

A COUNTEREXAMPLE OF THE FREDHOLM OF TOEPLITZ OPERATOR

LIU HUA AND ZHANG XINYANG

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study the essential spectra of the Toeplitz operator on the Hardy space H^1 . We give a counterexample to show that the Toeplitz operator with symbol is not Fredholm, which give a counterexample to the conjecture by J.A. Virtanen J A in 2006.

1. INTRODUCTION

Denote by \mathbb{D} the unit disc in \mathbb{C} and \mathbb{T} its boundary. Let $f(t) \in L^p(p \geq 1)$. Define

$$Pf(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{f(t)}{t-z} dt, \quad |z| < 1,$$

and

$$Qf(z) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{f(t)}{t-z} dt, \quad |z| > 1.$$

For $t \in \mathbb{T}$, denote by $Pf(t) = \lim_{z \rightarrow t, z \in \mathbb{D}} Pf(z)$ and $Qf(t) = \lim_{z \rightarrow t, z \in \mathbb{D}} Qf(z)$. Denote by $H^p(\mathbb{T})$ the Hardy space and $H_0^p(\mathbb{T})$ the collections of the Hardy functions which vanishing at 0. In the following, we prefer to $\overline{H_0^p(\mathbb{T})}$ The Toeplitz operator T_a with the symbol $a \in L^\infty$ is defined by

$$T_a : H^p(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow H^p(\mathbb{T}), \quad f \mapsto P(af).$$

When $1 < p < \infty$ and $a \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$, the Toeplitz operator T_a is bounded on $H^p(\mathbb{T})$. Moreover, if a is continuous, the Fredholm properties of T_a is well known: T_a is Fredholm if and only a is nowhere zero(see [1]). When $p = 1$, it is much more complex. The Toeplitz operator T_a with symbol a is bounded on H^1 if and only if $a \in L^\infty$ and $Qa \in \mathbf{BMO}_{\log}$, [8, 9, 10]. But it is more difficult to consider the Fredholmness of T_a on H^1 , [3, 4]. In [4], J.A. Vertanen conjectured that the Fredholmness of T_a still holds for continuous symbol a satisfying $Qa \in \mathbf{BMO}_{\log}$.

In this paper, we give an example $a(z) = (1 - \ln(1+z))/(1 - \ln(1+z^{-1}))$ to disapprove the Vertanen's conjecture. We give the outline of the proof as follows.

Notice that a is continuous on \mathbb{T} since $a - 1$ and $\ln a$ are equivalent infinitesimal when z tends to -1 on \mathbb{T} . Obviously, $\mathbf{ind} a = 0$, here $\mathbf{ind} a$ is the index of a is continuous on \mathbb{T} .

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 42B20, 43A65, 44A15; Secondary: 22D30, 30E25, 30G35, 32A35.

Key words and phrases. Hardy space, Toeplitz operator, continuous symbol, \mathbf{BMO}_{\log} .

First we will prove that $\ln a^+$, $\ln a^-$ satisfy the assumption of the Vertanen's conjecture, i.e., both Pa and Qa are \mathbf{BMO}_{\log} functions, by approximate their derivative. Then we show that the kernel of T_a is 0 and its image is dense in (i.e. closure is) $H^1(\mathbb{T})$. Finally, we construct a function in $H^1(\mathbb{T})$ which has no preimage of \mathbb{T}_α in $H^1(\mathbb{T})$. These two facts indicate that the image of T_a is closed in $H^1(\mathbb{T})$, i.e, T_a is not Fredholm.

The second author is the main contributor to this paper.

2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

In this article we choose the continuous branch of the argument function \arg such that $\arg(z) \in (-\pi, \pi]$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}$. And the the logarithm function takes is defined by $\mathbf{Im} \ln(z) = \arg(z)$ on $\mathbf{C} \setminus \{z | \mathbf{Re} z \leq 0, \mathbf{Im} z = 0\}$. We denote $\theta = \theta(z) = \arg(z)$ for $z \neq -|z|$ and $\vartheta = \vartheta(z) = \arg(-z)$ for $z \neq |z|$.

Example 2.1. We consider a function $a = a^+/a^-$ on the unit circle \mathbb{T} , in which $a^+(z) = 1 - \ln(1+z)$ analytic in $\mathbf{C} \setminus \{z | \mathbf{Re} z \leq -1, \mathbf{Im} z = 0\}$ and $a^-(z) = 1 - \ln(1+z^{-1})$ analytic in $\mathbf{C} \setminus \{z | -1 \leq \mathbf{Re} z \leq 0, \mathbf{Im} z = 0\}$. Since $\mathbf{Re} a^+(z) = 1 - \ln|1+z| > 1 - \ln 2 > 0$ for $z \in \mathbf{D} \cup \mathbb{T} \setminus \{-1\}$, while $\mathbf{Re} a^-(t) = 1 - \ln|1+t^{-1}| > 1 - \ln 2 > 0$ for $t \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \{-1\}$. Then we have that $\ln(t) = \ln a^+(t) - \ln a^-(t)$ holds on $\mathbb{T} \setminus \{-1\}$ in the sense of main value, which is a pure imagine odd function of $\theta \in (-\pi, \pi)$. When $z = -\exp(i\vartheta)$ tends to -1 on \mathbb{T} , $\mathbf{Re} \ln(1+z) = \ln(2 \sin(|\vartheta|/2))$ which is equivalent infinity of $\ln|\vartheta|$, while $\mathbf{Im} \ln(1+z) = \theta/2$ tends to $\pm\pi/2$ respectively when t tends to -1 from above or below. Thus both a^+ and a^- are belong to $L^1(\mathbb{T})$.

Since $\ln(1+t)$ has only weak singularity at -1 , we have that

$$\ln(1+z) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\ln(1+t)}{t-z} dt, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

i.e. $a^+ \in H^1(\mathbb{T})$. Similar argument gives $a^- \in H^1\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{D} \subset \overline{H^1(\mathbb{T})}$. Moreover when $t \in \mathbf{D}$ tends to -1 from above or below, $\mathbf{Re} \ln a^+ = \mathbf{Re} \ln a^-$ are equivalent to $\ln(-\ln|\vartheta|)$, while $\mathbf{Im} \ln a^+ = -\mathbf{Im} \ln a^-$ are equivalent to $\pm\pi/2 \ln(|\vartheta|)$. $\ln a^+(t)$ still has anly weak singularity at -1 . We again obtain that $\ln a^+$ belongs to $H^1(\mathbb{T})$ and $\ln a^-$ belongs to $\overline{H^1(\mathbb{T})}$, respectively.

Furthermore, $1/a^+ \in H^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ and $1/a^- \in \overline{H^\infty(\mathbb{T})}$. All of them are continuous at $\mathbb{T} \setminus \{-1\}$ with the logarithmic singularity at -1 . Moreover $\ln a(t)$ tends to 0 and equivalent to $\pm \frac{\text{sgn}(\pi)}{\ln(\pi-|\theta|)}$ when t tends to -1 from above or below.

