

# Reciprocity and representation theorems for rotational seismology

Kees Wapenaar

Department of Geoscience and Engineering, Delft University of Technology, The Netherlands

(Dated: March 17, 2025)

arXiv:2503.11295v1 [physics.geo-ph] 14 Mar 2025

# ABSTRACT

Recently, there has been an increasing interest in employing rotational motion measurements for seismic source inversion, structural imaging and ambient noise analysis. We derive reciprocity and representation theorems for rotational motion. The representations express the rotational motion inside an inhomogeneous anisotropic earth in terms of translational and rotational motion at the surface. The theorems contribute to the theoretical basis for rotational seismology methodology, such as determining the moment tensor of earthquake sources.

## 1 INTRODUCTION

Measurements of the seismic wave field are traditionally restricted to three mutually perpendicular components of the particle velocity (also called translational motion). Observational studies by Igel et al. (2007), Lin et al. (2011) and others have demonstrated the potential of additionally measuring three components of the rotational motion. Recently, researchers have been exploring the advantages of rotational seismology in source localization and inversion (Bernauer et al., 2014; Ichinose et al., 2021; Li & van der Baan, 2017b), structural imaging (Abreu et al., 2023), ambient noise analysis (Hadziioannou et al., 2012; Paitz et al., 2019), and exploration geophysics (Li & van der Baan, 2017a; Schmelzbach et al., 2018).

Reciprocity and representation theorems for translational motion (Aki & Richards, 1980; de Hoop, 1966; Fokkema & van den Berg, 1993) have been employed as a theoretical basis for the development of methodologies for seismic imaging, inverse scattering, source characterization, seismic holography, Green's function retrieval, etc. Given the current interest in rotational seismology, it is opportune to derive reciprocity and representation theorems for rotational motion. An important step in this direction has been made by Li & van der Baan (2017b). In their derivation they assume the medium is homogeneous and isotropic. In this paper we derive several forms of reciprocity and representation theorems for translational and rotational motion in an inhomogeneous anisotropic earth. These theorems complement the theoretical basis for the development of methodologies for rotational seismology.

## 2 RECIPROCITY THEOREMS FOR ROTATIONAL SEISMOLOGY

The rotational motion-rate vector is defined as  $\dot{\mathbf{\Omega}} = \frac{1}{2}\nabla \times \mathbf{v}$ , where  $\mathbf{v}$  is the particle velocity vector. This definition holds in the space-time  $(\mathbf{x}, t)$  domain as well as in the space-frequency  $(\mathbf{x}, \omega)$  domain. In the following, all expressions are in the space-frequency domain. Note that in a homogeneous isotropic medium,  $\dot{\mathbf{\Omega}}$  would represent the  $S$ -wave part of  $\mathbf{v}$ .

Consider a spatial domain  $\mathbb{D}$  enclosed by boundary  $\partial\mathbb{D}$  with outward pointing normal vector  $\mathbf{n}$ . The Betti-Rayleigh reciprocity theorem for elastic wave fields in an inhomogeneous anisotropic medium in this domain reads (Aki & Richards, 1980; de Hoop, 1966)

$$\oint_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \{v_{i,A}\tau_{ij,B} - v_{i,B}\tau_{ij,A}\}n_j d^2\mathbf{x} = - \int_{\mathbb{D}} \{v_{i,A}f_{i,B} - v_{i,B}f_{i,A}\}d^3\mathbf{x}. \quad (1)$$

Here  $v_i$ ,  $\tau_{ij}$ ,  $f_i$  and  $n_j$  are components of the particle velocity vector  $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}, \omega)$ , stress tensor  $\boldsymbol{\tau}(\mathbf{x}, \omega)$ , external force vector  $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, \omega)$  and normal vector  $\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x})$ , respectively. Einstein's summation convention applies to repeated lower-case subscripts; upper-case subscripts  $A$  and  $B$  denote two independent states. Our aim is to recast equation (1) into a form containing  $\mathbf{v}$  and  $\dot{\mathbf{\Omega}}$  in states  $A$  and  $B$ . We start by expressing the stress in terms of the particle velocity. Assuming the medium is isotropic at the boundary  $\partial\mathbb{D}$ , we have  $\tau_{ij} = -\frac{1}{i\omega}\{\lambda\delta_{ij}\partial_k v_k + \mu(\partial_j v_i + \partial_i v_j)\}$ , where  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are the Lamé parameters at  $\partial\mathbb{D}$ , and  $i$  (when not used as a subscript) is the imaginary unit. Substituting this into equation (1) we obtain after some manipulations

$$\begin{aligned} \oint_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \left[ \lambda\{\mathbf{v}_A \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_B - \mathbf{v}_B \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_A\} + 2\mu\{(\mathbf{v}_A \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{v}_B - (\mathbf{v}_B \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{v}_A\} \right. \\ \left. + 2\mu\{\mathbf{v}_A \times \dot{\mathbf{\Omega}}_B - \mathbf{v}_B \times \dot{\mathbf{\Omega}}_A\} \right] \cdot \mathbf{n} d^2\mathbf{x} = i\omega \int_{\mathbb{D}} \{\mathbf{v}_A \cdot \mathbf{f}_B - \mathbf{v}_B \cdot \mathbf{f}_A\} d^3\mathbf{x}. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

For a more detailed derivation see Appendix A. Next, assuming  $\mu$  is constant along the boundary  $\partial\mathbb{D}$ , we can use the theorem of Gauss to reorganize the second term into the form of the first term. We thus obtain

$$\oint_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \rho \left[ c_P^2 \{\mathbf{v}_A \dot{\Theta}_B - \mathbf{v}_B \dot{\Theta}_A\} + 2c_S^2 \{\mathbf{v}_A \times \dot{\mathbf{\Omega}}_B - \mathbf{v}_B \times \dot{\mathbf{\Omega}}_A\} \right] \cdot \mathbf{n} d^2\mathbf{x} = i\omega \int_{\mathbb{D}} \{\mathbf{v}_A \cdot \mathbf{f}_B - \mathbf{v}_B \cdot \mathbf{f}_A\} d^3\mathbf{x}, \quad (3)$$

