

ON THE BOGOMOLOV-POSITSIELSKI CONJECTURE

JULIAN FEUERPFEL

ABSTRACT. Let p be a prime, we say that a Kummerian oriented pro- p group (G, θ) has the Bogomolov-Positselski property if $I_\theta(G)$ is a free pro- p group. We give a new criterion for an oriented pro- p group to have the Bogomolov-Positselski property based on previous work by Positselski ([12]) and Quadrelli and Weigel ([20]) linking their seemingly unrelated approaches and thereby answering a question posed by Quadrelli and Weigel.

Under further assumptions, we derive two additional criteria. The first of which strongly resembles an analogue of the Merkujev-Suslin theorem. The second allows to relax the conditions given by Positselski in [12, Theorem 2]. In addition, we show how to make those weaker assumptions computationally effective in some special cases.

Keywords. Bogomolov-Positselski Conjecture, oriented pro- p groups, Kummerian oriented pro- p groups, Koszul algebras, quadratic modules

2020 Math. Subject Class. Primary 16S37 secondary 12F10, 20J06, 12G05

ORCID: 0009-0000-0148-3348

e-mail: j.feuerpfeil@campus.unimib.it

1. INTRODUCTION

Let p be a prime. A p -oriented profinite group is a pair (G, θ) , where G is a profinite group and $\theta : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ a continuous homomorphism. They have been introduced by I. Efrat for pro- p groups in [2] under the name *cyclotomic pro- p pair*. In contrast, we call a p -oriented group, whose underlying profinite group is pro- p , an *oriented pro- p group*, as Quadrelli and Weigel in [20].

The definition is motivated by a setting in Galois theory. Let \mathbb{K} be a field of characteristic $\neq p$, denote by \mathbb{K}^s a separable closure of \mathbb{K} and $G_{\mathbb{K}} := \text{Gal}(\mathbb{K}^s/\mathbb{K})$ its absolute Galois group. Then $G_{\mathbb{K}}$ acts continuously on $\mu_{p^\infty}(\mathbb{K}^s) \cong \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$ and this action defines a continuous homomorphism $\theta_{cycl} : G_{\mathbb{K}} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mu_{p^\infty}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$. Therefore, the pair $(G_{\mathbb{K}}, \theta_{cycl})$ is a p -oriented profinite group. If \mathbb{K} contains a p^{th} root of unity, then the orientation θ_{cycl} descends to the maximal pro- p quotient $G_{\mathbb{K}}(p) := G_{\mathbb{K}}/O^p(G_{\mathbb{K}})$, where $O^p(G_{\mathbb{K}})$ is the normal subgroup generated by all p' -Sylow subgroups of $G_{\mathbb{K}}$. In Galois-theoretic terms, this corresponds to the maximal pro- p Galois group of \mathbb{K} . We also denote the induced orientation on $G_{\mathbb{K}}(p)$ by θ_{cycl} .

An oriented pro- p group (G, θ) is called *torsion-free*, if $\theta(G)$ is torsion-free and therefore isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_p . Notice that this does not imply that G itself is torsion-free as a pro- p group. The oriented pro- p group $(G_{\mathbb{K}}(p), \theta_{cycl})$ is torsion-free if either p is odd or $p = 2$ and $\sqrt{-1} \in \mathbb{K}$.

An oriented pro- p group (G, θ) contains apart from $\ker \theta$ the following distinguished closed subgroups:

$$K_\theta(G) := \langle h^{-\theta(g)}ghg^{-1} : g \in G, h \in \ker \theta \rangle_{cl}$$

$$I_\theta(G) := \langle h \in \ker \theta : h^{p^n} \in K_\theta(G) \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \rangle_{cl}$$

The quotient $G(\theta) := G/I_\theta(G)$ is the maximal θ -abelian quotient of G (see [20, Section 2]). If θ is trivial, then $G(\theta)$ is the maximal torsion-free quotient of G^{ab} .

An oriented pro- p group (G, θ) is called *Kummerian* if $\ker(\theta)/K_\theta$ is a free abelian pro- p group. This notion was introduced by Efrat and Quadrelli in [3, Definition 3.4] and has proven to be a powerful tool to exclude oriented pro- p groups as candidates for maximal pro- p Galois groups with cyclotomic orientation. There are many equivalent characterizations of Kummerian property (see for example [20, Proposition 2.6]). One of them is the fact that (G, θ) is Kummerian if and only if $K_\theta(G) = I_\theta(G)$.

Proposition 1.1 ([3, Theorem 4.2]). *Let \mathbb{K} be a field containing a primitive p^{th} -root of unity (and $\sqrt{-1}$ if $p = 2$), then $(G_{\mathbb{K}}(p), \theta_{\text{cycl}})$ is a torsion-free, Kummerian oriented pro- p group.*

The following conjecture was first stated by Bogomolov in [1] for fields containing an algebraically closed field and later refined by Positselski in [12]:

Conjecture 1.2. *Let \mathbb{K} be a field containing a primitive p^{th} -root of unity (and $\sqrt{-1}$ if $p = 2$), then $I_{\theta_{\text{cycl}}}(G_{\mathbb{K}}) = K_{\theta_{\text{cycl}}}(G_{\mathbb{K}})$ is a free pro- p group.*

Under the Galois correspondence the closed subgroup $K_\theta(G_{\mathbb{K}})$ corresponds to the field ${}^p\infty\sqrt{\mathbb{K}} := \mathbb{K}(\sqrt[p^n]{a} : n \in \mathbb{N}, a \in \mathbb{K})$ (see [3, Theorem 4.2]).

We say that a Kummerian oriented pro- p group (G, θ) has the *Bogomolov-Positselski property* if $K_\theta(G)$ is a free pro- p group and a field \mathbb{K} , satisfying conditions in Conjecture 1.2, has the *Bogomolov-Positselski property* if $(G_{\mathbb{K}}(p), \theta_{\text{cycl}})$ has the Bogomolov-Positselski property.

A pro- p group is said to be *H^\bullet -quadratic* if $H^\bullet(G, \mathbb{F}_p) = \bigoplus_i H^i(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$ is a quadratic algebra with respect to the cup product, i.e. it is generated as algebra by its elements in degree 1 and all relations are in degree 2. For a more precise definition, we refer to section 2.2.

The following theorem is a consequence of the norm-residue isomorphism theorem proven by Rost, Voevodsky with a patch by Weibel (cf. [4], [17], [18], [19])

Theorem 1.3. *Let \mathbb{K} be a field containing a primitive p^{th} -root of unity, then $G_{\mathbb{K}}(p)$ is H^\bullet -quadratic. More precisely $H^n(G_{\mathbb{K}}, \mathbb{F}_p) \cong H^n(G_{\mathbb{K}}(p), \mathbb{F}_p) \cong K_n^M(\mathbb{K}) \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$, where $K_n^M(\mathbb{K})$ is the n^{th} -Milnor K -group of \mathbb{K} , which will be defined in section 3.*

For an oriented pro- p group (G, θ) , we consider the inflation map

$$(1.1) \quad \text{inf}^\bullet : H^\bullet(G(\theta), \mathbb{F}_p) \cong \Lambda^\bullet(H^1(G, \mathbb{F}_p)) \rightarrow H^\bullet(G, \mathbb{F}_p),$$

which is an epimorphism of quadratic algebras if G is H^\bullet -quadratic. The isomorphism $H^\bullet(G(\theta), \mathbb{F}_p) \cong \Lambda^\bullet(H^1(G, \mathbb{F}_p))$ can be found in [20, Example 4.3] and is a consequence of Lazard's theorem. We just write inf^\bullet , if the oriented pro- p it refers to is clear from the context.

