QUANTUM K-THEORY OF GRASSMANNIANS FROM A YANG-BAXTER ALGEBRA

VASSILY GORBOUNOV, CHRISTIAN KORFF, AND LEONARDO C. MIHALCEA

ABSTRACT. In an earlier paper, two of the authors defined a 5-vertex Yang-Baxter algebra (a Hopf algebra) which acts on the sum of the equivariant quantum K-rings of Grassmannians Gr(k, n), where k varies from 0 to n. We construct geometrically defined operators on quantum K-rings describing this action. In particular, the R-matrix defining the Yang-Baxter algebra corresponds to the left Weyl group action. Most importantly, we use the 'quantum=classical' statement for the quantum K-theory of Grassmannians to prove an explicit geometric interpretation of the action of generators of the Yang-Baxter algebra. The diagonal entries of the monodromy matrix are given by quantum K-multiplications by explicitly defined classes, and the off-diagonal entries by certain push-pull convolutions. We use this to find a quantization of the classes of fixed points in the quantum K-rings, corresponding to the Bethe vectors of the Yang-Baxter algebra. On each of the quantum K-rings, we prove that the two Frobenius structures (one from geometry, and the other from the integrable system construction) coincide. We discuss several applications, including an action of the extended affine Weyl group on the quantum K-theory ring (extending the Seidel action), a quantum version of the localization map (which is a ring homomorphism with respect to the quantum K-product), and a graphical calculus to multiply by Hirzebruch λ_{y} classes of the dual of the tautological quotient bundle. In an Appendix we illustrate our results in the case when n = 2.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
1.1. Statement of results	4
2. Preliminaries	10
2.1. Notation and conventions	10
2.2. Schubert varieties	11
3. Equivariant K-theory	12
3.1. Preliminaries on equivariant K theory	12
3.2. Equivariant K theory of flag manifolds	13
3.3. Level-Rank duality	15
3.4. Push-forward formulae of Schur bundles	16

Date: March 11, 2025.

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 14M15, 81R12; Secondary: 14N15, 14N35,17B80. Key words and phrases. Quantum K-theory, Yang Baxter algebra, Grassmannians, Bethe vectors.

2	VASSIEF GOLDOUNOV, CHILISTIAN KONFF, AND ELONALDO C. MILALOEA	
	4. Grothendieck polynomials and expansions of $\lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}^{\vee})$	17
	5. Quantum K-theory and 'quantum=classical'	19
	5.1. Definitions and notation	19
	5.2. Quantum=classical	20
	6. Quantum K-products by λ_y classes	21
	7. On and off-diagonal operators from convolutions	26
	7.1. Dual operators	29
	8. The Yang-Baxter algebra	29
	8.1. Definitions	30
	8.2. Evaluation modules and their tensor products	34
	8.3. Right modules and Level-Rank duality	39
	9. The Weyl group action and the R-matrix; proof of the main theorem	41
	9.1. Graphical calculus for the Yang-Baxter algebra	42
	10. The extended affine Weyl group action	47
	11. The functional relations	50
	12. Frobenius structures and the quantum localization map	52
	Appendix A. A guiding example, mostly on $Gr(1,2)$.	56
	A.1. The Schubert classes in \mathbf{V}_2	57
	A.2. The monodromy matrix	57
	A.3. Bethe vectors	59
	A.4. Quantum localization	59
	A.5. Action of the affine Weyl group	61
	Appendix B. β -calculus	62
	References	63

VASSILY COPROLINOV CHRISTIAN KOREE AND LEONARDO C MIHALCEA

0

1. INTRODUCTION

The study of quantum cohomology, and quantum K-theory rings of flag manifolds is closely related to that of (quantum) integrable systems and lattice models in mathematical physics; see for example the pioneering works of Givental, Kim [GK95, Kim99] and Givental, Lee [GL03]. More recently, a different perspective has emerged, which links the quantum cohomology and quantum K-theory to solutions of the quantum Yang-Baxter equation. The latter describe exactly solvable lattice models in statistical mechanics [Bax82] and, algebraically, leads to the definition of quantum groups via the quantum inverse scattering method; see e.g. [Fad90] and references therein.

The new perspective unifies constructions in the representation theory of quantum groups to those in enumerative geometry. Many of the foundational ideas are presented in the influential works [Oko17, MO19] by Maulik and Okounkov. There is a flurry of activity in this area, and for a sampler biased towards the specific case of (cotangent bundles of) flag manifolds, see [BMO11, GRTV13, GK14b, SV20, GKS20a, KPSZ21, KZ21, LSX24, TV24, Smi24] and references therein.

The results on the quantum K-theory of cotangent bundles are formulated in terms of certain quantizations of classical operators; see, e.g., [KPSZ21]. After taking an appropriate limit, these imply statements in the quantum K-theory of the base manifold. However, explicit calculations of K-theoretic Gromov-Witten invariants are notoriously difficult, therefore the geometric interpretations of the quantizations is unclear.

In this paper we take a different route by relying on the 'quantum=classical' statement [BM11]. This allows us to perform explicit calculations of quantum K-products with respect to a distinguished basis - the *Schubert basis* in geometry, respectively the *spin basis* in physics - and one aims to find geometric and combinatorial descriptions of the action of the elements in the Yang-Baxter algebra on this basis.

In physics, another distinguished basis is given by the *Bethe vectors*, corresponding geometrically to quantizations of the classes of torus fixed points. This basis arises as a common eigenbasis of a certain family of commuting elements in the Yang-Baxter algebra. A foundational problem is to describe as explicitly as possible the transition matrix between the spin and Bethe bases. The main obstacle to solving this problem is that the Bethe ansatz equations (which govern the integrable system) cannot be explicitly solved in general. However, in their symmetrized form their solution is given in terms of the spectrum of the quantum cohomology rings (or their generalized versions) and one is therefore interested in exhibiting the underlying geometry of the Bethe ansatz or quantum inverse scattering method by giving a purely geometric construction of the Yang-Baxter algebra.

In this paper we address in detail these problems for the case of $QK_{\mathbb{T}}(Gr(k;n))$, the equivariant quantum K-theory ring of the Grassmannian Gr(k;n) parametrizing linear subspaces of dimension k in \mathbb{C}^n . The quantum K-ring was defined by Givental and Lee [Giv00, Lee04] and is equipped with the distinguished Schubert basis. An algebraic construction of the action of the Yang-Baxter algebra on $QK_{\mathbb{T}}(Gr(k;n))$ was given by two of the authors in [GK17].

Our goal is to find a geometric interpretation of the action of the Yang-Baxter algebra (in terms of the *R*-matrix, and all the entries of the monodromy matrix), and use this interpretation to transport structures from geometry to integrable systems, and vice versa. This leads to new results, and new perspectives, in both quantum Schubert Calculus, and quantum integrable systems. For instance, we construct an action of the *extended affine* Weyl group on the ring $QK_T(Gr(k;n))$, generalizing to the equivariant case the more familiar Seidel representation from [Pos05, CMP09] in quantum cohomology, and [LKSY22, BCP23] in quantum K-theory; see also [Cho21] for a different generalization.

Furthermore, we identify the geometric Frobenius structure of the quantum K-theory to the one defined in [GK17] for the integrable system (in terms of Bethe vectors). This foundational question only arises for quantum K-rings - in quantum cohomology the two pairings giving the Frobenius structures are the same as the classical intersection pairing. The identification leads to a quantum generalization of the localization map, and a quantum version of the Atiyah-Bott theorem, both of which might be of interest in their own right.

4 VASSILY GORBOUNOV, CHRISTIAN KORFF, AND LEONARDO C. MIHALCEA

While this paper focuses on the quantum K-ring of the Grassmannian, it also provides a roadmap for the study of the quantum K-rings of generalized flag manifolds. We plan to do this in subsequent work. We provide next a table summarizing the 'dictionary' we prove between the geometric and integrable systems perspectives; this table should generalize to other flag manifolds, and beyond.

Quantum Schubert Calculus	Quantum Integrable System
left Weyl group action	R matrix
	(solution of the quantum Yang-Baxter equation)
multiplication/convolution operators	entries of the monodromy matrix
	$T(y) = \begin{pmatrix} t_{00}(y) & t_{01}(y) \\ t_{10}(y) & t_{11}(y) \end{pmatrix}$
quantum multiplication by $\lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}_{n-k}^{\vee})$	quantum trace: $t(y) = t_{00}(y) + qt_{11}(y)$
(push-pull) convolution operators	off diagonal operators: $t_{01}(y), t_{10}(y)$
Schubert classes	spin basis
quantization of fixed points	Bethe vectors
quantum Whitney relation	functional relation/Bethe ansatz equations
quantized Chern roots	Bethe roots

TABLE 1. Dictionary.

1.1. Statement of results. We present next a more precise account of our results. We start by introducing some notation. Let $\mathbb{T} \subset \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be the subgroup of diagonal matrices in $\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Denote by $\operatorname{Rep}_{\mathbb{T}} = \mathbb{Z}[\varepsilon_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_n^{\pm 1}]$ the representation ring of \mathbb{T} , and by $W \simeq S_n$ the Weyl group. Consider the tautological sequence of (\mathbb{T} -equivariant) vector bundles on $\operatorname{Gr}(k; n)$:

$$0 \to \mathcal{S}_k \to \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathcal{Q}_{n-k} \to 0$$

Set $K_{\mathbb{T}}(\operatorname{Gr}(k;n))$ to be the T-equivariant K-theory ring of $\operatorname{Gr}(k;n)$. We use the same notation for an equivariant vector bundle E and the class it determines in the equivariant K-theory ring. The (Hirzebruch) λ_y -class of E is the element $\lambda_y(E) = 1 + yE + \ldots + y^e \wedge^e E \in \operatorname{K}_T(\operatorname{Gr}(k;n)[y])$, where y is a formal variable, and e is the rank of E; we refer to Section 3.1 for more details.

The equivariant quantum K-theory ring $QK_{\mathbb{T}}(Gr(k;n))$, defined by Givental and Lee [Giv00, Lee04] (in a more general context), is a free $\operatorname{Rep}_{\mathbb{T}}[\![q]\!]$ -module equipped with a basis given by the Schubert classes \mathcal{O}_{λ} , where λ varies in the set of partitions $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k)$ included in the $k \times (n - k)$ rectangle; q is the quantum parameter. The quantum K-multiplication is given by

$$\mathcal{O}_{\lambda} \star \mathcal{O}_{\mu} = \sum N_{\lambda,\mu}^{\nu,d} q^d \mathcal{O}_{\nu},$$

where the sum is over all non-negative degrees d, and partitions ν . The structure constants $N_{\lambda,\mu}^{\nu,d}$ are defined in terms of 2 and 3-point K-theoretic Gromov-Witten invariants of the Grassmannian; see Section 5 below.

We use the Yang-Baxter algebra YB from [GK17], generated by $R = (R_{ij})$ (the R-matrix) and T (the monodromy matrix) satisfying the RTT = TTR equation; cf. Section 8 below. Here R is associated to a certain five vertex model, see (9.4). We extend scalars by the quantum parameter q and the formal variable y - the parameter in the Hirzebruch λ_y -class, understood here as the spectral parameter of the integrable system. For each $n \geq 2$ there is a natural YB-module obtained from the R-matrix and the coproduct:

$$(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes n} \otimes \operatorname{Rep}_{\mathbb{T}}\llbracket q \rrbracket \simeq \mathbf{V}_n = \bigoplus_{k=0}^n V_{k,n}$$

where $V_{k,n}$ is a $\operatorname{Rep}_{\mathbb{T}}[\![q]\!]$ -module isomorphic to $\operatorname{QK}_{\mathbb{T}}(\operatorname{Gr}(k,n))$, equipped with the (spin) $\operatorname{Rep}_{\mathbb{T}}$ -basis $\{v_{\lambda}\}$. Then \mathbf{V}_{n} is a highest weight module and each $V_{k,n}$ is a weight subspace. The monodromy matrix $T = \begin{pmatrix} t_{0,0} & t_{0,1} \\ t_{1,0} & t_{1,1} \end{pmatrix}$ is defined by the diagonal operators $t_{ii} \in \operatorname{End}_{\operatorname{Rep}_{\mathbb{T}}} \mathbf{V}_{n}$ which preserve each $V_{k,n}$, and off diagonal operators

$$t_{10}: V_{k,n} \to V_{k+1,n}; \quad t_{01}: V_{k+1,n} \to V_{k,n}.$$

The isomorphism of free $\operatorname{Rep}_{\mathbb{T}}[y][\![q]\!]$ -modules

$$\Phi: \mathbf{V}_n = \bigoplus_{k=0}^n V_{k,n} \to \bigoplus_{k=0}^n \operatorname{QK}_{\mathbb{T}}(\operatorname{Gr}(k,n)); \quad v_\lambda \mapsto \mathcal{O}_\lambda,$$

equips $\bigoplus_{k=0}^{n} \operatorname{QK}_{\mathbb{T}}(\operatorname{Gr}(k,n))$ with a YB-module structure. Note that the latter module is also equipped with a structure of $\operatorname{Rep}_{\mathbb{T}}[y][\![q]\!]$ -algebra given by the quantum K-product \star on each of the summands. Our main result realizes the monodromy and the R-matrix as geometric operators in the quantum K-algebra.

We show that the components of the *R*-matrix correspond to the left Weyl group action on $QK_{\mathbb{T}}(Gr(k;n))$, defined in [Knu03, MNS22]. This is the action induced by left multiplication by $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ on Gr(k;n). In various forms, this relation was observed in many other contexts, see e.g. [MNS22]. To be precise, the twisted *R*-matrix operator $\check{R} = P \circ R$, defined in Lemma 8.10, is given by the left Weyl group multiplication:

$$\Phi(\dot{R}_{n-i,n-i+1}(\varepsilon_i/\varepsilon_{i+1})v_{\lambda}) = \boldsymbol{s}_i.\mathcal{O}_{\lambda},$$

where s_i is the action given by the simple reflection s_i . For $0 \le k \le n-1$ consider the incidence diagram

(1.1)
$$\begin{array}{c} \operatorname{Fl}(k,k+1;n) \xrightarrow{p_2} \operatorname{Gr}(k+1;n) \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \operatorname{Gr}(k;n) \end{array}$$

where Fl(k, k+1; n) is the two step flag manifold and all maps are the projection maps. Our main result gives a geometric realization of the operators $t_{i,j}$; cf. Theorem 9.1 and Corollary 9.4.

Theorem 1.1. The following hold:

(1) The quantum trace operator $t(y) := t_{00}(y) + qt_{11}(y)$ restricted to $V_{k,n} \otimes \mathbb{C}[y,q]$ satisfies:

$$\Phi(t(y).v_{\lambda}) = \lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}_{n-k}^{\vee}) \star \mathcal{O}_{\lambda}$$

(2) The off-diagonal operators are given by convolutions. More precisely, let

$$\tau_{10} = \tau_{10}(y) : \operatorname{K}_{\mathbb{T}}(\operatorname{Gr}(k,n))[y] \to \operatorname{K}_{\mathbb{T}}(\operatorname{Gr}(k+1,n))[y]$$

and

$$\tau_{01} = \tau_{01}(y) : \operatorname{K}_{\mathbb{T}}(\operatorname{Gr}(k+1,n))[y] \to \operatorname{K}_{\mathbb{T}}(\operatorname{Gr}(k,n))[y]$$

be the convolution operators defined by

$$\tau_{10}(\kappa) = \lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}_{n-k-1}^{\vee}) \cdot (p_2)_*(p_1)^*(\kappa) - (p_2)_*p_1^*(\lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}_{n-k}^{\vee}) \cdot \kappa),$$

and by

$$\tau_{01}(\kappa) = (p_1)_*(p_2)^*(\lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}_{n-k-1}^{\vee}) \cdot \kappa).$$

Then $\Phi(t_{10}(y).v_{\lambda}) = \tau_{10}(\mathcal{O}_{\lambda})$ and $\Phi(t_{01}(y).v_{\mu}) = \tau_{01}(\mathcal{O}_{\mu}).$

This generalizes to K-theory the results from [GKS20b] for the (equivariant) quantum cohomology of the Grassmannian. Unlike quantum cohomology, the structure constants in quantum K-theory are no longer single Gromov-Witten invariants. In particular, the two terms in τ_{10} correspond to the two factors from (5.4) below giving the structure constant $N_{\lambda,\mu}^{\nu,d}$. In other words, τ_{10} is precisely the convolution arising in the 'quantum=classical' phenomenon proved in [BM11]; cf. Theorem 5.2 below.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 exploits the fact that both sets of operators t_{ij} and τ_{ij} commute with the left Weyl group action. Therefore it suffices to check equality on the class of the Schubert point class, as this class generates the full ring under the (left) nil-Hecke algebra action.

In geometry, the action of the 'off diagonal' operators τ_{ij} , for $i \neq j$, on the Schubert point is obtained by using known formulae to push and pull Schubert classes. For the diagonal operators, we need to calculate the quantum K-product by $\lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}_{n-k}^{\vee})$. This requires rather detailed control on K-theoretic Gromov-Witten invariants involving $\lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}_{n-k}^{\vee})$. Our calculation relies on the 'quantum=classical' statement [BM11], which reduces the quantum K-multiplication to calculations of push-pull convolutions. All these are discussed in Section 6 and the final calculations are done in Section 7.

For integrable systems, the key calculation follows from the graphical calculus associated to the 5-vertex model, see Section 9.1. This is a direct consequence of the properties of the R-matrix giving the Yang-Baxter algebra in this paper; see Section 8.

Theorem 1.1 allows us to transport structures from one side to the other. A remarkable structure on \mathbf{V}_n is the action of the extended affine Weyl group $\widetilde{W} = W \ltimes \mathbb{Z}^n$, extending

the left Weyl group action of $W \simeq S_n$. Let t_{ε_i} be the translation corresponding to the *i*th component of \mathbb{Z}^n . This group is also isomorphic to $W_{\mathrm{af}} \ltimes \mathbb{Z}$, where W_{af} is the affine Weyl group, and \mathbb{Z} is identified with the fundamental group of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$. We denote by ρ the cyclic generator of \mathbb{Z} ; in terms of translations, $\rho = t_{\varepsilon_n} s_{n-1} \cdots s_1$. The endomorphisms corresponding to elements in \widetilde{W} will be denoted using bold letters.

Under the isomorphism Φ the action of W may be transported to the quantum K-theory side, giving the following (cf. Proposition 10.3 and Corollary 10.4):

Corollary 1.1. (a) The translations $t_{\varepsilon_i} \in \widetilde{W}$, for $1 \le i \le n$, act by $t(-\varepsilon_i)$. Explicitly, $t_{\varepsilon_i} \mathcal{O}_{\lambda} = \Phi(t(-\varepsilon_i) v_{\lambda}) = \lambda_{-\varepsilon_i}(\mathcal{Q}^{\vee}) \star \mathcal{O}_{\lambda}$

and $\mathbf{t}_{\varepsilon_i}$ leaves the equivariant parameters in $\operatorname{Rep}_{\mathbb{T}}$ invariant. (b) The cyclic (or Seidel) generator ρ acts by

(1.2)
$$\boldsymbol{\rho}.(\chi \mathcal{O}_{\lambda}) = \begin{cases} q \chi^{\rho} \mathcal{O}_{(\lambda_{1}-1,\dots,\lambda_{k}-1)}, & \ell(\lambda) = k; \\ \chi^{\rho} \mathcal{O}_{(n-k,\lambda_{1},\dots,\lambda_{k}-1)}, & else, \end{cases}$$

where $\chi^{\rho}(\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_n) = \chi(\varepsilon_n, \varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n-1})$ with $\chi \in \operatorname{Rep}_{\mathbb{T}}$. That is, ρ permutes the equivariant parameters according to the cycle $s_{n-1} \cdots s_1$.

We note that in integrable systems there is a further quantization of this action, with a single parameter, which may be thought of as a 'loop parameter'; cf. Section 10.

Since the classical multiplication by $\lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}_{n-k}^{\vee})$ is diagonalizable with distinct eigenvalues, so is the quantum multiplication $\lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}_{n-k}^{\vee})\star$. The corresponding eigenvectors are called the *Bethe vectors*. We denote by $\mathbf{b}_{\lambda} \in V_{n,k}$ the Bethe vector for λ , and by

$$\mathbf{e}_{\lambda}^{q} := \Phi(\mathbf{b}_{\lambda}) \in \operatorname{QK}_{\mathbb{T}}(\operatorname{Gr}(k;n))$$

the corresponding element in the quantum K-theory ring. A priori the Bethe vectors are defined only up to a multiple, and a normalization was chosen in [GK17], having many desirable properties, such as the fact that \mathbf{e}_{λ}^{q} modulo q is the class of the fixed point \mathbf{e}_{λ} in $K_{\mathbb{T}}(\operatorname{Gr}(k;n))$. In particular, the Bethe vectors $\mathbf{b}_{\lambda} \in V_{k,n}$ equip each weight space with a structure of a semisimple ring. The geometric realization of the off-diagonal operators of T gives a new, geometric, algorithm, to find the Bethe vectors \mathbf{e}_{λ}^{q} in the quantum integrable system. We collect these facts in the next corollary.

Corollary 1.2. (a) The set $\{\mathbf{e}_{\lambda}^{q} := \Phi(\mathbf{b}_{\lambda})\}$ of Bethe vectors diagonalize the operators $\lambda_{y}(\mathcal{Q}_{n-k}^{\vee})^{\star}$, and the Bethe vectors are orthogonal:

$$\mathbf{e}_{\lambda}^{q} \star \mathbf{e}_{\mu}^{q} = 0, \quad \forall \lambda \neq \mu.$$

In particular, $QK_{\mathbb{T}}(Gr(k,n))$ is a semisimple ring.

(b) The elements $\mathbf{e}_{\lambda}^{q} \in V_{k,n}$ may be calculated from the convolution operators and the roots of the Bethe ansatz equations:

$$\Phi(\mathbf{b}_{\lambda}) = \tau_{10}(-x_1^{\lambda})\cdots\tau_{10}(-x_k^{\lambda})\mathcal{O}_o = \mathbf{e}_{\lambda}^q = \tilde{\tau}_{01}(-x_1^{\lambda^t})\cdots\tilde{\tau}_{01}(-x_{n-k}^{\lambda^t})\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_o$$

where $x^{\lambda} = (x_1^{\lambda}, \ldots, x_k^{\lambda})$ with $\lambda \in \Pi_{k,n}$ are the distinct solutions of the Bethe ansatz equations for $\operatorname{Gr}(k, n)$,

$$\prod_{j=1}^{n} (1 - x_i / \varepsilon_j) \prod_{j \neq i}^{k} (x_j / x_i) + (-1)^k q = 0,$$

and $\mathcal{O}_o = \Phi(v_o)$ is the unique Schubert class in $K_T(\operatorname{Gr}(0,n))$.

Similarly, x^{λ^t} denotes the set of roots associated to 'dual' Bethe ansatz equations, and λ^t is the transpose of λ , and $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_o$ is the Schubert class in $K_{\mathbb{T}}(\operatorname{Gr}(n,n))$.¹ Finally, the 'dual' operators $\tilde{\tau}_{01}$ are defined in Section 7.1 below.

Implicit in all of the above is that the left Weyl group action permutes the Bethe vectors, i.e., for $w \in W$,

$$oldsymbol{w}.\mathbf{e}^q_\lambda = \mathbf{e}^q_{w(\lambda)}$$

From definition, the quantum K-theory algebra has a structure of a Frobenius algebra, given by a pairing denoted by $(\cdot, \cdot)_{QK}$, and defined by

$$(\mathcal{O}_{\lambda}, \mathcal{O}^{\mu})_{\mathrm{QK}} = \frac{q^{d(\lambda,\mu)}}{1-q},$$

where \mathcal{O}^{μ} is the opposite Schubert class, and $d(\lambda, \mu)$ is the smallest power of q in the quantum cohomology (or K-theory) product of the opposite Schubert classes for λ and μ ; cf. [BCLM20, BCMP22]. We may use this structure, and the interpretation of the Bethe vectors \mathbf{e}^{q}_{λ} , to define a quantum (equivariant) localization map

$$\iota: \operatorname{QK}_{\mathbb{T}}(\operatorname{Gr}(k; n)) \to \bigoplus_{\lambda} \operatorname{Rep}_{\mathbb{T}}\llbracket q \rrbracket; \quad \kappa \mapsto (\kappa, \mathbf{e}_{\lambda}^{q})_{\operatorname{QK}}.$$

We prove in Proposition 12.1 that the quantum localization map ι is an injective homomorphism of $\operatorname{Rep}_{\mathbb{T}}[\![q]\!]$ -algebras.

Motivated by calculations in the ordinary equivariant K-theory ring, Gorbounov and Korff [GK17] defined a pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ giving each $V_{k,n}$ a structure of a Frobenius algebra. Their pairing is determined by the condition that

$$\langle v_{\lambda}, \mathbf{b}_{\mu} \rangle = G_{\lambda} (1 - x^{\mu} | 1 - \varepsilon),$$

where $G_{\lambda}(1-x|1-\varepsilon)$ is the double Grothendieck polynomial and x^{μ} is the μ -Bethe root. (In particular, this implies that the Bethe vectors are orthogonal, and that $\langle v_{\emptyset}, \mathbf{b}_{\mu} \rangle = 1$ for any partition μ .) Since the Bethe vectors are deformations of fixed point classes, this formula deforms the usual localization formula for Schubert classes in equivariant K-theory.

Our theorem is that the two pairings coincide (cf. Theorem 12.1):

¹Here the solutions x^{λ} of the Bethe Ansatz equations are labelled by partitions $\lambda \in \Pi_{k,n}$ as follows: we attach the partition λ to the solution x^{λ} if at q = 0 it specializes to $x^{\lambda}|_{q=0} = \varepsilon^{\lambda} := (\varepsilon_{\lambda_k+1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{\lambda_1+k});$ see Lemma 4.6 in [GK17].

Theorem 1.2. The isomorphism $\Phi : V_{k,n} \to \operatorname{QK}_{\mathbb{T}}(\operatorname{Gr}(k,n))$ is an isomorphism of Frobenius algebras, i.e., for any $a, b \in V_{k,n}$,

$$(\Phi(a), \Phi(b))_{\mathrm{QK}} = \langle a, b \rangle.$$

In the context of equivariant quantum cohomology, variants of the quantum localization map appeared in [KS10], and in the preprint [GK14a] (see e.g., section 5.6), which was upgraded to quantum K-theory in [GK17]. We also note that in quantum cohomology, the pairings giving the Frobenius structures coincide with the classical pairing; see Remark 12.1. The question of comparing the 'geometric' and 'integrable systems' pairings in quantum K-theory does not seem to have been asked before; in particular, Theorem 1.2 is new.

The proof of Theorem 1.2 relies on two key results. The first is a presentation of the quantum K-ring by generators and relations, where one can explicitly determine polynomial representatives of *both* the Schubert classes \mathcal{O}_{λ} , and of the class $\lambda_y(\mathcal{S}_k)$. In turn, this is a consequence of the equivalence of the Desnanot-Jacobi identity satisfied by the product $t(y)\tilde{t}(y^{-1})$, and proved in [GK17], to the 'Whitney relations' satisfied by

$$\lambda_y(\mathcal{S}_k) \star \lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}_{n-k}),$$

proved in [GMSZ22a]; see Section 11. In particular, Corollary 11.3, which proves that these are two equivalent presentations of the same ring, answers a question from [GMSZ22a]. The second fact is an explicit formula for the 'off-shell' Bethe vector (cf. (12.6)), which allows us to expand the Bethe vectors into Schubert classes, and compare the two pairings.

Theorem 1.2 leads to some remarkable identities, such as a 'quantum Atiyah-Bott' localization theorem (cf. Corollary 12.2), which states that:

$$(\kappa, 1)_{\rm QK} = \sum_{\lambda} \frac{(\kappa, \mathbf{e}_{\lambda}^{q})_{\rm QK}}{(\mathbf{e}_{\lambda}^{q}, \mathbf{e}_{\lambda}^{q})_{\rm QK}}.$$

In the particular case when $\kappa = 1$, we know that $(1, 1)_{QK} = 1/(1 - q)$ (see [BCLM20]) giving an identity satisfied by the 'quantum Euler classes' $(\mathbf{e}_{\lambda}^{q}, \mathbf{e}_{\lambda}^{q})_{QK}$:

$$\frac{1}{1-q} = \sum_{\lambda} \frac{1}{(\mathbf{e}_{\lambda}^{q}, \mathbf{e}_{\lambda}^{q})_{\mathrm{QK}}}.$$

Another important application of Theorem 1.1 is that the graphical calculus associated to the 5-vertex model, developed in Section 9.1, yields cancellation free formulae for the actions of the operators $t(y) = \lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}_{n-k}^{\vee}) \star$ and $\tilde{t}(y) = \lambda_y(\mathcal{S}_k) \star$ on Schubert classes. This re-interprets formulae from [GK17], and generalizes the Chevalley formulae from [BM11, BCMP18a]. Due to length reasons, we decide to explore this and other applications of the graphical calculus in future work.

Finally, in Appendix A we illustrate Theorem 1.1 and the pairings from Theorem 1.2 in the case of the module $\mathbf{V}_2 = \operatorname{QK}_{\mathbb{T}}(\operatorname{Gr}(0,2)) \oplus \operatorname{QK}_{\mathbb{T}}(\operatorname{Gr}(1,2)) \oplus \operatorname{QK}_{\mathbb{T}}(\operatorname{Gr}(2,2))$. The projective spaces are the only ones where one can solve the Bethe ansatz equations explicitly, and our results are already non-trivial for \mathbb{P}^1 . In Appendix B we explain how one can add the homogenizing parameter β (of degree -1) in our statements, something which is important for potential physics applications, where β plays the role of a coupling constant or an interaction parameter.