Let the connecting arc $I \subset \mathbb{T}$ (we also call I by the interval). Without confusion, we assume $-1 \notin I$ and identify I with the real set $\{\theta \in [0, 2\pi), e^{i\theta} \in I\}$. Let $f(z) \in H^1$, denote by

$$f_I = \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |f(e^{i\theta})| d\theta$$

and

$$\text{MO}_I(f) = \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |f(e^{i\theta}) - f_I| d\theta.$$

Example 2.2. Let $I = [0, d]$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{MO}_I(\ln x) &= \frac{1}{d} \int_I |\ln \vartheta - \frac{1}{d} \int_I \ln \xi d\xi| dx \\ &= \frac{1}{d} \int_I |\ln x - \ln d + 1| dx = 2e^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

In this paper we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $f(x)$ be a bounded variation function on $I = [0, d]$ with the total variation $V_I(f)$. We obtain.*

$$\text{Mo}_I(f) \leq \frac{1}{2} V_I(f).$$

Proof. Let $I = [a, b] \subset [0, d]$ and $f(x) \in C^1[a, b]$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b |f(x) - f_I| dx &= \int_a^b \left| f(x) - \frac{1}{|I|} \int_a^b f(t) dt \right| dx \\ &= \frac{1}{|I|} \int_a^b \left| \left(\int_a^x + \int_x^b \right) \left(\int_t^x f'(s) ds \right) dt \right| dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|I|} \int_a^b \left| \int_a^x \int_t^x f'(s) ds dt \right| dx + \frac{1}{|I|} \int_a^b \left| \int_x^b \int_t^x f'(s) ds dt \right| dx \\ &= \text{I} + \text{II}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_a^x \int_t^x f'(s) ds dt \right| &\leq \int_a^x \int_t^x |f'(s)| ds dt \\ &= \int_a^x (s - a) |f'(s)| ds, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{I} &\leq \frac{1}{|I|} \int_a^b \int_a^x (s - a) |f'(s)| ds dx \\ &= \frac{1}{|I|} \int_a^b \left(\int_s^b (s - a) |f'(s)| dx \right) ds \\ &= \frac{1}{|I|} \int_a^b (s - a)(b - s) |f'(s)| ds. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we also have

$$\text{II} \leq \frac{1}{|I|} \int_a^b (s - a)(b - s) |f'(s)| ds.$$

By (??), (3 and (2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_a^b |f(x) - f_I| dx &\leq \frac{1}{|I|} \int_a^b 2(s-a)(b-s)|f'(s)| ds \\
&\leq \frac{1}{|I|} \int_a^b 2(s-a)(b-s)|f'(s)| ds \\
&\leq \frac{1}{|I|} \int_a^b \frac{(s-a+b-s)^2}{2} |f'(s)| ds \\
&= \frac{|I|}{2} \int_a^b |f'(s)| ds \leq \frac{|I|}{2} V_I(f),
\end{aligned}$$

which gives (2.3). □

Remark. We only proved (2.3) for $f \in C^1[a, b]$ because it is enough for this article. In general, let f be a bounded variation function. Since $f(x)$ is Riemann integrable, apply the Abel summation formula to the Riemann summation of the concerned integral, we can complete the proof of the lemma by Riemann summation and Abel formula.

Definition 2.4. ^[3] A function f is in \mathbf{BMO}_{\log} if $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$ and

$$\|f\|_{\log} = \sup_I \frac{4\pi}{\log |I|} \mathbf{MO}_I(f) < +\infty,$$

where the supremum is taken over all arcs I of \mathbb{T} . The space \mathbf{BMO}_{\log} is a Banach space under the norm $\|f\|_{\mathbf{BMO}_{\log}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| \int f(e^{i\theta}) d\theta \right| + \|f\|_{\log}$.

In the next section, We will show that $\ln(-\ln(|x|))$ and $\text{sgn}(x)/\ln(|x|)$ are \mathbf{BMO}_{\log} in the neighbourhood of $x = 0$. $\ln a^+$ and $\ln a^-$ seem to be \mathbf{BMO}_{\log} as they are equivalent infinity of $\ln(-\ln|x|)$. However, equivalent infinity is not enough to keep the \mathbf{BMO}_{\log} property. In order to prove it we should estimate their variety and conjecture \mathbf{BMO}_{\log} property keeps if the variety of a function is controlled by a \mathbf{BMO}_{\log} function everywhere.

3. \mathbf{BMO}_{\log} space

Lemma 3.1. *If real functions f and g on interval $I = [a, b]$ satisfy $0 \leq |f(x_1) - f(x_2)| \leq |g(x_1) - g(x_2)|$ for all pair of points $x_1, x_2 \in I$, then $\mathbf{MO}_I(f) \leq 2\mathbf{MO}_I(g)$. Moreover, if f and g are monotaneous, then $\mathbf{MO}_I(f) \leq \mathbf{MO}_I(g)$.*

Proof. Consider $y^+ = \inf\{g(x)|x \in I \text{ and } g(x) \geq g_I\}$, and $y^- = \sup\{g(x)|x \in I \text{ and } g(x) \leq g_I\}$, let $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ such that $\lambda y^+ + (1-\lambda)y^- = g_I$, and $x_1, x_2 \in I$ such that both $0 \leq g(x_1) - y^+ \leq \epsilon$ and $0 \leq y^- - g(x_2) \leq \epsilon$ hold. Denote by $y = \lambda f(x_1) + (1-\lambda)f(x_2)$.

Notice that $\int_I (f(x) - f_I) dx = 0$, if $y \leq f_I$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_I |f(x) - f_I| dx &= 2 \int_I (f(x) - f_I)^+ dx \\ &\leq 2 \int_I (f(x) - y)^+ dx \\ &\leq 2 \int_I (\lambda(f(x) - f(x_1))^+ + (1 - \lambda)(f(x) - f(x_2))^+) dx \end{aligned}$$

or if $y \geq f_I$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_I |f(x) - f_I| dx &= 2 \int_I (f(x) - f_I)^- dx \\ &\leq 2 \int_I (f(x) - y)^- dx \\ &\leq 2 \int_I (\lambda(f(x) - f(x_1))^- + (1 - \lambda)(f(x) - f(x_2))^-) dx. \end{aligned}$$

In the above either cases we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_I |f(x) - f_I| dx &\leq 2 \int_I (\lambda |f(x) - f(x_1)| + (1 - \lambda) |f(x) - f(x_2)|) dx \\ &\leq 2 \int_I (\lambda |g(x) - g(x_1)| + (1 - \lambda) |g(x) - g(x_2)|) dx \\ &\leq 2 \int_I (\lambda |g(x) - y^+| + (1 - \lambda) |g(x) - y^-| + \epsilon) dx \\ &= 2 \int_I (|g(x) - \lambda y^+ - (1 - \lambda) y^-| + \epsilon) dx. \end{aligned}$$

The last identity is due to that either $g(x) \geq y^+$ or $g(x) \leq y^-$. In short, $MO_I(f) \leq 2(MO_I(g) + \epsilon)$.