The next theorem is due to Positselski and gives a criterion for when Conjecture 1.2 holds in terms of properties of the kernel of inf^\bullet :

Theorem 1.4 ([12, Theorem 2]). *Let \mathbb{K} be a field satisfying the usual conditions. If $(\ker \text{inf}^\bullet)(2)$ is a Koszul module over the algebra $\Lambda^\bullet(H^1(G_{\mathbb{K}}, \mathbb{F}_p))$, then \mathbb{K} has the Bogomolov-Positselski property.*

This criterion depends on the vanishing of infinitely many cohomology groups, as the Koszulity can be reformulated as $\text{Tor}_{ij}^A(\ker \text{inf}^\bullet, \mathbb{F}_p) = 0$ for all $j \neq i + 2$,

where $A = \Lambda^\bullet(H^1(G_{\mathbb{K}}, \mathbb{F}_p))$. Positselski conjectured, that the conditions for this theorem hold universally (see Conjecture 3.3).

In 2022, Quadrelli and Weigel gave a new criterion for the Bogomolov-Positselski conjecture, depending only on two cohomology groups, but in a sophisticated way. Let (G, θ) be torsion-free Kummerian oriented pro- p group, then we can associate a Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence to the group extension $1 \rightarrow K_\theta(G) \rightarrow G \rightarrow G(\theta) \rightarrow 1$. This spectral sequence will be denoted by

$$(1.2) \quad E_2^{s,t} := H^s(G(\theta), H^t(K_\theta(G), \mathbb{F}_p)) \implies H^{s+t}(G, \mathbb{F}_p).$$

Theorem 1.5 ([20, Theorem 4.5]). *Let (G, θ) be a torsion-free, Kummerian, oriented pro- p group with G being H^\bullet -quadratic, then (G, θ) has the Bogomolov-Positselski property if and only if the differential $d_2^{2,1} : E_2^{2,1} \rightarrow E_2^{4,0}$ in the spectral sequence in (1.2) is injective.*

The authors asked in [20, Remark 1.5] if there is a connection between Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.5. More precisely, if there is a way to express $\ker d_2^{2,1}$ in terms of the certain Ext or Tor groups of graded $H^\bullet(G(\theta), \mathbb{F}_p)$ modules.

In this paper, we want to give an affirmative answer to this question.

Theorem 1.6. *Let (G, θ) be a torsion-free, Kummerian, oriented pro- p group with G an H^\bullet -quadratic pro- p group. We set $A := H^\bullet(G(\theta), \mathbb{F}_p) = \Lambda^\bullet(H^1(G, \mathbb{F}_p))$, $B := H^\bullet(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$ and N to be the graded A -module $H^\bullet(G(\theta), H^1(I_\theta(G), \mathbb{F}_p))$. Then there is an exact sequence:*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_{1,2}^A(N, \mathbb{F}_p) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathrm{Tor}_{2,4}^A(B, \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow \ker d_2^{2,1} \rightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_{0,2}^A(N, \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow 0$$

In particular $\ker d_2^{2,1} = 0$ if and only if α is an isomorphism and $\mathrm{Tor}_{0,2}^A(N, \mathbb{F}_p) = 0$

This theorem depends on only finitely many (co)homology groups, but again in a sophisticated way, as the module N , whose properties as $H^\bullet(G(\theta), \mathbb{F}_p)$ -module determine the Bogomolov-Positselski property, seems to be hard to control.

Corollary 1.7. *Let (G, θ) be as in Theorem 1.6 and assume that it has the Bogomolov-Positselski property, then the map*

$$(1.3) \quad H^1(G(\theta), H^1(K_\theta(G), \mathbb{F}_p)) \otimes H^1(G(\theta), \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow H^2(G(\theta), H^1(K_\theta(G), \mathbb{F}_p))$$

induced by the cup product, is surjective. The converse implication holds if

$$(1.4) \quad \mathrm{Tor}_{1,4}^A(\ker \mathrm{inf}^\bullet, \mathbb{F}_p) = \mathrm{Tor}_{2,4}^A(B, \mathbb{F}_p) = 0.$$

Condition (1.4) of Corollary 1.7 is a small part of Conjecture 3.3 in the Galois-theoretic context, but clearly much weaker. Therefore, it is natural to ask under which (possibly more general) conditions it is satisfied:

Question 1.8. *What conditions on an oriented pro- p group (G, θ) are sufficient to conclude $\mathrm{Tor}_{2,4}^{H^\bullet(G(\theta), \mathbb{F}_p)}(H^\bullet(G, \mathbb{F}_p), \mathbb{F}_p) = 0$?*

Remark 1.9. Corollary 1.7 yields again a new group theoretic interpretation of the Bogomolov-Positselski property. If $(G, \theta) = (G_{\mathbb{K}}(p), \theta_{\mathrm{cycl}})$, then the cup product can be written in terms of the field $\mathbb{L} := {}^p\sqrt{\mathbb{K}}$. In particular (1.3) becomes

$$H^1(G(\theta), \mathbb{L}^\times \otimes \mathbb{F}_p) \otimes H^1(G(\theta), \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow H^2(G(\theta), \mathbb{L}^\times \otimes \mathbb{F}_p)$$

Theorem 1.6 also allows to relax the conditions of Theorem 1.4 by applying the same techniques as Positselski in [12, Theorem 4]:

Theorem 1.10. *Keep the notation from Theorem 1.6. Assume $(\ker \inf^\bullet)(2)$ is a quadratic A -module and $\mathrm{Tor}_{i,i+3}^A(\ker \inf^\bullet, \mathbb{F}_p) = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$, then (G, θ) has the Bogomolov-Positselski property.*

This theorem again depends on the vanishing of infinitely many cohomology groups, but significantly less than in Theorem 1.4. In Section 4.3 we show how to make the conditions of Theorem 1.10 for an ideal I of $\Lambda^\bullet(V)$ effectively computable if V is finite dimensional.

Acknowledgment. The author would like to thank his PhD-advisor Thomas Weigel of the Università degli Studi di Milano-Bicocca for posing this problem to me and supporting me during my research. The author is grateful to Simone Blumer for the beautiful counter example in 4.2 and Claudio Quadrelli for helpful discussions. The author is a member of the Gruppo Nazionale per le Strutture Algebriche, Geometriche e le loro Applicazioni (GNSAGA), which is part of the Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica (INdAM).

2. COHOMOLOGY OF GRADED (CO)ALGEBRAS

For this section we fix a base field k and only consider \mathbb{Z} -graded (co)algebras. Of course, most of the results will hold for the case where k is a commutative ring. We just recall the most basic definitions and results. For a more detailed explanation, we refer to [5, Chapter 3], [10], [11], and [12].

Definition 2.1. A graded k -vector space $A = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} A_i$ (resp. $C = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} C_i$) together with a map $\mu : A \otimes_k A \rightarrow A$ (resp. $\Delta : C \rightarrow C \otimes_k C$) of graded vector spaces and unit map $1 : k \rightarrow A_0$ (resp. $\varepsilon : C_0 \rightarrow k$) satisfying the usual identities is called *graded k -(co)algebra*. Similarly, a *graded (right) (co)module* M over A (resp. over C) is a graded k -vector space together with a map $M \otimes_k A \rightarrow M$ (resp. $M \rightarrow M \otimes_k C$) satisfying the usual identities.

If A is non-negatively graded, that is, $A_i = 0$ for $i < 0$, and $1 : k \rightarrow A_0$ is an isomorphism, A is called *augmented*. Similarly for a graded coalgebra.

Example 2.2. Let V be a vector space, then $\mathbb{T}(V)$ with $\mathbb{T}^n(V) = V^{\otimes n}$ and multiplication given by

$$(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_j) \cdot (w_1 \otimes \dots \otimes w_i) := v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_j \otimes w_1 \otimes \dots \otimes w_i$$

is a graded algebra. Similarly $\mathbb{T}^c(V)$, which is as k -vector space isomorphic to $\mathbb{T}(V)$, equipped with the map

$$\Delta(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n) := \sum_{i+j=n} (v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_i) \otimes (v_{i+1} \otimes \dots \otimes v_n)$$

is a graded coalgebra.