Acknowledgments. For V. G. this article is an output of a research project implemented as part of the Basic Research Program at the National Research University Higher School of Economics (HSE University). L.M. was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-2152294, and gratefully acknowledges the support of Charles Simonyi Endowment, which provided funding for the membership at the Institute of Advanced Study during the 2024-25 Special Year in 'Algebraic and Geometric Combinatorics'. C.K. and L.M. gratefully acknowledge the financial support by an International Exchange award of the Royal Society (IES/R2/232087) which allowed mutual visits to each other's institutions and helped in the completion of this work. L.M. also thanks M. Shimozono for useful discussions, and W. Gu, I. Huq-Kuruvilla, E. Sharpe, W. Xu, H. Zhang, and H. Zou for collaborating on related projects.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Notation and conventions. We work over \mathbb{C} , and by a variety we mean a scheme of finite type which is reduced and irreducible. For a fixed natural number n denote by f_1, \ldots, f_n the standard basis of \mathbb{C}^n . Let $\mathbb{T} \simeq (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ be the *n*-dimensional torus, acting on \mathbb{C}^n as usual:

$$(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \cdot (z_1, \ldots, z_n) = (a_1 z_1, \ldots, a_n z_n).$$

The \mathbb{T} -module \mathbb{C}^n has a weight space decomposition given by $\mathbb{C}^n = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{C}_{\varepsilon_i}$, where $\mathbb{C}_{\varepsilon_i}$ denotes the one dimensional \mathbb{T} -module with action given by the character $\varepsilon_i(z_1, \ldots, z_n) = z_i$. Denote the representation ring of \mathbb{T} by $\operatorname{Rep}_{\mathbb{T}}$, and as customary we identify characters by their 1-dimensional modules: $\varepsilon_i = [\mathbb{C}_{\varepsilon_i}]$. Then $\operatorname{Rep}_{\mathbb{T}} = \mathbb{Z}[\varepsilon_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_n^{\pm 1}]$, the Laurent polynomial ring in the indeterminates ε_i . If y be an indeterminate, the λ_y class of \mathbb{C}^n is the element in $\operatorname{Rep}_{\mathbb{T}}[y]$ defined by

$$\lambda_y(\mathbb{C}^n) = (1 + y\varepsilon_1) \cdot \ldots \cdot (1 + y\varepsilon_n).$$

Let $W := S_n$ be the symmetric group in n letters, equipped with length function $\ell: W \to \mathbb{N}$. Denote by w_0 its longest element. For an integer sequence $I = (1 \le i_1 < i_2 < \ldots < i_p < n)$, define the subgroup $W_I \le W$ generated by simple reflections $s_i = (i, i+1)$ where $i \notin \{i_1, \ldots, i_p\}$. Denote by W^I the set of minimal length representatives of W/W_I . This consists of permutations $w \in W$ which have descents at most at positions i_1, \ldots, i_p , i.e., $w(i_k + 1) < \ldots < w(i_{k+1})$, for $k = 0, \ldots, p$, with the convention that $i_0 = 1, i_{p+1} = n$. Denote by w_I the longest element in W_I . Consider two sequences $I = (1 \le i_1 < \ldots, i_p < n)$ and $J = (1 \le j_1 < \ldots < j_s)$ where J is obtained from I by removing some of the indices; we denote this situation by $J \subset I$. Then $W^J \subset W^I$ (as subgroups).

If I = (1 < k < n) we denote by W_n^k the set of minimal length coset representatives, or simply W^k if n is understood from the context. The elements in W_n^k are called *Grassmannian permutations*. These are in bijection with Π_n^k , the set of partitions $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k)$ included in the $k \times (n-k)$ rectangle, i.e., $n-k \ge \lambda_1 \ge \ldots \ldots \lambda_k \ge 0$. Denote by \mathbb{J}_n^k the set of 01 words $j_1 j_2 \ldots j_n$ of length n with k 0's. We will use the following bijections among the sets W_n^k, Π_n^k and \mathbb{J}_n^k . The permutation $w_\lambda \in W_n^k$ associated to the partition $\lambda \subset k \times (n-k)$ is the unique Grassmannian permutation satisfying

$$w(i) = \lambda_{k-i+1} + i; \quad 1 \le i \le k.$$

The 01 word $J_{\lambda} = j_1 j_2 \dots j_n$ is the word with 0's in positions $\lambda_i + k - i + 1$, for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Graphically, J_{λ} is obtained by tracing the outline of the Young diagram of λ , starting from the SW corner, and placing 0's for the vertical steps, and 1's for the horizontal steps. The permutation w_{λ} starts with the k positions given by the 0's; see Figure 2.1.



FIGURE 1. A Young diagram of $\lambda = (5, 3, 3, 1, 1)$ and its associated 01word I = 1001100110 for Gr(5; 10). The corresponding Grassmannian permutation is $w_{\lambda} = [2, 3, 6, 7, 10, 1, 4, 5, 8, 9]$.

Example 2.1. With these conventions $J_{\emptyset} = 00 \cdots 01 \cdots 1$ and $J_{(n-k)^k} = 11 \cdots 10 \cdots 0$ (with k 0's). The corresponding permutations are:

$$w_{\emptyset} = id; \quad w_{(n-k)^k} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \dots & k & k+1 & \dots & n \\ n-k+1 & n-k+2 & \dots & n & 1 & \dots & n-k \end{pmatrix}.$$

For another example, let $\lambda = (3,3)$, regarded in the $3 \times (7-3)$ rectangle. Then

$$J_{\lambda} = 0111001$$
 and $w_{\lambda} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 \\ 1 & 5 & 6 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 7 \end{pmatrix}$.

We will use these different combinatorial descriptions interchangeably, noting that the description in terms of partitions and Grassmannian permutations is convenient in the geometric setting while the labelling in terms of binary strings occurs in the discussion of the quantum integrable lattice model and its graphical calculus.

2.2. Schubert varieties. Let Gr(k, n) denote the Grassmannian parametrizing k dimensional subspaces in \mathbb{C}^n . This is a complex projective manifold of dimension k(n-k),

and it is homogeneous under the action of $\mathbb{G} := \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Fix the (opposite) standard flag

$$F_{\bullet}: F_1 = \langle f_n \rangle \subset F_2 = \langle f_n, f_{n-1} \rangle \subset \ldots \subset \mathbb{C}^n$$

Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k)$ be a partition included in the $k \times (n-k)$ rectangle. The **Schubert** cell associated to λ and F_{\bullet} is defined by

$$X_{\lambda}^{\circ}(F_{\bullet}) = \{ V \in \operatorname{Gr}(k, n) : \dim V \cap F_{n-k+i-\lambda_i} = i \}.$$

This is isomorphic to the affine space $\mathbb{C}^{k(n-k)-|\lambda|}$. The corresponding **Schubert variety**, denoted by $X_{\lambda}(F_{\bullet})$ is the (Zariski) closure of $X^{\circ}_{\lambda}(F_{\bullet})$. The Schubert cell is \mathbb{T} -stable and it contains a unique \mathbb{T} -fixed point, namely

$$\mathbf{e}_{\lambda} = \langle f_{k+1-i+\lambda_i} : i = 1 \dots k \rangle.$$

Finally, the Schubert cells give a stratification of the Grassmannian: $\operatorname{Gr}(k,n) = \bigsqcup_{\lambda} X_{\lambda}(F_{\bullet})$.

More generally, for a sequence $I = (1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \ldots < i_p < n)$, we consider the partial flag manifold $\operatorname{Fl}(I) = \operatorname{Fl}(i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_p; n)$ which parametrizes partial flags $G_{i_1} \subset \ldots \subset G_{i_p} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, where dim $G_i = i$. This is homogeneous under the action of $\operatorname{GL}_n := \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$. The set of \mathbb{T} -fixed points of $\operatorname{Fl}(I)$ is in bijection with the set W^I , with $w \in W^I$ corresponding to $\mathbf{e}_w := w.(F_{i_1} \subset \ldots \subset F_{i_p} \subset \mathbb{C}^n)$, i.e., the w-translate of the appropriate components of the standard flag F_{\bullet} above. To each $w \in W^I$, we may associate two Schubert varieties $X^w = \overline{B.\mathbf{e}_w}$; $X_w = \overline{B^-.\mathbf{e}_w}$. With these conventions,

 $\dim X^w = \operatorname{codim} X_w = \ell(w); \quad X_w = w_0 X^{w_0 w w_I}$

where (recall) w_I is the longest element in W_I . Inclusion of Schubert varieties defines the (partial) Bruhat order on W^I :

$$v < w \text{ in } W^I \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad X^v \subset X^w \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad X_v \supset X_w$$

3. Equivariant K-theory

3.1. **Preliminaries on equivariant K theory.** In this section we recall some basic facts about the equivariant K-theory of a variety with a group action. For an introduction to equivariant K theory, and more details, see [CG09].

Let X be a smooth projective variety with an action of a linear algebraic group G. The equivariant K theory ring $K_G(X)$ is the Grothendieck ring generated by symbols [E], where $E \to X$ is an G-equivariant vector bundle, modulo the relations $[E] = [E_1] + [E_2]$ for any short exact sequence $0 \to E_1 \to E \to E_2 \to 0$ of equivariant vector bundles. The additive ring structure is given by direct sum, and the multiplication is given by tensor products of vector bundles. Since X is smooth, any G-linearized coherent sheaf has a finite resolution by (equivariant) vector bundles, and the ring $K_G(X)$ coincides with the Grothendieck group of G-linearized coherent sheaves on X. In particular, any G-linearized coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} on X determines a class $[\mathcal{F}] \in K_G(X)$. An important special case is if $\Omega \subset X$ is a G-stable subscheme; then its structure sheaf \mathcal{O}_{Ω} determines a class $[\mathcal{O}_{\Omega}] \in K_G(X)$. The ring $K_G(X)$ is an algebra over $K_G(pt) = \operatorname{Rep}(G)$, the representation ring of G. If $G = \mathbb{T}$ is a complex torus, then this is the Laurent polynomial ring $\operatorname{Rep}_{\mathbb{T}} = \mathbb{Z}[\varepsilon_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_n^{\pm 1}]$ from the previous section.

The (Hirzebruch) λ_y class is defined by

$$\lambda_y(E) := 1 + y[E] + y^2[\wedge^2 E] + \ldots + y^e[\wedge^e E] \in \mathcal{K}_G(X)[y].$$

This class was introduced by Hirzebruch [Hir95] in relation to the formalism of the Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch theorem. It may be thought as the K theoretic analogue of the (cohomological) Chern polynomial

$$c_y(E) = 1 + c_1(E)y + \ldots + c_e(E)y^e$$

of the bundle E. The λ_y class is multiplicative with respect to short exact sequences, i.e., if $0 \to E_1 \to E_2 \to E_3 \to 0$ is such a sequence of vector bundles then

$$\lambda_y(E_2) = \lambda_y(E_1) \cdot \lambda_y(E_3);$$

cf. [Hir95]. Since X is proper, the push-forward to a point equals the Euler characteristic, or, equivalently, the character of a virtual representation:

$$\chi(X,\mathcal{F}) = \int_X [\mathcal{F}] := \sum_i (-1)^i \operatorname{ch} H^i(X,\mathcal{F}).$$

(We omit \mathbb{T} from the notation, as all Euler characteristics will be \mathbb{T} -equivariant.) This defines the pairing (with E, F equivariant vector bundles):

(3.1)
$$\langle -, - \rangle : \mathrm{K}_G(X) \otimes \mathrm{K}_G(X) \to \mathrm{K}_G(pt); \quad \langle [E], [F] \rangle := \int_X E \otimes F = \chi(X, E \otimes F).$$

A proper morphism $f : X \to Y$ is cohomologically trivial if $f_*\mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_Y$ and its higher direct images vanish, i.e., $R^i f_*\mathcal{O}_X = 0$ for i > 0. This implies that the induced morphism $f_* : K(X) \to K(Y)$ satisfies $f_*[\mathcal{O}_X] = [\mathcal{O}_Y]$. Same definition applies for schemes with a T-action and T-equivariant morphisms. An important class of examples of cohomological trivial morphisms are projections from Schubert varieties, see Lemma 3.1 below. More general situations follow from a theorem of Kollár [Kol86], see also [BM11, BCMP18b].

3.2. Equivariant K theory of flag manifolds. Consider the partial flag manifolds $\operatorname{Fl}(I) = \operatorname{Fl}(i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k; n)$. The Schubert varieties $X_w, X^w \subset \operatorname{Fl}(I)$ determine classes $\mathcal{O}_w := [\mathcal{O}_{X_w}]$ and $\mathcal{O}^w := [\mathcal{O}_{X^w}]$ in $\operatorname{K}_{\mathbb{T}}(X)$. The \mathbb{T} -fixed points \mathbf{e}_w give classes which by abuse of notation we still denote by $\mathbf{e}_w := [\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{e}_w}]$. The equivariant K-theory $\operatorname{K}_{\mathbb{T}}(\operatorname{Fl}(I))$ is a free module over $\operatorname{K}_{\mathbb{T}}(pt) = \operatorname{Rep}_{\mathbb{T}}$, with bases given by Schubert classes $\{\mathcal{O}_w\}, \{\mathcal{O}^w\}$ as w varies in W^I . For the Grassmannian $\operatorname{Gr}(k; n)$, we denote by \mathcal{O}_{λ} the Schubert class $[\mathcal{O}_{X_{\lambda}(F_{\bullet})}]$.

Consider two sequences $I = (1 \le i_1 < \ldots, i_p < n)$ and $J = (1 \le j_1 < \ldots < j_s)$ such that $J \subset I$, and denote by $\pi_{I,J}$: $\operatorname{Fl}(I) \to \operatorname{Fl}(J)$ the natural projection. This is a *G*-equivariant smooth morphism. The push forward and pull backs of Schubert varieties are again Schubert varieties, stable under the same (standard or opposite) Borel subgroup.

More precisely, if $w \in W^I$, then $\pi(X^w) = X^{w'}$, where $w' \in W^J$ is the minimal length representative of wW_J ; if $v \in W^J$, then $\pi^{-1}(X_v) = X_v$. We will need the following fact:

Lemma 3.1. Let $J \subset I$ and let $\Omega \subset \operatorname{Fl}(I)$ and $\Omega' \subset \operatorname{Fl}(J)$ be Schubert varieties. Then the restriction $\pi_{I,J} : \Omega \to \pi_{I,J}(\Omega)$ is cohomologically trivial. Furthermore,

$$(\pi_{I,J})_*[\mathcal{O}_{\Omega}] = [\mathcal{O}_{\pi_{I,J}(\Omega)}] \quad and \ (\pi_{I,J})^*[\mathcal{O}_{\Omega'}] = [\mathcal{O}_{\pi_{I,J}^{-1}(\Omega')}].$$

Proof. The cohomological triviality, and the first equality, follow from [BK05, Thm. 3.3.4(a)] and the second because $\pi_{I,J}$ is a flat morphism.

The (left) action of GL_n on the flag manifold $\operatorname{Fl}(I)$ induces an action of the Weyl group W

$$\boldsymbol{w} : \mathrm{K}_{\mathbb{T}}(\mathrm{Fl}(I)) \to \mathrm{K}_{\mathbb{T}}(\mathrm{Fl}(I)), \quad \kappa \mapsto \boldsymbol{w}.\kappa.$$

This was studied in [Knu03] (in cohomology), and [HLS10, MNS22] in K theory. We refer to \boldsymbol{w} as the **left Weyl group action**. We recall next some basic facts, following [MNS22, §5]. The action \boldsymbol{w} is a ring endomorphism of $K_{\mathbb{T}}(\operatorname{Fl}(I))$ which twists the ground ring $K_{\mathbb{T}}(\operatorname{pt})$, i.e., for $\kappa, \zeta \in K_{\mathbb{T}}(\operatorname{Fl}(I))$ and $\chi \in K_{\mathbb{T}}(\operatorname{pt})$

$$\boldsymbol{w}.(\chi \otimes \kappa \otimes \zeta) = w(\chi) \otimes \boldsymbol{w}(\kappa) \otimes \boldsymbol{w}(\zeta).$$

The left Weyl group determines (left) Demazure operators defined as follows. For a positive simple root α_i with corresponding reflection s_i , the Demazure operator $\boldsymbol{\delta}_i$ is defined by

$$\boldsymbol{\delta}_i = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha_i^{-1}} (1 - \alpha_i^{-1} \boldsymbol{s}_i).$$

The operators δ_i satisfy $\delta_i^2 = \delta_i$ and the usual braid and commutation relations for the simple reflections in W. Therefore for any $w \in W$ with a reduced decomposition $w = s_{i_1}s_{i_2} \cdot \ldots \cdot s_{i_k}$ there is a well defined operator $\delta_w = \delta_{i_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot \delta_{i_k}$. The Demazure operators also satisfy a Leibniz rule, see [MNS22, §5].

The action \boldsymbol{w} fixes classes in $K_{GL_n}(Fl(I))$, and therefore the Demazure operator is linear with respect to such classes: for $\zeta \in K_{GL}(Fl(I))$ and $\kappa \in K_{\mathbb{T}}(Fl(I))$,

$$\boldsymbol{w}.(\zeta) = \zeta$$
 and $\boldsymbol{\delta}_w(\zeta \otimes \kappa) = \zeta \otimes \boldsymbol{\delta}_w(\kappa).$

For us, the most important examples of classes in $K_{GL}(Fl(I))$ are the classes of homogeneous vector bundles on Fl(I) (such as the Schur bundles of tautological subbundles and quotient bundles on Fl(I)), and the classes of GL_n -homogeneous line bundles $\mathcal{L}_{\chi} = GL_n \times^{P_I} \mathbb{C}_{\chi}$ associated to characters χ of P_I , the parabolic subgroup stabilizing \mathbf{e}_{id} (i.e., giving the identification $Fl(I) = GL_n/P_I$). We record the following formulae proved in [MNS22, Prop. 5.5].

For α_i a simple root and $w \in W^I$,

(3.2)
$$\boldsymbol{s}_i.\mathcal{O}_w = \begin{cases} \alpha_i\mathcal{O}_w + (1-\alpha_i)\mathcal{O}_{s_iw} & s_iw < w; \\ \mathcal{O}_w & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$
 and $\boldsymbol{\delta}_i\mathcal{O}_w = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}_{s_iw} & s_iw < w; \\ \mathcal{O}_w & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

(Compare with (9.3) below.) Furthermore, for a fixed point class $\mathbf{e}_w \in \mathrm{K}_{\mathbb{T}}(\mathrm{Fl}(I))$,

$$\mathbf{s}_i \cdot \mathbf{e}_w = \mathbf{e}_{s_i w}$$

implying also that the pairing from (3.1) is invariant under the action of W. In all cases above, if $s_i w$ is not a minimal length representative in W^I then it is replaced by the unique element w' which satisfies $w' \in W^I$ and $s_i w W_I = w' W_I$.² The Demazure operators generate a (degenerate) version of the Hecke algebra of W, and equip $K_{\mathbb{T}}(\operatorname{Fl}(I))$ with a structure of a cyclic (Hecke) module.

For further use, we record the following expressions for the pairing in the case of the fixed point classes in $K_{\mathbb{T}}(\operatorname{Gr}(k;n))$. Recall that \mathbf{e}_{λ} is the fixed point corresponding to $w_{\lambda} \cdot \langle f_1, \ldots, f_k \rangle$. Then

$$\langle \mathbf{e}_{\lambda}, \mathbf{e}_{\mu} \rangle = \delta_{\lambda,\mu} w_{\lambda} \langle \mathbf{e}_{\emptyset}, \mathbf{e}_{\emptyset} \rangle$$

and, with $T_{\mathbf{e}_{\emptyset}}(\operatorname{Gr}(k;n))$ denoting the tangent space at \mathbf{e}_{\emptyset}),

$$\langle \mathbf{e}_{\emptyset}, \mathbf{e}_{\emptyset} \rangle = \lambda_{-1} T^*_{\mathbf{e}_{\emptyset}} (\operatorname{Gr}(k; n)) = \prod_{1 \le i \le k; k+1 \le j \le n} (1 - \varepsilon_i / \varepsilon_j)$$

3.3. Level-Rank duality. Fix $Fl(I) = (1 \le i_1 < i_2 < \ldots < i_k \le n)$ and consider the flag manifold Fl(I) equipped with the tautological sequence of bundles:

$$\mathcal{S}_{i_1} \subset \mathcal{S}_{i_2} \subset \ldots \subset \mathcal{S}_{i_k} \subset \mathbb{C}^n.$$

For $1 \leq s \leq k$ set $K_{i_s} := (\mathbb{C}^n / F_{i_s})^* \subset (\mathbb{C}^n)^*$. Define

$$\Theta: \operatorname{Fl}(i_1,\ldots,i_k;\mathbb{C}^n) \to \operatorname{Fl}(n-i_k,\ldots,n-i_1;(\mathbb{C}^n)^*)$$

by

$$\Theta(F_{i_1} \subset F_{i_2} \subset \ldots \subset F_{i_k} \subset \mathbb{C}^n) = (K_{n-i_k} \subset K_{n-i_{k-1}} \subset \ldots \subset K_{n-i_1} \subset (\mathbb{C}^n)^*).$$

Then Θ is an isomorphism of flag manifolds. The action of \mathbb{T} on \mathbb{C}^n induces the contragredient action on $(\mathbb{C}^n)^*$, giving an action of \mathbb{T} on the 'dual' flag manifold $\operatorname{Fl}(n - i_k, \ldots, n - i_1; (\mathbb{C}^n)^*)$, and making Θ a \mathbb{T} -equivariant morphism. Note however that Θ takes the standard flag in \mathbb{C}^n to the *opposite* flag in $(\mathbb{C}^n)^*$, as follows from

$$\Theta\left(\langle f_n, \dots, f_{n-k+1}\rangle \subset \mathbb{C}^n\right) = \left(\langle f_1^*, \dots, f_{n-k}^*\rangle \subset (\mathbb{C}^n)^*\right)$$

where $f_1^*, \ldots, f_n^* \in (\mathbb{C}^n)^*$ is the dual basis. In particular, the Schubert point X_{w_0} in $\operatorname{Fl}(I)$ is sent to the *opposite* Schubert point in $\operatorname{Fl}(n-i_k,\ldots,n-i_1;(\mathbb{C}^n)^*)$, and, more generally, a Schubert variety $X_w(F_{\bullet})$ is sent to one for the opposite flag $X_{w^t}(F_{\bullet}^{opp})$. Here w^t is the 'transpose' of w, obtained by taking the Dynkin automorphism exchanging the simple reflections s_i to s_{n-i+1} . For instance, $\Theta : \operatorname{Gr}(k; \mathbb{C}^n) \to \operatorname{Gr}(n-k;(\mathbb{C}^n)^*)$ satisfies

$$\Theta(X_{\lambda}(F_{\bullet})) = X_{\lambda^t}(\Theta(F_{\bullet})),$$

where λ^t is the transpose of λ .

²We warn the reader that the conventions on Schubert classes in this paper are opposite from those in [MNS22], and to relate the two one uses the relation $\mathcal{O}_w = \boldsymbol{w}_0.\mathcal{O}^{w_0ww_I}$. Furthermore, the operator denoted here by $\boldsymbol{\delta}_i$ is denoted by $\boldsymbol{\delta}_i^{\vee}$ in *loc. cit.*

Denote by $\mathcal{K}_{n-i_s} := (\mathbb{C}^n / \mathcal{S}_{i_s})^{\vee} \subset (\mathbb{C}^n)^*$ the tautological subbundle on the dual flag manifold $\operatorname{Fl}(n-i_k,\ldots,n-i_1;(\mathbb{C}^n)^*)$. Since for any $u, w \in W$, $u_{\cdot}[\mathcal{O}_{X_w(F_{\bullet})}] = [\mathcal{O}_{X_w(u,F_{\bullet})}]$, it follows that the map Θ induces an isomorphism of equivariant K-theory rings

(3.4)
$$\Theta^*: \mathrm{K}_{\mathbb{T}}(\mathrm{Fl}(n-i_k,\ldots,n-i_1;(\mathbb{C}^n)^*)) \to \mathrm{K}_{\mathbb{T}}(\mathrm{Fl}(i_1,\ldots,i_k;\mathbb{C}^n))$$

which satisfies

$$\Theta^*(\mathcal{K}_{n-i_s}) = (\mathbb{C}^n / \mathcal{S}_{i_s})^{\vee} \text{ and } \Theta(\mathcal{O}_w) = \boldsymbol{w}_0.\mathcal{O}_{w^t}$$

for $1 \leq s \leq k$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, where the Schubert classes are taken with respect to the standard flag.

Since the \mathbb{T} -modules $(\mathbb{C}^n)^*$ and \mathbb{C}^n have distinguished ordered bases, one can further identify them by $f_i^* \leftrightarrow f_{n+1-i}$. The composition of the two transformations results in an isomorphism

$$\Theta'$$
: $\operatorname{Fl}(i_1,\ldots,i_k;\mathbb{C}^n) \to \operatorname{Fl}(n-i_k,\ldots,n-i_1;\mathbb{C}^n)$

called the *level-rank duality*. Note that Θ' preserves the standard flag, and it is equivariant with respect to the map $\varphi : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{T}$ sending $\varepsilon_i \mapsto \varepsilon_{n+1-i}^{-1}$. It satisfies the identities:

(3.5)
$$\Theta'^*(\mathcal{K}_{n-i_s}) = (\mathbb{C}^n / \mathcal{S}_{i_s})^{\vee} \text{ and } \Theta'(\varepsilon_i \otimes \mathcal{O}_w) = \varepsilon_{n+1-i}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{w^t}$$

In other words, Θ' sends a Schubert class to its transpose, and it takes equivariant paremeters to their inverses, and also reverses their order. Compare with (8.37) below, where the same transformation arises in the context of representations of the Yang-Baxter algebra.

3.4. **Push-forward formulae of Schur bundles.** Next we recall some results about cohomology of Schur bundles on Grassmann bundles. Our main reference is [GMSZ22a, §3], which in turn follows Kapranov's paper [Kap84]. For more on Schur bundles see Weyman's book [Wey03].

Recall that if X is a T-variety, $\pi : E \to X$ is any T-equivariant vector bundle of rank e, and $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k)$ is a partition with at most e parts, the Schur bundle $\mathfrak{S}_{\lambda}(E)$ is a T-equivariant vector bundle over X. It has the property that if $x \in X$ is a T-fixed point, the fibre $(\mathfrak{S}_{\lambda}(E))_x$ is the T-module with character the Schur function s_{λ} . For example, if $\lambda = (1^k)$, then $\mathfrak{S}_{(1^k)}(E) = \wedge^k E$, and if $\lambda = (k)$ then $\mathfrak{S}_{(k)}(E) = \operatorname{Sym}^k(E)$.

In this paper $X = \operatorname{Gr}(k; \mathbb{C}^n)$ with the T-action restricted from $\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$. To emphasize the $\mathbb{T} = (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ -module structure on \mathbb{C}^n , we will occasionally denote by $V := \mathbb{C}^n$ and by $\operatorname{Gr}(k; V)$ the corresponding Grassmannian. Further, V will also be identified with the trivial, but not equivariantly trivial, vector bundle $\operatorname{Gr}(k; V) \times V$. The following was proved in [Kap84].

Proposition 3.2 (Kapranov). Consider the Grassmannian $\operatorname{Gr}(k; V)$ with the tautological sequence $0 \to S \to V \to Q \to 0$. For any nonempty partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge \ldots \ge \lambda_k \ge 0)$ such that $\lambda_1 \le n - k$, there are the following isomorphisms of \mathbb{T} -modules: (a) For all i > 0, $H^i(\operatorname{Gr}(k; V), \mathfrak{S}_{\lambda}(S)) = 0$. *(b)*

$$H^{i}(\operatorname{Gr}(k;V),\mathfrak{S}_{\lambda}(\mathcal{S}^{\vee})) = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{S}_{\lambda}(V^{*}) & i = 0\\ 0 & i > 0 \end{cases}$$

(c) For all $i \ge 0$, $H^i(\operatorname{Gr}(k; V), \mathfrak{S}_{\lambda}(\mathcal{Q}^{\vee})) = 0$. (d) $H^i(\operatorname{Gr}(k; V), \mathfrak{S}_{\lambda}(\mathcal{Q})) = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{S}_{\lambda}(V) & i = 0\\ 0 & i > 0. \end{cases}$

Proof. Parts (a) and (b) were proved in [Kap84, Prop. 2.2], as a consequence of the Borel-Weil-Bott theorem on the complete flag manifold. For parts (c) and (d), from level-rank duality, there is a \mathbb{T} -equivariant isomorphism $\operatorname{Gr}(k; V) \simeq \operatorname{Gr}(\dim V - k; V^*)$ under which the \mathbb{T} -equivariant bundle \mathcal{S} is sent to \mathcal{Q}^{\vee} , and \mathcal{Q} is sent to \mathcal{S}^{\vee} . Then parts (c),(d) follow from (a) and (b) respectively.

We also need the following immediate consequence, see e.g. [GMSZ22a, Cor. 3.3]. Consider an T-variety X equipped with a T-equivariant vector bundle \mathcal{V} of rank n. Denote by $\pi : \mathbb{G}(k, \mathcal{V}) \to X$ the Grassmann bundle over X. It is equipped with a tautological sequence $0 \to \underline{S} \to \pi^* \mathcal{V} \to \underline{Q} \to 0$ over $\mathbb{G}(k, \mathcal{V})$. The following corollary follows from Proposition 3.2, using that π is a T-equivariant locally trivial fibration:

Corollary 3.3. For any nonempty partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge \ldots \ge \lambda_k \ge 0)$ such that $\lambda_1 \le n - k$, there are the following isomorphisms of *T*-modules:

(a) For all $i \ge 0$, the higher direct images, $R^i \pi_* \mathfrak{S}_{\lambda}(\underline{\mathcal{S}}) = R^i \pi_* \mathfrak{S}_{\lambda}(\underline{\mathcal{Q}}^{\vee}) = 0$. (b)

$$R^{i}\pi_{*}\mathfrak{S}_{\lambda}(\underline{\mathcal{S}}^{\vee})) = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{S}_{\lambda}(\mathcal{V}^{\vee}) & i = 0\\ 0 & i > 0. \end{cases}; \quad R^{i}\pi_{*}\mathfrak{S}_{\lambda}(\underline{\mathcal{Q}}) = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{S}_{\lambda}(\mathcal{V}) & i = 0\\ 0 & i > 0. \end{cases}$$

4. Grothendieck polynomials and expansions of $\lambda_{u}(\mathcal{Q}^{\vee})$

To goal of this section is to prove Proposition 4.1, giving the Schubert expansions of the class $\lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}_{n-k}^{\vee}) \in \mathrm{K}_{\mathbb{T}}(\mathrm{Gr}(k;n))$. To better orient the reader, we will index the tautological bundles by their ranks; then for $\mathrm{Gr}(k;n)$, the tautological sequence is $0 \to \mathcal{S}_k \to \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathcal{Q}_{n-k} \to 0$.

