Spotting Stasis in Cosmological Perturbations

Keith R. Dienes, $^{1,\,2,\,*}$ Lucien Heurtier, $^{3,\,\dagger}$ Daniel Hoover, $^{4,\,\ddagger}$ Fei Huang, $^{5,\,\$}$

Anna Paulsen,⁶, [¶] Brooks Thomas⁴, **

¹Department of Physics, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85721 USA

²Department of Physics, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742 USA

³ Theoretical Particle Physics and Cosmology Group, Physics Department,

King's College London, Strand, London WC2R 2LS, United Kingdom

⁴Department of Physics, Lafayette College, Easton, PA 18042 USA

⁵Department of Particle Physics and Astrophysics,

Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot 7610001, Israel

⁶Brown Theoretical Physics Center, Brown University, Providence, RI 02912, USA

As discussed in a number of recent papers, cosmological stasis is a phenomenon wherein the abundances of multiple cosmological energy components with different equations of state remain constant for an extended period despite the expansion of the universe. One of the most intriguing aspects of the stasis phenomenon is that it can give rise to cosmological epochs in which the effective equation-of-state parameter $\langle w \rangle$ for the universe is constant, but differs from the canonical values associated with matter, radiation, vacuum energy, etc. Indeed, during such a stasis epoch, the spatial average of the energy density of the universe evolves in precisely the same manner as it would have evolved if the universe were instead dominated by a perfect fluid with an equation-ofstate parameter equal to $\langle w \rangle$. However, as we shall demonstrate, this equivalence is broken at the level of the *perturbations* of the energy density. To illustrate this point, we consider a stasis epoch involving matter and radiation and demonstrate that within this stasis background the density perturbations associated with a spectator matter component with exceedingly small energy density exhibit a power-law growth that persists across the entire duration of the stasis epoch. This growth can potentially lead to significant enhancements of structure at small scales. Such enhancements are not only interesting in their own right, but may also provide a way of observationally distinguishing between a stasis epoch and an epoch of perfect-fluid domination — even if the universe has the same equation of state in both cases.

I. INTRODUCTION

It has recently been shown that many commonly studied extensions of the Standard Model give rise to cosmologies wherein the universe is driven by a dynamical interplay between particle-physics processes and cosmological expansion — an interplay which propels the universe toward a state in which the abundances Ω_i of multiple cosmological energy components with different equation-ofstate parameters w_i lose their traditional time-dependent scaling behaviors and instead remain constant despite cosmological expansion. This state is known as cosmolog*ical stasis* [1, 2]. Such a stasis state emerges naturally in a variety of different BSM contexts [1-9]; nevertheless, all such realizations of stasis exhibit a common underlying structure [8]. Moreover, the modification of the cosmological expansion history associated with a stasis epoch can have observable impacts — for example, on the cosmic microwave background and on the stochastic gravitational-wave background [4].

One particularly intriguing aspect of the stasis phenomenon is that the effective equation-of-state parameter $\langle w \rangle \equiv \sum_i \Omega_i w_i$ for the universe as a whole can take a value which is constant and yet differs from the canonical values associated with matter $(w_i = 0)$, radiation $(w_i = 1/3)$, vacuum energy $(w_i = -1)$, kination $(w_i = 1)$, and so forth. Indeed, as far as the global properties of a flat Friedmann-Robertson-Walker (FRW) universe are concerned — properties such as the spatial average $\overline{\rho}$ of the total energy density or the Hubble parameter $H \equiv a^{-1}(da/dt)$ — there is an equivalence between a stasis epoch involving many different cosmological energy components and an epoch dominated by a single perfect fluid with an equation-of-state parameter $w_{\rm PF}$ equal to $\langle w \rangle$.

Despite this fact, we shall demonstrate in this paper that this equivalence is broken at the level of spatial inhomogeneities. To do this, we shall consider a stasis cosmology. Within this cosmology, we shall then analyze the evolution of perturbations of not only the energy densities that are directly involved in the stasis dynamics, but also the energy densities associated with any "spectator" components which couple only gravitationally to the stasis sector and whose energy densities are extremely small compared to the critical density during that epoch. As a concrete example, we shall consider the case in which the collective decays of a tower of massive particle species directly into massless final-state particles give rise to a matter/radiation stasis, and in which a spectator mat-

^{*} Email address: dienes@arizona.edu

[†] Email address: lucien.heurtier@kcl.ac.uk

[‡] Email address: hooverdj@lafayette.edu

[§] Email address: fei.huang@weizmann.ac.il

[¶] Email address: anna_paulsen@brown.edu

^{**} Email address: thomasbd@lafayette.edu

ter component is also present. We then demonstrate that once they enter the horizon, density perturbations for this spectator matter component exhibit a power-law growth that persists across the entire duration of the stasis epoch. This behavior stands in sharp contrast to that which such perturbations exhibit during an epoch of perfect-fluid domination, in which such perturbations experience only a short transient phase of growth and then plateau [10]. This power-law growth that emerges during stasis also differs from the linear growth that such perturbations exhibit [11] during an early matter-dominated era (EMDE). Nevertheless, in situations in which this spectator component plays the role of the dark matter, this enhanced growth of perturbations during stasis can potentially lead to a enhancement of structure at small scales. Such an enhancement could potentially provide observational handles which might allow one to distinguish a stasis epoch from an epoch of perfect-fluid domination in the early universe — even when the universe in each scenario has the same equation of state.