Let A (resp. C) be an augmented graded k -(co)algebra. We assume that all modules are bounded below, that is, if M is an A -module (or C -comodule), then $M_i = 0$ for $i \ll 0$. For a graded module M , we define its *k -shift* $M(k)$ by $M(k)_i = M_{i+k}$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

For two graded A -modules M and N their (graded) tensor product $M \otimes_A N$ is defined by the usual universal property or more explicitly as a quotient of $M \otimes_k N$ by a homogeneous subspace. Similarly, for two graded comodules M and N over a coalgebra C one can define their graded *cotensor* product $M \odot_C N$ as kernel of

$\text{id}_M \otimes \Delta_N - \Delta_M \otimes \text{id}_N : M \otimes_k N \rightarrow M \otimes_k C \otimes_k N$. Both of those functors have their derived counter parts. They can be explicitly computed using the following resolutions, called *bar* and *cobar*-resolutions, with the bar-resolution being projective and the cobar-resolution being injective:

$$\mathcal{BAR}_*(A, M) : \quad M \leftarrow M \otimes A \leftarrow M \otimes A^+ \otimes A \leftarrow M \otimes (A^+)^{\otimes 2} \otimes A \leftarrow \dots$$

$$\mathcal{COB}^*(C, M) : \quad M \rightarrow M \otimes C \rightarrow M \otimes C^+ \otimes C \rightarrow M \otimes (C^+)^{\otimes 2} \otimes C \rightarrow \dots$$

Here, the tensor products are taken over k and $A^+ = \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} A_i$ and $C^+ = \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} C_i$ are the augmentation ideals of A and C . The differentials are defined by the usual formulas. We define

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tor}_i^A(M, N) &:= H_i(\mathcal{BAR}_*(A, M) \otimes_A N) \quad \text{and} \\ \text{Cot}_C^i(M, N) &:= H^i(\mathcal{COB}^*(C, M) \odot_C N). \end{aligned}$$

Since these resolutions have an internal grading, the k -vector spaces $\text{Tor}_i^A(M, N)$ and $\text{Cot}_C^i(M, N)$ are also graded. We denote their components by $\text{Tor}_{i,j}^A(M, N)$ resp. $\text{Cot}_C^{i,j}(M, N)$.

Remark 2.3. One can show that for a graded augmented algebra A the bigraded k -vector space $\text{Tor}_{*,*}^A(k, k)$ is a bigraded coalgebra. Additionally, if M is a graded A -module, then $\text{Tor}_{*,*}^A(M, k)$ is a bigraded comodule over $\text{Tor}_{*,*}^A(k, k)$.

Similarly for an augmented coalgebra C , the bigraded vector space $\text{Cot}_C^{*,*}(k, k)$ is a bigraded algebra and for a graded comodule M , $\text{Cot}_C^{*,*}(M, k)$ is a bigraded module over $\text{Cot}_C^{*,*}(k, k)$.

2.1. Conilpotent coalgebras.

Definition 2.4. Let C be an augmented coalgebra over a field k (not necessarily graded). Set C^+ to be the kernel of the coaugmentation map $C \rightarrow k$. Let $\bar{\Delta} : C^+ \rightarrow C^+ \otimes C^+$, $x \mapsto \Delta(x) - x \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x$ be the reduced coproduct. Then we call C *conilpotent*, if for any $x \in C^+$ there exists an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\bar{\Delta}^n(x) = 0$. We obtain the *conilpotent filtration* N_* on C defined by $N_i C := k \oplus \ker(\bar{\Delta}^i)$. It is exhaustive, i.e. $\bigcup_i N_i C = C$, if and only if C is conilpotent.

Similar definitions can be made for comodules over C .

Example 2.5. Fix a field k . For a finite group G we define its group coalgebra $k(G)$ to be the dual of the group algebra $k[G]$, which we can view as $\text{Map}(G, k)$. For a profinite group, we define $k((G)) := \varprojlim k(G/N)$, where the limit is taken over all open normal subgroups N . As a k -vector space it can be identified with the locally constant functions $G \rightarrow k$ in the profinite topology of G . The category of discrete G -modules is equivalent to the category of comodules over $k((G))$ and cohomology can be computed in either of those categories.

If k has characteristic p , then $k((G))$ is conilpotent if and only if G is a pro- p group.

See [12] for more details.

2.2. Quadratic and Koszul (co)algebras.

Definition 2.6. Let A be an augmented graded k -algebra. Then A is called *quadratic* if the natural morphism $\mathbb{T}^\bullet(A_1) \rightarrow A$ is surjective and its kernel is the two sided ideal generated by $\ker(A_1 \otimes_k A_1 \xrightarrow{\mu} A_2)$.

A graded augmented k -coalgebra C is called *quadratic*, if the natural morphism $C \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^c(C_1)$ is injective and its cokernel is cogenerated by $R = \text{coker}(C_2 \rightarrow C_1 \otimes_k C_1)$, that is, it embeds into $C \otimes_k R \otimes_k C$.

A graded module M over a graded algebra is called *quadratic* if the natural morphism $M_0 \otimes_k A \rightarrow M$ is surjective and its kernel is generated by $\ker(M_0 \otimes_k A_1 \rightarrow M_1)$.

The definition of a graded comodule is similar.

Proposition 2.7 ([10, Corollary 5.3 Chapter 1]). *Let A be an augmented graded algebra and M be a graded module over A . Then the following statements hold:*

- (1) M is quadratic if and only if $\text{Tor}_{0j}^A(M, k) = 0$ for $j \neq 0$ (M is one-generated) and $\text{Tor}_{1j}^A(M, k) = 0$ for $j \neq 1$.
- (2) A is quadratic if and only if $\text{Tor}_{1j}^A(k, k) = 0$ for $j \neq 1$ (A is one-generated) and $\text{Tor}_{2j}^A(k, k) = 0$ for $j \neq 2$.

The same holds for coalgebras and comodules replacing Tor by Cot .

To a k -vector space V a subspace $R \leq V \otimes V$ we can associate to it a quadratic algebra $\{V, R\}$ and a quadratic coalgebra $\langle V, R \rangle$ given by the following exact sequences of two-sided $\mathbb{T}(V)$ modules resp. $\mathbb{T}^c(V)$ comodules:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{T}(V) \otimes R \otimes \mathbb{T}(V) &\xrightarrow{\mu^2} \mathbb{T}(V) \longrightarrow \{V, R\} \longrightarrow 0 \\ \mathbb{T}^c(V) \otimes V^{\otimes 2}/R \otimes \mathbb{T}^c(V) &\xleftarrow{\Delta^2} \mathbb{T}^c(V) \longleftarrow \langle V, R \rangle \longleftarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

Now fix a quadratic algebra A (resp. coalgebra C), a k -vector spaces U and $S \leq U \otimes A_1$ (resp. $S \leq U \otimes C_1$). Then we define the associated quadratic (right) A -module $\{U, S\}_A$ by the following sequence:

$$\begin{aligned} S \otimes A &\xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \mu} U \otimes A \longrightarrow \{U, S\}_A \longrightarrow 0 \\ (U \otimes C_1)/S \otimes C &\xleftarrow{\text{id} \otimes \Delta} U \otimes C \longleftarrow \langle U, S \rangle_C \longleftarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.8. Let A be an augmented graded algebra, then we define its quadratic part as $qA := \{A_1, \ker(A_1^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow A_2)\}$. There is a natural map $r_A : qA \rightarrow A$, which is an isomorphism in degree 0, 1 and injective in degree 2.

Assume that A is quadratic and M a non-negatively graded module, then we can associate its quadratic part $q_A M := \{M_0, \ker(M_0 \otimes A_1 \rightarrow M_1)\}_A$ and get a comparison map $r_{A, M} : q_A M \rightarrow M$.

For an augmented graded coalgebra C , its quadratic part is $qC := \langle C_1, \text{im}(C_2 \rightarrow C_1 \otimes C_1) \rangle$ and for a comodule M over C (assuming C is quadratic), we set $q_C M := \langle M_0, \text{im}(M_1 \rightarrow M_0 \otimes C_1) \rangle_C$.