with  $P$ - and  $S$ -propagation velocities  $c_P = \sqrt{(\lambda + 2\mu)/\rho}$  and  $c_S = \sqrt{\mu/\rho}$  (where  $\rho$  is the mass density), and with the cubic dilatation-rate  $\dot{\Theta}$  defined as  $\dot{\Theta} = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}$  (which in a homogeneous

isotropic medium would represent the  $P$ -wave part of  $\mathbf{v}$ ). Equation (3) (but with  $\nabla \times \mathbf{v}$  and  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}$  instead of  $2\dot{\Omega}$  and  $\dot{\Theta}$ ) was originally derived by Knopoff (1956) for a homogeneous isotropic medium. Li & van der Baan (2017b) used this as the starting point for deriving representations for rotational seismology. In the present derivation we considered an inhomogeneous anisotropic medium; only at the boundary  $\partial\mathbb{D}$  the medium is assumed to be isotropic, with  $\mu$  constant along  $\partial\mathbb{D}$ . Assuming the medium in  $\mathbb{D}$  is lossless, we can derive in a similar way

$$\oint_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \rho \left[ c_P^2 \{ \mathbf{v}_A^* \dot{\Theta}_B - \mathbf{v}_B \dot{\Theta}_A^* \} + 2c_S^2 \{ \mathbf{v}_A^* \times \dot{\Omega}_B - \mathbf{v}_B \times \dot{\Omega}_A^* \} \right] \cdot \mathbf{n} d^2\mathbf{x} = i\omega \int_{\mathbb{D}} \{ \mathbf{v}_A^* \cdot \mathbf{f}_B + \mathbf{v}_B \cdot \mathbf{f}_A^* \} d^3\mathbf{x}, \quad (4)$$

where the asterisk denotes complex conjugation.

### 3 REPRESENTATION THEOREMS FOR ROTATIONAL SEISMOLOGY

For state  $A$ , we replace  $\mathbf{v}_A$  by the Green's velocity vector  $\mathbf{G}_{v,f_n}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_A, \omega)$ , defined as the response at  $\mathbf{x}$  to a unit force in the  $x_n$ -direction at  $\mathbf{x}_A$  in  $\mathbb{D}$ , i.e.,  $f_{i,A}(\mathbf{x}, \omega) = \delta_{in} \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_A)$ . Moreover, we replace  $\dot{\Omega}_A$  and  $\dot{\Theta}_A$  by the Green's rotational motion-rate vector and cubic dilatation-rate, defined as  $\mathbf{G}_{\dot{\Omega},f_n}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_A, \omega) = \frac{1}{2} \nabla \times \mathbf{G}_{v,f_n}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_A, \omega)$  and  $G_{\dot{\Theta},f_n}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_A, \omega) = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{G}_{v,f_n}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_A, \omega)$ . As usual, the second subscript of a Green's function refers to the source type at  $\mathbf{x}_A$  (here a force  $f_n$ ), whereas the first subscript ( $v$ ,  $\dot{\Omega}$  or  $\dot{\Theta}$ ) refers to the type of response at  $\mathbf{x}$ . For state  $B$  we take the actual state and drop the subscripts  $B$ . From equation (4) we thus obtain

$$\begin{aligned} v_n(\mathbf{x}_A, \omega) &= \frac{1}{i\omega} \oint_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \rho \left[ c_P^2 \{ \mathbf{G}_{v,f_n}^* \dot{\Theta} - G_{\dot{\Theta},f_n}^* \mathbf{v} \} + 2c_S^2 \{ \mathbf{G}_{v,f_n}^* \times \dot{\Omega} + \mathbf{G}_{\dot{\Omega},f_n}^* \times \mathbf{v} \} \right] \cdot \mathbf{n} d^2\mathbf{x} \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{D}_s} \mathbf{G}_{v,f_n}^* \cdot \mathbf{f} d^3\mathbf{x}, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where  $\mathbb{D}_s$  is the source domain within  $\mathbb{D}$  for the actual state. This is a representation of the particle velocity component  $v_n(\mathbf{x}_A, \omega)$  at  $\mathbf{x}_A$  in  $\mathbb{D}$ , expressed in terms of the wave fields  $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}, \omega)$ ,  $\dot{\Omega}(\mathbf{x}, \omega)$  and  $\dot{\Theta}(\mathbf{x}, \omega)$  at  $\partial\mathbb{D}$  and their source distribution  $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, \omega)$  in  $\mathbb{D}_s$ . Next, we derive a representation of the rotational motion-rate component  $\dot{\Omega}_h(\mathbf{x}_A, \omega)$ . Using the subscript notation for the curl-operator, this component is defined as  $\dot{\Omega}_h(\mathbf{x}_A, \omega) = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{hmn} \partial_{m,A} v_n(\mathbf{x}_A, \omega)$ , where  $\epsilon_{hmn}$  is the Levi-Civita symbol and where  $\partial_{m,A}$  denotes differentiation with respect to  $x_{m,A}$ . Applying the operator  $\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{hmn} \partial_{m,A}$  to both sides of equation (5), interchanging the order of integration

(over  $\mathbf{x}$ ) and differentiation (with respect to  $x_{m,A}$ ), yields

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\Omega}_h(\mathbf{x}_A, \omega) &= \frac{1}{i\omega} \oint_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \rho \left[ c_P^2 \{ \mathbf{G}_{v,\dot{\Omega}_h}^* \dot{\Theta} - G_{\dot{\Theta},\dot{\Omega}_h}^* \mathbf{v} \} + 2c_S^2 \{ \mathbf{G}_{v,\dot{\Omega}_h}^* \times \dot{\Omega} + \mathbf{G}_{\dot{\Omega},\dot{\Omega}_h}^* \times \mathbf{v} \} \right] \cdot \mathbf{n} d^2\mathbf{x} \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{D}_s} \mathbf{G}_{v,\dot{\Omega}_h}^* \cdot \mathbf{f} d^3\mathbf{x},\end{aligned}\tag{6}$$

where  $\mathbf{G}_{\dot{\Upsilon},\dot{\Omega}_h}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_A, \omega) = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{hmn}\partial_{m,A}\mathbf{G}_{\dot{\Upsilon},f_n}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_A, \omega)$ , with subscript  $\dot{\Upsilon}$  standing for  $v$ ,  $\dot{\Omega}$  or  $\dot{\Theta}$ . Note that the operator  $\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{hmn}\partial_{m,A}$  transforms the force-source of the Green's function into a rotational motion source (which in a homogeneous isotropic medium would correspond to a  $S$ -wave source). A representation of the cubic dilatation-rate  $\dot{\Theta}(\mathbf{x}_A, \omega)$  can be derived in a similar way by applying the operator  $\partial_{n,A}$  to both sides of equation (5), but this is beyond the scope of this paper.