This paper is organized as follows. First, in Sect. II, we review the general formalism which describes the evolution of density perturbations in an FRW universe. Then, in Sect. III, we review how density perturbations associated with a spectator matter component evolve during an epoch of perfect-fluid domination. Finally, in Sect. IV, we investigate how such perturbations evolve during a matter/radiation stasis epoch and demonstrate that they experience power-law growth after entering the horizon. We conclude in Sect. V with a summary of our results and a discussion of possible directions for future work.

II. PERTURBATION EVOLUTION

In general, the manner in which the spacetime metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ and the energy densities ρ_X of a set of cosmological energy components X behave as functions of the spacetime coordinates x^{μ} may be ascertained from the Einstein equation and the equations $\nabla_{\mu}(T_X)^{\mu}{}_{\nu} = (Q_X)_{\nu}$ which describe the transfer of stress-energy between cosmological components, where the source and sink terms $(Q_X)_{\mu}$ are subject to the stress-energy-conservation condition $\sum_X \nabla_{\mu}(T_X)^{\mu}{}_{\nu} = 0$, or equivalently $\sum_X (Q_X)_{\nu} = 0$. We focus on the case in which the X behave like perfect fluids, with

$$(T_X)^{\mu}_{\ \nu} = (\rho_X + p_X)(u_X)^{\mu}(u_X)_{\nu} + p_X \delta^{\mu}_{\nu} , \qquad (2.1)$$

where p_X is its pressure associated with X, where $(u_X)^{\mu}$ is its contravariant four-velocity, and where δ^{μ}_{ν} denotes the Kronecker delta.

At the global level — *i.e.*, neglecting inhomogeneities — we assume that the metric is that of an FRW universe with vanishing spatial curvature. Since we are primarily interested in how density perturbations evolve, we consider only scalar perturbations to $g^{\mu\nu}$. In the conformal Newtonian gauge, the most general form of the perturbed metric involving only perturbations of this sort is

$$ds^{2} = -a^{2}(1+2\Psi)d\tau^{2} + a^{2}(1+2\Phi)\delta_{ij}dx^{i}dx^{j} , \quad (2.2)$$

where $x^0 = \tau$ is the conformal time, where x^i with $i = \{1, 2, 3\}$ denote the three spatial coordinates, where a is the scale factor, where $\Psi(\tau, \vec{\mathbf{x}})$ is the Newtonian potential, and where $\Phi(\tau, \vec{\mathbf{x}})$ is the spatial curvature. In the absence of anisotropic stress, $\Psi = -\Phi$.

We decompose the energy density $\rho_X(\tau, \vec{\mathbf{x}}) = \bar{\rho}_X(\tau) + \Delta \rho_X(\tau, \vec{\mathbf{x}})$ of each cosmological component in terms of its spatial average $\bar{\rho}_X(\tau)$ and a position-dependent perturbation $\Delta \rho_X$. The pressure $p_X(\tau, \vec{\mathbf{x}}) = \bar{p}_X(\tau) + \Delta p_X(\tau, \vec{\mathbf{x}})$ and each spatial component $(u_X)^i(\tau, \vec{\mathbf{x}}) = (\bar{u}_X)^i(\tau) + (v_X)^i(\tau, \vec{\mathbf{x}})$ of $(u_X)^{\mu}$ may be decomposed in an analogous manner. Given that the universe is observed to be isotropic on large distance scales, we take $(\bar{u}_X)^{\mu} = 0$ for all X. We define the abundance Ω_X and equationof-state parameter w_X for each X via the relations $\Omega_X \equiv \bar{\rho}_X/\rho_{\rm crit}$ and $\bar{p}_X = w_X\bar{\rho}_X$, respectively, where $\rho_{\rm crit} \equiv 3H^2/(8\pi G)$ denotes the critical density. We also define the fractional overdensity (or "density contrast") $\delta_X \equiv \Delta \rho_X/\bar{\rho}_X$ as well as the divergence $\theta_X \equiv \partial_i(v_X)^i$ of the three-velocity for each X.

In the regime wherein the perturbations are all sufficiently small, we may expand the Einstein and stressenergy-transfer equations to linear order in these perturbations in order to obtain equations of motion for δ_X , the $\tilde{\theta}_X$, and Φ — or, equivalently, for their Fourier transforms δ_{kX} , $\tilde{\theta}_{kX}$, and Φ_k , where k denotes the comoving wavenumber of the Fourier mode. At zeroth order in this expansion, the Einstein equation yields the Friedmann equations while the stress-energy-transfer equation for each X yields an equation of motion

$$\dot{\bar{\rho}}_X = -3 \frac{\dot{a}}{a} (1 + w_X) \bar{\rho}_X - (\overline{Q}_X)_0$$
 (2.3)

for $\bar{\rho}_X$, where a dot denotes a derivative with respect to τ , and where $(\overline{Q}_X)_{\mu}$ denotes the expression for $(Q_X)_{\mu}$ evaluated at zeroth order in the perturbations.