We define a duality between of quadratic (co)algebras and (co)modules by the $_!$ and $_?$ operators:

$$\begin{aligned} \{V, R\}^? &:= \langle V, R \rangle & \{U, S\}_A^? &:= \langle U, S \rangle_{A^?} \\ \langle V, R \rangle^! &:= \{V, R\} & \langle U, S \rangle_C^! &:= \{U, S\}_{C^!} \end{aligned}$$

The following proposition (for algebras) is due to Priddy [14] and L\"ofwall [6] and can be found in [10, Proposition 3.1]. The proof is the same for coalgebras.

Proposition 2.9. *Let A be an augmented graded algebra and M a non-negatively graded module over A . Then the following statements hold*

- (1) $\mathrm{Tor}_{i,j}^A(k, k) = 0$ for $j < i$ and $\mathrm{Tor}_{\bullet,\bullet}^A(k, k) \cong (qA)^?$ as graded coalgebras.
- (2) $\mathrm{Tor}_{i,j}^A(M, k) = 0$ for $j < i$ and $\mathrm{Tor}_{\bullet,\bullet}^A(M, k) \cong (q_{qA}M)^?$ as graded $(qA)^?$ comodules.

The same statements hold for coalgebras and comodules, when replacing Tor with Cot .

Definition 2.10. An augmented graded algebra A (resp. coalgebra C) is called *Koszul* if $\mathrm{Tor}_{i,j}^A(k, k) = 0$ (resp. $\mathrm{Cot}_C^{i,j}(k, k) = 0$) for $i \neq j$. A Koszul (co)algebra is therefore necessarily quadratic.

Similarly, a graded (co)module over an augmented graded algebra A (resp. coalgebra C) is called *Koszul* over A (resp. C) if and only if $\mathrm{Tor}_{i,j}^A(M, k) = 0$ (resp. $\mathrm{Cot}_C^{i,j}(M, k) = 0$) for $i \neq j$.

Example 2.11. The tensor algebra $\mathbb{T}(V)$ over a k -vector space is Koszul, and similarly the exterior algebra $\Lambda^\bullet(V) := \mathbb{T}(V)/\langle v \otimes v : v \in V \rangle$ and its dual, the symmetric coalgebra $\mathbb{S}^c(V)$.

The proof of the first part of the following proposition can be found in [11, Proposition 4] and is based on the Koszul complex developed by Priddy in [14]. The second part can be derived exactly the same way as Corollary 3.5 (M) in Chapter 2 of [10].

Proposition 2.12. *Let A be a quadratic algebra, then A is Koszul if and only if its quadratic dual $A^?$ is Koszul.*

Assume that A is Koszul and M is a quadratic A -module, then M is Koszul over A if and only if $M_A^?$ is Koszul over $A^?$. More precisely, if $\mathrm{Tor}_{i,j}^A(M, k) = 0$ for $i - 1 \leq a$ and $0 < i - j \leq b$ if and only if $\mathrm{Cot}_{A^?}^{i,j}(M_A^?, k) = 0$ for $i - 1 \leq b$ and $0 < j - i \leq a$.

3. QUADRATIC GALOIS COHOMOLOGY ALGEBRAS AND KOSZULITY CONJECTURES

Let \mathbb{K} be a field and denote by μ_p the p^{th} roots of unity in a fixed separable closure \mathbb{K}^s . Let $G_{\mathbb{K}} = \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{K}^s/\mathbb{K})$ be the absolute Galois group of \mathbb{K} . We denote by $K_n^M(\mathbb{K})$ the n -th Milnor K -group of \mathbb{K} , i.e.

$$K_n^M(\mathbb{K}) = (\mathbb{K}^\times)^{\otimes n} / \langle a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n : a_i + a_j = 1 \text{ for some } i \neq j \rangle.$$

$K_\bullet^M(\mathbb{K})$ is a graded ring and $K_\bullet^M(\mathbb{K}) \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$ is a quadratic \mathbb{F}_p -algebra. Tate showed in [16] the existence of an algebra homomorphism $h_p : K_\bullet^M(\mathbb{K}) \otimes \mathbb{F}_p \rightarrow H^\bullet(G_{\mathbb{K}}, \mu_p^{\otimes \bullet})$, extending the Kummer isomorphism in degree 1.

The following theorem was proven by Rost and Voevodsky together with a ‘‘patch’’ by Weibel (cf. [4], [17], [18], [19]) and solved a conjecture by Bloch and Kato.

Theorem 3.1 (Norm-Residue isomorphism theorem). *The map h_p above is an isomorphism of graded algebras. In particular, the algebra $H^\bullet(G_{\mathbb{K}}, \mu_p^{\otimes \bullet})$ is quadratic.*

If \mathbb{K} contains a primitive p^{th} root of unity, then $\mu_p^{\otimes n} \cong \mathbb{F}_p$ (non-canonically) and thus the algebra $H^\bullet(G_{\mathbb{K}}, \mathbb{F}_p)$ is quadratic in this case. Furthermore, the \mathbb{F}_p -cohomology algebras of $G_{\mathbb{K}}$ and its maximal pro- p quotient $G_{\mathbb{K}}(p)$ agree.

Positselski showed in [11], that Theorem 3.1 would follow from the Koszulity of $K_{\bullet}^M(\mathbb{K}) \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$ if $G_{\mathbb{K}}$ is a pro- p group. He posed the following conjectures in [13], which were suggested by his previous work:

Conjecture 3.2 (Koszulity Conjecture). *For any field \mathbb{K} containing a primitive p^{th} root of unity, the algebra $K_{\bullet}^M(\mathbb{K}) \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$ is Koszul.*

Conjecture 3.3 (Module Koszulity Conjecture). *For any field \mathbb{K} and a prime number p such that \mathbb{K} contains a primitive p^{th} root of unity if p is odd and $\sqrt{-1}$ if $p = 2$, then if $J_{\mathbb{K}} := \ker(\Lambda^\bullet(\mathbb{K}^\times / (\mathbb{K}^{\times p})) \rightarrow K_{\bullet}^M(\mathbb{K}) \otimes \mathbb{F}_p)$, then $J_{\mathbb{K}}(2)$ is Koszul over $\Lambda^\bullet(\mathbb{K}^\times / (\mathbb{K}^{\times p}))$.*

Remark 3.4. Conjecture 3.3 implies Conjecture 3.2 by a simple argument using a change of rings spectral sequence.

Theorem 1.4 by Positselski shows that the Module Koszulity conjecture implies the Bogomolov-Positselski conjecture.

These conjectures are known to hold for some classes of fields (e.g. number fields, local fields (cf. [13])). Recently, Minac, Pasini, Quadrelli, and Tân made some progress on the first of the above conjectures by showing that for oriented pro- p groups (G, θ) of elementary type, the algebra $H^\bullet(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$ is Koszul (see [8]). In [7] they furthermore proved that if the maximal pro- p quotient of $G_{\mathbb{K}}$ is a mild pro- p group, then Conjecture 3.2 is true. In 2020 Snopce and Zalesskii proved, that the cohomology algebra of a right-angled Artin pro- p group is universally Koszul if and only if it is the maximal pro- p Galois group of a field \mathbb{K} containing a primitive p^{th} root of unity (see [15]).

4. THE BOGOMOLOV-POSITSELSKI CONJECTURE AND THE PROOFS OF THEOREM 1.6 AND THEOREM 1.10

4.1. Proof of Theorem 1.6. We start with a general proposition about the homology of graded algebras and apply it afterwards to our situation.

Proposition 4.1. *Let A be an augmented graded k -algebra and*

$$0 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{\varphi} A \xrightarrow{\pi} B \longrightarrow 0$$

be an exact sequence of two sided A -modules with degree preserving homomorphisms. Assume the following:

- (1) $M_0 = M_1 = 0$ (i.e. π is an isomorphism in degree 0 and 1).
- (2) $K_0 = \dots = K_3 = 0$ (i.e. φ is injective in degree 2 and 3).