When f and g are monotonously increasing functions. Then there exists x_0 such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_I (\lambda(f(x) - f(x_1))^+ + (1 - \lambda)(f(x) - f(x_2))^+) dx \\ &\leq \int_I (\lambda(g(x) - g(x_1))^+ + (1 - \lambda)(g(x) - g(x_2))^+) dx \\ &\leq \int_I (\lambda(g(x) - y^+)^+ + (1 - \lambda)(g(x) - y^-)^+ + \epsilon) dx, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_I (\lambda(f(x) - f(x_1))^- + (1 - \lambda)(f(x) - f(x_2))^-) dx \\
& \leq \int_I (\lambda(g(x) - g(x_1))^- + (1 - \lambda)(g(x) - g(x_2))^-) dx \\
& \leq \int_I (\lambda(g(x) - y^+)^- + (1 - \lambda)(g(x) - y^-)^- + \epsilon) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_I (\lambda(g(x) - y^+)^+ + (1 - \lambda)(g(x) - y^-)^+) dx \\
& = \int_I (\lambda(g(x) - y^+)^- + (1 - \lambda)(g(x) - y^-)^-) dx \\
& = \int_I (|g(x) - \lambda y^+ - (1 - \lambda)y^-|) dx / 2.
\end{aligned}$$

Another proof is $x_0 \in I$ such that $g(x_0) \leq g_I$ for $I_2 : x < x_0$ and $g(x_0) \geq g_I$ for $I_1 : x < x_0$, hence y^+ and y^- are just the right and left limit of g at x_0 , respectively. Take $x_1 = x_0^+$ and $x_2 = x_0^-$. Denote by $I_1 = \{x \in I, x < x_0\}$ and $I_2 = \{x \in I, x < x_0\}$. It is easy to check that $f(x) \leq g(x) - g(a^+) + f(a^+)$ and hence $f_I \leq g_I$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_I (f(x) - y)^+ dx &= \int_{I_1} (y - f(x)) dx \\
&= \int_{I_1} (\lambda(f(x_0^+) - f(x)) + (1 - \lambda)(f(x_0^-) - f(x))) dx \\
&\leq \int_{I_1} (\lambda(f(x_0^+) - f(x)) + (1 - \lambda)(f(x_0^-) - f(x))) dx \\
&\leq \int_{I_1} (\lambda(g(x_0^+) - g(x)) + (1 - \lambda)(g(x_0^-) - f(x))) dx \\
&= \int_{I_1} (g_I - g(x)) dx \\
\int_I (f(x) - y)^+ dx &= \int_{I_1} (f(x) - y) dx
\end{aligned}$$

and $\int_I (f(x) - y)^- dx = \int_{I_2} (f(x) - y) dx$. □

Corollary 3.2. *If real functions f and g on interval $I = [a, b]$ satisfy $\|f'(x)\| \leq g'(x)$, then $MO_I(f) \leq 2MO_I(g)$.*

Proof. It is easy to check by Lemma 3.1 since

$$|f(x_1) - f(x_2)| = \left| \int_{x_1}^{x_2} f'(x) dx \right| \leq \int_{x_1}^{x_2} |f'(x)| dx \leq \int_{x_1}^{x_2} g'(x) dx = g(x_2) - g(x_1)$$

for $x_1, x_2 \in I$ and $x_1 < x_2$. □

Proposition 3.3. *If even real function $f(x)$ satisfies $|f'(x)| \leq -|x|^{-1} \ln^{-1}|x|$ when $0 < |x| \leq \delta \leq \frac{1}{4} \exp(-1)$, then $MO_I(f) \leq -8e^{-1} \ln^{-1}(|I|/2)$ for every interval $I \subseteq [-\delta, \delta]$. Moreover if $f(x)$ is monotaneous on $0 < x \leq \delta$ then the 8 above can be reduced to 4.*

Proof. Let $I = [\delta_l, \delta_r]$. Recall that $-x^{-1} \ln^{-1} x$ is decreasing for $0 < x < e^{-1}$. If $\delta_l > 0$ then

$$\begin{aligned} |f'(x + \delta_l)| &\leq -(x + \delta_l)^{-1} \ln^{-1}(x + \delta_l) \\ &\leq -x^{-1} \ln^{-1}(x) \\ &\leq -\ln^{-1}|I| x^{-1} = (-\ln^{-1}|I| \ln x)' \end{aligned}$$

for $x \in [0, |I|]$ since $x + \delta_l < e^{-1}$. By and (13) Corollary 3.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} MO_I(f) &= MO_{[0, |I|]}(f(x + \delta_l)) \\ &\leq -2 \ln^{-1}(|I|) MO_{[0, |I|]}(\ln(x)) = -4e^{-1} \ln^{-1}(|I|) \\ &= -8e^{-1} \frac{1}{2 \ln |I|} \leq -8e^{-1} \ln^{-1}(|I|/2). \end{aligned}$$

In the case $-\delta_r \leq \delta_l < 0$, we define the piecewise function $g(x)$ on $[0, |I|]$ valued $f(x/2)$ when $x \leq -2\delta_l$ and $f(x + \delta_l)$ when $x \geq -2\delta_l$. Now

$$g'(x) \leq -x^{-1} \ln^{-1}(x/2) \leq -\ln^{-1}(|I|/2) x^{-1}$$

when $x < -2\delta_l$, and

$$g'(x) \leq -(x + \delta_l)^{-1} \ln^{-1}(x + \delta_l) \leq -2x^{-1} \ln^{-1}(x/2) \leq -2 \ln^{-1}(|I|/2) x^{-1}$$

when $x > -2\delta_l$. Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\delta_l}^{\delta_r} f(x) dx &= \int_{\delta_l}^{-\delta_l} f(x) dx + \int_{-\delta_l}^{\delta_r} f(x) dx \\ &= 2 \int_0^{-\delta_l} f(x) dx + \int_{-2\delta_l}^{|I|} f(x + \delta_l) dx \\ &= \int_0^{-2\delta_l} g(x) dx + \int_{-2\delta_l}^{|I|} g(x) dx = \int_0^{|I|} g(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} MO_I(f) &= MO_{[0, |I|]}(g) \\ &\leq -4 \ln^{-1}(|I|/2) MO_{[0, |I|]}(\ln(x)) \\ &= -8e^{-1} \ln^{-1}(|I|/2). \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 3.4. *If function (not required real) $f(x)$ is continuous at 0 and satisfies $|f'(x)| \leq |x|^{-1} \ln^{-2} |x|$ when $0 < |x| \leq \delta \leq \exp(-2)$, then $MO_I(f) \leq \ln^{-1}(-\ln(|I|/2))$ for all $I \subseteq [-\delta, \delta]$.*