The representations of equations (5) and (6) are exact. In practice, however, measurements are not available on a closed boundary but, say, on a horizontal boundary  $\partial\mathbb{D}_0$  (with upward pointing normal vector  $\mathbf{n}_0 = (0, 0, -1)$ ). Moreover, the source distribution  $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, \omega)$  in  $\mathbb{D}_s$  below  $\partial\mathbb{D}_0$  (which can stand for real sources or secondary sources due to scattering) is usually unknown. As long as  $\mathbf{x}_A$  is chosen at or above the source distribution, the following expression

$$\dot{\Omega}_h(\mathbf{x}_A, \omega) \approx \frac{1}{i\omega} \int_{\partial\mathbb{D}_0} \rho \left[ c_P^2 \{ \mathbf{G}_{v,\dot{\Omega}_h}^* \dot{\Theta} - G_{\dot{\Theta},\dot{\Omega}_h}^* \mathbf{v} \} + 2c_S^2 \{ \mathbf{G}_{v,\dot{\Omega}_h}^* \times \dot{\Omega} + \mathbf{G}_{\dot{\Omega},\dot{\Omega}_h}^* \times \mathbf{v} \} \right] \cdot \mathbf{n}_0 d^2\mathbf{x}\tag{7}$$

is a suitable approximation for backpropagation of the wave field from  $\partial\mathbb{D}_0$  to  $\mathbf{x}_A$ . It is illustrated in Figure 1a, where the downward pointing arrows represent the complex conjugated (i.e., backpropagating) Green's functions. These Green's functions are defined in the inhomogeneous anisotropic medium below  $\partial\mathbb{D}_0$ , but for simplicity they are visualized by straight rays. The approximations in equation (7) are of the same order as those of the standard elastodynamic Kirchhoff-Helmholtz integral for backpropagation (Kuo & Dai, 1984), meaning that evanescent waves and internal multiples are ignored and the recovered wave field at  $\mathbf{x}_A$  contains amplitude errors proportional to the amplitudes of internal multiples (Wapenaar & Haimé, 1990).

Although we have achieved our goal (i.e., deriving representations in terms of translational and rotational motion for an inhomogeneous anisotropic medium), equations (6) and (7) are still rather complex. The expressions simplify significantly when the medium at and above  $\partial\mathbb{D}_0$  is homogeneous and isotropic. At and above  $\partial\mathbb{D}_0$  we express the particle velocity as  $\mathbf{v} = -\frac{c_P^2}{\omega^2}\nabla\dot{\Theta} + \frac{2c_S^2}{\omega^2}\nabla \times \dot{\Omega}$ , see Appendix B for details. Note that  $\dot{\Theta}$  and  $\dot{\Omega}$  are scaled versions

of  $P$ - and  $S$ -wave potentials. We substitute this, and a similar expression for  $\mathbf{G}_{v,\dot{\Omega}_h}$ , into the right-hand side of equation (7). Using the fact that the actual wave field and the Green's functions are upward propagating at  $\partial\mathbb{D}_0$  (and hence the complex conjugated Green's functions are downward propagating at  $\partial\mathbb{D}_0$ ), we can use one-way wave equations for  $P$ - and  $S$ -waves at  $\partial\mathbb{D}_0$  to simplify the right-hand side of equation (7). This yields (ignoring evanescent waves)

$$\dot{\Omega}_h(\mathbf{x}_A, \omega) \approx \frac{2}{i\omega^3} \int_{\partial\mathbb{D}_0} \rho \left[ c_P^4 (\partial_3 G_{\dot{\Theta}, \dot{\Omega}_h}^*) \dot{\Theta} + 4c_S^4 (\partial_3 \mathbf{G}_{\dot{\Omega}, \dot{\Omega}_h}^*) \cdot \dot{\boldsymbol{\Omega}} \right] d^2\mathbf{x}, \quad (8)$$

see Appendix B for a detailed derivation. This expression is illustrated in Figure 1b (a similar expression was previously derived in a somewhat different way for  $P$ - and  $S$ -wave potentials by Wapenaar & Haimé (1990)). Note that the Green's function  $G_{\dot{\Theta}, \dot{\Omega}_h}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_A, \omega)$  stands for the cubic dilatation-rate at  $\mathbf{x}$  in response to a rotational motion source at  $\mathbf{x}_A$ . The amplitude of this converted Green's function is one order of magnitude lower than that of the non-converted Green's function  $\mathbf{G}_{\dot{\Omega}, \dot{\Omega}_h}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_A, \omega)$  (and in a homogeneous isotropic medium it would completely vanish). Hence, in a weakly scattering medium we can ignore the term containing  $G_{\dot{\Theta}, \dot{\Omega}_h}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_A, \omega)$ , which leaves

$$\dot{\Omega}_h(\mathbf{x}_A, \omega) \approx \frac{8}{i\omega^3} \int_{\partial\mathbb{D}_0} \rho c_S^4 \{ \partial_3 \mathbf{G}_{\dot{\Omega}, \dot{\Omega}_h}^*(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_A, \omega) \} \cdot \dot{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}(\mathbf{x}, \omega) d^2\mathbf{x}. \quad (9)$$

This very simple Rayleigh-type integral formulates backpropagation of rotational motion-rate measurements  $\dot{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}(\mathbf{x}, \omega)$  from the acquisition boundary  $\partial\mathbb{D}_0$ , through a weakly scattering inhomogeneous anisotropic medium, towards sources below  $\partial\mathbb{D}_0$ .

