdaguer, *Gravitational solitons* (2001).
- [30] H. Stephani, D. Kramer, M. MacCallum, C. Hoenselaers and E. Herlt, *Exact solutions of Einstein's field equations* (2003), [10.1017/CBO9780511535185](https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511535185).
- [31] J.B. Griffiths and J. Podolsky, *Exact Space-Times in Einstein's General Relativity*, Cambridge University Press, New York (2009).
- [32] V. Patel, D. Tahelyani, A.B. Joshi, D. Dey and P.S. Joshi, *Light trajectory and shadow shape in the rotating naked singularity*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **82** (2022) 798 [2206.06750].
- [33] G.C. McVittie, *The mass-particle in an expanding universe*, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **93** (1933) 325.

A Metrics and Coordinate Transformations

A.1 Magnetospheric Metric

The rotational metric needs to exist independently of the Schwarzschild metric. Actually, these two metrics can independently coexist to describe the regions within and beyond the NS magnetosphere, respectively. In the physics of NSs, the magnetospheric plasma corotates steadily with the NS's spin [5, 6]. Generally, the corotational velocity of the plasma increases linearly with the cylindrical radius ρ within the light cylinder. When ρ reaches or surpasses the light cylinder, the velocity can potentially exceed the speed of light. Nevertheless, surpassing the speed of light is impossible for the plasma. To avoid this problem, the plasma can no longer be frozen to the magnetic field of the NS magnetosphere. In reality, it may be no longer forced to corotate with the NS at a smaller radius than the light cylinder radius, i.e., at $\rho = r_{\text{CO}} \doteq (1 - \varepsilon) r_{\text{LC}}$, where ε is a tiny positive parameter. Hereafter, r_{CO} is referred to as the *corotational radius*, within which the plasma corotates with the spinning NS. In general, $r_{\text{CO}} \sim r_{\text{LC}}$ is expected in physics of NSs [5, 6]. Consequently, in the region with $r \lesssim r_{\text{LC}}$, the rotationally-induced gravitational effects experienced by the plasma can be depicted by the rotational metric ds_{ω}^2 . At the same time, when the plasma is far outside the light cylinder, it does not corotate with the NS, and therefore the gravitational effects experienced by this plasma cannot be described using the rotational metric. Instead, these effects can be depicted using the Schwarzschild metric. In principle, an additional metric can be constructed to describe the region with $\rho > r_{\text{CO}}$ from the rotational metric ds_{ω}^2 by replacing its ω with $\omega \Theta(\rho, z)$. Exactly, the components of this metric can be rewritten in the cylindrical coordinates (t, ρ, z, φ) as

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 + \frac{2M}{r} + (\omega \Theta)^2 \rho^2 & 0 & 0 & -(\omega \Theta) \rho^2 \\ 0 & 1 + \frac{2M\rho^2}{r^3(1-\frac{2M}{r})} & \frac{2M\rho z}{r^3(1-\frac{2M}{r})} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{2M\rho z}{r^3(1-\frac{2M}{r})} & 1 + \frac{2Mz^2}{r^3(1-\frac{2M}{r})} & 0 \\ -(\omega \Theta) \rho^2 & 0 & 0 & \rho^2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

where the function $\Theta \equiv \Theta(\rho, z)$ is fully determined by local environments, and it tends to decrease from 1 at $\rho = r_{\text{CO}}$ and to approach zero as r increases. The exact form of the Θ function can be obtained by solving the Einstein field equations in the vicinity of $\rho \sim r_{\text{LC}}$. Therefore, there always exists a metric that continuously connects the rotational metric ds_{ω}^2 inwards and the Schwarzschild metric outwards. The newly constructed metric (A.1) now designated as the *magnetospheric metric*. Indeed, it reduces to the Schwarzschild metric in the region far outside the light cylinder. As a result, these metrics are capable of describing different regions, allowing us to accurately characterize the gravitational effects experienced by the plasma within and outside the light cylinder, respectively. In particular, the rotational metric ds_{ω}^2 can effectively describe the region within the light cylinder, and the Schwarzschild metric is suitable for depicting the region located far outside the light cylinder. Another noteworthy point is that the rotational metric is not asymptotically flat in any direction other than the rotation axis. Luckily, this occurs in the faraway region with $\rho > r_{\text{CO}}$. In the faraway region, the asymptotic non-flatness of the rotational metric may give rise to a series of difficulties in interpreting the metric physically, despite successful analyses conducted on the asymptotic non-flat region [e.g., 25, 26]. However, the asymptotic non-flatness of the outer region with $\rho > r_{\text{CO}}$ has no influence on the applications of the rotational metric to the magnetospheric region with $\rho < r_{\text{CO}} \sim r_{\text{LC}}$. In short, to well describe the different