Then there is an exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Tor}_{1,4}^A(M, \mathbb{F}_p) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \mathrm{Tor}_{2,4}^A(B, \mathbb{F}_p) & & \\
 & & & & & \searrow & \\
 & & & & & & K_4 \\
 & & & & & \swarrow & \\
 0 & \longleftarrow & \mathrm{Tor}_{1,4}^A(B, \mathbb{F}_p) & \xleftarrow{\beta} & \mathrm{Tor}_{0,4}^A(M, \mathbb{F}_p) & &
 \end{array}$$

Proof. Consider the acyclic complex $C_* := [0 \leftarrow B \leftarrow A \leftarrow M \leftarrow K \leftarrow 0]$ (we choose B to be in degree 0, but it doesn't have any effect on our arguments). Now, since the category of graded modules with degree preserving homomorphisms has enough projectives, there exist (projective) Cartan-Eilenberg resolutions, which gives a homological spectral sequence $D_{s,t}^1 := \mathrm{Tor}_t^A(C_s, k) \Rightarrow 0$. Since D^1 is concentrated in 4 columns, we conclude $D_{s,t}^4 = 0$ for all s and t . As A is a free A module, we have $D_{1,t}^1 = 0$ for $t \geq 1$ and $D_{0,t}^1$ is concentrated in internal degree 0. Furthermore, all the differentials respect the internal grading of Tor_i^A and are of degree 0. This allows us to focus on a certain internal degree, when studying this spectral sequence. We denote the differentials by $\partial_{s,t}^r$ and their components in internal degree k by $^{[k]}\partial_{s,t}^r$. Similarly, we denote the spectral sequence by restricting to internal degree k by $^{[k]}D_{s,t}^r$.

By assumption (2), we have $\mathrm{Tor}_{0,4}^A(K, k) = K_4$. Furthermore $\mathrm{Tor}_{i,4}^A(K, k) = 0$ by a variant of 2.7 for $i \geq 1$ and similarly $\mathrm{Tor}_{i,4}^A(M, k) = 0$ for $i \geq 3$ (by assumption (1)). Additionally $\mathrm{Tor}_0^A(B, k) = B \otimes_A k = k$, which is concentrated in degree 0. Thus we see that the first page in internal degree 4 can be described as follows:

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc}
 & \mathrm{Tor}_{3,4}^A(B, k) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 & \mathrm{Tor}_{2,4}^A(B, k) & 0 & \mathrm{Tor}_{2,4}^A(M, k) & 0 \\
 {}^{[4]}D_{*,*}^1 & \mathrm{Tor}_{1,4}^A(B, k) & 0 & \mathrm{Tor}_{1,4}^A(M, k) & 0 \\
 & 0 & 0 & \mathrm{Tor}_{0,4}^A(M, k) & K_4 \\
 \hline
 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3
 \end{array}$$

The only non-zero differential is $^{[4]}\partial_{3,0}^1 : K_4 \rightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_{0,4}^A(M, k)$ and thus the second page has the following form:

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc}
{}^{[4]}D_{*,*}^2 & 3 & \text{Tor}_{3,4}^A(B, k) & \xleftarrow{[4]\partial_{2,2}^2} & 0 & & 0 \\
& 2 & \text{Tor}_{2,4}^A(B, k) & \xleftarrow{[4]\partial_{2,1}^2} & \text{Tor}_{2,4}^A(M, k) & & 0 \\
& 1 & \text{Tor}_{1,4}^A(B, k) & \xleftarrow{[4]\partial_{2,0}^2} & \text{Tor}_{1,4}^A(M, k) & & 0 \\
& 0 & 0 & & \text{coker}([4]\partial_{3,0}^1) & & \ker([4]\partial_{3,0}^1) \\
\hline
& & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 &
\end{array}$$

We conclude that the maps $[4]\partial_{2,t}^2$ are injective as $\ker([4]\partial_{2,t}^2) = [4]D_{2,t}^3 = [4]D_{2,t}^\infty$. Moreover $[4]\partial_{2,0}^2$ and $[4]\partial_{2,2}^2$ have to be isomorphisms. Thus the third page has only two non-zero entries and can readily be described as follows:

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc}
{}^{[4]}D_{*,*}^3 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
& 2 & \text{coker} [4]\partial_{2,1}^2 & \xleftarrow{[4]\partial_{3,0}^3} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
& 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
& 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ker([4]\partial_{3,0}^1) &
\end{array}$$

Similar to the discussion above, $[4]\partial_{3,0}^3$ has to be an isomorphism. From this we deduce two short exact sequences:

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\longrightarrow \text{Tor}_{1,4}^A(M, k) \xrightarrow{[4]\partial_{2,1}^2} \text{Tor}_{2,4}^A(B, k) \longrightarrow \ker([4]\partial_{3,0}^1) \longrightarrow 0 \\
0 &\longrightarrow \text{im}([4]\partial_{3,0}^1) \longrightarrow \text{Tor}_{0,2}^A(M, k) \longrightarrow \text{Tor}_{1,4}^A(B, k) \longrightarrow 0
\end{aligned}$$

Splicing these sequences together yields the desired 5-term exact sequence. \square

We are now in the position to prove

Theorem 1.6. *Let (G, θ) be a Kummerian torsion-free oriented pro- p group with G an H^\bullet -quadratic pro- p group. We set $A := H^\bullet(G(\theta), \mathbb{F}_p) = \Lambda^\bullet(H^1(G, \mathbb{F}_p))$, $B := H^\bullet(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$ and N to be the graded A -module $H^\bullet(G(\theta), H^1(I_\theta(G), \mathbb{F}_p))$. Then there is an exact sequence:*

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Tor}_{1,2}^A(N, \mathbb{F}_p) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \text{Tor}_{2,4}^A(B, \mathbb{F}_p) \longrightarrow \ker d_2^{2,1} \longrightarrow \text{Tor}_{0,2}^A(N, \mathbb{F}_p) \longrightarrow 0$$

In particular $\ker d_2^{2,1} = 0$ if and only if α is an isomorphism and $\text{Tor}_{0,2}^A(N, \mathbb{F}_p) = 0$

Proof. Consider the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence associated to the group extension $1 \rightarrow I_\theta(G) \rightarrow G \rightarrow G(\theta) \rightarrow 1$, i.e. $E_2^{s,t} = H^s(G(\theta), H^t(I_\theta(G), \mathbb{F}_p)) \Rightarrow$

$H^{s+t}(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$. This spectral sequence is multiplicative, $A = E_2^{\bullet,0}$ a graded algebra and $N = E_2^{\bullet,1}$ a graded twosided A -module. By [20, Proposition 4.4 (b)], we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \ker d_2^{\bullet,1} \longrightarrow N \xrightarrow{d_2^{\bullet,1}} A \xrightarrow{\text{inf}^\bullet} B \longrightarrow 0$$

Since $d_2^{\bullet,1}$ increases the degree by 2, we just replace N by $M := N(-2)$, giving $M_0 = M_1 = 0$. Similarly we set $K := (\ker d_2^{\bullet,1})(-2)$ and get $K_0 = K_1 = 0$. To show $K_2 = K_3 = 0$, we consider the following eight term exact sequence derived from the spectral sequence together with the fact $E_3^{n,0} \cong H^n(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$ (cf. [20, Proposition 4.4]):

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(G(\theta), \mathbb{F}_p) & \xrightarrow{\text{inf}} & H^1(G, \mathbb{F}_p) & \longrightarrow & E_2^{0,1} \xrightarrow{d_2^{0,1}} H^2(G(\theta), \mathbb{F}_p) \\ & & & & & & \downarrow \text{inf} \\ 0 & \longleftarrow & H^3(G, \mathbb{F}_p) & \xleftarrow{\text{inf}} & H^3(G(\theta), \mathbb{F}_p) & \xleftarrow{d_2^{1,1}} & E_2^{1,1} \longleftarrow \ker(H^2(G, \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow E_2^{0,2}) \end{array}$$