At first order in the perturbations, the $\mu = i$, $\nu = j$, and $\mu = \nu = 0$ components of the Einstein equation respectively yield the relations

$$4\pi Ga^2 \sum_X \delta_X \bar{\rho}_X c_{sX}^2 = -\ddot{\Phi} - 3\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\dot{\Phi} - \left(2\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} - \frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2}\right)\Phi$$
$$4\pi Ga^2 \sum_X \delta_X \bar{\rho}_X = 3\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\dot{\Phi} + 3\frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2}\Phi - \nabla^2\Phi , \quad (2.4)$$

where $c_{sX} \equiv \sqrt{\Delta p_X / \Delta \rho_X}$ is the effective sound speed of X. In general, this c_{sX} differs from the adiabatic sound speed. However, we note that $c_{sX} \approx 0$ for a highly non-relativistic matter component, whereas for radiation we have $c_{s\gamma} \approx 1/\sqrt{3}$. The stress-energy-transfer equation for each X yields equations of motion for δ_X and θ_X of

the form

$$\begin{split} \dot{\delta}_X &= -3\dot{\Phi}(1+w_X) - (1+w_X)\theta_X \\ &+ \frac{1}{\overline{\rho}_X} \Big[(\overline{Q}_X)_0 \delta_X - (\Delta Q_X)_0 \Big] \\ \dot{\theta}_X &= -\frac{\dot{a}}{a} (1-3w_X)\theta_X - \frac{w_X \nabla^2 \delta_X}{1+w_X} + \nabla^2 \Phi \\ &+ \frac{1}{\overline{\rho}_X} \left[\frac{\delta^{ij} \partial_j (\Delta Q_X)_i}{1+w_X} + (\overline{Q}_X)_0 \theta_X \right] , (2.5) \end{split}$$

where $(\Delta Q_x)_{\mu}$ represents the contribution to $(Q_X)_{\mu}$ which arises at first order in the perturbations.

We also note that the two equations in Eq. (2.4) can be combined to yield a single second-order differential equation for Φ , the Fourier transform of which is

$$\Phi_k'' + \frac{7 + 3\langle w \rangle}{2a} \Phi_k' + \frac{\langle w \rangle k^2}{a^4 H^2} \Phi_k = \frac{3}{2a^2} \sum_X \Omega_X \delta_{kX} \left(\langle w \rangle - c_{sX}^2 \right) , \qquad (2.6)$$

where a prime denotes a derivative with respect to a and where $\langle w \rangle \equiv \sum_X \Omega_X w_X$. The right side of this equation can be interpreted as a source for the gravitational potential. As we shall see, this source term plays a crucial role in the evolution of density perturbations during stasis.

III. THE PERFECT-FLUID CASE

As a warm-up, let us first consider the simple case of an epoch during which the energy density of the universe is dominated by a single perfect fluid with a constant equation-of-state parameter $w_{\rm PF}$ within the range $0 < w_{\rm PF} < 1/3$. For convenience in what follows, we shall work primarily in terms of dimensionless variables obtained by scaling the corresponding dimensionful quantities by an appropriate power of the value $H^{(0)}$ at some initial time $t^{(0)}$. In this way, we define a dimensionless Hubble parameter $E \equiv H/H^{(0)}$, a dimensionless comoving wavenumber $\tilde{k} \equiv k/H^{(0)}$, and dimensionless velocity gradient $\tilde{\theta}_X \equiv \theta_X/H^{(0)}$. We also adopt the convention that $a_0 \equiv a(t^{(0)}) = 1$ at this initial time.

During an epoch of perfect-fluid-domination, there is effectively only one term in the sum over X in Eq. (2.6). Likewise, we have $\langle w \rangle = w_{\rm PF}$. Thus, since $c_{s\rm PF}^2 = w_{\rm PF}$ for such a fluid, the right side of this equation vanishes, yielding a homogeneous equation for Φ_k which is independent of the other perturbations. Accounting for boundary conditions at early times, we then find that the solution to Eq. (2.6) is given by [10]

$$\Phi_{k} = \Phi_{k0} \Gamma(\eta + 1) \left(\frac{a^{\frac{3w_{\rm PF} + 1}{2}} \widetilde{k} w_{\rm PF}^{1/2}}{1 + 3w_{\rm PF}} \right)^{-\eta} \times J_{\eta} \left(\frac{2a^{\frac{3w_{\rm PF} + 1}{2}} \widetilde{k} w_{\rm PF}^{1/2}}{1 + 3w_{\rm PF}} \right)$$
(3.1)



FIG. 1. The density contrast $\delta_{k\chi}$ for a spectator matter field $(w_{\chi} = 0)$, normalized to the value of Φ_{k0} and plotted as a function of the normalized scale factor a/a_0 within a cosmology in which a perfect fluid with a constant equation-of-state parameter $w_{\rm PF}$ dominates the energy density of the universe. The solid curves of different colors correspond to different values of $w_{\rm PF}$, while the black dashed curve corresponds to the case in which $w_{\rm PF} = 0$. For each non-zero $w_{\rm PF}$, the vertical dashed line of the corresponding color indicates the value of a/a_0 at horizon entry.

where $\Gamma(x)$ denotes the Euler gamma function of x, where $J_{\alpha}(x)$ denotes the Bessel function of the first kind, and where $\eta \equiv (5 + 3w_{\rm PF})/(2 + 6w_{\rm PF})$.