Proof. Denote by $V_I(f)$ the total variation of $f(x)$ on I , then $MO_I(f) \leq V_I(f)/2$. Since f is absolutely continuous on I , then $V_I(f) = \int_I |f'(x)| dx$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} MO_I(f) &\leq V_I(f) = \int_I |f'(x)| dx \\ &\leq \int_I |x|^{-1} \ln^{-2}(|x|) dx / 2 \\ &\leq \int_{[0, |I|/2]} |x|^{-1} \ln^{-2}(|x|) dx \\ &= \ln^{-1}(-\ln(|I|/2)), \end{aligned}$$

the last unequal holds because comparing $[0, |I|/2]$ with $[\delta_l, \delta_l + |I|/2]$ and $[\delta_l + |I|/2, \delta_l + |I|]$ when $\delta_l > 0$, or $[-\delta_l, |I|/2]$ with $[|I|/2, \delta_r]$ when $-\delta_r \leq \delta_l < 0$. \square

Theorem 3.5. *a is BMO_{\log} on \mathbb{T}*

Proof. According to the two propositions above, the problem of checking BMO_{\log} property is converted to comparing the derivatives with $-|x|^{-1} \ln^{-1}(|x|)$ or $|x|^{-1} \ln^{-2}(|x|)$.

It is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d \ln(a^+(-e^{ix}))}{dx} &= -i(1 - e^{-ix})^{-1} (1 - \ln(1 - e^{ix}))^{-1} \\ &\sim x^{-1} \ln^{-1} |x| \end{aligned}$$

as $x \rightarrow 0$. Moreover we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d \operatorname{Im} \ln(a^+(-e^{ix}))}{dx} &= (4 \sin^{-2}(|x|/2) ((1 - \ln(2 \sin(|x|/2)))^2 \\ &\quad + (\pi/2 - |x|/2)^2)^{-1} ((\cos(x) - 1)(1 - \ln(2 \sin(|x|/2))) \\ &\quad + (-\sin(x))(x - \operatorname{sgn}(x)\pi)/2) \\ &\sim (\pi/2) |x|^{-1} \ln^{-2} |x| \end{aligned}$$

as $x \rightarrow 0$. By (18) and (19) we obtain

$$\frac{d \operatorname{Re} \ln(a^+(-e^{ix}))}{dx} \sim x^{-1} \ln^{-1} |x|$$

as $x \rightarrow 0$.

Take an arbitray δ small enough that $|d \operatorname{Re} \ln(a^+(-\exp(ix)))/dx| \leq -M_0 |x|^{-1} \ln^{-1} |x|$, denote $M_1 = \max_{|\theta| \leq \pi - \delta/2} |d \operatorname{Re} \ln(a^+(\exp(i\theta)))/d\theta|$, for arc $I \subseteq T$ if $|I| \geq \delta/2$ then $MO_I(\operatorname{Re} \ln(a^+)) \leq 2 \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |\ln(a^+(\exp(i\theta)))| d\theta / (\delta/2)$, if $I \subseteq \{\exp(i\theta) : \delta/2 - \pi \leq \theta \leq \pi - \delta/2\}$ then $MO_I(\operatorname{Re} \ln(a^+)) \leq M_1 |I|/2$, if $I \subseteq \{-\exp(ix) : -\delta \leq x \leq \delta\}$ then

$MO_I(\operatorname{Re} \ln(a^+)) \leq -4 \exp(-1) M_0 \ln -1(|I|/2)$, thus $\operatorname{Re} \ln(a^+) = \operatorname{Re} \ln(a^-)$ is BMO_{\log} on \mathbb{T} and $\operatorname{Im} \ln(a^+) = -\operatorname{Im} \ln(a^-) = \ln(a)/2i$ is similar, and so does a since

$$\begin{aligned} V_I(a) &= \int_I |da(-e^{ix})/dx| dx \\ &= \int_I |a(-e^{ix})d \ln(a(-e^{ix}))/dx| dx \\ &= \int_I |d \ln(a(-e^{ix}))/dx| dx \\ &= V_I(\ln(a)). \end{aligned}$$

□

Now we will prove that Qa is BMO_{\log} , for which we first give a simple representation of Qa . Denote by $\tilde{f}(z) = f(z^{-1})$. We have

$$(Q\tilde{f})(z) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{f(z^{-1})}{t-z} dt = \widetilde{P}f(z) + f_0 \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{f(t)}{t} dt + f_0,$$

where $f_0 = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{f(t)}{t} dt$. Thus we obtain

$$Qa(z) = a - Pa(z) = a - \widetilde{P}a(z) = a - \widetilde{Q}a(z) + a_0.$$

Recall that $\tilde{a}^+ = a^- = 1 - \ln(1 + z^{-1})$ is also analytic in $\mathbf{D} \setminus \{z | -1 < \operatorname{Re} z \leq 0, \operatorname{Im} z = 0\}$, splitting into two branches at $\{z | -1 < \operatorname{Re} z < 0, \operatorname{Im} z = 0\}$ and has weak (logarithmic order) singularity near 0. Denote by $L_{1-\epsilon}^{\pm}$ respectively the upper and lower sides of the interval $[-1, -\epsilon]$, L_{ϵ} the circle with the radius of ϵ centered on O , which directions are set to correspond to the part of the boundary of $\mathbf{D} \setminus \{z | -1 < \operatorname{Re} z \leq 0, \operatorname{Im} z = 0\}$.

By Cauchy theorem we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{T} + L_{1-\epsilon}^+ + L_{\epsilon} + L_{1-\epsilon}^-} \frac{1 - \ln(1 + t^{-1})}{(t-z)(1 - \ln(1+t))} dt = 0.$$

It is easy to check that

$$\int_{L_{1-\epsilon}^+ + L_{1-\epsilon}^-} \frac{1 - \ln(1 + t^{-1})}{(t-z)(1 - \ln(1+t))} dt = \int_{-1}^{\epsilon} \frac{2\pi i}{(t-z)(1 - \ln(1+t))} dt$$

and

$$\left| \int_{L_{\epsilon}} \frac{1 - \ln(1 + t^{-1})}{(t-z)(1 - \ln(1+t))} dt \right| \leq 2\pi\epsilon \frac{\ln(1 + \epsilon^{-1}) + \pi - 1}{(|z| - \epsilon)(1 - \ln(1 - \epsilon))}.$$

Recall that (3) tends to 0 as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
Q\tilde{a}(z) &= -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1 - \ln(1 + t^{-1})}{(t - z)(1 - \ln(1 + t))} dt \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{L_{1-\epsilon}^+ + L_{\epsilon} + L_{1-\epsilon}^-} \frac{1 - \ln(1 + t^{-1})}{(t - z)(1 - \ln(1 + t))} dt \\
&= \int_{-1}^{\epsilon} \frac{2\pi i}{(t - z)(1 - \ln(1 + t))} dt + o(1) \\
&= \int_{-1}^0 \frac{dt}{(t - z)(1 - \ln(1 + t))}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dz} Q\tilde{a}(z) &= \int_{-1}^0 \frac{dt}{(t - z)^2(1 - \ln(1 + t))} \\
&= z^{-1} + \int_{-1}^0 \frac{dt}{(t - z)(1 + t)(1 - \ln(1 + t))^2}
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\theta = \arg(-z)$ for $|z| = 1$. So $i\theta \sim -1 - z$ as z tends to -1 . By (21), it is easy to check that $ReQ\tilde{a}(z)$ and $ImQ\tilde{a}(z)$ are even and odd respectively of θ . So we assume that $\theta > 0$.