regions in an NS system, it is crucial to simultaneously utilize both the rotational metric and the Schwarzschild metric. Hence, the two metrics should coexist independently in order to provide a comprehensive understanding of the NS system.

A.2 Symmetries and Physical Degrees of Freedom

Compared to the original Schwarzschild metric, the rotational metric has extra physical degrees of freedom. Clearly, the rotational metric owns an additional dynamical parameter, namely the angular velocity ω . Indeed, we can analyze this based on the symmetries of metrics in terms of their Killing vectors. In the general case of $\omega \neq 0$, the rotational metric has at least two fewer mutually independent Killing vectors than the Schwarzschild metric. In GR, a metric determines a gravitational system. Each Killing vector generates a symmetry. The symmetry corresponds to a coordinate-independent conserved physical quantity, such as energy or angular momentum, and it imposes a physical constraint on the corresponding gravitational system. As a result, the fewer Killing vectors there are, the more physical degrees of freedom exist. Thus, there are more physical degrees of freedom in the gravitational system described by the rotational metric than the Schwarzschild system. Therefore, the two metrics cannot be treated as physically identical when describing specific gravitational systems. In math, the rotational metric can be obtained from the Schwarzschild metric by coordinate transformations. However, these transformations are not standard ones as they involve changes in the physical degrees of freedom. Hence, the specific gravitational physics has been changed during the non-inertial transformations. In fact, there are several other pairs of metrics that exhibit similar relationships [29–32]. For instance, the McVittie metric is given by [33]

$$dS^2 = - \frac{\left[1 - \frac{M}{4a(\hat{t})\hat{r}}\right]^2}{\left[1 + \frac{M}{4a(\hat{t})\hat{r}}\right]^2} d\hat{t}^2 + a(\hat{t})^2 \left[1 + \frac{M}{4a(\hat{t})\hat{r}}\right]^4 \left[d\hat{r}^2 + \hat{r}^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\varphi^2)\right], \quad (\text{A.2})$$

where \hat{t} , \hat{r} , θ , and φ are spacetime coordinates. Here, $a = a(\hat{t})$ is the expansion factor. Then, we consider a special scenario where the universe is driven by the cosmological constant Λ . In this case, the expansion factor is given as $a(\hat{t}) = \text{Exp}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}}\hat{t}\right)$. Let us introduce a coordinate transformation, $r = \left[1 + \frac{M}{4a(\hat{t})\hat{r}}\right]^2 a(\hat{t})\hat{r}$. With this transformation, the metric can be reexpressed as follows:

$$dS^2 = - \left(1 - \frac{M}{r} - \frac{\Lambda}{3}r^2\right) d\hat{t}^2 + \frac{dr^2}{1 - M/r} - \frac{2\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}}r}{\sqrt{1 - M/r}} d\hat{t} dr + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\varphi^2). \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Next, we replace \hat{t} with $t = \hat{t} + F(r)$, where $F(r)$ is determined by the relation:

$$\frac{dF}{dr} = \frac{\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}}r}{\left(1 - \frac{M}{r} - \frac{\Lambda}{3}r^2\right)\sqrt{1 - \frac{M}{r}}}. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