## CONCLUSIONS

We have derived reciprocity and representation theorems for the translational and rotational components of a seismic wave field. Equation (7) is an expression for backpropagation of measurements at the boundary  $\partial\mathbb{D}_0$  towards real or secondary sources in the inhomogeneous anisotropic medium below  $\partial\mathbb{D}_0$ . At the boundary  $\partial\mathbb{D}_0$  the medium is assumed to be isotropic, with  $\mu$  constant along  $\partial\mathbb{D}_0$ . This representation does not rely on a specific propagation direction of the wave field at  $\partial\mathbb{D}_0$ , hence, the medium above  $\partial\mathbb{D}_0$  can also be inhomogeneous and anisotropic, or  $\partial\mathbb{D}_0$  can be a free surface. This generality comes with complexity. When the medium above  $\partial\mathbb{D}_0$  is homogeneous and isotropic, the wave fields at  $\partial\mathbb{D}_0$  propagate upward,

which leads to the significantly more simple representation of equation (8) or, when the medium below  $\partial\mathbb{D}_0$  is weakly scattering, to the very simple Rayleigh-type integral of equation (9).

The derived reciprocity and representation theorems contribute to the theoretical basis for rotational seismology methodology. For example, the rotational motion inside the medium, obtained by any of the derived representations, can be used to improve the determination of the moment tensor of earthquake sources (Ichinose et al., 2021; Li & van der Baan, 2017a) or of secondary sources related to scattering.

## REFERENCES

- Abreu, R., Durand, S., Rost, S., & Thomas, C., 2023. Deep Earth rotational seismology, *Geophys. J. Int.*, **234**, 2365–2374.
- Aki, K. & Richards, P. G., 1980. *Quantitative seismology, Vol. I*, W.H. Freeman and Company, San Francisco.
- Bernauer, M., Fichtner, A., & Igel, H., 2014. Reducing nonuniqueness in finite source inversion using rotational ground motions, *J. Geophys. Res.: Solid Earth*, **119**, 4860–4875.
- de Hoop, A. T., 1966. An elastodynamic reciprocity theorem for linear, viscoelastic media, *Appl. Sc. Res.*, **16**, 39–45.
- Fokkema, J. T. & van den Berg, P. M., 1993. *Seismic applications of acoustic reciprocity*, Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- Hadziioannou, C., Gaebler, P., Schreiber, U., Wassermann, J., & Igel, H., 2012. Examining ambient noise using colocated measurements of rotational and translational motion, *J. Seism.*, **16**, 787–796.
- Ichinose, G. A., Ford, S. R., & Mellors, R. J., 2021. Regional moment tensor inversion using rotational observations, *J. Geophys. Res.: Solid Earth*, **126**, 2020JB020827.
- Igel, H., Cochard, A., Wassermann, J., Flaws, A., Schreiber, U., Velikoseltsev, A., & Pham, N. D., 2007. Broad-band observations of earthquake-induced rotational ground motions, *Geophys. J. Int.*, **168**, 182–196.
- Knopoff, L., 1956. Diffraction of elastic waves, *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.*, **28**, 217–229.
- Kuo, J. T. & Dai, T. F., 1984. Kirchhoff elastic wave migration for the case of noncoincident source and receiver, *Geophysics*, **49**(8), 1223–1238.
- Li, Z. & van der Baan, M., 2017a. Tutorial on rotational seismology and its applications in exploration geophysics, *Geophysics*, **82**(5), W17–W30.

- Li, Z. & van der Baan, M., 2017b. Elastic passive source localization using rotational motion, *Geophys. J. Int.*, **211**, 1206–1222.
- Lin, C.-J., Huang, H.-P., Pham, N. D., Liu, C.-C., Chi, W.-C., & Lee, W. H. K., 2011. Rotational motions for teleseismic surface waves, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **38**, L15301.
- Paitz, P., Sager, K., & Fichtner, A., 2019. Rotation and strain ambient noise interferometry, *Geophys. J. Int.*, **216**, 1938–1952.
- Schmelzbach, C., Donner, S., Igel, H., Sollberger, D., Taufiqurrahman, T., Bernauer, F., Häusler, M., van Renterghem, C., Wassermann, J., & Robertsson, J., 2018. Advances in 6C seismology: Applications of combined translational and rotational motion measurements in global and exploration seismology, *Geophysics*, **83**(3), WC53–WC69.
- Wapenaar, C. P. A. & Haimé, G. C., 1990. Elastic extrapolation of primary seismic P- and S-waves, *Geophys. Prosp.*, **38**(1), 23–60.

## APPENDIX A: DERIVATIONS FOR SECTION 2

We recast the Betti-Rayleigh reciprocity theorem

$$\oint_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \{v_{i,A}\tau_{ij,B} - v_{i,B}\tau_{ij,A}\}n_j d^2\mathbf{x} = - \int_{\mathbb{D}} \{v_{i,A}f_{i,B} - v_{i,B}f_{i,A}\}d^3\mathbf{x} \quad (10)$$

into a form that contains the rotational motion-rate vector  $\frac{1}{2}\nabla \times \mathbf{v}$  in states  $A$  and  $B$ . Assuming the medium is isotropic at the boundary  $\partial\mathbb{D}$ , we substitute

$$\tau_{ij} = -\frac{1}{i\omega} \left( \lambda\delta_{ij}\partial_k v_k + \mu(\partial_j v_i + \partial_i v_j) \right), \quad (11)$$

into equation (10), which yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \oint_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \left[ \lambda\{v_{j,A}\partial_k v_{k,B} - v_{j,B}\partial_k v_{k,A}\} + \mu\{v_{i,A}(\partial_j v_{i,B} + \partial_i v_{j,B}) - v_{i,B}(\partial_j v_{i,A} + \partial_i v_{j,A})\} \right] n_j d^2\mathbf{x} \\ & = i\omega \int_{\mathbb{D}} \{v_{i,A}f_{i,B} - v_{i,B}f_{i,A}\}d^3\mathbf{x}. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Using

$$v_{j,A}\partial_k v_{k,B} = \{\mathbf{v}_A \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_B\}_j, \quad (13)$$

$$v_{i,A}(\partial_j v_{i,B} + \partial_i v_{j,B}) = \{2(\mathbf{v}_A \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{v}_B + \mathbf{v}_A \times \nabla \times \mathbf{v}_B\}_j, \quad (14)$$

$$v_{i,A}f_{i,B} = \mathbf{v}_A \cdot \mathbf{f}_B,$$

and similar expressions with  $A$  and  $B$  interchanged, equation (12) can be rewritten as

$$\oint_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \left[ \lambda \{\mathbf{v}_A \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_B - \mathbf{v}_B \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_A\} + 2\mu \{(\mathbf{v}_A \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{v}_B - (\mathbf{v}_B \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{v}_A\} \right. \\ \left. + \mu \{\mathbf{v}_A \times \nabla \times \mathbf{v}_B - \mathbf{v}_B \times \nabla \times \mathbf{v}_A\} \right] \cdot \mathbf{n} d^2\mathbf{x} = i\omega \int_{\mathbb{D}} \{\mathbf{v}_A \cdot \mathbf{f}_B - \mathbf{v}_B \cdot \mathbf{f}_A\} d^3\mathbf{x}. \quad (15)$$