To estimate the order of the integral in (22) as z tends to -1 on \mathbb{T} , we divide it into three parts as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{-1}^0 q(t, e^{i\theta}) dt &= \left\{ \int_{-1}^{\theta-1} + \int_{\theta-1}^{e^{-3}-1} + \int_{e^{-3}-1}^0 \right\} q(t, e^{i\theta}) dt \\
&= I_1(z) + I_2(z) + I_3(z),
\end{aligned}$$

where $q(t, z)$ is the integrand in (22).

Rewrite $I_1(z)$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1(z) &= (1 + z)^{-1} \int_{-1}^0 \left(\frac{1}{t - z} - \frac{1}{1 + t} \right) \frac{dt}{(1 - \ln(1 + t))^2} \\
&= (1 + z)^{-1} I_{11} - (1 + z)^{-1} I_{12}.
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that

$$I_{12} = \int_{-1}^{\theta-1} (1 + t)^{-1} (1 - \ln(1 + t))^{-2} dt = (1 - \ln \theta)^{-1}.$$

Since $|t - z| = |t - \cos \theta - i \sin \theta| \geq \sin \theta$ and $(1 - \ln(1 + t))^{-2} \leq (1 - \ln \theta)^{-2}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_{11}| &= \left| \int_{-1}^{\theta-1} (t - z)^{-1} (1 - \ln(1 + t))^{-2} dt \right| \leq \theta (\sin \theta)^{-1} (1 - \ln \theta)^{-2} \\
&\sim (1 - \ln \theta)^{-2}.
\end{aligned}$$

By (24)—(25) we obtain

$$I_1(z) \sim (1+z)^{-1} I_{12} \sim (1+z)^{-1} \ln^{-1} \theta \sim (1+z)^{-1} \ln^{-1}(1+z).$$

It is obvious that

$$I_3(z) = \int_{e^{-3}-1}^0 \frac{(1 - \ln(1+t))^{-2}}{(t-z)(1+t)} dt$$

is convergent as z tends to -1 , i.e.,

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow -1} I_3(z) = \int_{e^{-3}-1}^0 (1+t)^{-2} (1 - \ln(1+t))^{-2} dt.$$

We still rewrite $I_2(z)$ as

$$I_2(z) = (1+z)^{-1} \int_{\theta-1}^{e^{-3}-1} \left(\frac{1}{t-z} - \frac{1}{1+t} \right) \frac{dt}{(1 - \ln(1+t))^2}.$$

Denote by $w = e^{i\varphi}$. To estimate $I_2(z)$ we consider

$$(1 - \ln(t-w))^{-2} \Big|_{-1}^z = -2i \int_0^\theta w(t-w)^{-1} (1 - \ln(t-w))^{-3} d\varphi.$$

Obviously there are $|t-w| \geq 1+t$ and $|1 - \ln(t-w)| \geq 1 - \ln|t-w|$ for φ small enough.

Let $|\varphi| < \frac{1}{9}$. We have $1 + 2(e^{-3} - 1) \cos \varphi + (e^{-3} - 1)^2 \leq e^{-4}$. And then we obtain that $|t - e^{i\varphi}| \leq e^{-2}$ when $-1 \leq t \leq e^{-3} - 1$. Recall that $s^{-1}(1 - \ln s)^{-3}$ is decreasing when $s \in (0, \exp(-2))$. We obtain

$$\max_{0 \leq x' \leq x} |t - z'|^{-1} (1 - \ln|t - z'|)^{-3} = (1+t)^{-1} (1 - \ln(1+t))^{-3}.$$

By (3) and

$$(1+t)^{-2} (1 - \ln(1+t))^{-3} \leq -4 \frac{d}{dt} (1+t)^{-1} (1 - \ln(1+t))^{-3}$$

on $(-1, e^{-3} - 1)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\theta-1}^{e^{-3}-1} \frac{1}{t-z} ((1 - \ln(t-z))^{-2} - (1 - \ln(t-w))^{-2}) dt \right| \\ & \leq 2\theta \int_{\theta-1}^{e^{-3}-1} (1+t)^{-2} (1 - \ln(1+t))^{-3} dt \\ & \leq -8\theta (1+t)^{-1} (1 - \ln(1+t))^{-3} \Big|_{\theta-1}^{e^{-3}-1} \\ & = 8(1 - \ln \theta)^{-3} - \frac{1}{8} e^3 \theta. \end{aligned}$$

Again recall that $z^{-1}(1 - \ln z)^{-2}$ is analytic on $\{z|\theta - 1 + \cos \varphi \leq \operatorname{Re} z \leq e^{-3} - 1 + \cos \varphi, \operatorname{Im} z = \sin \varphi, 0 \leq \varphi \leq \theta\}$. By Cauchy theorem we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\theta-1}^{e^{-3}-1} \left(\frac{1}{t-z} - \frac{1}{1+t} \right) \frac{dt}{(1 - \ln(1+t))^2} \\ = & \int_{\theta-1}^{e^{-3}-1} \left(\frac{1}{(t-z)(1 - \ln(t-z))^2} - \frac{1}{(t+1)(1 - \ln(t+1))^2} \right) dt \\ = & \left(\int_{e^{-3}}^{e^{-3}-z-1} - \int_{\theta}^{\theta-z-1} \right) \frac{1}{t(1 - \ln(t))^2} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$\left| \int_{e^{-3}}^{e^{-3}-z-1} t^{-1}(1 - \ln(t))^{-2} dt \right| \leq \frac{1}{16} e^3 \theta$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\theta}^{\theta-z-1} \frac{t(1 - \ln(t))^2}{d} t \right| \\ = & |(\ln(\theta - z - 1) - \ln \theta)(1 - \ln(\theta - z - 1))^{-1}(1 - \ln \theta)^{-1}| \\ \leq & \left| \frac{1}{2} \ln 2 + \frac{\pi}{4} i \right| (1 - \frac{1}{2} \ln(2) - \ln \theta)^{-1} (1 - \ln \theta)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