By making this substitution, we obtain the Schwarzschild-de Sitter (SdS) metric,

$$dS^2 = - \left(1 - \frac{M}{r} - \frac{\Lambda}{3}r^2\right) dt^2 + \frac{1}{\left(1 - \frac{M}{r} - \frac{\Lambda}{3}r^2\right)} dr^2 + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\varphi^2). \quad (\text{A.5})$$

It is worth noting that the expansion factor of the universe is not limited to the specific form we have chosen above. Actually, the choice of the expansion factor has significant influence on the physical interpretation of the metric. From this perspective, one can argue that the McVittie metric, derived using an arbitrary expansion factor, carries much more meaningful physics compared to the SdS metric, which is derived under the assumption of the specific expansion factor we adopted. We can also approach this analysis by considering the physical degrees of freedom involved. In fact, the McVittie metric has one less Killing vector than the SdS metric, so the former has more physical degrees of freedom than the latter. As a result, the McVittie metric is not physically equivalent to the SdS metric when describing the relevant gravitational systems. Therefore, if two metrics can be transformed into each other through coordinate transformations, it does not automatically imply their physical equivalence in describing specific gravitational systems or their states, unless they share the same physical degrees of freedom. In general, after applying non-inertial transformations, along with the standard transformations (4.1) and (4.2), stationary metrics can be rewritten in the following form,

$$dS^2 = -dT^2 + dX^2 + dY^2 + dZ^2, \quad (\text{A.6})$$

where T , X , Y , and Z are the time and space coordinates in a locally inertial frame, respectively. It is evident that these metrics are not physically equivalent to the Minkowski metric, although they can be transformed into the latter under coordinate transformations.

B Calculations

In this work, the rotational metric ds_ω^2 of the form (2.5) has a Lorentzian signature $\text{diag}(-, +, +, +)$, and it is also continuous, nondegenerate. Generally, the Christoffel symbols are defined by

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda = \frac{1}{2} g^{\lambda\rho} (\partial_\mu g_{\rho\nu} + \partial_\nu g_{\rho\mu} - \partial_\rho g_{\mu\nu}), \quad (\text{B.1})$$

with $\partial_\mu = \partial/\partial x^\mu$. In the cylindrical coordinates, we have $x^\mu = (t, \rho, z, \varphi)$.