Next, we aim to combine the first two terms on the left-hand side into a single term. Assuming  $\mu$  is constant along the boundary  $\partial\mathbb{D}$ , we can use the theorem of Gauss to reorganize the second term into the form of the first term, according to

$$2\mu \oint_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \{(\mathbf{v}_A \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{v}_B - (\mathbf{v}_B \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{v}_A\} \cdot \mathbf{n} d^2\mathbf{x} = 2\mu \int_{\mathbb{D}} \nabla \cdot \{(\mathbf{v}_A \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{v}_B - (\mathbf{v}_B \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{v}_A\} d^3\mathbf{x} \\ = 2\mu \int_{\mathbb{D}} \nabla \cdot \{\mathbf{v}_A \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_B - \mathbf{v}_B \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_A\} d^3\mathbf{x} = 2\mu \oint_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \{\mathbf{v}_A \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_B - \mathbf{v}_B \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_A\} \cdot \mathbf{n} d^2\mathbf{x}. \quad (16)$$

Using equation (16) in equation (15) we obtain

$$\oint_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \rho \left[ c_P^2 \{\mathbf{v}_A \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_B - \mathbf{v}_B \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_A\} + c_S^2 \{\mathbf{v}_A \times \nabla \times \mathbf{v}_B - \mathbf{v}_B \times \nabla \times \mathbf{v}_A\} \right] \cdot \mathbf{n} d^2\mathbf{x} \\ = i\omega \int_{\mathbb{D}} \{\mathbf{v}_A \cdot \mathbf{f}_B - \mathbf{v}_B \cdot \mathbf{f}_A\} d^3\mathbf{x}, \quad (17)$$

with  $P$ - and  $S$ -propagation velocities  $c_P = \sqrt{(\lambda + 2\mu)/\rho}$  and  $c_S = \sqrt{\mu/\rho}$  at  $\partial\mathbb{D}$ . Using  $\dot{\mathbf{\Omega}} = \frac{1}{2}\nabla \times \mathbf{v}$  and  $\dot{\Theta} = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}$ , equation (17) can be rewritten as

$$\oint_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \rho \left[ c_P^2 \{\mathbf{v}_A \dot{\Theta}_B - \mathbf{v}_B \dot{\Theta}_A\} + 2c_S^2 \{\mathbf{v}_A \times \dot{\mathbf{\Omega}}_B - \mathbf{v}_B \times \dot{\mathbf{\Omega}}_A\} \right] \cdot \mathbf{n} d^2\mathbf{x} = i\omega \int_{\mathbb{D}} \{\mathbf{v}_A \cdot \mathbf{f}_B - \mathbf{v}_B \cdot \mathbf{f}_A\} d^3\mathbf{x}. \quad (18)$$

## APPENDIX B: DERIVATIONS FOR SECTION 3

Our aim is to reorganize the following boundary integral

$$\int_{\partial\mathbb{D}_0} \rho \left[ c_P^2 \{ \mathbf{G}_{v,\dot{\Omega}_h}^* \dot{\Theta} - G_{\dot{\Theta},\dot{\Omega}_h}^* \mathbf{v} \} + 2c_S^2 \{ \mathbf{G}_{v,\dot{\Omega}_h}^* \times \dot{\mathbf{\Omega}} + \mathbf{G}_{\dot{\Omega},\dot{\Omega}_h}^* \times \mathbf{v} \} \right] \cdot \mathbf{n}_0 d^2\mathbf{x}, \quad (19)$$

with  $\mathbf{n}_0 = (0, 0, -1)$ , for the situation that the medium at and above  $\partial\mathbb{D}_0$  is homogeneous, isotropic and source-free. At and above  $\partial\mathbb{D}_0$ , the velocity vector  $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}, \omega)$  obeys the following wave equation

$$c_P^2 \nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}) - c_S^2 \nabla \times \nabla \times \mathbf{v} + \omega^2 \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}. \quad (20)$$

In the main text we define  $\dot{\Theta}$  and  $\dot{\mathbf{\Omega}}$  as

$$\dot{\Theta} = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}, \quad (21)$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{\Omega}} = \frac{1}{2} \nabla \times \mathbf{v}. \quad (22)$$

For the homogeneous, isotropic medium at and above  $\partial\mathbb{D}_0$  we write

$$\mathbf{v} = a \nabla \dot{\Theta} + b \nabla \times \dot{\mathbf{\Omega}}, \quad (23)$$

where  $a$  and  $b$  still need to be determined. Comparing this expression with  $\mathbf{v} = \nabla \Phi + \nabla \times \mathbf{\Psi}$ , where  $\Phi$  and  $\mathbf{\Psi}$  are the  $P$ - and  $S$ -wave potentials, it follows that  $\dot{\Theta}$  and  $\dot{\mathbf{\Omega}}$  are proportional to the  $P$ - and  $S$ -wave potentials. Note that

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = a \nabla^2 \dot{\Theta}, \quad (24)$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{v} = b \nabla \times \nabla \times \dot{\mathbf{\Omega}}. \quad (25)$$

Substitution of equations (23) – (25) into equation (20) gives

$$ac_P^2 \nabla \left( \nabla^2 \dot{\Theta} + \frac{\omega^2}{c_P^2} \dot{\Theta} \right) + bc_S^2 \nabla \times \left( -\nabla \times \nabla \times \dot{\mathbf{\Omega}} + \frac{\omega^2}{c_S^2} \dot{\mathbf{\Omega}} \right) = 0. \quad (26)$$