As inflation is surjective, the edge map $H^1(G, \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow E_2^{0,1}$ is zero and consequently $d_2^{0,1}$ injective. Furthermore, $E_2^{2,0}$ surjects onto $H^2(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$ via the edge map. Therefore $\ker(H^2(G, \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow E_2^{0,2}) = H^2(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$ and the map $H^2(G, \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow E_2^{1,1}$ is 0. $d_2^{1,1}$ is consequently injective and $K_2 = K_3 = 0$. Now we can apply Proposition 4.1 and get the following exact sequence:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Tor}_{1,2}^A(N, \mathbb{F}_p) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \text{Tor}_{2,4}^A(B, \mathbb{F}_p) \\ & & \searrow \\ & & \ker d_2^{2,1} \\ & & \swarrow \\ 0 & \longleftarrow & \text{Tor}_{1,4}^A(B, \mathbb{F}_p) \xleftarrow{\beta} \text{Tor}_{0,2}^A(N, \mathbb{F}_p) \end{array}$$

Thus it remains to show that $\text{Tor}_{1,4}^A(B, \mathbb{F}_p) = 0$. By [20, Section 4.2] the kernel of $A \rightarrow B$ is generated in degree 2, thus the long exact sequence for Tor yields $\text{Tor}_{1,4}^A(B, \mathbb{F}_p) \cong \text{Tor}_{0,4}^A(\ker(\text{inf}^\bullet), \mathbb{F}_p) = 0$. This yields the desired exact sequence. \square

The following example is due to Simone Blumer and was communicated to the author in a private conversation.

Example 4.2. We show that an ideal of $\Lambda^\bullet(V)$ generated by elements of degree 2 is not necessarily quadratic: Choose $V = \text{Span}\{x, y, u, v\}$ over a field of characteristic $\neq 2$ and I to be the two sided ideal generated by $x \wedge y + u \wedge v \in \Lambda^2(V)$, then for any $t \in V$, we have $t \wedge (x \wedge y + u \wedge v) \neq 0$, so the quadratic part of I would be free of rank 1, but I is not free, as $x \wedge u \wedge (x \wedge y + u \wedge v) = 0$.

Remark 4.3. Theorem 1.6 implies, that if G has the Bogomolov-Positselski property, then $\text{Tor}_{0,2}^A(N, \mathbb{F}_p) = 0$, but this can be reformulated by using the definition of the graded tensor product. Namely $\text{Tor}_{0,2}^A(N, \mathbb{F}_p) = 0$ if and only if the map

$$H^1(G(\theta), H^1(I_\theta(G), \mathbb{F}_p)) \otimes H^1(G(\theta), \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow H^2(G(\theta), H^1(I_\theta(G), \mathbb{F}_p))$$

induced by the cup product is surjective. Here we used that $\text{Tor}_{0,1}^A(N, \mathbb{F}_p) = 0$ by an argument similar but even simpler than the one presented in the proof of

Theorem 1.6. This statement shows striking similarity with the Merkujev-Suslin theorem.

4.2. **Proof of Theorem 1.10.** The following theorem is an adaptation of the proof of Theorem 4 in [12].

Theorem 4.4. *Let C be a conilpotent coalgebra over a field k , such that its cohomology algebra $A := \text{Cot}_C^\bullet(k, k)$ is Koszul, and P be a comodule over C . Consider the graded A -module $M := \text{Cot}_C^\bullet(P, k)$. Assume that*

- (1) *the quadratic A -module $q_A M$ satisfies $\text{Tor}_{i, i+1}^A(q_A M, k) = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$;*
- (2) *the natural morphism of graded A -modules $q_A M \rightarrow M$ is an isomorphism in degree 1 and a monomorphism in degree 2.*

Then the comparison map $q_A M \rightarrow M$ is an isomorphism in degree 2.

Proof. Consider the conilpotent filtration N_\bullet on C_+ and P , i.e.

$$0 = N_{-1}C_+ \subseteq N_0C_+ \subseteq \dots \quad \text{and} \quad 0 = N_{-1}P \subseteq N_0P \subseteq \dots$$

By the assumption that C is conilpotent, both of these filtrations are exhaustive. They induce a filtration on the cobar complexes $\mathcal{COB}^*(C)$ and $\mathcal{COB}^*(C, P)$, which we also indicate by N_\bullet .

Denote by \mathbf{gr}_N the associated graded object (algebra or module) associated to the filtration N_\bullet . Then an explicit computation shows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{gr}_N(\mathcal{COB}^i(C, k) \odot_C k)_j &\cong (\mathcal{COB}^i(\mathbf{gr}_N C, k) \odot_C k)_j \\ \mathbf{gr}_N(\mathcal{COB}^i(C, P) \odot_C k)_j &\cong (\mathcal{COB}^i(\mathbf{gr}_N C, \mathbf{gr}_N P) \odot_C k)_j \end{aligned}$$

for all i and j .

These filtrations of cochain complexes yield two converging cohomological second quadrant spectral sequences. After change of coordinates they are concentrated in the first quadrant and the differentials have the (unusual) bidegree $(1, -r)$ on the r -th page. We denote them by

$$\begin{aligned} E_1^{i,j} &:= \text{Cot}_{\mathbf{gr}_N C}^{i,j}(k, k) \implies \text{Cot}_C^i(k, k) = A_i \quad \text{and} \\ D_1^{i,j} &:= \text{Cot}_{\mathbf{gr}_N C}^{i,j}(\mathbf{gr}_N P, k) \implies \text{Cot}_C^i(P, k) = M_i. \end{aligned}$$

Their differentials on the r -th page are of bidegree $(1, -r)$.

Since the conilpotent filtration on C resp. P is compatible with comultiplication and coaction, $\mathcal{COB}^*(C, k) \odot_C k$ is a filtered differentially graded algebra and $\mathcal{COB}^*(C, P) \odot_C k$ a filtered differentially graded module over $\mathcal{COB}^*(C, k) \odot_C k$, which yields a multiplication on $E_1^{*,*}$ giving the usual product on $\text{Cot}_C^\bullet(k, k)$ in the limit. Similarly we get an action $E_1^{*,*} \otimes D_1^{*,*} \rightarrow D_1^{*,*}$, which yields the action of A on M in the limit.

The first of the two spectral sequences was extensively studied in [11] and it was shown, that E degenerates on the first page with $E_1^{i,j} = 0$ if $i \neq j$ and $\text{Cot}_{\mathbf{gr}_N C}^{ii}(k, k) = E_1^{i,i} = E_\infty^{i,i} = A_i$. The second spectral was examined by Positselski in [12, Theorem 3] under stronger assumptions, then the ones presented here.

We denote the resulting filtrations on A_i and M_i induced by the spectral sequence by F_* . First of all we notice that $\mathbf{gr}_N P$ is cogenerated in degree 0 (cf. [12, Proposition 6]), which already implies $D_1^{0,j} = \text{Cot}_{\mathbf{gr}_N C}^{0j}(\mathbf{gr}_N P, k) = 0$ for $j > 0$ and thus $\text{Cot}_{\mathbf{gr}_N C}^{00}(\mathbf{gr}_N P, k) \cong \text{Cot}_C^0(P, k)$. Thus all the differentials mapping into $D_1^{1,1}$ are zero and $D_1^{1,1} = D_\infty^{1,1} \cong F_1 M_1$.

The degree 0 part of the graded A -module

$$\bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} \text{Cot}_{\mathbf{gr}_N C}^{ii}(\mathbf{gr}_N P, k) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} D_1^{i,i} = (q_{\mathbf{gr}_N C} \mathbf{gr}_N P)^!$$

is $D_1^{0,0}$ and hence agrees with M_0 . Furthermore, we get

$$\ker(M_0 \otimes_k A_1 \rightarrow M_1) = \ker(D_1^{0,0} \otimes_k E_1^{1,1} \rightarrow D_1^{1,1} \subseteq M_1)$$

and since $(q_{\mathbf{gr}_N C} \mathbf{gr}_N P)^!$ is quadratic it agrees with the quadratic part $q_A M$ of M . Now quadratic duality yields $(q_A M)_A^? \cong q_{\mathbf{gr}_N C} \mathbf{gr}_N P$.