From the metric ds_ω^2 and its inverse with $\omega = \omega(t)$, we obtain the nonzero Christoffel symbols:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{t\rho}^t &= \Gamma_{\rho t}^t = \frac{GM\rho}{(\rho^2 + z^2) \left(c^2 \sqrt{\rho^2 + z^2} - 2GM \right)}, \\ \Gamma_{tz}^t &= \Gamma_{zt}^t = \frac{GMz}{(\rho^2 + z^2) \left(c^2 \sqrt{\rho^2 + z^2} - 2GM \right)}, \\ \Gamma_{tt}^\rho &= \frac{\rho}{c^4} \left(\frac{GM(c^2 + 2\rho^2\omega^2)}{(\rho^2 + z^2)^{3/2}} - c^2\omega^2 - \frac{2G^2M^2}{(\rho^2 + z^2)^2} \right), \\ \Gamma_{t\varphi}^\rho &= \Gamma_{\varphi t}^\rho = \frac{\rho\omega}{c^3} \left(c^2 - \frac{2GM\rho^2}{(\rho^2 + z^2)^{3/2}} \right), \\ \Gamma_{\rho\rho}^\rho &= \frac{GM\rho \left(c^4 (-\rho^4 + 2z^4 + \rho^2 z^2) + 2c^2 GM (\rho^2 - 4z^2) \sqrt{\rho^2 + z^2} + 8G^2 M^2 z^2 \right)}{(\rho^2 + z^2)^{5/2} \left(c^3 \sqrt{\rho^2 + z^2} - 2cGM \right)^2}, \\ \Gamma_{\rho z}^\rho &= \Gamma_{z\rho}^\rho = - \frac{GM\rho^2 z \left(3c^4 (\rho^2 + z^2) + 2GM (4GM - 5c^2 \sqrt{\rho^2 + z^2}) \right)}{(\rho^2 + z^2)^{5/2} \left(c^3 \sqrt{\rho^2 + z^2} - 2cGM \right)^2}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{zz}^\rho &= \frac{GM\rho \left(c^4 (2\rho^4 - z^4 + \rho^2 z^2) + 2c^2 GM (z^2 - 4\rho^2) \sqrt{\rho^2 + z^2} + 8G^2 M^2 \rho^2 \right)}{(\rho^2 + z^2)^{5/2} \left(c^3 \sqrt{\rho^2 + z^2} - 2cGM \right)^2}, \\
\Gamma_{\varphi\varphi}^\rho &= \frac{2GM\rho^3}{c^2 (\rho^2 + z^2)^{3/2}} - \rho, \\
\Gamma_{tt}^z &= \frac{GMz \left(\sqrt{\rho^2 + z^2} (c^2 + 2\rho^2 \omega^2) - 2GM \right)}{c^4 (\rho^2 + z^2)^2}, \\
\Gamma_{t\varphi}^z &= \Gamma_{\varphi t}^z = -\frac{2GM\rho^2 \omega z}{c^3 (\rho^2 + z^2)^{3/2}}, \\
\Gamma_{\rho\rho}^z &= \frac{GMz \left(c^4 (-\rho^4 + 2z^4 + \rho^2 z^2) + 2c^2 GM (\rho^2 - 4z^2) \sqrt{\rho^2 + z^2} + 8G^2 M^2 z^2 \right)}{(\rho^2 + z^2)^{5/2} \left(c^3 \sqrt{\rho^2 + z^2} - 2cGM \right)^2}, \\
\Gamma_{\rho z}^z &= \Gamma_{z\rho}^z = -\frac{GM\rho z^2 \left(3c^4 (\rho^2 + z^2) + 2GM (4GM - 5c^2 \sqrt{\rho^2 + z^2}) \right)}{(\rho^2 + z^2)^{5/2} \left(c^3 \sqrt{\rho^2 + z^2} - 2cGM \right)^2}, \\
\Gamma_{zz}^z &= \frac{GMz \left(c^4 (2\rho^4 - z^4 + \rho^2 z^2) + 2c^2 GM (z^2 - 4\rho^2) \sqrt{\rho^2 + z^2} + 8G^2 M^2 \rho^2 \right)}{(\rho^2 + z^2)^{5/2} \left(c^3 \sqrt{\rho^2 + z^2} - 2cGM \right)^2}, \\
\Gamma_{\varphi\varphi}^z &= \frac{2GM\rho^2 z}{c^2 (\rho^2 + z^2)^{3/2}}, \\
\Gamma_{tt}^\varphi &= -\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial t}, \\
\Gamma_{t\rho}^\varphi &= \Gamma_{\rho t}^\varphi = \frac{\omega \left(GM (3\rho^2 + 2z^2) - c^2 (\rho^2 + z^2)^{3/2} \right)}{c\rho (\rho^2 + z^2) \left(c^2 \sqrt{\rho^2 + z^2} - 2GM \right)}, \\
\Gamma_{tz}^\varphi &= \Gamma_{zt}^\varphi = \frac{GM\omega z}{c (\rho^2 + z^2) \left(c^2 \sqrt{\rho^2 + z^2} - 2GM \right)}, \\
\Gamma_{\varphi\rho}^\varphi &= \Gamma_{\rho\varphi}^\varphi = \frac{1}{\rho}.
\end{aligned}$$

Recall that the Riemann tensor is defined in general as

$$R^\lambda{}_{\mu\nu\sigma} = -\frac{\partial \Gamma^\lambda{}_{\mu\nu}}{\partial \sigma} + \frac{\partial \Gamma^\lambda{}_{\mu\sigma}}{\partial \nu} + \Gamma^\eta{}_{\mu\sigma} \Gamma^\lambda{}_{\eta\nu} - \Gamma^\eta{}_{\mu\nu} \Gamma^\lambda{}_{\eta\sigma}. \quad (\text{B.2})$$