We can also investigate how a density perturbation for a spectator matter component χ with $w_{\chi} = 0$ evolves in the presence of such a perfect fluid. The corresponding equations of motion for $\delta_{k\chi}$ and $\tilde{\theta}_{k\chi}$ are given by Eq. (2.5) and in this case can be written in the form

$$a^{2}E\delta'_{k\chi} = -3a^{2}E\Phi'_{k} - \tilde{\theta}_{k\chi}$$
$$a^{2}E\tilde{\theta}'_{k\chi} = -aE\tilde{\theta}_{k\chi} - \tilde{k}^{2}\Phi_{k} . \qquad (3.2)$$

These equations can be combined into a single secondorder equation for $\delta_{k\chi}$. Since $E = a^{-3(1+\langle w \rangle)/2}$ during any epoch wherein $\langle w \rangle$ is constant, this equation may be expressed as

$$\delta_{k\chi}^{\prime\prime} + \frac{3}{2a} (1 - \langle w \rangle) \delta_{k\chi}^{\prime} = S_{k\chi}$$
(3.3)

where we have defined

$$S_{k\chi} \equiv -3\Phi_k'' - \frac{9}{2a} \left(1 - \langle w \rangle\right) \Phi_k' + \tilde{k}^2 a^{3\langle w \rangle - 1} \Phi_k . \quad (3.4)$$

The full solution to this inhomogeneous linear equation may be obtained via the method of Green's functions. In particular, applying appropriate boundary conditions for $\delta_{k\chi}$ at early times and making the additional assumption that the primordial perturbations are purely adiabatic, we find

$$\delta_{k\chi} = \frac{2\Phi_{k0}}{1+\langle w \rangle} + \int_0^a db \, G_{k\chi}(a,b) \, S_{k\chi}(b) \,, \qquad (3.5)$$

where Φ_{k0} denotes the value of Φ_k at very early times, well before horizon entry, and where the Green's function $G_{k\chi}(a, b)$ is given for all $0 < \langle w \rangle < 1/3$ by

$$G_{ks}(a,b) = \frac{2b}{1-3\langle w \rangle} \left[1 - \left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{(1-3\langle w \rangle)/2} \right] . \quad (3.6)$$

In Fig. 1, we show how $\delta_{k\chi}$ evolves as a function of a for a mode with $\tilde{k} = 5 \times 10^{-2}$ during an epoch of perfect-fluid domination for a variety of different choices of $w_{\rm PF}$. For all $0 < w_{\rm PF} < 1/3$, the spectator density contrast undergoes a transient period of growth shortly after horizon entry and then plateaus, as discussed in Ref. [11]. However, we note that the transient period of growth which $\delta_{k\chi}$ experiences becomes longer and longer as $w_{\rm PF}$ decreases. In the $w_{\rm PF} \rightarrow 0$ limit, this period of growth becomes infinite and we recover the behavior that we would expect for the density contrast of a matter component during a matter-dominated epoch — namely, that $\delta_{k\chi}$ increases linearly with a for all a.

IV. GROWTH THROUGH STASIS

We now proceed to our primary goal, that of calculating how perturbations in the energy density of a spectator matter component χ evolve during an stasis epoch. The primary new feature in this analysis, relative to the analysis presented in Sect. III, turns out to be the appearance of a non-zero, stasis-induced source term in the equation of motion for Φ_k in Eq. (2.6). As we shall see, this source term leads to a number of surprising and important consequences.

For concreteness, we shall consider a matter/radiation stasis involving a tower of N massive particle species ϕ_{ℓ} , where the index $\ell = 0, 1, \ldots, N-1$ labels the species in order of increasing mass. As in Refs. [1, 2], we consider a mass spectrum for the ϕ_{ℓ} of the form $m_{\ell} = m_0 + \ell^{\delta} \Delta m$, where $m_0, \Delta m$, and δ are free parameters. Each ϕ_{ℓ} is unstable, with a non-zero decay width Γ_{ℓ} , and is presumed to decay directly into a final state comprising exceedingly light or massless particles γ which behave like radiation, with an equation-of-state parameter $w_{\gamma} = 1/3$. We take the decay widths Γ_{ℓ} and the initial abundances $\Omega_{\ell}(t_I)$ at some early time t_I significantly smaller than the proper lifetime of the most unstable of the ϕ_{ℓ} to scale across the tower according to power-laws of the form

$$\Gamma_{\ell} = \Gamma_0 \left(\frac{m_{\ell}}{m_0}\right)^{\gamma} , \quad \Omega_{\ell}(t_I) = \Omega_0 \left(\frac{m_{\ell}}{m_0}\right)^{\alpha} , \quad (4.1)$$