To start, we recall a formula about the double Grothendieck polynomials given by column partitions, from [GK17, Prop. 2.9]. To state it, consider sequences of variables $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_N)$ and $t = (t_1, \ldots, t_N)$. The (double) Grothendieck polynomial for the column partition 1^r is:

$$G_{1r}(x|t) = \sum_{j=1}^{N+1-r} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{N} (x_i + t_j - x_i t_j)}{\prod_{i=1, i \neq j}^{N+1-r} \frac{t_j - t_i}{1 - t_i}}.$$

In our case we will need to take N = n - k, and, to switch to exponential Chern classes, we perform the change of variables $x_i = 1 - Y_i^{-1}$, $t_i = 1 - \varepsilon_{n+1-i}^{-1}$ to obtain:

(4.1)
$$G_{1r}(1-Y^{-1}|1-\varepsilon^{-1}) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-k+1-r} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n-k} (1-Y_i^{-1}\varepsilon_{n+1-j}^{-1})}{\prod_{i=1, i\neq j}^{n-k+1-r} (1-\varepsilon_{n+1-i}/\varepsilon_{n+1-j})}.$$

Note that this does not depend on the variables $\varepsilon_{k+1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{k+r-1}$. To relate this polynomial to geometry, consider the sequence of bundles

$$\mathbb{C} \subset \mathbb{C}^2 \subset \ldots \subset \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathcal{Q}_{n-k}$$

Let Y_1, \ldots, Y_{n-k} be the exponentials of the Chern roots of \mathcal{Q}_{n-k} , and recall that $\varepsilon_n, \ldots, \varepsilon_1$ (in this order) are the exponentials of the Chern roots of the sequence $\mathbb{C} \subset \ldots \subset \mathbb{C}^n$. Consider the (Thom-Porteous) degeneracy locus giving the Schubert variety X_r , i.e.,

$$X_r = \{ V \in \operatorname{Gr}(k; n) : \operatorname{rank}(\mathbb{C}^{n-k-r+1} \to \mathbb{C}^n/V) \le n-k-r \}$$

Using the K-theoretic Thom-Porteous formula from [Buc02, Thm. 2.3],

(4.2)
$$\mathcal{O}_r = G_{1r}(1 - Y_1^{-1}, \dots, 1 - Y_{n-k}^{-1} | 1 - \varepsilon_n, \dots, 1 - \varepsilon_{k+r}).$$

We now use [GK17, Lemma 2.10] (with u = 1 + y in *loc.cit.*) to obtain:

(4.3)
$$\prod_{i=1}^{n-k} (1+yY_i^{-1}) = \prod_{i=k+1}^n (1+y\varepsilon_i^{-1}) \\ -\sum_{r=1}^{n-k} y\varepsilon_{k+r}^{-1} \Big(\prod_{i=k+r+1}^n (1+y\varepsilon_i^{-1})\Big) G_{1r}(1-Y_1^{-1},\dots,1-Y_{n-k}^{-1}|1-\varepsilon_n,\dots,1-\varepsilon_{k+r}).$$

Since $\lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}_{n-k}^{\vee}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n-k} (1+yY_i^{-1})$ this proves:

Proposition 4.1. The following holds in $K_{\mathbb{T}}(\operatorname{Gr}(k;n))$:

$$\lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}_{n-k}^{\vee}) = \prod_{i=k+1}^n (1+y\varepsilon_i^{-1})\mathcal{O}_{\emptyset} - \sum_{r=1}^{n-k} y\varepsilon_{k+r}^{-1} \Big(\prod_{i=k+r+1}^n (1+y\varepsilon_i^{-1})\Big)\mathcal{O}_r.$$

In particular, for $y = -\varepsilon_n$, this proposition implies that:

(4.4)
$$\lambda_{-\varepsilon_n}(\mathcal{Q}_{n-k}^{\vee}) = \mathcal{O}_{n-k}.$$

Corollary 4.2. Let $d \ge 1$. Then in $K_{\mathbb{T}}(\operatorname{Gr}(k+d;n))$,

$$\lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}_{n-k-d}^{\vee})\cdot\mathcal{O}_{(n-k-d)^k} = \prod_{i=d+1}^{n-k} (1+\frac{y}{\varepsilon_i})\mathcal{O}_{(n-k-d)^k} - \sum_{r=1}^{n-k-d} \frac{y}{\varepsilon_{d+r}} \Big(\prod_{i=d+r+1}^{n-k} (1+\frac{y}{\varepsilon_i})\Big)\mathcal{O}_{((n-k-d)^k,r)}.$$

Proof. Note that $X_{(n-k-d)^k} \subset \operatorname{Gr}(k+d;n)$ is the Grassmannian $\operatorname{Gr}(d, \mathbb{C}^n/\langle f_n, \ldots, f_{n-k+1} \rangle) = \operatorname{Gr}(d, \langle f_{n-k}, \ldots, f_1 \rangle)$. Furthermore, the restriction of \mathcal{Q}_{n-k-d} to this Grassmannian is the corresponding quotient bundle. Then the claim follows from Proposition 4.1.

Remark 4.1. Using the level-rank isomorphism Θ' : $\operatorname{Gr}(k; \mathbb{C}^n) \simeq \operatorname{Gr}(n-k; \mathbb{C}^n)$ from (3.3), one can express the Schubert classes in terms of the exponential Chern roots X_1, \ldots, X_k of \mathcal{S}_k . Applying the level rank duality to (??) (with the effect of reversing the order of the equivariant parameters, and taking inverses of equivariant parameters) one obtains

$$\mathcal{O}_{1^r} = G_{1^r}(1 - X_1, \dots, 1 - X_{n-k} | 1 - \varepsilon_1^{-1}, \dots, 1 - \varepsilon_{n-k-r+1}^{-1}),$$

in $K_{\mathbb{T}}(\operatorname{Gr}(n-k;\mathbb{C}^n))$. More generally, using the factorial Grothendieck polynomial $G_{\lambda}(x|t)$ defined by McNamara [McN06], and its relation to Schubert classes as obtained by combining Lemma 2.11, Corollary 4.12 (especially eq. (4.40)) in [GK17], one obtains that in any $K_{\mathbb{T}}(\operatorname{Gr}(k;n))$,

$$\mathcal{O}_{\lambda} = G_{\lambda}(1 - X_1, \dots, 1 - X_k | 1 - \varepsilon_1^{-1}, \dots, 1 - \varepsilon_n^{-1}).$$

The same result may also be obtained from the geometry of double Grothendieck polynomials studied in [Buc02], see, e.g. §5 in loc.cit.

5. QUANTUM K-THEORY AND 'QUANTUM=CLASSICAL'

5.1. **Definitions and notation.** The quantum K-ring was defined by Givental and Lee [Giv00, Lee04]. We recall the definition below, following [Giv00]. The (small) **quantum K-pairing** is a deformation of the usual K-theory pairing; we recall the definition of this pairing for $X = \operatorname{Gr}(k; n)$. For any $\kappa_1, \kappa_2 \in \operatorname{K}_{\mathbb{T}}(\operatorname{Gr}(k, n))$,

(5.1)
$$(\kappa_1, \kappa_2)_{\mathrm{QK}} = \sum_{d \ge 0} q^d \langle \kappa_1, \kappa_2 \rangle_d \quad \in \mathrm{K}_{\mathbb{T}}(\mathrm{pt})[[q]];$$

then extend this by $K_{\mathbb{T}}(\text{pt})[\![q]\!]$ -bilinearity. The elements $\langle \kappa, \zeta \rangle_d \in K_T(pt)$ denote the 2-point (genus 0, equivariant) K-theoretic Gromov-Witten (KGW) invariants if d > 0. If d = 0 then this is the usual pairing in $K_{\mathbb{T}}(\operatorname{Gr}(k;n))$. The (*n*-point, genus 0) KGW invariants $\langle \kappa_1, \ldots, \kappa_n \rangle_d \in K_T(\text{pt})$ are defined by pulling back via the evaluation maps, then integrating, over the Kontsevich moduli space of stable maps $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(\operatorname{Gr}(k;n),d)$. Instead of recalling the precise definition of the KGW invariants, in Theorem 5.2 below we give a 'quantum=classical' statement calculating 2 and 3-point KGW invariants of Grassmannians. Explicit combinatorial formulae for the 2-point KGW invariants for any homogeneous space may be found in [BM15, BCLM20].

Theorem 5.1 (Givental [Giv00]). Consider the $\operatorname{Rep}_{\mathbb{T}}[\![q]\!]$ -module

$$\operatorname{QK}_{\mathbb{T}}(\operatorname{Gr}(k;n)) := \operatorname{K}_{\mathbb{T}}(\operatorname{Gr}(k;n)) \otimes \operatorname{Rep}_{\mathbb{T}}\llbracket q \rrbracket.$$

Define the (small) equivariant quantum K-product \star on $QK_{\mathbb{T}}(Gr(k;n))$ by the equality

(5.2)
$$(\kappa_1 \star \kappa_2, \kappa_3)_{\mathrm{QK}} = \sum_{d \ge 0} q^d \langle \kappa_1, \kappa_2, \kappa_3 \rangle_d \quad \in \mathrm{K}_{\mathbb{T}}(\mathrm{pt})\llbracket q \rrbracket,$$

20

for any $\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \kappa_3 \in K_T(\operatorname{Gr}(k; n))$. Then $(\operatorname{QK}_T(\operatorname{Gr}(k; n)), +, \star)$ is a commutative, associative $\operatorname{Rep}_T[\![q]\!]$ -algebra. Furthermore, the small quantum K-metric gives it a structure of a Frobenius algebra, i.e. $(\kappa_1 \star \kappa_2, \kappa_3)_{\mathrm{QK}} = (\kappa_1, \kappa_2 \star \kappa_3)_{\mathrm{QK}}$.

Remark 5.1. It was proved in [BCMP13] that the submodule $K_{\mathbb{T}}(\operatorname{Gr}(k;n)) \otimes \operatorname{Rep}_{\mathbb{T}}[q]$ is stable under the QK product \star . This means that the product of two Schubert classes has structure constants which are polynomials in q. Similar statements hold for any flag manifold [ACTI18, Kat18]. However, working over the ring of formal power series in q has the advantage of having inverses of elements such as det S in the quantum K-ring.

As proved in [MNS22, §8], the ring endomorphisms on $K_{\mathbb{T}}(\operatorname{Gr}(k; n))$ given by the Weyl group elements extend by *q*-linearity to endomorphisms of the equivariant quantum Ktheory ring $\operatorname{QK}_{\mathbb{T}}(\operatorname{Gr}(k; n)) = \operatorname{K}_{\mathbb{T}}(\operatorname{Gr}(k; n)) \otimes_{\operatorname{Rep}_{\mathbb{T}}} \operatorname{Rep}_{\mathbb{T}}[\![q]\!]$ (and in fact the equivariant quantum K-ring of any G/P). Using this, one can define by the same formula Demazure operators

$$\boldsymbol{\delta}_w \in \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{Q}\llbracket q \rrbracket}(\operatorname{QK}_{\mathbb{T}}(\operatorname{Gr}(k;n))).$$

These satisfy the same properties as the classical ones, in particular the linearity with respect to classes in $K_{GL_n}(Gr(k;n))$ of the Demazure operators, and the same formulae as in (3.2) for the actions on Schubert classes. Then $QK_{\mathbb{T}}(Gr(k;n))$ is again a cyclic module over the appropriate degenerate Hecke algebra. (The main difference is that the Leibniz rule satisfied by the Demazure operators uses the quantum K-product, see [MNS22, Prop. 8.1].) We note that the QK pairing is W-invariant, in the sense that for any $w \in W$, and any $\kappa_1, \kappa_2 \in QK_{\mathbb{T}}(Gr(k;n))$,

$$(\boldsymbol{w}.\kappa_1, \boldsymbol{w}.\kappa_2)_{\mathrm{QK}} = w(\kappa_1, \kappa_2)_{\mathrm{QK}}.$$

5.2. Quantum=classical. We recall next the 'quantum = classical' statement, which relates the (3-point, genus 0) equivariant KGW invariants on Grassmannians to a 'classical' calculation in the equivariant K-theory of a two-step flag manifold. This statement was proved in [BM11], and it generalized results of Buch, Kresch and Tamvakis [BKT03] from quantum cohomology. The proofs rely on the 'kernel-span' technique introduced by Buch [Buc03]. A Lie-theoretic approach, for large degrees d, and for the larger family of cominuscule Grassmannians, was obtained in [CP11]; see also [BCMP18b] for a 'quantum=classical' statement utilizing projected Richardson varieties.

We recall next the 'quantum = classical' result, proved in [BM11], and which will be used later in this paper. To start, form the following incidence diagram:

Here all maps are the natural projections. Denote by $p: \operatorname{Fl}(k-d, k, k+d; n) \to \operatorname{Gr}(k; n)$ the composition $p := \bar{p}_1 \circ \bar{p}$. If $d \ge k$ then we set $Y_d := \operatorname{Fl}(k+d; n)$ and if $k+d \ge n$ then we set $Y_d := \operatorname{Gr}(k-d;n)$. In particular, if $d \ge \min\{k, n-k\}$, then Y_d is a single point.

Theorem 5.2. Let $a, b, c \in K_{\mathbb{T}}(\operatorname{Gr}(k; n))$ and $d \ge 0$ a degree.

(a) The following equality holds in $K_{\mathbb{T}}(pt)$:

$$\langle a, b, c \rangle_d = \int_{Y_d} q_*(p^*(a)) \cdot q_*(p^*(b)) \cdot q_*(p^*(c))$$

(b) Assume that
$$q_*(p^*(a)) = pr^*(a')$$
 for some $a' \in K_{\mathbb{T}}(\operatorname{Gr}(k-d;n))$. Then

$$\langle a, b, c \rangle_d = \int_{\mathrm{Gr}(k-d;n)} a' \cdot (\bar{p}_2)_* (\bar{p}_1^*(b)) \cdot (\bar{p}_2)_* (\bar{p}_1^*(c))$$

A similar statement holds when one considers the analogous diagram (5.3) with Gr(k-d;n) replaced by Gr(k+d;n).

Observe that part (b) follows from (a) and the fact that the left diagram is a fibre square; for details see [BM11]. Recall the tautological sequence on Gr(k; n):

$$0 \to \mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}_k \to \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathcal{Q} := \mathbb{C}^n / \mathcal{S}_k \to 0.$$

To lighten notation, we will denote by the same letters the bundles on various flag manifolds from the diagram (5.3), but we will indicate in the subscript the rank of the bundle in question.

An important consequence of the 'quantum=classical' statement is a formula to calculate quantum K-products; see [BM11] and further [BCMP13, BCMP16] for more general formulae. Consider any class $\kappa \in K_{\mathbb{T}}(\operatorname{Gr}(k; n))$, a Schubert class \mathcal{O}_{λ} , and consider the multiplication

$$\kappa \star \mathcal{O}_{\lambda} = \sum_{d,\nu} N_{\kappa,\lambda}^{\nu,d} q^d \mathcal{O}_{\nu}.$$

Then for d > 0 the coefficient $N_{\kappa,\lambda}^{\nu,d}$ may be calculated in terms of 3-point KGW invariants as follows:

(5.4)
$$N_{\kappa,\lambda}^{\nu,d} = \langle \kappa, \mathcal{O}_{\lambda}, \mathcal{O}_{\nu}^{\vee} \rangle_{d} - \sum_{\mu} \langle \kappa, \mathcal{O}_{\lambda}, \mathcal{O}_{\mu}^{\vee} \rangle_{d-1} \cdot \langle \mathcal{O}_{\mu}, \mathcal{O}_{\nu}^{\vee} \rangle_{1}.$$

Here where \mathcal{O}_{ν}^{\vee} is the dual of \mathcal{O}_{ν} with respect to the classical pairing in $\mathrm{K}_{\mathbb{T}}(\mathrm{Gr}(k;n))$.

6. Quantum K-products by λ_{y} classes

The goal of this section is to prove a formula for the quantum K-multiplication of the form $\lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}^{\vee}) \star [\operatorname{pt}]_{k,n}$, which will be utilized later to interpret the diagonal operators on the monodromy matrix T in the Yang-Baxter algebra. Here we used the notation $[\operatorname{pt}]_{k,n}$ for the Schubert point $X_{(n-k)^k} = \langle f_{n-k+1}, \ldots, f_n \rangle$.

Consider the commutative diagram

(6.1)
$$Fl(k-d,k,k+d;n) \xrightarrow{p_1'} Fl(k,k+d;n) \xrightarrow{p_1} Gr(k;n)$$
$$\downarrow^q \qquad \qquad \downarrow^{p_2}$$
$$Fl(k-d,k+d;n) \xrightarrow{\pi} Gr(k+d;n)$$

where all maps are the natural projections. Denote by $p = p_1 \circ p'_1$ and we abuse notation to denote by S_i the tautological subbundle of rank *i* on the two or three step manifold. It should be clear from the context what is the base of this bundle.

Fix a partition λ included in the $k \times (n-k)$ rectangle and an integer $d \ge 0$. Define the following combinatorial operations on λ :

- (A) The partition $\lambda^{r[-d]}$ is obtained from λ by removing the top d rows. If d = 1 we will simply denote it by λ^r .
- (B) The partition $\lambda^{c[-d]}$ is obtained from λ by removing the leftmost d columns. Again, if d = 1, we denote this operation by λ^c .
- (C) The partition $\lambda[-d] = (\lambda^{r[-d]})^{c[-d]}$ denotes the removal of the top row and the leftmost column. In other words, this is the composition of two operations above.

Extend all the operations to any class $\sum a_{\lambda} \mathcal{O}_{\lambda}$ in $K_{\mathbb{T}}(\operatorname{Gr}(k; n))$ by linearity. We refer to $X_{\lambda[-d]}$ as the *d*-th curve neighborhood of X_{λ} ; for more genral constructions, see [BCMP22]. The curve neighborhoods may be used to calculate any two-point KGW invariants (cf. [BM15]): for any degree $d \geq 0$ and any partitions λ, μ ,

(6.2)
$$\langle \mathcal{O}_{\lambda}, \mathcal{O}_{\mu}^{\vee} \rangle_d = \delta_{\lambda[-d],\mu}.$$

Next we recall some formulae for convolutions.

Lemma 6.1. The following hold:

 $\begin{array}{l} (a) \ (p_2)_*(p_1)^*(\mathcal{O}_{\lambda}) = \mathcal{O}_{\lambda^{c[-d]}}; \\ (b) \ (p_1)_*(p_2)^*(\mathcal{O}_{\lambda}) = \mathcal{O}_{\lambda^{r[-d]}}; \\ (c) \ (p_1)_*(p_2)^*(p_2)_*(p_1)^*(\mathcal{O}_{\lambda}) = \mathcal{O}_{\lambda[-d]}. \end{array}$

Proof. This follows from Lemma 3.1, then tracing the (pre)images of the Schubert varieties under the maps p_1, p_2 .

The lemma allows us to interpret the operations $\lambda^{r[-d]}$, $\lambda^{c[-d]}$ and $\lambda[-d]$ geometrically in terms of push-pull operators. For any $\kappa \in K_{\mathbb{T}}(\operatorname{Gr}(k; n))$,

$$\kappa^{r[-d]} = (p_1)_*(p_2)^*(\kappa); \quad \kappa^{c[-d]} = (p_2)_*(p_1)^*(\kappa); \quad \kappa[-d] = (p_1)_*(p_2)^*(p_2)_*(p_1)^*(\kappa).$$

The next lemma upgrades these statements to push forwards and pull backs of λ_y classes.

Lemma 6.2. Let $d \ge 1$. The following hold: (a) $q_*(p^*\lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}_{n-k}^{\vee})) = \lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}_{n-k-d}^{\vee})$. (b) $q_*(p^*\lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}_{n-k}^{\vee})) = \pi^*(\lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}_{n-k-d}^{\vee}))$.

22

$$\begin{array}{l} (c) \ (p_2)_*(p_1^*\lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}_{n-k}^{\vee})) = \lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}_{n-k-d}^{\vee}).\\ (d) \ (p_1)_*(p_2^*\lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}_{n-k-d}^{\vee})) = 1.\\ In \ all \ statements \ \mathcal{Q}_{n-k-d} = 0 \ if \ n-k-d < 0.\\ Analogous \ statements \ hold \ when \ one \ replaces \ \mathcal{Q}^{\vee} \ by \ the \ tautological \ subbundle \ \mathcal{S}. \end{array}$$

Proof. This essentially follows from the formulae proved in [GMSZ22a, §5]; for the convenience of the reader, we include a proof. From definition $p^*(\lambda_y(\mathcal{S}_k)) = \lambda_y(\mathcal{S}_k)$. To calculate $q_*(\lambda_y((\mathbb{C}^n/\mathcal{S}_k)^{\vee}))$ we observe that we have the short exact sequence on $\operatorname{Fl}(k-d,k,k+d;n)$

(6.3)
$$0 \to (\mathbb{C}^n / \mathcal{S}_{k+d})^{\vee} \to p^*(\mathcal{Q}_{n-k}^{\vee}) = (\mathbb{C}^n / \mathcal{S}_k)^{\vee} \to (\mathcal{S}_{k+d} / \mathcal{S}_k)^{\vee} \to 0.$$

From the Whitney formula it follows that

$$p^*\lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}_{n-k}^{\vee}) = \lambda_y(p^*(\mathcal{Q}_{n-k}^{\vee})) = \lambda_y((\mathbb{C}^n/\mathcal{S}_{k+d})^{\vee}) \cdot \lambda_y((\mathcal{S}_{k+d}/\mathcal{S}_k)^{\vee})$$

and then by the projection formula we deduce that

(6.4)
$$q_*(p^*\lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}_{n-k}^{\vee})) = \lambda_y((\mathbb{C}^n/\mathcal{S}_{k+d})^{\vee}) \cdot q_*(\lambda_y((\mathcal{S}_{k+d}/\mathcal{S}_k)^{\vee})).$$

Observe that the projection q realizes the three-step flag manifold $\operatorname{Fl}(k-d,k,k+d;n)$ as the Grassmann bundle $\mathbb{G}(\mathcal{S}_{k+d}/\mathcal{S}_{k-d})$ over $\operatorname{Fl}(k-d,k+d;n)$, with tautological sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{S}_k / \mathcal{S}_{k-d} \to \mathcal{S}_{k+d} / \mathcal{S}_{k-d} \to \mathcal{S}_{k+d} / \mathcal{S}_k \to 0.$$

Corollary 3.3(b) implies that

$$q_*(\lambda_y(\mathcal{S}_{k+d}/\mathcal{S}_k)^{\vee}) = q_*[\mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Fl}(k-d,k,k+d;n)}] = [\mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Fl}(k-d,k+d;n)}].$$

Then the claim in (a) follows from this and (6.4), and (b) follows because $\pi^*(\lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}_{n-k-d})^{\vee}) = \lambda_y((\mathbb{C}^n/\mathcal{S}_{k+d})^{\vee}).$

The claim in (c) follows along the same lines. More precisely, one uses again the short exact sequence in (6.3) and the projection formula to show that

(6.5)
$$(p_2)_*(p_1^*\lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}_{n-k}^{\vee})) = \lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}_{n-k-d}^{\vee}) \cdot (p_2)_*(\lambda_y((\mathcal{S}_{k+d}/\mathcal{S}_k)^{\vee})).$$

The projection p_2 realizes the two-step flag manifold $\operatorname{Fl}(k, k+1; n)$ as the Grassmann bundle $\mathbb{G}(k, \mathcal{S}_{k+d})$ with tautological sequence $0 \to \mathcal{S}_k \to \mathcal{S}_{k+d} \to \mathcal{S}_{k+d}/\mathcal{S}_k \to 0$. Then one applies Corollary 3.3(b) to show that $(p_2)_*(\lambda_y((\mathcal{S}_{k+d}/\mathcal{S}_k)^{\vee}))) = [\mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Gr}(k+d;n)}]$. This finishes the proof of (c).

Finally, to prove (d) one observes that p_1 : $\operatorname{Fl}(k, k+d; n) \to \operatorname{Gr}(k; n)$ realizes the two-step flag manifold as the Grassmann bundle $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{C}^n/\mathcal{S}_k)$ with tautological sequence $0 \to \mathcal{S}_{k+d}/\mathcal{S}_k \to \mathbb{C}^n/\mathcal{S}_k \to \mathbb{C}^n/\mathcal{S}_{k+d} \to 0$. Since $\mathbb{C}^n/\mathcal{S}_{k+d} = p_2^*\lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}_{n-k-d}^{\vee})$, the claim follows again from Corollary 3.3(b).

Corollary 6.3. Let $a, b \in K_{\mathbb{T}}(\operatorname{Gr}(k; n))$ and $d \ge 1$. Then there is an equality:

$$\langle a, b, \lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}_{n-k}^{\vee}) \rangle_d = \int_{\mathrm{Gr}(k+d;n)} (p_2)_*(p_1^*(a)) \cdot (p_2)_*(p_1^*(b)) \cdot \lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}_{n-k-d}^{\vee})$$

Proof. This follows from Theorem 5.2 and Lemma 6.2.

Theorem 6.1. Consider the multiplication

$$\lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}_{n-k}^{\vee}) \star [\mathrm{pt}]_{k,n} = \sum_{d,\nu} N_{\kappa,\lambda}^{\nu,d} q^d \mathcal{O}_{\nu}.$$

Then $N_{\kappa,\lambda}^{\nu,d} = 0$ for any $d \ge 2$.

Proof. From (5.4) it suffices to show that for any $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda} \in K_{\mathbb{T}}(\operatorname{Gr}(k;n))$, and

(6.6)
$$\langle \lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}_{n-k}^{\vee}), [\mathrm{pt}]_{k;n}, \mathcal{O}_{\lambda}^{\vee} \rangle_d = \sum_{\nu} \langle \lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}_{n-k}^{\vee}), [\mathrm{pt}]_{k;n}, \mathcal{O}_{\nu}^{\vee} \rangle_{d-1} \cdot \langle \mathcal{O}_{\nu}, \mathcal{O}_{\lambda}^{\vee} \rangle_1$$

We calculate both sides of this equation. By Corollary 6.3 and Lemma 6.1(a), the left hand side is equal to

$$\chi_{\operatorname{Gr}(k+d;n)}\Big(\lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}_{n-k-d}^{\vee})\cdot\mathcal{O}_{(n-k-d)^k}\cdot(p_2)_*(p_1^*)(\mathcal{O}_{\lambda}^{\vee})\Big).$$

Recall from Corollary 4.2 that

$$\lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}_{n-k-d}^{\vee}) \cdot \mathcal{O}_{(n-k-d)^k} = \prod_{i=d+1}^{n-k} (1+\frac{y}{\varepsilon_i}) \mathcal{O}_{(n-k-d)^k} - \sum_{r=1}^{n-k-d} \frac{y}{\varepsilon_{d+r}} \Big(\prod_{i=d+r+1}^{n-k} (1+\frac{y}{\varepsilon_i})\Big) \mathcal{O}_{((n-k-d)^k,r)}.$$

Taking each Schubert class in this sum and using projection formula and Lemma 6.1(b), one obtains that the left hand side of (6.6) is equal to:

$$\chi_{\operatorname{Gr}(k+d;n)}(\mathcal{O}_{((n-k-d)^{k},r)} \cdot (p_{2})_{*}(p_{1}^{*})(\mathcal{O}_{\lambda}^{\vee})) = \chi_{\operatorname{Gr}(k;n)}(\mathcal{O}_{((n-k-d)^{k-d},r)} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\lambda}^{\vee})$$
$$= \delta_{((n-k-d)^{k-d},r),\lambda}$$

Therefore the left hand side of (6.6) is equal to

(6.7)
$$\begin{cases} \prod_{i=d+1}^{n-k} (1+\frac{y}{\varepsilon_i}) & \lambda = (n-k-d)^{k-d}; \\ -\frac{y}{\varepsilon_{d+r}} \left(\prod_{i=d+r+1}^{n-k} (1+\frac{y}{\varepsilon_i}) \right) & \lambda = ((n-k-d)^{k-d}, r); \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

A similar calculation, using now that $\langle \mathcal{O}_{\nu}, \mathcal{O}_{\lambda}^{\vee} \rangle_1 = \delta_{\nu[-1],\lambda}$, yields that the right hand side of (6.6) is equal to:

(6.8)
$$\begin{cases} \prod_{i=d}^{n-k} (1+\frac{y}{\varepsilon_i}) \delta_{\nu[-1],\lambda} & \nu = (n-k-d+1)^{k-d+1}; \\ -\frac{y}{\varepsilon_{d-1+s}} \left(\prod_{i=d+s}^{n-k} (1+\frac{y}{\varepsilon_i}) \right) \delta_{\nu[-1],\lambda} & \nu = ((n-k-d+1)^{k-d+1}, s); \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Here $1 \le s \le n-k-d+1$. The hypothesis $d \ge 2$ implies that the set of ν 's of the form $((n-k-d+1)^{k-d+1}, s)$ is non-empty.