By (3) and (27) we obtain

$$I_2(z) \sim (1+z)^{-1} \ln^{-2} \theta \sim (1+z)^{-1} \ln^{-2}(1+z).$$

Now by (22), (23), (3), (25), (3) and (3), we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dz} Q\tilde{a}(z) + (1+z)^{-1}(1 - \ln |\theta|)^{-1} \sim |\theta|^{-1} \ln^{-2} |\theta|.$$

It is easy to check that

$$\operatorname{Re}(iz(1+z)^{-1}(1 - \ln |\theta|)^{-1}) = \frac{\sin \theta (1 - \ln |\theta|)^{-1}}{2 - 2 \cos \theta}$$

and

$$\operatorname{Im}(iz(1+z)^{-1}(1 - \ln |\theta|)^{-1}) = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \ln |\theta|)^{-1}.$$

Now by

$$\frac{\sin \theta (1 - \ln |\theta|)^{-1}}{2 - 2 \cos \theta} + \theta^{-1} \ln^{-1} |\theta| \sim \theta^{-1} \ln^{-2} |\theta|,$$

and (3) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\theta} (\operatorname{Re} Q\tilde{a}(z)) + \theta^{-1} \ln^{-1} |\theta| &= \operatorname{Re} \left(iz \frac{d}{dz} Q\tilde{a}(z) \right) + \theta^{-1} \ln^{-1} |\theta| \\ &\sim \theta^{-1} \ln^{-2} |\theta|. \end{aligned}$$

By the similar argument, we can obtain

$$\frac{d}{d\theta}(\operatorname{Im}Q\tilde{a}(z)) \sim |\theta|^{-1} \ln^{-2} |\theta|,$$

although we will give another proof next.

By (21), $\operatorname{Im}Q\tilde{a}(-e^{i\theta})$ is continuous at $\theta = 0$ since

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Im}Q\tilde{a}(-e^{i\theta}) &= \int_{-1}^0 \operatorname{Im} \frac{1}{(t + e^{i\theta})(1 - \ln(1 + t))} dt \\ &= -1 \left(\int_{-1}^{\theta-1} + \int_{\theta-1}^{e^{-1}-1} + \int_{e^{-1}-1}^0 \right) \frac{\sin \theta (1 - \ln(1 + t))^{-1}}{1 + 2t \cos \theta + t^2} dt \\ &\leq \int_{-1}^{\theta-1} \frac{\sin \theta (1 - \ln(1 + t))^{-1}}{(t - \cos \theta)^2 + 1 - \cos^2 \theta} dt \\ &\quad + \left(\int_{\theta-1}^{e^{-1}-1} + \int_{e^{-1}-1}^0 \right) \frac{\sin \theta (1 - \ln(1 + t))^{-1}}{(1 + t)^2 + 2t(\cos \theta - 1)} dt \\ &\leq \frac{\theta(1 - \ln \theta)^{-1}}{\sin \theta} + \sin \theta \left(\int_{\theta-1}^{e^{-1}-1} + \int_{e^{-1}-1}^0 \right) \frac{(1 - \ln(1 + t))^{-1}}{(1 + t)^2} dt \\ &= \frac{\theta(1 - \ln \theta)^{-1}}{\sin \theta} + \sin \theta \int_{\theta-1}^{e^{-1}-1} \left(\left(\frac{1}{(1 + t)(1 - \ln(1 + t))} \right)' - \left(\frac{1}{1 - \ln(1 + t)} \right)' \right) dt \\ &\quad + \sin \theta \int_{e^{-1}-1}^0 \frac{(1 - \ln(1 + t))^{-1}}{(1 + t)^2} dt \\ &= \frac{\theta(1 - \ln \theta)^{-1}}{\sin \theta} - \frac{\sin \theta (1 - \ln \theta)^{-1}}{\theta} + \sin \theta (1 - \ln \theta)^{-1} + M \sin \theta, \end{aligned}$$

where M is a constant.

Notice that

$$\operatorname{Im}(t - z)^{-1} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \arctan\left(\frac{t + \cos \theta}{\sin \theta}\right)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t \partial \theta} \arctan\left(\frac{t + \cos \theta}{\sin \theta}\right) > 0$$

and

$$\frac{(1 - t)(1 - \ln(1 + t))^{-2}}{1 + 2t \cos \theta + t^2} \geq 0.$$

Again by $1 + 2t \cos \theta + t^2 \geq (1 + t)^2$, We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d\theta} \text{Im} Q \tilde{a}(z) &= \int_{-1}^0 -\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t \partial \theta} \arctan\left(\frac{t + \cos \theta}{\sin \theta}\right)\right) (1 - \ln(1 + t))^{-1} dt \\
&= -\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \arctan \frac{t + \cos \theta}{\sin \theta} \Big|_{t=0} - \int_{-1}^0 \frac{(1 + t \cos \theta)(1 - \ln(1 + t))^{-2}}{(1 + t)(1 + 2t \cos \theta + t^2)} dt \\
&= 1 - \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^0 \left(\frac{1}{1 + t} + \frac{1 - t}{1 + 2t \cos \theta + t^2}\right) (1 - \ln(1 + t))^{-2} dt \\
&= \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{-1}^{\theta-1} + \int_{\theta-1}^{e^{-3}-1} + \int_{e^{-3}-1}^0\right) \frac{(1 - t)(1 - \ln(1 + t))^{-2}}{1 + 2t \cos \theta + t^2} dt \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{\theta-1} \frac{2(1 - \ln \theta)^2}{1 - \cos^2 \theta} dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\theta-1}^{e^{-3}-1} \frac{2(1 - \ln(1 + t))^{-2}}{(1 + t)^2} dt \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int_{e^{-3}-1}^0 \frac{(1 - t)(1 - \ln(1 + t))^{-2}}{1 + 2t \cos \theta + t^2} dt \\
&= \frac{1}{2} - \theta \sin^{-2} \theta (1 - \ln \theta)^{-2} - (1 + t)^{-1} (1 - \ln(1 + t))^{-2} \Big|_{t=e^{-3}-1}^{\theta-1} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int_{e^{-3}-1}^0 \frac{(1 - t)(1 - \ln(1 + t))^{-2}}{1 + 2t \cos \theta + t^2} dt,
\end{aligned}$$

and then

$$0 \geq \frac{d}{d\theta} \text{Im} Q \tilde{a}(z) \geq -M |x|^{-1} \ln^{-2} |x|.$$

In short, $0 \geq d \text{Im} Q \tilde{a}(z) / dx \geq -M |x|^{-1} \ln^{-2} |x|$, the first inequality holds because $\frac{(1-t)(1-\ln(1+t))^{-2}}{1+2t \cos(x)+t^2} \geq 0$ uniformly converges to $\frac{(1-t)(1-\ln(1+t))^{-2}}{1+2t+t^2}$ on $t \in [t_0, 0]$ for every $t_0 \in (-1, 0)$, which integral is diverse thus $\int_{-1}^0 \frac{(1-t)(1-\ln(1+t))^{-2}}{1+2t \cos(x)+t^2} dt \geq 1$ for x near 0 enough.