The decomposition of this equation into independent equations for  $\dot{\Theta}$  and  $\dot{\Omega}$  is not unique. A convenient choice is

$$\nabla^2 \dot{\Theta} + \frac{\omega^2}{c_P^2} \dot{\Theta} = 0, \quad (27)$$

$$-\nabla \times \nabla \times \dot{\Omega} + \frac{\omega^2}{c_S^2} \dot{\Omega} = 0. \quad (28)$$

Equation (28) implies  $\nabla \cdot \dot{\Omega} = 0$  (for  $\omega \neq 0$ ). Using the fundamental property

$$-\nabla \times \nabla \times \dot{\Omega} + \nabla(\nabla \cdot \dot{\Omega}) = \nabla^2 \dot{\Omega}, \quad (29)$$

equation (28) can be rewritten as

$$\nabla^2 \dot{\Omega} + \frac{\omega^2}{c_S^2} \dot{\Omega} = \mathbf{0}, \quad \text{with} \quad \nabla \cdot \dot{\Omega} = 0. \quad (30)$$

From equations (21), (24) and (27) we obtain

$$a = -\frac{c_P^2}{\omega^2}. \quad (31)$$

Similarly, from equations (22), (25) and (28) we obtain

$$b = \frac{2c_S^2}{\omega^2}. \quad (32)$$

Substitution into equation (23) gives

$$\mathbf{v} = -\frac{c_P^2}{\omega^2} \nabla \dot{\Theta} + \frac{2c_S^2}{\omega^2} \nabla \times \dot{\Omega}. \quad (33)$$

We express the Green's velocity vector in a similar way, according to

$$\mathbf{G}_{v, \dot{\Omega}_h} = -\frac{c_P^2}{\omega^2} \nabla G_{\dot{\Theta}, \dot{\Omega}_h} + \frac{2c_S^2}{\omega^2} \nabla \times \mathbf{G}_{\dot{\Omega}, \dot{\Omega}_h}. \quad (34)$$

Substitution of equations (33) and (34) into the boundary integral of equation (19) yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\rho}{\omega^2} \int_{\partial\mathbb{D}_0} \left[ c_P^2 \left( -(c_P^2 \nabla G_{\dot{\Theta}, \dot{\Omega}_h} - 2c_S^2 \nabla \times \mathbf{G}_{\dot{\Theta}, \dot{\Omega}_h})^* \dot{\Theta} + G_{\dot{\Theta}, \dot{\Omega}_h}^* (c_P^2 \nabla \dot{\Theta} - 2c_S^2 \nabla \times \dot{\Omega}) \right) \right. \\ & \left. - 2c_S^2 \left( (c_P^2 \nabla G_{\dot{\Theta}, \dot{\Omega}_h} - 2c_S^2 \nabla \times \mathbf{G}_{\dot{\Theta}, \dot{\Omega}_h})^* \times \dot{\Omega} + \mathbf{G}_{\dot{\Theta}, \dot{\Omega}_h}^* \times (c_P^2 \nabla \dot{\Theta} - 2c_S^2 \nabla \times \dot{\Omega}) \right) \right] \cdot \mathbf{n}_0 d^2 \mathbf{x}. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

The medium at and above  $\partial\mathbb{D}_0$  is homogeneous, isotropic and source-free. The source of the Green's function (at  $\mathbf{x}_A$ ) and the source distribution of the actual field (in  $\mathbb{D}_s$ ) are below the boundary  $\partial\mathbb{D}_0$ , hence, the Green's function and the actual field propagate upward at  $\partial\mathbb{D}_0$ . In the following we make explicitly use of this. To this end, we first rewrite the boundary integral in equation (35) as

$$\int_{\partial\mathbb{D}_0} [\dots] \cdot \mathbf{n}_0 d^2 \mathbf{x} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} [\dots]_{x_{3,0}} \cdot \mathbf{n}_0 d^2 \mathbf{x}_H, \quad (36)$$

where  $\mathbb{R}$  is the set of real numbers,  $x_{3,0}$  is the depth of boundary  $\partial\mathbb{D}_0$  and  $\mathbf{x}_H$  denotes the horizontal coordinate vector, defined as  $\mathbf{x}_H = (x_1, x_2)$ . We define the 2D spatial Fourier transform of a quantity  $A(\mathbf{x}_H, x_{3,0}, \omega)$  as

$$\tilde{A}(\mathbf{k}_H, x_{3,0}, \omega) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} A(\mathbf{x}_H, x_{3,0}, \omega) \exp\{-i\mathbf{k}_H \cdot \mathbf{x}_H\} d^2 \mathbf{x}_H, \quad (37)$$

with  $\mathbf{k}_H$  denoting the horizontal wave vector, according to  $\mathbf{k}_H = (k_1, k_2)$ . Using equation (36) and Parseval's theorem

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} A^*(\mathbf{x}_H, x_{3,0}, \omega) B(\mathbf{x}_H, x_{3,0}, \omega) d^2 \mathbf{x}_H = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \tilde{A}^*(\mathbf{k}_H, x_{3,0}, \omega) \tilde{B}(\mathbf{k}_H, x_{3,0}, \omega) d^2 \mathbf{k}_H, \quad (38)$$

we rewrite the expression of equation (35) as

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\rho}{4\pi^2 \omega^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left[ c_P^2 \left( -(c_P^2 \tilde{\nabla} \tilde{G}_{\dot{\Theta}, \dot{\Omega}_h} - 2c_S^2 \tilde{\nabla} \times \tilde{\mathbf{G}}_{\dot{\Theta}, \dot{\Omega}_h})^* \tilde{\Theta} + \tilde{G}_{\dot{\Theta}, \dot{\Omega}_h}^* (c_P^2 \tilde{\nabla} \tilde{\Theta} - 2c_S^2 \tilde{\nabla} \times \tilde{\Omega}) \right) - \right. \\ & \left. 2c_S^2 \left( (c_P^2 \tilde{\nabla} \tilde{G}_{\dot{\Theta}, \dot{\Omega}_h} - 2c_S^2 \tilde{\nabla} \times \tilde{\mathbf{G}}_{\dot{\Theta}, \dot{\Omega}_h})^* \times \tilde{\Omega} + \tilde{\mathbf{G}}_{\dot{\Theta}, \dot{\Omega}_h}^* \times (c_P^2 \tilde{\nabla} \tilde{\Theta} - 2c_S^2 \tilde{\nabla} \times \tilde{\Omega}) \right) \right]_{x_{3,0}} \cdot \mathbf{n}_0 d^2 \mathbf{k}_H, \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

with

$$\tilde{\nabla} = \begin{pmatrix} ik_1 \\ ik_2 \\ \partial_3 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (40)$$