If $\lambda = (n - k - d)^{k-d}$ then there are two ν 's such that $\nu[-1] = \lambda$ and $\nu = ((n - k - d + 1)^{k-d+1}, p)$. These arise for p = 0, 1, and their contribution to the right hand side is

$$\prod_{i=d}^{n-k} (1+\frac{y}{\varepsilon_i}) - \frac{y}{\varepsilon_d} \Big(\prod_{i=d+1}^{n-k} (1+\frac{y}{\varepsilon_i}) \Big) = \prod_{i=d+1}^{n-k} (1+\frac{y}{\varepsilon_i}),$$

which confirms the two sides are equal in this case. If $\lambda = ((n - k - d)^{k-d}, r)$ for some $1 \le r \le n - k - d$, then there is exactly one ν with the required constraints, of the form $\nu = ((n - k - d + 1)^{k-d+1}, r + 1)$; one easily checks the contributions from (6.7) and (6.8) are equal. The other possibilities for λ contribute with 0 in both sides.

For later use we denote the Euler class at $[pt]_{k,n}$ by

(6.9)
$$e_{k;n} = \prod_{j=1}^{n-k} (1 + \frac{y}{\varepsilon_j}).$$

Theorem 6.2. The multiplication $\lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}_{n-k}^{\vee}) \star [\text{pt}]_{k,n}$ in $\text{QK}_{\mathbb{T}}(\text{Gr}(k;n))$ is given by

$$\lambda_{y}(\mathcal{Q}_{n-k}^{\vee}) \star [\mathrm{pt}]_{k,n} = e_{k;n}[\mathrm{pt}]_{k,n} - q \sum_{i=0}^{n-k-1} \frac{y}{\varepsilon_{i+1}} \prod_{s=i+2}^{n-k} (1 + \frac{y}{\varepsilon_{s}}) \mathcal{O}_{(n-k-1)^{k-1},i)}$$
$$= \prod_{j=1}^{n-k} (1 + \frac{y}{\varepsilon_{j}}) \mathcal{O}_{(n-k)^{k}} - q \sum_{i=0}^{n-k-1} \frac{y}{\varepsilon_{i+1}} \prod_{s=i+2}^{n-k} (1 + \frac{y}{\varepsilon_{s}}) \mathcal{O}_{((n-k-1)^{k-1},i)}.$$

Proof. Theorem 6.1 implies that we only need to consider terms corresponding to the q-powers q^0 and q^1 . The classical term is clearly the one claimed. From (5.4) the coefficient of $q\mathcal{O}_{\lambda}$ is equal to

(6.10)
$$\langle \lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}_{n-k}^{\vee}), [\mathrm{pt}]_{k;n}, (\mathcal{O}_{\lambda})^{\vee} \rangle_1 - \sum_{\nu} \langle \lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}_{n-k}^{\vee}), [\mathrm{pt}]_{k;n}, (\mathcal{O}_{\nu})^{\vee} \rangle_0 \cdot \langle \mathcal{O}_{\nu}, (\mathcal{O}_{\lambda})^{\vee} \rangle_1.$$

The terms in this expression have been calculated in (6.7) respectively (6.8). If $\lambda = (n - k - 1)^{k-1}$ then the contribution is

$$\prod_{i=2}^{n-k} (1+\frac{y}{\varepsilon_i}) - \prod_{i=1}^{n-k} (1+\frac{y}{\varepsilon_i}) = -\frac{y}{\varepsilon_1} \prod_{i=2}^{n-k} (1+\frac{y}{\varepsilon_i}),$$

as claimed. The only other possible λ 's must be of the form $((n - k - 1)^{k-1}, i)$ with $1 \le i \le n - k - 1$. Their contribution arises from (6.7) (with d = 1), and it is equal to

$$-\frac{y}{\varepsilon_{1+i}}\prod_{s=i+2}^{n-k}(1+\frac{y}{\varepsilon_s})$$

as claimed. This finishes the proof.

Corollary 6.4. Let λ be any partition in the $k \times (n-k)$ rectangle. Then the maximal power of q in the multiplication $\lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}_{n-k}^{\vee}) \star \mathcal{O}_{\lambda}$ is at most 1.

Proof. By Theorem 6.2, the claim holds in the case $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda} = [\text{pt}]_{k;n}$. For the general case, one may pick a left divided difference operator $\partial_{w_{\lambda}}$ such that $\partial_{w_{\lambda}}[\text{pt}]_{k;n} = \mathcal{O}_{\lambda}$. Using that $\partial_{w_{\lambda}}$ commutes with $\lambda_{y}(\mathcal{Q}_{n-k}^{\vee})$ we obtain:

$$\lambda_{y}(\mathcal{Q}_{n-k}^{\vee}) \star \mathcal{O}_{\lambda} = \lambda_{y}(\mathcal{Q}_{n-k}^{\vee}) \star \partial_{w_{\lambda}}[\mathrm{pt}]_{k;n}$$
$$= \partial_{w_{\lambda}}(\lambda_{y}(\mathcal{Q}_{n-k}^{\vee}) \star [\mathrm{pt}]_{k;n}).$$

The claim follows from this.

We will see below that the coefficients of q^0 and q^1 in the multiplication $\lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}_{n-k}^{\vee})\star\mathcal{O}_{\lambda}$ recovers the expressions for the diagonal operators τ_{00} and τ_{11} acting on \mathcal{O}_{λ} .

7. On and off-diagonal operators from convolutions

The goal of this section is to define the convolutions τ_{ij} , which give the geometric counterparts of the entries in the monodromy matrix $T = (t_{ij})$ from (8.6). To make the connection between geometry and the integrable system, the key calculation is the action of these operators on the class of the Schubert point. Since $QK_{\mathbb{T}}(Gr(k;n))$ is a cyclic (degenerate) Hecke algebra generated by the class of the Schubert point, this determines the action on all other Schubert classes.

As advertised, the geometric operators arise as convolutions, and the attentive reader will observe that these are precisely the convolutions calculating the quantum K-product by $\lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}^{\vee})$ using the 'quantum=classical' diagrams.

Recall the diagram:

(7.1)
$$\begin{array}{c} \operatorname{Fl}(k,k+1;n) \xrightarrow{p_2} \operatorname{Gr}(k+1;n) , \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \operatorname{Gr}(k;n) \end{array}$$

where all maps are the projection maps. All these maps are GL_n -equivariant. Next is our geometric definition of the coefficients τ_{ij} in the monodromy matrix.

For each $0 \le k \le n$, define the 'diagonal' operators τ_{00}, τ_{11} as the endomorphisms in End($K_{\mathbb{T}}(\operatorname{Gr}(k;n))$) given by:

$$\tau_{00}(\kappa) = \lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}_{n-k}^{\vee}) \cdot \kappa.$$

The endomorphism τ_{11} is defined as the difference

$$\tau_{11} = \tau_{11}^{(1)} - \tau_{11}^{(2)}$$

where

$$\tau_{11}^{(1)}(\kappa) = (p_1)_* p_2^*((p_2)_* p_1^*(\kappa) \cdot \lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}_{n-k-1}^{\vee}));$$

$$\tau_{11}^{(2)}(\kappa) = (p_1)_* p_2^*(p_2)_* p_1^*(\kappa \cdot \lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}_{n-k}^{\vee})).$$

Define the 'off-diagonal' operators τ_{10} : $K_{\mathbb{T}}(\operatorname{Gr}(k;n)) \to K_{\mathbb{T}}(\operatorname{Gr}(k+1;n))$ and τ_{01} : $K_{\mathbb{T}}(\operatorname{Gr}(k+1;n)) \to K_{\mathbb{T}}(\operatorname{Gr}(k;n))$ by:

$$\tau_{10}(\kappa) = \lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}_{n-k-1}^{\vee}) \cdot (p_2)_*(p_1)^*(\kappa) - (p_2)_* p_1^*(\lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}_{n-k}^{\vee}) \cdot \kappa).$$

$$\tau_{01}(\kappa) = (p_1)_*(p_2)^*(\lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}_{n-k-1}^{\vee}) \cdot \kappa).$$

(We will not use this, but note that $\tau_{11} = (p_1)_* p_2^*(\tau_{10})$.) Since $\mathcal{Q}_{n-k}, \mathcal{Q}_{n-k-1}$ are homogeneous bundles, their λ_y classes commute with the left Weyl group action. In turn, this implies that the operators τ_{ij} also commute with the left Weyl group action, in the sense that for any $w \in W$, and any class κ ,

$$\tau_{ij}(\boldsymbol{w}.\boldsymbol{\kappa}) = \boldsymbol{w}.\tau_{ij}(\boldsymbol{\kappa}).$$

The next result gives the main calculation needed to identify the operators τ_{00} , τ_{11} with the diagonal operators from the integrable system.

Theorem 7.1. The endomorphism $\tau := \tau_{00} + q\tau_{11}$ is equal to the operator of quantum K-multiplication by $\lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}_{n-k}^{\vee})$. That is, for any $\kappa \in \mathrm{K}_{\mathbb{T}}(\mathrm{Gr}(k;n))$,

$$\tau(\kappa) = \lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}_{n-k}^{\vee}) \star \kappa.$$

In particular,

$$\tau_{00}[\mathrm{pt}]_{k,n} = \prod_{i=1}^{n-k} (1+y\varepsilon_i^{-1})[\mathrm{pt}]_{k,n},$$

and

$$\tau_{11}[\mathrm{pt}]_{k,n} = -\sum_{i=0}^{n-k-1} y \varepsilon_{i+1}^{-1} \prod_{s=i+2}^{n-k} (1+y\varepsilon_s^{-1}) \mathcal{O}_{(n-k-1)^{k-1},i)}.$$

Proof. The statement follows from the calculation of $\lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}_{n-k}^{\vee}) \star \kappa$ based on the 'quantum=classical' statement. More precisely, by Corollary 6.4, the multiplication in question has only q-powers at most equal to 1. The classical term is clearly the one claimed. Take an arbitrary partition λ in the $k \times (n-k)$ rectangle. From (5.4) the coefficient of $q\mathcal{O}_{\lambda}$ in $\lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}_{n-k}^{\vee}) \star \kappa$ is equal to

(7.2)
$$\langle \lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}_{n-k}^{\vee}), \kappa, (\mathcal{O}_{\lambda})^{\vee} \rangle_1 - \sum_{\nu} \langle \lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}_{n-k}^{\vee}), \kappa, (\mathcal{O}_{\nu})^{\vee} \rangle_0 \cdot \langle \mathcal{O}_{\nu}, (\mathcal{O}_{\lambda})^{\vee} \rangle_1$$

From the quantum=classical statement in Corollary 6.3, and by applying repeatedly the projection formula, and the cohomological triviality of the projection maps from Lemma 3.1, we obtain that

$$\langle \lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}_{n-k}^{\vee}), \kappa, \mathcal{O}_{\lambda}^{\vee} \rangle_1 = \chi(t_{11}^{(1)}(\kappa), \mathcal{O}_{\lambda}^{\vee}).$$

To calculate the sum in the second part, observe that by (6.2) the two-point KGW invariants may be calculated using the curve neighbourhoods: $\langle \mathcal{O}_{\nu}, \mathcal{O}_{\lambda}^{\vee} \rangle_{1} = \delta_{\nu[-1],\lambda}$.

Then

$$\sum_{\nu} \langle \lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}_{n-k}^{\vee}), \kappa, \mathcal{O}_{\nu}^{\vee} \rangle_0 \cdot \langle \mathcal{O}_{\nu}, \mathcal{O}_{\lambda}^{\vee} \rangle_1 = \sum_{\nu} \chi(\lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}_{n-k}^{\vee}) \cdot \kappa \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\nu}^{\vee}) \delta_{\nu[-1],\lambda}$$
$$= \chi\left((\lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}_{n-k}^{\vee}) \cdot \kappa)[-1], \mathcal{O}_{\lambda}^{\vee} \right).$$

In other words, this is the coefficient of \mathcal{O}_{λ} in the curve neighborhood of the multiplication $\lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}_{n-k}^{\vee}) \cdot \kappa$ in $K_{\mathbb{T}}(\operatorname{Gr}(k;n))$. By Lemma 6.1, this curve neighborhood is precisely $\tau_{11}^{(2)}(\kappa)$. Combining everything, we proved that the coefficient of $q\mathcal{O}_{\lambda}$ in $\lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}_{n-k}^{\vee}) \star \kappa$ is equal $\chi((\tau_{11}^{(1)} - \tau_{11}^{(2)})(\kappa), \mathcal{O}_{\lambda}^{\vee})$, which proves the claim about τ .

Finally, the formulae for $\tau_{00}[\text{pt}]_{k;n}$ and $\tau_{11}[\text{pt}]_{k;n}$ follow from the multiplication $\lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}_{n-k}^{\vee})\star$ [pt]_{k;n} from Theorem 6.2.

The next theorem gives the actions of the 'off-diagonal' operators τ_{01} , τ_{10} on the class of the point. This key result will be used to identify these operators with those from Theorem 9.1.

Theorem 7.2. *The following hold:*

(a) $\tau_{10}[\text{pt}]_{k;n} = -\sum_{r=1}^{n-k} y \varepsilon_r^{-1} \prod_{i=r+1}^{n-k} (1+y\varepsilon_i^{-1}) \mathcal{O}_{((n-k-1)^k,r-1)};$ (b) $\tau_{01}[\text{pt}]_{k+1;n} = \prod_{i=1}^{n-k-1} (1+y\varepsilon_i^{-1}) \mathcal{O}_{(n-k-1)^k}.$

In particular, part (1) of the theorem implies that if $y = -\varepsilon_{n-k}$, then

$$(\tau_{10}[\mathrm{pt}]_{k;n})_{y=-\varepsilon_{n-k}} = [\mathrm{pt}]_{k+1;n}$$

Proof. To prove (a), we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{10}[\mathrm{pt}]_{k,n} &= \lambda_{y}(\mathcal{Q}_{n-k-1}^{\vee}) \cdot (p_{2})_{*}(p_{1})^{*}[\mathrm{pt}]_{k;n} - (p_{2})_{*}p_{1}^{*}(\lambda_{y}(\mathcal{Q}_{n-k}^{\vee}) \cdot [\mathrm{pt}]_{k;n}) \\ &= \lambda_{y}(\mathcal{Q}_{n-k-1}^{\vee}) \cdot \mathcal{O}_{(n-k-1)^{k}} - \prod_{i=1}^{n-k} (1+y\varepsilon_{i}^{-1})\mathcal{O}_{(n-k-1)^{k}} \\ &= \prod_{i=2}^{n-k} (1+\frac{y}{\varepsilon_{i}})\mathcal{O}_{(n-k-1)^{k}} \\ &\quad - \sum_{r=1}^{n-k-1} \frac{y}{\varepsilon_{r+1}} \Big(\prod_{i=r+2}^{n-k} (1+\frac{y}{\varepsilon_{i}})\Big)\mathcal{O}_{((n-k-1)^{k},r)} - \prod_{i=1}^{n-k} (1+\frac{y}{\varepsilon_{i}})\mathcal{O}_{(n-k-1)^{k}} \\ &= -y\varepsilon_{1}^{-1} \prod_{i=2}^{n-k} (1+y\varepsilon_{i}^{-1})\mathcal{O}_{(n-k-1)^{k}} - \sum_{r=1}^{n-k-1} y\varepsilon_{r+1}^{-1} \Big(\prod_{i=r+2}^{n-k} (1+y\varepsilon_{i}^{-1})\Big)\mathcal{O}_{((n-k-1)^{k},r)}. \end{aligned}$$

Here the second equality follows from Lemma 6.1 and the third from Corollary 4.2. The last expression is the same as that in part (a). Finally, part (b) follows from definition and Lemma 6.1. $\hfill \Box$

7.1. **Dual operators.** The operators τ_{ij} involve \mathcal{Q}^{\vee} , the (vector bundle) dual of the tautological quotient bundle. There is also a dual theory which involves the tautological subbundles \mathcal{S} , and it is defined in terms of operators $\tilde{\tau}_{ij}$ acting on \mathbf{V}_n . The dual theory also arises in the context of integrable systems, see Section 8.3 below. The definition of the operators $\tilde{\tau}_{ij}$, and the proof of their properties (in analogy to τ_{ij}) is an exercise in judiciously applying the level-rank duality from Section 3.3. For the convenience of the reader, we give the precise definitions, but we leave out most of the details of proof.

The definitions of $\tilde{\tau}_{ij}$'s are similar to those for τ_{ij} , except that the order of arrows is reversed. More precisely, define endomorphisms $\tilde{\tau}_{00}, \tilde{\tau}_{11}$ of $K_{\mathbb{T}}(\operatorname{Gr}(k; n))[y]$ by the condition that:

$$\tilde{\tau}_{00} + q\tilde{\tau}_{11} : \operatorname{QK}_{\mathbb{T}}(\operatorname{Gr}(k;n))[y] \to \operatorname{QK}_{\mathbb{T}}(\operatorname{Gr}(k;n))[y]; \quad \mathcal{O}_{\lambda} \mapsto \mathcal{O}_{\lambda} \star \lambda_{y}(\mathcal{S}_{k}).$$

For the 'off-diagonal' entries, recall the diagram (7.1), and define the convolution operators:

$$\tilde{\tau}_{01} = \tilde{\tau}_{01}(y) : \operatorname{K}_T(\operatorname{Gr}(k+1,n))[y] \to \operatorname{K}_T(\operatorname{Gr}(k,n))[y]$$

by

$$\tilde{\tau}_{01}(\kappa) = \lambda_y(\mathcal{S}_k) \cdot (p_1)_*(p_2)^*(\kappa) - (p_1)_*p_2^*(\lambda_y(\mathcal{S}_{k+1}) \cdot \kappa),$$

and

$$\tilde{\tau}_{10} = \tilde{\tau}_{10}(y) : \operatorname{K}_T(\operatorname{Gr}(k,n))[y] \to \operatorname{K}_T(\operatorname{Gr}(k+1,n))[y]$$

by

$$\tilde{\tau}_{10}(\kappa) = (p_2)_*(p_1)^*(\lambda_y(\mathcal{S}_k) \cdot \kappa).$$

Theorem 7.3. The operators $\tilde{\tau}_{ij}[\text{pt}_{k;n}]$ satisfy the same formulas as those satisfied by $\tilde{t}_{ij}(v_{(n-k)^k})$ in Theorem 9.1 below.

Proof. One proof follows from the observation that the 'geometric' level rank duality from (3.5) fits with the equality (8.37) developed in integrable systems. In particular, the identity (8.38) also holds, which proves the claim.

Alternatively, one can do this using a geometric argument. We start with the diagonal operators $\tilde{\tau}_{00}$ and $\tilde{\tau}_{11}$. In this case, the claim follows from applying the level-rank duality to the formulae calculating $\tau_{00}[\text{pt}_{k;n}]$ and $\tau_{11}[\text{pt}_{k;n}]$: indeed, the level rank duality extends without changes to quantum K-theory.

For the off diagonal operators, using the previous formulae for $\lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}^{\vee})$ acting on the class of the (Schubert) point, along with the level-rank duality, one obtains similar formulae for the action of $\lambda_y(\mathcal{S})$ on the point. Once multiplications by $\lambda_y(\mathcal{S})$ are obtained, the 'dual' push forward formulae from Lemma 6.1 and Lemma 6.2 may be applied, and similar arguments as those in Theorem 7.2 yield the claim.

8. The Yang-Baxter Algebra

In this section we introduce a noncommutative and non-cocommutative Hopf algebra YB = YB(R) in terms of a solution of the quantum Yang-Baxter equation, called the R-matrix, which is intimately related to the left Weyl group action in quantum K-theory.

The Hopf algebra YB can be seen as a degenerate version of the dual Hopf algebra of the Drinfeld-Jimbo quantum group $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_2[z^{\pm 1}])$ which is a quasi-triangular Hopf algebra, see e.g. [CP95, Ch. 7 and 12].

Historically, quantum groups originated from the work of Faddeev, Reshetikhin, Takhtajan on the quantum inverse scattering method and quantum integrable systems, such as quantum spin chains [Fad90]. In their approach, one starts from the *R*-matrix to define an associative unital algebra in terms of quadratic relations which are written in matrix form; the so-called *RTT*-relations. In the special case of q-deformed universal enveloping algebras such as $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$ as introduced by Drinfel'd and Jimbo, this approach can be understood as a q-deformation of the algebra of functions on GL₂. Viewed as a Hopf algebra, the latter is dual to the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$. The case we consider here is a degenerate version of the deformation of the loop algebra $\mathfrak{gl}_2[z^{\pm 1}]$.

8.1. **Definitions.** Consider \mathbb{C}^2 and denote by $\{v_0, v_1\}$ its standard basis. Given some indeterminate u define the following function R(z) with values in $\operatorname{End}(\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2)$,

$$(8.1) R(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1-z \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & z \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Note that R(1) = P, the flip-operator, defined by $P(v_a \otimes v_b) = v_b \otimes v_a$. Fixing in $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ the ordered basis $\{v_0 \otimes v_0, v_0 \otimes v_1, v_1 \otimes v_0, v_1 \otimes v_1\}$, the same *R*-matrix is often written as the 4 × 4 matrix

$$R(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 - z & z & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

This form of the R-matrix is particularly convenient when deriving the graphical calculus where we identify each non-zero matrix element with one of the following five vertex configurations,

Here the labels α and β on the West and North edge determine which vector $v_{\alpha} \otimes v_{\beta}$ the *R*-matrix acts on, while the values γ and δ of the East and South edge prescribe the term $v_{\gamma} \otimes v_{\delta}$ in the expansion of the image.

Lemma 8.1. The *R*-matrix (8.1) solves the quantum Yang-Baxter equation (QYBE):

(8.3)
$$R_{12}(z/w)R_{13}(z)R_{23}(w) = R_{23}(w)R_{13}(z)R_{12}(z/w) .$$

Furthermore, the additional identities hold for the inverse R-matrix:

(8.4)
$$R(z)^{-1} = P \circ R(z^{-1}) \circ P = (\sigma \otimes \sigma)R(z^{-1})(\sigma \otimes \sigma);$$

here $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

Employing the *R*-matrix (8.1) we follow the same steps as in [GK17] and define a Hopf algebra YB := YB(R) in several steps.

The generators of YB will be denoted by $\{t_{ij}[r] : r \in \mathbb{Z}, i, j = 0, 1\}$ and are collectively written in terms of the currents

(8.5)
$$t_{ij}(z) = \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} t_{ij}[r] z^r \in \operatorname{YB}[\![z^{\pm 1}]\!], \qquad \operatorname{YB}[\![z^{\pm 1}]\!] = \operatorname{YB} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[\![z^{\pm 1}]\!]$$

and the following monodromy matrix,

$$(8.6) \quad T(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes t_{00}(z) + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes t_{01}(z) + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes t_{10}(z) + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes t_{11}(u) = \begin{pmatrix} t_{00}(z) & t_{01}(z) \\ t_{01}(z) & t_{11}(z) \end{pmatrix} \in \operatorname{End}(\mathbb{C}^2) \otimes \operatorname{YB}[[z^{\pm 1}]] .$$

The (quadratic) defining relations of YB are encoded in the matrix identity

(8.7)
$$R_{12}(z/w)T_1(z)T_2(w) = T_2(w)T_1(z)R_{12}(z/w)$$

in $\operatorname{End}(\mathbb{C}^2\otimes\mathbb{C}^2)\otimes\operatorname{YB}[\![z^{\pm 1},w^{\pm 1}]\!]$, where we have set

$$T_1(z) = \sum_{i,j=0,1} E_{ij} \otimes 1 \otimes t_{ij}(z) \quad \text{and} \quad T_2(w) = \sum_{i,j=0,1} 1 \otimes E_{ij} \otimes t_{ij}(w)$$

with $E_{ij} = (\delta_{ia}\delta_{jb})_{0 \le a,b \le 1}$. The following lemma explicitly states some of the commutation relations of YB in terms of the generators (8.5). The latter are used in the computation of the Bethe vectors, which we will identify with the quantum idempotents in $QK_T(Gr(k, n))$; see also [GK17], but we warn the reader that we use a change of variable in this paper.

Lemma 8.2. The matrix equation (8.7) implies (among others) the identities:

(8.8)
$$t_{ij}(z)t_{ij}(w) = t_{ij}(w)t_{ij}(z) , \qquad i, j = 0, 1$$

(8.9)
$$t_{11}(w)t_{00}(z) - t_{00}(z)t_{11}(w) = \frac{1}{1 - z/w}t_{10}(z)t_{01}(w) - \frac{z/w}{1 - z/w}t_{10}(w)t_{01}(z)$$

(8.10)
$$t_{00}(z)t_{10}(w) = \frac{1}{1 - z/w}t_{10}(w)t_{00}(z) - \frac{1}{1 - z/w}t_{10}(z)t_{00}(w)$$

(8.11)
$$t_{11}(z)t_{10}(w) = \frac{1}{1 - w/z}t_{10}(w)t_{11}(z) - \frac{w/z}{1 - w/z}t_{10}(z)t_{11}(w)$$

(8.12)
$$t_{00}(z)t_{01}(w) = (1 - z/w)t_{01}(w)t_{00}(z) + \frac{z}{w}t_{01}(z)t_{00}(w)$$

(8.13)
$$t_{11}(z)t_{01}(w) = (1 - w/z)t_{01}(w)t_{11}(z) + t_{11}(w)t_{01}(z)$$

In particular, we have that for any central element q the elements $t(z) = t_{00}(z) + qt_{11}(z)$ generate an abelian subalgebra in YB, i.e. we have that t(z)t(w) = t(w)t(z). *Proof.* A straightforward computation where one inserts the definitions (8.1) and (8.6) into the identity (8.7) and then compares coefficients of the basis elements $E_{ij} \otimes E_{kl}$ in $\operatorname{End}(\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2)$ on both sides of the equation.

We require YB to be unital, denoting the identity by 1. The associativity of YB follows from the following standard argument: if one considers the triple product $T_1(u)T_2(z)T_3(w)$, then one can successively exchange the *T*-matrices using (8.7). Because *R* satisfies (8.3), the two possible choices of exchanging the three *T*-matrices in a different order must coincide and, hence, the algebra YB is associative.

Definition 8.1. We shall call the associative unital algebra YB defined in terms of the R-matrix (8.1) via (8.6), (8.5), (8.7) the Yang-Baxter algebra associated with R.

One advantage of presenting the algebra relations in the RTT = TTR form (8.7) is that one can easily read off the algebra automorphisms from the definition (8.1) and the properties (8.4) of the *R*-matrix. In particular, recall from (8.4) the vector space isomorphism $\sigma : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}^2$, which simply swaps the basis vectors v_0 and v_1 . We have the following statement:

Lemma 8.3. The map $T(z) \mapsto T(a \cdot z)$ with $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$ constitutes an algebra automorphism, while the map $T(z) \mapsto \sigma \cdot T(z) \cdot \sigma$ gives rise to an algebra anti-automorphism.

Proof. From the defining relations (8.7) and (8.1) we infer that only the difference of the variables u, v in the RTT-equation (8.7) enters the defining relations of the algebra and, thus, the first assertion now readily follows. Similarly, multiplying by $\sigma \otimes \sigma$ from both sides in (8.7) we obtain (using (8.4))

$$\sigma_1 \sigma_2 R_{12}(z/w) T_1(z) T_2(w) \sigma_1 \sigma_2 = R_{21}(z/w) \sigma_1 T_1(z) \sigma_1 \sigma_2 T_2(w) \sigma_2 = \sigma_2 T_2(w) \sigma_2 \sigma_1 T_1(z) \sigma_1 R_{21}(z/w) = \sigma_1 \sigma_2 T_2(w) T_1(z) R_{12}(z/w) \sigma_1 \sigma_2 = \sigma_1 \sigma_2 T_2(w) \sigma_1 \sigma_2 = \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_1 T_1(z) \sigma_1 \sigma_2 = \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 = \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 = \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_1$$

After switching factors in the tensor product by multiplying from both sides with the flip operator P_{12} the anti-automorphism now follows.

We now introduce the structure of an Hopf algebra on YB. Define the following algebra homomorphisms

(8.14)
$$\Delta : \mathrm{YB} \to \mathrm{YB} \otimes \mathrm{YB}, \qquad \Delta(t_{ij}(z)) = \sum_{k=0,1} t_{kj}(z) \otimes t_{ik}(z)$$

and

(8.15)
$$\epsilon : YB \to \mathbb{C}, \qquad \epsilon(t_{ij}(z)) = \delta_{ij}$$

where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta. For the representation we consider below in this paper, the formal inverse $T(z)^{-1}$ exists; see Lemma 8.8. This equips YB with a structure of a Hopf algebra with the antipode $S : YB \to YB$ defined by

(8.16)
$$S(t_{ij}(z)) = (T(z)^{-1})_{ij}.$$

That this map constitutes an anti-automorphism can directly be seen from the RTTrelation by multiplying both sides with the inverse T-matrices,

$$T_1(z)^{-1}T_2(w)^{-1}R_{12}(z/w) = R_{12}(z/w)T_2(w)^{-1}T_1(z)^{-1}$$

Proposition 8.4. (YB, Δ , ϵ , S) is a non-commutative and a non-cocommutative Hopf algebra.

Proof. A straightforward albeit somewhat tedious checking of the axioms of a Hopf algebra which we omit. \Box

Note that we have made a choice in the definition of the coproduct (8.14). The 'opposite coproduct' defined by

(8.17)
$$\Delta^{\mathrm{op}} : \mathrm{YB} \to \mathrm{YB} \otimes \mathrm{YB}, \qquad \Delta^{\mathrm{op}}(t_{ij}(z)) = \sum_{k=0,1} t_{ik}(z) \otimes t_{kj}(z)$$

leads to an alternative Hopf algebra structure (YB, $\Delta^{\text{op}}, \epsilon, S$). Obviously, the two coproducts Δ and Δ^{op} are related by taking the matrix transpose of the monodromy matrix (8.6), $T(z)^{\mathsf{T}} = (t_{ji}(z))_{i,j=0,1}$. This corresponds to considering the dual space $(\mathbb{C}^2)^* = \mathbb{C}v^0 \oplus \mathbb{C}v^1$, where $\langle v^i, v_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$ under the canonical pairing, and, hence considering the transpose of the *R*-matrix (8.1). In fact, when computing the transpose in each factor, denoted by $\mathsf{T} \otimes \mathsf{T}$, we notice that the *R*-matrix (8.1) is not invariant,

$$(8.18) \ R^{\vee}(z) = R(z)^{\mathsf{T}\otimes\mathsf{T}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1-z \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ z & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Therefore, we arrive at a different, albeit closely related, Hopf algebra which we shall call the 'opposite Yang-Baxter algebra' YB^{\vee}. Namely, noting that R^{\vee} also solves the quantum Yang-Baxter equation (8.3), we can as before define a Hopf algebra YB^{\vee} = YB(R^{\vee}) with generators $t_{ij}^{\vee}(z)$ and monodromy matrix $T^{\vee}(z) = (t_{i,j}^{\vee}(z))_{i,j=0,1}$.