where $\Omega_0(t_I)$ and Γ_0 respectively represent the initial abundance and decay width of the lightest tower mode and where α and γ are scaling exponents. Indeed, as discussed in Refs. [1, 2], scaling relations of this sort emerge naturally in many commonly studied models of BSM physics. Moreover, we shall assume that the cosmological populations of each ϕ_{ℓ} are already highly nonrelativistic, with an equation-of-state parameter $w_{\ell} \approx 0$, by the time the particles of any of these species begin decaying appreciably. Finally, in addition to the matter fields ϕ_{ℓ} and the radiation component, we shall assume that our cosmology includes an additional spectator matter field χ with $w_{\chi} = 0$ and an abundance Ω_{χ} which is negligible throughout the stasis epoch. This field will be assumed to couple to the stasis sector — *i.e.*, to the ϕ_{ℓ} fields and the relativistic particles into which they decay — only through gravity.

Given this cosmological setup, the sink term $(Q_{\ell})_{\mu}$ for each ϕ_{ℓ} within Eq. (2.3) takes the canonical form $(Q_{\ell})_{\mu} = \Gamma_{\ell}(T_{\ell})_{\nu\mu}(u_{\ell})^{\nu}$ associated with particle decay, while $(Q_{\chi})_{\mu} = 0$. It therefore follows from stress-energy conservation that $(Q_{\gamma})_{\mu} = -\sum_{\ell=0}^{N-1} (Q_{\ell})_{\mu}$. At zeroth order in the perturbations, Eq. (2.3) then yields

$$\bar{\rho}'_{\ell} = -\frac{3}{a}\bar{\rho}_{\ell} - \frac{\widetilde{\Gamma}_{\ell}}{aE}\bar{\rho}_{\ell}
\bar{\rho}'_{\gamma} = -\frac{4}{a}\bar{\rho}_{\gamma} + \frac{1}{aE}\sum_{\ell}\widetilde{\Gamma}_{\ell}\bar{\rho}_{\ell}
\bar{\rho}'_{\chi} = -\frac{3}{a}\bar{\rho}_{\chi} ,$$
(4.2)

where we have defined $\widetilde{\Gamma}_{\ell} \equiv \Gamma_{\ell}/H^{(0)}$. As discussed in Refs. [1, 2], an FRW universe with energy densities governed by such equations is dynamically driven toward a stasis in which the total matter abundance $\Omega_M \equiv \sum_{\ell=0}^{N-1} \Omega_{\ell}$ and the radiation abundance Ω_{γ} take fixed values $\overline{\Omega}_M$ and $\overline{\Omega}_{\gamma} \approx 1 - \overline{\Omega}_M$ which depend on the values of the model parameters α , γ , and δ . During such a stasis, we have $\langle w \rangle = \overline{\Omega}_{\gamma}/3$.

The equations of motion for the spectator perturbations $\delta_{k\chi}$ and $\tilde{\theta}_{k\chi}$ in this stasis scenario are given by Eq. (3.2), just as they are in the perfect-fluid-dominated scenario we discussed in Sect. III. Given the results in Eq. (2.5), the corresponding equations of motion for the perturbations associated with each ϕ_{ℓ} and with the radiation component γ are given by

$$a^{2}E\delta_{k\ell}' = -3a^{2}E\Phi_{k}' - \tilde{\theta}_{k\ell} + a\tilde{\Gamma}_{\ell}\Phi_{k}$$

$$a^{2}E\tilde{\theta}_{k\ell}' = -aE\tilde{\theta}_{k\ell} - \tilde{k}^{2}\Phi_{k}$$

$$a^{2}E\delta_{k\gamma}' = -4a^{2}E\Phi_{k}' - \frac{4}{3}\tilde{\theta}_{k\gamma}$$

$$+a\sum_{\ell=0}^{N-1}\tilde{\Gamma}_{\ell}\frac{\tilde{\rho}_{\ell}}{\tilde{\rho}_{\gamma}}\left(\delta_{k\ell} - \delta_{k\gamma} - \Phi_{k}\right)$$

$$a^{2}E\tilde{\theta}_{k\gamma}' = \frac{1}{4}\tilde{k}^{2}\delta_{k\gamma} - \tilde{k}^{2}\Phi_{k}$$

$$+a\sum_{\ell=0}^{N-1}\tilde{\Gamma}_{\ell}\frac{\tilde{\rho}_{\ell}}{\tilde{\rho}_{\gamma}}\left(\frac{3}{4}\tilde{\theta}_{k\ell} - \tilde{\theta}_{k\gamma}\right). \quad (4.3)$$

A first-order equation of motion for Φ_k may also be obtained from the second equation in Eq. (2.4):

$$a^{3}E^{2}\Phi'_{k} = -\frac{1}{3}\widetilde{k}^{2}\Phi_{k} - a^{2}E^{2}\Phi_{k} + \frac{1}{2}a^{2}E^{2}\left(\widetilde{\rho}_{\gamma}\delta_{k\gamma} + \sum_{\ell=0}^{N-1}\Omega_{\ell}\delta_{k\ell}\right). (4.4)$$