Now we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 3.6. Qa is BMO_{\log} on \mathbb{T} .

Now we prove the main theorems.

Theorem 3.7. $N(T_a) = 0$ and $R(T_a)$ is dense in $H^1(\mathbb{T})$

Proof. Assume that there exists $f(t) \in H^1(\mathbb{T})$ such that $T_a f(t) = P(a(t)f(t)) = 0$. Then $h(t) = a(t)f(t)$ must be in the complement of $H^1(\mathbb{T})$, that is, $h(t)$ is the boundary value of a holomorphic function in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{D}$ satisfying $h(\infty) = 0$.

Denote by $g(t) = a^+ f = a^- h$. Then $g(t)$ can be extended to be a holomorphic for all $z \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \{-1\}$. We are not sure whether $g(t)$ belongs to $L^1(\mathbb{T})$ due to the singularity at $z = -1$. But $(1+t)g(t)$ must be in $L^1(\mathbb{T})$. Then $(1+z)g(z)$ is a bounded entire function. Especially, $(1+z)a^+(z)f(z)$ is a constant function on \mathbb{D} , which gives that $f \equiv 0$ since $(1+z)a^+(z)$ is not constant.

Now assume $g \in H^\infty(\mathbb{T})$. Let $\eta(t) = (P(a^-g))/a^+$. It is obvious that $\eta(t) \in H^1(\mathbb{T})$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T_a \eta &= P(P(a^-g) \frac{1}{a^-}) \\ &= P(P(a^-g) \frac{1}{a^-}) + P(Q(a^-g) \frac{1}{a^-}) \\ &= P((P+Q)(a^-g) \frac{1}{a^-}) = P(a^-g \frac{1}{a^-}) = g. \end{aligned}$$

So $\eta(t)$ is the preimage of $g(t)$. Then the proof follows since $H^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ is dense in $H^1(\mathbb{T})$. \square

Theorem 3.8. $R(T_a) \neq H^1(\mathbb{T})$.

Proof. Let $g(z) = (1+z)^{-1}(a^+(z))^{-1}(\ln(a^+(z)) + 2)^{-2}$ for $z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}} = \mathbb{D} \cup \mathbb{T}$. It is easy to check that $g(t) \in H^1(\mathbb{T})$. We show that $g(t)$ has no preimage of T_a in $H^1(\mathbb{T})$.

Otherwise, assume that $f \in H^1(\mathbb{T})$ satisfies $T_a f = g$.

Suppose that

$$af = \frac{a^+}{a^-} f = T_a f + h = g + h.$$

By Plemelj decomposition theorem[6], we have $h \in \overline{H_0^1(\mathbb{T})}$. we multiply $(1+t)a^-$ on both sides of (3). And get $Q((1+t)a^-(g+h)) = Q((1+t)a^+f) = 0$. Be similar to (21), we have

$$(Q((1+t)a^-g))(z) = - \int_{-1}^0 (t-z)^{-1}(1+t)g(t)dt,$$

where $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [-1, 0]$. Denote by $G(z) = -(Q((1+t)a^-g))(z)$.

We assume that $z \in \mathbb{T}$ near -1 from now on.

According to Lebesgue controlled convergent theorem, we have

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow -1} G(z) = G(-1) = \int_{-1}^0 g(t)dt.$$

Denote by $h(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_{-n} z^{-n}$. Notice that $(1+z)a^-(z)h(z) = P((1+z)a^-(z)h(z)) + Q((1+z)a^-(z)h(z))$ and $G(z) = -Q((1+z)a^-(z)h(z))$. we have $G(z) + (1+z)a^-h = P((1+z)a^-h) = h_{-1}$. Then we have

$$h = \frac{h_{-1} - G(z)}{(1+z)a^-(z)} \notin L^1(\mathbb{T}).$$

If $h_{-1} \neq G(-1)$, it is obviously $h \notin L^1(\mathbb{T})$, which contradicts to the assumption.

In the following, we prove that h is still not in $L^1(\mathbb{T})$ when $h_{-1} = G(-1)$.

To estimate $G(z) - h_{-1} = G(z) - G(-1)$ when $z = -\exp(i\theta)$ tends to -1 , we decompose it into three parts as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} G(z) - G(-1) &= \left(\int_{-1}^{\theta-1} + \int_{\theta-1}^{e^{-3}-1} + \int_{e^{-3}-1}^0 \right) ((t-z)^{-1}(1+t)g(t) - g(t))dt \\ &= I_1 + I_2 + I_3. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that $|t-z| \geq \sin \theta$. We obtain

$$\left| \int_{-1}^{\theta-1} (t-z)^{-1}(1+t)g(t)dt \right| \leq \theta^2 \sin^{-1} \theta g(\theta-1) \leq C |\ln \theta|^{-1} |\ln |\ln \theta||^{-2}$$

and

$$\int_{-1}^{\theta-1} g(t)dt = (\ln(1 - \ln \theta) + 2)^{-1},$$

which give

$$|I_1 + \int_{-1}^{\theta-1} g(t)dt = (\ln(1 - \ln \theta) + 2)^{-1}| \leq C |\ln \theta|^{-1} |\ln |\ln \theta||^{-2}.$$

It is easy to check

$$\int_{e^{-3}-1}^0 \frac{g(t)dt}{t-z} = (1+z)^{-1} \int_{e^{-3}-1}^0 \left(\frac{1+t}{t-z} - 1 \right) g(t)dt$$

converges to

$$A = \int_{e^{-3}-1}^0 (1+t)^{-1} g(t)dt,$$

i.e.,

$$I_3 = \int_{\exp(-3)-1}^0 ((t-z)^{-1}(1+t)g(t) - g(t))dt \sim A(1+z) \sim \theta.$$

Now we deal with the integral on the interval $[\theta-1, e^{-3}-1]$. For convenience, denote by $W_t(\zeta) = (1 - \ln(t-\zeta))^{-1} (\ln(1 - \ln(t-\zeta)) + 2)^{-2}$. Then $g(t-\zeta-1) = (t-\zeta)^{-1} W_t(\zeta)$, $g(t) = (1+t)^{-1} W_t(-1)$. And we have

$$\begin{aligned} W_t(z) - W_t(-1) &= - \int_0^\theta \zeta (t-\zeta)^{-1} (1 - \ln(t-\zeta))^{-2} ((\ln(1 - \ln(t-\zeta)) + 2)^{-2} \\ &\quad + 2(\ln(1 - \ln(t-\zeta)) + 2)^{-3}) ds, \end{aligned}$$