Lemma 8.5. The map $T(z) \mapsto T^{\vee}(z) = T(z)^{\mathsf{T}}$ defines a Hopf algebra anti-isomorphism $YB \to YB^{\vee}$.

Proof. Suppose that T(z) satisfies the RTT-relation (8.7). Taking the transpose in both factors on both sides of the equation we obtain

$$T_1(z)^{\mathsf{T}} T_2(w)^{\mathsf{T}} R_{12}^{\vee}(z/w) = R_{12}^{\vee}(z/w) T_2(w)^{\mathsf{T}} T_1(z)^{\mathsf{T}}$$

Thus, sending $t_{ij}(z) \mapsto t_{ij}^{\vee}(z) = t_{ji}(z)$ is an algebra anti-isomorphism. As for the coproduct we note that

$$\Delta(t_{ij}(z))^{\vee} = \sum_{k} t_{kj}^{\vee}(z) \otimes t_{ik}^{\vee}(z) = \Delta^{\mathrm{op}}(t_{ij}^{\vee}(z)) .$$

Checking the relations for the antipode and co-unit are similarly straightforward. \Box

Remark 8.1. For the sake of brevity and in order not to overburden the reader with too many technical details, we shall limit our discussion mostly to the algebra YB and often omit the parallel discussion of the algebra YB^{\vee} where the results follow along analogous lines using Lemma 8.5.

34 VASSILY GORBOUNOV, CHRISTIAN KORFF, AND LEONARDO C. MIHALCEA

Geometrically, the algebra YB^{\vee} describes the ring structure of QK in the basis of quantum ideal sheaves, while YB is used to describe the ring structure in the basis of structure sheaves. This technical complication is absent from the case of quantum cohomology which is reflected in the fact that the corresponding *R*-matrix for quantum cohomology is symmetric, i.e. $R(z)^{\mathsf{T}\otimes\mathsf{T}} = R(z)$.

8.2. Evaluation modules and their tensor products. It is well known that the representations of quasi-triangular Hopf algebras give rise to braided monoidal categories; see e.g. [CP95, Ch. 4-5] and references therein. We now consider a particular class of finite-dimensional modules of the Yang-Baxter algebra YB and discuss the braiding of their tensor products in terms of the *R*-matrix (8.1); see Lemma 8.10 and Corollary 8.9. These modules will be later identified with the sum of (quantum) equivariant K-theory modules of Grassmannians and their braiding will be used to define a left action of the symmetric group which we will show to (1) coincide with the geometrically defined action in K-theory and (2) to commute with the action of YB; see Proposition 9.2.

Lemma 8.6. Let ε be some indeterminate. The map $\operatorname{YB}[\![z^{\pm 1}]\!] \to \operatorname{End} \mathbb{C}^2[\varepsilon^{\pm 1}] \otimes \mathbb{C}[z^{\pm 1}]$ given via

$$(8.19) T(z) \mapsto R(-z/\varepsilon)$$

defines an algebra homomorphism YB \rightarrow End $\mathbb{C}^2[\varepsilon^{\pm 1}]$. Explicitly, we have in terms of the generators:

(8.20)
$$t_{00}(z) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & z/\varepsilon \end{pmatrix}, \quad t_{01}(z) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

(8.21)
$$t_{10}(z) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -z/\varepsilon \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad t_{11}(z) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. In order to prove the assertion one needs to verify the relations (8.7), but the latter are trivially satisfied because the *R*-matrix solves (8.3) and $T(z) \mapsto T(-z)$ is an algebra automorphism.

Remark 8.2. The sign change $z \mapsto y = -z$ is introduced for later convenience when we discuss the geometric interpretation of the Yang-Baxter algebra.

We call the map (8.19) the evaluation homomorphism and $\mathbb{C}^2[\varepsilon^{\pm 1}]$ a left evaluation module, because of the close analogy with the definition of such modules for the affine quantum group $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_2)$. We shall refer to ε as 'evaluation parameter' as one usually evaluates it in the base field of the algebra, although here we will (eventually) identify it with one of the equivariant parameters.

Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $w \in W \simeq S_n$. Exploiting the coproduct structure of YB define the tensor product of evaluation modules:

(8.22)
$$\mathbf{V}_w = \mathbb{C}^2[\varepsilon_{w(n)}^{\pm 1}] \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{C}^2[\varepsilon_{w(2)}^{\pm 1}] \otimes \mathbb{C}^2[\varepsilon_{w(1)}^{\pm 1}].$$

Namely, sending

(8.23)
$$T(z) \mapsto R_{0n}(-z/\varepsilon_{w(1)}) \cdots R_{02}(-z/\varepsilon_{w(n-1)})R_{01}(-z/\varepsilon_{w(n)}) \in \operatorname{End}(\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbf{V}_w) \otimes \mathbb{C}[z]$$

in (8.7) defines an algebra homomorphism YB \rightarrow End \mathbf{V}_w via the decomposition (8.6). By abuse of notation we will keep using the same symbol for the matrix elements $t_{ij}(z)$ in YB_m[$z^{\pm 1}$] and their images in End $\mathbf{V}_w \otimes \mathbb{C}[z]$.

Example 8.7. Let us demonstrate on the simplest example, n = 2, how the map (8.23) defines a representation YB \rightarrow End \mathbf{V}_{s_1} . Comparing with the definition (8.6) of the monodromy matrix we need to decompose the product $R_{02}(-z/\varepsilon_2)R_{01}(-z/\varepsilon_1)$ into a sum of the form

$$R_{02}(-z/\varepsilon_2)R_{01}(-z/\varepsilon_1) = \sum_{i,j=0,1} E_{ij} \otimes t_{ij}(z),$$

with

$$t_{ij}(z) \in \operatorname{End}(\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2(\varepsilon_2^{\pm 1}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2(\varepsilon_1^{\pm 1})) \otimes \mathbb{C}[z]$$

Here the index 0 of the R-matrices refers to the first factor in the tensor product $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2(\varepsilon_2^{\pm 1}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2(\varepsilon_1^{\pm 1})$ and the indices 1 and 2 to the second and third factor respectively, indicating where each *R*-matrix acts non-trivially according to the expansion in (8.1). Using the latter expansion and multiplying matrices in the first factor labelled 0 we find,

$$\begin{aligned} R_{02}(-z/\varepsilon_2)R_{01}(-z/\varepsilon_1) &= \\ & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1+z/\varepsilon_1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1+z/\varepsilon_2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -z/\varepsilon_1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ & + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1+z/\varepsilon_2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ & + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1+z/\varepsilon_1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -z/\varepsilon_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ & & + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -z/\varepsilon_1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ & & & + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -z/\varepsilon_1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ & & & & \\ \end{aligned}$$

Thus, when comparing with the expansion of T(z) in (8.6) we find from the first line that

$$t_{00}(z) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1+z/\varepsilon_1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1+z/\varepsilon_2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -z/\varepsilon_1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

which matches the action prescribed by the coproduct (8.14),

$$\Delta t_{00}(z) = t_{00}(z) \otimes t_{00}(z) + t_{10}(z) \otimes t_{01}(z)$$

and the evaluation modules when inserting the expressions from Lemma (8.6) in each factor. Similarly, one checks the result for the remaining generators $t_{ij}(z)$.

Lemma 8.8. The inverse $T(z)^{-1}$ of the monodromy matrix exists in End \mathbf{V}_w and is given by

$$T(z)^{-1} \mapsto R_{10}(-\varepsilon_{w(n)}/z)R_{20}(-\varepsilon_{w(n-1)}/z)\cdots R_{01}(-\varepsilon_{w(n)}/z) .$$

Proof. This is immediate from the existence of the inverse of the *R*-matrix (8.4) and (8.23).

Remark 8.3. In general it is difficult to write explicit formulae for the generators $t_{ij}(z)$ as in the case n = 1. However, we will be able to describe the action of the matrix entries $t_{ij}(z)$ on the evaluation modules \mathbf{V}_w in terms of the graphical calculus described in §9.1 below. This action can be identified with certain multiplication and convolution operators in the quantum K-rings; see Theorem 9.1 and Corollary 9.4.

Remark 8.4. It follows from Lemma 8.5 that any left YB-module is a right YB^{\vee}-module and vice versa. Our definition of evaluation modules extends to YB^{\vee} along the same lines as discussed previously. However, one then considers instead the dual modules

(8.24)
$$\mathbf{V}_w^{\vee} := (\mathbb{C}^2)^* [\varepsilon_{w(1)}^{\pm 1}] \otimes \cdots \otimes (\mathbb{C}^2)^* [\varepsilon_{w(n)}^{\pm 1}]$$

where we have reversed the ordering of the factors (as usual in the context of dual modules for Hopf algebras). Both sets of modules are related via the following isomorphism: given a 01-word $J = j_1 \dots j_n$ and $f_i \in \mathbb{C}[\varepsilon_i^{\pm 1}], i = 1, \dots, n$ define a \mathbb{C} -linear map $\vee : \mathbf{V}_w \to \mathbf{V}_w^{\vee}$ via

(8.25)
$$f_n(\varepsilon_n)v_{j_n}\otimes\cdots\otimes f_1(\varepsilon_1)v_{j_1}\mapsto f_n(\varepsilon_1)v^{j_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes f_1(\varepsilon_n)v^{j_n},$$

where $\{v^j : j = 0, 1\} \subset (\mathbb{C}^2)^*$ denotes the dual basis to $\{v_j : j = 0, 1\} \subset \mathbb{C}^2$. Then we have the following intertwining relation between the (left) actions of the Yang-Baxter algebras YB and YB^V,

(8.26)
$$\forall \circ t_{ij}(z) = (t_{ii}^{\lor}(z))^{\mathsf{T}} \circ \lor ,$$

where T denotes the matrix transpose in End \mathbf{V}_w^{\vee} . In the case of quantum cohomology this isomorphism is simply Poincaré duality and one has $\mathrm{YB} \cong \mathrm{YB}^{\vee}$ because the corresponding *R*-matrix in that case is symmetric, $R^{\vee}(z) = R(z)^{\mathsf{T}\otimes\mathsf{T}} = R(z)$. This ceases to be true in the case of quantum *K*-theory and, hence, two Yang-Baxter algebras and two types of modules are involved.

We now discuss the braiding of the modules (8.22) which we will then identify with the geometric left Weyl group action below.

Corollary 8.9. Let $u, w \in W$. Then the YB-modules \mathbf{V}_u and \mathbf{V}_w are isomorphic and the isomorphism is given explicitly in terms of the *R*-matrix (8.1).

The proof of this corollary is immediate from the following lemma.

Lemma 8.10. Set $\check{R}(z) = P \circ R(z)$. Then

(8.27)
$$R_{12}(\varepsilon_1/\varepsilon_2)R_{02}(-z/\varepsilon_2)R_{01}(-z/\varepsilon_1) = R_{02}(-z/\varepsilon_2)R_{01}(-z/\varepsilon_2)R_{12}(\varepsilon_1/\varepsilon_2) .$$

In particular, set n = 2 and consider the tensor products \mathbf{V}_{s_1} and \mathbf{V}_{id} . Then the matrix $\check{R}(\varepsilon_1/\varepsilon_2)$ gives an isomorphism $\mathbf{V}_{id} \to \mathbf{V}_{s_1}$ of YB-modules.

Proof. From (8.3) it follows (after a relabelling of the spaces) that

$$R_{01}(z/\varepsilon_1)R_{02}(z/\varepsilon_2)R_{12}(\varepsilon_1/\varepsilon_2) = R_{12}(\varepsilon_1/\varepsilon_2)R_{02}(z/\varepsilon_2)R_{01}(z/\varepsilon_1) .$$

Multiplying with the flip operator P_{12} from the left on both sides and replacing z with -z the first assertion now follows.

To prove the second claim recall that exploiting the coproduct structure of YB the map $T(z) \mapsto R_{02}(-z/\varepsilon_2)R_{01}(-z/\varepsilon_1)$ gives \mathbf{V}_{s_1} and $T(z) \mapsto R_{02}(-z/\varepsilon_1)R_{01}(-z/\varepsilon_2)$ gives the representation \mathbf{V}_{id} for n = 2. The second claim now follows from the first noting that the \check{R} -matrix is invertible, according to (8.4).

Definition 8.2. A left YB-module M is called highest weight if there exists a nonzero vector $v_o \in M$ such that the following properties hold:

$$(8.28) (i) t_{ii}(z) \cdot v_o = \mu_i(z) v and (ii) t_{ij}(z) \cdot v_o = 0, i < j$$

for some $\mu_i \in \mathbb{C}[\![z^{\pm 1}]\!]$ and i, j = 0, 1.

Proposition 8.11. (i) All evaluation modules and their tensor products \mathbf{V}_w are highest weight YB-modules. Specifically, we have for the (left) YB-module \mathbf{V}_w defined in (8.23) that

$$(8.29) v_o = v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_1 \in (\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes n}$$

and

(8.30)
$$t_{00}(z).v_o = \prod_{k=1}^n (1+z/\varepsilon_k)v_o, \quad t_{01}(z).v_o = 0, \quad t_{11}(z).v_o = v_o.$$

(ii) The images $t_{ij}(z)$ in End $\mathbf{V}_w \otimes \mathbb{C}[z]$ have at most degree n in z, i.e. for the coefficients defined in (8.5) we have that

$$t_{ij}[r] \mapsto 0, \qquad \forall r > n \; .$$

(iii) Denote by $V_{k,n} \subset \mathbf{V}_w$ the subspace spanned by vectors of the form

$$f_n(\varepsilon_{w(n)})v_{i_n}\otimes\cdots\otimes f_1(\varepsilon_{w(1)})v_{i_1}, \qquad \sum_{j=1}^n i_j=n-k,$$

where $f_i \in \operatorname{Rep}_{\mathbb{T}}$. Then

 $t_{00}(z), t_{11}(z) : V_{k,n} \to V_{k,n} \otimes \mathbb{C}[z], \quad t_{01}(z) : V_{k,n} \to V_{k-1,n} \otimes \mathbb{C}[z], \quad t_{10}(z) : V_{k,n} \to V_{k+1,n} \otimes \mathbb{C}[z].$ That is, the operators $t_{00}(z), t_{11}(z)$ preserve the number of 0 and 1-letters in the word $I = i_1 \dots i_n$ labeling a vector in $V_{k,n}$, while $t_{01}(z)$ adds a 1-letter and removes a zero letter and $t_{10}(z)$ does the opposite.

Proof. All claims are a straightforward computation employing the explicit form of the R-matrix (8.1) and (8.23).

Recall from Lemma 8.2 that the elements $t(z) = t_{00}(z) + qt_{11}(z)$ with q central generate an abelian subalgebra of YB. We now construct a new basis in the evaluation module \mathbf{V}_w where these elements act diagonally. The elements of this basis are called 'Bethe vectors' and have been previously constructed in [GK17, Section 4] and we refer the readers to this work for proofs. We start by defining so-called 'off-shell' Bethe vectors: fix some $0 \le k \le n$ and let x_1, \ldots, x_k be pairwise commuting indeterminates. Then we set

(8.31)
$$\mathbf{b}(x_1,\ldots,x_k) = t_{10}(-x_1)\cdots t_{10}(-x_k)v_o,$$

where v_o is the highest weight vector (8.29). (For k = 0 we shall simply take v_o instead.) The following is a restatement of [GK17, Prop 4.3] which gives the expansion of the off-shell Bethe vectors in the spin basis $v_{\lambda} = v_{i_n} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i_1}$ where $I = i_1 \dots i_n$ is the unique 01-word corresponding to λ :

Proposition 8.12. We have the expansion

38

(8.32)
$$\mathbf{b}(x_1, \dots, x_k) = x_1 \cdots x_k \sum_{\lambda} \varepsilon_{\lambda}^{-1} G_{\lambda^{\vee}}(1 - x_1, \dots, 1 - x_k | 1 - \varepsilon_{w(n)}^{-1}, \dots, 1 - \varepsilon_{w(1)}^{-1}) v_{\lambda},$$

where the sum runs over all $\lambda \subset (n-k)^k$, λ^{\vee} denotes the partition whose Young diagram is the complement of the one of λ in the $k \times (n-k)$ bounding box and $\varepsilon_{\lambda} = \prod_{j \in J_{\lambda}} \varepsilon_{w(j)}$ with $J_{\lambda} \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$ being the positions of 0-letters in the 01-word corresponding to λ .

Using the commutation relations from Lemma 8.2 one now shows by a standard computation in the literature on quantum integrable systems (see e.g. [Fad90]) that the Bethe vectors are eigenvectors of the images of the elements t(z) in the evaluation module \mathbf{V}_w provided the indeterminates x_i satisfy the so-called Bethe ansatz equations,

(8.33)
$$\prod_{j=1}^{n} (1 - x_i/\varepsilon_j) \prod_{j \neq i}^{k} (x_j/x_i) + (-1)^k q = 0, \qquad i = 1, \dots, k.$$

The solutions of these equations are called 'Bethe roots' and the Bethe vectors evaluated on the Bethe roots are called 'on-shell'. (Physically, the Bethe roots are the momenta of quasi-particles in the associated quantum spin chain.) The statement which in is general difficult to prove is that all eigenvectors are obtained this way and that they from an eigenbasis in each subspace $V_{k,n} = \operatorname{span}\{v_{\lambda} : \lambda \subset (n-k)^k\} \subset \mathbf{V}_w$. Expanding the Bethe roots as power series in q both statements have been proven in [GK17, Lemma 4.6 and Theorem 4.8]. In particular, each solution $x^{\lambda} = (x_1^{\lambda}, \ldots, x_k^{\lambda})$ with $\lambda \subset (n-k)^k$ is uniquely identified by its formal limit $q \to 0$ where it coincides with ε_{λ} .

Theorem 8.1. The 'on-shell' Bethe vectors $\{\mathbf{b}_{\lambda} = \mathbf{b}(x_{1}^{\lambda}, \dots, x_{k}^{\lambda}) : \lambda \subset (n-k)^{k}\}$ provide an eigenbasis in each subspace $V_{k,n}$ and we have the eigenvalue equations

(8.34)
$$t(z)\mathbf{b}_{\lambda} = \left(\frac{\prod_{j=1}^{n}(1+z/\varepsilon_j)}{\prod_{i=1}^{k}(1+z/x_i^{\lambda})} + \frac{q}{\prod_{i=1}^{k}(1+x_i^{\lambda}/z)}\right)\mathbf{b}_{\lambda}.$$

N.B. the eigenvalues are polynomial in z because of the Bethe ansatz equations. In fact, one verifies that the condition that the residues at $z = -x_i$ vanish for $i = 1, \ldots, k$ is equivalent to the Bethe ansatz equations (8.33). The polynomial form of the eigenvalues can be made explicit using the so-called level-rank duality which we discuss next.

8.3. Right modules and Level-Rank duality. Recall that there is a natural ring isomorphism $QK_{\mathbb{T}}(Gr(k;n)) \to QK_{\mathbb{T}}(Gr(n-k;n))$, often referred to as 'level-rank duality' in the literature (because of the close connection between these rings in the simpler case of quantum cohomology and fusion rings in conformal field theory when setting q = 1). We briefly discuss this isomorphism in terms of the Yang-Baxter algebra by considering a specific linear isomorphism $\mathbf{V}_w \to \mathbf{V}_{ww_0}$ and comment on its algebraic origin at the end.

Define the following transformation of the R-matrix (8.1),

(8.35)
$$\tilde{R}(-z\varepsilon) = (1 \otimes \sigma)R(-z\varepsilon)^{\mathsf{T} \otimes 1}(1 \otimes \sigma)$$

where $\mathsf{T} \otimes 1$ denotes taking the transpose in the first factor of $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$. Using the latter we define a corresponding \tilde{T} -matrix via

(8.36)
$$\tilde{T}(z) = \tilde{R}_{0n}(-z\varepsilon_{w(n)})\cdots\tilde{R}_{02}(-z\varepsilon_{w(2)})\tilde{R}_{01}(-z\varepsilon_{w(1)}) \in \operatorname{End}(\mathbb{C}^2\otimes\mathbf{V}_w)\otimes\mathbb{C}[z]$$

and denote the corresponding matrix elements by $\tilde{t}_{ij}(z)$ with i, j = 0, 1. We then have the following relation with the (left) action of the Yang-Baxter algebra YB on \mathbf{V}_w :

Lemma 8.13. Consider the \mathbb{C} -linear extension of the involutive map $\Gamma : \mathbf{V}_w \to \mathbf{V}_{w \cdot w_0}$ defined by

$$(8.37) \qquad \Gamma: f_1(\varepsilon_{w(1)})v_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n(\varepsilon_{w(n)})v_{i_n} \mapsto f_1(\varepsilon_{w(n)}^{-1})v_{1-i_n} \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n(\varepsilon_{w(1)}^{-1})v_{1-i_1} \ .$$

Then we have the following relation between the entries of the monodromy matrix (8.23) and the ones of (8.36),

(8.38)
$$\tilde{t}_{ij}(z) \circ \Gamma = \Gamma \circ t_{ji}(z) .$$

Proof. It suffices to consider the case where $w = w_0$, since all the other tensor products are isomorphic. Consider the tensor product $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbf{V}_{w_0}$, where we label the first factor with '0' and the factors in \mathbf{V}_{w_0} consecutively from left to right with $1, 2, \ldots, n$. Then by taking the trace over the 0th factor, we arrive at the identity

$$t_{ij}(z) = \operatorname{Tr}_0(E_{ji} \otimes 1) R_{0n}(-z/\varepsilon_n) \cdots R_{01}(-z/\varepsilon_1)$$

= $\operatorname{Tr}_0(E_{ij} \otimes 1) R_{01}(-z/\varepsilon_1)^{\mathsf{T} \otimes 1} \cdots R_{0n}(-z/\varepsilon_n)^{\mathsf{T} \otimes 1}$
= $\left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^n \sigma_i\right) \operatorname{Tr}_0(E_{ij} \otimes 1) \tilde{R}_{01}(-z/\varepsilon_1) \cdots \tilde{R}_{0n}(-z/\varepsilon_n) \left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^n \sigma_i\right),$

where the indices indicate on which factors in $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbf{V}_{w_0}$ the operators act non-trivially. Comparing the last line with (8.36) the assertion follows.

Remark 8.5. The algebraic context of the last lemma becomes clear upon noting that the \tilde{T} -matrix defined in (8.36) satisfies the following quadratic relation,

$$\tilde{T}_1(z)\tilde{T}_2(w)R_{12}^{\mathsf{T}\otimes\mathsf{T}}(z/w) = R_{12}^{\mathsf{T}\otimes\mathsf{T}}(z/w)\tilde{T}_2(w)\tilde{T}_1(z)$$
.

That is, the map $T^{\vee}(z) \mapsto \tilde{T}(z)$ is an algebra anti-morphism $YB^{\vee} \to End \mathbf{V}_{ww_0}$, where YB^{\vee} is the Yang-Baxter algebra from Remark 8.1. Due to Lemma 8.5 we can regard each left YB-module as a right YB^{\vee} -module and the matrix (8.36) just describes this right action of YB^{\vee} on the (left) YB-module \mathbf{V}_w . On the level of algebras this amounts to the statement that the map $\gamma : T(z) \mapsto \sigma \cdot (T(z^{-1})^{-1})^{\mathsf{T}} \cdot \sigma$ defines an Hopf algebra anti-isomorphism $YB \to YB^{\vee}$. The latter is the algebraic analogue for the geometrically defined level-rank duality.

Recall that we have shown that all $\{\mathbf{V}_w\}_{w\in W}$ viewed as left YB-modules are isomorphic. Below we will show that this statement implies that the action of YB commutes with the natural (geometric) left Weyl-group action. It is therefore important to also discuss that all $\{\mathbf{V}_w\}_{w\in W}$ viewed as right YB^V-modules are isomorphic and, importantly, that the associated braiding for the right YB^V-modules is the same as for as for the left YB-modules.

Lemma 8.14. Let $\check{R}(z) = P \circ R(z)$ be the same matrix as defined in Lemma 8.10. Then

(8.39)
$$\dot{R}_{12}(\varepsilon_1/\varepsilon_2)R_{02}(-z\varepsilon_2)R_{01}(-z\varepsilon_1) = R_{02}(-z\varepsilon_1)R_{01}(-z\varepsilon_2)\dot{R}_{12}(\varepsilon_1/\varepsilon_2) .$$

Proof. Employing once more (8.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} R_{13}(-z\varepsilon_2)^{\mathsf{T}\otimes 1}R_{12}(-z\varepsilon_3)^{\mathsf{T}\otimes 1}R_{23}(\varepsilon_2/\varepsilon_3) &= R_{23}(\varepsilon_2/\varepsilon_3)R_{12}(-z\varepsilon_3)^{\mathsf{T}\otimes 1}R_{13}(-z\varepsilon_2)^{\mathsf{T}\otimes 1}\\ \tilde{R}_{13}(-z\varepsilon_2)\tilde{R}_{12}(-z\varepsilon_3)R_{32}(\varepsilon_2/\varepsilon_3) &= R_{32}(\varepsilon_2/\varepsilon_3)\tilde{R}_{12}(-z\varepsilon_3)\tilde{R}_{13}(-z\varepsilon_2) \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the identity $(\sigma \otimes \sigma)R_{23}(z)(\sigma \otimes \sigma) = R_{32}(z)$ from (8.4) in the second line. The assertion then follows upon noting that $R_{32}(z) = \check{R}_{23}(z) \circ P_{23}$.

Corollary 8.15. The vector spaces $\{\mathbf{V}\}_{w \in W}$ interpreted as right YB^{\vee} -modules via (8.36) are all isomorphic, with the isomorphisms given by the repeated action with the \check{R} -matrix.

Having established that the modules $\{\mathbf{V}_w\}_{w \in W}$ form isomorphism classes we specialize henceforth w = id and to ease the notation simply write \mathbf{V}_n instead. Note that, as vector spaces, we have

(8.40)
$$\mathbf{V}_n \cong (\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes n} \otimes \operatorname{Rep}_{\mathbb{T}},$$

where the latter isomorphism is canonical,

$$(8.41) f_n(\varepsilon_n)v_{j_n}\otimes\cdots\otimes f_1(\varepsilon_1)v_{j_1}\mapsto f_n(\varepsilon_n)\cdots f_1(\varepsilon_1)v_{j_n}\otimes\cdots\otimes v_{j_1}$$

Remark 8.6. Having identified the vector space \mathbf{V}_n simultaneously as a left YB and as a right YB^{\cents}-module, we can ask what the commutation relations are between the corresponding monodromy matrices (8.23) and (8.36). One finds that the following identity holds true,

(8.42)
$$\tilde{R}_{12}(zw)\tilde{T}_1(z)T_2(w) = T_2(w)\tilde{T}_1(z)\tilde{R}_{12}(zw) ,$$

from which the commutation relations of the corresponding matrix elements $t_{ij}(z)$ and $\tilde{t}_{ij}(z)$ can be derived.

Using level-rank duality there is an alternative construction of the Bethe vectors (8.31) using instead the operators $\tilde{t}_{01}(z)$. Namely, we now fix the lowest weight vector $\tilde{v}_o = \Gamma(v_o) = v_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_0 \in V_{n,n}$ satisfying

$$\tilde{t}_{00}(z)\tilde{v}_o = \prod_{i=1}^n (1+z\varepsilon_i) \ \tilde{v}_o, \qquad \tilde{t}_{11}(z)\tilde{v}_o = \tilde{v}_o, \qquad \tilde{t}_{10}(z)\tilde{v}_o = 0$$

and set

(8.43)
$$\tilde{\mathbf{b}}(\tilde{x}_1,\ldots,\tilde{x}_{n-k}) = \tilde{t}_{01}(-\tilde{x}_1)\cdots\tilde{t}_{01}(-\tilde{x}_{n-k})\tilde{v}_o .$$

Using (8.38) one easily verifies that $\mathbf{b}(\tilde{x}_1, \ldots, \tilde{x}_{n-k}) \in V_{k,n}[\tilde{x}_1, \ldots, \tilde{x}_{n-k}]$ is the image of $\mathbf{b}(\tilde{x}_1, \ldots, \tilde{x}_{n-k}) \in V_{n-k,n}[\tilde{x}_1, \ldots, \tilde{x}_{n-k}]$ under level-rank duality. The following is a restatement of [GK17, Prop. 4.9 and Cor. 4.10].

Theorem 8.2. Suppose that the \tilde{x}_i satisfy the dual Bethe ansatz equations,

(8.44)
$$\prod_{j=1}^{n} (1 - \tilde{x}_i \varepsilon_j) \prod_{j \neq i}^{n-k} (\tilde{x}_j / \tilde{x}_i) + (-1)^{n-k} q = 0, \qquad i = 1, \dots, n-k$$

Then $\tilde{\mathbf{b}}_{\lambda'} = \tilde{\mathbf{b}}(\tilde{x}_1^{\lambda'}, \dots, \tilde{x}_{n-k}^{\lambda'}) = \mathbf{b}(x_1^{\lambda^{\vee}}, \dots, x_k^{\lambda^{\vee}}) = \mathbf{b}_{\lambda^{\vee}}$ and we have the eigenvalue equations

(8.45)
$$t(z)\mathbf{b}_{\lambda^{\vee}} = \prod_{j=1}^{n-k} (1+z\,\tilde{x}_j^{\lambda'})\mathbf{b}_{\lambda^{\vee}} \quad and \quad \tilde{t}(z)\mathbf{b}_{\lambda^{\vee}} = \prod_{i=1}^k (1+z\,x_i^{\lambda^{\vee}})\mathbf{b}_{\lambda^{\vee}} ,$$

where $\tilde{t}(z) = \tilde{t}_{00}(z) + q\tilde{t}_{11}(z)$.