Submitting those Christoffel symbols into this formula, we thus obtain the nonvanishing components of the Riemann tensor:

$$\begin{aligned}
R^t{}_{tt\varphi} &= -R^t{}_{t\varphi t} = \frac{GM\rho^2 \omega}{c^3 (\rho^2 + z^2)^{3/2}}, \\
R^t{}_{\rho t\rho} &= -R^t{}_{\rho\rho t} = \frac{GM \left(c^4 (2\rho^4 - z^4 + \rho^2 z^2) + 4c^2 GM \rho^2 \sqrt{\rho^2 + z^2} + 4G^2 M^2 z^2 \right)}{c^2 (\rho^2 + z^2)^{5/2} (c^4 (\rho^2 + z^2) - 4G^2 M^2)},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
R^t_{\rho tz} = -R^t_{\rho zt} &= \frac{GM\rho z \left(3c^4 (\rho^2 + z^2) + 4GM \left(c^2 \sqrt{\rho^2 + z^2} - GM \right) \right)}{c^2 (\rho^2 + z^2)^{5/2} (c^4 (\rho^2 + z^2) - 4G^2 M^2)}, \\
R^t_{z t \rho} = -R^t_{z \rho t} &= \frac{GM\rho z \left(3c^4 (\rho^2 + z^2) + 4GM \left(c^2 \sqrt{\rho^2 + z^2} - GM \right) \right)}{c^2 (\rho^2 + z^2)^{5/2} (c^4 (\rho^2 + z^2) - 4G^2 M^2)}, \\
R^t_{z t z} = -R^t_{z z t} &= \frac{GM \left(c^4 (-\rho^4 + 2z^4 + \rho^2 z^2) + 4c^2 GM z^2 \sqrt{\rho^2 + z^2} + 4G^2 M^2 \rho^2 \right)}{c^2 (\rho^2 + z^2)^{5/2} (c^4 (\rho^2 + z^2) - 4G^2 M^2)}, \\
R^t_{\varphi \varphi t} = -R^t_{\varphi t \varphi} &= \frac{GM\rho^2}{c^2 (\rho^2 + z^2)^{3/2}}, \\
R^\rho_{t t \rho} = -R^\rho_{t \rho t} &= \frac{GM \left(c^2 (2\rho^4 - z^4 + \rho^2 z^2) + 2GM (z^2 - 2\rho^2) \sqrt{\rho^2 + z^2} + \omega^2 (\rho^6 - 2\rho^2 z^4 - \rho^4 z^2) \right)}{c^4 (\rho^2 + z^2)^{7/2}}, \\
R^\rho_{t t z} = -R^\rho_{t z t} &= \frac{3GM\rho \left(z (\rho^2 + z^2) (c^2 + \rho^2 \omega^2) - 2GM z \sqrt{\rho^2 + z^2} \right)}{c^4 (\rho^2 + z^2)^{7/2}}, \\
R^\rho_{t \rho \varphi} = -R^\rho_{t \varphi \rho} &= \frac{GM\rho^2 \omega (\rho^2 - 2z^2)}{c^3 (\rho^2 + z^2)^{5/2}}, \\
R^\rho_{t z \varphi} = -R^\rho_{t \varphi z} &= \frac{3GM\rho^3 \omega z}{c^3 (\rho^2 + z^2)^{5/2}}, \\
R^\rho_{\rho \rho z} = -R^\rho_{\rho z \rho} &= -\frac{2G^2 M^2 \rho z \left(c^2 \sqrt{\rho^2 + z^2} + 2GM \right)}{c^2 (\rho^2 + z^2)^{5/2} (c^4 (\rho^2 + z^2) - 4G^2 M^2)}, \\
R^\rho_{\rho z \rho} = -R^\rho_{z z \rho} &= -\frac{GM \left(c^4 (\rho^2 + z^2)^2 + 2c^2 GM z^2 \sqrt{\rho^2 + z^2} - 4G^2 M^2 \rho^2 \right)}{c^2 (\rho^2 + z^2)^{5/2} (c^4 (\rho^2 + z^2) - 4G^2 M^2)}, \\