In order to obtain an expression for $\delta_{k\chi}$ as a function of a, we numerically integrate the coupled system of equations for Φ_k , $\delta_{k\gamma}$, $\theta_{k\gamma}$, the $\delta_{k\ell}$, and the $\theta_{k\ell}$ in Eq. (4.3). Initial conditions for our perturbations at the beginning of the stasis epoch are established by starting our simulation during a matter-dominated epoch at a time $t_I \ll \Gamma_{N-1}^{-1}$ during which the ϕ_ℓ collectively dominate the energy density for the universe and have not decayed appreciably. Under the assumption that the primordial perturbations are adiabatic, these initial conditions are the same as those discussed in Ref. [11] for the corresponding cosmological components in an EMDE involving a single decaying matter field, with all of the $\delta_{k\ell}$ given by identical expressions equal to the expression for the initial density contrast of that decaying field. We then time-evolve our system toward stasis. In order to establish a meaningful comparison between the results we obtain in this way and the results for perfect-fluid domination, we choose a time at which the universe is has already entered stasis as the reference time $t^{(0)}$ at which we take $a = a_0$. In what follows, we shall only consider modes with $k \leq 1$, which enter the horizon after the stasis epoch has begun.

In Fig. 2 we plot $\delta_{k\chi}$ as a function of a/a_0 for different values of \tilde{k} (solid curves) during a stasis epoch in which $\langle w \rangle = 1/6$. We observe that once each perturbation enters the horizon it begins to grow with a. However, unlike during an EMDE, wherein $\delta_{k\chi}$ grows *linearly* with a after horizon entry [11], we find that during stasis the spectator density contrast is instead described by a power law of the form $\delta_{k\chi} \sim a^{q(\langle w \rangle)}$, where $0 < q(\langle w \rangle) < 1$. Indeed, for the particular choice of $\langle w \rangle$ shown in Fig. 2, we have $\delta_{k\chi} \sim a^{3/4}$. Of course, after stasis ends and the universe enters an epoch of radiation domination, the period



FIG. 2. The density contrast $\delta_{k\chi}$, plotted as a function of a/a_0 for a spectator matter field during a matter/radiation stasis epoch with $\langle w \rangle = 1/6$ (solid curves) and as well as during an epoch dominated by a perfect fluid with $w_{\rm PF} = 1/6$ (dashed curves of the corresponding color). Curves are shown for three different dimensionless wavenumbers k (different colors). In each case, the dotted vertical line indicates the time at which the corresponding mode enters the horizon, while the dashed black vertical line indicates the value of a/a_0 at which the stasis epoch ends and the subsequent epoch of radiation domination begins in the stasis cosmology. We observe that after a given mode enters the horizon, its density perturbation in all cases initially experiences a transient period of growth. However, in the case of a stasis epoch, $\delta_{k\chi}$ subsequently experiences sustained power-law growth as long as the stasis persists. By contrast, for the case of an epoch of perfect-fluid domination, $\delta_{k\chi}$ subsequently plateaus to an asymptotic value which is independent of \tilde{k} . These results provide a dramatic illustration of the differences between stasis and perfect-fluid domination which arise at the perturbation level.

of power-law growth ends and perturbations thereafter grow logarithmically, as expected.

The growth of $\delta_{k\chi}$ during stasis is ultimately a consequence of the source term on the right side Eq. (2.6). During an epoch of perfect-fluid domination, only a single cosmological component has a non-negligible abundance, whereupon we have seen that this source term vanishes. By contrast, during stasis, *multiple* cosmological components with different equation-of-state parameters have non-negligible Ω_X . Moreover, the δ_{kX} for these components evolve differently with time. As a result, the source term in Eq. (2.6) generically does *not* vanish during stasis.

We may analytically determine the manner in which the power-law index $q(\langle w \rangle)$ depends on $\langle w \rangle$ from the fundamental equations of motion in Eqs. (2.6), (4.3), and (4.4). We begin by noting that the ϕ_{ℓ} which contribute significantly to Ω_M at each moment are those with dimensionless decay widths $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\ell} \leq E$, whose energy densities have yet not been impacted significantly by particle decay. For all such ϕ_{ℓ} , the term proportional to $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\ell}$ in the first equation in Eq. (4.3) is small in comparison to the other terms and can to a reasonable approximation be neglected. Since this is the only term in this equation which depends meaningfully on ℓ , it follows that the equations of motion for $\delta_{k\ell}$ and $\tilde{\theta}_{k\ell}$ for all such ϕ_{ℓ} are identical. Moreover, they are also identical in form to the corresponding equations of motion for the spectator perturbations $\delta_{k\chi}$ and $\tilde{\theta}_{k\chi}$. This implies that each $\delta_{k\ell}$ obeys a second-order differential equation whose form is identical to that of Eq. (3.3). Thus, for these ϕ_{ℓ} , we have

$$\delta_{k\ell}^{\prime\prime} + \frac{3}{2a} (1 - \langle w \rangle) \delta_{k\ell}^{\prime}$$

$$\approx -3\Phi_k^{\prime\prime} - \frac{9}{2a} (1 - \langle w \rangle) \Phi_k^{\prime} + \tilde{k}^2 a^{3\langle w \rangle - 1} \Phi_k .$$
(4.5)