In the latter form the eigenvalue equations for both operators, t(z) and $\tilde{t}(z)$, are manifestly polynomial in z, but they do require one to consider simultaneously solutions of both Bethe ansatz equations, (8.33) and (8.44). In the classical limit $q \to 0$ these two sets of solutions can be identified with the Chern roots of the quotient and the tautological bundle, respectively. Both sets of roots are of course algebraically dependent and this algebraic dependence is encoded in the level-rank duality (8.37).

9. The Weyl group action and the R-matrix; proof of the main theorem

Using the R-matrix from Lemmata 8.10 and 8.14, which provides the braiding of the left YB and the right YB^V-modules, we now define a non-trivial left Weyl group action on the tensor product $\mathbf{V}_n \cong \operatorname{Rep}_{\mathbb{T}} \otimes (\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes n}$. Denote by $w \otimes 1$ with $w \in W$ the natural left W-action on the factor $\operatorname{Rep}_{\mathbb{T}}$ in $\operatorname{Rep}_{\mathbb{T}} \otimes (\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes n}$ and for ease of notation set $\alpha_i = \varepsilon_i / \varepsilon_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$ which we can identify with the simple roots of A_n . Recall that a cocycle $C: W \to \operatorname{End} \mathbf{V}_n$ is a map satisfying

(9.1)
$$C_{ww'} = C_w w(C_{w'}), \quad \forall w, w' \in fW.$$

Lemma 9.1. Setting for simple reflections $w = s_i$ with i = 1, ..., n-1

(9.2)
$$C_{s_i} = R_{n-i,n-i+1}(\alpha_i),$$

where the indices of the \dot{R} -matrix indicating on which factors of the tensor product $(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes n}$ of \mathbf{V}_n it acts non-trivially, gives a well-defined cocycle $C : W \to \text{End } \mathbf{V}_n$. The other values $C_w \in \text{End } \mathbf{V}_n$ are determined by the cocycle condition (9.1).

Proof. It suffices to show that C_{s_i} satisfies the braid relations and that $s_i(C_{s_i}) = \check{R}(\varepsilon_{i+1}/\varepsilon_i) = \check{R}(\varepsilon_1/\varepsilon_{i+1})^{-1} = 1$. The braid relations are a rewriting of the relation (8.3) for the \check{R} -matrix. Namely, denote by P_{ij} the flip operator in the *i*th and *j*th factor of the tensor product $(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes 3}$. The latter trivially satisfies the braid relation, $P_{23}P_{12}P_{23} = P_{12}P_{23}P_{12}$. Multiplying in (8.3) with $P_{23}P_{12}P_{23}$ on the left hand side and with $P_{12}P_{23}P_{12}$ on the right hand side, we find

$$\dot{R}_{23}(z/w)\dot{R}_{12}(z)\dot{R}_{23}(w) = \dot{R}_{12}(w)\dot{R}_{23}(z)\dot{R}_{12}(z/w) .$$

Setting $z = \varepsilon_3/\varepsilon_2$ and $w = \varepsilon_1/\varepsilon_3$ the braid relation now follows. The second identity is immediate from (8.4).

We now define the W-action on \mathbf{V}_n by $W \ni w \mapsto w := C_w(w \otimes 1) \in \text{End } \mathbf{V}_n$ with C_w the cocycle just introduced.

Proposition 9.2. (i) The left W-action on \mathbf{V}_n defined by the cocycle C reads explicitly as follows: let $\chi \in \operatorname{Rep}_{\mathbb{T}}$ and $J = j_1 \dots j_n$ be a 01-word, then

(9.3)
$$\boldsymbol{s}_{i}(\chi \otimes v_{J}) = \begin{cases} \alpha_{i} \chi^{s_{i}} \otimes v_{J} + (1 - \alpha_{i}) \chi^{s_{i}} \otimes v_{s_{i}.J}, & j_{i} > j_{i+1} \\ \chi^{s_{i}} \otimes v_{J}, & else \end{cases}$$

where $\alpha_i = \varepsilon_i / \varepsilon_{i+1}$, $\chi^{s_i}(\varepsilon) = \chi(\dots, \varepsilon_{i+1}, \varepsilon_i, \dots)$ and $s_i J = j_1 \cdots j_{i+1} j_i \cdots j_n$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$.

(ii) Moreover, the subspace $V_{k,n} \subset \mathbf{V}_n$ spanned by all v_J with words J that have k zero-letters is left invariant under this action.

(iii) The W-action commutes with the left YB as well as the right YB^V-action on \mathbf{V}_n , that is, the images of $t_{ij}(z)$ and $\tilde{t}_{ij}(z)$ are in $\operatorname{End}_W \mathbf{V}_n$.

Proof. The first assertion (9.3) is a straightforward computation using the explicit definition (8.1). From this action one sees that that the W-action either swaps two letters in the word J or leaves it unchanged, hence, $V_{k,n}$ is invariant. That the W-action commutes with the left and right action of the Yang-Baxter algebra YB is an easy consequence of Lemmata 8.10 and 8.14.

9.1. Graphical calculus for the Yang-Baxter algebra. We now introduce a convenient graphical calculus to compute matrix elements of the Yang-Baxter algebra generators $t_{ij}(z)$ in the module \mathbf{V}_n . This graphical calculus will be used to match the action of the YB elements with the geometrically defined convolution operators.

We first state the combinatorial description of how to compute matrix elements for the generators $t_{ij}(z)$ in the module (8.22) and then explain below how it is obtained from the coproduct structure (8.14) of the Yang-Baxter algebra. Given two 01-words $J = j_1 \dots j_n, J' = j'_1 \dots j'_n \in \mathbb{J}_n^k$ we depict the matrix element $\langle v^{J'}, t_{ij}(z).v_J \rangle \in \mathbb{C}[z] \otimes \operatorname{Rep}_{\mathbb{T}}$, where $\{v^J\}$ denotes the dual basis of $\{v_J\} \subset \mathbf{V}_n$ with the canonical pairing $\langle v^I, v_J \rangle = \delta_{IJ}$, as a horizontal line of n labelled vertices as follows,

$$j \xrightarrow{j_n} \cdots \xrightarrow{j_2} j_1$$

$$j \xrightarrow{j_1} \xrightarrow{j_1} \cdots \xrightarrow{j_2} j_1'$$

where each bullet at a single vertex is a placeholder for one of the following five possible types of vertices,

(9.4)

In order to obtain the matrix element $\langle v^{J'}, t_{ij}(z).v_J \rangle \in \mathbb{C}[z] \otimes \operatorname{Rep}_{\mathbb{T}}$ one needs to sum over all possible vertex choices (with the outer left and right as well as top and bottom labels fixed as shown above), where each vertex of type (I) in column *i* (labelled from left to right) contributes a factor $1 + z/\varepsilon_{n+1-i}$, each vertex of type (II) a factor $-z/\varepsilon_{n+1-i}$ and (III-V) each contribute a factor 1. If there is no possible row configuration consisting only of these three types of vertices, then the matrix element is zero.

Let us now explain how this graphical calculus is derived from the coproduct (8.14) and the definitions (8.19), (8.22). The vertices in (9.4) correspond to the matrix elements of the generators $t_{ij}(z)$ in the evaluation module $\mathbb{C}^2[\varepsilon^{\pm 1}]$ from Lemma 8.6. For example, we have according to Lemma 8.6 that $t_{00}(z)v_1 = (1 + z/\varepsilon)v_1$ which corresponds to vertex type (I) in (9.4) and the factor is the 'weight' attached to this particular vertex. Similarly, one finds that the vertex of type (II) encodes the action $t_{10}(z)v_0 = (-z/\varepsilon)v_1$. Note that we are reading the diagrams from right to left, so the order of the indices of the generators $t_{ij}(z)$ is reversed to the order they appear on the horizontal edges of the vertex. To obtain the matrix element $\langle v^{J'}, t_{ij}(z).v_J \rangle$ in the tensor product (8.22) one simply applies repeatedly the following recurrence formula which corresponds to applying the coproduct (8.14) to the last factor,

$$\sum_{k=0,1} j \xrightarrow{j_n} \cdots \xrightarrow{j_2} k \xrightarrow{j_1} i$$

The following example explains the graphical calculus:

Example 9.3. Set k = 2, n = 7 and J = 1101011, J' = 1001101. That is, we have $\lambda(J) = (1,0)$ and $\lambda(J') = (3,1,1)$. Setting j = 0 and i = 1, we compute one term of the matrix element $\langle v^J, t_{10}(z).v_I \rangle$ corresponding to the allowed vertex configuration

which produces the term

$$(1+z/\varepsilon_7)(1+z/\varepsilon_4)(-z/\varepsilon_2)(-z/\varepsilon_6)$$

as there is a vertex of type (I) in columns 4 and 7 and a vertex of type (II) in columns 2 and 6 (numbered from right to left). There are many other possible configurations and summing up all their contributions then gives the matrix element.

Another graphical calculus can also be introduced for the right YB^{\vee} -action defined via (8.36), that is, for the computation of the matrix elements $\langle v^{J'}, \tilde{t}_{ij}(z).v_J \rangle$. Now, however, each bullet at a single vertex is a placeholder for one of the following five types of vertices,

(9.5)

44

The vertex of type (I') now contributes a factor $1 + z\varepsilon_{n+1-i}$ if placed in column *i* and the vertex of type (II') gives a factor $-z\varepsilon_{n+1-i}$ while the ones of type (III'-V') give a factor of 1. As before, if there is no possible row configuration which only consists of these five types of vertices then the matrix element is zero.

The next theorem employs the graphical calculus to describe action of the operators $t_{ij}(z), \tilde{t}_{ij}(z) : V_{k,n} \to V_{k\pm 1,n}[z]$ with i, j = 0, 1 on the special vector v_{λ} with $\lambda = (n-k)^k$ which corresponds to the class of a point. Using that the action of YB commutes with the left Weyl group action this will allow us to identify the $t_{ij}(z), \tilde{t}_{ij}(z)$ with their geometric analogues.

Theorem 9.1. Fix two integers $k \leq n$ and set $\lambda = (n-k)^k$. (1) The diagonal elements $t_{00}(z)$ and $\tilde{t}_{00}(z)$ act as follows:

(9.6)
$$t_{00}(z) \cdot v_{(n-k)^k} = \prod_{i=1}^{n-k} (1+z/\varepsilon_i) v_{(n-k)^k}$$

(9.7)
$$\tilde{t}_{00}(z) \cdot v_{(n-k)^k} = \prod_{i=n+1-k}^n (1+z\varepsilon_i) v_{(n-k)^k}$$

(2) The off-diagonal elements act in $V_{k,n}$ according to the formulae

(9.8)
$$t_{01}(z).v_{(n-k)^k} = \prod_{i=1}^{n-k} (1+z/\varepsilon_i) v_{(n-k)^{k-1}}$$

(9.9)
$$t_{10}(z).v_{(n-k)^k} = -\sum_{r=1}^{n-k} (z/\varepsilon_r) \prod_{i=r+1}^{n-k} (1+z/\varepsilon_i) v_{((n-k-1)^k,r-1)}.$$

and

(9.10)
$$\tilde{t}_{10}(z) \cdot v_{(n-k)^k} = \prod_{i=n+1-k}^n (1+z\varepsilon_i) v_{(n-k-1)^k}$$

(9.11)
$$\tilde{t}_{01}(z).v_{(n-k)^k} = -\sum_{r=n+1-k}^n (z\varepsilon_r) \prod_{i=n+1-k}^{r-1} (1+z\varepsilon_i) v_{((n+1-k-1)^{r-1},(n-k)^{k-r})}.$$

(3) We have the following action of the operators $t_{11}(z)$ and $\tilde{t}_{11}(z)$,

(9.12)
$$t_{11}(z).v_{(n-k)^k} = \sum_{r=1}^{n-k} (-z/\varepsilon_r) \prod_{i=r+1}^{n-k} (1+z/\varepsilon_i) v_{((n-k-1)^{k-1},r-1)}$$

(9.13)
$$\tilde{t}_{11}(z).v_{(n-k)^k} = \sum_{r=n+1-k}^n (-z\varepsilon_r) \prod_{i=n+1-k}^{r-1} (1+z\varepsilon_i) v_{((n-k)^{r-1},(n-k-1)^{k-r},0)}.$$

We note that the formulae for $\tilde{t}_{ij}(z)$ can be obtained from the ones for $t_{ij}(z)$ using (8.38) but for convenience we have stated them both explicitly.

Proof. Recall that $\lambda = (n-k)^k$ corresponds to the 01-word $J = j_1 j_2 \dots j_n = 1 \dots 10 \dots 0$ with k zero-letters and (n-k) one-letters. We start with the proof of the first claim. Using once again the graphical calculus we find that in both cases there is only a single allowed row configuration of vertices,

Note that in the first and second line different weights are assigned to the vertices resulting in different matrix elements although the row configurations look the same. Multiplying up these weights then gives the claimed coefficients in (1).

For the second claim, we observe that the allowed row configurations of vertices for the off-diagonal elements are

where the sum in the second line runs over the column numbers (numbered from right to left) in which the additional 0-letter is placed. Again multiplying up the vertex weights for each row configuration then gives the claimed coefficients. The formulae for $\tilde{t}_{01}(z)$ and $\tilde{t}_{10}(z)$ also follow from (8.38), and are left as an exercise to the reader.

Finally, for the third claim we have that

where the sums in the first and second line again run over the column numbers (numbered from right to left). Again multiplying the weights of individual vertices then gives the desired coefficients. $\hfill \Box$

Corollary 9.4. Parts (1) and (2) of Theorem 1.1 hold. That is, under the $\operatorname{Rep}_{\mathbb{T}}[y,q]$ -module identification

$$\Phi: V_{k,n} \to \operatorname{QK}_{\mathbb{T}}(\operatorname{Gr}(k;n)); \quad v_{\lambda} \mapsto \mathcal{O}_{\lambda},$$

the action of the operators t_{ij} on $V_{k,n}$ is the same as the action of the analogous geometric operators τ_{ij} on $QK_{\mathbb{T}}(Gr(k;n))$ defined in section 7.

Furthermore, the same result extends for the dual operators \tilde{t}_{ij} .

Proof. The identification of the diagonal operators follows from comparing the statements in Theorem 7.1 and parts (1) and (3) of Theorem 9.1. For the off-diagonal operators, one compares Theorem 7.2 to part (2) of Theorem 9.1. The statement on the dual operators follows from the level-rank duality from Section 3.3; details are in Section 7.1.

46

10. The extended affine Weyl group action

The goal of this section is to introduce an action of the *extended affine* Weyl group \widetilde{W} on the space $\mathbf{V}_n^q := \mathbf{V}_n \otimes \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}]$, extending the action of the finite Weyl group from before. Depending on the chosen presentation of \widetilde{W} , this amounts to defining actions by a cyclic generator of the affine Dynkin automorphism, or by translation elements.

It is interesting to note that this action may be defined independently in the integrable systems and geometry. In the context of quantum integrable systems it arises naturally when considering the quantum Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations associated with the R-matrix, cf. [FR92].

In geometry, the action of the cyclic element is called the Seidel action, and it has been studied for quantum cohomology and quantum K-theory in [Pos05, CMP09, BCP23, LKSY22]. In future work, we plan to deduce this action from a similar action on the equivariant K-homology of the affine Grassmannian.

The extended affine Weyl group is the semidirect product $\widetilde{W} = W \ltimes \mathbb{Z}^n$, where $W \simeq S_n$ acts on \mathbb{Z}^n by permuting coordinates. We will use two realizations of \widetilde{W} , and the relation between the two will give non-trivial formulae. We start with the realization with generators

$$W = \langle s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}, \rho \rangle$$

where ρ is the outer (affine, type A) Dynkin diagram automorphism satisfying,

(10.1)
$$\rho s_i = s_{i-1}\rho, \quad i = 2, \dots, n-1.$$

If we set $s_0 = \rho s_1 \rho^{-1}$ then the subgroup $W_{\text{aff}} = \langle s_0, s_1, \ldots, s_{n-1} \rangle$ is the affine Weyl group and $W_{\text{aff}} \cong W \ltimes Q^{\lor}$, where Q^{\lor} is the (co)root lattice. The affine Weyl group W_{aff} is a Coxeter group, unlike \widetilde{W} .

As we shall see below, the element ρ determines the Seidel action on the quantum cohomology and K-theory rings, and we refer to its image ρ in End \mathbf{V}_n^q as the *Seidel* element. We extend the \widetilde{W} action on \mathbf{V}_n from (9.3) by letting ρ act on \mathbf{V}_n^q as follows. For $\chi \in \operatorname{Rep}_{\mathbb{T}}$ and $v_I = v_{i_n} \otimes \ldots \otimes v_{i_1} \in (\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes n}$, define:

(10.2)
$$\boldsymbol{\rho}(\chi \otimes v_I) = q^{i_1} \chi^{\boldsymbol{\rho}} \otimes v_{i_1} \otimes v_{i_n} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i_2}, \quad \forall I = i_1 i_2 \dots i_n.$$

Here ρ acts on $\chi \in \operatorname{Rep}_{\mathbb{T}}$ by the Coxeter element $s_{n-1} \cdots s_1$, i.e., $\chi^{\rho} = s_{n-1} \cdots s_1(\chi)$. Explicitly, $\chi^{\rho}(\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_n) = \chi(\varepsilon_n, \varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n-1})$.³

Remark 10.1. If k = 0 then $V_{0,n}^q = \operatorname{Rep}_{\mathbb{T}}[q^{\pm 1}] \otimes v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_1$, and if k = n then $V_{n,n}^q = \operatorname{Rep}_{\mathbb{T}}[q^{\pm 1}] \otimes v_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_0$. The action of ρ on the unique generators is:

$$\boldsymbol{\rho}(v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_1) = qv_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_1; \quad \boldsymbol{\rho}(v_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_0) = v_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_0.$$

³In the context of the quantum Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations the action of the affine Weyl group depends on an additional parameter p, which is identified with the loop parameter: $\chi_p^{\rho}(\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_n) = \chi(p\varepsilon_n, \varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n-1})$. Here we have set p = 1, which means that the action of affine Weyl group on $\operatorname{Rep}_{\mathbb{T}}[q^{\pm 1}]$ factors through the natural group epimorphism $\widehat{W} \to W$ that sends all translations to the identity.

Note the non-trivial action on $V_{0,n}^q$.

In order to compare the action of ρ with the known action of the Seidel element, we restate the action (10.2) in terms of partitions λ :

(10.3)
$$\boldsymbol{\rho}(\chi \otimes v_{\lambda}) = \begin{cases} q \, \chi^{\rho} \otimes v_{(\lambda_{1}-1,\dots,\lambda_{k}-1)} & \ell(\lambda) = k; \\ \chi^{\rho} \otimes v_{(n-k,\lambda_{1},\dots,\lambda_{k-1})} & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

We also record the inverse action:

(10.4)
$$\boldsymbol{\rho}^{-1}(\chi \otimes v_{\lambda}) = \begin{cases} q^{-1} \chi^{\rho^{-1}} \otimes v_{(\lambda_1+1,\dots,\lambda_k+1)} & \lambda_1 < n-k; \\ \chi^{\rho^{-1}} \otimes v_{(\lambda_2,\dots,\lambda_k,0)} & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

These formulae are the same as those in [Pos05, CMP09, BCP23, LKSY22], where the Seidel representation on quantum cohomology and quantum K-theory of Grassmannians is studied. One may also calculate the action of the affine simple reflection $s_0 \in W_{\text{aff}}$. If $\lambda \in \Pi_n^k$ is a partition in the $k \times (n-k)$ rectangle, then:

(10.5)
$$\boldsymbol{s}_{0}(\chi \otimes v_{\lambda}) = \begin{cases} q^{-1}(1-\alpha_{0})\chi^{s_{\theta}}v_{s_{\theta},\lambda} + \alpha_{0}\chi^{s_{\theta}} \otimes v_{\lambda}, & s_{\theta},\lambda \subset \Pi_{n}^{k}; \\ \chi^{s_{\theta}} \otimes v_{\lambda}, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Here $\theta = \varepsilon_1/\varepsilon_n = \alpha_0^{-1}$ is the highest root, $s_{\theta}.\lambda$ is the partition λ with an (n-1)-hook added and $\chi^{s_{\theta}}(\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_n) = \chi(\varepsilon_n, \varepsilon_2, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n-1}, \varepsilon_1)$.

One may check directly that the equations (10.3) and (10.4) together with the left W-action from (9.3) define an action of the extended affine Weyl group \widetilde{W} on \mathbf{V}_n^q .

Example 10.1. We compute the action of the cyclic element ρ and the affine reflection s_0 for a couple of examples.

$$s_0 v_{\emptyset} = \rho s_1(q^{-1}v_{(1^k)}) = q^{-1} \rho(\alpha_1 v_{(1^k)} + (1 - \alpha_1) v_{(1^{k-1})}) = \alpha_0 v_{\emptyset} + q^{-1}(1 - \alpha_0) v_{(n-k,1^{k-1})};$$

$$s_0 v_{(n-k)^k} = \rho s_1(v_{(n-k)}) = \rho v_{(n-k)} = v_{(n-k)^k}.$$

In particular, the identity v_{\emptyset} is invariant under the action of the finite Weyl group W, and the class of a point $v_{(n-k)^k}$ is invariant under the conjugate of W given by $\langle s_{k-1}, \ldots, s_1, s_0, s_{n-1}, \ldots, s_{k+1} \rangle \subset W_{\text{aff}}$.

We also record the following:

Corollary 10.2. The nth power of the Seidel element satisfies $\rho^n = q^{n-k}$ on the subspace $V_{k,n}^q \subset \mathbf{V}_n^q$. In particular, ρ^n is a central element in End \mathbf{V}_n^q .

We now relate the action of the Yang-Baxter algebra YB with the action of the translations in the extended affine Weyl group. For this we use a second realization of the extended Weyl group \widetilde{W} :

$$W = \langle s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}, t_1, \dots, t_n \rangle$$

with relations given by the usual braid and commutation relations for the s_i 's and

$$s_i t_i = t_{i+1} s_i, \qquad s_i t_j = t_j s_i, \quad j \neq i, i+1, \qquad t_i t_j = t_j t_i$$

48

The connection with the previous realization is given by:

(10.6)
$$t_i = s_i \cdots s_{n-1} \rho s_1 \cdots s_{i-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho = s_{n-1} \cdots s_1 t_1$$

The elements t_i are translations in the weight lattice \mathbb{Z}^n .

Proposition 10.3. Let $t(z) = t_{00}(z) + qt_{11}(z)$, be the (quantised) trace of the monodromy operator T from (8.6). Then the action of the t_i from (10.6) on \mathbf{V}_n^q is given by

$$t(-\varepsilon_i) = \mathbf{t}_i$$
 and $\tilde{t}(-1/\varepsilon_i) = q \, \mathbf{t}_i^{-1}, \quad i = 1, \dots n$

In other words, via the equivalence from Corollary 9.4, the action of the translations is given by:

$$t_i.\mathcal{O}_{\lambda} = \lambda_{-\varepsilon_i}(\mathcal{Q}^{\vee}) \star \mathcal{O}_{\lambda}$$
 and $t_i^{-1}.\mathcal{O}_{\lambda} = q^{-1}\lambda_{-1/\varepsilon_i}(\mathcal{S}) \star \mathcal{O}_{\lambda}.$

Proof. Recall the definition of the operator t(z) in terms of the *R*-matrix,

$$t(z) = t_{00}(z) + qt_{11}(z) = \operatorname{Tr} \begin{pmatrix} t_{00}(z) & t_{01}(z) \\ qt_{10}(z) & qt_{11}(z) \end{pmatrix} = \operatorname{Tr}_0 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & q \end{pmatrix}_0 R_{0n}(-z/\varepsilon_1) \cdots R_{01}(-z/\varepsilon_n) ,$$

where we have used the definition of the monodromy matrix from (8.23) in the last equality and the notation Tr_0 just means that we take the trace over the first factor in the tensor product $\mathbb{C}^2[z] \otimes \mathbf{V}_n^q$. Using the cyclic property of the trace this can be rewritten as

$$t(z) = \operatorname{Tr}_0 R_{0,i-1}(-z/\varepsilon_{n+2-i}) \cdots R_{01}(-z/\varepsilon_n) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & q \end{pmatrix}_0 R_{0n}(-z/\varepsilon_1) \cdots R_{0i}(-z/\varepsilon_{n+1-i})$$

for any $1 \le i \le n$. Setting $z = -\varepsilon_{n+1-i}$ and using that R(1) = P (the permutation, or flip operator), we find that:

$$t(-\varepsilon_{n+1-i}) = \operatorname{Tr}_{0} R_{0,i-1}(\varepsilon_{n+1-i}/\varepsilon_{n+2-i}) \cdots R_{01}(\varepsilon_{n+1-i}/\varepsilon_{n}) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & q \end{pmatrix}_{0} R_{0n}(\varepsilon_{n+1-i}/\varepsilon_{1}) \cdots R_{0i}(1)$$

$$= R_{i,i-1}(\varepsilon_{n+1-i}/\varepsilon_{n+2-i}) \cdots R_{i1}(\varepsilon_{n+1-i}/\varepsilon_{n}) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & q \end{pmatrix}_{0} R_{in}(\varepsilon_{n+1-i}/\varepsilon_{1}) \cdots R_{i,i+1}(\varepsilon_{n+1-i}/\varepsilon_{n-i})$$

$$= \mathbf{s}_{n+1-i} \cdots \mathbf{s}_{n-1} \boldsymbol{\rho} \mathbf{s}_{1} \cdots \mathbf{s}_{n-i}$$

$$= \mathbf{t}_{n+1-i}.$$

Here we have used in the last step that

$$\mathbf{s}_{n+1-i} = P_{i,i+1} \circ R_{i,i+1}(\varepsilon_{n-i}/\varepsilon_{n+1-i})(s_{n+1-i} \otimes 1).$$

The proof for $\tilde{t}(z)$ is analogous.

If one combines the equations (10.6) and (10.3) with Proposition 10.3 one obtains the following formula for the Seidel representation, which is an equivariant generalization of the formula in the quantum K-ring - see [CMP09] for quantum cohomology.

Corollary 10.4. Let $\chi \in \operatorname{Rep}_{\mathbb{T}}$. The Seidel element ρ acts on \mathbf{V}_n^q by

$$\boldsymbol{\rho}(\chi \otimes v_{\lambda}) = \chi^{\rho} \boldsymbol{t}_n \boldsymbol{s}_{n-1} \cdots \boldsymbol{s}_1(v_{\lambda})$$

In particular, the following equality holds:

$$\boldsymbol{t}_{n}\boldsymbol{s}_{n-1}\cdots\boldsymbol{s}_{1}(v_{\lambda}) = \begin{cases} q \ v_{(\lambda_{1}-1,\dots,\lambda_{k}-1)} & \ell(\lambda) = k; \\ v_{(n-k,\lambda_{1},\dots,\lambda_{k-1})} & else. \end{cases}$$

Using that $\lambda_{-\varepsilon_n}(\mathcal{Q}_{n-k}^{\vee}) = \mathcal{O}_{n-k}$ from Proposition 4.1, one may rewrite this corollary in geometric terms:

$$\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathcal{O}_{\lambda}) = \mathcal{O}_{n-k} \star (\boldsymbol{s}_{n-1} \cdots \boldsymbol{s}_1)(\mathcal{O}_{\lambda}) = \begin{cases} q \ \mathcal{O}_{(\lambda_1 - 1, \dots, \lambda_k - 1)} & \ell(\lambda) = k; \\ \mathcal{O}_{(n-k,\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{k-1})} & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Observe that in the non-equivariant case the simple reflections s_i act as identity for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, and $\varepsilon_i = 1$ for all *i*. Therefore the actions of the translations t_i coincide, and they act by

$$\boldsymbol{\rho} = \boldsymbol{t}_i = t(-1) = \lambda_{-1}(\mathcal{Q}^{\vee}) \star = \mathcal{O}_{n-r} \star.$$

This is the form of the Seidel representation from [BCP23, LKSY22].

11. The functional relations

In the integrable system context, the quantum K-theory is the ring with relations given by the Bethe Ansatz equations. In turn, these are equivalent to a functional equation satisfied by the monodropmy operator t(z) and its dual $\tilde{t}(1/z)$. The precise statement may be found in [GK17, Prop. 5.28]. After making the change of variables

(11.1)
$$-y = 1 + \beta z, \quad \varepsilon_i = 1 + \beta y_i, \quad \beta = -1$$

in *loc. cit.* this functional equation becomes:

(11.2)
$$t(y)\tilde{t}(1/y) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} (1 + \varepsilon_i/y) \prod_{i=k+1}^{n} (1 + y/\varepsilon_i)(1 - \mathcal{O}_1) + q.$$

(These are equivalent to Bethe ansatz equations when written in terms of eigenvalues and specializing y to a Bethe root.) Given our interpretation from Corollary 9.4, (11.2) translates into the following relation in $QK_{T}(Gr(k; n))$:

(11.3)
$$\lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}^{\vee}) \star \lambda_{1/y}(\mathcal{S}) = \prod_{i=1}^k (1 + \varepsilon_i/y) \prod_{i=k+1}^n (1 + y/\varepsilon_i)(1 - \mathcal{O}_1) + q.$$

We will show that if 0 < k < n, this identity is equivalent to the quantum K Whitney relations proved in [GMSZ22a, Thm. 1.1]:

(11.4)
$$\lambda_y(\mathcal{S}) \star \lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}) = \lambda_y(\mathbb{C}^n) - \frac{q}{1-q} y^{n-k} (\lambda_y(\mathcal{S}) - 1) \star \det \mathcal{Q}.$$

It was also proved that these relations generate the full ideal of relations in the quantum K-ring. In particular, this proves directly the isomorphism between the two rings. We will need the following result, cf. [GMSZ22a, Cor. 6.4]:

Lemma 11.1. Let i > 0. Then $\wedge^{n-k-i}\mathcal{Q} \star \det \mathcal{S} = \wedge^i \mathcal{Q}^{\vee} \cdot \det(\mathbb{C}^n)$ in $\operatorname{QK}_{\mathbb{T}}(\operatorname{Gr}(k;n))$.