where $\zeta = -e^{is}$. Notice still $|t-\zeta| \leq \exp(-2)$ when $-1 \leq t \leq \exp(-3)-1$. Again recall that $|t-z| > |1+t|$, $|t-\zeta| > |1+t|$ and $s^{-1}(1-\ln s)^{-2}$ is decreasing when $s \in (0, \exp(-1))$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_{\theta^{-1}}^{\exp(-3)-1} (g(t-1-z) - (t-z)^{-1}(1+t)g(t)) dt \right| \\
&= \left| \int_{\theta^{-1}}^{\exp(-3)-1} (t-z)^{-1}(W_t(z) - W_t(-1)) dt \right| \\
&\leq (3^{-2} + 2 \cdot 3^{-3}) \int_{\theta^{-1}}^{\exp(-3)-1} (1+t)^{-1} \int_0^\theta |t-\zeta|^{-1} (1-\ln|t-\zeta|)^{-2} dt \\
&\leq \frac{5}{27} \int_{\theta^{-1}}^{\exp(-3)-1} (1+t)^{-1} \theta (1+t)^{-1} (1-\ln(1+t))^{-2} dt \\
&= \frac{5}{27} \theta \int_{\theta^{-1}}^{\exp(-3)-1} ((-(1+t)^{-1}(1-\ln(1+t))^{-2})' - 3(1+t)^{-2}(1-\ln(1+t))^{-3}) dt \\
&\leq \frac{5}{27} \theta \int_{\theta^{-1}}^{\exp(-3)-1} ((-(1+t)^{-1}(1-\ln(1+t))^{-2})' dt \\
&= \frac{5}{27} (1-\ln\theta)^{-3} - \frac{5}{432} e^3 \theta.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Cauchy integral theorem on analytic function $g(t-1)$ along the parallelogram with vertices x , e^{-3} , $e^{-3}-1-z$ and $x-z-1$ taken in order, we obtain

$$\left(\int_\theta^{e^{-3}} + \int_{\exp(-3)-1-z}^{\theta-1-z} \right) g(t-1) dt = - \left(\int_{\theta-1-z}^\theta + \int_{\exp(-3)}^{\exp(-3)-1-z} \right) g(t-1) dt$$

or

$$\int_{\theta^{-1}}^{e^{-3}-1} (g(t-1-z) - g(t)) dt = \left(\int_{e^{-3}}^{e^{-3}-1-z} - \int_\theta^{\theta-z-1} \right) g(t-1) dt.$$

But

$$\left| \int_{e^{-3}}^{e^{-3}-1-z} g(t-1) dt \right| \leq \frac{e^3}{36} \theta$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_x^{\theta-1-z} g(t-1) dt \right| &= \left| \frac{\ln(1-\ln\theta) - \ln(1-\ln(\theta-1-z))}{(\ln(1-\ln(\theta-1-z)) + 2)(\ln(1-\ln\theta) + 2)} \right| \\
&\leq \left| \frac{1}{2} \ln 2 + \frac{\pi}{4} i \right| (1 - \frac{1}{2} \ln 2 - \ln\theta)^{-1} \bullet \\
&\quad (\ln(1 - \frac{1}{2} \ln 2 - \ln\theta) + 2)^{-1} (\ln(1 - \ln\theta) + 2)^{-1} \\
&\leq C |\ln\theta|^{-2} |\ln|\ln\theta||^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

So we obtain

$$\left| \int_{\theta^{-1}}^{e^{-3}-1} (g(t-1-z) - g(t)) dt \right| \leq C |\ln \theta|^{-2} |\ln |\ln \theta||^{-1}.$$

By (33) and (3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |I_2| &= \left| \int_{\theta^{-1}}^{\exp(-3)-1} ((t-z)^{-1}(1+t)g(t) - g(t)) dt \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_{\theta^{-1}}^{\exp(-3)-1} (g(t-1-z) - (t-z)^{-1}(1+t)g(t)) dt \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \int_{\theta^{-1}}^{e^{-3}-1} (g(t-1-z) - g(t)) dt \right| \leq M |\ln \theta|^{-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Now by (3), (3 and (34), we obtain

$$|G(z) - G(-1) + (\ln(1 - \ln(x)) + 2)^{-1}| \leq C |\ln \theta|^{-1} |\ln \ln \theta|^{-2}.$$

Recall that $h = \frac{G(-1)-G(z)}{(1+z)a^-(z)} \notin L^1(\mathbb{T})$. By (3) we obtain

$$h(z) - \frac{(\ln(1 - \ln \theta) + 2)^{-1}}{(1+z)a^-(z)} \in L^1(U).$$

However,

$$\frac{(\ln(1 - \ln \theta) + 2)^{-1}}{(1+z)a^-(z)} \notin L^1(U).$$

So we get that $h \notin L^1(\mathbb{T})$, which still contradicts to the assumption. □

Now we state the final result.

Theorem 3.9. T_a is not Fredholm.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Böttcher and B. Silbermann, *Analysis of Toeplitz operators*, Springer, Berlin, 1990.
- [2] S.R. Bell, *The Cauchy transform, potential theory, and conformal mapping*, Studies in Advanced Mathematics, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1992.
- [3] M. Papadimitrakis, J.A. Virtanen, *Hankel and Toeplitz transforms on H^1 : Continuity, compactness and Fredholm properties*. Integral equations and operator theory, 2008, 61(4):573-591.
- [4] J.A. Virtanen, Fredholm theory of Toeplitz operators on the Hardy space H^1 . Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society, 2006, 38(1):143-155. DOI:10.1017/S0024609305018060.
- [5] J.B. Garnett, *Bounded analytic functions*. Revised first edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 236. Springer, New York, 2007.
- [6] Mikhlin, S.G., Prössdorf, S.: *Singular Integral Operators*. Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York-Tokyo (1986)

- [7] E.M. Stein, *Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions*, Princeton University, New Jersey, 1970.
- [8] D. A. Stegenga, *Bounded Toeplitz operators on H^1 and applications of the duality between H^1 and the functions of bounded mean oscillation*, Amer. J. of Math. 98, no. 3 (1976), 573-589.
- [9] S. Janson, J. Peetre and S. Semmes, *On the action of Hankel and Toeplitz operators on some function spaces*, Duke Math. J. 51, no. 4 (1984), 937-958.
- [10] V. A. Tolokonnikov, *Hankel and Toeplitz operators in Hardy spaces (Russian. English summary)*, Investigations on linear operators and the theory of functions, XIV, Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI) 141 (1985), 165-175. (English translation in J. Sov. Math. 37 (1987), 1359-1364.)
- [11] R. G. Douglas, *Toeplitz and Wiener-Hopf operators in $H^\infty + C$* . Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 74 (1968), 895-899.
- [12] R. G. Douglas, *Banach algebra techniques in operator theory*. 2nd edition, SpringerVerlag, New York, 1998.

HUALIU@TUTE.EDU.CN, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TIANJIN UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY AND EDUCATION, TIANJIN 300222, CHINA

ZHANGXYSTD@163.COM, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, NINGXIA NORMAL UNIVERSITY, GU YUAN756000, CHINA