We also note that the contributions to Ω_M from ϕ_ℓ with decay widths in the opposite regime — the regime in which $\tilde{\Gamma}_\ell \gtrsim E$ — are highly suppressed. Thus, at any given moment, the ϕ_ℓ which contribute meaningfully to the sums over ℓ in both Eq. (2.6) and the last equation in Eq. (4.3) are those for which $\tilde{\Gamma}_\ell \lesssim E$, whose $\delta_{k\ell}$ are essentially independent of ℓ . This implies that during stasis, we may pull $\delta_{k\ell}$ outside of each of these sums and approximate

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{N-1} \delta_{k\ell} \Omega_{\ell} \approx \delta_{k\ell} \overline{\Omega}_M \approx (1 - 3\langle w \rangle) \delta_{k\ell} . \qquad (4.6)$$

With this simplification, Eqs. (2.6), (4.4), and (4.5) can be combined into a single fourth-order differential equation for Φ_k . Within the regime in which $\tilde{k} \gg aE$ and in which the perturbation mode is well within the horizon, this equation reduces to

$$0 \approx \left(\frac{\widetilde{k}}{aE}\right)^{-2} \left[a^4 \Phi_k^{\prime\prime\prime\prime} + \left(10 - 3\langle w \rangle\right) a^3 \Phi_k^{\prime\prime\prime}\right] \\ + \frac{1}{3} a^2 \Phi_k^{\prime\prime} + \frac{9\langle w \rangle + 7}{6} a \Phi_k^{\prime} + \frac{3\langle w \rangle^2 + 7\langle w \rangle}{2} \Phi_k$$

$$(4.7)$$

As a increases, the higher-order terms become increasingly suppressed by the overall prefactor $(\tilde{k}/aE)^{-2} = \tilde{k}^{-2}a^{-(3\langle w \rangle + 1)}$. This fourth-order differential equation for Φ_k then effectively reduces to a second-order equation. For $0 < \langle w \rangle < 1/3$, the two linearly-independent solutions $\Phi_k^{(+)}$ and $\Phi_k^{(-)}$ to this second-order equation are given by $\Phi_k^{(\pm)} = a^{\xi_{\pm}(\langle w \rangle)}$, where we have defined

$$\xi_{\pm}(w) \equiv \frac{1}{4} \left[-9w - 5 \pm (9w^2 - 78w + 25)^{1/2} \right]. \quad (4.8)$$

At late times, when a is large, the $\Phi_k^{(+)}$ solution dominates over $\Phi_k^{(-)}$. Thus, up to an overall normalization



FIG. 3. The density contrast $\delta_{k\chi}$ for a spectator matter field during stasis epochs with several different values of $\langle w \rangle$ (solid colored curves), plotted as a function of a/a_0 for a perturbation with $\tilde{k} = 0.1$. The vertical line of the corresponding color indicates when the mode enters to horizon for that choice of $\langle w \rangle$. The dashed and dotted black curves indicate the results for matter domination ($\langle w \rangle = 0$) and radiation domination ($\langle w \rangle = 1/3$), respectively. We observe that perturbations which enter the horizon during stasis exhibit power-law growth at large a, with a power-law exponent which depends on $\langle w \rangle$ in the manner indicated in Eq. (4.9). We observe that for $\langle w \rangle$ within the range $0 < \langle w \rangle < 1/3$, the behavior of $\delta_{k\chi}$ interpolates between the linear and logarithmic growth which perturbations in matter component exhibit during matter and radiation domination, respectively.

constant, we find $\Phi_k \sim \Phi_k^{(+)}$. Indeed, inserting this expression for Φ_k into $S_{k\chi}$ and evaluating the integral in Eq. (3.5), we find that the spectator density contrast at late times scales with *a* according to a power law of the form $\delta_{k\chi} \sim a^{q(\langle w \rangle)}$, where

$$q(w) \equiv \frac{1}{4} \left[3w - 1 \pm (9w^2 - 78w + 25)^{1/2} \right].$$
 (4.9)

In Fig. 3, we plot $\delta_{k\chi}$ as a function of a/a_0 for a mode with $\tilde{k} = 0.1$ during stasis epochs with different values of $\langle w \rangle$. We observe that for large a, the spectator density contrast indeed grows with a according to a power law with an exponent given by Eq. (4.9). As such, for $\langle w \rangle$ within the range $0 < \langle w \rangle < 1/3$, the behavior of $\delta_{k\chi}$ interpolates between two extremes: the linear growth expected for matter perturbations during a matter-dominated epoch ($\langle w \rangle = 0$), and the logarithmic growth which such perturbations exhibit during a radiation-dominated epoch ($\langle w \rangle = 1/3$). Of course, since our initial conditions imply that $\delta_{k\chi} \approx 2\Phi_k^{(0)}/(1 + \langle w \rangle)$ for modes well outside the horizon at the beginning of the stasis epoch, these curves do not coincide at $a/a_0 = 1$.