R^\rho_{\varphi t \rho} = -R^\rho_{\varphi \rho t} &= -\frac{GM\rho^2 \omega (\rho^2 - 2z^2)}{c^3 (\rho^2 + z^2)^{5/2}}, \\
R^\rho_{\varphi t z} = -R^\rho_{\varphi z t} &= -\frac{3GM\rho^3 \omega z}{c^3 (\rho^2 + z^2)^{5/2}}, \\
R^\rho_{\varphi \rho \varphi} = -R^\rho_{\varphi \varphi \rho} &= -\frac{GM\rho^2 (\rho^2 - 2z^2)}{c^2 (\rho^2 + z^2)^{5/2}}, \\
R^\rho_{\varphi z \varphi} = -R^\rho_{\varphi \varphi z} &= -\frac{3GM\rho^3 z}{c^2 (\rho^2 + z^2)^{5/2}}, \\
R^z_{t t \rho} = -R^z_{t \rho t} &= \frac{3GM\rho \left(z (\rho^2 + z^2) (c^2 + \rho^2 \omega^2) - 2GM z \sqrt{\rho^2 + z^2} \right)}{c^4 (\rho^2 + z^2)^{7/2}}, \\
R^z_{t t z} = -R^z_{t z t} &= \frac{GM \left(c^2 (-\rho^4 + 2z^4 + \rho^2 z^2) + 2GM (\rho^2 - 2z^2) \sqrt{\rho^2 + z^2} \right)}{c^4 (\rho^2 + z^2)^{7/2}} \\
&\quad + \frac{GM\rho^2 \omega^2 (\rho^2 + z^2) (z^2 - 2\rho^2)}{c^4 (\rho^2 + z^2)^{7/2}},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
R^z_{t\rho\varphi} &= -R^z_{t\rho\varphi} = \frac{3GM\rho^3\omega z}{c^3(\rho^2+z^2)^{5/2}}, \\
R^z_{tz\varphi} &= -R^z_{t\varphi z} = \frac{GM\rho^2\omega(z^2-2\rho^2)}{c^3(\rho^2+z^2)^{5/2}}, \\
R^z_{\rho\rho z} &= -R^z_{\rho z\rho} = \frac{GM\left(c^4(\rho^2+z^2)^2+2c^2GM\rho^2\sqrt{\rho^2+z^2}-4G^2M^2z^2\right)}{c^2(\rho^2+z^2)^{5/2}(c^4(\rho^2+z^2)-4G^2M^2)}, \\
R^z_{zz\rho} &= -R^z_{z\rho z} = -\frac{2G^2M^2\rho z\left(c^2\sqrt{\rho^2+z^2}+2GM\right)}{c^2(\rho^2+z^2)^{5/2}(c^4(\rho^2+z^2)-4G^2M^2)}, \\
R^z_{\varphi t\rho} &= -R^z_{\varphi\rho t} = -\frac{3GM\rho^3\omega z}{c^3(\rho^2+z^2)^{5/2}}, \\
R^z_{\varphi tz} &= -R^z_{\varphi zt} = \frac{GM\rho^2\omega(2\rho^2-z^2)}{c^3(\rho^2+z^2)^{5/2}}, \\
R^z_{\varphi\rho\varphi} &= -R^z_{\varphi\varphi\rho} = -\frac{3GM\rho^3z}{c^2(\rho^2+z^2)^{5/2}}, \\
R^z_{\varphi z\varphi} &= -R^z_{\varphi\varphi z} = \frac{GM\rho^2(2\rho^2-z^2)}{c^2(\rho^2+z^2)^{5/2}}, \\
R^\varphi_{tt\varphi} &= -R^\varphi_{t\varphi t} = \frac{GM\left(\frac{\rho^2\omega^2-c^2}{(\rho^2+z^2)^{3/2}}+\frac{2GM}{(\rho^2+z^2)^2}\right)}{c^4}, \\