Proposition 11.2. The functional equations (11.3) and the quantum K Whitney relations (11.4) are equivalent in the ring $QK_{\mathbb{T}}(Gr(k; n))$.

Proof. Observe that the Whitney relations imply that det $\mathcal{Q} \star \det \mathcal{S} = (1-q) \det \mathbb{C}^n$. In particular, det \mathcal{S} is invertible in the quantum K-ring. We multiply the Whitney relations (11.4) by det \mathcal{S} to obtain:

$$\lambda_y \mathcal{S} \star \lambda_y \mathcal{Q} \star \det \mathcal{S} = \lambda_y(\mathbb{C}^n) \det \mathcal{S} - q y^{n-k} (\lambda_y \mathcal{S} - 1) \det \mathbb{C}^n.$$

We rearrange this into:

(11.5)
$$\lambda_y \mathcal{S} \star \left(\lambda_y \mathcal{Q} \star \det \mathcal{S} + q y^{n-k} \det \mathbb{C}^n\right) = \lambda_y(\mathbb{C}^n) \det \mathcal{S} + q y^{n-k} \det \mathbb{C}^n.$$

We use Lemma 11.1 to write

$$\lambda_{y}\mathcal{Q} \star \det \mathcal{S} + qy^{n-k} \det \mathbb{C}^{n} = \det \mathbb{C}^{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-k-1} y^{i} \wedge^{n-k-i} (\mathcal{Q}^{\vee}) + y^{n-k} \det \mathcal{Q} \star \det \mathcal{S} + qy^{n-k} \det \mathbb{C}^{n}$$
$$= y^{n-k} \det \mathbb{C}^{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-k-1} y^{i-n-k} \wedge^{n-k-i} (\mathcal{Q}^{\vee}) + y^{n-k} \det \mathbb{C}^{n}$$
$$= y^{n-k} \det \mathbb{C}^{n} \lambda_{1/y} (\mathcal{Q}^{\vee}).$$

Combining with (11.5) and making $y \mapsto y^{-1}$ yields:

$$\lambda_{1/y} \mathcal{S} \star \lambda_y \mathcal{Q}^{\vee} = y^{n-k} \frac{\lambda_{1/y}(\mathbb{C}^n)}{\det \mathbb{C}^n} \det \mathcal{S} + q.$$

From Proposition 4.1 we obtain that

$$\frac{\det \mathcal{S}}{\det \mathbb{C}^n} = \det \mathcal{Q}^{\vee} = \frac{1 - \mathcal{O}_1}{\prod_{i=k+1}^n \varepsilon_i}.$$

Finally, since

$$\frac{y^{n-k}\lambda_{1/y}(\mathbb{C}^n)}{\prod_{i=k+1}^n\varepsilon_i} = \prod_{i=1}^k (1+\varepsilon_i/y) \prod_{i=k+1}^n (1+y/\varepsilon_i),$$

it follows that the Whitney relations imply (11.3). The process can obviously be reversed, proving the claim. $\hfill \Box$

Since the Whitney relations generate the ideal of relations of $QK_{\mathbb{T}}(Gr(k;n))$, we obtain the following corollary:

Corollary 11.3. There is an isomorphism

$$\Psi: \operatorname{QK}_{\mathbb{T}}(\operatorname{Gr}(k;n)) \to \operatorname{K}_{\mathbb{T}}[\operatorname{pt}][X_1,\ldots,X_k;Y_1,\ldots,Y_k]\llbracket q \rrbracket / R$$

such that

$$\Psi(\wedge^i \mathcal{S}) = e_i(X) \text{ and } \Psi(\wedge^j \mathcal{Q}) = e_j(Y).$$

Furthermore, for any partition $\lambda \subset k \times (n-k)$,

$$\Psi(\mathcal{O}_{\lambda}) = G_{\lambda}(1 - X_1, \dots, 1 - X_k | 1 - \varepsilon_1^{-1}, \dots, 1 - \varepsilon_n^{-1})$$

Here I is the ideal obtained by equating the powers of y^i in the Whitney relations (11.4).

Proof. The first assertion is proved in [GMSZ22a, Thm. 1.1]. The identification of the Schubert classes follows from [GK17, Cor. 4.12, eq. (4.40)], together with the equivalence of the two presentations, proved in Proposition 11.2.

12. FROBENIUS STRUCTURES AND THE QUANTUM LOCALIZATION MAP

From the geometric definition, the quantum K-theory ring has a structure of a Frobenius algebra, denoted by $(\cdot, \cdot)_{QK}$. It was proved in [BCLM20] that for any two opposite Schubert classes \mathcal{O}_{λ} and $\mathcal{O}^{\mu} := \boldsymbol{w}_0.\mathcal{O}_{\lambda^{\vee}}$, this pairing is equal to

(12.1)
$$(\mathcal{O}_{\lambda}, \mathcal{O}^{\mu})_{\mathrm{QK}} = \frac{q^{d(\lambda,\mu)}}{1-q},$$

where $d(\lambda, \mu)$ is the smallest power of q which appears in the (equivariant) quantum *cohomology* product $\sigma_{\lambda} \star \sigma^{\mu}$ of the corresponding cohomological Schubert classes. For example, since 1 is the identity element,

$$(\mathcal{O}_{\lambda}, 1)_{\mathrm{QK}} = \frac{1}{1-q}.$$

In the integrable systems context, the first two authors used the eigenvectors of the quantum trace of the monodromy matrix to define a product on the Yang-Baxter module $V_{k,n}$ so that these eigenvectors become idempotents; see [GK17, eq. (4.31)]. The eigenvectors are only defined up to a multiple, and in this paper we (re)normalize the idempotents so that they are equal to \mathbf{b}_{λ}^{q} , where $\Phi(\mathbf{b}_{\lambda}^{q}) = \mathbf{e}_{\lambda}^{q}$ and Φ is defined in Corollary 9.4. With this renormalization, the integrable system pairing is the unique pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ which satisfies

(12.2)
$$\langle \mathbf{e}_{\lambda}^{q}, \mathbf{e}_{\mu}^{q} \rangle = \delta_{\lambda,\mu} \mathrm{Eu}_{q}(\lambda)$$

where the 'quantum Euler class' $\operatorname{Eu}_q(\lambda)$ is defined by $\mathbf{e}^q_{\lambda} \star \mathbf{e}^q_{\mu} = \delta_{\lambda,\mu} \operatorname{Eu}_q(\lambda) \mathbf{e}^q_{\lambda}$. ⁴ This definition implies that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is a Frobenius pairing, and it is *W*-equivariant, i.e., for any $w \in W$, and any $a, b \in \operatorname{K}_{\mathbb{T}}(\operatorname{Gr}(k; n))$,

$$w.\langle a,b\rangle = \langle \boldsymbol{w}.a, \boldsymbol{w}.b\rangle.$$

Furthermore, it is proved in [GK17, eq. (4.37)] that

(12.3)
$$\langle \mathcal{O}_{\lambda}, \mathbf{e}_{\mu}^{q} \rangle = G_{\lambda}(1 - x^{\mu}|1 - \varepsilon_{1}^{-1}, \dots, 1 - \varepsilon_{n}^{-1})$$

where (recall) G_{λ} is the double Grothendieck polynomial, and $x^{\mu} = (x_1^{\mu}, \ldots, x_k^{\mu})$ is the solution of (8.33) which specializes to ε^{μ} when q = 0. (Here we adapted the notation

⁴The elements \mathbf{e}_{λ}^{q} quantize the fixed points, thus $\operatorname{Eu}_{q}(\lambda)$ quantizes the Euler class, justifying the terminology.

from *loc. cit.* to be consistent with the current paper.) Our goal is to prove that the two Frobenius structures are the same. To this aim, we introduce the *quantum localization* map. This is defined for each of the pairings, using the Frobenius structures, and the Bethe vectors \mathbf{e}_{λ}^{q} :

$$\iota: \operatorname{QK}_{\mathbb{T}}(\operatorname{Gr}(k; n)) \to \bigoplus_{\lambda} \operatorname{Rep}_{\mathbb{T}}\llbracket q \rrbracket; \quad \kappa \mapsto (\kappa, \mathbf{e}_{\lambda}^{q})_{\operatorname{QK}}.$$

A similar definition can be given for $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, leading to a map ι' .

Proposition 12.1. The quantum localization maps ι, ι' are injective homomorphisms of $\operatorname{Rep}_{\mathbb{T}}[\![q]\!]$ -algebras.

Proof. We start with the map ι . The injectivity follows because the classical equivariant localization map (i.e., when q = 0) is injective. The main statement to prove is the ring homomorphism property. By definition, the vectors \mathbf{e}_{λ}^{q} are eigenvectors of the (dual) operator $\tilde{t}_{00} + q\tilde{t}_{11}$, which, in geometry, is the operator of multiplication by $\lambda_{y}(\mathcal{S})$; cf. Corollary 9.4. The coefficient of y^{i} in this operator is (the class of) $\wedge^{i}\mathcal{S}$, and it follows that \mathbf{e}_{λ}^{q} are also eigenvectors of $\wedge^{i}\mathcal{S}$, for $1 \leq i \leq k$. It was proved in [GMSZ22b] that these classes generate QK_T(Gr(k; n)) as an algebra over Rep_T[[q]]. Thus it suffices to check that

$$(\kappa_1 \star \kappa_2, \mathbf{e}^q_\lambda)_{\mathrm{QK}} = (\kappa_1, \mathbf{e}^q_\lambda)_{\mathrm{QK}} \cdot (\kappa_2, \mathbf{e}^q_\lambda)_{\mathrm{QK}}$$

for any partition λ and any κ_1, κ_2 having \mathbf{e}^q_{λ} as eigenvector. Let c_1, c_2 be the corresponding eigenvalues of κ_1, κ_2 . Then, using the Frobenius property, (12.4)

$$(\kappa_1 \star \kappa_2, \mathbf{e}^q_{\lambda})_{\mathrm{QK}} = (\kappa_1, \kappa_2 \star \mathbf{e}^q_{\lambda})_{\mathrm{QK}} = c_2(\kappa_1, \mathbf{e}^q_{\lambda})_{\mathrm{QK}} = c_1c_2(1, \mathbf{e}^q_{\lambda})_{\mathrm{QK}} = (\kappa_1, \mathbf{e}^q_{\lambda})_{\mathrm{QK}} \cdot (\kappa_2, \mathbf{e}^q_{\lambda})_{\mathrm{QK}}.$$

Now observe that the proof above only used that ι deforms the usual localization map,

and that it satisfies the Frobenius property. Then the same proof applies to ι' .

For $0 \le k \le n$ and indeterminates x_1, \ldots, x_k , recall the off-shell Bethe vectors:

(12.5)
$$\Phi(\mathbf{b}_k(x)) = \tau_{10}(-x_k)\tau_{10}(-x_{k-1})\dots\tau_{10}(-x_1)\Phi(v_o) \in \mathrm{K}_{\mathbb{T}}(\mathrm{Gr}(k;n))[x_1,\dots,x_k]$$

For $\lambda \subset k \times (n-k)$, define the element $\varepsilon_{\lambda} = \prod_{i=1}^{k} \varepsilon_{\lambda_{k-i+1}+i}$, where the product is over the positions of 0's in the 01 word J_{λ} - see section 2.1. From [GK17, Prop. 4.3, eq. (4.9)] it follows that

(12.6)
$$\Phi(\mathbf{b}_k(x)) = \prod_{i=1}^k x_i \sum_{\lambda \subset k \times (n-k)} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_\lambda} G_{\lambda^{\vee}}(1-x_1,\ldots,1-x_k|1-\varepsilon_n^{-1},\ldots,1-\varepsilon_1^{-1}) \mathcal{O}_{\lambda}.$$

From Theorem 8.1 it follows that for a partition $\mu \subset k \times (n-k)$, the Bethe vector \mathbf{e}^q_{μ} satisfies $\Phi(\mathbf{b}_k(x^{\mu})) = \mathbf{e}^q_{\mu}$.

Theorem 12.1. The 'geometric' and the 'integrable systems' Frobenius pairings coincide, *i.e.*,

$$(a,b)_{\rm QK} = \langle a,b \rangle$$

for any $a, b \in \operatorname{QK}_{\mathbb{T}}(\operatorname{Gr}(k; n))$.

Proof. It is proved in [GMSZ22b] that there are two isomorphic presentations of the ring $QK_{\mathbb{T}}(Gr(k;n))$, both with generators $e_i(X_1,\ldots,X_k)$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$, and subject to relations. In the 'Coulomb branch' presentation, the relations are obtained by a symmetrization of the Bethe Ansatz equations (8.33). In particular, the indeterminates X_1,\ldots,X_k do satisfy these equations. (The second, 'Whitney' presentation, has relations equivalent to those given by the functional equation (11.3).) In both cases, under the isomorphism to $QK_{\mathbb{T}}(Gr(k;n))$,

$$\wedge^i \mathcal{S} = e_i(X_1, \dots, X_k);$$

in other words, the generator $e_i(X_1, \ldots, X_k)$ represents $\wedge^i \mathcal{S}$. Consider the (unique!) expansion of $\wedge^i \mathcal{S}$ into Schubert classes:

$$\wedge^i \mathcal{S} = \sum a_{i,\lambda} \mathcal{O}_{\lambda},$$

with $a_{i,\lambda} \in K_{\mathbb{T}}(pt)$. Note that this expansion does not involve q's. Inside the 'Coulomb branch' presentation, this gives an expansion

$$e_i(X_1, \dots, X_k) = \sum a_{i,\lambda} G_\lambda(1 - X_1, \dots, 1 - X_k | 1 - \varepsilon_1^{-1}, \dots, 1 - \varepsilon_n^{-1}) \mod I,$$

where I is the ideal of relations in the given presentation. In fact, this holds as an algebraic identity without modding out by I, see [GK17, eq. (2.26)], specialized to the variables used in this paper. Since any relation in I localizes to 0, using the definition of the pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, this means that for any partition μ ,

$$\langle \wedge^i \mathcal{S}, \mathbf{e}^q_\mu \rangle = e_i(x^\mu_1, \dots, x^\mu_k) = e_i(x^\mu).$$

In other words, the localization is obtained by specializing $(X_1, \ldots, X_k) \mapsto x^{\mu}$, the solution of the Bethe Ansatz equations (8.33) corresponding to the partition μ . We also used that \mathcal{O}_{λ} corresponds to $G_{\lambda}(1-X_1, \ldots, 1-X_k|1-\varepsilon_1^{-1}, \ldots, 1-\varepsilon_n^{-1})$; cf. Corollary 11.3. Take μ to be an arbitrary partition in the $k \times (n-k)$ rectangle. Specialize $x \mapsto x^{\mu}$ in

Take μ to be an arbitrary partition in the $k \times (n-k)$ rectangle. Specialize $x \mapsto x^{\mu}$ in (12.6) to obtain:

$$\mathbf{e}^{q}_{\mu} = \langle \det \mathcal{S}, \mathbf{e}^{q}_{\mu} \rangle \sum_{\lambda \subset k \times (n-k)} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{\lambda}} \langle \mathcal{O}^{\lambda}, \mathbf{e}^{q}_{\mu} \rangle \mathcal{O}_{\lambda},$$

where ε_{λ} denotes the denominator from (12.6) and \mathcal{O}^{λ} is the opposite Schubert class. Using the ring homomorphism property from Proposition 12.1 it follows that the right hand side of this equality can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{\lambda \subset k imes (n-k)} ig\langle rac{1}{arepsilon_\lambda} \mathcal{O}^\lambda \star \det \mathcal{S}, \mathbf{e}^q_\mu ig
angle \mathcal{O}_\lambda$$

Recall now from [Sum24] (see also [BM11]) that $\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{\lambda}}\mathcal{O}^{\lambda} \star \det \mathcal{S} = \mathcal{I}^{\lambda,q}$ is the quantum ideal sheaf, i.e. the unique element which satisfies $(\mathcal{I}^{\lambda,q}, \mathcal{O}_{\mu})_{\mathrm{QK}} = \delta_{\lambda,\mu}$ for any partition

 λ . Pairing both sides with the quantum ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}^{\nu,q}$ under the geometric pairing $(\cdot, \cdot)_{QK}$, and combining everything, yields

$$(\mathcal{I}^{\nu,q}, \mathbf{e}^{q}_{\mu})_{\mathrm{QK}} = \sum_{\lambda \subset k \times (n-k)} \langle \mathcal{I}^{\lambda,q}, \mathbf{e}^{q}_{\mu} \rangle (\mathcal{I}^{\nu,q}, \mathcal{O}_{\lambda})_{\mathrm{QK}}$$
$$= \sum_{\lambda \subset k \times (n-k)} \langle \mathcal{I}^{\lambda,q}, \mathbf{e}^{q}_{\mu} \rangle \delta_{\nu,\lambda}$$
$$= \langle \mathcal{I}^{\nu,q}, \mathbf{e}^{q}_{\mu} \rangle.$$

Since μ, ν were chosen arbitrarily, the claim follows.

Since the quantum localization map

$$\operatorname{QK}_T(\operatorname{Gr}(k;n)) \to \bigoplus_{\lambda \subset (n-k)^k} \operatorname{K}_T(pt); \quad \kappa \mapsto (\kappa, \mathbf{e}^q_\lambda)_{\operatorname{QK}}$$

is a ring homomorphism, we have a 'quantum Atiyah-Bott' theorem:

Corollary 12.2. For any class $\kappa \in QK_T(Gr(k; n))$, the quantum character (or the Frobenius trace) defined by

$$\operatorname{qch}(\kappa) := (\kappa, 1)_{\mathrm{QK}}$$

satisfies

$$\operatorname{qch}(\kappa) = \sum_{\lambda} \frac{(\kappa, \mathbf{e}_{\lambda}^{q})_{\mathrm{QK}}}{(\mathbf{e}_{\lambda}^{q}, \mathbf{e}_{\lambda}^{q})_{\mathrm{QK}}} = \sum_{\lambda} \frac{(\kappa, \mathbf{e}_{\lambda}^{q})_{\mathrm{QK}}}{\operatorname{Eu}_{q}(\lambda)}.$$

Furthermore, if $ch(\kappa)$ denotes the classical character (or Euler characteristic) of κ , then the quantum and classical characters are related by

$$\operatorname{qch}(\kappa) = \frac{\operatorname{ch}(\kappa)}{1-q}.$$

Proof. The first part follows from the expansion of κ in terms of the Bethe vectors: if $\kappa = \sum a_{\lambda} \mathbf{e}_{\lambda}^{q}$, then from pairing with \mathbf{e}_{λ}^{q} we obtain $a_{\lambda} = (\kappa, \mathbf{e}_{\lambda}^{q})_{\mathrm{QK}}/\mathrm{Eu}_{q}(\lambda)$. The second part follows because $(1, \mathcal{O}_{\lambda})_{\mathrm{QK}} = 1/(1-q)$.

One may interpret the second part of the above corollary in the following way. Consider the expansions of κ into Schubert classes and into Bethe vectors:

$$\kappa = \sum a_{\lambda} \mathbf{e}_{\lambda}^{q}; \quad \kappa = \sum b_{\lambda} \mathcal{O}_{\lambda}.$$

Then

$$\operatorname{qch}(\kappa) = \sum a_{\lambda} \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{ch}(\kappa) = \sum b_{\lambda},$$

thus

$$(1-q)\sum a_{\lambda}=\sum b_{\lambda}.$$

An interesting particular case is when $\kappa = 1$. The inverse quantum Euler classes are the coefficients in the expansion into the Bethe vectors, and:

(12.7)
$$\frac{1}{1-q} = \sum_{\lambda} \frac{1}{\operatorname{Eu}_q(\lambda)} = \sum_{\lambda} w_{\lambda} \frac{1}{\operatorname{Eu}_q(\emptyset)}$$

where $w_{\lambda} \in W$ is the permutation giving the partition λ . An illustration of the calculation of the Euler class is given in the Appendix.

Remark 12.1. Similar results hold in $QH^*_{\mathbb{T}}(Gr(k,n))$, the (equivariant) quantum cohomology ring of Gr(k,n). The product is defined by the condition that

$$(a \circ b, c)_{\mathrm{H}} = \sum_{d} \langle a, b, c \rangle_{d} q^{d},$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathrm{H}}$ is the classical Poincaré pairing extended by q linearity, and $\langle a, b, c \rangle_d$ are the (equivariant) cohomological GW invariants. The equivariant quantum cohomology ring is graded, with deg q = n. In particular, for any $\kappa_1, \kappa_2 \in H^*_{\mathbb{T}}(\mathrm{Gr}(k, n))$,

 $\langle \kappa_1, \kappa_2 \rangle_{\mathrm{H}} = \text{ coefficient of } [\mathrm{pt}_{k,n}] \text{ in } \kappa_1 \cdot \kappa_2.$

In particular, since $\mathbf{e}_{\lambda}^{q} = \mathbf{e}_{\lambda} + qA$, where deg $A < \text{deg}[\text{pt}_{k;n}]$, it follows that

$$\langle 1, \mathbf{e}^q_\lambda \rangle_{\mathrm{H}} = 1 = \langle 1, \mathbf{e}^q_\lambda \rangle,$$

where the latter is the pairing defined in the integrable system case. Then, using the Frobenius property,

$$\langle \mathbf{e}_{\lambda}^{q}, \mathbf{e}_{\mu}^{q} \rangle_{\mathrm{H}} = \langle 1, \mathbf{e}_{\lambda}^{q} \circ \mathbf{e}_{\mu}^{q} \rangle_{\mathrm{H}} = \mathrm{Eu}_{\lambda} \delta_{\lambda,\mu} = \langle \mathbf{e}_{\lambda}^{q}, \mathbf{e}_{\mu}^{q} \rangle.$$

This shows that the geometric and the integrable systems pairings are the same.

Examples of quantum equivariant localization may be found in Appendix A.

APPENDIX A. A GUIDING EXAMPLE, MOSTLY ON Gr(1,2).

We work out below the simplest non-trivial example when $\mathbb{G} = \mathrm{GL}_2$. In this case, the Yang-Baxter module is

$$\mathbf{V}_2 = \mathbf{K}_T(\operatorname{Gr}(0;2)) \oplus \mathbf{K}_T(\operatorname{Gr}(1;2)) \oplus \mathbf{K}_T(\operatorname{Gr}(2;2)),$$

and as a $\mathbb{T} \simeq (\mathbb{C}^*)^2$ -module, \mathbb{C}^2 has a weight decomposition $\mathbb{C}^2 = \mathbb{C}_{\varepsilon_1} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{\varepsilon_2}$.

In what follows we will illustrate both the geometry and the graphical calculus giving the entries t_{ij} of the monodromy matrix T(y), and we will work out the algorithm calculating the Bethe vectors on $QK_{\mathbb{T}}(Gr(1,2)) \simeq QK_{\mathbb{T}}(\mathbb{P}^1)$. We also work out some examples of the Frobenius pairing involving the Bethe vectors \mathbf{e}^q_{λ} . A.1. The Schubert classes in V_2 . We will use superscripts to indicate which Grassmannian contains a Schubert variety or a class, for example, $X_{\lambda}^i \subset \operatorname{Gr}(i,2)$. The Grassmannians $\operatorname{Gr}(0;2)$ and $\operatorname{Gr}(2;2)$ each have a single Schubert variety: $X_{\emptyset}^0 = 0$, respectively $X_{\emptyset}^2 = \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle$. The Schubert varieties on $\operatorname{Gr}(1,2)$ are

$$X_{\square}^1 = \langle e_2 \rangle; \quad X_{\emptyset}^1 = \operatorname{Gr}(1;2) = \mathbb{P}^1.$$

The Yang-Baxter module \mathbf{V}_2 has the spin/Schubert basis

$$v_{\emptyset}^{0} = v_{1} \otimes v_{1} = \mathcal{O}_{\emptyset}^{0}, \quad v_{\emptyset}^{1} = v_{0} \otimes v_{1} = \mathcal{O}_{\emptyset}^{1}, \quad v_{\Box}^{1} = v_{1} \otimes v_{0} = \mathcal{O}_{\Box}^{1}, \quad v_{\emptyset}^{2} = v_{0} \otimes v_{0} = \mathcal{O}_{\emptyset}^{2}.$$

The quotient bundle on $\operatorname{Gr}(0; 2)$ is $\mathcal{Q}_2 = \mathbb{C}^2$, while the quotient bundle \mathcal{Q}_0 on $\operatorname{Gr}(2; 2)$ is trivial of rank 0. The classes $\lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}_i^{\vee})$ (for i = 0, 1, 2) are given by:

(A.1)
$$\lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}_2^{\vee}) = (1 + y/\varepsilon_1)(1 + y/\varepsilon_2)\mathcal{O}_{\emptyset}^0; \quad \lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}_0^{\vee}) = 1;$$

(A.2)
$$\lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}_1^{\vee}) = (1 + y/\varepsilon_2)\mathcal{O}_{\emptyset}^1 - (y/\varepsilon_2)\mathcal{O}_{\square}^1.$$

A.2. The monodromy matrix. We describe next the monodromy matrix $T(y) = \begin{pmatrix} t_{00}(y) & t_{01}(y) \\ t_{10}(y) & t_{11}(y) \end{pmatrix}$ using both Theorem 1.1 and the graphical calculus. We keep using the notation τ_{ij} for the convolution operators, and t_{ij} for the entries of the monodromy matrix.

We start with the diagonal entries. Denote by $\tau(y) = \tau_{00}(y) + q\tau_{11}(y)$. Since there are no quantum corrections in $QK_{\mathbb{T}}(Gr(0;2))$ and $QK_{\mathbb{T}}(Gr(2;2))$ it follows that

$$\tau(y)|_{\operatorname{QK}_{\mathbb{T}}(\operatorname{Gr}(i;2))} = \lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}_{2-i}^{\vee});$$

the formulae are given in (A.1). The formula for $\lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}_1^{\vee}) \star \mathcal{O}_{\emptyset}^1$ is given in (A.2); using for example the 'quantum=classical' statement one calculates that

(A.3)
$$\lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}_1^{\vee}) \star \mathcal{O}_{\square}^1 = -(qy/\varepsilon_1)\mathcal{O}_{\emptyset}^1 + (1+y/\varepsilon_1)\mathcal{O}_{\square}^1.$$

On the other side, according to the graphical calculus we have that:

$$t(y).v_0 \otimes v_1 = \begin{array}{c} 1 & 0 \\ - & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array} v_0 \otimes v_1 + \begin{array}{c} 1 & 0 \\ - & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array} v_1 \otimes v_0 = (1 + y/\varepsilon_2)v_0 \otimes v_1 - y/\varepsilon_2 v_1 \otimes v_0$$
(A.5)

$$t(y).v_1 \otimes v_0 = \begin{array}{c} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -$$

The first equality matches (A.2) and the second (A.3). We leave it to the reader to match the graphical calculus for t(y) restricted to $QK_{\mathbb{T}}(Gr(0,2))$ and $QK_{\mathbb{T}}(Gr(2,2))$ with the expressions from (A.1).