Equations (27) and (30) read in the spatial Fourier domain

$$\partial_3^2 \tilde{\Theta} = -\left(\frac{\omega^2}{c_P^2} - \mathbf{k}_H \cdot \mathbf{k}_H\right) \tilde{\Theta}, \quad (41)$$

$$\partial_3^2 \tilde{\Omega} = -\left(\frac{\omega^2}{c_P^2} - \mathbf{k}_H \cdot \mathbf{k}_H\right) \tilde{\Omega}, \quad \text{with} \quad ik_1 \tilde{\Omega}_1 + ik_2 \tilde{\Omega}_2 + \partial_3 \tilde{\Omega}_3 = 0. \quad (42)$$

Given that  $\tilde{\Theta}$  and  $\tilde{\Omega}$  are propagating upward at  $x_3 = x_{3,0}$ , we can use the following one-way wave equations

$$\partial_3 \tilde{\Theta} = -ik_{3,P} \tilde{\Theta}, \quad (43)$$

$$\partial_3 \tilde{\Omega} = -ik_{3,S} \tilde{\Omega}, \quad \text{with} \quad ik_1 \tilde{\Omega}_1 + ik_2 \tilde{\Omega}_2 = ik_{3,S} \tilde{\Omega}_3, \quad (44)$$

where

$$k_{3,P} = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{\omega^2}{c_P^2} - \mathbf{k}_H \cdot \mathbf{k}_H}, & \text{for } \mathbf{k}_H \cdot \mathbf{k}_H \leq \frac{\omega^2}{c_P^2}, \\ i\sqrt{\mathbf{k}_H \cdot \mathbf{k}_H - \frac{\omega^2}{c_P^2}}, & \text{for } \mathbf{k}_H \cdot \mathbf{k}_H > \frac{\omega^2}{c_P^2}, \end{cases} \quad (45)$$

$$k_{3,S} = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{\omega^2}{c_S^2} - \mathbf{k}_H \cdot \mathbf{k}_H}, & \text{for } \mathbf{k}_H \cdot \mathbf{k}_H \leq \frac{\omega^2}{c_S^2}, \\ i\sqrt{\mathbf{k}_H \cdot \mathbf{k}_H - \frac{\omega^2}{c_S^2}}, & \text{for } \mathbf{k}_H \cdot \mathbf{k}_H > \frac{\omega^2}{c_S^2}. \end{cases} \quad (46)$$

Note that for  $\mathbf{k}_H \cdot \mathbf{k}_H \leq \omega^2/c_P^2$  and for  $\mathbf{k}_H \cdot \mathbf{k}_H > \omega^2/c_P^2$ , equation (43) describes upward propagating and upward decaying evanescent  $P$ -waves, respectively. Similarly, for  $\mathbf{k}_H \cdot \mathbf{k}_H \leq \omega^2/c_S^2$  and for  $\mathbf{k}_H \cdot \mathbf{k}_H > \omega^2/c_S^2$ , equation (44) describes upward propagating and upward decaying evanescent  $S$ -waves, respectively. Analogous to equations (43) and (44) we have

$$(\partial_3 \tilde{G}_{\dot{\Theta}, \dot{\Omega}_h})^* = ik_{3,P}^* \tilde{G}_{\dot{\Theta}, \dot{\Omega}_h}^*, \quad (47)$$

$$(\partial_3 \tilde{G}_{\dot{\Omega}, \dot{\Omega}_h})^* = ik_{3,S}^* \tilde{G}_{\dot{\Omega}, \dot{\Omega}_h}^*, \quad \text{with} \quad -ik_1 \tilde{G}_{\dot{\Omega}_1, \dot{\Omega}_h}^* - ik_2 \tilde{G}_{\dot{\Omega}_2, \dot{\Omega}_h}^* = -ik_{3,S}^* \tilde{G}_{\dot{\Omega}_3, \dot{\Omega}_h}^* \quad (48)$$

( $\tilde{G}_{\dot{\Omega}_k, \dot{\Omega}_h}$  being the  $k$ -component of  $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}_{\dot{\Omega}, \dot{\Omega}_h}$ ), with, according to equations (45) and (46),

$$k_{3,P}^* = \begin{cases} k_{3,P}, & \text{for } \mathbf{k}_H \cdot \mathbf{k}_H \leq \frac{c_P^2 \omega^2}{c_P^2}, \\ -k_{3,P}, & \text{for } \mathbf{k}_H \cdot \mathbf{k}_H > \frac{c_P^2 \omega^2}{c_P^2}, \end{cases} \quad (49)$$

$$k_{3,S}^* = \begin{cases} k_{3,S}, & \text{for } \mathbf{k}_H \cdot \mathbf{k}_H \leq \frac{c_S^2 \omega^2}{c_S^2}, \\ -k_{3,S}, & \text{for } \mathbf{k}_H \cdot \mathbf{k}_H > \frac{c_S^2 \omega^2}{c_S^2}. \end{cases} \quad (50)$$

Using equations (40) and (43) – (48), we can reorganize the expression of equation (39) as