R^\varphi_{\rho t\rho} &= -R^\varphi_{\rho\rho t} = \frac{3GM\omega\left(c^4(\rho^4-z^4)+2c^2GM\rho^2\sqrt{\rho^2+z^2}+4G^2M^2z^2\right)}{c^3(\rho^2+z^2)^{5/2}(c^4(\rho^2+z^2)-4G^2M^2)}, \\
R^\varphi_{\rho tz} &= -R^\varphi_{\rho zt} = \frac{6GM\rho\omega z\left(c^4(\rho^2+z^2)+GM\left(c^2\sqrt{\rho^2+z^2}-2GM\right)\right)}{c^3(\rho^2+z^2)^{5/2}(c^4(\rho^2+z^2)-4G^2M^2)}, \\
R^\varphi_{\rho\rho\varphi} &= -R^\varphi_{\rho\varphi\rho} = -\frac{GM\left(c^4(-\rho^4+2z^4+\rho^2z^2)+2c^2GM(\rho^2-4z^2)\sqrt{\rho^2+z^2}+8G^2M^2z^2\right)}{(\rho^2+z^2)^{5/2}\left(c^3\sqrt{\rho^2+z^2}-2cGM\right)^2}, \\
R^\varphi_{\rho z\varphi} &= -R^\varphi_{\rho\varphi z} = \frac{GM\rho z\left(3c^4(\rho^2+z^2)+2GM\left(4GM-5c^2\sqrt{\rho^2+z^2}\right)\right)}{(\rho^2+z^2)^{5/2}\left(c^3\sqrt{\rho^2+z^2}-2cGM\right)^2}, \\
R^\varphi_{z t\rho} &= -R^\varphi_{z\rho t} = \frac{6GM\rho\omega z\left(c^4(\rho^2+z^2)+GM\left(c^2\sqrt{\rho^2+z^2}-2GM\right)\right)}{c^3(\rho^2+z^2)^{5/2}(c^4(\rho^2+z^2)-4G^2M^2)}, \\
R^\varphi_{z t z} &= -R^\varphi_{z z t} = \frac{3GM\omega\left(c^4(z^4-\rho^4)+2c^2GMz^2\sqrt{\rho^2+z^2}+4G^2M^2\rho^2\right)}{c^3(\rho^2+z^2)^{5/2}(c^4(\rho^2+z^2)-4G^2M^2)}, \\
R^\varphi_{z\rho\varphi} &= -R^\varphi_{z\varphi\rho} = \frac{GM\rho z\left(3c^4(\rho^2+z^2)+2GM\left(4GM-5c^2\sqrt{\rho^2+z^2}\right)\right)}{(\rho^2+z^2)^{5/2}\left(c^3\sqrt{\rho^2+z^2}-2cGM\right)^2},
\end{aligned}$$

$$R^\varphi_{zz\varphi} = -R^\varphi_{z\varphi z} = -\frac{GM \left(c^4 (2\rho^4 - z^4 + \rho^2 z^2) + 2c^2 GM (z^2 - 4\rho^2) \sqrt{\rho^2 + z^2} + 8G^2 M^2 \rho^2 \right)}{(\rho^2 + z^2)^{5/2} \left(c^3 \sqrt{\rho^2 + z^2} - 2cGM \right)^2},$$

$$R^\varphi_{\varphi t\varphi} = -R^\varphi_{\varphi\varphi t} = -\frac{GM\rho^2\omega}{c^3 (\rho^2 + z^2)^{3/2}}.$$

The final step we would take is to calculate the Ricci tensor by its definition, namely $R_{\mu\nu} = R^{\rho}_{\mu\rho\nu}$. From those components of the Riemann tensor, we find $R_{\mu\nu} = 0$. Now it has been verified that the rotational metric ds_ω^2 is indeed an exact vacuum solution of the Einstein field equations.