It has already been noted in previous works that the

matter/radiation stasis phenomenon allows the effective equation-of-state parameter $\langle w \rangle$ of the universe to assume non-traditional values within the range $0 < \langle w \rangle <$ 1/3, with the energy density of the universe evolving accordingly. However, we now find that a similar result also holds for the manner in which the *perturbations* to the energy density evolve. Thus, matter/radiation stasis represents a middle ground between matter- and radiationdomination, not only for the evolution of the universe as a whole but also for the evolution of its density perturbations. This novel scaling behavior of $\delta_{k\chi}$ with a can potentially have an impact on small-scale structure, with features that do not arise in either of these standard epochs. However, as we have also seen, the growth of these density perturbations is wholly unlike that which would emerge for a universe whose energy content is dominated by the energy associated with a single perfect fluid, even if we could somehow arrange $w_{\rm PF} = \langle w \rangle$. Indeed, during a stasis epoch, the power-law growth persists throughout the duration of the stasis. By contrast, during an epoch dominated by a perfect fluid, the initial growth is only transient, with the density perturbations $\delta_{k\chi}$ quickly reaching a plateau which is independent of the wavenumber k.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we have analyzed the behavior of density perturbations during a stasis epoch. We have demonstrated that density perturbations for a spectator component — *i.e.*, a component which couples to the stasis sector only through gravity — evolve differently during a stasis epoch than they would during an epoch in which the universe is dominated by a single perfect fluid, even if the effective equation-of-state parameter $\langle w \rangle$ for both universes is the same. In particular, we have shown that density perturbations associated with a spectator matter component exhibit power-law growth during stasis

- K. R. Dienes, L. Heurtier, F. Huang, D. Kim, T. M. P. Tait, and B. Thomas, Phys. Rev. D 105, 023530 (2022),
- arXiv:2111.04753 [astro-ph.CO].
 [2] K. R. Dienes, L. Heurtier, F. Huang, T. M. P. Tait, and B. Thomas, Phys. Rev. D 109, 083508 (2024), arXiv:2309.10345 [astro-ph.CO].
- [3] J. D. Barrow, E. J. Copeland, and A. R. Liddle, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. 253, 675 (1991).
- [4] K. R. Dienes, L. Heurtier, F. Huang, D. Kim, T. M. P. Tait, and B. Thomas, (2022), arXiv:2212.01369 [astroph.CO].
- [5] K. R. Dienes, L. Heurtier, F. Huang, T. M. P. Tait, and B. Thomas, Phys. Rev. D **110**, 123514 (2024), arXiv:2406.06830 [astro-ph.CO].
- [6] J. Halverson and S. Pandya, Phys. Rev. D 110, 075041 (2024), arXiv:2408.00835 [astro-ph.CO].

after horizon entry, with a power-law exponent which is determined solely by the value of $\langle w \rangle$. As a result, a stasis epoch prior to BBN can lead to an enhancement in small-scale structure reminiscent of that which results from an EMDE, but with the magnitude of that enhancement depending on the balance between the abundances of the cosmological components which participate in the stasis. This provides a sharp observational handle that can be used to distinguish a stasis epoch from more traditional cosmological epochs. The phenomenological consequences of this observation are currently under study [12].

Many extensions of this work are also possible. For example, in this paper we have studied the implications of a matter/radiation stasis, but since any realization or stasis generically gives rise to a non-vanishing source term for Φ_k in Eq. (2.6), we expect that similar results will emerge from other realizations of stasis as well including those realizations in which the stasis involves more than two cosmological energy components. The effects we have uncovered here may also have an impact on the spectrum of primordial perturbations which emerges from models of stasis inflation [5].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The research activities of KRD are supported in part by the U.S. Department of Energy under Grant DE-FG02-13ER41976 / DE-SC0009913, and also by the U.S. National Science Foundation through its employee IR/D program. The research activities of LH are supported by the STFC under Grant ST/X000753/1. The research activities of DH are supported in part by the U.S. National Science Foundation under Grant PHY-2014104. The research activities of FH are supported in part by the Israel Science Foundation grant 1784/20, and by MINERVA grant 714123. The research activities of AP and BT are supported in part by the U.S. National Science Foundation under Grants PHY-2014104 and PHY-2310622. The opinions and conclusions expressed herein are those of the authors, and do not represent any funding agencies.

- [7] J. Barber, K. R. Dienes, and B. Thomas, Phys. Rev. D 110, 123515 (2024), arXiv:2408.16255 [astro-ph.CO].
- [8] J. Barber, K. R. Dienes, and B. Thomas, Phys. Rev. D 111, 063519 (2025), arXiv:2412.09123 [astro-ph.CO].
- [9] F. Huang and V. Knapp-Perez, (2025), arXiv:2502.20449 [hep-ph].
- [10] K. Redmond, A. Trezza, and A. L. Erickcek, Phys. Rev. D 98, 063504 (2018), arXiv:1807.01327 [astro-ph.CO].
- [11] A. L. Erickcek and K. Sigurdson, Phys. Rev. D 84, 083503 (2011), arXiv:1106.0536 [astro-ph.CO].
- [12] K. R. Dienes, L. Heurtier, F. Huang, A. Paulsen, T. M. P. Tait, and B. Thomas, to appear.