We now calculate the values of the off-diagonal operators on the spin basis. We start with $t_{01}(y)$:

$$\tau_{01}(y)\mathcal{O}_{\emptyset}^{0} = 0;$$

$$\tau_{01}(y)\mathcal{O}_{\emptyset}^{1} = (p_{1})_{*}p_{2}^{*}(\lambda_{y}(\mathcal{Q}_{1}^{\vee}) \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\emptyset}^{1}) = \mathcal{O}_{\emptyset}^{0};$$

$$\tau_{01}(y)\mathcal{O}_{\Box}^{1} = (p_{1})_{*}p_{2}^{*}(\lambda_{y}(\mathcal{Q}_{1}^{\vee}) \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\Box}^{1}) = (p_{1})_{*}p_{2}^{*}((1 + y/\varepsilon_{1})\mathcal{O}_{\Box}^{1}) = (1 + y/\varepsilon_{1})\mathcal{O}_{\emptyset}^{0};$$

$$\tau_{01}(y)\mathcal{O}_{\emptyset}^{2} = (p_{1})_{*}p_{2}^{*}(\lambda_{y}(\mathcal{Q}_{0}^{\vee}) \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\emptyset}^{2}) = \mathcal{O}_{\emptyset}^{1}.$$

Using the graphical calculus, we find for $t_{01}(y)$:

$$t_{01}(y)v_1\otimes v_1=0;$$

$$t_{01}(y)v_0 \otimes v_1 = 1 \xrightarrow{1}_{1} \overset{0}{1} \overset{0}{1} v_1 \otimes v_1 = v_1 \otimes v_1;$$

$$t_{01}(y)v_1 \otimes v_0 = \begin{array}{c} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{array} v_1 \otimes v_1 = (1 + y/\varepsilon_1) v_1 \otimes v_1;$$

$$t_{01}(y)v_0 \otimes v_0 = \begin{array}{c} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & - & - \\ 1 & 0 \end{array} v_0 \otimes v_1 = v_0 \otimes v_1.$$

We continue with $\tau_{10}(y)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{10}(y)\mathcal{O}_{\emptyset}^{0} &= \lambda_{y}(\mathcal{Q}_{1}^{\vee}) \cdot (p_{2})_{*}(p_{1})^{*}(\mathcal{O}_{\emptyset}^{0}) - (p_{2})_{*}p_{1}^{*}(\lambda_{y}(\mathcal{Q}_{2}^{\vee}) \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\emptyset}^{0}) &= -y/\varepsilon_{1}(1+y/\varepsilon_{2})\mathcal{O}_{\emptyset}^{1} - (y/\varepsilon_{2})\mathcal{O}_{\square}^{1} \\ t_{10}(y)\mathcal{O}_{\emptyset}^{1} &= \lambda_{y}(\mathcal{Q}_{0}^{\vee}) \cdot (p_{2})_{*}(p_{1})^{*}(\mathcal{O}_{1}^{\emptyset}) - (p_{2})_{*}p_{1}^{*}(\lambda_{y}(\mathcal{Q}_{1}^{\vee}) \cdot \mathcal{O}_{1}^{\emptyset}) &= 0. \\ t_{10}(y)\mathcal{O}_{\square}^{1} &= \lambda_{y}(\mathcal{Q}_{0}^{\vee}) \cdot (p_{2})_{*}(p_{1})^{*}(\mathcal{O}_{\square}^{\square}) - (p_{2})_{*}p_{1}^{*}(\lambda_{y}(\mathcal{Q}_{1}^{\vee}) \cdot \mathcal{O}_{1}^{\square}) &= -(y/\varepsilon_{1})\mathcal{O}_{\emptyset}^{2}. \\ t_{10}(y)\mathcal{O}_{\emptyset}^{2} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The graphical calculus yields:

$$\begin{aligned} t_{10}(y)v_1 \otimes v_1 &= 0 - \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{array} \right] v_0 \otimes v_1 + 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array} \right] \stackrel{1}{\longrightarrow} 1 v_1 \otimes v_0 = -y/\varepsilon_1 \left(1 + y/\varepsilon_2 \right) v_0 \otimes v_1 - (y/\varepsilon_2) v_1 \otimes v_0 \\ t_{10}(y)v_0 \otimes v_1 &= 0; \\ t_{10}(y)v_1 \otimes v_0 &= 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] \stackrel{1}{\longrightarrow} 1 v_0 \otimes v_0 = -(y/\varepsilon_1) v_0 \otimes v_0 \ . \\ t_{10}(y)v_0 \otimes v_0 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

58

A.3. Bethe vectors. The Bethe vectors for $QK_{\mathbb{T}}(Gr(0,2))$ and $QK_{\mathbb{T}}(Gr(2,2))$ are equal to the Schubert classes. The nontrivial calculation is that of the Bethe vectors for $QK_{\mathbb{T}}(Gr(1,2))$. To ease notation, we will remove the superscripts from the notation of Schubert classes. For Gr(1,2) there is a single Bethe Ansatz equation:

$$(1 - x/\varepsilon_1)(1 - x/\varepsilon_2) - q = 0,$$

with roots

$$x_{\pm} := \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon_2}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{4q\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2 + (\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2)^2}}{2}$$

At q = 0, the root $x_+ = \varepsilon_1$ corresponds to $\lambda = \emptyset$ and the root $x_- = \varepsilon_2$ to $\lambda = (1)$. The 'off-shell' Bethe vector is

$$\tau_{10}(-x)\mathcal{O}_{\emptyset}^{0} = \frac{x}{\varepsilon_{1}}\left(1 - \frac{x}{\varepsilon_{2}}\right)\mathcal{O}_{\emptyset} + \frac{x}{\varepsilon_{2}}\mathcal{O}_{\Box}.$$

The ('on-shell') Bethe vectors are obtained by specializing x to be a root of the Bethe Ansatz equation:

$$\mathbf{e}_{\emptyset}^{q} = \Phi(\mathbf{b}_{01}) = \tau_{10}(-x_{+})\mathcal{O}_{\emptyset}^{0} = \frac{x_{+}}{\varepsilon_{1}}(1-\frac{x_{+}}{\varepsilon_{2}})\mathcal{O}_{\emptyset} + \frac{x_{+}}{\varepsilon_{2}}\mathcal{O}_{\Box}$$
$$\mathbf{e}_{(1)}^{q} = \Phi(\mathbf{b}_{10}) = \tau_{10}(-x_{-})\mathcal{O}_{\emptyset}^{0} = \frac{x_{-}}{\varepsilon_{1}}(1-\frac{x_{-}}{\varepsilon_{2}})\mathcal{O}_{\emptyset} + \frac{x_{-}}{\varepsilon_{2}}\mathcal{O}_{\Box}$$

One may check directly that if q = 0 the Bethe vectors are precisely the classes of the corresponding torus fixed points in Gr(1, 2).

As a reality check, using that in the quantum ring $QK_T(Gr(1,2))$,

(A.6)
$$\mathcal{O}_{(1)}^{1} \star \mathcal{O}_{(1)}^{1} = q(\varepsilon_{2}/\varepsilon_{1})\mathcal{O}_{\emptyset}^{1} - (\varepsilon_{2}/\varepsilon_{1})\mathcal{O}_{(1)}^{1} + \mathcal{O}_{(1)}^{1}$$

an algebra calculation gives that

$$t_{10}(-x_+) \star t_{10}(-x_-) = 0,$$

verifying the orthogonality property of the Bethe vectors.

A.4. Quantum localization. By definition of the Frobenius pairing

$$(\mathcal{O}^{\Box}, \mathcal{O}_{\Box})_{\mathrm{QK}} = \frac{q}{1-q}; \quad (\mathcal{O}_{\emptyset}, \mathcal{O}_{\lambda})_{\mathrm{QK}} = \frac{1}{1-q} \quad \forall \lambda.$$

We may also calculate the quantum localizations:

$$(\mathcal{O}_{\emptyset}, \mathbf{e}_{\emptyset}^{q})_{\mathrm{QK}} = \frac{\frac{x_{\pm}}{\varepsilon_{1}} \left(1 - \frac{x_{\pm}}{\varepsilon_{2}}\right)}{1 - q} + \frac{\frac{x_{\pm}}{\varepsilon_{2}}}{1 - q} = \frac{1 - q}{1 - q} = 1 = G_{0} (1 - x_{\pm} | 1 - \varepsilon^{-1});$$

$$(\mathcal{O}_{\emptyset}, \mathbf{e}_{\Box}^{q})_{\mathrm{QK}} = \frac{\frac{x_{\pm}}{\varepsilon_{1}} \left(1 - \frac{x_{\pm}}{\varepsilon_{2}}\right)}{1 - q} + \frac{\frac{x_{\pm}}{\varepsilon_{2}}}{1 - q} = \frac{1 - q}{1 - q} = 1 = G_{0} (1 - x_{\pm} | 1 - \varepsilon^{-1}).$$

We now turn to the quantum localizations of \mathcal{O}_{\Box} . We have:

$$(\mathcal{O}_{\Box}, \mathbf{e}_{\emptyset}^{q})_{\mathrm{QK}} = \frac{\frac{x_{+}}{\varepsilon_{1}} \left(1 - \frac{x_{+}}{\varepsilon_{2}}\right)}{1 - q} + \frac{x_{+}}{\varepsilon_{2}} (\mathcal{O}_{\Box}, \mathcal{O}_{\Box})_{\mathrm{QK}}$$
$$= \frac{\frac{x_{+}}{\varepsilon_{1}} \left(1 - \frac{x_{+}}{\varepsilon_{2}}\right)}{1 - q} + \frac{x_{+}}{\varepsilon_{2}} \cdot \frac{q\varepsilon_{2}/\varepsilon_{1} - \varepsilon_{2}/\varepsilon_{1} + 1}{1 - q}$$
$$= \frac{1 - q - x_{+}/\varepsilon_{2} \cdot \varepsilon_{2}/\varepsilon_{1}(1 - q)}{1 - q} = 1 - x_{+}/\varepsilon_{1}$$
$$= G_{1}(1 - x_{+}|1 - \varepsilon^{-1});$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{O}_{\Box}, \mathbf{e}_{\Box}^{q})_{\mathrm{QK}} &= \frac{\frac{x_{-}}{\varepsilon_{1}} (1 - \frac{x_{-}}{\varepsilon_{2}})}{1 - q} + \frac{x_{-}}{\varepsilon_{2}} (\mathcal{O}_{\Box}, \mathcal{O}_{\Box})_{\mathrm{QK}} \\ &= \frac{\frac{x_{-}}{\varepsilon_{1}} (1 - \frac{x_{-}}{\varepsilon_{2}})}{1 - q} + \frac{x_{-}}{\varepsilon_{2}} \cdot \frac{q\varepsilon_{2}/\varepsilon_{1} - \varepsilon_{2}/\varepsilon_{1} + 1}{1 - q} \\ &= \frac{1 - q - x_{-}/\varepsilon_{2} \cdot \varepsilon_{2}/\varepsilon_{1}(1 - q)}{1 - q} = 1 - x_{-}/\varepsilon_{1} \\ &= G_{1}(1 - x_{-}|1 - \varepsilon^{-1}); \end{aligned}$$

We now calculate the quantum Euler pairing $(\mathbf{e}_{\emptyset}^{q}, \mathbf{e}_{\emptyset}^{q})_{\mathrm{QK}}$. From the expansion into Schubert classes we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{e}_{\emptyset}^{q}, \mathbf{e}_{\emptyset}^{q})_{\mathrm{QK}} &= \left(\frac{x_{+}}{\varepsilon_{1}}\left(1 - \frac{x_{+}}{\varepsilon_{2}}\right)\mathcal{O}_{\emptyset} + \frac{x_{+}}{\varepsilon_{2}}\mathcal{O}_{(1)}, \mathbf{e}_{\emptyset}^{q}\right)_{\mathrm{QK}} \\ &= \frac{x_{+}}{\varepsilon_{1}}\left(1 - \frac{x_{+}}{\varepsilon_{2}}\right) + \frac{x_{+}}{\varepsilon_{2}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{(1)}, \mathbf{e}_{\emptyset}^{q}\right)_{\mathrm{QK}} \\ &= \frac{x_{+}}{\varepsilon_{1}}\left(1 - \frac{x_{+}}{\varepsilon_{2}}\right) + \frac{x_{+}}{\varepsilon_{2}}\left(1 - \frac{x_{+}}{\varepsilon_{1}}\right) \\ &= \frac{x_{+}}{\varepsilon_{1}} + \frac{x_{+}}{\varepsilon_{2}} - \frac{2(x_{+})^{2}}{\varepsilon_{1}\varepsilon_{2}} \\ &= 1 - q - \frac{(x_{+})^{2}}{\varepsilon_{1}\varepsilon_{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

From the W-equivariance of the quantum pairing we obtain

$$(\mathbf{e}_{\Box}^{q}, \mathbf{e}_{\Box}^{q})_{\mathrm{QK}} = s^{L}.(\mathbf{e}_{\emptyset}^{q}, \mathbf{e}_{\emptyset}^{q})_{\mathrm{QK}} = 1 - q - \frac{(x_{-})^{2}}{\varepsilon_{1}\varepsilon_{2}}.$$

Note that if q = 0, $x_+ = \varepsilon_1$ and $x_- = \varepsilon_2$, giving that

$$(\mathcal{O}_{\Box}, \mathbf{e}_{\emptyset})_{\mathrm{K}} = 0, \quad (\mathcal{O}_{\Box}, \mathbf{e}_{\Box})_{\mathrm{K}} = 1 - \varepsilon_2/\varepsilon_1, \quad (\mathbf{e}_{\emptyset}, \mathbf{e}_{\emptyset})_{\mathrm{K}} = 1 - \varepsilon_1/\varepsilon_2,$$

consistent with the classical case. (We denoted the classical pairing by $(\cdot,\cdot)_{\,\rm K}.)$

60

We illustrate next the calculation of two quantum characters (cf. Corollary 12.2). First, we consider $(1, 1)_{QK}$ in $QK_{\mathbb{T}}(\mathbb{P}^1)$; see (12.7). In this case, the equality states:

$$\frac{1}{1-q} = \frac{1}{1-q - \frac{(x_+)^2}{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2}} + \frac{1}{1-q - \frac{(x_-)^2}{\varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_1}}.$$

Then a direct algebra check gives that the right hand side is indeed 1/(1-q), using that, from the Bethe ansatz equation,

(A.7)
$$\frac{x_{\pm}^2}{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2} = q - 1 + \frac{x_{\pm}}{\varepsilon_1} + \frac{x_{\pm}}{\varepsilon_2}.$$

We now illustrate the calculation of the quantum character of det Q_1 . To start, we have

$$\lambda_y \mathcal{Q}_1 = (1 + y\varepsilon_2)\mathcal{O}_1^{\emptyset} + y\varepsilon_1\mathcal{O}_1^{\Box},$$

thus

$$\det \mathcal{Q}_1 = \varepsilon_2 \mathcal{O}_1^{\emptyset} + \varepsilon_1 \mathcal{O}_1^{\square}.$$

The quantum localizations are:

$$(\det \mathcal{Q}_1, \mathbf{e}_{\emptyset}^q)_{|QK} = \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_1 (1 - \frac{x_+}{\varepsilon_1}) = \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 - x_+;$$
$$(\det \mathcal{Q}_1, \mathbf{e}_{\square}^q)_{QK} = \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 - x_-.$$

Then the quantum character is

$$\operatorname{qch}(\det \mathcal{Q}) = \frac{\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 - x_+}{1 - q - \frac{(x_+)^2}{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2}} + \frac{\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 - x_-}{1 - q - \frac{(x_-)^2}{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2}} = \frac{\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2}{1 - q}$$

The last equality follows again from (A.7), and it confirms Corollary 12.2 in this case.

A.5. Action of the affine Weyl group. The Weyl group $W = S_2$ is generated by the reflection $s_1 = s_\alpha$ corresponding to the root $\alpha = \varepsilon_1/\varepsilon_2$. The extended affine Weyl group $\widetilde{W} = W \ltimes \mathbb{Z}^2$ has two presentations:

$$\widetilde{W} = \langle s_1, \rho : s_1^2 = 1 \rangle = \langle s_1, t_1, t_2 : s_1^2 = 1, t_2 = s_1 t_1 s_1 \rangle,$$

related by $t_1 = s_1 \rho$ and $t_2 = \rho s_1$. The elements t_i are the translations t_{ε_i} . The affine Weyl group $W_{\text{aff}} = \langle s_0, s_1 \rangle$ is a subgroup of \widetilde{W} , with $s_0 = \rho s_1 \rho^{-1}$; then $\widetilde{W} = W_{\text{aff}} \ltimes \mathbb{Z}$, and ρ is the cyclic generator of \mathbb{Z} .

We now describe the action of \widetilde{W} on the YB-module $\mathbf{V}_2 = V_{0,2} \oplus V_{1,2} \oplus V_{2,2}$. Since the action preserves each of the weight spaces $V_{i,2}$, we still use the notation of Schubert classes without superscripts.

The action on $V_{1,2}$ is given by

$$s_1 \mathcal{O}_{\emptyset} = v_{\emptyset}, \qquad s_1 \mathcal{O}_{\Box} = \alpha \mathcal{O}_{\emptyset} + (1 - \alpha) \mathcal{O}_{\Box};$$
$$\rho \mathcal{O}_{\emptyset} = \mathcal{O}_{\Box}, \qquad \rho \mathcal{O}_{\Box} = q \mathcal{O}_{\emptyset},$$

From this, one may derive the action of the translations. Alternatively, one may use the geometric interpretation and (A.2):

$$t_1.\mathcal{O}_{\emptyset} = \lambda_{-\varepsilon_1}(\mathcal{Q}_1^{\vee}) \star \mathcal{O}_{\emptyset} = (1-\alpha)\mathcal{O}_{\emptyset} + \alpha\mathcal{O}_{\Box}; \qquad t_2.\mathcal{O}_{\emptyset} = \lambda_{-\varepsilon_2}(\mathcal{Q}_1^{\vee}) \star \mathcal{O}_{\emptyset} = \mathcal{O}_{\Box};$$

$$t_1.\mathcal{O}_{\Box} = \lambda_{-\varepsilon_1}(\mathcal{Q}_1^{\vee}) \star \mathcal{O}_{\Box} = q\mathcal{O}_{\emptyset}, \qquad t_2.\mathcal{O}_{\Box} = \lambda_{-\varepsilon_2}(\mathcal{Q}_1^{\vee}) \star \mathcal{O}_{\Box} = \alpha^{-1}q\mathcal{O}_{\emptyset} + (1-\alpha^{-1})\mathcal{O}_{\Box}.$$

The above formulae imply the following actions of the affine reflection $s_0 = \rho s_1 \rho^{-1}$:

$$s_0.\mathcal{O}_{\emptyset} = \alpha^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\emptyset} + (1 - \alpha^{-1})q^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\Box}, \qquad s_0.\mathcal{O}_{\Box} = \mathcal{O}_{\Box}.$$

The action of W on $V_{0,2}$ and $V_{2,2}$ is trivial while

$$\rho | V_{0,2} = q$$
 and $\rho | V_{2,2} = 1$.

Appendix B. β -calculus

In order to facilitate the comparison with the results from [GK17] we briefly summarize the change of conventions between the latter and the current work.

In [GK17] the emphasis was on expressing the operators t(z) and $\dot{t}(z)$ of the integrable system in terms of Schubert classes and in this context the multiplicative formal group law

$$z \oplus w = z + w + \beta \, zw, \qquad -1 \le \beta \le 0$$

is particularly convenient, where z denotes the spectral parameter used in [GK17] and β is chosen to be a real parameter with the values $\beta = 0$ and $\beta = -1$ corresponding to the case of (quantum) cohomology and (quantum) K-theory, respectively. In the physical interpretation of the lattice models the parameter β plays the role of a 'coupling constant' or 'interaction strength'. In the current work the focus is instead on vector bundles and their classes.

Let $E \to X$ be a vector bundle of rank e, with the Hirzebruch λ_u class

$$\lambda_y(E) = 1 + yE + y^2 \wedge^2 E + \ldots + y^e \wedge^e E.$$

Then the parameter y and the equivariant parameters ε_i are related to the spectral parameter z and the equivariant parameters t_i in [GK17]⁵ via

(B.1)
$$-y = 1 + \beta z$$
 and $\varepsilon_i = 1 + \beta t_i$

Inserting these variable transformations we have the following relationship between the tautological bundles and the transfer matrices⁶ considered in the current article and those in [GK17],

(B.2)
$$E(z) = (-\beta)^{n-k} t^{(\beta)}(y) = \lambda_y^\beta(\mathcal{Q}^\vee) \text{ and } H(z) = (-\beta)^k \tilde{t}^{(\beta)}(y) = \lambda_y^\beta(\mathcal{S})$$

⁵The variable z was called x and t_i called y_i in [GK17]

⁶Our conventions of how to map 01-words to partitions in the current article differ also from the ones in [GK17]: swapping 0-letter and 1-letters one obtains via level-rank duality t(y) from $\tilde{t}(y)$ and, thus, the geometric interpretations of E(z) and H(z) in [GK17] are opposite to the ones here.

We also weight Schubert classes by

(B.3) $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda} \mapsto (-\beta)^{|\lambda|} \mathcal{O}_{\lambda}$

Specializing $\beta = -1$ in these formulae we arrive at the conventions and operators used in the current work.

References

- [ACTI18] David Anderson, Linda Chen, Hsian-Hua Tseng, and Hiroshi Iritani. On the finiteness of quantum K-theory of a homogeneous space. arXiv preprint arXiv:1804.04579, 2018.
- [Bax82] Rodney J. Baxter. *Exactly solved models in statistical mechanics*. Academic Press, Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], London, 1982.
- [BCLM20] Anders S. Buch, Sjuvon Chung, Changzheng Li, and Leonardo C. Mihalcea. Euler characteristics in the quantum K-theory of flag varieties. Selecta Math. (N.S.), 26(2):Paper No. 29, 11, 2020.
- [BCMP13] Anders S. Buch, Pierre-Emmanuel Chaput, Leonardo C. Mihalcea, and Nicolas Perrin. Finiteness of cominuscule quantum K-theory. Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4), 46(3):477– 494 (2013), 2013.
- [BCMP16] Anders S. Buch, Pierre-Emmanuel Chaput, Leonardo C. Mihalcea, and Nicolas Perrin. Rational connectedness implies finiteness of quantum K-theory. Asian J. Math., 20(1):117– 122, 2016.
- [BCMP18a] Anders S. Buch, Pierre-Emmanuel Chaput, Leonardo C. Mihalcea, and Nicolas Perrin. A Chevalley formula for the equivariant quantum K-theory of cominuscule varieties. Algebr. Geom., 5(5):568–595, 2018.
- [BCMP18b] Anders S. Buch, Pierre-Emmanuel Chaput, Leonardo C. Mihalcea, and Nicolas Perrin. Projected Gromov-Witten varieties in cominuscule spaces. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 146(9):3647–3660, 2018.
- [BCMP22] A. S. Buch, Pierre-Emmanuel Chaput, Leonardo C. Mihalcea, and Nicolas Perrin. Positivity of minuscule quantum k theory. arxiv:2205.08630, 2022.
- [BCP23] Anders Buch, Pierre-Emmanuel Chaput, and Nicolas Perrin. Seidel and pieri products in cominuscule quantum k-theory. *preprint arXiv:2308.05307*, 2023.
- [BK05] Michel Brion and Shrawan Kumar. Frobenius splitting methods in geometry and representation theory, volume 231 of Progr. Math. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2005.
- [BKT03] A. S. Buch, A. Kresch, and H. Tamvakis. Gromov-Witten invariants on Grassmannians. J. Amer. Math. Soc., 16(4):901–915(electronic), 2003.
- [BM11] Anders S. Buch and Leonardo C. Mihalcea. Quantum K-theory of Grassmannians. Duke Math. J., 156(3):501–538, 2011.
- [BM15] Anders S. Buch and Leonardo C. Mihalcea. Curve neighborhoods of Schubert varieties. J. Differential Geom., 99(2):255–283, 2015.
- [BMO11] Alexander Braverman, Davesh Maulik, and Andrei Okounkov. Quantum cohomology of the Springer resolution. *Adv. Math.*, 227(1):421–458, 2011.
- [Buc02] Anders Skovsted Buch. Grothendieck classes of quiver varieties. *Duke Math. J.*, 115(1):75–103, 2002.
- [Buc03] Anders Skovsted Buch. Quantum cohomology of Grassmannians. *Compositio Math.*, 137(2):227–235, 2003.
- [CG09] Neil Chriss and Victor Ginzburg. *Representation theory and complex geometry*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2009.

64 VASSILY GORBOUNOV, CHRISTIAN KORFF, AND LEONARDO C. MIHALCEA

- [Cho21] Chi-Hong Chow. Peterson-Lam-Shimozono's theorem is an affine analogue of quantum Chevalley formula. 2021.
- [CMP09] Pierre-Emmanuel Chaput, Laurent Manivel, and Nicolas Perrin. Affine symmetries of the equivariant quantum cohomology ring of rational homogeneous spaces. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 16(1):7–21, 2009.
- [CP95] Vyjayanthi Chari and Andrew N Pressley. A Guide to Quantum Groups. Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [CP11] P.-E. Chaput and N. Perrin. Rationality of some Gromov-Witten varieties and application to quantum K-theory. Commun. Contemp. Math., 13(1):67–90, 2011.
- [Fad90] LD Faddeev. Lectures on quantum inverse scattering method. New Problems, Methods and Techniques in Quantum Field Theory and Statistical Mechanics. Series on Advances in Statistical Mechanics, 6:7–54, 1990.
- [FR92] I. B. Frenkel and N. Yu. Reshetikhin. Quantum affine algebras and holonomic difference equations. Comm. Math. Phys., 146(1):1–60, 1992.
- [Giv00] Alexander Givental. On the WDVV equation in quantum K-theory. Michigan Math. J., 48:295–304, 2000. Dedicated to William Fulton on the occasion of his 60th birthday.
- [GK95] Alexander Givental and Bumsig Kim. Quantum cohomology of flag manifolds and Toda lattices. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 168(3):609–641, 1995.
- [GK14a] Vassily Gorbounov and Christian Korff. Equivariant quantum cohomology and yang-baxter algebraas. available on arXiv:1402.2907v2, 2014.
- [GK14b] Vassily Gorbounov and Christian Korff. Equivariant quantum cohomology and yang-baxter algebras, 2014, 1402.2907.
- [GK17] Vassily Gorbounov and Christian Korff. Quantum integrability and generalised quantum Schubert calculus. *Advances in Mathematics*, 313:282–356, 2017.
- [GKS20a] V. G. Gorbunov, Ch. Korff, and C. Stroppel. Yang-Baxter algebras, convolution algebras, and Grassmannians. *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, 75(5(455)):3–58, 2020.
- [GKS20b] Vassily Gennadievich Gorbunov, Christian Korff, and Catharina Stroppel. Yang-baxter algebras, convolution algebras, and grassmannians. *Russian Mathematical Surveys*, 75(5):791, 2020.
- [GL03] Alexander Givental and Yuan-Pin Lee. Quantum K-theory on flag manifolds, finitedifference Toda lattices and quantum groups. *Invent. Math.*, 151(1):193–219, 2003.
- [GMSZ22a] W. Gu, L. C. Mihalcea, E. Sharpe, and H. Zou. Quantum K theory of Grassmannians, Wilson line operators, and Schur bundles. 2022.
- [GMSZ22b] Wei Gu, Leonardo C Mihalcea, Eric Sharpe, and Hao Zou. Quantum K-theory of Grassmannians, Wilson line operators, and Schur bundles. arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.01091, 2022.
- [GRTV13] V. Gorbounov, R. Rimányi, V. Tarasov, and A. Varchenko. Quantum cohomology of the cotangent bundle of a flag variety as a Yangian Bethe algebra. J. Geom. Phys., 74:56–86, 2013.
- [Hir95] Friedrich Hirzebruch. Topological methods in algebraic geometry. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995. Translated from the German and Appendix One by R. L. E. Schwarzenberger, With a preface to the third English edition by the author and Schwarzenberger, Appendix Two by A. Borel, Harada Reprint of the 1978 edition.
- [HLS10] Megumi Harada, Gregory D Landweber, and Reyer Sjamaar. Divided differences and the Weyl character formula in equivariant K-theory. *Mathematical Research Letters*, 17(3):507– 527, 2010.
- [Kap84] M. M. Kapranov. Derived category of coherent sheaves on Grassmann manifolds. Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat., 48(1):192–202, 1984.

- [Kat18] Syu Kato. Loop structure on equivariant K-theory of semi-infinite flag manifolds. arXiv preprint arXiv:1805.01718, 2018.
- [Kim99] Bumsig Kim. Quantum cohomology of flag manifolds G/B and quantum Toda lattices. Ann. of Math. (2), 149(1):129–148, 1999.
- [Knu03] Allen Knutson. A Schubert calculus recurrence from the noncomplex W-action on G/B. arXiv preprint math/0306304, 2003.
- [Kol86] János Kollár. Higher direct images of dualizing sheaves. II. Ann. of Math. (2), 124(1):171– 202, 1986.
- [KPSZ21] Peter Koroteev, Petr P. Pushkar, Andrey V. Smirnov, and Anton M. Zeitlin. Quantum K-theory of quiver varieties and many-body systems. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)*, 27(5):Paper No. 87, 40, 2021.
- [KS10] Christian Korff and Catharina Stroppel. The $\hat{sl}(n)_k$ -WZNW fusion ring: a combinatorial construction and a realisation as quotient of quantum cohomology. Adv. Math., 225(1):200–268, 2010.
- [KZ21] Peter Koroteev and Anton M. Zeitlin. qKZ/tRS duality via quantum K-theoretic counts. Math. Res. Lett., 28(2):435–470, 2021.
- [Lee04] Y.-P. Lee. Quantum K-theory. I. Foundations. Duke Math. J., 121(3):389–424, 2004.
- [LKSY22] Changzheng Li, Zhaoyang Kiu, Song, and Mingzhi Yang. On Seidel representation in quantum K-theory of Grassmannians. 2022.
- [LSX24] Changzheng Li, Changjian Su, and Rui Xiong. Automorphisms of the quantum cohomology of the Springer resolution and applications. *Adv. Math.*, 442:Paper No. 109577, 38, 2024.
- [McN06] Peter J. McNamara. Factorial Grothendieck polynomials. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 13(1):Research Paper 71, 40, 2006.
- [MNS22] Leonardo C. Mihalcea, Hiroshi Naruse, and Changjian Su. Left Demazure-Lusztig operators on equivariant (quantum) cohomology and K-theory. Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN, (16):12096–12147, 2022.
- [MO19] Davesh Maulik and Andrei Okounkov. Quantum groups and quantum cohomology. Astérisque, (408):ix+209, 2019.
- [Oko17] Andrei Okounkov. Lectures on K-theoretic computations in enumerative geometry. In Geometry of moduli spaces and representation theory, volume 24 of IAS/Park City Math. Ser., pages 251–380. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2017.
- [Pos05] Alexander Postnikov. Affine approach to quantum Schubert calculus. *Duke Math. J.*, 128(3):473–509, 2005.
- [Smi24] Andrey Smirnov. Enumerative geometry via elliptic stable envelopes. arXiv:arXiv:2408.05643, 2024.
- [Sum24] Kevin Summers. A dual basis for the equivariant quantum K-theory of cominuscule varieties. 2024.
- [SV20] Olivier Schiffmann and Eric Vasserot. On cohomological Hall algebras of quivers: generators. J. Reine Angew. Math., 760:59–132, 2020.
- [TV24] Vitaly Tarasov and Alexander Varchenko. Monodromy of the equivariant quantum differential equation of the cotangent bundle of a Grassmannian. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)*, 30(2):Paper No. 25, 33, 2024.
- [Wey03] Jerzy Weyman. Cohomology of vector bundles and syzygies, volume 149 of Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.

66 VASSILY GORBOUNOV, CHRISTIAN KORFF, AND LEONARDO C. MIHALCEA

Faculty of Mathematics, National Research University, Higher School of Economics, Usacheva $6,\,119048$ Moscow, Russia

Email address: vgorb10@gmail.com

School of Mathematics and statistics, University of Glasgow, Glasgow G12 8QQ, UK

 $Email \ address: {\tt Christian.Korff@glasgow.ac.uk}$

Department of Mathematics, Virginia Tech University, Blacksburg, VA 24061 USA $\mathit{Email}\ address: \texttt{lmihalceQvt.edu}$