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{\rho}{4\pi^2\omega^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left[ c_P^4 \left( -(\partial_3 \tilde{G}_{\dot{\Theta}, \dot{\Omega}_h})^* \tilde{\Theta} + \tilde{G}_{\dot{\Theta}, \dot{\Omega}_h}^* \partial_3 \tilde{\Theta} \right) \right. \\ & \quad + 2c_P^2 c_S^2 \left( (ik_1 \tilde{G}_{\dot{\Omega}_2, \dot{\Omega}_h} - ik_2 \tilde{G}_{\dot{\Omega}_1, \dot{\Omega}_h})^* \tilde{\Theta} - \tilde{G}_{\dot{\Theta}, \dot{\Omega}_h}^* (ik_1 \tilde{\Omega}_2 - ik_2 \tilde{\Omega}_1) \right. \\ & \quad \quad \left. - (ik_1 \tilde{G}_{\dot{\Theta}, \dot{\Omega}_h})^* \tilde{\Omega}_2 + (ik_2 \tilde{G}_{\dot{\Theta}, \dot{\Omega}_h})^* \tilde{\Omega}_1 - \tilde{G}_{\dot{\Omega}_1, \dot{\Omega}_h}^* ik_2 \tilde{\Theta} + \tilde{G}_{\dot{\Omega}_2, \dot{\Omega}_h}^* ik_1 \tilde{\Theta} \right) \\ & \quad + 4c_S^4 \left( (ik_2 \tilde{G}_{\dot{\Omega}_3, \dot{\Omega}_h} - \partial_3 \tilde{G}_{\dot{\Omega}_2, \dot{\Omega}_h})^* \tilde{\Omega}_2 - (\partial_3 \tilde{G}_{\dot{\Omega}_1, \dot{\Omega}_h} - ik_1 \tilde{G}_{\dot{\Omega}_3, \dot{\Omega}_h})^* \tilde{\Omega}_1 \right. \\ & \quad \quad \left. + \tilde{G}_{\dot{\Omega}_1, \dot{\Omega}_h}^* (\partial_3 \tilde{\Omega}_1 - ik_1 \tilde{\Omega}_3) - \tilde{G}_{\dot{\Omega}_2, \dot{\Omega}_h}^* (ik_2 \tilde{\Omega}_3 - \partial_3 \tilde{\Omega}_1) \right) \Big]_{x_{3,0}} d^2 \mathbf{k}_H \\ & = \frac{\rho}{4\pi^2\omega^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left[ c_P^4 (ik_{3,P} + ik_{3,P}^*) \tilde{G}_{\dot{\Theta}, \dot{\Omega}_h}^* \tilde{\Theta} + 4c_S^4 \left( (ik_{3,S} + ik_{3,S}^*) (\tilde{G}_{\dot{\Omega}_1, \dot{\Omega}_h}^* \tilde{\Omega}_1 + \tilde{G}_{\dot{\Omega}_2, \dot{\Omega}_h}^* \tilde{\Omega}_2) \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + \tilde{G}_{\dot{\Omega}_3, \dot{\Omega}_h}^* (ik_1 \tilde{\Omega}_1 + ik_2 \tilde{\Omega}_2) + (ik_1 \tilde{G}_{\dot{\Omega}_1, \dot{\Omega}_h}^* + ik_2 \tilde{G}_{\dot{\Omega}_2, \dot{\Omega}_h}^*) \tilde{\Omega}_3 \right) \right]_{x_{3,0}} d^2 \mathbf{k}_H \\ & = \frac{\rho}{4\pi^2\omega^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left[ c_P^4 (ik_{3,P} + ik_{3,P}^*) \tilde{G}_{\dot{\Theta}, \dot{\Omega}_h}^* \tilde{\Theta} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + 4c_S^4 \left( (ik_{3,S} + ik_{3,S}^*) (\tilde{G}_{\dot{\Omega}_1, \dot{\Omega}_h}^* \tilde{\Omega}_1 + \tilde{G}_{\dot{\Omega}_2, \dot{\Omega}_h}^* \tilde{\Omega}_2 + \tilde{G}_{\dot{\Omega}_3, \dot{\Omega}_h}^* \tilde{\Omega}_3) \right) \right]_{x_{3,0}} d^2 \mathbf{k}_H. \quad (51) \end{aligned}$$

From equations (49) and (50) it follows that the integrand of equation (51) vanishes for the evanescent wave regimes. By restricting the integrals to the propagating wave regimes, we obtain (using again equations (47) and (48))

$$\frac{2\rho}{4\pi^2\omega^2} \left[ \int_{\mathbf{k}_H \cdot \mathbf{k}_H \leq \frac{\omega^2}{c_P^2}} \left[ c_P^4 (\partial_3 \tilde{G}_{\dot{\Theta}, \dot{\Omega}_h}^*) \tilde{\Theta} \right]_{x_{3,0}} d^2 \mathbf{k}_H + \int_{\mathbf{k}_H \cdot \mathbf{k}_H \leq \frac{\omega^2}{c_S^2}} \left[ 4c_S^4 (\partial_3 \tilde{\mathbf{G}}_{\dot{\Omega}, \dot{\Omega}_h}^*) \cdot \tilde{\Omega} \right]_{x_{3,0}} d^2 \mathbf{k}_H \right]. \quad (52)$$

Up to this point we have made no approximations, hence, the expression in equation (52) is identical to that in equation (19). Next, we want to apply Parseval's theorem again to obtain

a space-domain integral. To this end, we first extend the integration intervals in equation (52) to  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , according to

$$\frac{2\rho}{4\pi^2\omega^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left[ c_P^4(\partial_3 \tilde{G}_{\dot{\Theta}, \dot{\Omega}_h}^*) \dot{\Theta} + 4c_S^4(\partial_3 \tilde{\mathbf{G}}_{\dot{\Omega}, \dot{\Omega}_h}^*) \cdot \tilde{\dot{\Omega}} \right]_{x_{3,0}} d^2\mathbf{k}_H. \quad (53)$$

This is a reasonable approximation, since the wave fields under the extended integral are negligible in the evanescent wave regimes. Using Parseval's theorem (equation (38)) and equation (36) (without the inner product with  $\mathbf{n}_0$ ), we finally obtain

$$\frac{2\rho}{\omega^2} \int_{\partial\mathbb{D}_0} \left[ c_P^4(\partial_3 G_{\dot{\Theta}, \dot{\Omega}_h}^*) \dot{\Theta} + 4c_S^4(\partial_3 \mathbf{G}_{\dot{\Omega}, \dot{\Omega}_h}^*) \cdot \dot{\Omega} \right] d^2\mathbf{x}. \quad (54)$$

## FIGURES




FIG. 1 (a) Illustration of equation (7) for backpropagation. (b) Idem, for equations (8) and (9).