By assumption (1), we have $\text{Tor}_{i,i+1}^A(q_A M, k) = 0$ and therefore by applying the second part of Proposition 2.12 for $a = \infty$ and $b = 1$, we get

$$(4.1) \quad \text{Cot}_{\mathbf{gr}_N C}^{2,j}(q_{\mathbf{gr}_N C} \mathbf{gr}_N P, k) = 0 \quad \text{for all } j > 2.$$

Since the natural map $q_A M \rightarrow M$ is an isomorphism in degree 1 by assumption (2), we conclude $M_1 = (q_A M)_1 = \text{Cot}_{\mathbf{gr}_N C}^{1,1}(\mathbf{gr}_N P, k) = D_{\infty}^{1,1} = N_1 M$. This implies $D_{\infty}^{1,j} = 0$ for $j > 1$.

By assumption (2), the map $q_A M \rightarrow M$ is a monomorphism in degree 2. Hence the first map in the composition $D_1^{2,2} = (q_A M)_2 \rightarrow E_{\infty}^{2,2} \cong N_2 M_2 \hookrightarrow M_2$ has to be injective as well, which implies that all differentials $d_r^{1,2+r}$ are vanishing.

Next we will prove by induction on n that $\text{Cot}_{\mathbf{gr}_N C}^{1,j}(\mathbf{gr}_N P, k) = 0$ for $1 < j \leq n$: For $n = 1$ the statement is trivial. Now assume it is true for $n - 1$, then the natural map $\mathbf{gr}_N P \rightarrow q_{\mathbf{gr}_N C} \mathbf{gr}_N P$ is an isomorphism in degree j , where $j \leq n - 1$. Hence it also yields an isomorphism of the complexes $\mathcal{COB}^*(\mathbf{gr}_N C, \mathbf{gr}_N P)$ and $\mathcal{COB}^*(\mathbf{gr}_N C, q_{\mathbf{gr}_N C} \mathbf{gr}_N P)$ in internal degree $\leq n - 1$. Hence we get

$$\text{Cot}_{\mathbf{gr}_N C}^{2,j}(\mathbf{gr}_N P, k) \cong \text{Cot}_{\mathbf{gr}_N C}^{2,j}(q_{\mathbf{gr}_N C} \mathbf{gr}_N P, k) \stackrel{(4.1)}{=} 0$$

for $2 < j \leq n - 1$. This implies that all differentials $d_r^{1,n-r}$ are vanishing and thus $D_1^{1,n} = D_{\infty}^{1,n} = 0$.

The induction above also showed $\text{Cot}_{\mathbf{gr}_N C}^{2,j}(\mathbf{gr}_N P, k) = 0$ for all $j > 2$. In particular, we conclude $D_1^{2,2} = D_{\infty}^{2,2} = N_2 M_2 = M_2$ and therefore $q_A M \rightarrow M$ is an isomorphism in degree 2. \square

Remark 4.5. To achieve the desired result, it is actually sufficient to show that $D_{\infty}^{2,j} = 0$ for $j > 2$, which would imply that $(q_A H^*(C, P))_2 = D_1^{2,2} \rightarrow D_{\infty}^{2,2} = N_2 H^2(C, P) = H^2(C, P)$ is surjective.

Theorem 1.10. *Keep the notation from theorem 1.6. Assume $(\ker \text{inf}^{\bullet})(2)$ is a quadratic A -module and $\text{Tor}_{i,i+3}^A(\ker \text{inf}^{\bullet}, \mathbb{F}_p) = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$, then (G, θ) has the Bogomolov-Positselski property.*

Proof. Consider the conilpotent group coalgebra $C := \mathbb{F}_p((G(\theta)))$ from example 2.5. Then the category of comodules over C is equivalent to the category of discrete p -torsion $G(\theta)$ modules. Set $P := H^1(I_{\theta}, \mathbb{F}_p)$, which is a discrete $G(\theta)$ module. Thus we can compute cohomology in either of those categories (more precisely $\text{Cot}_C^i(P, \mathbb{F}_p) \cong H^i(G(\theta), P)$). Another consequence is, that the cohomology algebra

$$A := \text{Cot}_C^{\bullet}(\mathbb{F}_p, \mathbb{F}_p) \cong H^{\bullet}(G(\theta), \mathbb{F}_p) \cong \Lambda^{\bullet}(H^1(G(\theta), \mathbb{F}_p))$$

is Koszul.

Now set $M = H^\bullet(G(\theta), H^1(I_\theta, \mathbb{F}_p))$. Then the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence (1.2) yields as before, that $d_2^{\bullet,1} : M \rightarrow H^\bullet(G(\theta), \mathbb{F}_p)$ is a surjective map onto the kernel of inf^\bullet . Since $d_2^{0,1}$ and $d_2^{1,1}$ are injective, we get $(\ker \text{inf}^\bullet)_2 \cong M_0$ and $(\ker \text{inf}^\bullet)_3 \cong M_1$, which, together with the assumption that $(\ker \text{inf}^\bullet)(2)$ is quadratic, implies that $(\ker \text{inf}^\bullet)(2) \cong q_A M$. Hence condition (1) of Theorem 4.4 is satisfied.

The composition $\ker \text{inf}^4 \cong (q_A M)_2 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow \ker \text{inf}^4$ is the identity. Hence $(q_A M)_2 \rightarrow M_2$ is a monomorphism, and therefore condition (2) of Theorem 4.4 is also satisfied.

This implies that $\text{Tor}_{0,2}^A(M, \mathbb{F}_p) = 0$ and by Theorem 1.6 we get the Bogomolov-Positselski property. \square

4.3. Making Theorem 1.10 computationally effective. In this section, we assume all graded (co)algebras to be locally finite dimensional (i.e. they are finite dimensional in every degree). This has the advantage that the category of locally finite dimensional graded coalgebras is dual to the category of locally finite dimensional graded algebras by taking (graded) linear duals. A similar statement holds true for locally finite dimensional comodules, giving modules over the (linear) dual algebra. When using this point of view, it is beneficial to use Ext instead of Tor . For example $\text{Ext}_A^{\bullet,\bullet}(k, k)$ is naturally a bi-graded algebra using the Yoneda product. See [10, Chapter 1] for more details on this perspective.

In this section, we mean by $A^!$ resp. $M_A^!$ for quadratic algebras A resp. quadratic modules M the corresponding algebras, resp. modules under this duality, in particular we have

$$A^! = \bigoplus_i \text{Ext}_A^{i,i}(k, k) \quad \text{and} \quad M_A^! = \bigoplus_i \text{Ext}_A^{i,i}(M, k).$$

We first prove a small lemma.

Lemma 4.6. *Let A be a quadratic algebra and $f : M \rightarrow N$ a monomorphism of quadratic A -modules, then $f^! : N_A^! \rightarrow M_A^!$ is an epimorphism of quadratic modules and its kernel is generated in degree 0 by $\text{coker}(f_1)^*$.*

Proof. If we consider the long exact sequence of $\text{Ext}_A(_, k)$ associated to the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow \text{coker } f \rightarrow 0$, we see that the following sequence is exact for every i .

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Ext}_A^{i+1,i}(\text{coker } f, k) & \longleftarrow & \text{Ext}_A^{i,i}(M, k) & \longleftarrow & \text{Ext}_A^{i,i}(N, k) \\ \parallel & & \parallel & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longleftarrow & (M_A^!)_i & \longleftarrow & (N_A^!)_i \end{array}$$

Therefore $f^!$ is an epimorphism. To see that $\ker f^!$ is generated in degree 0, we again use the long exact sequence for $\text{Ext}_A(_, k)$, giving for any $i \neq 0$

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_A^{0,i}(\ker f^!, k) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_A^{1,i}(M_A^!, k) \xrightarrow{\alpha_i} \text{Ext}_A^{1,i}(N_A^!, k)$$

Since $N_A^!$ and $M_A^!$ are quadratic by definition, we get $\text{Ext}_A^{0,i}(\ker f^!, k) = 0$ for $i \neq 0, 1$. For $i = 1$, we have $\text{Ext}_A^{1,1}(N_A^!, k) \cong N_1$ and the same for M . Thus, the map α_1 agrees with f_1 and is injective. Therefore, $\text{Ext}_A^{0,1}(\ker f^!, k) = 0$ and $\ker f^!$ is generated in degree 0. The equality $\text{Ext}_A^{0,0}(\ker f^!, k) \cong \text{coker}(f_1)^*$ is not hard to derive in a similar way. \square

Now we apply this lemma to our situation. Let I be an ideal of $\Lambda^\bullet(V)$, for which $I(2)$ is a quadratic $\Lambda^\bullet(V)$ -module. Assume that V is finite dimensional. Consider the module $\Lambda^\bullet(V)^{[2]}$ with $\Lambda^\bullet(V)_i^{[2]} = \Lambda^{i+2}(V)$. It is a Koszul module by [10, Proposition 1.1 Chapter 2]. Then the inclusion $I(2) \rightarrow \Lambda^\bullet(V)^{[2]}$ is a monomorphism of quadratic $\Lambda^\bullet(V)$ -modules and we can apply Lemma 4.6, which shows that $J := (\Lambda^\bullet(V)^{[2]})^! \rightarrow I(2)^!$ is an epimorphism of $\mathbb{S}^\bullet(V^*)$ -modules and its kernel is generated in degree 0 by $(\Lambda^2(V)/I_2)^*$. J can be explicitly described as $\ker(\mathbb{S}^\bullet(V^*) \otimes V^* \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{\bullet+1}(V^*)(2))$ by the following argument:

The long exact sequence for $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda^\bullet(V)}(\underline{\quad}, k)$ associated to the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \Lambda^\bullet(V)^+ \rightarrow \Lambda^\bullet(V) \rightarrow k \rightarrow 0$ gives

$$\text{Ext}_{i,j}^{\Lambda^\bullet(V)}(\Lambda^\bullet(V)^+, k) \cong \text{Ext}_{i+1,j}^{\Lambda^\bullet(V)}(k, k) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{S}^{i+1}(V^*) & \text{if } j = i + 1 \text{ and} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Similarly the long exact sequence associated to $0 \rightarrow \Lambda^\bullet(V)^{\geq 2} \rightarrow \Lambda^\bullet(V)^+ \rightarrow V \rightarrow 0$ with V concentrated in degree 1 yields

$$J_i \cong \text{Ext}_{i,i+2}^{\Lambda^\bullet(V)}(\Lambda^\bullet(V)^{\geq 2}, k) \cong \ker(\mathbb{S}^{i+1}(V^*) \otimes V^* \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathbb{S}^{i+2}(V^*)).$$

Here, some careful analysis of the boundary map shows that α is induced by the multiplication map in the symmetric algebra.

Now, the condition $\text{Tor}_{i,i+3}^{\Lambda^\bullet(V)}(I, k) = 0$ is by Proposition 2.12 equivalent to the vanishing of $\text{Tor}_{2,j}^{\mathbb{S}(V^*)}(I(2)^!, k)$ for all $j > 2$. Therefore, to show that the conditions of Theorem 1.10 are satisfied, one effectively only has to determine three cohomology groups, namely $\text{Tor}_0^{\Lambda(V)}(I, k)$, $\text{Tor}_1^{\Lambda(V)}(I, k)$ and $\text{Tor}_2^{\mathbb{S}(V^*)}(J/\langle(\Lambda^2(V)/I_2)^*\rangle, k)$

This method allows for a very efficient verification of the conditions of Theorem 1.10, e.g. using a computer algebra system.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. Bogomolov, *On the structure of Galois groups of the fields of rational functions*, *K-theory and algebraic geometry: connections with quadratic forms and division algebras* (Santa Barbara, CA, 1992), 83–88, 1995
- [2] I. Efrat, *Small maximal pro- p Galois groups*. *Manuscripta Math* 95, 237–249 (1998). <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02678028>
- [3] I. Efrat, C. Quadrelli, *The Kummerian property and maximal pro- p Galois groups*, *J. Algebra* 525 (2019), 284–310.
- [4] C. Haesemeyer and Ch. Weibel, *The norm residue theorem in motivic cohomology*, *Annals of Mathematics Studies*, vol. 200, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2019.
- [5] J-L. Loday, B. Vallette, *Algebraic Operads. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2012. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-30362-3>
- [6] C. Löfwall, *On the subalgebra generated by the one-dimensional elements in the Yoneda ext-algebra*, *Algebraic Topology and their Interactions. Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, vol 1183. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BFb0075468>
- [7] J. Mináč, F. Pasini, C. Quadrelli, N. D. Tân, *Koszul algebras and quadratic duals in Galois cohomology*, *Advances in Mathematics*, Volume 380, 2021, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2021.107569>.
- [8] J. Mináč, F.W. Pasini, C. Quadrelli, N.D. Tân, *Mild pro- p groups and the Koszulity conjectures*, *Expositiones Mathematicae*, Volume 40, Issue 3, 2022, Pages 432-455, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exmath.2022.03.004>
- [9] J. Neukirch, A. Schmidt, and K. Wingberg. *Cohomology of number fields*, volume 323 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 2008.

- [10] A. Polishchuk and L. Positselski, *Quadratic algebras*, University Lecture Series 37 American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005
- [11] L. Positselski and A. Vishik, *Koszul duality and Galois cohomology*, Math. Res. Lett. 2 (1995), no. 6, 771-781.
- [12] L. Positselski, *Koszul property and Bogomolov's conjecture*, International Mathematics Research Notices, Volume 2005, Issue 31, 2005, Pages 1901–1936, <https://doi.org/10.1155/IMRN.2005.1901>
- [13] L. Positselski, *Galois cohomology of a number field is Koszul*, Journal of Number Theory, Volume 145, 2014, Pages 126-152, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnt.2014.05.024>.
- [14] S. Priddy, *Koszul Resolutions*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 152, no. 1 (1970): 39–60. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1995637>.
- [15] I. Snopce, P. Zalesskii, *Right-angled Artin pro-p groups*, 2020, <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2005.01685>
- [16] J. Tate, *Relations between K_2 and Galois cohomology*, Invent. Math. 36 (1976), 257-274.
- [17] V. Voevodsky, *On motivic cohomology with \mathbb{Z}/ℓ -coefficients*, Ann. of Math. (2) 174 (2011), no. 1, 401-438.
- [18] Ch. Weibel, *2007 Trieste lectures on the proof of the Bloch-Kato conjecture*, Some recent developments in algebraic K-theory, ICTP Lect. Notes, vol. 23, Abdus Salam Int. Cent. Theoret. Phys., Trieste, 2008, pp. 277-305.
- [19] Ch. Weibel, *The norm residue isomorphism theorem*, J. Topol. 2 (2009), no. 2, 346-372.
- [20] C. Quadrelli, T. Weigel *Oriented pro- ℓ groups with the Bogomolov-Positselski property*. Res. number theory 8, 21 (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40993-022-00318-9>

J. FEUERPFEL, FEMTO-ST, UNIVERSITÉ MARIE ET LOUIS PASTEUR, 15B AVENUE DES MONTBOUCONS, 25030 BESANÇON CEDEX, FRANCE

J. FEUERPFEL, DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E APPLICAZIONI, UNIVERSITÀ DI MILANO-BICOCCA, ED. U5, VIA R.COZZI 53, 20125 MILANO, ITALY
Email address: j.feuerpfeil@campus.unimib.it